Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Science and Animal Industry, Volume 24, Numbers 1 and 2, April, 1950.

Printed in the Union of South Africa by the Government Printer, Pretoria.

# The Nutritive Value of South African Feeding Stuffs. III.—Digestible Nutrients and Metabolizable Energy Content of a Mixture (1:1) of Lucerne Hay and Yellow Maize at Different Planes of Intake for Sheep.

By G. J. TRUTER and J. G. LOUW, Section of Biochemistry, Onderstepoort.

Previous publications from this laboratory dealt with the amino acid deficiencies and supplementary relations of the proteins of the two most important cultivated feeding stuffs of this country, maize and lucerne hay [Marais and Smuts, 1939; Marais and Smuts, 1940 (1), 1940 (II)]. A marked supplementary effect among the proteins was observed, a result pointing to the desirability of feeding mixtures of these feeds to farm animals.

Lucerne hay is considered lacking not only in certain amino acids but also in available energy for certain productive purposes. For instance, it is held that a high producing cow is incapable of consuming sufficient of this roughage for meeting its energy requirements; some form of concentrate like maize must be fed in addition. Furthermore, it is generally recognised that the digestible nutrients of an all-roughage ration are not as efficiently utilized for growth or milk production as when it is supplemented with a concentrate. A study of the digestibility, an essential preliminary to a complete nutritive evaluation of any ration, of mixtures of lucerne hay and maize is, therefore, of more than usual interest.

In the second paper of this series it was reported (Louw, 1944) that the plane of intake had no influence on the digestibility of lucerne hay for sheep. This particular aspect of the general problem relating to the factors affecting the digestibility and nutritive value of feeds is continued with the present study on a simple production ration of equal parts by weight of crushed yellow maize and lucerne hay.

### EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE.

The program embraced a series of three consecutive experiments in which the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of a ration of equal parts by weight of lucerne hay and crushed maize were determined at three different levels of intake. These trials were carried out during August, September and October,

#### Received for publication on 18th February, 1948.-Editor.

1943, with five full-grown Merino wethers. Since they happened to have been fed on lucerne hay *ad lib*. for some months prior to the commencement of the trials the sheep were in excellent condition, averaging over 100 lb. in live weight.

In the first trial each animal received an amount of the ration estimated to be sufficient for the maintenance of body weight. This was followed by a period in which the feed of all the sheep was increased by 50 per cent. In a fina<sup>1</sup> experiment the maintenance allowance of the first trial was doubled.

The general procedure followed in this work was essentially the same as that previously described (Louw, loc. cit.), and need for that reason not be repeated here. Preliminary periods lasted 13, 11 and 9 days in the case of trials I, II and III, respectively. Faeces and urine were in each case collected for 10 days. The method of Norman and Jenkins (1934) for lignin was retained but their procedure for cellulose was replaced by that of Crampton and Maynard (1938).

The feeds used were taken from supplies purchased on the open market for general feeding purposes on the station.

Some of the data were analysed statistically by Fisher's analysis of variance method.

#### **RESULTS.**

Table 1 describes the chemical composition and gross energy content of the dry matter of the feeds consumed. It will be noted that, judged by protein content, the lucerne hay was of an exceptionally good quality, and that no great differences occurred in the composition of the feeds used in the several periods.

#### TABLE 1.

Percentage Composition and Gross Energy Content of the Dry Matter of the Feeds consumed.

Period.	Feed.	Crude. Protein.	Ether Extract.	Ash.	Cellu- lose.	Lignin.	* Other Carbohy- drates.	Gross Energy per Kg. (Therms)
I	Lucerne Hay Maize	$\begin{array}{c} 20 \cdot 2 \\ 11 \cdot 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2\cdot 5 \\ 5\cdot 5 \end{array}$	$9 \cdot 8$ $1 \cdot 2$	$26 \cdot 1$ $2 \cdot 0$	8·4 —	$\begin{array}{c} 33 \cdot 0 \\ 80 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$4 \cdot 372 \\ 4 \cdot 540$
II	Lucerne Hay Maize	$21 \cdot 4$ $11 \cdot 8$	$2 \cdot 6 \\ 5 \cdot 4$	$9 \cdot 8 \\ 1 \cdot 2$	$26 \cdot 4 \\ 2 \cdot 0$	8·3	$31 \cdot 5 \\ 79 \cdot 6$	$4 \cdot 434 \\ 4 \cdot 546$
III	Lucerne Hay Maize	$21 \cdot 1 \\ 10 \cdot 5$	$rac{2\cdot 4}{5\cdot 3}$	$9.8 \\ 1.2$	$26 \cdot 2$ $2 \cdot 0$	8.3	$32 \cdot 2 \\ 81 \cdot 0$	$4 \cdot 435 \\ 4 \cdot 528$

\* Signifies the fraction not determined and includes sugars, starch, hemicellulose, etc.

The general collection data, composition of the faeces and urine and the nitrogen balances are given by tables A and B in an appendix. Digestion coefficients calculated in the customary manner are summarised in table 2.

#### G. J. TRUTER AND J. G. LOUW.

# TABLE 2.

Sheep No.	Dry Matter.	Crude Protein.	Cellulose.	Lignin.	Ether. Extract.	Other Carbo- drates.
	1T	PERIOD	IMAINTENA	NCE.	1	
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 82 \cdot 7 \\ 81 \cdot 9 \\ 82 \cdot 4 \\ 82 \cdot 8 \\ 82 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 84 \cdot 3 \\ 83 \cdot 0 \\ 82 \cdot 5 \\ 83 \cdot 0 \\ 83 \cdot 0 \end{array}$	$70 \cdot 8 \\ 71 \cdot 2 \\ 73 \cdot 2 \\ 70 \cdot 4 \\ 70 \cdot 0$	$   \begin{array}{r}     10 \cdot 8 \\     6 \cdot 3 \\     12 \cdot 9 \\     6 \cdot 4 \\     7 \cdot 5   \end{array} $	$70 \cdot 4 \\ 72 \cdot 8 \\ 70 \cdot 9 \\ 73 \cdot 3 \\ 70 \cdot 5$	$ \begin{array}{c} 94 \cdot 6 \\ 94 \cdot 0 \\ 94 \cdot 0 \\ 94 \cdot 7 \\ 94 \cdot 5 \end{array} $
Mean	82.4	$83 \cdot 2$	71 · 1	.8.8	$71 \cdot 6$	94 • 4
	,	Period 1	II.— $l\frac{1}{2} \times Maint$	ENANCE.	,	)
1 2 3 4 5	$   \begin{array}{r}     79 \cdot 3 \\     80 \cdot 0 \\     80 \cdot 0 \\     79 \cdot 9 \\     80 \cdot 1   \end{array} $	$78 \cdot 7 \\80 \cdot 1 \\80 \cdot 4 \\80 \cdot 2 \\79 \cdot 0$	$ \begin{array}{c} 69 \cdot 8 \\ 68 \cdot 0 \\ 68 \cdot 6 \\ 67 \cdot 5 \\ 68 \cdot 2 \end{array} $	$5 \cdot 6 \\ 5 \cdot 3 \\ 3 \cdot 6 \\ 4 \cdot 3 \\ 4 \cdot 1$	$ \begin{array}{c} 68 \cdot 9 \\ 68 \cdot 7 \\ 68 \cdot 7 \\ 68 \cdot 0 \\ 69 \cdot 8 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 91 \cdot 8 \\ 93 \cdot 5 \\ 93 \cdot 3 \\ 93 \cdot 5 \\ 93 \cdot 5 \\ 93 \cdot 5 \end{array}$
MEAN	79.9	79.7	$68 \cdot 4$	$4 \cdot 6$	68.8	93.1
		Period II	I.— $2 \times Mainte$	NANCE.		
1 2 3 4 5	$\begin{array}{c} 81 \cdot 2 \\ 79 \cdot 1 \\ 78 \cdot 0 \\ 81 \cdot 5 \\ 80 \cdot 4 \end{array}$	78.677.176.481.276.9	71.869.267.668.470.2	$     \begin{array}{r}       19 \cdot 7 \\       15 \cdot 3 \\       11 \cdot 2 \\       9 \cdot 4 \\       11 \cdot 6     \end{array} $	$71 \cdot 2 73 \cdot 5 67 \cdot 6 65 \cdot 4 66 \cdot 8$	92.389.989.393.592.5
MEAN	80.0	78.0	69.4	13.4	68.9	91.5

#### Summary of Digestion Coefficients.

#### Digestibility.

The ration of lucerne hay and crushed maize proved a highly digestible mixture for sheep:  $82 \cdot 4$  per cent. of the dry matter was on an average digested at the lowest level of intake. Compared with mean digestion co-efficients ranging from 71 \cdot 1 per cent. for cellulose to  $94 \cdot 4$  per cent. for "other carbohydrates" lignin stood out by itself amongst the individual fractions of the dry matter with an average digestibility of only  $8 \cdot 8$  per cent. This figure is much lower than that of about  $24 \cdot 5$  per cent. reported for the digestibility of the lignin in a ration composed of grass hay alone, and a similar figure for that of one consisting only of lucerne hay, reported in previous publications (Louw, 1941, 1944). It may be estimated, from a knowledge of the general composition of maize and lucerne hay, that at least 80 per cent. of the "other carbohydrates" portion of the ration was composed of starch and sugar. The fact that this fraction was almost completely digested was, threfore, not unexpected. At all events in a ration of

maize and lucerne hay "lignin" and "other carbohydrates" represent chemical fractions showing greatest difference in biological utilization, the former proving the least and the latter the most digestible constituent of the ration.

The differences between the mean digestion coefficients of any two periods for the dry matter and some individual fractions of the rations, and their statistical significance, are summarised in table 3.

### TABLE 3.

Difference between mean<sup>\*</sup> Digestion Coefficients and their Significance.

Periods Compared.	Dry Matter.	Crude Protein.	Cellulose.	Other Carbo- hydrates.
I and II	$2.5^{+}_{+}$	3.5‡	2.7†	1.3*
I and III	$2 \cdot 4 \ddagger$	$5\cdot 2\S$	1.7*	2.9‡
II and III	-0.1*	1.7*	-1·0*	1.6†

\*=No Significance.  $\dagger$ =Significant at P=.05.  $\ddagger$ =Significant at P=.01. \$=Significant at P=.001.

From the data in table 3 it would appear that a small, though significant, decrease occurred in the digestibility of the dry matter offered in periods II and III when compared with that ted in period I. This decrease which was similar in magnitude at the medium and at the high level of nutrition affected the constituents of the dry matter differently. Thus, whilst in the case of protein there was a substantial and progressive drop in apparent digestibility as the plane of nutrition increased, amounting to 5.2 absolute per cent. at the high level, "other carbohydrates" appeared to be less influenced by increased levels of nutrition, the digestibility of this fraction decreasing only from 94.4 per cent. in period I to 91.5 per cent. in period III. It is to be noted, too, that whilst with protein the greatest decrease in digestibility took place at the medium level of intake (period II) the lowering effect seemed in the case of "other carbohydrates" most marked at the high plane of intake (period III). This latter phenomenon may be due not so much to decreased digestibility of the feed than to the possibility that the absorption mechanism of the digestive tract could not cope with the increased supply of digested nutrients.

Cellulose behaved very much like the dry matter: a significant drop in digestibility from  $71 \cdot 1$  to  $68 \cdot 4$  per cent. coincided with a 50 per cent. increase in the maintenance level but no material change in the efficiency of digestion was in evidence with a further increase in the plane of nutrition. Similar remarks apply to the ether extract fraction of the ration.

The finding, referred to above, that the digestibility of crude protein was depressed more than any other constituent of the production ration, apparently by increased feed consumption, is in agreement with the results of an experiment by Watson *et al* (1936) on the influence of plane of nutrition on the digestibility of a hay barley ration. These workers designed their experiment in a manner allowing of the elimination of a possible seasonal (period) effect on digestibility and found that only the nitrogen of the ration was affected by the plane of nutrition, a depression in digestibility amounting to approximately 6 absolute per cent. being recorded at the highest level of intake. However, the question

remains whether the differences in digestibility noted in the present experiment were due to the plane of nutrition or to the period effect referred to above. In other words, are the observed differences to be ascribed to plane of nutrition *per se* or must they be taken as normal variations in the efficiency with which an animal will digest the same feed at different times of the year? A definite answer to this question must await the results of an investigation, now under way at this Institute and designed to throw light on the existence and magnitude of the so-called period effect.

The total and digestible nutrient content of the rations consumed have been calculated in the usual manner from data in Tables 1, 2 and A (Appendix) and presented in table 4.

	Organic Matter.	Crude. Protein.	Carbohydrates.	Crude Fat.	Total Digest- able Nutrients
		PERIOD IM	AINTENANCE.		
Total Digestible	$94 \cdot 50 \\ 79 \cdot 57$	15 - 75 13 - 10	74.75 63.24	$4.00 \\ 2.86$	82.77
	P	ERIOD II.—112	< MAINTENANCE.		
Total Digestible	$94.50 \\ 77.49$	16.60 13-23	73-90 61-49	4.00 2.75	80.91
	P	PERIOD III.—2>	MAINTENANCE.		
Total Digestible	$94 \cdot 50 \\ 77 \cdot 02$	$\begin{array}{c} 15\cdot 80\\ 12\cdot 33\end{array}$	$74 \cdot 85 \\ 62 \cdot 00$	$3 \cdot 85 \\ 2 \cdot 65$	80-29

# TABLE 4. Average Percentage Composition of the Dry Matter of the three Rations.

"Carbohydrates" in this table is the sum of the cellulose, lignin and "other carbohydrates" of table 1. Attention should be drawn to the finding that whilst the total digestible nutrient contents at the  $1\frac{1}{2} \times$  maintenance and the  $2 \times$  maintenance levels were practically the same that recorded for the maintenance level was found higher by approximately 2 absolute per cent.

#### Metabolizable energy.

By subtracting from the gross energy of the feed consumed the gross energy of the solid, liquid and gaseous excreta the metabolizable energy content of the rations fed in the three periods of the experiment have been calculated and presented in table 5. The gaseous energy loss was estimated at the rate of 4.5grams of methane per 100 grams of digestible carbohydrates, the calorific value of this gas being taken at 13.34 Calories per gram. The urinary energy has been corrected to nitrogen equilibrium, 7.45 Calories being subtracted from or added to this energy fraction for each gram of urinary nitrogen lost from (negative N-balance) or stored in (positive N-balance) the body.

	Rat
	Hav-maize
5.	T.wcerne
TABLE	of the
	enerov
	olizable

	ble Energy. rms.)	Per Kg. Total Diges- tible Nutri- ents.		1				3.554		IIIIII	Norman Andrewson	-		$3 \cdot 591$					1	3.588
	Metaboliza (The	Per Kg. Dry Matter.		2.990	2.961	2.923	2.925	2.941		2.892	218.2	2.898	2.912	2.905	   	2.957	2.898	2.825	2.926	2.920
tions.	Metaboli- zable Fnerov as	Per Cent. of Gross Energy.		$67 \cdot 2$	66 - 5 65 - 3	65.7	65.7	$66 \cdot 2$		64·4	04.8 64.8	$64 \cdot 5$	64.8	$64 \cdot 7$		$66 \cdot 0$	64.7	63.1	65 · 3	65.2
y-maize Ra	Total Meta- bolizable	Energy (Therms).		1.677	1.608 1.400	1.691	1.718	F		2.473	0.989	2.529	$2 \cdot 591$	1		3.356	3.187	2.929	3.508 3.481	1
лисетие На	Energy of	Methane (Therms).	В.	0.213	0.205 0.109	0.222	0.223	]	CE.	0.313	0.989	0.322	$0 \cdot 330$	Į	E.	0.429	0.403	0.376	$0.440 \\ 0.447$	
gy of the 1	Energy of	Urine. (Therms).	Maintenanc	$0 \cdot 152$	0.148 0.166	0.201	$0 \cdot 177$		$\times$ Maintenan	0.223	0.214	0.254	0.249		× Maintenanc	$0 \cdot 292$	0.274	0.205	0.294	
izable ener	Energy of	Facces. (Therms).		0.455	0.457 0.449	0.462	0.498	-	13	0.829	0.734	0.813	0.828		5	1.010	1 · 064	1.000	1.109	
he Metabol	Energy of Freed Con-	sumed. (Therms).		2.497	2.418 2.299	2.576	2.616	ļ		3.838	3.519	3.918	3.998			5.087	4-928	040.4	5.331	1
T	Dry Matter	Consumed (Kg.).		0.561	0.543 0.516	0.579	0.587	[		0.855	0.784	0.873	0.891			1.135	1.037	121.1	1.190	
	5	.on dealed		l	3	4	0	MEAN		1	3	4	9	MEAN.		1		4	5	MEAN

62

As may be expected the digestibility of the rations previously discussed were reflected in their metabolizable energy content. This becomes evident from a study of table 5. Of the gross energy of the maintenance ration of maize and lucerne hay  $66 \cdot 2$  per cent. was found metabolizable. This means that a kilogram of dry matter contained 2941 Calories of metabolizable energy, a figure which is considerably higher than the 2,748 Calories reported by Forbes *et al* (1928) as the metabolizable energy content, determined in the respiration calorimeter with two steers, of a Kilogram of the dry matter of a similar ration. The higher value obtained in the present investigation is probably largely due to the better quality of lucerne hay used. At the higher levels of nutrition somewhat lower percentages of the gross energy consumed,  $64 \cdot 7$  for the medium and  $65 \cdot 2$  for the high level, were found metabolizable.

#### Nitrogen balances.

It could normally be expected that the sheep, being mature animals, would be in nitrogen equilibrium during all the periods of the experiment. Reference to table A in the appendix shows that this expectation was not realised. Substantial negative nitrogen balances were recorded in period I, followed by equally substantial positive balances in period II, whilst still larger amounts of nitrogen were apparently stored in period III. Though improbable, there is the possibility of a deficiency in the energy requirement for maintenance in period I, a circumstance which would cause some tissue breakdown accompanied by increased excretion of nitrogen in the urine. An alternative explanation is, however, suggested by the fact that a limited amount of amino acids and proteins can be stored in the bodies of full-grown animals. Presumably, the magnitude, within limits, of this storage is determined by the level of protein intake. During a period following a sharp decrease in protein intake, as occurred at the commencement of the experiment when the sheep were transferred from an ad lib. ration of lucerne hay to the maintenance allowance of period I, a loss of accumulated tissue protein will, therefore, take place until the level of reserve protein corresponding with the new level of protein intake is attained. Apparently then, the preliminary period of 13 days on the maintenance ration was not sufficiently long to permit complete readjustment to the lower deposit protein level before the collection period was started. The result was a negative nitrogen balance. The plus nitrogen balances of periods II and III, on the other hand, could be explained on the basis that when an animal's protein intake is increased positive nitrogen balances will prevail until equilibrium is eventually established at the new higher level, and that this process of adaptation was still in operation for at least part of the collection periods of the second and third trials.

#### SUMMARY.

Mature Merino sheep were used as experimental subjects in a study of the digestibility of a ration of equal parts by weight of lucerne hay and crushed maize at three levels of nutrition, viz. maintenance,  $1\frac{1}{2} \times$  maintenance and  $2 \times$  maintenance. The data yielded the following conclusions:

(1) The digestibility of the dry matter was significantly decreased by  $2 \cdot 4$  absolute per cent. when the maintenance ration was increased by 50 per cent. Doubling the maintenance allowance had no further influence in this respect.

(2) The apparent digestibility of the protein decreased progressively as the plane of nutrition was increased, the decrease over the whole range being  $5 \cdot 2$  absolute per cent. This difference was statistically highly significant.

(3) The cellulose fraction behaved somewhat like the dry matter whilst "other carbohydrates" (sugars, starch, and hemicelluloses) showed, with increase in plane of nutrition, a progressive decrease in digestibility amounting to 2.9 absolute per cent. at the highest level of intake. This decrease was highly significant statistically.

(4) The digestibility of the lignin fluctuated from 4.6 per cent. at the  $1\frac{1}{2} \times$  maintenance level to 13.4 per cent. at the  $2 \times$  maintenance level.

#### REFERENCES.

- CRAMPTON, E. W. AND MAYNARD, L. A. (1938). The relation of cellulosc and lignin content to the nutritive value of animal feeds. *Jour. Nutrition*, Vol. 15, pp. 385–395.
- FORBES, E. B., BRAMAN, W. W., KRISS, M., JEFFRIES, C. D., SWIFT, R. W., ETC. (1928) The energy of metabolism of cattle in relation to the plane of nutrition. *Jour. Agric. Res.* Vol. 37, pp. 253-300.
- LOUW, J. G. (1941). The relative digestibility of the constituents of the carbohydrate complex of grasses at successive stages of growth with reference to their partition into crude fibre and nitrogen free extract according to the standard method for feeding stuff analysis. *Onderstepoort Jour.* Vol. 17, pp. 165–179.
- LOUW, J. G. (1944). The nutritive value of South African feeding stuffs. II, Digestible nutrients and metabolizable energy of lucerne hay at different planes of intake for sheep. *Onderstepoort Jour.* Vol. 20, pp. 85–95.
- MARAIS, J. S. C. AND SMUTS, D. B. (1939). The effect of supplementing lucerne with cystine and methionine on the growth of rats. Onderstepoort Jour. Vol. 12, pp. 369-375.
- MARAIS, J. S. C. AND SMUTS, D. B. (1940, I). The biological value of the proteins of maize and maize supplemented with lysine and tryptohane. *Onderstepoort Jour.* Vol. 15.
- MARAIS, J. S. C. AND SMUTS, D. B. (1940, II). The amino acid deficiencies of certain plant proteins and the supplementary effect between plant proteins as measured by means of their biological values. Onderstepoort Jour. Vol. 15, pp. 225-238.
- NORMAN, A. G. AND JENKINS, S. H. (1934). The determination of lignin. I Errors introduced by the presence of certain carbohydrates. II Errors introduced by the presence of proteins. *Biochem. Jour.* Vol. 28, pp.2147.
- WATSON, C. J., WOODWARD, J. C. DAVIDSON, W. M., MUIR, G. W. AND ROBINSON, C. H. (1936). Digestibility studies with ruminants. II, Plane of nutrition and digestibility of a haybarley ration. Sci. Agric. Vol. 17, pp.11-21.

APPENDIX.

TABLE A. Average Daily Collection Data and Nitrogen Balances.

	LIVE WE	JGHT (LB.)	RATION	(GM.).	DRY MA	tter Inta	.KE (GM.)	Dry	* Urine	Nitrogen	N. E	XCRETED	(GM.).	N.
Sheep.	Initial.	Final.	Lucerne Hay.	Crushed Maize.	Lucerne Hay.	Crushed Maize.	Total	Excreted (Gm.)	Excreted (Litres).	Intake. (Gm.)	Faeces.	Urine.	Total.	Balance.
			_		PER	M-I dor	LAINTENAI	ACE.						
1	109	108	$315 \\ 305$	315 305	$288 \cdot 2 \\ 279 \cdot 1$	272.5 263.8	560.7 542.9	97.3 98.5	3.29	$14.16 \\ 13.72$	$2.22 \\ 2.35$	$13 \cdot 20 \\ 12 \cdot 40$	$15.42 \\ 14.75$	-1.26 -1.03
	96 111 116	$\begin{array}{c} 96\\110\\116\end{array}$	290 325 330	290 325 330	$265 \cdot 4$ $297 \cdot 4$ $302 \cdot 0$	250.9 281.1 285.5	516-2 578-5 587-4	90.8 99.5 106.2	3.08 3.30 3.33	13.04 14.61 14.84	2.28 2.49 2.52	$\frac{11.90}{14.55}$ 12.95	14.18 17.04 15.47	-1.14 -2.43 -0.63
			_		PERIO	D II112	XMAINTE	NANCE.					_	
	116 113 109	118 112 109	480 460 440	480 460 440	434.0 415.8 397.8	$421 \cdot 0$ $403 \cdot 4$ $385 \cdot 9$	$8.550 \\ 819.2 \\ 783.7$	$178 \cdot 1$ $163 \cdot 4$ $156 \cdot 8$	3.36 3.36 3.18	22.81 21.85 90.90	4.86 4.35 4.09	$16 \cdot 19$ $16 \cdot 43$ $15 \cdot 32$	21.05 20.78 19.41	+1.76 +1.07 +1.49
	122	118	490 500	490 500	443.0	429-7	872.7	177.2	3.18 3.24	23.29	5.00	17.69	23.02 22.69	+1.07
					PERIO	D III2.	$\times$ Maintei	NANCE.						
	120	126	630	630	581.0	554.4 526.8	1,135.4	213.9	3.45	28.91	6.18 8.49	18.69	24.87	+4.04
g	105	110	280	580	526.6	510.4	1,037.0	228.4	3.42	26.33	6.24	16.31	22.55	+3.78
4	126 132	133 137	650 660	650 660	599 · 2 609 · 2	572.0 580.8	1,171.2 1,190.0	216.6 233.9	3.52 3.66	29.80 30.29	$5.61 \\ 6.99$	19.82 18.74	25.43 25.73	+4.37 +4.56
		_			*	Including	Washing	g,						+

G. J. TRUTER AND J. G. LOUW.

TABLE B.

	- F0	Dala				Other		URINE (PE	в 100 с.с.)
Sheep No.	Protein.	Extract.	Ash.	Cellulose.	Lignin.	Carbohy- drates.	Energy (Cal.).	Nitrogen (gm.).	Energy (Cal.).
				PERIOD I.					
l	14.2	6.7	15.4	24.2	55 55 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 56 5	17.3	467.8	0.40	4.59
	14.9	0.9 9.2	15.5	23.3	4.10	0.61	403.0	62.0	4.91 5.38
4	15.7	6.1	16.3	24.7	23.5	13.7	$464 \cdot 5$	0.44	$60 \cdot 9$
5	14.9	6.4	15.9	23.8	22.1	16.9	468.9	0.39	5.33
				PERIOD II.					
1	17.1	5.9	15.3	20.9	19.1	21.7	465.5	0.48	6.26
2	16.6	0.12	16.0	1.62	0.02	19.6	400.5	0.48	00.00
4.	16.5	1 6.9	16.0	123	20.1	17.8	463.9	0.58	7.93
Q	17.6	0.0	15.4	22.9	20.3	17.8	$467 \cdot 2$	0.55	7.43
-				PERIOD III.					
1	18.1	5.8	13.4	$21 \cdot 5$	18.1	23 • 1	472.5	0.54	7.58
2	17.4	4·8 8 · 8	12.2	ला ल हो ह	17.2	57 - LG	462.4	0.52	6.99
3	0.71	0.0	12.0	21.0	0.71	¥-17	471.9	0.56	16.03
	18.7	6.7	13.0	1.15	19.1	21.1	473.9	0.51	7.10

66