

Three-dimensional imaging modalities in endodontics

Teresa Mao¹, Prasanna Neelakantan^{1,*}

¹Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha University, Chennai, India

ABSTRACT

Recent research in endodontics has highlighted the need for three-dimensional imaging in the clinical arena as well as in research. Three-dimensional imaging using computed tomography (CT) has been used in endodontics over the past decade. Three types of CT scans have been studied in endodontics, namely cone-beam CT, spiral CT, and peripheral quantitative CT. Contemporary endodontics places an emphasis on the use of cone-beam CT for an accurate diagnosis of parameters that cannot be visualized on a two-dimensional image. This review discusses the role of CT in endodontics, pertaining to its importance in the diagnosis of root canal anatomy, detection of peri-radicular lesions, diagnosis of trauma and resorption, presurgical assessment, and evaluation of the treatment outcome. (*Imaging Sci Dent* 2014; 44: 177-83)

KEY WORDS: Tomography, X-Ray Computed; Cone-Beam Computed Tomography; Imaging, Three-Dimensional; Endodontics

Introduction

Diagnosis, treatment planning, and evaluation of treatment outcomes in endodontics are routinely done with the help of radiographs. Radiographic imaging is essentially two-dimensional (2D) imaging of a three-dimensional (3D) object. Furthermore, the interpretation of radiographs can be influenced by several confounding factors, including regional anatomy and superimposition of teeth and the surrounding dentoalveolar structures. The structures visualized by radiographs are also subject to geometric distortions.¹ These problems can be overcome by the use of 3D imaging using computed tomography (CT).

The application of CT scans in endodontics was first reported by Tachibana and Matsumoto in 1990.² A CT scan uses a fan-shaped beam and multiple exposures around an object to reveal the internal architecture of this object, thereby helping the clinician to view morphologic features as

well as pathology from different 3D perspectives. The distinct advantage of a CT scan is that it allows for a 3D reconstruction of root canal systems. CT scanning has been suggested as the preferential imaging modality in difficult situations demanding the localization and description of root canal systems because of its ability to render 3D information.³ The dental CT, also called DentaScan (GE Healthcare), was first reported by Schwartz et al.⁴ The objective of this article is to review the applications of different modalities of CT in endodontics. CT scans play an important role in the analysis of root canal anatomy, detection of apical periodontitis, diagnosis of trauma, and presurgical assessment.

Three types of CT scans, namely cone-beam CT (CBCT), spiral CT, and peripheral quantitative CT (pQCT), have been used in endodontic research to study the root canal anatomy and its variations.

CBCT scanning or digital volume tomography (DVT) uses an extraoral imaging scanner to produce 3D scans of the maxillofacial skeleton at a considerably lower radiation dose than that required for conventional CT scanning. CBCT scanning has been shown to be more accurate than digital radiographs in identifying root canal systems. CBCT scanning has also been used *in vivo* in diagnosis and preopera-

Received December 11, 2013; Revised December 16, 2013; Accepted December 21, 2013

*Correspondence to : Prof. Dr. Prasanna Neelakantan

Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, 162, Poonamallee High Road, Velappanchavadi, Chennai - 600077, Tamil Nadu, India

Tel) 91-44-2680-1583, Fax) 91-44-2616-3639, E-mail) prasanna_neelakantan@yahoo.com

Copyright © 2014 by Korean Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Imaging Science in Dentistry • pISSN 2233-7822 eISSN 2233-7830

tive assessment for root canal treatment.⁵ CBCT differs from medical CT imaging, in that the entire set of 3D volume data is acquired in the course of a single sweep of the scanner, using a simple, direct relationship between the sensor and the source. The X-ray beam is cone-shaped and captures a cylindrical or spherical volume of data, described as the field of view.

Another CT technique, pQCT, was originally introduced for bone mineral analysis. The unit works with a specially developed X-ray tube having a minute focal spot, whilst the detector system consists of a series of miniature semiconductor crystals. The device is equipped with a special detector collimator that can be switched among up to four collimator sizes corresponding to the four section thicknesses (100, 250, 500, and 750 μm). Although the planar resolution of pQCT (70 $\mu\text{m} \times 70 \mu\text{m}$) does not have the same resolution as micro-CT, it might provide a nondestructive morphological investigation at a low cost and relatively short scanning time. A report on the use of pQCT in the study of root canal anatomy showed that this method offers an accurate 3D reconstruction of the root canal systems and analysis of endodontic procedures.⁶

Spiral CT has been used in several cases of the diagnosis of aberrant root canal systems,^{7,8} as well as in the identification of the root canal morphology of Indian molars.⁹ Spiral CT or volume acquisition CT employs simultaneous patient translation through the X-ray source with a continuous rotation of the source-detector assembly. The raw projection data are acquired with a spiral sampling locus in a relatively short period. These data can be viewed as conventional transaxial images such as multiplanar reconstructions or as 3D reconstructions.

Analysis of root canal anatomy

Successful endodontic therapy stems from thorough canal debridement and effective filling of the root canal system, for which knowledge of the morphology of root canals is a critical prerequisite.¹⁰ The knowledge of root canal systems gained from *in vitro* studies helps in understanding the racial predisposition of different canal anatomies.^{11,12} The methods most commonly used for analyzing the root canal morphology are canal staining and tooth clearing,¹³ conventional radiographs,¹⁴ digital and contrast medium-enhanced radiographic techniques,¹⁵ radiographic assessment enhanced with contrast media,¹⁶ and more recently, CT techniques.^{17,18} An ideal technique would be one that is accurate, simple, non-destructive, and, most importantly, feasible in an *in vivo* scenario.

A recent study compared the efficacy of three types of CT scans (namely, CBCT, spiral CT, and pQCT) and digital radiographs (plain and contrast medium-enhanced) in identifying root canal systems *in vitro*. The canal staining and clearing technique was considered the gold standard. The evaluators failed to identify one or more root canals with digital radiographs in 23.8% of the teeth, contrast medium-enhanced digital radiographs in 14.8%, spiral CT in 15.58%, CBCT in 0.29%, and pQCT in 2.05% of the teeth. It was concluded that CBCT was the most accurate CT technique for identifying root canal systems in endodontics.¹⁹ The difference between these methods with respect to the identification of the root canal systems is mainly because of the variations in the slice thickness. CBCT has a slice thickness of 80-200 μm , while pQCT and spiral CT have slice thicknesses of 250 μm and 650-1000 μm , respectively (Fig. 1).

Several studies have reported root canal anatomical variations as a function of racial divergences. Liu et al studied the canal morphology of Chinese mandibular central incisors using CBCT and noted that in the population studied, the incidence of two canals in the lower central incisor was very low.²⁰ Similarly, the anatomical variations in maxillary and mandibular teeth have been studied in different races, and with the application of CT for this purpose, accurate data are available for clinical use.²⁰⁻²⁴

Oshishi et al²⁵ demonstrated the root anatomy and canal morphology of paramolar tubercles using CT. This was the first report to demonstrate the clinical relevance of CT in diagnosing the importance of the paramolar tubercle in endodontics. It was shown that the root canal of the tubercle united with the distobuccal root. An analysis of root canal geometry by CT was also reported by Peters et al.²⁶ A micro-CT with a cubic resolution of 34 microns was used to assess the root canals of maxillary molars. Their study reported specific variables and indices that could be used for further studies on root canal anatomy. CT was also shown to be comparable to histology (the gold standard) in detecting root canal anatomy and morphology in the mesiobuccal roots of the maxillary first molars.²⁷

In a study comparing tuned aperture computed tomography (TACT) with conventional D-speed films to identify root canals in the extracted human molars, Nance et al²⁸ reported that TACT detected a fourth canal in the maxillary molar and a third canal in the mandibular molars, 36% and 80% of the time, respectively. In contrast, conventional films did not detect these extra canals in any of the samples. Another study showed contradictory results. Barton et al²⁹ compared the parallax with pairs of conventional direct-




Fig. 1. Cone-beam computed tomographic images show a tooth with external resorption communicating with the root canal.

exposure film intraoral radiographs (both D-speed and F-speed), parallax with pairs of charge-coupled device-based digital images acquired through the use of Trophy RVG UI, and charge-coupled device-based images acquired through the use of a Trophy RVG UI sensor and tomosynthetically reconstructed by the TACT Workbench software. The study showed that there was less than a 40% chance of locating the second mesiobuccal canal (MB2) in the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar teeth by using parallax with pairs of digital or analog radiographs. Furthermore, TACT did not significantly affect the rate of detection of MB2 canals (37.9%) as compared to conventional films (39.2%) and RVG UI (39.6%).

The influence of age on the size and shape of the pulp cavity of premolars was assessed using micro-CT. The researchers employed 3D reconstruction software to show that the pulp cavity size decreased with an increase in age.³⁰

Assessment of root canal preparations

Traditionally, hand instruments were used to shape root canals. Contemporary endodontics has available several automated techniques, of which those involving the use of rotary and reciprocating nickel-titanium instruments are the most commonly used in clinical practice. Prior to the clinical introduction of these instruments and to understand the clinical impact of these instruments on root canal

shaping, the geometry of root canals prepared by these nickel-titanium instruments has to be evaluated. Peters et al³¹ were the first to employ a CT-based technique (micro-CT) to analyze the efficacy of different techniques of root canal preparation. They concluded that micro-CT was an indispensable tool in the research on the effectiveness of preparation instruments and techniques *in vitro*. Numerous studies have been performed since then, using various types of CT to determine the efficacy of root canal instruments. Although most studies employed micro-CT, few works have employed CBCT as well. Considering the differences in the slice thickness and the resolution of micro-CT and CBCT, the results of studies employing CBCT for this parameter might be questionable. Sonntag et al³² reviewed the evaluation of root canal curvatures before and after root canal preparation. It was realized that only graphical representation and mathematical formulae existed for the analysis of root curvatures. However, these might not be deemed accurate. It was reported that CT-based 3D reconstructions could offer an extensive assessment of root canal preparation in a non-destructive manner.³³

The introduction of CT has allowed the detection of C-shaped canals in several studies, thereby providing a valuable database to endodontic practice and radiology. In a sample size of 491 mandibular molars, C-shaped canals were located in 8.1% using spiral CT. Using 3D reconstructions along with spiral CT, the authors were also able to

classify the morphology of C-shaped canals by using Manning's criteria.³⁴

Detection of periradicular lesions

CT scans, and more importantly CBCT, can detect radiolucencies of the cortical bone even when they cannot be visualized on conventional radiographs. CBCT can also detect bone defects of the cortical bone and cancellous bone separately, in contrast to radiographs wherein lesions must erode the cortex before they can be visualized. Estrela et al³⁵ demonstrated that the prevalence of apical periodontitis was significantly higher when CBCT was used as the diagnostic method. Their study showed that CBCT scans detected periapical lesions in 62% more cases than radiographs. Furthermore, it has also been shown, with histological controls as the gold standard, that CBCT is more sensitive in identifying apical periodontitis.³⁶

Earlier detection of periradicular radiolucent changes with CBCT should result in an earlier identification and management of endodontic disease; this in turn should result in a better outcome from endodontic treatment as teeth can be treated sooner. In situations where patients have poorly localized symptoms associated with an untreated or previously root-filled tooth and clinical and periapical radiographic examinations show no evidence of disease, CBCT might be indicated to detect the presence of previously undiagnosed periapical disease. CBCT has been found to have 100% sensitivity (1.0) and specificity (1.0) in the detection of artificially created periapical lesions in dry human mandibles.³⁶

Diagnostic dilemmas are also common in periapical radiolucencies. Benign and malignant lesions like carcinoma, odontogenic cyst, and periapical cemental dysplasia might mimic periapical lesions on a radiograph. Advanced imaging techniques are more useful than radiographs in the diagnosis of these lesions. Sekerci et al³⁷ reported two cases where CBCT was employed to diagnose an anatomical variation of the maxillary sinus that mimicked a periapical cyst, thereby avoiding unnecessary intervention.

Presurgical assessment

Three-dimensional imaging allows clear identification of the anatomical relationship of the root apices with important neighboring anatomical structures such as the inferior dental canal, mental foramen, and maxillary sinus. CBCT may play an important role in the periapical microsurgery of palatal roots of the maxillary first molars. The

distance between the cortical plate and the palatal root apex can be measured, and the presence or absence of the maxillary sinus between the roots can be assessed.³⁸

The following parameters can be easily assessed using CT scans before microsurgical treatment planning: proximity to critical vital structures, thickness and architecture of the cortical plate and the cancellous bone, inclination of roots, root canal morphology, untreated root canals, cracks and fractures, and the location and extent of periapical pathoses. The likelihood of detecting periapical lesions with periapical radiographs has been shown to be reduced when the root apices were in close proximity to the floor of the maxillary sinus and when there was < 1 mm of bone between the periapical lesion and the sinus floor. Furthermore, confident access to the surgical site can be planned by rapid prototyping and stereolithography.³⁹

The diagnosis of root resorptions is a challenging issue in endodontics. Radiographs often fail to detect root resorptions along the lingual aspects of teeth. Furthermore, the cervical burnout, which is characteristic of periapical radiographs serves as a confounding factor in diagnosing resorptive defects. CBCT-reconstructed images have been successfully used in the diagnosis and management of resorptive lesions.⁴⁰ One can detect the nature and location of the resorptive defect, in addition to identifying the portal of entry of these lesions. Treatment strategies can be easily planned on the basis of the 3D imaging of these defects.⁴¹

Diagnosis of dental trauma

A single scan followed by multiplanar views can be used to assess the severity of dental trauma. This is particularly useful in luxation injuries and in fractures of the dentoalveolar complex. Root fractures in the horizontal direction need multiple angulated radiographs to detect the nature of fracture. Despite this, they may not be completely identified. With CT scans (preferably CBCT), horizontal root fractures can be identified with ease.⁴² CBCT also has an added advantage in trauma cases in that it is an extraoral technique and hence, more comfortable for the patient. CBCT scans are also able to detect cortical bone fractures, which cannot be diagnosed from a clinical or conventional radiographic examination.

Vertical root fractures (VRF) offer several diagnostic challenges. The lack of consistent signs and symptoms as well as the low sensitivity of conventional radiographs make the detection of VRF difficult. CBCT has been shown to be an important tool in the diagnosis of VRF.⁴³ Edlund et al⁴⁴ determined the diagnostic accuracy of the

CBCT detection of suspected VRFs in endodontically treated teeth by using exploratory surgery to confirm the presence or absence of a fracture. Their study revealed that the positive predictive value and the negative predictive value of CBCT was 91% and 67%, respectively. With a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 75%, CBCT proved to be a superior diagnostic tool in the detection of VRF.

Metska et al⁴⁵ evaluated two limited field-of-view scanners (NewTom 3G and 3D Accuitomo 170) and found that the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the NewTom 3G were 75%, 56%, and 68%, respectively, and for the 3D Accuitomo 170, they were 100%, 80%, and 93%, respectively. The positive predictive value and the negative predictive value were 75% and 55%, respectively, for NewTom 3G and 90% and 100%, respectively, for 3D Accuitomo 170. The results of their study were interesting with respect to clinical practice because the reproducibility and accuracy of VRF detection appeared to depend on the CBCT system used. Also, the sensitivity of the NewTom 3G system was reduced by the presence of metallic posts in contrast to the i-CAT system (Imaging Sciences International, Hatfield, PA, USA).⁴⁵

The favorable voxel size of CBCT (0.125-2 mm) and the ability to achieve multiplanar image reconstruction in the axial, sagittal, and coronal sections, in addition to the minimal artifact interference make this modality extremely important in the assessment of maxillofacial structures. However, root-filling materials might serve as artifacts.⁴⁶ This in addition to beam hardening during CBCT might result in an erroneous diagnosis of VRF. Bechara et al⁴⁷ demonstrated that CBCT with smaller fields of view had higher accuracy and sensitivity for detecting VFR than CBCT with larger fields of view as well as photostimulated phosphor plates.

Discussion

Treatment success in endodontics (non-surgical and surgical) results from an accurate diagnosis and debridement of the root canal space. High-end imaging techniques must be employed in endodontics for making a correct diagnosis and to provide the best standard of care. Three types of CT scans, namely CBCT, spiral CT, and pQCT, have been used in clinical endodontics. *In vitro* research in endodontics commonly employs micro-CT.

The application of 3D imaging methods in clinical endodontics should be based on a benefit-risk analysis. The importance of CT scans, particularly CBCT, in the diagnosis of root canal anatomy, root resorption, root fractures, and

differential diagnosis of periapical lesions has been reviewed in this article. The advantages of CT scans with respect to these factors are clear. Nevertheless, it must be understood that CT scans use ionizing radiation and patient exposure should be kept as low as reasonably practicable, based on the *As Low As Reasonably Achievable* (ALARA) principle. Longer scanning times and high costs may prohibit the routine use of CT scans in endodontics. However, considering the potential advantages of CT scans in endodontics, more economical systems should be developed so that 3D imaging becomes an integral part of endodontic diagnostics.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Dr. Hugo Campos Leitao, Portugal, for the help with the CBCT imaging in this paper.

References

1. Cotton TP, Geisler TM, Holden DT, Schwartz SA, Schindler WG. Endodontic applications of cone-beam volumetric tomography. *J Endod* 2007; 33: 1121-32.
2. Tachibana H, Matsumoto K. Applicability of X-ray computerized tomography in endodontics. *Endod Dent Traumatol* 1990; 6: 16-20.
3. Nair MK, Nair UP. Digital and advanced imaging in endodontics: a review. *J Endod* 2007; 33: 1-6.
4. Schwarz MS, Rothman SL, Rhodes ML, Chafetz N. Computed tomography. Part I. Preoperative assessment of the mandible for endosseous implant surgery. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 1987; 2: 137-41.
5. La SH, Jung DH, Kim EC, Min KS. Identification of independent middle mesial canal in mandibular first molar using cone-beam computed tomography imaging. *J Endod* 2010; 36: 542-5.
6. Sberna MT, Rizzo G, Zacchi E, Cappare P, Rubinacci A. A preliminary study of the use of peripheral quantitative computed tomography for investigating root canal anatomy. *Int Endod J* 2009; 42: 66-75.
7. Gopikrishna V, Reuben J, Kandaswamy D. Endodontic management of a maxillary first molar with two palatal roots and a single fused buccal root diagnosed with spiral computed tomography - a case report. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2008; 105: e74-8.
8. Chandra SS, Rajasekaran M, Shankar P, Indira R. Endodontic management of a mandibular first molar with three distal canals confirmed with the aid of spiral computerized tomography: a case report. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2009; 108: e77-81.
9. Reuben J, Velmurugan N, Kandaswamy D. The evaluation of root canal morphology of the mandibular first molar in an Indian population using spiral computed tomography scan: an *in vitro* study. *J Endod* 2008; 34: 212-5.

10. Grossman LI, Oliet S, Del Rio CE. Endodontic practice. 11th ed. Philadelphia: Lea & Febiger; 1988. p. 145-7.
11. Weine FS, Hayami S, Hata G, Toda T. Canal configuration of the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar of a Japanese sub-population. *Int Endod J* 1999; 32: 79-87.
12. Alavi AM, Opananon A, Ng YL, Gulabivala K. Root and canal morphology of Thai maxillary molars. *Int Endod J* 2002; 35: 478-85.
13. Vertucci FJ. Root canal morphology of mandibular premolars. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1978; 97: 47-50.
14. Pattanshetti N, Gaidhane M, Al Kandari AM. Root and canal morphology of the mesiobuccal and distal roots of permanent first molars in a Kuwait population - a clinical study. *Int Endod J* 2008; 41: 755-62.
15. Fan B, Gao Y, Fan W, Gutmann JL. Identification of a C-shaped canal system in mandibular second molars - part II: the effect of bone image superimposition and intraradicular contrast medium on radiograph interpretation. *J Endod* 2008; 34: 160-5.
16. Naoum HJ, Love RM, Chandler NP, Herbison P. Effect of X-ray beam angulation and intraradicular contrast medium on radiographic interpretation of lower first molar root canal anatomy. *Int Endod J* 2003; 36: 12-9.
17. Plotino G, Grande NM, Pecci R, Bedini R, Pameijer CH, Somma F. Three-dimensional imaging using microcomputed tomography for studying tooth macromorphology. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2006; 137: 1555-61.
18. Fan B, Yang J, Gutmann JL, Fan M. Root canal systems in mandibular first premolars with C-shaped root configurations. Part I: Microcomputed tomography mapping of the radicular groove and associated root canal cross-sections. *J Endod* 2008; 34: 1337-41.
19. Neelakantan P, Subbarao C, Subbarao CV. Comparative evaluation of modified canal staining and clearing technique, cone-beam computed tomography, peripheral quantitative computed tomography, spiral computed tomography, and plain and contrast medium-enhanced digital radiography in studying root canal morphology. *J Endod* 2010; 36: 1547-51.
20. Liu J, Luo J, Dou L, Yang D. CBCT study of root and canal morphology of permanent mandibular incisors in a Chinese population. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2014; 72: 26-30.
21. Neelakantan P, Subbarao C, Ahuja R, Subbarao CV, Gutmann JL. Cone-beam computed tomography study of root and canal morphology of maxillary first and second molars in an Indian population. *J Endod* 2010; 36: 1622-7.
22. Kim SY, Kim BS, Woo J, Kim Y. Morphology of mandibular first molars analyzed by cone-beam computed tomography in a Korean population: variations in the number of roots and canals. *J Endod* 2013; 39: 1516-21.
23. Plotino G, Tocci L, Grande NM, Testarelli L, Messineo D, Ciotti M, et al. Symmetry of root and root canal morphology of maxillary and mandibular molars in a white population: a cone-beam computed tomography study in vivo. *J Endod* 2013; 39: 1545-8.
24. Silva EJ, Nejaïm Y, Silva AV, Haïter-Neto F, Cohenca N. Evaluation of root canal configuration of mandibular molars in a Brazilian population by using cone-beam computed tomography: an in vivo study. *J Endod* 2013; 39: 849-52.
25. Ohishi K, Ohishi M, Takahashi A, Kido J, Uemura S, Nagata T. Examination of the roots of paramolar tubercles with computed tomography: report of 3 cases. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1999; 88: 479-83.
26. Peters OA, Laib A, Rütgesegger P, Barbakow F. Three-dimensional analysis of root canal geometry by high-resolution computed tomography. *J Dent Res* 2000; 79: 1405-9.
27. Eder A, Kantor M, Nell A, Moser T, Gahleitner A, Schedle A, et al. Root canal system in the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar: an in vitro comparison study of computed tomography and histology. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2006; 35: 175-7.
28. Nance R, Tyndall D, Levin LG, Trope M. Identification of root canals in molars by tuned-aperture computed tomography. *Int Endod J* 2000; 33: 392-6.
29. Barton DJ, Clark SJ, Eleazer PD, Scheetz JP, Farman AG. Tuned-aperture computed tomography versus parallax analog and digital radiographic images in detecting second mesiobuccal canals in maxillary first molars. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2003; 96: 223-8.
30. Oi T, Saka H, Ide Y. Three-dimensional observation of pulp cavities in the maxillary first premolar tooth using micro-CT. *Int Endod J* 2004; 37: 46-51.
31. Peters OA, Schönenberger K, Laib A. Effects of four Ni-Ti preparation techniques on root canal geometry assessed by micro computed tomography. *Int Endod J* 2001; 34: 221-30.
32. Sonntag D, Stachniss-Carp S, Stachniss V. Determination of root canal curvatures before and after canal preparation (part 1): a literature review. *Aust Endod J* 2005; 31: 89-93.
33. Paqué F, Barbakow F, Peters OA. Root canal preparation with Endo-Eze AET: changes in root canal shape assessed by micro-computed tomography. *Int Endod J* 2005; 38: 456-64.
34. Cimilli H, Cimilli T, Mumcu G, Kartal N, Wesselink P. Spiral computed tomographic demonstration of C-shaped canals in mandibular second molars. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2005; 34: 164-7.
35. Estrela C, Bueno MR, Leles CR, Azevedo B, Azevedo JR. Accuracy of cone beam computed tomography and panoramic and periapical radiography for detection of apical periodontitis. *J Endod* 2008; 34: 273-9.
36. de Paula-Silva FW, Wu MK, Leonardo MR, da Silva LA, Wesselink PR. Accuracy of periapical radiography and cone-beam computed tomography scans in diagnosing apical periodontitis using histopathological findings as a gold standard. *J Endod* 2009; 35: 1009-12.
37. Sekerci AE, Sisman Y, Etoz M, Bulut DG. Aberrant anatomical variation of maxillary sinus mimicking periapical cyst: a report of two cases and role of CBCT in diagnosis. *Case Rep Dent* 2013; 2013: 757645.
38. Rigolone M, Pasqualini D, Bianchi L, Berutti E, Bianchi SD. Vestibular surgical access to the palatine root of the superior first molar: "low-dose cone-beam" CT analysis of the pathway and its anatomic variations. *J Endod* 2003; 29: 773-5.
39. Scarfe WC, Farman AG. What is cone-beam CT and how does it work? *Dent Clin North Am* 2008; 52: 707-30.
40. Maini A, Durning P, Drage N. Resorption: within or without? The benefit of cone-beam computed tomography when diagnosing a case of an internal/external resorption defect. *Br Dent*

- J 2008; 204: 135-7.
41. Cohenca N, Simon JH, Mathur A, Malfaz JM. Clinical indications for digital imaging in dento-alveolar trauma. Part 2: root resorption. *Dent Traumatol* 2007; 23: 105-13.
 42. Terakado M, Hashimoto K, Arai Y, Honda M, Sekiwa T, Sato H. Diagnostic imaging with newly developed ortho cubic super-high resolution computed tomography (Ortho-CT). *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2000; 89: 509-18.
 43. Fayad MI, Ashkenaz PJ, Johnson BR. Different representations of vertical root fractures detected by cone-beam volumetric tomography: a case series report. *J Endod* 2012; 38: 1435-42.
 44. Edlund M, Nair MK, Nair UP. Detection of vertical root fractures by using cone-beam computed tomography: a clinical study. *J Endod* 2011; 37: 768-72.
 45. Metska ME, Aartman IH, Wesselink PR, Özok AR. Detection of vertical root fractures in vivo in endodontically treated teeth by cone-beam computed tomography scans. *J Endod* 2012; 38: 1344-7.
 46. Jakobson SJ, Westphalen VP, Silva Neto UX, Fariniuk LF, Schroeder AG, Carneiro E. The influence of metallic posts in the detection of vertical root fractures using different imaging examinations. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2014; 43: 20130287.
 47. Bechara B, McMahan CA, Noujeim M, Faddoul T, Moore WS, Teixeira FB, et al. Comparison of cone beam CT scans with enhanced photostimulated phosphor plate images in the detection of root fracture of endodontically treated teeth. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2013; 42: 20120404.