

Tryoto oniversity riescaren inioi	The state of the s
Title	Patterns of Sexual Behavior in Lowland Thai Youth and Ethnic Minorities Attending High School in Rural Chiang Mai, Thailand
Author(s)	Aurpibul, Linda; Tangmunkongvorakul, Arunrat; Musumari, Patou Masika; Srithanaviboonchai, Kriengkrai; Tarnkehard, Surapee
Citation	PLOS ONE (2016), 11(12)
Issue Date	2016-12-01
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2433/218509
Right	© 2016 Aurpibul et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
Туре	Journal Article
Textversion	publisher







Citation: Aurpibul L, Tangmunkongvorakul A, Musumari PM, Srithanaviboonchai K, Tarnkehard S (2016) Patterns of Sexual Behavior in Lowland Thai Youth and Ethnic Minorities Attending High School in Rural Chiang Mai, Thailand. PLoS ONE 11(12): e0165866. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165866

Editor: Andrew R. Dalby, University of Westminster, UNITED KINGDOM

Received: May 24, 2016

Accepted: October 19, 2016

Published: December 1, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Aurpibul et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The dataset is available in Supporting Information files.

Funding: The study was supported by the Chiang Mai University Center of Excellence in HIV/AIDS Research and the National Research University Project under Thailand's Office of the Higher Education Commission (Dr. Arunrat Tangmunkongvorakul). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Patterns of Sexual Behavior in Lowland Thai Youth and Ethnic Minorities Attending High School in Rural Chiang Mai, Thailand

Linda Aurpibul¹, Arunrat Tangmunkongvorakul¹*, Patou Masika Musumari², Kriengkrai Srithanaviboonchai^{1,3}, Surapee Tarnkehard¹

- 1 Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand, 2 Department of Global Health and Socio-epidemiology, Kyoto University School of Public Health, Kyoto, Japan, 3 Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand
- * arunrat@rihes.org

Abstract

Introduction

The rural areas of Northern Thailand are home to a large cultural diversity of ethnic minority groups. Previous studies have shown that young people in rural Thailand have low levels of knowledge on HIV/AIDS and high sexual risks. We compared sexual behaviors between the lowland Thai youth and the youth from ethnic minority groups.

Methods and findings

This is a cross-sectional quantitative study conducted among high-school Thai and ethnic students in Chiang Mai. From a total 1215 participants, 487 (40.1%) were lowland Thai and 728 (59.9%) were from ethnic minorities. Overall, 17.9% of respondents reported "ever had sex." Lowland Thai adolescents were more likely to have ever had sex compared with ethnic minority adolescents (AOR, 1.61; CI, 1.06–2.45; P< 0.01). A higher proportion of lowland Thai respondents reported having \geq 2 lifetime sexual partners (51.9% vs. 33.3%, P= 0.003), or currently having a boy/girlfriend (59.9% vs. 45.3%, P< 0.001) compared to ethnic minority adolescents. Consistent condom use was low in both groups (22.6%). The common significant factors associated with "ever had sex" in both groups were "ever drunk alcohol in the past year" and "currently having a boy/girlfriend." Specifically, for lowland Thai youth, being around the age of 17 or 18 years and "ever used methamphetamine in the past year" were associated with increased odds of "ever had sex". For ethnic minority adolescents, being female and belonging to religions other than Buddhism were associated with decreased odds of "ever had sex".

Conclusion

A substantially higher proportion of lowland Thai engage in risky sexual behaviors when compared to ethnic minorities. However, both groups remained vulnerable to HIV and other sexually transmitted infections. To minimize sexual risks, education program and school-



Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

based interventions are warranted to increase awareness of young people about risky behaviors and to promote essential life skills.

Introduction

Risky sexual behaviors continue to raise the HIV epidemic among young people [1, 2]. In 2010, young people aged 15–24 years accounted for 42% of all new global HIV infections in people aged 15 years and older [3]. As described in detail elsewhere [4–9], sexual norms and traditional values of young people in Thailand are now undergoing rapid transformationsfueled by globalization and also by the increasing urbanization that the country has been witnessing over the past decades. These changes in sexual norms which include a decline in the age of sexual onset both in male and female Thai youth, a larger number of lifetime sexual partners, and a greater degree of acceptance of adolescent premarital sex [5], are also reflected in the increasing rates of unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections (STIs) among Thai adolescents over the past 15 years [8, 9].

Although adolescents are identified as one of the most-at risk population groups in Thailand, it is important to note that they make up a heterogeneous population with different degrees of risk and vulnerability profiles [10]. Our recent study conducted in urban Chiang Mai revealed that a high proportion of young people enrolled in non-formal education centers engaged in risky sexual behavior, notably low condom use and high number of lifetime sexual partners [11]. This is consistent with results from our earlier work showing that a higher proportion of out-of-school Thai youth (One third was sampled from non-formal education centers) engaged in risky sexual behavior compared to those enrolled in general school and university [4, 12, 13].

However, the patterns of sexual behavior of other specific sub-groups of adolescents are still not well captured in the existing literature. These adolescents particularly include lowland Thai and ethnic minorities occupying rural areas of the Northern Thailand, including Chiang Mai province. The rural Northern Thailand, mainly occupied by the lowland Thai who are the majority ethnic group in the lowland areas, is also home to a large number of diversified ethnic minority groups. The main groups include the Hmong, Karen, Akha, Lisu, Shan, and Yunnanese from China.

The Shan people are a migrant group who reside mainly in the lowland rural areas of Chiang Mai province. They have migrated across the Thai-Burmese border from Shan state in the mid-Eastern part of Myanmar, and are mostly employed in the agricultural and industrial sectors [14]. The other ethnic minority groups mostly occupy the higher mountainous areas of the Northern region; thus, they are generally referred to as hill tribes. They migrated from the Asian interior over a century ago. Most ethnic minority groups have in common the fact that they have lived for long periods of time in cultural and geographic isolation; mostly have practiced subsistence farming and agriculture; and have been largely socio-economically worse-off when compared to lowland Thai [15].

Many of the ethnic minority groups have been converted to Christianity by the missionaries, who have also provided diverse socio-economic support to improve their standard of living [16]. Currently, many young people from ethnic minority groups seek work opportunities in the lowland where they account for a sizeable proportion of the unskilled labor force. They also have access to further educational opportunities through the governmental scheme promoting free access to primary education at the district level, as well as through other non-



governmental organizations including Christian groups which support young from ethnic minorities to pursue higher education [17]. The members of the Yunnan ethnic group whose ancestors migrated overland from Yunnan province in southwestern China are not officially recognized as a hill tribe by the Thai government. However, they dwell in the same geographic location as the other upland hill tribes, with whom they share common challenges and socioeconomic conditions [18].

Thus, lowland Thai youth plus youth from the aforementioned ethnic minority groups make up the bulk of adolescents attending high-school in rural Chiang Mai. Adolescents in rural Chiang Mai Province and in rural areas of northern Thailand at large may disproportionately be vulnerable to HIV infection and other STIs. The vulnerability is partly due to their limited access to sexual and reproductive health education and to health services in rural settings, which are mainly administered by various government sectors [19]. Risky patterns of sexual behavior among adolescents in this region remain poorly documented, and our previous work mainly focused on adolescents in urban Chiang Mai. A few studies have explored social and cultural factors leading to sexual risk behaviors, or attitudes and behavior regarding sexual intercourse [20, 21]. However, none of them has identified the correlates of risky sexual behaviors or explored whether there exist any similarities and differences in the nature of these correlates between lowland Thai and ethnic minority groups in rural Northern, Thailand. In an attempt to address the above-cited gaps in research, the current study aims to identify correlates of sexual intercourse among lowland Thai and ethnic minority groups attending high school in rural Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Methods

Ethics statement

The study was approved by the Human Experimentation Committee of the Office of Research Ethics of the Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University (Certificate approval number 52/2014). Before participating, potential participants were first informed about the study's objectives; about their roles and their rights to give or not to give any information during the interview; about the confidentiality of the personal data; and about the way that the findings of the study would be presented.

Participants provided verbal informed consent prior to participating in the study. The verbal informed consent was preferred to the written consent, based on the vulnerable nature of our study population; and also in order to prevent potential harm that could result from a breach of confidentiality. The process of informed consent was deemed appropriate by the Office of Research Ethics. For participants under 18 years old, written informed consent was obtained from their guardians- after providing them with all the information regarding the study.

Study design, population, & setting

This is a cross-sectional quantitative study which was conducted in rural Chiang Mai Province between July and December 2014. Prospective respondents were recruited from among high school students studying in grades 11–12. They were recruited from all the 8 main government secondary schools of 5 districts—including Samoeng, Phrao, Chiang Dao, Mae Ai, and Mae Chaem. All 5 districts are in mountainous areas, located more than 60 kilometers from Chiang Mai City.

The study sample was categorized into 2 groups, including 1) lowland Thai (defined as youth with Thai citizen who were born to Thai parents), and 2) ethnic minorities (Hmong, Karen, Akha, Lisu, Shan, and Yunnanese from China), according to their self-identification of



their ethnicity when completing the questionnaire. All students in Grades 11 and 12 were invited to participate. They received the study information from the study staff and had a chance to review the information sheet before decided whether to participate in the study. The questionnaires were prepared in Thai language, and students were asked to complete them by themselves after being orientated by study staff. All respondents, including the ethnic minorities, have been studying in Thai government schools using Thai language in classroom for more than 10 years so both group were comparably proficient in Thai language in which the questions were asked. Data collection occurred on school grounds; however, neither teachers nor any staff members affiliated with the school were present while students were completing the questionnaire. All data collected was confidential and identified by code numbers only.

Data collection, instruments, & variables

A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect the data (S1 and S2 Questionnaires). The questionnaire covered socio-economic background; recreational activities; alcohol, tobacco and drug use; relationships; sexual experience; sexually transmitted diseases; birth control; pregnancy and abortion; and degree of need for sexual health services. A field research team received training on questionnaire administration and was appropriately informed on the general and specific objectives of the study.

The selected main outcome of this study was "ever had sexual intercourse". The first set of covariates was made up of socio-economic variables: age; sex; religion; living situation; and degree of access to mobile phone or Internet. The second set of covariates related to substance use: alcohol drinking; smoking; and drug use. The third set of covariates was made up of sexual behavior-related factors: currently having a boyfriend/girlfriend; sexual history and experience; age of sexual debut; use of condoms and contraception; sexually transmitted diseases; and pregnancy.

Data analysis

The analysis was conducted using SPSS (PASW) for Windows 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) (S1 Dataset). Univariate analysis was used to obtain descriptive statistics of the sample. Chi-square tests for categorical variables and student t-tests for continuous variables were used to compare lowland Thai youth and ethnic minority group youth with respect to socio-demographic factors; substance use factors; and sexual behavior-related factors. We also aimed to document the correlates of "ever had sexual intercourse," comparing lowland Thai and ethnic minority groups. In this regard, we used multiple logistic regressions to obtain adjusted odds ratios (AOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of factors associated with "ever had sexual intercourse." Only variables that were significant (P<0.05) or those that were judged epidemiologically important were included in the multivariate models. The diagnostic procedures yielded no evidence of multicollinearity.

Results

Socio-demographic information

A total of 1,215 participants were contacted; all completed the study. The mean age [standard deviation (SD)] of respondents was 17.27 (0.76) years. The majority of participants were female (68.1%); aged less than 18 years (66.6%); Buddhist by religion (73.5%); lived with parents or relatives (64%); either had a mobile phone themselves or could access one their family owned (98.3%); and had access to internet (owned one themselves, or had access to one their family owned) (55.5%).



In terms of the ethnicities of the participants, 487 (40.1%) were lowland Thai (172 males and 315 females), while 728 (59.9%) were from ethnic minorities (215 males and 513 females). The mean (SD) age of lowland Thai youth were lower when compared to the youth from ethnic minorities [17.12 (0.60) vs. 17.37 (0.84), p< 0.001). While most of the lowland Thai youth were Buddhist (95.9%), a significant proportion of the ethnic minority youth were Christian (41.2%). The living status was also different between the two groups. The majority of lowland Thai respondents (82.3%) lived with parents or relatives; only a few lived with teachers (9.2%). However, 37.6% of ethnic minorities lived with teachers at the school. When compared to ethnic minorities, a significantly higher proportion of lowland Thai youth owned mobile phones themselves (91.0% vs. 70.7%, p< 0.001), and had access to the Internet at home; and either owned an Internet-connected device or had access to one in the family (59.8% vs. 51.7%, p< 0.001) (see Table 1).

Alcohol, smoking, and substance use

Nearly half of all respondents reported "ever having drunk alcohol" in the past year (67.4% among males and 32.6% among females). Ninety-one percent drank less than once a week. Eleven percent of all the study participants (27.6% of males and 3.0% of females) reported "ever having smoked" in the past year. Among those who smoked, 65.9% percent smoked occasionally, while 23% smoked 1–5 cigarettes per day. None reported "ever having used heroine or other illegal drugs" (injected or other forms) in the past year. Few reported "ever having used glue or ice drugs" (\leq 1% of males, none of females). Nine percent of females and 5% of males had "ever used marijuana" and "ever used methamphetamine" in the past year, while only a few females reported ever having used them (\leq 0.5%).

When comparing the lowland Thai youth and the youth from the ethnic minority groups, a higher proportion of lowland Thai "had ever drunk alcohol in the past year" (53.8% vs. 37.0%, P < 0.001). Of those who "had ever drunk," a higher proportion of lowland Thai youth respondents reported drinking ≥ 1 time/week. There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of smoking; however, a sizeable proportion (7.3%) of ethnic minorities had no data regarding tobacco smoking (see Table 2).

Sexual behavior

As shown in Table 3, 51.1% of the respondents had a boy/girlfriend at the time of study, and 24.3% reported ever had sex with their current boy/girlfriend. Overall, 17.9% of the respondents (14.6% of female and 24.8% of male students) reported "ever had sex." Among those who had ever had sex, 49% had only one partner, while 44.7% had two or more partners. Thirteen percent had their sexual debut before the age of 15. The first sex partner was a boy/girlfriend or other friend for 91% and a relative/neighbor/stranger for 5% (with 4% missing responses). Only one male respondent reported that he had his first act of sex with a sex worker.

Compared to ethnic minority group respondents, lowland Thai respondents were more likely to have a boy/girlfriend at the time of the study (59.9% vs. 45.3%), to have had sex with their current boy/girlfriend (34.7% vs. 15.2%), to have ever had sex (27.3% vs. 11.5%), and to have had \geq 2 lifetime sexual partners (51.9% vs. 33.3%).

Condoms, contraception, sexually transmitted diseases, & pregnancy

Fifty-three percent of the study participants used condoms in order to avoid disease/pregnancy at their first sex, while the rest used withdrawal or other methods. When asked about the frequency of condom use since their initiation into sexual activity, only 22.6% reported using



Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of high-school students in rural Chiang Mai, Thailand.

		Lowland Thai			Ethnic minoritie	P	Total	
	Males (N = 172)	Females (N = 315)	Total (N = 487)	Males (N = 215)	Females (N = 513)	Total (N = 728)	value ²	(N = 1215)
Age								
16	13 (7.6)	38 (12.1)	51 (10.5)	25 (11.6)	81 (15.8)	106 (14.6)	<0.001	157 (12.9)
17	114 (66.3)	222 (70.5)	336 (69.0)	92 (42.8)	224 (43.7)	316 (43.4)		652 (53.7)
18	38 (22.1)	52 (16.5)	90 (18.5)	81 (37.7)	159 (31.0)	240 (33.0)		330 (27.2)
19	7 (4.1)	3 (1.0)	10 (2.1)	17 (7.9)	49 (9.6)	66 (9.1)		76 (6.3)
Mean age (SD)	17.23 (0.64)	17.06 (0.57)	17.12 (0.60)	17.42 (0.80)	17.34 (0.86)	17.37 (0.84)		17.27 (0.76)
Religion								
Buddhism	164 (95.3)	303 (96.2)	467 (95.9)	134 (62.3)	292 (56.9)	426 (58.5)	<0.001	893 (73.5)
Christianity	8 (4.7)	11 (3.5)	19 (3.9)	80 (37.2)	220 (42.9)	300 (41.2)		319 (26.3)
Islam	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		1 (0.1)
Others	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)		1 (0.1)
Missing	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.1)		1 (0.1)
Living situation								
Live with parent(s) or relatives	155 (90.1)	246 (78.1)	401 (82.3)	111 (51.6)	266 (51.9)	377 (51.8)	<0.001	778 (64.0)
Live with teacher(s)	8 (4.7)	37 (11.7)	45 (9.2)	74 (34.4)	200 (39.0)	274 (37.6)		319 (26.3)
Live with friends	0 (0.0)	5 (1.6)	5 (1.0)	9 (4.2)	23 (4.5)	32 (4.4)		37 (3.0)
Live alone	9 (5.2)	26 (8.3)	35 (7.2)	9 (4.2)	11 (2.1)	20 (2.7)		55 (4.5)
Live with others	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	12 (5.6)	13 (2.5)	25 (3.4)		25 (2.1)
Missing	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		1 (0.1)
Have access to mobile phone								
I own one myself	162 (94.2)	281 (89.2)	443 (91.0)	153 (71.2)	362 (70.6)	515 (70.7)	<0.001	958 (78.8)
I have access to one family own	10 (5.8)	32 (10.2)	42 (8.6)	59 (27.4)	136 (26.5)	195 (26.8)		237 (19.5)
No, I do not have access to one at home	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)	4 (0.8)	5 (0.7)		5 (0.4)
Missing	0 (0.0)	2 (0.6)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.9)	11 (2.1)	13 (1.8)		15 (1.2)
Have access to internet								
I own one myself	82 (47.7)	176 (55.9)	258 (53.0)	87 (40.5)	202 (39.4)	289 (39.7)	<0.001	547 (45.0)
I have access to one my amily owns	8 (4.7)	25 (7.9)	33 (6.8)	30 (14.0)	65 (12.7)	95 (13.0)		128 (10.5)
No, I do not have access to one at home	74 (43.0)	107 (34.0)	181 (37.2)	81 (37.7)	216 (42.1)	297 (40.8)		478 (39.3)
Missing	8 (4.7)	7 (2.2)	15 (3.1)	17 (7.9)	30 (5.8)	47 (6.5)		62 (5.1)

¹ Ethnic minorities: Hmong, Karen, Akha, Lisu, Shan, Burmese, and Yunnanese

p-value from Chi-square test

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165866.t001

condoms all the time. On the other hand, 52.5% used them occasionally, and 12.4% never used them. Forty-four percent of the respondents reported ever having had either symptoms of STIs or had been diagnosed with STIs. Other methods of contraception (i.e. contraceptive pill, injections, intrauterine device, and Norplant) were used by 30% of female and 27% of male

² compared all lowland Thai and all ethnic minorities;

^{*}p<0.05

^{**}p<0.01

^{***}p<0.001



Table 2. Alcohol, smoking, and substance use among high-school students in rural Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Ever drunk Alcohol in past year More than once a week 14 (11.2) 5 (3.6) 19 (7.0) 1 (0.4) 10 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0.0) 1 (0			Lowland Tha	i	Et	hnic minoriti	es'	P	Total		
past year Yes 125 (72.7) 37 (34.5) 262 (53.8) 38 (68.3) 133 (35.9) 269 (37.0) 2 61 (67.4) 707 (32.6) 531 (43.5) 531 (43.5) 268 (68.3) 72 (33.5) 38 (68.3) 133 (55.9) 269 (37.0) 2 61 (67.4) 707 (32.6) 531 (43.5) 531 (43.5) 38 (68.3) 133 (65.9) 426 (68.5) <0.001								value ²			Total (N = 1215)
No											
Missing	Yes	125 (72.7)	137 (43.5)	262 (53.8)	136 (63.3)	133 (25.9)	269 (37.0)		261 (67.4)	270 (32.6)	531 (43.7)
Now name (a) week 100 (80.0) 127 (92.7) 227 (86.6) 125 (91.9) 130 (97.7) 255 (94.8) 0.001 225 (86.2) 257 (95.2) 482 (90.4) 200.00 200.00 4 (1.5) 18 (6.9) 5 (1.9) 23 (4.3) 23 (4.3) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 23 (4.3) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (4.1) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20 (1.0) 20	No	47 (27.3)	175 (55.6)	222 (45.6)	72 (33.5)	354 (69.0)	426 (58.5)	<0.001	119 (30.7)	529 (63.9)	648 (53.3)
drink?³ Less than once a week 100 (80.0) 127 (92.7) 227 (86.6) 25 (91.9) 130 (97.7) 255 (94.8) 0.001 225 (86.2) 25 (7) (5) 42 (29) 42 (9) 0 (0.0) 4 (1.5) 18 (6.9) 5 (1.9) 23 (4.3) More than once a week 14 (11.2) 5 (3.8) 19 (7.3) 4 (2.9) 0 (0.0) 4 (1.5) 8 (3.0) 17 (6.5) 6 (2.2) 23 (4.3) Missing 0 (0.0) 1 (0.7) 1 (0.4) 1 (0.7) 1 (0.8) 2 (0.7) 1 (0.4) 2 (0.7) 3 (3.6) Ever smoked in past year 51 (29.7) 13 (4.1) 64 (13.1) 56 (26.0) 12 (2.3) 68 (9.3) 0 77 (27.6) 25 (3.0) 132 (10.0) Missing 4 (2.3) 7 (2.2) 11 (2.3) 13 (6.0) 40 (7.8) 53 (7.3) 0 77 (4.6) 25 (9.1) 1019 (8.6) How many cigarettes per day shave you smoked? 4 (2.3) 7 (2.2) 11 (2.2) 41 (7.3.2) 11 (91.7) 52 (5.5) 0.00 67 (6.6) 20 (80.0) 87 (65.5) 6.6 10 (3.2) </td <td>Missing</td> <td>0 (0.0)</td> <td>3 (1.0)</td> <td>3 (0.6)</td> <td>7 (3.3)</td> <td>26 (5.1)</td> <td>33 (4.5)</td> <td></td> <td>7 (1.8)</td> <td>29 (3.5)</td> <td>36 (3.0)</td>	Missing	0 (0.0)	3 (1.0)	3 (0.6)	7 (3.3)	26 (5.1)	33 (4.5)		7 (1.8)	29 (3.5)	36 (3.0)
About once a week	How often did you drink? ³										
More than once a week	Less than once a week	100 (80.0)	127 (92.7)	227 (86.6)	125 (91.9)	130 (97.7)	255 (94.8)	0.001	225 (86.2)	257 (95.2)	482 (90.8)
Missing	About once a week	14 (11.2)	5 (3.6)	19 (7.3)	4 (2.9)	0 (0.0)	4 (1.5)		18 (6.9)	5 (1.9)	23 (4.3)
Yes	More than once a week	11 (8.8)	4 (2.9)	15 (5.7)	6 (4.4)	2 (1.5)	8 (3.0)		17 (6.5)	6 (2.2)	23 (4.3)
Yes	Missing	0 (0.0)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.4)	1 (0.7)	1 (0.8)	2 (0.7)		1 (0.4)	2 (0.7)	3 (0.6)
No	Ever smoked in past year										
Missing 4 (2.3) 7 (2.2) 11 (2.3) 13 (6.0) 40 (7.8) 53 (7.3) 17 (4.4) 47 (5.7) 64 (5.3) How many cigarettes per day have you smoked? 26 (51.0) 9 (69.2) 35 (54.7) 41 (73.2) 11 (91.7) 52 (76.5) 0.005 67 (62.6) 20 (80.0) 87 (65.5) Less than 1-1 smoke occasionally 19 (37.3) 4 (30.8) 23 (35.9) 7 (12.5) 0 (0.0) 7 (10.3) 26 (24.3) 4 (16.0) 30 (22.3) 6-10 cigarettes per day 5 (9.8) 0 (0.0) 5 (7.8) 4 (7.1) 0 (0.0) 4 (5.9) 9 (8.4) 0 (0.0) 9 (8.8) >10 cigarettes per day 1 (2.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.6) 3 (5.4) 0 (0.0) 3 (4.4) 4 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 4 (3.0) Missing 0 (0.0) 1 (1.6) 3 (5.4) 0 (0.0) 2 (2.9) 1 (0.9) 1 (0.0) 4 (3.0) Ever taken Methamphetamine in past year 11 (6.4) 0 (0.0) 11 (2.3) 7 (3.3) 1 (0.2) 8 (1.1) 18 (4.7) 1 (0.1) 19 (Yes	51 (29.7)	13 (4.1)	64 (13.1)	56 (26.0)	12 (2.3)	68 (9.3)		107 (27.6)	25 (3.0)	132 (10.9)
Missing	No			412 (84.6)	146 (67.9)	461 (89.9)	607 (83.4)	0.077	263 (68.0)	756 (91.3)	1019 (83.9)
How many cigarettes per day have you smoked? Less than 1-1 smoke occasionally 9 (69.2) 35 (54.7) 41 (73.2) 11 (91.7) 52 (76.5) 0.005 67 (62.6) 20 (80.0) 87 (65.5) 6.006 (62.6) 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.0	Missing	· ,	· ` ′	11 (2.3)		· , ,	· ,		· , ,	· , ,	
Less than 1—1 smoke occasionally 1—5 cigarettes per day 19 (37.3) 4 (30.8) 23 (35.9) 7 (12.5) 0 (0.0) 7 (10.3) 26 (24.3) 4 (16.0) 30 (22.1) 6—10 cigarettes per day 5 (9.8) 0 (0.0) 5 (7.8) 4 (7.1) 0 (0.0) 4 (5.9) 9 (8.4) 0 (0.0) 9 (8.8) > 10 cigarettes per day 1 (2.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.6) 3 (5.4) 0 (0.0) 3 (4.4) 4 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 4 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 4 (3.0) Missing 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 2 (2.9) 1 (0.9) 1 (0.9) 1 (0.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0) 1 (1.0)	How many cigarettes per										
6-10 cigarettes per day 5 (9.8) 0 (0.0) 5 (7.8) 4 (7.1) 0 (0.0) 4 (5.9) 9 (8.4) 0 (0.0) 9 (8.8) >10 cigarettes per day 1 (2.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.6) 3 (5.4) 0 (0.0) 3 (4.4) 4 (3.7) 0 (0.0) 4 (3.0) Missing 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 2 (2.9) 1 (0.9) 1 (4.0) 2 (1.5) Ever taken Methamphetamine in past year Yes 11 (6.4) 0 (0.0) 11 (2.3) 7 (3.3) 1 (0.2) 8 (1.1) 18 (4.7) 1 (0.1) 19 (1.6) 18 (1.7) 19 (1.6) 18 (1.7) 19 (1.6) 18 (1.7) 19 (1.6) 18 (1.7) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6	Less than 1–1 smoke	26 (51.0)	9 (69.2)	35 (54.7)	41 (73.2)	11 (91.7)	52 (76.5)	0.005	67 (62.6)	20 (80.0)	87 (65.9)
>10 cigarettes per day	1–5 cigarettes per day	19 (37.3)	4 (30.8)	23 (35.9)	7 (12.5)	0 (0.0)	7 (10.3)		26 (24.3)	4 (16.0)	30 (22.7)
>10 cigarettes per day	6-10 cigarettes per day					0 (0.0)			9 (8.4)	0 (0.0)	9 (6.8)
Missing 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 1 (1.8) 0 (0.0) 2 (2.9) 1 (0.9) 1 (4.0) 2 (1.5) Ever taken Methamphetamine in past year Past year 11 (6.4) 0 (0.0) 11 (2.3) 7 (3.3) 1 (0.2) 8 (1.1) 18 (4.7) 1 (0.1) 19 (1.6) 19 (1.6) No 161 (93.6) 315 (100.0) 476 (97.7) 208 (96.7) 512 (99.8) 720 (98.9) 0.110 369 (95.3) 827 (99.9) 1196 (9 Ever used Marijuana in past year Pes 14 (8.1) 0 (0.0) 14 (2.9) 20 (9.3) 4 (0.8) 24 (3.3) 34 (8.8) 4 (0.5) 38 (3.1) No 158 (91.9) 315 (100.0) 473 (97.1) 195 (90.7) 509 (99.2) 704 (96.7) 0.679 353 (91.2) 824 (99.5) 1177 (9 Ever used Glue in past year Yes 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 2 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.3) 4 (1.0) 0 (0.0) 4 (0.3) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100	>10 cigarettes per day								4 (3.7)	0 (0.0)	
Ever taken Methamphetamine in past year Yes	Missing								1 (0.9)	1 (4.0)	
No 161 (93.6) 315 (100.0) 476 (97.7) 208 (96.7) 512 (99.8) 720 (98.9) 0.110 369 (95.3) 827 (99.9) 1196 (98.9) Ever used Marijuana in past year Yes 14 (8.1) 0 (0.0) 14 (2.9) 20 (9.3) 4 (0.8) 24 (3.3) 34 (8.8) 4 (0.5) 38 (3.1) No 158 (91.9) 315 (100.0) 473 (97.1) 195 (90.7) 509 (99.2) 704 (96.7) 0.679 353 (91.2) 824 (99.5) 1177 (9 Ever used Glue in past year 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 2 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.3) 4 (1.0) 0 (0.0) 4 (0.3) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100.0) 726 (99.7) 0.685 383 (99.0) 828 (100.0) 1211 (9 Ever used lee drugs in past year Yes 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0)	Ever taken Methamphetamine in										
No 161 (93.6) 315 (100.0) 476 (97.7) 208 (96.7) 512 (99.8) 720 (98.9) 0.110 369 (95.3) 827 (99.9) 1196 (98.9) Ever used Marijuana in past year Yes 14 (8.1) 0 (0.0) 14 (2.9) 20 (9.3) 4 (0.8) 24 (3.3) 34 (8.8) 4 (0.5) 38 (3.1) No 158 (91.9) 315 (100.0) 473 (97.1) 195 (90.7) 509 (99.2) 704 (96.7) 0.679 353 (91.2) 824 (99.5) 1177 (9 Ever used Glue in past year 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 2 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.3) 4 (1.0) 0 (0.0) 4 (0.3) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100.0) 726 (99.7) 0.685 383 (99.0) 828 (100.0) 1211 (9 Ever used lee drugs in past year Yes 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0)	Yes	11 (6.4)	0 (0.0)	11 (2.3)	7 (3.3)	1 (0.2)	8 (1.1)		18 (4.7)	1 (0.1)	19 (1.6)
Ever used Marijuana in past year Yes	No	161 (93.6)	315 (100.0)					0.110		827 (99.9)	1196 (98.4)
No 158 (91.9) 315 (100.0) 473 (97.1) 195 (90.7) 509 (99.2) 704 (96.7) 0.679 353 (91.2) 824 (99.5) 1177 (9 Ever used Glue in past year Yes 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 2 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.3) 4 (1.0) 0 (0.0) 4 (0.3) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100.0) 726 (99.7) 0.685 383 (99.0) 828 (100.0) 1211 (9 Ever used Ice drugs in past year Yes 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0) 727 (99.9) 0.347 384 (99.2) 828 (100.0) 1212 (9 Ever used Heroin (non-injected) in past year Yes 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) No 172 (100.0) 487 (100.0) (100.0) 728 (100.0) 728 (100.0) 828 (100.0) 1215 (100.0) Ever used Injected any illegal drugs in past year	•			, ,							
Ever used Glue in past year 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 2 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.3) 4 (1.0) 0 (0.0) 4 (0.3) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100.0) 726 (99.7) 0.685 383 (99.0) 828 (100.0) 1211 (9 Ever used Ice drugs in past year Ves 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0) 727 (99.9) 0.347 384 (99.2) 828 (100.0) 1212 (9 Ever used Heroin (non-injected) in past year Ves 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)<	Yes	14 (8.1)	0 (0.0)	14 (2.9)	20 (9.3)	4 (0.8)	24 (3.3)		34 (8.8)	4 (0.5)	38 (3.1)
Ever used Glue in past year 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 2 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.3) 4 (1.0) 0 (0.0) 4 (0.3) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100.0) 726 (99.7) 0.685 383 (99.0) 828 (100.0) 1211 (9 Ever used Ice drugs in past year Ves 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0) 727 (99.9) 0.347 384 (99.2) 828 (100.0) 1212 (9 Ever used Heroin (non-injected) in past year Ves 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)<	No	158 (91.9)	315 (100.0)	473 (97.1)	195 (90.7)	509 (99.2)	704 (96.7)	0.679	353 (91.2)	824 (99.5)	1177 (96.9)
No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100.0) 726 (99.7) 0.685 383 (99.0) 828 (100.0) 1211 (98.8) Ever used Ice drugs in past year Yes 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0) 727 (99.9) 0.347 384 (99.2) 828 (100.0) 1212 (98.8) Ever used Heroin (noninjected) in past year Yes 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)											
No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 213 (99.1) 513 (100.0) 726 (99.7) 0.685 383 (99.0) 828 (100.0) 1211 (98.8) Ever used Ice drugs in past year Yes 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0) 727 (99.9) 0.347 384 (99.2) 828 (100.0) 1212 (98.8) Ever used Heroin (noninjected) in past year Yes 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	Yes	2 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.4)	2 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.3)		4 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.3)
Ever used Ice drugs in past year Ves 2 (1.2) 0 (0.0) 2 (0.4) 1 (0.9) 0 (0.0) 1 (0.1) 3 (0.8) 0 (0.0) 3 (0.2) No 170 (98.8) 315 (100.0) 485 (99.6) 214 (99.5) 513 (100.0) 727 (99.9) 0.347 384 (99.2) 828 (100.0) 1212 (9 Ever used Heroin (noninjected) in past year Yes 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)						513 (100.0)		0.685			1211 (99.7)
No	•										
No	Yes	2 (1.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.4)	1 (0.9)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)		3 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.2)
Ever used Heroin (noningected) in past year Ves 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)	No					513 (100.0)		0.347		828 (100.0)	1212 (99.8)
No 172 (100.0) 487 (100.0) 513 (100.0) 728 N/A 387 (100.0) 1215 (100.0) Ever used Injected any illegal drugs in past year											
No 172 (100.0) 487 (100.0) 513 (100.0) 728 N/A 387 (100.0) 1215 (100.0) Ever used Injected any illegal drugs in past year		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Ever used Injected any illegal drugs in past year	No	172	315 (100.0)		215	513 (100.0)	728	N/A	387		1215
Yes 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0) 0 (0.0)											,
	Yes	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)



Table 2. (Continued)

	Lowland Thai			Et	hnic minoriti	es ¹	P	Total		
	Males (N = 172)	Females (N = 315)	Total (N = 487)	Males (N = 215)	Females (N = 513)	Total (N = 728)	value ²	Males (N = 387)	Females (N = 828)	Total (N = 1215)
No	172 (100.0)	315 (100.0)	487 (100.0)	215 (100.0)	513 (100.0)	728 (100.0)	N/A	387 (100.0)	828 (100.0)	1215 (100.0)
Ever used other illegal drugs in past year										
Yes	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
No	172 (100.0)	315 (100.0)	487 (100.0)	215 (100.0)	513 (100.0)	728 (100.0)	N/A	387 (100.0)	828 (100.0)	1215 (100.0)

¹ Ethnic minorities: Hmong, Karen, Akha, Lisu, Shan, Burmese, and Yunnanese

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165866.t002

respondents. Five percent of female respondents (all were lowland Thai) had ever become pregnant, and half of those who ever had been pregnant ended with abortion. When compared with the lowland Thai and ethnic group, condom use during their first instance of sex was more frequently reported by ethnic minorities than by lowland Thai people (66.7% vs. 43.6%, p = 0.019) (see Table 3).

Factors associated with having sexual intercourse

Table 4 displays bivariate associations with selected predictors with "ever having had sexual intercourse" respectively among the lowland Thai, ethnic minorities, and in the entire sample. Lowland Thai youth were more likely to report "ever having had sexual intercourse" compared to adolescents in the ethnic minority groups. The variables "ever having drunk alcohol in the past year", "ever having smoked in the past year", "ever having used methamphetamine in the past year", "ever having used marijuana in the past year", and "currently having boy/girlfriend" were significantly associated with "ever having had sexual intercourse" in both lowland Thai and ethnic minority groups.

In the adjusted models (Table 5), the increased odds of Thai adolescents to report "ever having had sexual intercourse" remained statistically significant [Adjusted odds ratio (AOR), 1.61; CI, 1.06–2.45; P < 0.01)]. In the entire sample, factors associated with "ever having had sexual intercourse" included being aged ≥ 17 years (AOR, 2.69; CI, 1.34–5.43; P = 0.002) and 18 years (AOR, 3.62; CI, 1.72–7.61; P = 0.000), "ever having drunk alcohol in the past year" (AOR, 3.70; CI, 2.45–5.58; P = 0.000), "ever having smoked in the past year" (AOR, 1.83; CI, 1.06–3.14; P = 0.001), and "currently having boy/girlfriend" (AOR, 6.89; CI, 4.43–70.73; P = 0.000).

Significant factors common to members of both lowland Thai and ethnic minority groups were "ever having drunk alcohol in the past year" (lowland Thai: AOR, 4.94; CI, 2.78–8.77; P = 0.000, ethnic minorities: AOR, 2.42; CI, 1.28–4.58; P = 0.001) and currently having boy/girlfriend (lowland Thai: AOR, 7.68; CI, 3.99–14.78; P = 0.000, ethnic minorities: AOR, 7.01; CI, 3.69–13.28; P = 0.000). Specifically, for lowland Thai high-school students, being aged 17 (AOR, 5.69; CI, 1.65–19.66; P = 0.012) and 18 years (AOR, 10.31; CI, 2.72–39.05; P = 0.000), and "ever having taken methamphetamine in the past year" (AOR, 12.25; CI, 1.41–106.70; P = 0.001) were associated with increased odds of "ever having had sexual intercourse."

² compared all lowland Thai and all ethnic minorities; P value from Chi-square test

N/A (Non applicable due to insufficient number of outcomes in either of the level of the category)

³ Percentage was calculated from those who ever drunk or smoked in the past year.



Table 3. Sexual experience of high-school students in rural Chiang Mai, Thailand.

		Lowland Tha		Et	hnic minoriti	ies'	<i>P</i>		Total	
	Males (N = 172)	Females (N = 315)	Total (N = 487)	Males (N = 215)	Females (N = 513)	Total (N = 728)	value ³	Males (N = 387)	Females (N = 828)	Total (N = 1215)
Currently having boy/ girlfriend										
Yes	90 (52.3)	201 (63.8)	291 (59.9)	89 (41.4)	241 (47.0)	330 (45.3)	<0.001	179 (46.3)	442 (53.4)	621 (51.1)
No	82 (47.7)	113 (35.9)	195 (40.1)	126 (58.6)	271 (52.8)	397 (54.5)		208 (53.7)	384 (46.4)	592 (48.7)
Missing	0 (0.0)	1 (0.3)	1 (0.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)		0 (0.0)	2 (0.2)	2 (0.2)
Ever had sex with current boy/girlfriend										
Yes	29 (32.2)	72 (35.8)	101 (34.7)	18 (20.2)	32 (13.3)	50 (15.2)	<0.001	47 (26.3)	104 (23.5)	151 (24.3)
No	59 (65.6)	129 (64.2)	188 (64.6)	71 (79.8)	209 (86.7)	280 (84.8)		130 (72.6)	338 (76.5)	468 (75.4)
Missing	2 (2.2)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		2 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	2 (0.3)
Ever had sex										
Yes	52 (30.2)	81 (25.7)	133 (27.3)	44 (20.5)	40 (7.8)	84 (11.5)	<0.001	96 (24.8)	121 (14.6)	217 (17.9)
No	120 (69.8)	234 (74.3)	354 (72.7)	171 (79.5)	473 (92.2)	644 (88.5)		291 (75.2)	707 (85.4)	998(82.1)
Number of life time sexual partner ⁴										
≥ 2 partners	28 (53.8)	41 (50.6)	69 (51.9)	16 (36.4)	12 (30.0)	28 (33.3)	0.003	44 (45.8)	53 (43.8)	97 (44.7)
1 partner	16 (30.8)	38 (46.9)	54 (40.6)	26 (59.1)	27 (67.5)	53 (63.1)		42 (43.8)	65 (53.7)	107 (49.3)
Missing	8 (15.4)	2 (2.5)	10 (7.5)	2 (4.5)	1 (2.5)	3 (3.6)		10 (10.4)	3 (2.5)	13 (6.0)
Sexual debut ⁴										
< 15 years	8 (15.4)	11 (13.6)	19 (14.3)	8 (18.2)	1 (2.5)	9 (10.7)	0.391	16 (16.7)	12 (9.9)	28 (12.9)
≥ 15 years	38 (73.1)	67 (82.7)	105 (78.9)	35 (79.5)	37 (92.5)	72 (85.7)		73 (76.0)	104 (86.0)	177 (81.6)
Missing	6 (11.5)	3 (3.7)	9 (6.8)	1 (2.3)	2 (5.0)	3 (3.6)		7 (7.3)	5 (4.1)	12 (5.5)
First sex partner ⁴										
Boyfriend/girlfriend/ other friend	40 (76.9)	79 (97.5)	119 (89.5)	39 (88.6)	39 (97.5)	78 (92.9)	0.335 ^F	79 (82.3)	118 (97.5)	197 (90.8)
Relative/ Neighbor/ Stranger	7 (13.5)	1 (1.2)	8 (6.0)	3 (6.8)	0 (0.0)	3 (3.6)		10 (10.4)	1 (0.8)	11 (5.1)
Sex worker	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (1.2)		1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.5)
Missing	5 (9.6)	1 (1.2)	6 (4.5)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.5)	2 (2.4)		6 (6.3)	2 (1.7)	8 (3.7)
Use to avoid diseases/ pregnancy (at first time had sexual) ⁴										
Withdrawal	12 (23.1)	25 (30.9)	37 (27.8)	6 (13.6)	7 (17.5)	13 (15.5)	0.019	18 (18.8)	32 (26.4)	50 (23.0)
Condom	27 (51.9)	31 (38.3)	58 (43.6)	31 (70.5)	25 (62.5)	56 (66.7)		58 (60.4)	56 (46.3)	114 (52.5)
Morning after pill	3 (5.8)	7 (8.6)	10 (7.5)	2 (4.5)	2 (5.0)	4 (4.8)		5 (5.2)	9 (7.4)	14 (6.5)
Traditional herbal medicine	4 (7.7)	14 (17.3)	18 (13.5)	4 (9.1)	4 (10.0)	8 (9.5)		8 (8.3)	18 (14.9)	26 (12.0)
Did not use any method	1 (1.9)	2 (2.5)	3 (2.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		1 (1.0)	2 (1.7)	3 (1.4)
Missing	5 (9.6)	2 (2.5)	7 (5.3)	1 (2.3)	2 (5.0)	3 (3.6)		6 (6.3)	4 (3.3)	10 (4.6)
History of sexually transmitted disease ⁴										
Yes	15 (28.8)	41 (50.6)	56 (42.1)	16 (36.4)	24 (60.0)	40 (47.6)	0.426	31 (32.3)	65 (53.7)	96 (44.2)
No	37 (71.2)	40 (49.4)	77 (57.9)	28 (63.6)	16 (40.0)	44 (52.4)		65 (67.7)	56 (46.3)	121(55.8)
Consistent condom use ⁴										
Never	8 (15.4)	6 (7.4)	14 (10.5)	6 (13.6)	7 (17.5)	13 (15.5)	0.604	14 (14.6)	13 (10.7)	27 (12.4)
Occasionally	25 (48.1)	46 (56.8)	71 (53.4)	22 (50.0)	21 (52.5)	43 (51.2)		47 (49.0)	67 (55.4)	114 (52.5)



Table 3. (Continued)

	Lowland Thai			Et	hnic minoriti	es ¹	P		Total	
	Males (N = 172)	Females (N = 315)	Total (N = 487)	Males (N = 215)	Females (N = 513)	Total (N = 728)	value ³	Males (N = 387)	Females (N = 828)	Total (N = 1215)
All of the time	10 (19.2)	20 (24.7)	30 (22.6)	10 (22.7)	9 (22.5)	19 (22.6)		20 (20.8)	29 (24.0)	49 (22.6)
Missing	9 (17.3)	9 (11.1)	18 (13.5)	6 (13.6)	3 (7.5)	9 (10.7)		15 (15.6)	12 (9.9)	27 (12.4)
Use other method of birth control ^{2,4}										
No	27 (51.9)	45 (55.6)	72 (54.1)	28 (63.6)	28 (70.0)	56 (66.7)	0.083	55 (57.3)	73 (60.3)	128 (59.0)
Yes	16 (30.8)	27 (33.3)	43 (32.3)	10 (22.7)	9 (22.5)	19 (22.6)		26 (27.1)	36 (29.8)	62 (28.6)
Missing	9 (17.3)	9 (11.1)	18 (13.5)	6 (13.6)	3 (7.5)	9 (10.7)		15 (15.6)	12 (9.9)	27 (12.4)
Ever been pregnant or made someone pregnant ⁵										
Yes	1 (1.9)	6 (7.4)	7 (5.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0.047 ^F	1 (1.0)	6 (5.0)	7 (3.2)
No	38 (73.1)	71 (87.7)	109 (82.0)	32 (72.7)	37 (92.5)	69 (82.1)		70 (72.9)	108 (89.3)	178 (820)
Missing	13 (25.0)	4 (4.9)	17 (12.8)	12 (27.3)	3 (7.5)	15 (17.9)		25 (26.0)	7 (5.8)	32 (14.7)

¹ Ethnic minorities: Hmong, Karen, Akha, Lisu, Shan, Burmese, and Yunnanese

N/A (Non applicable due to insufficient number of outcomes in either of the level of the category)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165866.t003

P = 0.001) and practicing religions other than Buddhism (AOR, 0.52; CI, 0.28–0.98; P = 0.016) were associated with decreased odds of ever had sexual intercourse, while "ever had smoked in the past year" was associated with increased odds of ever had sexual intercourse (AOR, 3.06; CI, 1.40–6.72; P = 0.001) (see Table 4).

Discussion

We found that adolescents attending high school in rural Chiang Mai engage in a number of risky patterns of sexual behavior, and that significant differences exist between lowland adolescent Thai youth and adolescent youth from ethnic minorities with respect to their risky patterns of sexual behaviors, including sexual intercourse experience; condom use; boyfriend or girlfriend relationship status; and number of lifetime sexual partners.

Overall, 17.9% of adolescents reported "ever having had sexual intercourse". Among these adolescents, lowland Thai adolescents were more likely to report "ever having had sexual intercourse" compared to adolescents from ethnic minority groups. Risky patterns of sexual behavior were more prevalent among lowland Thai youth than among the youth of the minority groups in the Chiang Mai area. A higher proportion of lowland Thai youth had at least 2 lifetime sexual partners, and currently had a boyfriend or a girlfriend. A lower proportion of them used condoms during their first incident of sexual intercourse—as compared to adolescents from ethnic minority groups.

The observed difference between the two groups can possibly be explained—first—by the fact that lowland Thai and ethnic minority groups may value educational opportunity differently. Although access to primary education has substantially increased among ethnic minority groups, access to higher education is still limited among these groups, especially for those

² other method of birth control: Morning after pill, Injection, Intra-Uterine Device (IUD), Norplant

³ compared all lowland Thai and all ethnic minorities; P value from Chi-square test

⁴ data restricted to the subgroup of sexually active youth

⁵ data restricted to the subgroup of sexually active youth and had pregnant or made someone pregnant

F Fisher's exact test



Table 4. Bivariate analysis of factors associated with having sexual intercourse among high-school students in rural Chiang Mai, Thailand.

		Lowland Th	nai	E	thnic minori	ties¹	Total		
	Yes (N = 133)	No (N = 354)	OR (CI)	Yes (N = 84)	No (N = 644)	OR (CI)	Yes (N = 217)	No (N = 998)	OR (CI)
Ethnicity									
Ethnic minorities							133 (61.3)	354 (35.5)	1
Lowland Thai							84 (38.7)	644 (64.5)	2.88 (2.12– 3.89) [†]
Sex									
Male	52 (39.1)	120 (33.9)	1	44 (52.4)	171 (26.6)	1	96 (44.2)	291 (29.2)	1
Female	81 (60.9)	234 (66.1)	0.79 (0.52– 1.20)	40 (47.6)	473 (73.4)	0.32 (0.20– 0.52) [†]	121 (55.8)	707 (70.8)	0.51 (0.38– 0.70) [†]
Age									
16	5 (3.8)	46 (13.0)	1	9 (10.7)	97 (15.1)	1	14 (6.5)	143 (14.3)	1
17	91 (68.4)	245 (69.2)	3.41 (1.31– 8.86) *	38 (45.2)	278 (43.2)	1.47 (0.68– 3.15)	129 (59.4)	523 (52.4)	2.51 (1.40– 4.50) **
18	34 (25.6)	56 (15.8)	5.58 (2.02– 15.43) **	27 (32.1)	213 (33.1)	1.36 (0.61– 3.01)	61 (28.1)	269 (27.0)	2.31 (1.25– 4.28) **
19	3 (2.3)	7 (2.0)	3.94 (0.76– 20.27)	10 (11.9)	56 (8.7)	1.92 (0.73– 5.02)	13 (6.0)	63 (6.3)	2.10 (0.93– 4.74) ^{ϕ}
Religion									
Buddhism	129 (97.0)	338 (95.5)	1	61 (72.6)	365 (56.8)	1	190 (87.6)	703 (70.5)	1
Other	4 (3.0)	16 (4.5)	0.65 (0.21– 1.99)	23 (27.4)	278 (43.2)	0.49 (0.29– 0.82) **	27 (12.4)	294 (29.5)	0.34 (0.22– 0.52) [†]
Living situation									
Live with parents or relatives	118 (88.7)	283 (79.9)	1	48 (57.1)	329 (51.1)	1	166 (76.5)	612 (61.3)	1
Live with teachers	6 (4.5)	39 (11.0)	0.36 (0.15– 0.89) *	25 (29.8)	249 (38.7)	0.68 (0.41– 1.14)	31 (14.3)	288 (28.9)	0.39 (0.26– 0.59) [†]
Live with friends	1 (0.8)	4 (1.1)	0.60 (0.06– 5.42)	6 (7.1)	26 (4.0)	1.58 (0.61– 4.04)	7 (3.2)	30 (3.0)	0.86 (0.37– 1.99)
Live alone	8 (6.0)	27 (7.6)	0.71 (0.31– 1.61)	4 (4.8)	16 (2.5)	1.71 (0.55– 5.34)	12 (5.5)	43 (4.3)	1.02 (0.53– 1.99)
Live with other	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	N/A	1 (1.2)	24 (3.7)	0.28 (0.03– 2.16)	1 (0.5)	24 (2.4)	0.15 (0.02– 1.14) ^φ
Have access to mobile phone									
I own one myself	126 (94.7)	317 (90.1)	1	65 (78.3)	450 (71.2)	1	191 (88.4)	767 (77.9)	1
I have access to one my family own	7 (5.3)	35 (9.9)	0.50 (0.21– 1.16)	18 (21.7)	177 (28.0)	0.70 (0.40– 1.22)	25 (11.6)	212 (21.5)	0.47 (0.30– 0.73) **
No I do not have access to one at home	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	N/A	0 (0.0)	5 (0.8)	N/A	0 (0.0)	5 (0.5)	N/A
Have access to internet									
I own one myself	71 (54.6)	187 (54.7)	1	41 (49.4)	248 (41.5)	1	112 (52.6)	435 (46.3)	1
I have access to one my family own	8 (6.2)	25 (7.3)	0.84 (0.36– 1.95)	12 (14.5)	83 (13.9)	0.87 (0.43– 1.74)	20 (9.4)	108 (11.5)	0.71 (0.42– 1.21)
No I do not have access to one at home	51 (39.2)	130 (38.0)	1.03 (0.67– 1.57)	30 (36.1)	267 (44.6)	0.68 (0.41– 1.12)	81 (38.0)	397 (42.2)	0.79 (0.57– 1.08)
Ever drunk alcohol in the past year									
No	25 (18.8)	197 (56.1)	1	21 (25.6)	405 (66.1)	1	46 (21.4)	602 (62.4)	1
Yes	108 (81.2)	154 (43.9)	5.52 (3.40– 8.96) [†]	61 (74.4)	208 (33.9)	5.65 (3.35– 9.54) [†]	169 (78.6)	362 (37.6)	6.11 (4.30– 8.67) [†]
Ever smoke in the past year			,						,
No	97 (75.2)	315 (90.8)	1	55 (67.9)	552 (92.9)	1	152 (72.4)	867 (92.1)	1
	,	, , , , , , ,		1 (/	()		, ,	(- 7	



Table 4. (Continued)

		Lowland Th	nai	E	thnic minori	ities ¹	Total			
	Yes (N = 133)	No (N = 354)	OR (CI)	Yes (N = 84)	No (N = 644)	OR (CI)	Yes (N = 217)	No (N = 998)	OR (CI)	
Yes	32 (24.8)	32 (9.2)	3.24 (1.89– 5.57) [†]	26 (32.1)	42 (7.1)	6.21 (3.54– 10.90) [†]	58 (27.6)	74 (7.9)	4.47 (3.04– 6.56) [†]	
Ever taken methamphetamine in the past year										
No	124 (93.2)	352 (99.4)	1	82 (97.6)	638 (99.1)	1	206 (94.9)	990 (99.2)	1	
Yes	9 (6.8)	2 (0.6)	12.77 (2.73– 59.93) **	2 (2.4)	6 (0.9)	2.59 (0.51– 13.06)	11 (5.1)	8 (0.8)	6.60 (2.62– 16.63) [†]	
Ever used marijuana in the past year										
No	122 (91.7)	351 (99.2)	1	75 (89.3)	629 (97.7)	1	197 (90.8)	980 (98.2)	1	
Yes	11 (8.3)	3 (0.8)	10.54 (2.89– 38.44) [†]	9 (10.7)	15 (2.3)	5.03 (2.12– 11.89) [†]	20 (9.2)	18 (1.8)	5.52 (2.87– 10.64) [†]	
Currently have a boyfriend/ girlfriend										
No	17 (12.9)	178 (50.3)	1	16 (19.3)	381 (59.2)	1	33 (15.3)	559 (56.0)	1	
Yes	115 (87.1)	176 (49.7)	6.84 (3.94– 11.86) [†]	67 (80.7)	263 (40.8)	6.06 (3.43– 10.70) [†]	182 (84.7)	439 (44.0)	7.02 (4.74– 10.38) [†]	

¹ Ethnic minorities: Hmong, Karen, Akha, Lisu, Shan, Burmese, and Yunnanese

OR odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165866.t004

without documentary proof of nationality and for those without financial support. Therefore, access to high school among adolescents from ethnic minority groups may be regarded as a valuable opportunity, and as an incentive for a strong commitment to school among adolescents in this group. This may to a certain degree have a protective effect against engaging in risky sexual behaviors. Secondly, lowland adolescent Thai youth, compared to their ethnic minority counterparts, may be relatively more exposed to globalization. The impacts of this phenomenon on the sexual norms of young people have been extensively documented [22–26]. For example, in our study, a significantly higher proportion of the lowland adolescent Thai youth owned a mobile phone and had personal access to the Internet, as compared to ethnic minority groups. Globalization has accelerated the adoption of a new international culture and norms through enhanced interconnectivity between people and also through exposure to modern media including magazines, movies, and the Internet.

A recent study in Thailand conducted in an urban setting has documented changes in the sexual norms of Thai youth. These have been characterized by a decline in the age of sexual debut; a larger number of lifetime sexual partners, and a greater acceptance of adolescent premarital sex [5]. In the same study, younger generation of Thai had more positive attitudes toward women carrying condoms [5]. In light of our study, it is possible that similar changes are also occurring in the rural areas of northern Thailand. Although our data did not demonstrate a significant association between having access to mobile phone or internet and "ever having sexual intercourse", more exploration using qualitative study design might be warranted to learn more about effects of globalization on youths' life style, attitude, and sexual

^{*} P value < 0.05

^{**} P value < 0.01

[†]P value < 0.001

 $^{^{\}phi}$ P value < 0.10



Table 5. Multivariate analysis of factors associated with having sexual intercourse among high-school students in rural Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Independent variable	Lowland Thai (N =	487)	Ethnic minorities ¹ (N	l = 728)	Total (N = 1215)		
	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value#	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value*	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value [#]	
Ethnicity							
Ethnic minorities					1		
Lowland Thai					1.61 (1.06–2.45)	0.001	
Sex							
Male	1		1		1		
Female	1.42 (0.78–2.59)	0.285	0.43 (0.23-0.80)	0.001	0.82 (0.54–1.24)	0.001	
Age							
16	1		1		1		
17	5.69 (1.65–19.66)	0.012	1.56 (0.63–3.88)	0.319	2.69 (1.34–5.43)	0.002	
18	10.31 (2.72–39.05)	<0.001	1.70 (0.66–4.40)	0.440	3.62 (1.72–7.61)	<0.001	
19	1.31 (0.13–13.53)	0.101	2.52 (0.76–8.36)	0.181	2.63 (0.95–7.29)	0.072	
Religion			,		,		
Buddhism	1		1		1		
Other	0.70 (0.17–2.91)	0.457	0.52 (0.28–0.98)	0.016	0.61 (0.35–1.05)	0.073	
Living situation			,		,		
Live with parent(s) or relatives	1		1		1		
Live with teacher(s)	0.63 (0.2098)	0.072	0.69 (0.36–1.31)	0.151	0.67 (0.39–1.14)	0.060	
Live with friends	2.58 (0.18–37.67)	0.649	2.30 (0.70–7.52)	0.338	1.82 (0.65–5.09)	0.726	
Live alone	0.64 (0.22–1.90)	0.413	2.14 (057–8.03)	0.353	0.84 (0.36–1.94)	0.933	
Live with other	N/A		0.46 (0.05–4.46)	0.225	0.56 (0.06–5.23)	0.067	
Have access to mobile phone	1,47.		0.10 (0.00 1.10)	0.220	0.00 (0.00 0.20)	0.00.	
I own one myself	1		1		1		
I have access to one my family own	0.90 (0.31–2.67)	0.108	1.12 (0.55–2.26)	0.211	1.02 (0.58–1.79)	0.059	
No, I do not have access to one at home	N/A		N/A		N/A	0.000	
Have access to internet							
I own one myself	1		1		1		
I have access to one my family own	0.51 (0.17–157)	0.690	1.11 (0.46–2.70)	0.703	1.02 (0.53–1.99)	0.215	
No, I do not have access to one at home	0.99 (0.58–1.68)	0.880	0.88 (0.47–1.64)	0.131	0.96 (0.65–1.42)	0.150	
Ever drunk alcohol in past year							
No	1		1		1		
Yes	4.94 (2.78–8.77)	<0.001	2.42 (1.28–4.58)	0.001	3.70 (2.45–5.58)	<0.001	
Ever smoked in past year					,		
No	1		1		1		
Yes	1.25 (0.57–2.75)	0.053	3.06 (1.40–6.72)	0.001	1.83 (1.06–3.14)	0.001	
Ever taken methamphetamine in past year							
No	1		1		1		
Yes	12.25 (1.41–106.70)	0.001	0.39 (0.05–3.03)	0.248	2.16 (0.62–7.50)	<0.001	
Ever used marijuana in past year	- (. (1	- (
No	1		1		1		
Yes	2.37 (0.39–14.62)	0.679	1.27 (0.41–3.99)	0.226	1.50 (0.59–3.81)	0.746	
Currently having boy/girlfriend		3.07.0	(5 5.00)	3.223	(0.00 0.01)	3.7 10	
No	1		1		1		
110	· .				ļ •		



Table 5. (Continued)

Independent variable	Lowland Thai (N = 4	187)	Ethnic minorities ¹ (N	= 728)	Total (N = 1215)		
	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value#	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value#	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	P value [#]	
Yes	7.68 (3.99–14.78)	<0.001	7.01 (3.69–13.28)	<0.001	6.89 (4.43–10.73)	<0.001	

¹ Ethnic minorities: Hmong, Karen, Akha, Lisu, Shan, Burmese, and Yunnanese

CI confidence interval

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165866.t005

orientation. These changes are probably happening specifically among lowland Thai adolescents with little protective traditional and religious safeguards. Furthermore, the strong attachment of ethnic minority groups to their customs, traditional values, and religions certainly shape their sexual norms, and consequently render behaviors such as premarital sex which was inappropriate in the community.

In our study, religion had no effect on the sexual experience among the lowland Thai youth. However, the effect of practicing any religion other than Buddhism (mostly Christianity) was associated with lower odds of "ever had sex" among adolescents from ethnic minority groups. The fact that a very limited proportion (3.9%) of lowland adolescent Thai practiced religion other than Buddhism have precluded a reliable judgment on the protective effect of these religions on risky sexual behaviors. However, a previous study in urban Chiang Mai found similar results on the effect of religion on patterns of sexual risk behaviors. Many other studies in other settings have also demonstrated the effectiveness of faith-based HIV prevention interventions [27–29]. More research is needed to explore the effects, and to explore the pathways through which religion influence sexual morality of adolescents in rural northern Thailand.

It is important to signal that although patterns of sexual risk behaviors were much more prevalent among lowland adolescent Thai than among their counterparts from ethnic minorities, both groups of adolescents remain largely vulnerable to HIV infection and other STIs. For instance, among the sexually active adolescents attending high school in rural Chiang Mai, a significantly low proportion (22.6%) used condoms consistently, and nearly half of them had 2 or more sexual partners. The same pattern of behaviors was also noted in our recent study among young people attending non-formal education centers in urban Chiang Mai [11]; underscoring the particular vulnerability of young Thai to HIV and other STIs. Although many HIV prevention and reproductive health initiatives and campaigns have been conducted in Chiang Mai [30], consistent use of condoms among adolescents continues to be unacceptably low [4]. There is need for continued research to understand the dynamics of sexual behavior patterns, in order to inform the design and implementation of interventions that are tailored to the needs of adolescents, and address correlates of their risky sexual behaviors.

The finding that very few adolescent males in our study had their first sexual experience with commercial sex workers, as was common in past years, has been observed in a number of studies. The fear of contracting HIV infection through commercial sex workers whose links with HIV infection were frequently reported during the early HIV prevention campaigns has led young males to rely on their girlfriends for sexual needs [7, 31–33].

We found that alcohol use was invariably associated with increased likelihood of "ever had sexual intercourse" among both lowland Thai adolescents and adolescents from ethnic minority groups. The association of alcohol use with sexual risk behaviors is well documented in the literature [34–38]. As previously reported in a study in Thailand, alcohol consumption is

[#] P value from multiple logistic regression



perceived by youth as allowing people to more easily break social and traditional norms which served as a brake to engaging in risky sexual behaviors [36]. Another study of vocational students in northern Thailand showed that at any given age, sexual initiation was associated with alcohol consumption [35].

In the study results, in addition to alcohol, tobacco smoking was associated with having sexual intercourse among both lowland adolescent Thai and adolescents from ethnic minorities. It is therefore crucial for interventions promoting safe sexual behaviors among adolescents in rural Chiang Mai, and in Thailand in general, to incorporate information addressing the negative consequence of substance use.

This study has the merit of being one the first studies to document differences in risky sexual behaviors between lowland adolescent Thai and adolescents from ethnic minority groups attending high school. More importantly, our study has policy implications—as it shows that adolescents in rural areas of Thailand are at large vulnerable to HIV infection and STIs. Ministries of Health and Education, NGOs, and other important stakeholders should energize their efforts to make sure that interventions and health campaigns reach out to the rural adolescents.

There are several limitations, however, to this research. Firstly, our study is limited for its use of a convenient sampling scheme which reduces the possibilities of generalizing our findings. Although it is clear that the results of this study do, to a large extent, represent the situation of adolescents in rural Chiang Mai, it is not clear to what extent these findings can be generalized to other adolescents in other areas of Thailand. Also, our sample included only adolescents aged 16–19 years. Adolescents younger or older than those included in our age bracket may have different behavioral patterns. Secondly, we cannot draw any causal inferences, due to the cross-sectional design nature of our study. There is risk of social desirability bias—given the sensitivity inherent to sexual health topics. Lastly,

In summary, we have found that although important differences in risky patterns of sexual behavior do exist between lowland Thai adolescents and adolescents from ethnic minority groups, both groups remain largely vulnerable to HIV and STIs. We have been able to identify a number of factors associated with sexual intercourse experience including alcohol consumption; smoking; methamphetamine usage; age; and having boy/girlfriend. Sex education programs in schools are absolutely required. Furthermore, these programs need to be standardized in order to raise the awareness of young people about risky behavior patterns and how to avoid risky situations. Such interventions should, however, consider the broader contextual and structural landscape within which the adolescents in urban Chiang Mai live.

Supporting Information

S1 Dataset. S1_dataset.

(SAV)

S1 Questionnaire. S1 Questionnaire—Thai.

(DOCX)

S2 Questionnaire. S2_Questionnaire—English.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

This study was part of the HIV/AIDS Prevention Program among Ethnic Young People in Chiang Mai. It was supported by the Chiang Mai University Center of Excellence in HIV/AIDS Research. It was also supported by the National Research University Project under



Thailand's Office of the Higher Education Commission. We thank the young people in rural Chiang Mai Province who contributed to this research. We also thank the Kyoto University "Strategic Young Researcher Overseas Visit Program for Accelerating Brain Circulation Program" for supporting the collaboration between Kyoto University and the Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: LA AT PM KS.

Data curation: PM ST. **Formal analysis:** PM ST.

Funding acquisition: AT.

Investigation: LA AT KS ST.

Methodology: LA AT KS ST.

Project administration: AT KS.

Resources: LA AT PM KS ST.

Software: LA AT ST.

Supervision: AT.

Validation: LA AT PM KS ST.

Visualization: AT PM.

Writing – original draft: LA.

Writing – review & editing: LA AT PM KS.

References

- Pettifor A, Bekker LG, Hosek S, DiClemente R, Rosenberg M, Bull SS, et al. Preventing HIV among young people: research priorities for the future. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)2013 Jul; 63 Suppl 2:S155–60.
- Idele P, Gillespie A, Porth T, Suzuki C, Mahy M, Kasedde S, et al. Epidemiology of HIV and AIDS among adolescents: current status, inequities, and data gaps. Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)1097;2014(1):000000000000176.
- UNAIDS. Adolescents, young people and HIV. Fact Sheet 2012. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/ files/en/media/unaids/Contentassets/documents/factsheet/2012/20120417_FS_ adolescentsyoungpeoplehiv_en.pdf.
- Tangmunkongvorakul A, Carmichael G, Banwell C, Seubsman SA, Sleigh A. Intimate relationships among adolescents in different social groups in northern Thailand. The Southeast Asian journal of tropical medicine and public health2010 Nov; 41(6):1475–93. PMID: 21329326
- Techasrivichien T, Darawuttimaprakorn N, Punpuing S, Musumari PM, Lukhele BW, El-Saaidi C, et al. Changes in Sexual Behavior and Attitudes Across Generations and Gender Among a Population-Based Probability Sample From an Urbanizing Province in Thailand. Archives of sexual behavior2014 Nov 18.
- National AIDS Prevention and Alleviation Committee. UNGASSCountry Progress Report, Thailand Reporting period: January 2006-December 2007. http://data.unaids.org/pub/Report/2008/thailand_ 2008_country_progress_report_en.pdf Accessed 24 Feb 2016.
- Kilmarx PH, Supawitkul S, Wankrairoj M, Uthaivoravit W, Limpakarnjanarat K, Saisorn S, et al. Explosive spread and effective control of human immunodeficiency virus in northernmost Thailand: the epidemic in Chiang Rai province, 1988–99. AIDS (London, England)2000 Dec 1; 14(17):2731–40.



- Bureau of Epidemiology. Sexually transmitted infections. Annual epidemiological surveillance report 2012 (pp. 103–105). Retrieved from Bureau of Epidemiology, Thailand Ministry of Public Health website:http://www.boe.moph.go.th/Annual/AESR2012/main/AESR55_Part1/file6/3155_STI.pdf Accessed 24 Feb 2016.
- Ministry of Social Development and Human Security. Pregnancy in Thai adolescents. 2010. Retrieved from: http://www.stic.ac.th/inter/main/th/about/Experiences_of_Becoming_Teenage_Mother.pdf Accessed 24 Feb 2016.
- UNAIDS interagency task team on HIV and young people. Preventing HIV/AIDS in young people: a systematic review of the evidence from developing countries. editors: Ross David, Dick Bruce, Ferguson Jane. WHO 2006.
- Musumari PM, Tangmunkongvorakul A, Srithanaviboonchai K, Yungyuankul S, Techasrivichien T, Suguimoto SP, et al. Prevalence and Correlates of HIV Testing among Young People Enrolled in Non-Formal Education Centers in Urban Chiang Mai, Thailand: A Cross-Sectional Study. PloS one 2016; 11 (4):2016.
- 12. Tangmunkongvorakul A, Banwell C, Carmichael G, Utomo ID, Seubsman SA, Kelly M, et al. Use and perceptions of sexual and reproductive health services among northern Thai adolescents. The Southeast Asian journal of tropical medicine and public health2012 Mar; 43(2):479–500. PMID: 23082599
- Tangmunkongvorakul A, Carmichael G, Banwell C, Seubsman SA, Sleigh A. Coital Experience Among Adolescents in Three Social-Educational Groups in Urban Chiang Mai, Thailand. Asian population studies ies2012 Mar; 8(1):39–63. doi: 10.1080/17441730.2012.646837 PMID: 22754584
- A. Huguet, J. Aphichat Chamratrithirong and Kerry Richter. (2011). Thailand Migration Profile. In Thailand Migration Report 2011. Bangkok: International organization for Migration (IOM). Accessed on 23 May 2016. http://publications.iom.int/system/files/pdf/tmr_2011.pdf.
- Listman JB, Malison RT, Sanichwankul K, Ittiwut C, Mutirangura A, Gelernter J. Southeast Asian origins
 of five Hill Tribe populations and correlation of genetic to linguistic relationships inferred with genomewide SNP data. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2011; 144(2): 300–308. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.21408 PMID:
 20979205
- 16. Dahlfred K. The Life and Ministry of Daniel McGilvary: Missionary Pioneer to Northern Thailand.
- 17. Baba S. The Impact of NGOs' Movement in the Educational Support for Minority in Northern Thailand. Paper presented at: International Conference Future Imperatives of Communication and Information for Development and Social Change; December 20–22; Bangkok, Thailand, 2010.
- Liulan WC. Hui Yunnanese Migratory History in Relation to the Han Yunnanese and Ethnic Resurgence in Northern Thailand. Southeast Asian Studies2006; 44(3):337–58.
- Yongpanichkul S, Overland MA, Wood SY. A Review of Sexuality and Reproductive Health and Rights in Thailand. The Ford Foundation Office for Vietnam and Thailand. http://www.tncathai.org/data/ WedJanuary2008-13-55-45-Report-final-public-version-Jan-2008.pdf Accessed 24 Feb 2016.
- **20.** Tangmunkongvorakul A, Srithanaviboonchai K, Guptarak M, Wichajarn M, Yungyuankul S, Khampan R, et al. Attitudes and Behavior among Rural Thai Adolescents Regarding Sexual Intercourse. The Southeast Asian journal of tropical medicine and public health1437; 45(6):1437–47.
- 21. Kumpirat P. Social and cultural factors leading to sexual risk behavior of adolescence in Phrae province Chiang Mai University.
- 22. World Health Organization. Trade, foreign policy, diplomacy and health: Globalization. 2013. http://www.who.int/trade/glossary/story043/en/
- 23. Bozon M, Kontula O. Sexual inititaion and gender in Europe: A cross-cultural analysis of trends in the twentieth century. In Hubert M., Bajos N., & Sandfort T. (Eds.), Sexual behaviour and HIV/AIDS in Europe: Comparisons of national surveys. London: UCL Press. 1998; 37–67. 1998.
- 24. Friedman HL. Changing patterns of adolescent sexual behavior: consequences for health and development. The Journal of adolescent health: official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine 1992; 13(5):345–50.
- 25. Tangmunkongvorakul A, Kane R, Wellings K. Gender double standards in young people attending sexual health services in Northern Thailand. Cult Health Sex2005; 7(4):361–73. doi: 10.1080/13691050500100740 PMID: 16864209
- 26. Chai Podhisita PX, Anchalee Varangrat. The risk of premarital sex amongThaiyouth:Individualandfamilyincluences.East-Westcenter working papers. 2001. Population series, No. 108–5. http://www.eastwestcenter.org/publications/risk-premarital-sex-among-thai-youth-individual-and-family-influences
- Wingood GM, Robinson LR, Braxton ND, Er DL, Conner AC, Renfro TL, et al. Comparative effectiveness of a faith-based HIV intervention for African American women: importance of enhancing religious



- social capital. American journal of public health; 103(12):2226–33. doi: 10.2105/AJPH.2013.301386 PMID: 24134367
- Lindley LL, Coleman JD, Gaddist BW, White J. Informing faith-based HIV/AIDS interventions: HIVrelated knowledge and stigmatizing attitudes at Project F.A.I.T.H. churches in South Carolina. Public Health Rep; 1:12–20.
- Liebowitz J. The impact of faith-based organizations on HIV/AIDS prevention and mitigation in Africa. 2002. http://repository.berkleycenter.georgetown.edu/021000LiebowitzImpactFBOsHIV-AIDSPreventionMitigationAfrica.pdf.
- Fongkaew W, Fongkaew K, Suchaxaya P. Early adolescent peer leader development in HIV prevention using youth-adult partnership with schools approach. J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care. 2007; 18(2): 60–71. doi: 10.1016/j.jana.2007.01.005 PMID: 17403497
- **31.** Taywaditep KJ, Coleman E, Dumronggittigule P, Bishop R, Robinson LS. Thailand. In: Francoeur RT, Noonan RJ, eds. The continuum complete international encyclopedia of sexuality (CCIES). New York and London: The Continuum International Publishing Group, 2004: 1021–53.
- **32.** Ngamprapasom N. The first sexual intercourse of Thai men. PhD Thesis, Mahidol University, Nakhon Pathom 2001.
- **33.** Srisuriyawet R. Psychosocial and gender-based determinants for sexual risk behaviors among adolescents in school. Master thesis, Chiang Mai University, Thailand 2006. http://archive.lib.cmu.ac.th/full/T/2006/nusc0406rs_abs.pdf Accessed 23 May 2016.
- Mulu W, Yimer M, Abera B. Sexual behaviours and associated factors among students at Bahir Dar University: a cross sectional study. Reproductive health2014; 11:84. doi: 10.1186/1742-4755-11-84 PMID: 25481831
- 35. Liu A, Kilmarx P, Jenkins RA, Manopaiboon C, Mock PA, Jeeyapunt S, et al. Sexual initiation, substance use, and sexual behavior and knowledge among vocational students in northern Thailand. International family planning perspectives 2006 Sep; 32(3):126–35. doi: 10.1363/ifpp.32.126.06 PMID: 17015242
- 36. Kittisuksathit Sirinan, Guest Philip (2007) Sexual Risk Behaviors and the HIV Epidemic in Thailand: Results from Focus Group Discussions. The Era of ARV in the Generalized HIV Epidemic in Thailand: Research Approaches. Aphichat Chamratrithirong and Dusita Phuengsamran editors. pp99–120.
- **37.** Rongkavilit C, Naar-King S, Chuenyam T, Wang B, Wright K, Phanuphak P. Health risk behaviors among HIV-infected youth in Bangkok, Thailand. The Journal of adolescent health: official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine2007 Apr; 40(4):358.e1–8.
- **38.** Halpern-Felsher BL, Millstein SG, Ellen JM. Relationship of alcohol use and risky sexual behavior: a review and analysis of findings. The Journal of adolescent health: official publication of the Society for Adolescent Medicine1996 Nov; 19(5):331–6.