



# Open Research Online

---

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

## Neutron star masses in X-ray binaries

### Conference or Workshop Item

How to cite:

Norton, Andrew (2003). Neutron star masses in X-ray binaries. In: Not Set, Oct 2003, Cape Town, South Africa.

For guidance on citations see [FAQs](#).

© [not recorded]

Version: [not recorded]

Link(s) to article on publisher's website:

[http://www.salt.ac.za/fileadmin/files/about/workshops/stobie\\_presentations/capetown03.pdf](http://www.salt.ac.za/fileadmin/files/about/workshops/stobie_presentations/capetown03.pdf)

---

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data [policy](#) on reuse of materials please consult the policies page.

---

[oro.open.ac.uk](http://oro.open.ac.uk)



# Neutron star masses in X-ray Binaries

Science with SALT workshop  
Cape Town - October 2003

Andrew Norton

Open University, UK SALT Consortium

# Neutron star masses


- Theoretical masses of neutron stars are not well defined
- Neutron stars in binary radio pulsars have well constrained masses  $\sim 1.4$  solar mass
- Masses of neutron stars in accretion powered systems are not accurately determined

# Outline of talk

- Eclipsing X-ray Pulsars in general
- Centaurus X-3
- Vela X-1
- Improved neutron star masses with SALT
- OAO1657-415 with SALT?
- Other research areas of OU astronomers

# Eclipsing X-ray Pulsars

© 2000 by Mark A. Garlick



Giant companion /  
mass donor star

+

Accreting neutron  
star



# Eclipsing X-ray Pulsars

- Knowing:
  - RV of mass donor (optical spectroscopy)
  - RV of neutron star (X-ray pulse timing)
  - Inclination (eclipse duration)
  - Plus a few other assumptions...
- Allows masses of components to be determined

# Eclipsing X-ray Pulsars

$$q = \frac{M_x}{M_o} = \frac{K_o}{K_x}$$

$$M_o = \frac{K_x^3 P (1 - e^2)^{3/2}}{2\pi G \sin^3 i} (1 + q)^2 \quad M_x = \frac{K_o^3 P (1 - e^2)^{3/2}}{2\pi G \sin^3 i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^2$$

$$\sin i = \frac{\left(1 - \beta^2 \left(\frac{R_L}{a'}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}}{\cos \theta_e} \quad \frac{R_L}{a'} = A + B \log q + C \log^2 q$$

$$\beta = R_o / R_L \quad A, B, C = f(\Omega) \quad \Omega = P_* / P$$

# Eclipsing X-ray Pulsars

- Only 7 known eclipsing X-ray pulsars (6 of them at southern declinations)
  - Vela X-1 / GP Vel                      P=8.97d                      V=6.9
  - Hercules X-1 / HZ Her                      P=1.7d                      V=13.0
  - Norma X-2 / QV Nor                      P=3.7d                      V=13.5
  - Cen X-3 / V779 Cen                      P=2.09d                      V=13.4
  - LMC X-4                      P=1.4d                      V=15
  - SMC X-1                      P=3.9d                      V=13.3
  - OAO1657-415                      P=10.4d                      V>23

# Centaurus X-3


- Ash, Reynolds, Roche, Norton, Still & Morales-Rueda, 1999, MNRAS, 307, 357
- Known:
  - $K_x = 414.1 \pm 0.9$  km/s (Nagase et al 1992)
  - $\Theta_e = 32.9 \pm 0.5$  deg (Clark et al 1988)
  - $\beta = 1$  (Roche lobe overflow)
  - $\Omega = 1$  (Synchronous rotation)
  - $P = 2.087$  day,  $e = 0$  (Circular orbit)
- 50 spectra @AAT in 3 runs;  $0.75\text{\AA}/\text{pix}$  ( $\sim 50$  km/s/pix);  
 $V \sim 13.4$ , spectral type  $\sim O 6-7$  II-III



The Open University

Science Faculty

# Centaurus X-3



# Centaurus X-3


- Calculated:
  - $K_0 = 24.4 \pm 4.1$  km/s
  - $q = 0.059 \pm 0.010$
  - $i = 70.2 \pm 2.7$  degrees
  - $M_0 = 20.5 \pm 0.7$  solar mass
  - $M_x = 1.21 \pm 0.21$  solar mass
- In agreement with canonical neutron star mass

# Vela X-1

- Quaintrell, Norton, Ash, Roche, Willems, Bedding, Baldry & Fender, 2003, A&A, 401, 313
- Known:
  - $K_x = 278.1 \pm 0.3$  km/s (Bildsten et al 1997)
  - $\Theta_e = 33.8 \pm 1.3$  deg (Watson & Griffiths 1977)
  - $\Omega = 0.67 \pm 0.04$  (Zuiderwijk 1995)
  - $P = 8.964$  day,  $e = 0.0898$  (Bildsten et al 1997)
- 180 echelle spectra @Mt Stromlo over 21 continuous nights;  $0.06 \text{ \AA}/\text{pix}$  ( $\sim 4$  km/s/pix);  $V \sim 6.8$ , spectral type  $\sim$  B0.5Ib

# Vela X-1

Average spectrum



# Vela X-1

## fitting the RV curve



$$v = \gamma + \frac{4\pi a_1 \sin i}{P(1-e^2)^{1/2}} \frac{e \cos \omega + \cos(v + \omega)}{2}$$

$$\tan\left(\frac{v}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1+e}{1-e}\right)^{1/2} \tan\left(\frac{E}{2}\right)$$

$$E - e \sin E = M = \frac{2\pi}{P} (t - T_0)$$

$$T_0 = T_{\pi/2} + \frac{P(\omega - \pi/2)}{2\pi}$$


Radial velocity  
 $\omega$  = periastron angle

True anomaly

Eccentric anomaly

Time of periastron passage

# Vela X-1: First fit




$$K_0 = 21.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ km/s}$$

But note residuals!

Power spectrum  
of residuals shows  
peaks @  $9 \pm 1$  day  
&  $2.18 \pm 0.04$  day

'phase-locked'  
deviations as seen  
by others?

# Vela X-1: Second fit




As well as RV curve,  
simultaneously fit  
a 2.18d sinusoid.

$$K_0 = 22.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ km/s}$$
$$K_{2.18} = 5.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ km/s}$$

Residuals still show  
further modulation  
at ~9 day period.

# Vela X-1: Fit to phase-binned means



Subtract the best fit 2.18d curve.  
Rebin into 9 daily phase bins.  
 $K_0 = 22.6 \pm 1.5 \text{ km/s}$

Residuals still show better fit possible allowing zero phase as free parameter (~7 hour shift req.)

# Vela X-1: results

- 2.18d and out-of-phase 9d modulation imply presence of non-radial pulsations in GP Vel @  $\sim f_{\text{orb}}$  and  $\sim 4f_{\text{orb}}$
- May also be an *in-phase* non-radial pulsation at orbital period
- In which case RV amplitude, and hence neutron star mass, is over-estimated

# Vela X-1 results

- Monte Carlo approach to propagate uncertainties
- $\beta$  and  $i$  cannot be solved for simultaneously. Limits  $\beta < 1$  and  $i < 90$ .
- Then solve the equations described earlier.

# Vela X-1 results

Parameter	Value	
<i>Observed</i>		
$a_x \sin i$ / light sec	$113.98 \pm 0.13$	
$P$ / d	$8.964368 \pm 0.000040$	
$T_{\pi/2}$ / MJD	$48895.2186 \pm 0.0012$	
$e$	$0.0898 \pm 0.0012$	
$\omega$ / deg	$152.59 \pm 0.92$	
$\theta_e$ / deg	$33.8 \pm 1.3$	
$\Omega$	$0.67 \pm 0.04$	
$K_o$ / km s <sup>-1</sup>	$22.6 \pm 1.5$	
<i>Inferred</i>		
$K_x$ / km s <sup>-1</sup>	$278.1 \pm 0.3$	
$T_0$ / MJD	$48896.777 \pm 0.009$	
$q$	$0.081 \pm 0.005$	
$\beta$	1.000	$0.89 \pm 0.03$
$i$ / deg	$70.1 \pm 2.6$	90.0
$M_x / M_\odot$	$2.27 \pm 0.17$	$1.88 \pm 0.13$
$M_o / M_\odot$	$27.9 \pm 1.3$	$23.1 \pm 0.2$
$a'$ at periastron / $R_\odot$	$51.4 \pm 0.8$	$48.3 \pm 0.3$
$R_L$ at periastron / $R_\odot$	$32.1 \pm 0.6$	$30.2 \pm 0.2$
$R_o / R_\odot$	$32.1 \pm 0.6$	$26.8 \pm 0.9$

Implies *over-massive* neutron star, if there is no in-phase non-radial pulsation contributing to the RV curve

# Neutron star masses with SALT

- Queue scheduled spectroscopy will allow effective phase coverage of the ~few days orbit for each system
- High sensitivity will allow improved accuracy of RV amplitudes to  $<0.1$  km/s ?
- Therefore improved accuracy of mass estimates to  $<0.01$  solar masses ?
- Should be possible for Roche lobe-filling systems in circular orbits (e.g. Cen X-3), and allow to better characterise eccentric systems (e.g. Vela X-1) by better modelling of non-radial pulsations


# OA01657-415

- From BATSE (Chakrabarty et al 1993)
- But no RV curve - no optical counterpart!

TABLE 1  
OA0 1657 – 415 PARAMETERS

Parameter	Symbol	Value <sup>a</sup>
<b>Best-fit orbital parameters and eclipse measurements:</b>		
Orbital period .....	$P_{\text{orb}}$	$10^{\text{d}}4436 \pm 0^{\text{d}}0038$
Projected semimajor axis .....	$a_x \sin i$	$106.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ lt-sec}$
Eccentricity .....	$e$	$0.104 \pm 0.005$
Longitude of periastron .....	$\omega$	$93^\circ \pm 5^\circ$
Orbital epoch .....	$T_{\pi/2}$	JD 2,448,516.49 $\pm$ 0.05 TDB
Eclipse ingress <sup>b</sup> .....	$l_{e,\text{in}}$	$57^\circ.1 \pm 1^\circ.8$
Eclipse egress <sup>b</sup> .....	$l_{e,\text{out}}$	$116^\circ.5 \pm 1^\circ.8$
<b>Derived quantities:</b>		
Pulsar mass function .....	$f_x(M)$	$11.7 \pm 0.2 M_\odot$
Eclipse half-angle .....	$\theta_e$	$29^\circ.7 \pm 1^\circ.3$
<b>Inferred constraints:<sup>c</sup></b>		
Orbital inclination .....	$i$	$\geq 60^\circ$
Companion mass .....	$M_c$	14–18 $M_\odot$
Companion radius .....	$R_c$	25–32 $R_\odot$
$R_c$ -Roche radius ratio .....	$R_c/R_L$	$\geq 0.85$


# OA01657-415



No optical counterpart  
within Chandra X-ray  
error circle,  $V > 23$   
(Chakrabarty et al 2002)

Previous candidate 'D'  
spectral type B5III  
now excluded by Chandra  
location

# OA01657-415



Infrared counterpart  
clearly seen at  $K = 10.67$ ,  
 $H = 11.93$ ,  $J = 14.08$   
(Chakrabarty et al 2002)

Similar parameters to  
those of Vela X-1  
Need to measure  
 $\sim 20$  km/s amplitude  
RV curve.

# OAO1657-415

- Target for SALT?
- Counterpart (probably) has  
R (700 nm)  $\sim$  20 and I (900 nm)  $\sim$  17
- Feasible with current PFIS?  
Certainly with proposed IR upgrade.
- Measure only the 7<sup>th</sup> neutron star mass in an X-ray binary system.  
Only the 2<sup>nd</sup> in an eccentric orbit.

# Other OU SALT interest

- Astronomy Research Group:
  - Carole Haswell
  - Ulrich Kolb
  - Barrie Jones
  - Andy Norton
  - Sean Ryan
- Planetary & Space Science Res. Inst.
  - Simon Green
  - Neil McBride
  - John Zarnecki

# Other OU SALT interest

- Cataclysmic variables - time resolved spectroscopy, tomography, accretion disc physics, magnetic systems, population studies (Haswell, Kolb, Norton)
- Black Hole X-ray transients - multiwavelength monitoring through outbursts, accretion disc physics (Haswell, Norton)

# Other OU SALT interest

- X-ray binaries in M31 - XRB population studies, LMXBs, ULXs (Kolb)
- Galactic chemical evolution - synthesis of the elements, high-resolution spectroscopy, stellar abundance analysis, pop II & III objects (Ryan)

# Other OU SALT interest

- Exoplanets - transiting exoplanet search using WASP (2<sup>nd</sup> camera to be at SAAO?), stability of orbits of known exoplanets (**Haswell, Jones, Norton**)
- Solar system - near Earth objects, comets, Edgeworth-Kuiper belt objects, early Solar system (**Green, McBride, Zarnecki**)