

1 **Reverse osmosis membrane composition, structure and performance modification by**  
2 **bisulphite, iron(III), bromide and chlorite exposure**

3 Ferrer, O.<sup>1,\*</sup>, Gibert, O.<sup>1,2</sup>, Cortina, J.L.<sup>1,2</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> CETaqua, Water Technology Center, Ctra. Esplugues, 75, 08940 Cornellà de Llobregat (Barcelona), Spain

5 <sup>2</sup> Department d'Enginyeria Química, ETSEIB, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Av. Diagonal 647, 08028  
6 Barcelona, Spain

7

8 \* Phone: +34-93-312-4800; fax: +34-93-312-4801; email: oferrer@cetaqua.com

9

10 Keywords: reverse osmosis, degradation, bisulphite, iron, bromide, Rutherford backscattering  
11 spectrometry

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Reverse osmosis (RO) membrane exposure to bisulphite, chlorite, bromide and iron(III) was  
15 assessed in terms of membrane composition, structure and performance. Membrane  
16 composition was determined by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) and membrane  
17 performance was assessed by water and chloride permeation, using a modified version of the  
18 solution-diffusion model. Iron(III) dosage in presence of bisulphite led to an autooxidation of  
19 the latter, probably generating free radicals which severely damaged the membrane. It  
20 comprised a significant raise in chloride passage (chloride permeation coefficient increased  
21 5.3– 5.1 fold compared to the virgin membrane under the conditions studied) rapidly. No  
22 major differences in terms of water permeability and membrane composition were observed.  
23 Nevertheless, an increase in the size of the network pores, and a raise in the fraction of  
24 aggregate pores of the polyamide (PA) layer were identified, but no amide bond cleavage was

25 observed. These structural changes were therefore, in accordance with the transport  
26 properties observed.

27

## 28 **1. INTRODUCTION**

29 Reverse osmosis (RO) membranes are commonly thin film composite (TFC) membranes  
30 consisting in a polyamide (PA) active layer ( $\sim 50 - 250$  nm thickness), supported by an  
31 asymmetric polysulphone support ( $\sim 50$   $\mu\text{m}$  thickness) and a non-woven polyester fabric  
32 backing ( $\sim 300$   $\mu\text{m}$  thickness) (Petersen, 1993). The partitioning-diffusion process which  
33 governs RO membranes water transport and solutes rejection takes place at the water-  
34 membrane interface (PA active layer) and across the membrane (Urama and Mariñas, 1997).  
35 The PA pore size distribution of RO membranes has been reported as bimodal, comprised of  
36 smaller and larger pores (Kim et al., 2005). The later, referred as aggregate pores, have been  
37 related to void space between polymer aggregates (Coronell et al., 2008) and their size has  
38 been estimated in  $1.0 - 1.6$  nm in diameter (Saenz de Jubera et al., 2012). The smaller ones,  
39 named network pores, have been associated to the interstitial space between polymer  
40 branches within an aggregate (Coronell et al., 2008) and their diameter has been estimated in  
41  $0.4 - 0.8$  nm (Saenz de Jubera et al., 2012). The ionization behaviour of functional groups in  
42 the PA active layer, carboxylic and amine, has been modelled by an acid-base equilibrium with  
43 the aqueous solution (Coronell et al., 2008). Ion probing experiments combined with  
44 Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) have enabled the quantification of the  
45 accessible deprotonated / protonated groups and thus, the determination of the associated  
46 acid-base parameters, providing insights into the pore size distribution, as well as other  
47 membrane properties like the total concentration of functional groups and the degree of  
48 crosslinking, as shown in the work of Coronell et al. (2009).

49 RO membranes are able to produce almost pure water in a reliable way, rejecting nearly all  
50 colloidal or dissolved matter from an aqueous solution (Fritzmann et al., 2007). RO energy  
51 consumption, which has decreased significantly during the last decades, is the lowest among  
52 seawater desalination technologies and is energetically advantageous for brackish water  
53 desalination as well (Fritzmann et al., 2007). RO membranes capabilities together with their  
54 maturity, have turned them into the best standard for desalination (Lin and Elimelech, 2015).  
55 Nevertheless, the sensitivity of TFC RO membranes toward certain compounds represents a  
56 main drawback nowadays. Indeed, the interaction of certain species with the PA active layer  
57 can encompass morphological and chemical changes in the latter, which can result into  
58 alterations of the RO membrane separation properties. These modifications can involve the  
59 permeate quality to be out of specification and thus, the need to prematurely replace RO  
60 membranes, with its associated cost.

61 It has been well reported in literature and it is recognised by membrane manufacturers that  
62 free chlorine damages TFC RO membranes performance. In particular, manufacturers have  
63 established maximum admissible concentrations to avoid membranes premature degradation  
64 (<0.1 mg/L of free chlorine, being 200-1000 mg/L·h the threshold above which eventual  
65 degradation may occur (Dow Water & Process Solutions, n.d.)) and recommend the use of a  
66 reducing agent to neutralise it. Sodium metabisulphite, which forms sodium bisulphite in  
67 water, has often been used because of its high cost-effectiveness when dechlorinating  
68 (Fritzmann et al., 2007). Nevertheless, few works have been devoted to determine bisulphite  
69 effects on RO membranes, particularly in presence of other substances naturally contained in  
70 the water to be treated or added during the treatment itself (e.g. coagulation/flocculation  
71 steps). (Nagai et al., 1994) reported the generation of oxidising agents when sodium bisulphite  
72 was dosed in presence of heavy metal ions, chloride ion and dissolved oxygen in a neutral pH  
73 solution, but their identification and their effects were not assessed. Sommariva et al. (2012)  
74 reported an increased salt passage in a seawater RO plant where sodium bisulphite was

75 overdosed in presence of heavy metal ions, but the impact was not quantitatively assessed. As  
76 a result, RO membrane degradation prevention may become complicated since, on one hand,  
77 a complete removal of oxidising agents is recommended (Suzuki et al., 2016) and bisulphite is  
78 commonly dosed for such purpose. On the other, some works indicate that an excess of  
79 bisulphite under certain circumstances can also lead to RO membrane degradation.  
80 Consequently, works systematically addressing bisulphite effects on RO active layer, in terms  
81 of composition and performance, when blended with chemicals likely to be present in the RO  
82 feed stream are needed.

83 This work focused on the effects of bisulphite, alone and mixed with a secondary oxidant  
84 (chlorite), an halide ion (bromide) and a transition metal based catalyst (iron(III)), not  
85 previously addressed in literature. Exposed membranes composition was characterised by RBS  
86 which provides average elements concentration on the PA active layer by penetrating 1-2  $\mu\text{m}$   
87 on the membrane (PA and part of polysulphone layers) (Mi et al., 2007). Ion probing  
88 experiments provided information on structural changes of the PA. These supported the  
89 permeation results obtained, conducted with a monovalent ion (chloride) to track changes in  
90 the network pores of the PA active layer.

91

## 92 **2. EXPERIMENTAL**

### 93 **2.1. Membrane exposure experiments**

94 Membrane coupons were cut randomly from different sheets and locations of a LE4040 (Dow  
95 Filmtec Co.) spiral wound RO membrane module. They were soaked 6 times for at least 6h  
96 each in nanopure water (Thermo Scientific Barnstead Dubuque) and were thoroughly rinsed  
97 afterwards aiming at removing any potential remaining contamination from the manufacturing  
98 process before being used. Nanopure water was used to prepare the chemical mixtures listed  
99 in Table 1, which contained sodium bisulphite (Acrós Organics), ferric chloride hexahydrate

100 (BDH), sodium chlorite (Amresco) and sodium bromide (Fisher Chemical), as well as  $5 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M  
101 sodium hydrogen carbonate (Fisher BioReagents) and  $10^{-3}$  M sodium chloride (Sigma Aldrich).  
102 The iron(III) and chlorite concentrations selected (1.5 mg/L ( $2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$  M) and 37.5 mg/L  
103 ( $5.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$  M) respectively), corresponded to 50 fold the average values that may be present in  
104 the feed stream of RO membranes from drinking water treatment plants equipped with  
105 coagulation and dioxichlorination. Bisulphite low dose (100 mg/L,  $9.61 \cdot 10^{-4}$  M) was slightly  
106 below the stoichiometric concentration needed to reduce the chlorite, and the high dose (400  
107 mg/L,  $3.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M) was well above it. Bromide concentration (400 mg/L,  $5.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M) was  
108 greater than typical values from surface waters (up to 1 mg/L) to evidence its effects.  
109 Bisulphite is a reducer widely implemented in water utilities equipped with RO membranes to  
110 prevent oxidising species from reaching the membranes. Nevertheless, studies on its impact  
111 on RO membranes, especially in presence of other compounds, are scarce in literature. Iron is  
112 often used in coagulation, which may be a pre-treatment step to RO membranes. As a result,  
113 iron traces may reach the membranes and catalyse the degradation of RO membranes as  
114 shown in previous works (Tessaro et al., 2005). Chlorite, a secondary oxidant generated when  
115 dosing chlorine dioxide (Odeh et al., 2002), could also degrade RO membranes (Zupanovich et  
116 al., 2012) but it has never been studied before. The co-existence of oxidising agents and halide  
117 ions, such as bromide, has also been claimed to induce RO membrane degradation (Kwon et  
118 al., 2011, Maugin, 2013, Valentino et al., 2015). As a result, the effects of bisulphite alone and  
119 mixed with the abovementioned chemicals have been studied in detail.

120 pH was adjusted dosing sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid to 6.7, and no headspace was  
121 left. Ambar jars were used to avoid photodecomposition and were placed on a shaking table to  
122 ensure homogeneous mixture. Every day exposure solutions were replaced until the targeted  
123 exposure time was reached (generally 9 days, otherwise specified). Then, membrane coupons  
124 were soaked 3 times during 10 min each in a solution whose composition was the same as the

125 exposure one, but 1000 fold diluted in order to remove the compounds not bound to the  
126 membrane.

127 Table 1. Iron(III), chlorite, bromide and bisulphite based exposure solutions assessed with their  
128 corresponding concentrations.

Sample	[Fe (III)] (M)	[ClO <sub>2</sub> ] (M)	[Br <sup>-</sup> ] (M)	[NaHSO <sub>3</sub> ] (M)
HSO <sub>3</sub> _ex	0	0	0	$3.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _ex-Fe	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0	0	$3.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _ex-Fe-ClO <sub>2</sub> , HSO <sub>3</sub> _ex-Fe-ClO <sub>2</sub> _4d HSO <sub>3</sub> _ex-Fe-ClO <sub>2</sub> _23d	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0	$3.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _ex-ClO <sub>2</sub>	0	$5.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0	$3.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _ex-Fe-ClO <sub>2</sub> -Br	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$3.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _Im	0	0	0	$9.61 \cdot 10^{-4}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _Im-Fe	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0	0	$9.61 \cdot 10^{-4}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _Im-Fe-ClO <sub>2</sub>	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0	$9.61 \cdot 10^{-4}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _Im-ClO <sub>2</sub>	0	$5.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$	0	$9.61 \cdot 10^{-4}$
HSO <sub>3</sub> _Im-Fe-ClO <sub>2</sub> -Br	$2.69 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$5.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$5.00 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$9.61 \cdot 10^{-4}$

129

## 130 2.2. Membrane permeation experiments

131 Permeation experiments were conducted using a dead-end membrane apparatus (model  
132 8050, Millipore Co.) connected to an analytical balance (BP211S, Sartorius Co.) logged into a  
133 computer, as described in Saenz de Jubera et al. (2012). A 400 mg/L sodium chloride (Sigma  
134 Aldrich) solution was used to assess the chloride passage. pH was adjusted to  $6.7 \pm 0.2$  by  
135 adding sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid. Chlorides were analysed by ion  
136 chromatography (Dionex IC S-2000 with a Dionex ion Pac As 18 column) as detailed in Saenz de  
137 Jubera et al. (2012).

138 Permeation data was fitted and interpreted using a modified version of the solution-diffusion  
139 model (Wijmans and Baker, 1995) accounting for imperfections in the active layer (Urama and  
140 Mariñas, 1997). The water and solute fluxes through the membrane at steady state can be  
141 described by Eq. 1 and Eq. 2 respectively.

142

143 
$$J_v = \frac{A}{(1-\alpha)} \cdot (\Delta p - \Delta \pi) \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

144

145 
$$J_s = J_v \cdot C_p = B \cdot (C_w - C_p) + \alpha \cdot J_v \cdot C_w \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

146

147  $J_v$  ( $\text{m}^3/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d})$ ) and  $J_s$  ( $\text{mol}/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d})$ ) represent the product water flux and the solute flux  
148 respectively.  $A$  ( $\text{m}^3/(\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d} \cdot \text{MPa})$ ) and  $B$  ( $\text{m}/\text{d}$ ) correspond to the product water and solute  
149 permeation coefficients and  $\alpha$  is the fraction of the total product water flux corresponding to  
150 advection through membrane imperfections.  $\Delta p = (p_f - p_p)$  (MPa) and  $\Delta \pi = (C_w - C_p)$  (MPa) are  
151 the hydraulic and osmotic pressure differences across the membrane active layer, respectively.  
152  $C$  (M) is the solute concentration, and subscripts  $f$ ,  $w$ , and  $p$  refer to bulk feed solution, feed  
153 solution next to the membrane wall, and permeate, respectively. The methodology used for  
154 data fitting was analogous to the one described in Saenz de Jubera et al. (2012).

155 RO membrane performance variability is a concern in membrane degradation studies; some  
156 works have encountered permeation discrepancies up to 20 % between elements of the same  
157 membrane name (Tu et al., 2014). Four virgin membrane coupons were initially characterised  
158 obtaining low variability in terms of water and chloride permeation coefficients:  $A$  parameter  
159 did not differ more than 6 % and  $B$ , 11 % between them. Consequently,  $A/A_0$  and  $B/B_0$  values  
160 ranging from 0.94 to 1.10 and 0.9 to 1.1, respectively were considered as inherent variations of  
161 the membrane.

162

### 163 **2.3. Membrane characterisation experiments**

164 Triplicate air-dried samples were analysed by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS). A  
165 2-MeV  $\text{He}^+$  beam generated with a Van Graaf accelerator (High Voltage Engineering Corp) was  
166 used. The incident, exit and scattering angles of the  $\text{He}^+$  beam were 22.5°, 52.5° and 150.0°,

167 and the data obtained was fitted using SIMNRA® software. This enabled characterising the  
168 composition of the membrane active layer, as described by Mi et al. (2007, 2006).

169 The RO membrane accessible carboxylic groups were determined by silver probing  
170 experiments (AgNO<sub>3</sub> 99 %, Sigma-Aldrich), following the procedure described by Coronell et al.  
171 (2009, 2008). Deprotonated accessible carboxylic groups were quantified in the pH range of  
172 4.5 - 10.5 by assuming that they were in equilibrium with the ion probe solution and that their  
173 concentration was equivalent to the Ag<sup>+</sup> one quantified by RBS means (Coronell et al., 2009,  
174 2008). The concentration of Ag<sup>+</sup> in solution ranged from 10<sup>-3</sup> to 10<sup>-6</sup> M, being always below the  
175 solubility limit, and pH was adjusted by adding nitric acid and sodium hydroxide. These  
176 experiments were conducted in a dark room to avoid photochemical reactions.

177 Data obtained from RBS was fitted to Eq. 3, which describes a bimodal pore size distribution as  
178 suggested by Kim et al. (2005) and Coronell et al. (2008), to determine the associated  
179 membrane characteristics.

180 
$$[R - COO^-] = C_{T,R-COOH} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \cdot \frac{K_{a,i}}{[H^+] + K_{a,i}} \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

181 [R-COO<sup>-</sup>] (M) corresponds to the deprotonated accessible carboxylic groups, C<sub>T,R-COOH</sub> to the  
182 total concentration of carboxylic groups, [H<sup>+</sup>] the concentration of hydrogen ion in the ion  
183 probe solution, ω<sub>i</sub> the fraction of functional groups with acidic constant K<sub>a,i</sub>, and n the number  
184 of dissociation constants required to fit the data. As previously reported for other RO  
185 membranes with fully aromatic PA active layer two dissociation constants (n = 2) resulted in  
186 the most accurate representation of the experimental data (Coronell et al., 2009, 2008).

187 Those exposure conditions which involved iron uptake by the membrane required a cleaning  
188 step before conducting the silver probing assays, aiming at removing the iron. Otherwise, iron  
189 could prevent the interaction between some silver ions and the membrane carboxylic groups,  
190 underestimating their concentration. For such purpose, these samples were immersed in a 2 %



191 citric acid monohydrate (Fischer Chemical) solution during three days, replacing the acid  
192 solution every day and performing a final rinsing step with 1000 diluted citric acid solution.

193

### 194 **3. RESULTS**

#### 195 **3.1. Bisulphite, iron(III), chlorite and bromide mixing effects**

196 Mixtures containing bisulphite, iron(III), chlorite and bromide were prepared as detailed in  
197 Table 1. As can be seen, two main sets of experiments were carried out to elucidate bisulphite  
198 effects on RO membranes composition and performance: one set of samples contained a  
199 greater bisulphite molar concentration than the stoichiometrically needed to reduce the  
200 chlorite dosed (2:1 according to Gordon et al., 1990) (samples HSO3\_ex, HSO3\_ex-Fe,  
201 HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2, HSO3\_ex-CIO2, HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2-Br), and the second set of samples with a  
202 lower concentration than the stoichiometrically required (samples HSO3\_lm, HSO3\_lm-Fe,  
203 HSO3\_lm-Fe-CIO2, HSO3\_lm-CIO2, HSO3\_lm-Fe-CIO2-Br). The concentration of iron(III) was  
204 well above its solubility at the working pH, 6.7, so that significant precipitation of hydroxo-  
205 species may have occurred (Fábián and Gordon, 1991).

206 Table 2 shows the elemental composition of the exposed membranes, in atomic weight  
207 percentage, obtained by RBS means. The Dow Filmtec LE4040 virgin membrane active layer  
208 elemental composition quantified corresponded to a fully aromatic polyamide, with an  
209 elemental repeating unit close to  $C_{36}H_{24}N_6O_6$ , as described by Coronell et al. (2009). It  
210 contained trace amounts of chlorine whose presence was associated to the manufacturing  
211 process, as reported elsewhere (Valentino et al., 2015, Raval et al., 2010).

212

213 Table 2. Virgin and exposed membranes elemental composition, in atomic weight percentage,  
214 obtained by RBS analysis.

Sample	C (%)	H (%)	O (%)	N (%)	Cl (%)	Br (%)	Fe (%)
Virgin	41.23	44.14	7.58	6.96	0.09		
HSO3_ex	35.00	50.27	7.60	7.00	0.13		
HSO3_ex-Fe	35.33	48.51	8.13	7.50	0.11		0.42
HSO3_ex-Fe-CIO2,	34.00	50.16	7.87	07.73	0.11		0.13
HSO3_ex-CIO2	37.17	48.13	7.60	7.00	0.10		
HSO3_ex-Fe-CIO2-Br	35.00	49.66	7.90	7.00	0.10		0.34
HSO3_lm	33.50	52.81	6.73	6.87	0.09		
HSO3_lm-Fe	35.00	48.51	8.07	8.00	0.10		0.32
HSO3_lm-Fe-CIO2	33.00	50.13	9.00	6.83	0.51		0.53
HSO3_lm-CIO2	36.00	48.51	8.35	6.70	0.44		
HSO3_lm-Fe-CIO2-Br	33.17	48.42	8.13	6.00	0.15	3.72	0.41
HSO3_ex-Fe-CIO2_4d	37.67	48.86	6.67	6.60	0.11		0.09
HSO3_ex-Fe-CIO2_23d	38.00	47.36	7.53	6.73	0.10		0.28

215

### 216 3.1.1. Bisulphite as excess reactant

217 The most remarkable difference between the virgin membrane active layer composition and  
218 the samples e exposed to an excess of bisulphite relative to chlorite (samples HSO3\_ex,  
219 HSO3\_ex-Fe, HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2, HSO3\_ex-CIO2 and HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2-Br from Table 1) (Table  
220 2, Figure S.1) was the iron incorporation ( $0.13 \pm 0.02 - 0.42 \pm 0.07$  % in atomic weight) from  
221 those exposed to it (HSO3\_ex-Fe, HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2, and HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2-Br). . Because  
222 bisulphite was in excess compared to chlorite, no membrane chlorination occurred among  
223 those samples exposed to chlorite (HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2, HSO3\_ex-CIO2 and HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2-  
224 Br). Also, a reducing environment was created, not enabling the bromide ion to be oxidised  
225 and hence, to incorporate in the membrane active layer (HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2-Br sample).

226 The water and chloride permeation coefficients (from Eq. 1 and Eq. 2) of the exposed samples  
227 are depicted in Figure 1. From a water permeability perspective, no major changes were  
228 found, with most of the samples presenting a similar water permeability coefficient to the  
229 virgin membrane one ( $A_0 = 1.46$  m/(MPa·d)).

230 Nevertheless, chloride passage was affected to different extents (Figure 1, Figure 2). Nine days  
231 of 400 mg/L ( $3.85 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M) sodium bisulphite exposure (HSO3\_ex sample) resulted in almost  
232 doubling the chloride permeation coefficient ( $B/B_0$  1.8, being  $B_0 = 1.2 \cdot 10^{-2}$  m/d). In the case of

233 chlorite and bisulphite dosage, because bisulphite was in excess compared to chlorite, after  
234 reducing the chlorite some may be left, leading to an increased chloride passage (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-  
235 ClO<sub>2</sub> sample) compared to a virgin membrane (B/B<sub>0</sub> 1.2), but to a lesser extent than the  
236 bisulphite blank sample (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex sample). When dosing iron(III) in presence of bisulphite at  
237 the working pH, a remarkable raise in chloride passage was experienced (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe,  
238 HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub> samples), achieving chloride permeation coefficients (B/B<sub>0</sub>) of 5.3 – 4.0 fold  
239 the virgin membrane one. In the case of bisulphite, chlorite, iron(III) and bromide (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-  
240 Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>-Br sample) exposure, the chloride permeation coefficient relative to the virgin  
241 membrane one (B/B<sub>0</sub>) was 2.2.

### 242 3.1.2. Bisulphite as limiting reactant

243 A second set of samples with bisulphite concentration lower than the stoichiometrically  
244 required to reduce chlorite was studied (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-  
245 ClO<sub>2</sub>, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>-Br samples from Table 1). In this case, there were some differences in  
246 terms of composition in comparison with the virgin membrane, particularly those exposed to  
247 iron(III) (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub> samples), to bromide (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>-Br sample)  
248 and to chlorite (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-ClO<sub>2</sub>, samples) together with bisulphite, as shown  
249 in Table 2 and Figure S.2. In the case of membranes exposed to iron containing solutions, iron  
250 incorporation occurred, presenting similar values to the previous set of samples:  $0.32 \pm 0.03$  –  
251  $0.53 \pm 0.03$  % in atomic weight. Those membranes soaked in chlorite and bisulphite (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-  
252 Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-ClO<sub>2</sub>, samples) presented an increased chlorine concentration in the active  
253 layer compared to the virgin membrane. In the case of the bromide containing solution  
254 (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>-Br sample: bromide, bisulphite, chlorite and iron(III)) large amounts of  
255 bromine were uptaken by the membrane, achieving  $3.72 \pm 0.14$  % of the atomic weight of the  
256 active layer. Because chlorite was in excess compared to bisulphite, bromide ion could be  
257 oxidised and hence, be incorporated into the membrane.

258 In terms of water permeability, bisulphite (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im) and bisulphite and iron (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe)  
259 exposed membranes performance was similar to the virgin membrane, presenting A/A<sub>0</sub> values  
260 of 1.02 and 1.09 respectively. On the contrary, the water permeability coefficient of the  
261 membrane exposed to bromide, bisulphite, chlorite and iron(III) (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub>-Br sample)  
262 dropped by half approximately (A/A<sub>0</sub> 0.51); the one soaked in bisulphite, chlorite and iron(III)  
263 (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub> sample), increased 1.23 fold; and the one exposed to chlorite and  
264 bisulphite (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-CIO<sub>2</sub> sample), 1.13 times (Figure 3). Interestingly, those samples  
265 presenting greater water permeability were those which showed increased chlorine content,  
266 which is in accordance with previous works (Maugin, 2013, (Do et al., 2012)).

267 Chloride passage assessment of this set of samples (Figure 3 and Figure 4) also indicated the  
268 degradation caused by bisulphite (3.9 fold increase in terms of chloride permeation coefficient,  
269 HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im sample) and the synergistic effect in presence of iron(III) (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-  
270 CIO<sub>2</sub> samples) under the conditions assessed. When comparing these last two samples,  
271 HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe presented a 5.1 fold increase in chloride permeation coefficient whereas  
272 HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub>, 1.8. This could be attributed to the presence of chlorite in the later  
273 scenario, which would consume bisulphite (limited) and hence, damage the membrane to a  
274 lesser extent. In the equivalent conditions of the previous set of data (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub>  
275 sample), where bisulphite was in excess, chloride permeation coefficient increase was 4.0 fold  
276 the virgin membrane one, and 5.3 when chlorite was not dosed (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe sample) which  
277 would support this hypothesis.

278 HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-CIO<sub>2</sub> sample reinforced the synergistic effects of iron(III) and bisulphite, since the  
279 chloride permeation coefficient was virtually the same as the virgin membrane (Figure 3) when  
280 iron(III) was not dosed. Bromide, chlorite, iron(III) and bisulphite exposure (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub>-  
281 Br sample) also turned out into a 2.9 fold increase in chloride permeability coefficient (Figure  
282 3).

283

### 284 **3.2. Bisulphite, iron(III) and chlorite time dependence effects**

285 Bisulphite in excess, iron and chlorite exposure time effects were determined by  
286 characterising the composition and performance of membranes soaked into such mixture for 4  
287 (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub>\_4d sample) and 23 (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub>\_23d sample) days. Similarly to the  
288 previous results, the main difference compared to the virgin membrane composition was the  
289 incorporation of iron, being higher at longer exposure times (Table 2). In terms of water and  
290 chloride permeation coefficients (Figure A.3) no significant differences were found among the  
291 samples, suggesting that the degradation (only experienced in terms of chloride passage) was  
292 a fast process, causing a severe effect at low exposure times and not significantly increasing  
293 afterwards.

294

### 295 **3.3. Bisulphite, iron(III) and chlorite structural effects**

296 Membrane performance degradation due to oxidising species has often been related to  
297 halogen uptake and/or polyamide bond cleavage ((Do et al., 2012), (Powell et al., 2015),  
298 (Valentino et al., 2015)), involving polymer deformation and polymer depolymerisation,  
299 respectively (Kwon et al., 2011). RBS analysis and the combination of ion probing experiments  
300 and RBS analysis enabled the characterisation of the membranes composition and structure,  
301 respectively, providing further insights into the degradation processes.  
302 Silver probing experiments consisted in determining the titration curve of the accessible  
303 deprotonated carboxylic groups in the membrane. For such purpose, it was assumed that silver  
304 ions ionically bound to the deprotonated carboxylic groups as described in (Coronell et al.,  
305 2008), so that by quantifying the silver concentration in the active layer at different pHs, it was  
306 possible to determine the ionisation behaviour of the carboxylic groups. Figure 5 presents the  
307 concentration of accessible deprotonated carboxylic groups of the active layer, being higher at

308 greater pHs. The ionisation behaviour of the active layer functional groups was modelled by an  
309 acid-base equilibrium, with two dissociation constants (Coronell et al., 2008),  $pka_1$  and  $pka_2$ ,  
310 which is consistent with a bimodal pore size distribution. A larger pore presents a lower  
311 dielectric constant surrounding the functional group, and thus, the energy needed for  
312 ionisation is greater, leading to a lower  $pka$  (Coronell et al., 2008). As a result, ion probing  
313 assays provide information about the membrane active layer pore size distribution, and hence,  
314 by comparing the virgin and the exposed RO membranes, insights into the structural changes  
315 suffered by the degraded membrane.

316

317 The RBS characterisation of the virgin membrane used (Dow Filmtec Co., LE4040) had not been  
318 published before, neither in terms of chemical composition nor in pores size distribution. Its  
319 composition (Table 2) was relatively similar to other brackish water RO membranes previously  
320 reported (Coronell et al., 2010). Nevertheless, its carboxylic content was lower (0.31 M versus  
321 0.64 M from (Coronell et al., 2010) and its pore size distribution differed. Apparently, it  
322 presented smaller network and aggregate pores (greater  $pka_1$  and  $pka_2$ ), but a larger fraction of  
323 aggregate pores ( $\omega_2$ ) (Figure 5 and (Coronell et al., 2010)).

324

325 The composition of the sample exposed to bisulphite, iron(III) and chlorite during 23 days  
326 (HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2\_23d) was similar to the virgin membrane one, mainly differing in the iron  
327 uptaken by the exposed sample ( $0.28 \pm 0.04$  % in atomic weight). No chlorine incorporation  
328 was experienced, which is consistent with sample HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2 and may be due to the  
329 excess of bisulphite compared to chlorite, as previously discussed.

330 Before conducting the silver probing assays of the membrane exposed to bisulphite, iron and  
331 chlorite for 23 days (HSO3\_ex-Fe-CIO2\_23d sample), its uptaken iron was diminished (from

332 0.28 ± 0.04 % to 0.07 ± 0.03 % in atomic weight) by a 2% citric acid soaking during 72h. This  
333 step aimed at removing the iron to enable the ionic binding between silver ions and all the  
334 accessible deprotonated carboxylic groups.

335 Silver probing experiments (Figure 5) showed that the total number of carboxylic groups did  
336 not differ ( $p = 0.05$ ) between the virgin membrane and the membrane exposed to bisulphite,  
337 iron(III) and chlorite (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>\_23d sample) (0.31 ± 0.01 M vs. 0.29 ± 0.01 M), which is  
338 in accordance with the constant concentration of oxygen to nitrogen ratio (O:N) reported from  
339 the samples analysed. This means that the exposure conditions did not cause amide bond  
340 cleavage, which would have led to more carboxylic and amine groups. However, an increase in  
341 the fraction of aggregate pores (associated to the acid-base equilibrium  $pK_{a1}$ ) could be  
342 appreciated, since  $\omega_1$  from Eq. 3 increased, particularly from 0.28 of the virgin membrane to  
343 0.41 of the exposed membrane (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub>\_23d sample) (Figure 5). Also, the decrease  
344 of  $pK_{a2}$  (from 8.73 to 8.20) indicated that network pores increased in size. Therefore, the  
345 exposure to bisulphite, iron(III) and chlorite modified the membrane pore size distribution,  
346 increasing the size of the network pores and the fraction of the aggregate ones. The two  
347 phenomena explain the increased chloride passage quantified in the permeation experiments.

348 RO membranes PA active layers are highly crosslinked and thus, the nonhydrated radius is the  
349 ionic dimension of interest to determine the accessibility of ions (Coronell et al., 2008). As a  
350 result, iron(III) due to its ionic radius (0.063 – 0.092 nm according to (Shannon, 1976)) is able  
351 to access to the network pores. Iron(III) reacts with bisulphite during the autooxidation of the  
352 later, generating metal sulphito complexes and free radicals among others. It is hypothesized  
353 that some of the compounds generated, larger in size, deform the network pores, increasing  
354 their diameter or even transforming them into aggregate pores. This would be in accordance  
355 with the modifications in the pore size distribution observed and the chloride permeation  
356 results.

357

#### 358 4. DISCUSSION

359 Both sets of data provided consistent results. Considering chloride passage effects, it can be  
360 concluded that in presence of bisulphite alone, some degradation occurred in the tested  
361 conditions (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im samples), involving a chloride passage of  $6.5 \pm 0.6 \%$  and  $9.8 \pm$   
362  $0.5 \%$  respectively. When combined with iron(III) (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe samples), chloride  
363 permeation coefficient increased 5.3 – 5.1 fold the virgin membrane one (B/B<sub>0</sub>), achieving  
364 values up to 15 % of chloride passage. When dosing chlorite below the stoichiometrically  
365 required dose compared to bisulphite and in presence of iron(III) (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub> sample),  
366 significant degradation occurred (B/B<sub>0</sub> 4.0); whereas in excess (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-Fe-ClO<sub>2</sub> sample) the  
367 effects were more limited (B/B<sub>0</sub> 1.8). This may be due to the oxidation of bisulphite by chlorite,  
368 hence limiting the bisulphite capable of reacting with iron and thus, the membrane  
369 degradation extent. When bisulphite and chlorite were present (HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-ClO<sub>2</sub>, HSO<sub>3</sub>\_Im-ClO<sub>2</sub>  
370 samples), but without iron(III) dosage, the effect depended on the stoichiometric amount  
371 dosed: when bisulphite was limiting, no significant degradation in terms of chloride passage  
372 was suffered. But some chlorination (Figure S.1) and a slight increase in water flux occurred.  
373 When bisulphite was in excess, there was a certain increase in chloride passage (B/B<sub>0</sub> 1.2), but  
374 to a lower extent than bisulphite alone. In this case, chlorination and increased water passage  
375 were not experienced (Figure S.2). Bisulphite both in excess and limiting, when iron(III) was not  
376 dosed, the extent of the impact on chloride passage was much lower than when present,  
377 evidencing its catalytic effect.

378 Several authors (Ziajka et al., 1994, Bal Reddy and van Eldik, 1992, Brandt et al., 1994)  
379 described an autooxidation process of bisulphite catalysed by iron(III) where free radicals are  
380 generated. 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 sulphito complexes can be formed, depending on the total S(IV)  
381 concentration used (Kraft and Van Eldik, 1989a), being SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> the main oxidation



382 products (Kraft and Van Eldik, 1989b). The transition metal-sulphite complexes decompose  
383 spontaneously and the sulphite radical,  $\text{SO}_3^-$ , and the reduced form of the metal are produced  
384 (Brandt et al., 1994). In absence of oxygen,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{S}_2\text{O}_6^{2-}$  are generated; when oxygen is  
385 present, peroxomonosulphate radical,  $\text{SO}_5^-$ , a strong oxidant, is also formed, which can react  
386 with  $\text{HSO}_3^-$  or Fe(II) to produce  $\text{HSO}_5^-$  (Brandt et al., 1994). Greater Fe(III) and S(IV)  
387 concentrations result in a higher formation rate of  $\text{SO}_3^-$  (Brandt et al., 1994). The ratio of Fe(III),  
388 Fe(II) and S(IV) versus oxygen is important in the overall decay of the iron(III)-sulphite  
389 complexes (Brandt et al., 1994), varying the oxidation pathway with the ratio bisulphite/iron  
390 (Ziajka et al., 1994).

391 The free radicals generated in the bisulphite autooxidation catalysed by iron(III) species could  
392 be the responsible for the increase in chloride passage experienced. Because of the free radical  
393 nature, the process could be sensitive to time (i.e. from bisulphite mixing point to membranes  
394 location), so that some full scale plants may have not been affected by this process. In addition  
395 to this, due to their radical nature, membrane autopsies would not probably enable the  
396 identification of the origin of the degradation. Martin and Li (1996) concluded that the kinetics  
397 of the catalysis of bisulphite oxidation by aqueous iron(III) strongly depended on pH and ionic  
398 strength, and that the reaction mechanism was very complex in itself. Furthermore, in natural  
399 water, radicals, intermediates and heavy metal ions could react with natural organic matter  
400 (NOM),  $\text{SO}_4^-$  radicals could be scavenged by some anions like hydrogen carbonate and  
401 carbonate (Li et al., 2015), or some species could react with oxygen, not leading to the  
402 detrimental effects on RO membranes observed in this work. Nevertheless, Sommariva et al.  
403 (2012) reported the same phenomena in a seawater RO plant where sodium bisulphite was  
404 overdosed in presence of heavy metal ions. The plant suffered an increased salt passage and  
405 no halogens were detected in the membrane surface. The dosage of a chelating agent,  
406 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), which complexed with iron(III), prevented the  
407 membrane degradation from occurring, evidencing the catalysing effect of the heavy metal

408 ions. Also, unintentionally, Gabelich et al. (2002) experienced a significant increase in salt  
409 passage in RO membranes when bisulphite was dosed to water pre-treated with  $\text{FeCl}_3$  (4.0 -  
410 5.0 mg/L). Gabelich et al. (2002) attributed this decay in performance to residual iron(III)  
411 catalysing a chlorine-amide reaction on the membrane surface (despite having quenched  
412 residual chlorine and obtaining negative results on free chlorine residuals in feed water);  
413 nevertheless, based on the results obtained in this work, it could be attributed to the  
414 bisulphite and iron(III) synergistic effects.

415

416 The reactivity of bisulphite with bromine-based species has been reported in literature (Khan  
417 et al., 2003) and the autocatalytic nature of bisulphite with bromine based species too (Wang  
418 et al., 2012). Li et al. (2015) studied the formation of bromate when Co(II) reacted with  
419 peroxymonosulphate by means of the sulphate radical,  $\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}$ , with  $\text{Br}^-$  and  $\text{Br}_2$  as intermediates.  
420 It was noted that  $\text{SO}_4^{\cdot-}$  could oxidise  $\text{Br}^-$  to  $\text{Br}^\cdot$ , generating bromine, hypobromous acid,  $\text{OBr}^-$  and  
421 ultimately bromate. Similar reactions involving the formation of bromine active species could  
422 be envisaged, which could explain the large amount of bromine uptaken by the membrane  
423 when bisulphite, iron(III), bromide and chlorite in excess were studied (HSO3\_Im-Fe-ClO2-Br  
424 sample). No increase in chlorine content was noticed in the RO active layer, which would be in  
425 accordance with the greater affinity for bromine than chlorine reported (Kwon et al., 2011).  
426 Besides bromination, a large decrease in water passage was experienced, which could be due  
427 to the collapse of the polymer chains caused by the high bromine uptake, breaking the PA  
428 membrane bonds (Maugin, 2013) or the hydrogen bonds (Kwon et al., 2011). Chloride  
429 permeation coefficient increased ( $B/B_0$  2.9), involving  $12.0 \pm 0.8$  % chloride passage, under the  
430 tested conditions. (Suzuki et al., 2016) recently characterised the performance and the  
431 physicochemical properties of a RO membrane used in a seawater desalination plant during  
432 three years. Sodium bisulphite was dosed to quench the free chlorine added upstream aiming

433 at controlling membrane biofouling. The assessed membrane presented an increased chloride  
434 ( $B/B_0$  3.2) and water ( $A/A_0$  0.67) permeation coefficients and bromine was incorporated in its  
435 active layer. The authors attributed the increased solute passage mainly to the bromine uptake  
436 and the reduced water permeability both to bromination and reduction of the polyvinyl  
437 alcohol (PVA) coating coverage. Despite the fact that the conditions that led to membrane  
438 bromination may be different in both works, the effects experienced are consistent.

439 When bisulphite was in excess (sample HSO<sub>3</sub>\_ex-Fe-CIO<sub>2</sub>-Br: bisulphite, iron(III), chlorite and  
440 bromide), because of the reducing environment generated, no bromine and chlorine were  
441 uptaken by the PA. Under these conditions, water permeability coefficient did not differ from  
442 the virgin membrane one, but chloride one raised ( $B/B_0$  2.2), involving  $7.7 \pm 0.2$  % chloride  
443 passage.

444

445 Further studies to determine the reactions and compounds involved in the degrading  
446 mechanisms identified in this study should be explored to better understand and control them.

447

## 448 **5. CONCLUSIONS**

- 449 • Bisulphite exposure caused an increased chloride passage ( $B/B_0$  1.8 – 3.9), but no major  
450 changes in terms of membrane composition were identified. The effect of chlorite and  
451 bisulphite blended depended on their relative proportion, being more pronounced those  
452 effects associated to the compound with greater stoichiometric molar concentration.
- 453 • The mixture of bisulphite and iron(III) resulted in a severe increase in chloride permeation  
454 coefficient ( $B/B_0$  5.3 – 5.1). It is hypothesized that an autooxidation of bisulphite catalysed  
455 by iron(III) occurred, generating highly reactive species which interacted with the  
456 membrane, negatively affecting its performance (increased chloride passage).

- 457 • When dosing bisulphite, iron(III) and chlorite, there was always an increase in chloride  
458 passage ( $B/B_0$  4.0 – 1.8), but the extent varied according to the bisulphite and chlorite  
459 molar ratios. The process was fast, affecting the membrane during the initial exposure  
460 and not increasing significantly afterwards. It was shown by silver probing experiments  
461 coupled with RBS analysis that scission of the amide bond from the PA active layer did not  
462 occur, but the size of the network pores increased and the proportion of aggregate pores  
463 raised. These two effects explain the increase in chloride passage experienced. .
- 464 • When bisulphite, iron(III), chlorite and bromide were mixed the effects differed, again  
465 depending on the relative quantity of bisulphite and chlorite. In both cases the chloride  
466 passage increased ( $B/B_0$  2.2 – 2.9). In excess of chlorite, significant bromination of the  
467 membrane took place. It is thought that free radicals generated when bisulphite was  
468 limiting oxidised bromide, which was uptaken by the PA. Besides bromination, a large  
469 decrease in water passage was experienced. When bisulphite was in excess, because of  
470 the reducing environment generated, no bromine and chlorine were uptaken by the PA,  
471 and the water permeability coefficient did not differ from the virgin membrane one.

472 Taking into account the results obtained, water treatment facilities should carefully control  
473 iron(III) and bisulphite dosages upstream RO membranes in order to minimise their effects.  
474 The increase in chloride passage provoked when blended, under the tested conditions, may  
475 lead to a chloride passage well above the process specifications, requiring premature  
476 replacement of the RO membranes. Finally, the bisulphite dosage required upstream RO  
477 membranes should be determined in each case in order to avoid over/under dosing which  
478 could cause an undesired membrane performance as well.

479

#### 480 **Acknowledgements**

481 Experiments were performed at Newmark Civil Engineering Laboratory, University of Illinois at  
482 Urbana-Champaign (UIUC). Prof. B.J. Mariñas, Dr. A. M. Saenz de Jubera and L. Valentino are  
483 acknowledged for their valuable contributions to this work. RBS analyses were conducted in  
484 the Center of Microanalysis of Materials, UIUC; Dr. D. Jeffers is acknowledged for assistance  
485 operating RBS.

486

## 487 **References**

- 488 Bal Reddy, K., van Eldik, R., 1992. Kinetics and mechanism of the sulfite-induced autoxidation  
489 of Fe(II) in acidic aqueous solution. *Atmos. Environ. Part A. Gen. Top.* 26, 661–665.  
490 doi:10.1016/0960-1686(92)90177-M
- 491 Brandt, C., Fábíán, I., van Eldik, R., 1994. Kinetics and Mechanism of the Iron(III)-catalyzed  
492 Autoxidation of Sulfur(IV) Oxides in Aqueous Solution. Evidence for the Redox Cycling of  
493 Iron in the Presence of Oxygen and Modeling of the Overall Reaction Mechanism. *Inorg.*  
494 *Chem.* 33, 687–701. doi:10.1021/ic00082a012
- 495 Coronell, O., González, M. I., Mariñas, B.J., Cahill, D.G., 2010. Ionization Behavior,  
496 Stoichiometry of Association, and Accessibility of Functional Groups in the Active Layers  
497 of Reverse Osmosis and Nanofiltration Membranes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 6808–6814.  
498 doi:10.1021/es100891r
- 499 Coronell, O., Mariñas, B.J., Cahill, D.G., 2009. Accessibility and ion exchange stoichiometry of  
500 ionized carboxylic groups in the active layer of FT30 reverse osmosis membrane. *Environ.*  
501 *Sci. Technol.* 43, 5042–5048. doi:10.1021/es803595f
- 502 Coronell, O., Mariñas, B.J., Zhang, X., Cahill, D.G., 2008. Quantification of functional groups and  
503 modeling of their ionization behavior in the active layer of FT30 reverse osmosis  
504 membrane. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 5260–5266. doi:10.1021/es8002712
- 505 Do, V.T., Tang, C.Y., Reinhard, M., Leckie, J.O., 2012. Effects of hypochlorous acid exposure on  
506 the rejection of salt, polyethylene glycols, boron and arsenic (V) by nanofiltration and  
507 reverse osmosis membranes. *Water Res.* 46, 5217–5223.  
508 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.044
- 509 Dow Water & Process Solutions, n.d. FILMTEC™ Reverse Osmosis Membranes Technical  
510 Manual.
- 511 Fábíán, I., Gordon, G., 1991. Kinetics and Mechanism of the Complex Formation of the Chlorite  
512 Ion and Iron (III) in Aqueous Solution. *Inorg. Chem.* 30, 3994–3999.
- 513 Fritzmann, C., Löwenberg, J., Wintgens, T., Melin, T., 2007. State-of-the-art of reverse osmosis  
514 desalination. *Desalination* 216, 1–76.

- 515 Gabelich, C.J., Yun, T.I., Coffey, B.M., Suffet, I.H.M., 2002. Effects of aluminum sulfate and  
516 ferric chloride coagulant residuals on polyamide membrane performance. *Desalination*  
517 150, 15–30. doi:10.1016/S0011-9164(02)00926-8
- 518 Gordon, G., Slootmaekers, B., Tachiyashiki, S., Wood III, D.W., 1990. Minimizing Chlorite Ion  
519 and Chlorate Ion in Water Treated With Chlorine Dioxide. *J. Am. Water Work. Assoc.* 82,  
520 160–165.
- 521 Khan, K.M., Maharvi, G.M., Hayat, S., Zia-Ullah, Choudhary, M.I., Atta-ur-Rahman, 2003. An  
522 expedient esterification of aromatic carboxylic acids using sodium bromate and sodium  
523 hydrogen sulfite. *Tetrahedron* 59, 5549–5554. doi:10.1016/S0040-4020(03)00812-3
- 524 Kim, S.H., Kwak, S.Y., Suzuki, T., 2005. Positron annihilation spectroscopic evidence to  
525 demonstrate the flux-enhancement mechanism in morphology-controlled thin-film-  
526 composite (TFC) membrane. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 1764–1770.  
527 doi:10.1021/es049453k
- 528 Kraft, J., Van Eldik, R., 1989a. Kinetics and Mechanism of the Iron(III)-Catalyzed Autoxidation of  
529 Sulfur(IV) Oxides in Aqueous Solution. 1. Formation of Transient Iron(III)-Sulfur(IV)  
530 Complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* 28, 2297–2305.
- 531 Kraft, J., Van Eldik, R., 1989b. Kinetics and mechanism of the iron(III)-catalyzed autoxidation of  
532 sulfur(IV) oxides in aqueous solution. 2. Decomposition of transient iron(III)-sulfur(IV)  
533 complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* 28, 2306–2312. doi:10.1021/ic00311a011
- 534 Kwon, Y., Joksimovic, R., Kim, I., Leckie, J., 2011. Effect of bromide on the chlorination of a  
535 polyamide membrane. *Desalination* 280, 80–86. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2011.06.046
- 536 Li, Z., Chen, Z., Xiang, Y., Ling, L., Fang, J., Shang, C., Dionysiou, D.D., 2015. Bromate formation  
537 in bromide-containing water through the cobalt-mediated activation of  
538 peroxymonosulfate. *Water Res.* 83, 132–140. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2015.06.019
- 539 Lin, S., Elimelech, M., 2015. Staged reverse osmosis operation: Configurations, energy  
540 efficiency and application potential. *Desalination* 366, 9–14.
- 541 Martin, R.R., Li, J., 1996. Secondary ion mass spectroscopy (SIMS) and kinetics of the catalysis  
542 of bisulphite oxidation by aqueous iron. *Can. J. Chem.* 74, 2217–2220. doi:10.1139/v96-  
543 249
- 544 Maugin, T., 2013. Fate of reverse osmosis (RO) membranes during oxidation by disinfectants  
545 used in water treatment: impact on membrane structure and performances.
- 546 Mi, B., Cahill, D.G., Marinas, B.J., 2007. Physico-chemical integrity of nanofiltration/reverse  
547 osmosis membranes during characterization by Rutherford backscattering spectrometry.  
548 *J. Memb. Sci.* 291, 77–85. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2006.12.052
- 549 Mi, B., Coronell, O., Marinas, B., Watanabe, F., Cahill, D., Petrov, I., 2006. Physico-chemical  
550 characterization of NF/RO membrane active layers by Rutherford backscattering  
551 spectrometry. *J. Memb. Sci.* 282, 71–81. doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2006.05.015

- 552 Nagai, M., Iwahashi, H., Hayashi, Y., Ogino, Y., 1994. The behavior of an oxidizing/reducing  
553 agent in seawater. *Desalination* 96, 291–301. doi:10.1016/0011-9164(94)85180-8
- 554 Odeh, I.N., Francisco, J.S., Margerum, D.W., 2002. New Pathways for Chlorine Dioxide  
555 Decomposition in Basic Solution. *Inorg. Chem.* 41, 6500–6506. doi:10.1021/ic0204676
- 556 Petersen, R.J., 1993. Composite reverse osmosis and nanofiltration membranes. *J. Memb. Sci.*  
557 83, 81–150. doi:10.1016/0376-7388(93)80014-O
- 558 Powell, J., Luh, J., Coronell, O., 2015. Amide Link Scission in the Polyamide Active Layers of  
559 Thin-Film Composite Membranes upon Exposure to Free Chlorine: Kinetics and  
560 Mechanisms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1, 151012104531007. doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02110
- 561 Raval, H.D., Trivedi, J.J., Joshi, S.V., Devmurari, C.V., 2010. Flux enhancement of thin film  
562 composite RO membrane by controlled chlorine treatment. *Desalination* 250, 945–949.  
563 doi:10.1016/j.desal.2009.05.005
- 564 Saenz de Jubera, A.M., Gao, Y., Moore, J.S., Cahill, D.G., Mariñas, B.J., 2012. Enhancing the  
565 Performance of Nano fi ltration Membranes by Modifying the Active Layer with Aramide  
566 Dendrimers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 9592–9599.
- 567 Shannon, R.D., 1976. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic  
568 distances in halides and chalcogenides. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* 32, 751–767.  
569 doi:10.1107/S0567739476001551
- 570 Sommariva, C., Attenborough, A., Poggi, F., Al-Mahdi, A.A.M., Hori, T., Tokunaga, T.K., Ito, Y.,  
571 Maeda, Y., 2012. Matching Hollow-Fiber With Spiral-Wound Membranes : Process  
572 Compatibility and Optimization. *IDA J.* 40–44.
- 573 Suzuki, T., Tanaka, R., Tahara, M., Isamu, Y., Niinae, M., Lin, L., Wang, J., Luh, J., Coronell, O.,  
574 2016. Relationship between performance deterioration of a polyamide reverse osmosis  
575 membrane used in a seawater desalination plant and changes in its physicochemical  
576 properties. *Water Res.* 100, 326–336.
- 577 Tessaro, I.C., da Silva, J.B. a, Wada, K., 2005. Investigation of some aspects related to the  
578 degradation of polyamide membranes: Aqueous chlorine oxidation catalyzed by  
579 aluminum and sodium laurel sulfate oxidation during cleaning. *Desalination* 181, 275–  
580 282. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2005.04.008
- 581 Tu, K.L., Chivas, A.R., Nghiem, L.D., 2014. Effects of chemical preservation on flux and solute  
582 rejection by reverse osmosis membranes. *J. Memb. Sci.* 472, 202–209.  
583 doi:10.1016/j.memsci.2014.08.052
- 584 Urama, R.I., Mariñas, B.J., 1997. Mechanistic interpretation of solute permeation through a  
585 fully aromatic polyamide reverse osmosis membrane. *J. Memb. Sci.* 123, 267–280.  
586 doi:10.1016/S0376-7388(96)00230-X
- 587 Valentino, L., Renkens, T., Maugin, T., Croué, J.-P., Mariñas, B.J., 2015. Changes in  
588 Physicochemical and Transport Properties of a Reverse Osmosis Membrane Exposed to  
589 Chloraminated Seawater. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49, 2301–2309. doi:10.1021/es504495j

- 590 Wang, Z., Gao, Q., Pan, C., Zhao, Y., Horváth, A.K., 2012. Bisulfite-driven Autocatalysis in the  
591 Bromate-Thiosulfate Reaction in a Slightly Acidic Medium. *Inorg. Chem.* 51, 12062–  
592 12064. doi:10.1021/ic302019k
- 593 Wijmans, J.G., Baker, R.W., 1995. The solution-diffusion model: A review. *J. Memb. Sci.* 107, 1–  
594 21. doi:10.1016/0376-7388(95)00102-I
- 595 Ziajka, J., Beer, F., Warneck, P., 1994. Iron-catalysed oxidation of bisulphite aqueous solution:  
596 evidence for a free radical chain mechanism. *Atmos. Environ.* 28, 2549–2552.
- 597 Zupanovich, J., Bishop, D., Doak, A., Duff, M., 2012. Microbiological control in thin film  
598 composite membrane systems using a high purity chlorine dioxide solution, in:  
599 AWWA/AMTA Membrane Technology Conference and Exposition 2012.
- 600