

Title: Specifying and sustaining pigmentation patterns in domestic and wild cats

Authors: Christopher B. Kaelin^{1,2*}, Xiao Xu^{3,4*}, Lewis Z. Hong², Victor A. David³, Kelly A. McGowan², Anne Schmidt-Küntzel^{3,5}, Melody E. Roelke³, Javier Pino⁶, Joan Pontius³, Gregory M. Cooper¹, Hermogenes Manuel², William F. Swanson⁷, Laurie Marker⁵, Cindy K. Harper⁸, Ann van Dyk⁹, Bisong Yue⁴, James C. Mullikin¹⁰, Wesley C. Warren¹¹, Eduardo Eizirik^{12,13}, Lidia Kos⁶, Stephen J. O'Brien^{3§†}, Gregory S. Barsh^{1,2§}, and Marilyn Menotti-Raymond³

Affiliations:

¹HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL 35806. ²Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 94305. ³Laboratory of Genomic Diversity, Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, Maryland 21702. ⁴Sichuan Key Laboratory of Conservation Biology on Endangered Wildlife, College of Life Sciences, Sichuan University, Sichuan 610064, China, ⁵Cheetah Conservation Fund, PO Box 1755, Otjiwarongo, Namibia. ⁶Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199. ⁷Center for Conservation and Research of Endangered Wildlife Cincinnati Zoo & Botanical Garden, Cincinnati, OH 45220. ⁸Veterinary Genetics Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Science Onderstepoort, University of Pretoria, South Africa. ⁹The Ann van Dyk Cheetah Centre, De Wildt, South Africa. ¹⁰Comparative Genomics Unit, National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH, Rockville, MD 20892. ¹¹The Genome Center, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63108. ¹²Faculdade de Biociências, PUCRS, Porto Alegre, RS 90619-900, Brazil. ¹³Instituto Pró-Carnívoros, Brazil.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

§ Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Greg Barsh (gbarsh@hudsonalpha.org) or Steve O'Brien (lgdchief@gmail.com).

† Current address: Theodosius Dobzhansky Center for Genome Informatics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

One sentence summary: Mutations of the cat *Tabby* gene also affect color pattern in cheetahs; together with expression studies of cheetah skin and transgenic mice, we develop an integrated model of felid color pattern biology.

Abstract: Color markings among felid species display both a remarkable diversity and a common underlying periodicity. A similar range of patterns within domestic cats suggests a conserved mechanism whose appearance can be altered by selection. We identify the gene responsible for tabby pattern variation in domestic cats as *Transmembrane aminopeptidase Q* (*Taqpep*), which encodes a membrane-bound metalloprotease. Analyzing 31 other felid species we identify *Taqpep* as the cause of the rare king cheetah phenotype, in which spots coalesce into blotches and stripes. Histologic, genomic expression, and transgenic mouse studies indicate that paracrine expression of *Endothelin3* (*Edn3*) coordinates localized color differences. We propose a two-stage model in which *Taqpep* helps to establish a periodic pre-pattern during skin development that is later implemented by differential expression of *Edn3*.

Main text:

The molecular basis and evolutionary variation of periodic mammalian color patterns have been difficult to address from genetic crosses of model organisms. Domestic cats (*Felis catus*) exhibit heritable variation of tabby markings—mackerel vs. blotched—that provide an opportunity for such genetic analysis (1). Tabby markings are a composite of two features: (i) a light background component in which individual hairs have extensive light bands, and (ii) a superimposed darker component in which hairs have little or no banding. In mackerel cats, the dark component is organized into narrow vertical stripes with a constant and regular spacing, whereas in blotched cats, the dark component is expanded into a less organized structure with wide whorls (Fig. 1A). Periodic color patterns in other felids may represent the same process, e.g. with dark tabby markings in domestic cats homologous to black stripes or spots in tigers or cheetahs, respectively (2).

A logical explanation for tabby patterning involves the Agouti-melanocortin receptor system, in which Agouti protein, a paracrine signaling molecule released from dermal papillae, acts on overlying hair follicle melanocytes to inhibit the melanocortin 1 receptor (Mclr), causing a switch from the production of black/brown eumelanin to red/yellow pheomelanin (3, 4). According to this hypothesis, dark tabby stripes are areas in which Agouti signaling is suppressed or surmounted during hair growth and regeneration. However, known components of the Agouti-melanocortin pathway do not affect the shape of tabby patterns (1, 2, 5, 6). Instead, the difference between mackerel and blotched is controlled by a single locus, *Tabby*, whose genetic position does not suggest an obvious candidate gene (7), but whose effects could be manifested via differential control of melanocortin signaling.

The 3x cat genome assembly is not contiguous across the *Tabby* linkage interval (7), but comparison to homologous regions in the dog and human genomes suggest a candidate interval of ~5 Mb in length (Fig. S1A). Old World wild cats, from which domestic cats arose ~10,000 years ago (8), exhibit a mackerel-like pattern. However, the blotched pattern is common in many modern breeds, suggesting that one or a few Ta^b causal variants would lie in a region of reduced allelic variation due to recent selection. We used comparative genomic information to identify SNPs in the candidate interval, then genotyped and analyzed 16 blotched (Ta^b/Ta^b) and 33 mackerel (Ta^M/Ta^M or Ta^M/Ta^b) animals from a feral population in Northern California. Five SNPs from a 180 kb interval on chrA1 showed significant association (P range = 9×10^{-4} - 3.2×10^{-9}) (Fig. S1B).

Twenty-four markers genotyped in and around the associated region in 58 blotched and 19 mackerel cats indicated that all blotched animals shared a common haplotype extending 244 kb, whereas mackerel samples exhibited several haplotypes within the same interval (Fig. S1C, S2). Coding sequences from three genes are located within the 244 kb interval: *Commd10*, *LOC644100*, and a third gene whose human ortholog has been referred to as both *Aminopeptidase Q* and *Laeverin* (9). No sequence alterations were observed in *LOC644100* or *Commd10*, but most blotched cats carried a nonsense mutation, W841X, in exon 17 of the third

gene. We subsequently identified two additional variants in the same gene, S59X and D228N (Fig. 1B, S2; Table S1). This gene is expressed in developing felid skin, and its loss of function causes a loss of color pattern periodicity without obvious effects on other organ systems. We refer to this gene as *Transmembrane Aminopeptidase Q* (*Taqpep*) and the protein product as Tabulin to reflect its organismal function.

Taqpep encodes a type II membrane-spanning protein of the M1 aminopeptidase family, whose members are characterized by the presence of GAMEN exopeptidase (SAMEN in *Taqpep*) and HEXXH₁₈E zinc-binding motifs in their extracellular domains (Fig. 1B) (9). In feral cats, we observed homozygosity or compound heterozygosity for the *Ta^b* S59X or W841X alleles in 58/58 blotched animals, with no phenotypic distinction among the different genotypic classes, compared to 51/51 mackerel cats that carried 0 or 1 *Ta^b* alleles (Table S1). A third *Ta^b* allele, D228N, was found to cosegregate with the blotched phenotype in an NIH colony (Fig. S2D). In feral cats, we also observed two variants at codon 139, one of which, T139N, was significantly associated ($P = 0.0017$, Fisher's exact test) with an atypical swirled pattern (Fig. S2, S4D, Table S1), and therefore may represent hypomorphic or neomorphic activity. Overall, the mutant W841X allele predominates, and is responsible for the strong haplotype signature (Fig. S1C), although the S59X allele occurs on the same haplotype background, in *trans* to W841X (Fig. S2).

In the cheetah (*Acinonyx jubatus*), the rare king pattern was originally described as a distinct felid species (10), but later recognized as a monogenic trait with an autosomal recessive mode of inheritance (11). In king cheetahs, the black spots coalesce into larger areas, and multiple longitudinal black stripes appear on the dorsum (Fig. 2A). Wild king cheetahs have been sighted only in a small area of sub-Saharan Africa (Fig. S3) (11). *Taqpep* genomic sequence from a captive king cheetah in North America revealed a base pair insertion in exon 20, predicting a frameshift that replaces what would normally be the carboxy-terminal 16 amino acids with 109 new residues (N977Kfs110, Fig. 2B). Additional DNA samples from a captive pedigree demonstrated complete cosegregation of the king pattern with the N977Kfs110 mutation (LOD = 5.7), and further revealed that the mutant allele was introduced into the pedigree by one homozygous and two heterozygous animals (Fig. S3). We did not detect the N977Kfs110 mutation in wild cheetahs caught in Namibia (n=191), Tanzania (n=23), or Kenya (n=3).

Depictions of tabby markings from the Middle Ages are mostly mackerel but the blotched phenotype arose to sufficiently high frequency to be described by Linnaeus in 1758 as characteristic for the domestic cat, predating the formation of most modern breeds. We examined the predicted Tabulin sequence in 351 cats from 24 breeds (Table S2), and observed that the W841X allele is polymorphic in most breeds of Western origin, but rare or absent in Eastern breeds. The high allele frequency for W841X in the Abyssinian (1.0), the Birman (0.71), and the Himalayan (0.77) is especially notable since tabby markings in these breeds are masked by epistatic interactions. The S59X allele, likely representing a complete ablation of protein

function, is most common in Norwegian Forest Cats, and we observed one S59X/S59X homozygote with a blotched phenotype.

We determined *Taqpep* sequence for 31 wild felid species, identifying 130 synonymous and 64 non-synonymous predicted substitutions (Fig. S4A). We assessed the potential functional impact of the non-synonymous substitutions with multivariate analysis of protein polymorphism (MAPP) (12), a quantitative approach that takes into account both evolutionary conservation and side chain physicochemical properties. A MAPP score > 10 indicates a likely impact on protein function, and the T139N and D228N substitutions associated with atypical swirled and blotched mutant phenotypes, respectively, in the domestic cat yield MAPP scores of 11 ($P = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$) and 14 ($P = 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$) (Fig. S4B). By contrast, the T139A variant in the domestic cat (which does not affect pattern) yields a MAPP score of 3.8. The black-footed cat (*Felis nigripes*), is a clear outlier from other Felidae, with 5 lineage-specific non-synonymous substitutions and a combined MAPP score of 50 (Fig. S4C). *F. nigripes* exhibits a spotting phenotype that is similar to the atypical swirled pattern associated with the T139N allele in domestic cats (Fig. S4D); thus, recent evolution of *Taqpep* in *F. nigripes* may contribute to its characteristic pattern.

To investigate how color patterns are implemented, we examined fetal cat skin at 3, 5, and 7 weeks of gestation, and observed that the first histologic indication of tabby markings coincides with their external appearance at 7 weeks, when follicle architecture is established and hair shafts begin to protrude through the epidermal surface (Fig. 3). At this stage, the boundary between dark and light tabby components reflects differences in the amount of melanin deposition with no apparent difference in cell type; melanocytes are present in both dark and light areas, but produce more melanin in dark areas. Furthermore, the density and architecture of hair follicles is independent of localization to dark and light areas (Fig. 3B). This suggests that tabby markings arise from spatial variation in transcriptional activity rather than cell type distribution.

We used EDGE (13) to examine the transcriptome of cheetah skin, in which there are sharp boundaries between black spots and the yellow inter-spot areas, and for which multiple skin biopsies were available for study. Cheetah skin exhibits an unusual histologic architecture; the epidermis is heavily pigmented, and hair follicles are organized in clusters with extensive accessory structures (Fig. 4A, S5A). However, the number and size of follicle clusters, as well as the number of epidermal pigment cells, do not vary between black-haired and yellow-haired areas (Fig. S5B). Based on a previous analysis of EDGE results from a single cheetah, we hypothesized that black coloration involves localized alterations of genes downstream of Mc1r signaling (13). Biopsies of yellow- and black-colored areas from 5 different animals enabled a genome-wide approach in which expression of 14,014 genes could be measured across a 10^6 -fold dynamic range (Fig. 4B). At a false discovery rate <0.05, we identified 74 differentially expressed genes (Tables S7, S8).

Among 60 genes upregulated in black compared to yellow cheetah skin, 7 are melanocyte-specific, of which 4, *Tyr* (4.9-fold, $q = 3.8 \times 10^{-19}$), *Silv* (7.3-fold, $q = 1 \times 10^{-36}$), *Dct* (3.2-fold, $q = 5.3 \times 10^{-8}$), and *Tyrp1* (3.1-fold, $q = 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$), encode pigment type-switching components

(14, 15). Genes that encode ligands or regulators of Mc1r signaling are not differentially expressed between yellow and black cheetah skin (Fig. 4B, Table S7). However, one of the non-melanocytic genes upregulated in black compared to yellow cheetah skin (4.9-fold, $q = 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$), *Edn3*, a paracrine hormone expressed mostly by mesenchymal cells that promotes differentiation and proliferation of melanocytes and other neural crest derivatives, is a candidate for coordination of spatial variation in hair color. A hypermorphic mutation of *Gnaq*, the second messenger through which *Edn3* acts, causes accumulation of dermal melanocytes during embryogenesis, and converts yellow hair to black in postnatal mice (16, 17).

qRT-PCR confirmed that expression of *Edn3* and *Silv* were increased in black-colored compared to yellow-colored areas of cheetah skin; we also observed similar increases in a single leopard skin sample (Fig. S5C). In neonatal skin from domestic cats (where there is a high proportion of anagen follicles), *Edn3* mRNA expression is also ~2-fold higher in dark compared to light areas (Fig. 4C), and in situ hybridization reveals that expression is restricted to the dermal papilla, a permanent portion of the hair follicle.

In A^y mutant mice, which express high levels of Agouti protein and produce pheomelanin instead of eumelanin, we confirmed that an *Edn3* transgene (18) converts yellow hair to dark brown-colored hair (Fig. 4D). Using qRT-PCR, we observed that the *Edn3* transgene in mice also causes increased expression of the same melanocyte-specific genes that are overexpressed in black-colored areas of cheetah skin (Fig. 4B). Thus, increased expression of *Edn3* in transgenic mice likely recapitulates both the coat color and melanocytic gene expression phenotypes observed in cheetah skin, which suggests that localized expression of *Edn3* during felid hair follicle growth serves as a master regulator of spatial hair color differences associated with tabby markings.

In skin of neonatal domestic cats and adult cheetahs, *Taqpep* mRNA is expressed at low levels that do not differ between dark and light areas (Fig. 4B, 4C). In mice, levels of whole embryo *Taqpep* mRNA as measured by qRT-PCR increase progressively during gestation, and are highest in postnatal skin (Fig. S6). In situ hybridization to mouse embryos (E10.5 – E17.5) and to fetal cat skin at 3, 5, 6, and 7 weeks gestation does not reveal localization of *Taqpep* mRNA to any specific cell type or region.

Because tabby markings are apparent soon after the time melanocytes enter hair follicles (Fig. 3A), the effects of *Taqpep* on pattern morphology must occur at or before follicle development, and are therefore likely to be mediated by epithelial or mesenchymal cells. Our results suggest that *Taqpep* is required to establish periodicity of tabby markings during skin development (Fig. 5A), and that the “tabby marking” identity of a particular region is implemented and maintained by the ability of dermal papilla cells to sustain high vs. low levels of *Edn3* production throughout subsequent hair cycles (Fig. 5B). This model also helps to explain why, in contrast to many periodic color patterns in fish, which are mediated by, and depend on, direct contact between pigment cells (19-21), tabby markings change in size but not number during organismal growth.

Our findings also provide a mechanistic explanation for epistasis relationships between *Agouti*, *Mclr*, and *Tabby*. Homozygosity for a loss-of-function *Agouti* allele is associated with a “ghost pattern” in which Tabby stripes are difficult or impossible to visualize, because eumelanogenic genes such as *Tyr*, *Tyrp1*, *Dct*, and *Pmel* are already upregulated. The ghost pattern becomes apparent, however, in animals that are doubly mutant for *Agouti* and *Mclr* (5), because endothelin and melanocortin signaling act in parallel (Fig. S7). A system in which distinct paracrine signaling pathways—endothelins via a Gq-coupled receptor, and melanocortins via a Gs-coupled receptor—converge on overlapping cellular machinery could explain more complicated phenotypes in which pheomelanin-rich yellow vs. eumelanin-rich black areas exhibit both periodic and regional fluctuation, e.g. spots or stripes of alternative pigment types overlaid on a background of dorso-ventral differences, as is apparent in leopards, jaguars, and tigers. Further studies of color pattern in domestic-wild cat hybrids offer the opportunity to study these complex color markings, and add to our knowledge of how felids acquire their color patterns.

References and Notes:

1. T. D. Lomax, R. Robinson, *J Hered* **79**, 21 (1988).
2. A. G. Searle, *Comparative Genetics of Coat Color in Mammals* (Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1968).
3. S. E. Millar, M. W. Miller, M. E. Stevens, G. S. Barsh, *Development* **121**, 3223 (1995).
4. I. J. Jackson, *Annu Rev Genet* **28**, 189 (1994).
5. M. Peterschmitt, F. Grain, B. Arnaud, G. Deleage, V. Lambert, *Anim Genet* **40**, 547 (2009).
6. E. Eizirik *et al.*, *Curr Biol* **13**, 448 (2003).
7. E. Eizirik *et al.*, *Genetics* **184**, 267 (2010).
8. C. A. Driscoll *et al.*, *Science* **317**, 519 (2007).
9. M. Maruyama *et al.*, *J Biol Chem* **282**, 20088 (2007).
10. R. I. Pocock, *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 245 (1927).
11. R. J. van Aarde, A. van Dyk, *Journal of Zoology, London* 6 (1986).
12. E. A. Stone, A. Sidow, *Genome Res* **15**, 978 (2005).
13. L. Z. Hong, J. Li, A. Schmidt-Kuntzel, W. C. Warren, G. S. Barsh, *Genome Res* **21**, 1905 (2011).
14. C. S. April, G. S. Barsh, *Pigment Cell Res* **19**, 194 (2006).
15. T. Kobayashi *et al.*, *J Cell Sci* **108**, 2301 (1995).
16. C. D. Van Raamsdonk, K. R. Fitch, H. Fuchs, M. H. de Angelis, G. S. Barsh, *Nat Genet* **36**, 961 (2004).
17. C. D. Van Raamsdonk, G. S. Barsh, K. Wakamatsu, S. Ito, *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res* **22**, 819 (2009).
18. R. J. Garcia *et al.*, *J Invest Dermatol* **128**, 131 (2008).
19. A. Nakamasu, G. Takahashi, A. Kanbe, S. Kondo, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 8429 (2009).
20. M. Iwashita *et al.*, *PLoS Genet* **2**, e197 (2006).
21. M. Watanabe *et al.*, *EMBO Rep* **7**, 893 (2006).
22. H. Li, J. Ruan, R. Durbin, *Genome Res* **18**, 1851 (2008).
23. J. U. Pontius *et al.*, *Genome Res* **17**, 1675 (2007).
24. J. C. Mullikin *et al.*, *BMC Genomics* **11**, 406 (2010).
25. G. I. a. W. University, http://genome.wustl.edu/genomes/view/felis_catus/ (2011).

26. B. W. Davis *et al.*, *Genomics* **93**, 299 (2009).
27. M. Menotti-Raymond *et al.*, *Genomics* **93**, 305 (2009).
28. M. Stephens, N. J. Smith, P. Donnelly, *Am J Hum Genet* **68**, 978 (2001).
29. M. Fishelson, D. Geiger, *Bioinformatics* **18 Suppl 1**, S189 (2002).
30. M. D. Robinson, D. J. McCarthy, G. K. Smyth, *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139 (2010).
31. J. D. Storey, *Annals of Statistics* 2013 (2003).
32. M. D. Abramoff, P. J. Magalhães, S. J. Ram, *Biophotonics International* **11**, 36 (2004).
33. J. L. Dards, R. Robinson, *Theor Appl Genet* **64**, 197 (1983).
34. A. G. Searle, *J. Genet.* **49**, 214 (1949).
35. N. B. Todd, *Sci Am* **237**, 100 (1977).
36. W. E. Johnson *et al.*, *Science* **311**, 73 (2006).

Acknowledgments:

We thank San Jose Animal Care and Services, Fix Our Ferals, the Berkeley East-Bay Humane Society, Pets In Need, the Monterey Animal Hospital, and the City of Huntsville Animal Shelter for assistance with sample collection, the Wild Cat Education and Conservation Fund for contributing king cheetah samples, R. Finn for assistance with Norwegian Forest Cat samples and phenotypes, H. Flick for domestic cat photographs, and J. C. Kaelin for help with feral cat sample collection. Namibian samples were collected with approval of the Ministry of Environment and Tourism (permit 1532). Supported in part by the HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, and by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, NCI, Center for Cancer Research, using federal funds under contract N01-CO-12400. A. van Dyk is the founder and director of the Ann van Dyk Cheetah Centre, a non-profit conservation organization. DNA sequence data for this study is available at http://genome.wustl.edu/genomes/view/felis_catus/ and the NIH Short Read Archive (SRX034821, SRX034822).

Legends to Figures

Fig. 1. (A) Allelic variation at *Tabby*—mackerel (Ta^M) is dominant to blotched (Ta^b)—controls the arrangement of dark and light-colored areas. Diagrams indicate how distribution of black or brown eumelanin vs. yellow or pale pheomelanin within individual hairs underlies the macroscopic color patterns, although in reality cat hairs frequently exhibit multiple pheomelanin bands. (B) *Taqpep* encodes a type II membrane protein with aminopeptidase activity encoded by the ectodomain. Mutant allele frequencies are from a survey of 119 feral and outbred cats (Table S1). The T139N allele is associated ($P = 0.0017$, Fisher's exact test) with an atypical swirled pattern but is incompletely penetrant (Table S1, Fig. S2).

Fig. 2. (A) Black-haired areas are larger, more irregular, and associated with dorsal stripes in the king cheetah. (B) Chromatogram of *Taqpep* cDNA from a spotted (+/+) compared to a king (fs/fs) cheetah.


Fig. 3. Skin sections of fetal cat (A, at 3, 5 and 7 weeks gestation) stained with hematoxylin and eosin, together with unstained flat-mount skin preparations of fetal cat (B, 7 weeks gestation). The 7 week images in (B) are from an Orange (O/Y or O/O) individual which allows the dark component of the tabby pattern (which is orange-colored) to be more easily visualized. In the transilluminated “dermis-up” panel, hair follicle outlines (e.g. dashed red lines) appear light-colored; melanin incorporation and blood vessels appears dark-colored. Scale bars: (A) 150 μ M, 50 μ M, and 250 μ M in 3, 5, and 7 week fetal cat sections, respectively, and 50 μ M in 7 week epidermis close-up. (B) 2 mm, 100 μ M, and 600 μ M in epidermis-up, follicle histology, and dermis-up panels, respectively.

Fig. 4. (A) A cheetah skin biopsy that includes a black-yellow boundary (also see Fig. S6). (B) EDGE (*13*) determination of differential gene expression in black- compared to yellow-colored areas of cheetah skin. Transcript frequency (observations per million sequence reads, mean of 5 samples) is plotted as a function of differential expression. The 74 genes with significant differential expression are shown in black or yellow (FDR < 0.05, see Methods and Tables S8 and S9); 7 additional pigmentation genes that are not differentially expressed are shown in pink. (C) Relative mRNA levels (mean \pm standard error) for the indicated genes as assessed by qRT-PCR from paired samples ($n=4$) of dark and light neonatal tabby skin; * $P<0.05$ (dark vs. light, two-tailed t test). Dermal papilla expression of *Edn3* mRNA (purple stain, white arrows) as detected by in situ hybridization, from a brown tabby individual. The left panel illustrates expression of *Edn3* mRNA in both pheomelanin- and eumelanin-containing follicles. (D) Phenotypes of 2 week-old mice of the indicated genotypes. Relative mRNA levels (mean \pm standard error) for the indicated genes from qRT-PCR of cDNA from control ($n=4$) and transgenic ($n=4$) mice; * $P<0.05$ (A^y vs. $A^y;Tg.K5-tTA;Tg.TRE-Edn3$, two-tailed t-test). Scale bars: (A) 40 μ M and 200 μ M in epidermis and whole skin sections, respectively. (C) 60 μ M and 20 μ M in left and right panels, respectively.


Fig. 5. A tabby pre-pattern is established at or prior to hair follicle development (A), specifying regions as dark (grey-colored) or light (yellow-colored). In the absence of *Taqpep*, dark regions are expanded, and there is less periodicity. Regional identity is manifest and implemented (B) by differential expression of *Edn3* in the dermal papilla, a permanent part of the hair follicle that releases paracrine factors to act on overlying melanocytes. Yellow and black pigment are synthesized by melanocytes in hair follicles that produce low and high levels of *Edn3*, respectively.

Figure 1


A Mackerel tabby (Ta^M/Ta^M or Ta^M/Ta^b)



Blotched (aka classic) tabby (Ta^b/Ta^b)



B Transmembrane Aminopeptidase Q ($Taqpep$)



	1	intra-cellular	extra-cellular	990
Ta^b mutant allele:	S59X	(T139N)	D228N	W841X
Frequency: (non-breed cats)	.04	.11	.02	.83

Figure 2

A

Spotted cheetah



King cheetah



B




Figure 3









Figure 4



Edn3 in situ hybridization






Figure 5

(A) Establishment of pre-pattern during fetal skin development



(B) Implementation of pattern during follicle growth/regeneration



Supplementary materials:

Materials and Methods

Biological samples

DNA samples and phenotype information from outbred and/or feral cats were collected at five spay/neuter clinics in Northern California. Additional domestic cat DNA samples including breed cats and a research pedigree at the NIH, as well as some cheetah DNA samples, were from a collection maintained at the Laboratory for Genomic Diversity, NCI-Frederick, MD. Samples from the Laboratory of Genomic Diversity were collected in full compliance with specific Federal Fish and Wildlife permits from the Conservation of International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild flora and Fauna: Endangered and Threatened Species, Captive Bred issued to the National Cancer Institute (NCI)-National Institutes of Health (NIH) (S.J.O. principal officer) by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services of the Department of the Interior. DNA from the index king cheetah (individual #4 in Fig. S3) was collected from the Wild Cat Conservation and Education Fund in Occidental, California, and studied at Stanford University; DNA samples from the remaining individuals in the captive cheetah pedigree (Fig. S3) were collected at the Ann van Dyk Cheetah Centre from blood samples obtained during routine veterinary examinations, and studied at the University of Pretoria.

For analysis of gene expression in cheetah skin, 4 mm biopsy punches from animals at the Cheetah Conservation Fund (Otjiwarongo, Namibia) were obtained when animals were under general anesthesia during routine veterinary examinations. For histological and gene expression studies of domestic cats, tissue samples from fetal and newborn animals were obtained from the City of Huntsville Animal Shelter from animals that had been euthanized for reasons unrelated to this study.

For studies of *Edn3* expression in the skin of transgenic mice, we made use of a previously described *TRE::Edn3* transgenic line in which expression of *Edn3* requires the presence of a second transgene, *K5::tTA* (18). The original description of these animals focused mainly on pigment cell development, documented a very strong effect of the transgene on the accumulation of melanocytes in the fetal dermis, and included one panel showing that the transgene caused darkening of an A^y coat. For analysis of gene expression in these mice, tissues were prepared from animals on a mixed FVB/N, C57BL/6J background; no differences were observed between $A^y/-$; $+/+$ animals and A^y/a ; *Tg.K5-tTA* animals. For analysis of gene expression during mouse development, tissues were prepared from FVB/N animals. All work in mice, cats, and cheetahs was carried out under animal protocols approved by local institutional review boards.

SNP discovery and genome sequences

Genomic DNA isolated from a pedigreed Ta^M/Ta^b cat was amplified using 111 primer sets (Table S3), chosen to amplify potential exons based on comparative mapping (Fig. 1B). Sheared PCR amplicons were sequenced on an Illumina Genome Analyzer Iix. Mapping and Assembly with Qualities (22) was used to map sequencing reads to a concatenated reference encompassing all amplicon sequences and to determine heterozygous base positions, as indicated in Table S4.

Primer sets for SNP discovery were designed based on the 1.9x *Felis catus* genome assembly (felCat3, v12.2) (23). While this work was underway, a 3x assembly (felCat4) (24) and some

information from an ~10x assembly became available (25), and was used to design additional primer sets as described in Tables S3 and S4.

Linkage, association and haplotype mapping

Initial linkage studies are described in ref. (7); we used information from the 3x genome assembly together with an integrated microsatellite and comparative map (23, 26, 27) to define the linkage intervals depicted in Fig. 1B. For association mapping in feral cats from Northern California, we initially genotyped 58 SNPs in 8 blotched and 9 mackerel cats, then expanded the sample set to include 16 blotched and 33 mackerel cats for a subset of those SNPs in a second stage (Fig. S1). Initial haplotypes were based on 23 SNPs genotyped in 58 blotched and 19 mackerel cats from the feral cat population in Northern California. Three additional *Tabby* alleles (S59X, T139N, D228N; SNPs “S”, “Q”, and “P”, respectively, Table S3) were discovered by resequencing *Taqpep* exons (Table S4), leading to an expanded set of haplotypes based on 26 SNPs genotyped in 58 blotched, 19 mackerel, and 4 “atypical swirled” cats from the feral population in Northern California (Fig. S2A, S2B, S2C) and 3 blotched and 3 mackerel cats from the NIH colony (Fig. S2D). Genotyping was carried out by capillary-based sequencing; the call rate at each stage (proportion of samples for which an accurate genotype could be inferred) was >95%. Association results (Fig. S1) were evaluated by comparing allele counts from blotched and mackerel cats in a 2x2 contingency table using a Bonferroni-corrected chi-square test (with 1 degree of freedom). Haplotypes were inferred with PHASE v2.1 (28). Primer sets and amplicons are described in Tables S3, S4, and S5.

Cheetah genetics

Cheetahs were surveyed for the presence of the *N977Kfs110* allele with ‘Exon20c’ primer set from Table S4. To test for linkage with the king cheetah phenotype, genotype results for 31 members of a multi-generational captive bred pedigree maintained at the Ann van Dyk Cheetah Center were analyzed with SUPERLINK v1.7 (29) under a model of recessive inheritance.

Phylogenetic analysis

To assess the phylogenetic history of *Taqpep* in felids and other mammals, we manually generated an alignment including orthologs from 31 felid species (all of which had identical length proteins), and manually merged this alignment to the *Taqpep* alignment for 23 non-felid mammals (most distant species was platypus) extracted from the 44-way whole-genome vertebrate sequence alignments (<http://genome.ucsc.edu>). The latter alignment included 23 mammals after removal of non-mammalian vertebrate sequences and any sequence with more than 500 gap characters (the total alignment length was 2,976 nucleotides or 992 codons). The alignment was further projected to a ‘felid’ frame in which gaps in the felid species were eliminated. A total of three stop codons in two of the 23 non-felid mammalian species were assumed to represent sequence errors and manually changed to gap characters prior to analysis since each of these species exhibited high-quality full-length protein alignments except for the stop codons.

We used MAPP (12) to estimate the functional impact of felid-specific amino acid substitutions. Briefly, MAPP quantifies rates of evolution in biochemical terms (*e.g.* amino acid size or polarity) in a group of aligned proteins; the diversity within this alignment is considered to represent the range of

variation compatible with protein function. Functional effects of all substitutions are then estimated on the basis of the phylogenetically weighted biochemical distance between the mutant residue and the aligned sequences. To generate MAPP scores (12), we used the felid-projected alignment of the 23 non-felid mammalian *Taqpep* sequences; this allows unbiased estimation of the effects of potentially deleterious, or other change of function, substitutions observed specifically in cats, *i.e.*, if felids were included in MAPP scoring, these substitutions would tend to be considered acceptable and have reduced scores.

Gene expression profiling (EDGE) of cheetah skin

Cheetah skin biopsies were obtained from a black-colored spot and an adjacent yellow-colored background region and preserved in RNAlater (Ambion Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Following the isolation of total RNA using a commercial kit (RNeasy Fibrous Tissue Mini kit, Qiagen, Valencia, CA), EDGE libraries were constructed from five pairs of cheetah samples and each library was sequenced on one lane of an Illumina Genome Analyzer IIx. For gene expression profiling, the EDGE protocol and preliminary results obtained with a single animal (that is also included as 1 of the 5 animals presented here) are described in Hong et al. (13). In that work, EDGE tags were assigned to genes using the 1.9x assembly (felCat3, v12.2) and a partial transcriptome from the domestic cat. For the work described here, we also used information from an ~10x assembly (25).

Differentially expressed genes were identified using an overdispersed Poisson model implemented in the edgeR package (30). We carried out a paired analysis by estimating the dispersion parameter using an empirical Bayes method that depends on the overall expression level for each gene. The adjusted gene counts are fit to a negative binomial generalized linear model, and the results are then analyzed with a likelihood ratio test. P values were adjusted for multiple testing using the false discovery rate correction (31), and an FDR cutoff of 5% was used to identify differentially expressed genes.

qPCR-based measurements of mRNA levels

Total RNA for qRT-PCR was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY), purified using RNeasy (Qiagen), and treated with DNaseI (Invitrogen) before reverse transcription with Superscript III (Invitrogen). cDNA was amplified using the LightCycler FastStart DNA Master Plus Sybr Green I System (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). *Bactin* or *Gapdh* were used to compare relative levels of mRNA between different tissues and developmental stages in the mouse (Fig. S6) or between different regions of felid skin (Fig. 4C). Primer sequences are given in Table S9.

In situ hybridization

Digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes were generated from the 3'UTR of mouse and cat *Taqpep* and cat *Edn3* using in vitro transcription (Roche Diagnostics) and a PCR-generated template. Primer sequences are described in Table S9. Prior to embedding, E17.5 mouse embryos, postnatal day 7 mouse dorsal skin, and fetal cat skin was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde followed by 30% sucrose for 24 hours. Frozen sections (12um) were cut and mounted on Superfrost Plus slides (Fisher

Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Sections were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 minutes, treated with proteinase K (Sigma, St. Louis, MO), hybridized overnight at 60 degrees, incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody (Roche Diagnostics) overnight at 4 degrees and developed 3-6 hours in a buffer containing BCIP/NBT substrate (Roche Diagnostics). Results depicted in Fig. 4C (*Edn3* in cat dermal papilla) were repeated at least 3 times.

Morphometric analysis of cheetah skin

Skin biopsies from black- and yellow-colored areas of 3 individuals were examined, recording 10 high-power fields for each sample, and measuring with ImageJ (32) the width of complex follicle clusters, the inter-cluster distance, and the density of pigmented cells in the interfollicular epidermis (Fig. S5A, S5B).

Author contributions

C.B.K. collected the feral cats, carried out the association and haplotype studies, identified the index king cheetah mutation, and coordinated the project. X.X. helped with the association analysis and carried out the sequence analysis of *Taqpep* in breed cats and other felid species as part of a graduate program supervised by B.Y. Gene expression profiling and mouse *Taqpep* expression studies were carried out by L.Z.H. and K.A.M., respectively. V.A.D. carried out the cheetah genotyping and linkage analysis, with support from C.K.H. and A.v.D. The cheetah and leopard skin biopsies were collected by A.S.-K. with the support of L.M., several *F. nigripes* samples were provided by W.F.S, and M.E.R. helped with the collection and analysis of breed cats and cat tissue samples. J. Pontius and H.M. provided bioinformatic and technical support, respectively. G.M.C. carried out the MAPP analysis with input from C.B.K., E.E., and G.S.B. J. Pino and L.K. generated *Edn3* transgenic mice; production of the cat transcriptome and genome assembly was supervised by J.C.M. and W.C.W. Linkage studies for tabby were initiated by E.E. with support from S.J.O., who developed this research initially as leader of the Laboratory for Genomic Diversity. G.S.B. and M.M.-R. coordinated and led the project; G.S.B., C.B.K. and M.M.-R. wrote the manuscript with input from V.A.D., A.S.-K., E.E., and S.J.O.

Competing interest statement

The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does its mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of manuscript.

Table S1: Survey of genotype/phenotype correlation in feral cats^a

	Blotched	Mackerel	Atypical Swirled
S59X/W841X	4	0	0
W841X/W841X	54	0	0
S59X/T139N	0	0	1
W841X/T139N	0	7	3
T139N/T139N	0	2	0
Total	58	9	4
S59X/+	0	2	0
W841X/+	0	26	0
T139N/+	0	5	0
+/+	0	9	0
Total	0	42	0

^a Feral cats from Northern California spay/neuter clinics. The phenotypes of Blotched, Mackerel, or Atypical Swirled are illustrated in Fig. 1 and Fig. S4. Haplotypes for the 58 blotched cats and 19 of the mackerel cats are shown in Fig. S2. An additional Ta^b allele, D228N, was observed in an NIH colony (Fig. S2).

Table S2. Distribution of *Taqpep* alleles among breed cats

Domestic cat breeds (n)	Geographic origin	Allele Frequency			
		S59X	A/T139N	D228N ^a	W841X
American Curl (4)	Western	0	0	0	0.38
Abyssinian (8)	Western	0	0	0	1
American Shorthair (25)	Western	0.08	0	0	0.82
American Wirehair (8)	Western	0	0	0	0.81
Bengal (16)	Western	0	0.03	0	0.50
Chartreux (11)	Western	0	0	0	0.55
Cornish Rex (20)	Western	0	0	0	0.30
Devon Rex (20)	Western	0	0	0	0.13
Egyptian Mau (14)	Western	0	0.11	0	0.21
Exotic Shorthair (18)	Western	0	0.03	0	0.78
Himalayan (15)	Western	0	0.03	0	0.77
Manx (17)	Western	0	0	0	0.79
Munchkin (15)	Western	0	0.23	0	0.47
Norwegian Forest Cat (12) ^b	Western	0.29	0	0	0.21
Ocicat (16)	Western	0	0.19	0	0.41
Persian (20)	Western	0	0.10	0	0.68
Scottish fold (17)	Western	0	0	0	0.65
Selkirk Rex (16)	Western	0	0	0	0.56
Sphynx (18)	Western	0	0	0	0.11
Turkish Van (8)	Western	0	0	0	0.50
Birman (12)	Eastern ^c	0	0	0	0.71
Bobtail (14)	Eastern	0	0.18	0	0.04
Burmese (12)	Eastern	0	0	0	0
Siamese (15)	Eastern	0	0	0	0.20
Total (351)		0.015	0.04	0	0.48

^a Mutation observed in NIH animal colony, but not in breed survey.

^b Among 12 Norwegian Forest Cats, 1 homozygote and 5 heterozygotes or compound heterozygotes were observed for S59X.

^c Although the Birman breed is said to have an Eastern origin, the breed underwent a severe bottleneck in the 1940s and was outcrossed extensively to Western breeds.

Table S3. Amplicons for *Tabby* candidate region exon sequencing^a

Amplicon	Size(bp)	Forward primer	Reverse primer
TaExon-Un11-1	460	TCAGGTTCTCTCTGGCCACT	CAGGTGCCCTAAAGTGATT
TaExon-Un11-2	482	ATCTGCCACAGCCTTAGTG	TGACAAGGGTCTATTTGGCA
TaExon-Un11-3	2000	TCCAGTTCTCGGTTTTGGTAA	CCGTCATGGCATAATTTTT
TaExon-Un11-4	470	TTTCAGATACTAACAGTGCCTTTTT	ACCCTTAGCACCCAACAGTG
TaExon-Un11-5	987	ATGGTTCTTTGCTGGTTTGG	AGCATGCACTAGGAGAGTTGC
TaExon-Un11-6	1802	GTTCAATGCCATGTGTGAGG	AGGTGCAGTAATGGATTGGC
TaExon-Un11-7	593	GGCAACACAATTTAATCATGG	GAAAATGAGAGAATCAGTTTGCTTT
TaExon-Un11-8	602	TACGTGAGTGCCTTGTGAGG	AGCCTGGCCTACAACCCTAA
TaExon-Un11-9	575	GCGTTTGCTGTGATGAAATC	TAGGCGCCCCCTTAAGAATA
TaExon-Un11-10	575	GCGTTTGCTGTGATGAAATC	TAGGCGCCCCCTTAAGAATA
TaExon-Un11-11	617	TGGGACAGTGATAACGATGAAG	TTCAACTGGCCACTGTGTAACT
TaExon-Un11-12	537	TGGGTCAATGAATCTTTTGGCT	GACCGCGTGAGACTAGAAGG
TaExon-Un11-13	591	CGTGGCAAACATTATATTGGG	GGGGCTGACAAGAGCATAAG
TaExon-Un11-14	485	TGCACGTTTACACTCAAAGGA	TGCCAAATGTACTTTTTCTCTCA
TaExon-Un11-15	628	CCTCCAAACTACCCTCCTCC	GCCCCAACAATGCTTTTTATT
TaExon-Un11-16	671	AAATGTGAAATCGGAAGTGTCA	TAATCCAAAAGCACTGGGAA
TaExon-Un11-17	603	CTGCAGAAGACAAATGTGGG	ACGAGCCAAGAAGTCAAAAT
TaExon-Un11-18	456	TTACAGGTTGGGTGTTTTG	TCCAGGAACATTTTTGGAACA
TaExon-Un11-19	608	TCTGTCTCATTAGGTGAGTCTTTT	GGCGCCCTCTTTTTAAATAC
TaExon-Un11-20	517	TGGGGGTTAAGAGCTGAAGA	GCTTGCTGAGGACTGATGTG
TaExon-Un11-21	635	CAGATATCTCAGTGTGTGTCATT	TCACTGGTGCAGGAGTATCG
TaExon-Un11-22	614	TCTTGGGTTTCTCTGACCTACC	CACTGGAAACAAAGTCCGGT
TaExon-Un11-23	544	CCACCAGCAATTTGGGTAAT	AAAAAGCAACAGGCACAAAAA
TaExon-Un11-24	632	TTTTGCACCGTTATGTGAA	AGGGGAGGGAAAGGATAAAG
TaExon-Un11-25	600	TTCTTCTGCTGGGCAAGTTT	GGCAACAGTTTCTTGAGGTG
TaExon-Un11-26	531	TTCGTGGGCTGATATGTGTC	TGGCAATCATGACAACCTCAA
TaExon-Un11-27	495	CCTCCAAACTACCCTCCTCC	GCTGCTTTACGCCATCTCTC
TaExon-Un11-28	642	CTGTGACAATTTTCCCTGCAT	ATGTCAACCGGAATGAAAGC
TaExon-Un11-29	630	GGCATGTTCTAGAATTGTGACCT	AAACCACATCCCGATTGTGTC
TaExon-Un11-30	642	TGGATGCTGAACCTAACGCAG	GCGATTTTGAAGGAAGTGA
TaExon-Un11-31	635	TCCAAGTCTTCTGTGCACCTT	GCAGGCCAAGGATTATCTGA
TaExon-Un11-32	946	GCTGCCACTCTTATACCCA	GTTCAAACCACATCCCGATT
TaExon-A1-1	648	ACAAAGTCCAAAATCCGTGC	TGTCTCTTTGTAGATGATAGGTTTG
TaExon-A1-2	547	GGGGGAAAAATGGAACAGAT	TCAGCAAGTTGCCCTTTAC
TaExon-A1-3	463	GCTGATAATTGAGCTTGGGC	ACACATAGTTGGAGGCAGGG
TaExon-A1-4	496	ATTGAGAGGCTGCTTGCATT	CTAGGATTCCTGCTTGGCTG
TaExon-A1-5	505	GATACATGGGGAAGGAGGGT	CAGAACAGCCATCTCACCAA
TaExon-A1-6	588	AGCCCCTAACCCCTACAA	CCAGTGTGCAAGCCAAAAT
TaExon-A1-7	648	CCTCCAGAAAGATCCCATGA	TACGGCTGGAGATAACCGTC
TaExon-A1-8	608	CCCAATGTCTAATGGCCCTA	ACTTTGGCCGAGTCTTCTCA
TaExon-A1-9	493	CAGCAATGCACTCTGCAAAT	CTCCCAAGACCTGTGACCAT
TaExon-A1-10	492	CCTCTCTGCCGAGAAGTTT	TATGCCCTTTCAGATCAGC
TaExon-A1-11	541	ATGTTTAGGAGGTTTGGGGC	TGTGGATGGGGAAAGTAAA
TaExon-A1-12	478	CTTGGAAGGGAATGTGTTGC	TGGCTGAACAAAACGTGGTA
TaExon-A1-13	451	TTTTCAATGCATGATTTTTATCG	CAGGCGCCCCCTAGTTTATTT
TaExon-A1-14	645	CCATCATGGGGAATTTGTT	TTCACAGTGGTCTCCACCAA
TaExon-A1-15	462	GCAGCTGCCAGAATAAAAGG	CCATAAGCGAACCGTGTTTT
TaExon-A1-16	539	ACCCCGTTCACCTCTTTTCT	TTTGTACGCATCTCTCGTG
TaExon-A1-17	769	CATTTTGACGTGCTCATTTGG	CCGGATTACGGAACCTTATT
TaExon-A1-18	486	GAGAGCCGTTGGTACAGTCC	CACGAAGAGGACAAAACCTT
TaExon-A1-19	573	CTCAAGAAGTCCAGCAGGG	GCATGGGACAGAGTAGGGAA
TaExon-A1-20	640	GCAAGCAAGCTAAATGAGCC	GTGGAAGAGGATTTCCACA
TaExon-A1-21	450	CCCCTCTGCCAAAGATAAA	AATGTTTGCCTCTCGTGAC
TaExon-A1-22	1985	AGATTCAACCCTCATGCTGC	GAGTGGGGTGAATGGAAGAA
TaExon-A1-23	1000	GAATTCGTACATGGCGGAGT	ATGGTGAAGGGGGATTTC
TaExon-A1-24	643	CACCCAGAATCCTGAGGAAA	GCCCCGAAGATCACCTACTA
TaExon-A1-25	577	ACCTTCATGCCTTCATGGTC	GCATGCAGTACCACATACGG

TaExon-A1-26	587	CCAGAAGCGACTGCATGTTA	CCCAAAGCGCTTAAACTCAG
TaExon-A1-27	464	ATGCCTGGTTTCTCCTTCCT	CGCTGTTCCTTGATTTGAT
TaExon-A1-28	491	CCTCCAGAAAGATCCCATGA	TTAGAGTCCAGGGGTGGTTG
TaExon-A1-29	936	AGGAAGAAGTGAAGCCCTCC	AAACAACATCGCAAAGGACC
TaExon-A1-30	470	CCTCGCAATCCAAGTTTTTA	TCACGACTCCATGATCCAAA
TaExon-A1-31	480	TGCTCGAACTACCCCTTTGT	CTTGAGAAATCACCCAGGA
TaExon-A1-32	1665	TTCTGGGGATAGTTGATGGC	GGCCTAAGATTTTGGTGGGT
TaExon-A1-33	890	GAAGGCACAGGTGAGTGAGG	GCGTCCCAGAATGTAGCAGT
TaExon-A1-34	481	AGGGATCTCACCCACTAGTCC	TCATCAACTGAGTATCAACTTCATT
TaExon-A1-35	611	GGGCACCTTTTACTTCACCA	TTGGGCACTTGAATGTACCA
TaExon-A1-36	484	TTCCCTGAAGCTTTAGATTTGC	TCCAGCTGAACAGCTCTCAA
TaExon-A1-37	644	TCCCCACACATACGTTCTCA	TGTTCAATTGTCTGGGACCAA
TaExon-A1-38	608	CCCCAAGCCTGTGTTTTTA	GCCAGAGTTTCCGAAAGTGA
TaExon-A1-39	578	GCGCCATAATAGGGCATTTA	GCTGTCTGTGTTAATTGCGAA
TaExon-A1-40	475	GTCAAACCCAGGATGCAGTT	GCTCTTTGGAAGGCGTTATG
TaExon-A1-41	453	TGATTCTCAAACCTGTCACAAA	ATGAGCCCAAAGGGAGAGTT
TaExon-A1-42	618	AAGATGTTGGCAGCCTGTTT	TTGGCAAAGGATTCTGCTCT
TaExon-A1-43	949	TCCTTGGTGTTCATCACA	CCCACGTAGCACAAAACCTT
TaExon-A1-44	590	CCTCTGAACAGGGGACAAAA	GGGAAAAGGTTTAAAGCTGGG
TaExon-A1-45	1957	TCGTGACGCTCATCAAAGAC	GGCTACTCTGAACACCCAGC
TaExon-A1-46	603	CAGCACAGGCTCAGATACCA	GGGGATAGGGACAGAAGACC
TaExon-A1-47	540	TTCCAAATACATGGGGCAAT	GCTGGGTGTGTTTCTGTGGT
TaExon-A1-48	485	GCACAGTGTAGAGGGGAG	ATTGGTACCCTCTGTCTCT
TaExon-A1-49	894	AAACTCACAAATCCTCCCC	CAATGTGGGATGACTCAACG
TaExon-A1-50	620	ACATGGAACCTGAGTCCCAA	GCTGGCCATCATCTGTTTTT
TaExon-A1-51	632	GAAATCGGACCCAGTACGAA	ACCGAATTCCTAAGGTTGG
TaExon-A1-52	557	CCAATTGGGGATTCAGTTTG	GCAAACCCACATCAATTTCC
TaExon-A1-53	607	CCTGGACCTGTGAAAGAAA	TCCTTCCGTTTCCCACTAAA
TaExon-A1-54	1508	ACTCTCACCATCCACCCAAG	ACACACACACACACACCGG
TaExon-A1-55	787	TGATGGCAAATCGTCTTCTG	CCTTGAGTTCCTGGTTGCTC
TaExon-A1-56	641	GGGGCATTATGTGTTTCTCTG	TGCCTTAGTTCTGTGTTTCTG
TaExon-A1-57	624	CCATTTGAAAAATGCCTCCAT	CTGTCTGTATGGCCAGGGAT
TaExon-A1-58	558	GGTCAGCATCACCTTTGGT	TGCCTATGGGAAAGTCTGCT
TaExon-A1-59	593	CTTGGCCAGGATAGGAAACA	CCTCTAAGCACTGTGCCCTC
TaExon-A1-60	486	AGCTGATCCCCTGAAGGATT	AAGGACGGATTAGGTCAGCA
TaExon-A1-61	618	CGGATTCAAAGCAAAGTCAA	TCTGCAAACTTTCTGCTGA
TaExon-A1-62	933	CAAGGACACCATATGGCAA	CCCTTTGATGAGGGAGAACA
TaExon-A1-63	1000	TGTCATCTCCACCTCAGTTC	ACCATCCCATACCAATTCCA
TaExon-A1-64	621	CCCCAAGGAAGGTGAGTACA	TGCACTCAAGGTGAGTTTGC
TaExon-A1-65	474	CTGTTCTTTCTCAGGCCGAC	GCCGGGGGTATAATTTAGGA
TaExon-A1-66	493	CAACAATGCCCATTCCTTCT	TGCGCATCCAAAATTATTCA
TaExon-A1-67	613	TCAACTGGGCCAGATTTTC	CTGCCCTCCCTTACATTTA
TaExon-A1-68	572	GCTCTTCAGTGGAAAATGG	TTCAGCGTTCATCCCTTTCT
TaExon-A1-69	479	CACAGTGGGGAAACTGAGGT	ATTTGTGCGCTGACTCAGAA
TaExon-A1-70	549	TCCACTGTATCCATGGAATTTG	AGCGCTGATTCTGAAATGCT
TaExon-A1-71	460	TGCTTCTTAAAAGTCTTGCTG	CTTGCTCCAGGTTGGGATG
TaExon-A1-72	644	TGTTTGAAGTGGAAAAGGGG	GAGAGCTGCTGGCTTTGTCT
TaExon-A1-73	567	TGTTTGTACCCAAGGCAGTT	GCGCCCCCTCTTTCTTTTT
TaExon-A1-74	609	GCAGAGGGAACAGCATCAAT	TGTGAAATGGCAGAATTCAAAC
TaExon-A1-75	506	TCACAAGTGAAGGCATTGGA	TTGCTCAGAATAGACGGGCT
TaExon-A1-76	602	CCCACCCTGCAAGAAAATAA	TTACTGGCAGATATGGGGCT
TaExon-A1-77	611	TGCTGGGATTTAACCAAAGG	AATCCTCCAGAGCAGATTTCG
TaExon-A1-78	580	AGAGGGCAGCCTGACTTGTA	AGCCTCAATCAAACGCTCAG
TaExon-A1-79	530	CTCTTGCAACAACATGATGGA	CCATCCCATACCAATTCCAA

^a Primers were designed for 32 exons from chrUn11 and 79 exons from chrA1 based on annotation of the felCat3 assembly

Table S4. Amplicons for *Tabby* region association and haplotype analysis

Amp.	Size	Forward primer	Reverse primer	SNPs ^{a,b}
TA-1	373	TGAGAAGAGTGGGCCTTTTG TGGTTTGGTTCTTAGATAAACATCA	CCTGAGCCTCTATCATCCCA	3 (3062995,3063104,3063115)
TA-2	365	T	TGGGGCGTTGTGACATATAC	4 (3067830,3067832,3067957,3067991)
TA-3	361	GAATAGCAGAAATTGCATAAGGC	TCAATAAGCAGAAGCATCTTTCA	3 (3074763,3074824,3074911)
TA-4	347	TACGTGAGTGCCTTGTGAGG	AGGTGCAGTAATGGATTGGC	2 (3077707,3077733)
TA-5	393	GCAGTGATGGAGAGACCGTT	TTCACATGACTCCTCATTCCA	3 (3098108,3098291,3098311) 6 (3099360,3099444,3099501,3099534,3099537,3099584)
TA-6	397	TGCAATTCTCCGCCATAAAG	CTGCAAATTAGACACAGAAGGC	1 (3100437)
TA-7	397	AGATCCAAACACCATCTGGC	TGACAACCTCAAAGCACACCA	1 (3104664)
TA-8	358	TTGCTCAAGCCATGATGTTC	TCTTCAGCTCTTAACCCCA	1 (3105186)
TA-9	345	AGCTGTGCAAGGTACAACCC	GCTTGTGAGGACTGATGTG	1 (120845010)
TA-10	374	GAAGGGAGGAAGTTTTCCCA	GAATTCATCCCCGAAAGGTT	2 (120853237,120853241)
TA-11	277	GGTGGAAATGGCATCTTTTG	TTTGTACGCATCTCCTCGTG	2 (120858757,120858904)
TA-12	368	GGCTGGAGAAACCAAATCA	CGTGCAGCTTGGAAAACATA	1 (120861377)
TA-13	281	CTCTATGGAATGAGGAGGCG	GGGTGCGTTTTGATGAAGTT	1 (120875097)
TA-14	398	GCAAGCAAGCTAAATGAGCC	TTCGTAAGGGTCCGATTTC	1 (120889838)
TA-15	261	CGCCAGTAAGGAGTGAGAGG	AGAAAAGGGGCTGAGAAACC	2 (A:121238539,B:121238572)
TA-16	267	TCCTCCAGCTGTAACACG	ATGAGCCAAAGGGAGAGTT	1 (C:121262789)
TA-17	336	TTTCTTTTGCCAGACTGCCT	TGAGTATAATTCAAGGGATCTGTCTG	1 (D:121298806)
TA-18	336	AGGAGCCCCAAAAGCATTAT	TGTCCTAGGGAACACAGGCT	1 (E:121344960)
TA-19	271	CCCCAAGCCTGTTGTTTTTA	TCAATAGGGGCTGTACGCTT	2 (F:121355037,G:121355078)
TA-20	492	CCTCTGTCCGAGAACTTTG	TATGCCCTTTCAGATCAGC	2 (H:121356067,I:121356070)
TA-21	364	GCTGATAAATTGAGCTTGGG	TTTTTGAAACTGCGTGTGG	1 (J:121370158)
TA-22	370	TAGTAGGTGATCTTCGGGGC	TCTTAGGGAGCATCTTTGGC	2 (K,L)
TA-23	367	ACCCTTGCAGATCCAGAGAA	TCCGTGTTCAACCAGAATGA	1 (M)
TA-24	363	TCTGAAATGCACCCACTCAA	GCATTTTGAAAGCATTGGA	1 (N)
TA-25	349	GAATCAGGCTGGCAAACATTA	CCATTTCACTTCTTTGGTAGCC	1 (O)
TA-26	344	CCTCTCAAGGAAGCTACAAGGT	AACCAGATCGTGTGTTTCTG	1 (P)
TA-27	457	CTCGTGCCTCTGCACTATGA	ACTGGGACGCAGGTAGCA	3 (Q,R,S)
TA-28	548	CCTTCCAGCTCTGGCTTCTA	ATGTCGAAAGCGAACCACA AAAAAGAAAATAAATATCTGAGTCC	1 (T)
TA-29		TCATCAGGTTCCAGGGTTCTTG	A	
TA-30	311	AAGGGACCGATGAAAGACT	AGCACCTTTCTGTTTGCTG	2 (U:121510763,V:121510796) 3 (W:12119320,X:12119332,Y:12119369)
TA-31	311	GACCCCTTGGGACTGTATTACT	CCCTGTTTCAGAGGGACAGAA	1 (Z:121528106)
TA-32	272	TGAAGAAGCCTCTCAGCTGTC	GTGAGTTTTCCTTACGGCCA	1 (122232786)
TA-33	346	GCGTTAGATAGGCAGCAAGG	CCAATCAAGGAATCAGGACAG	2 (122272066,122272069)
TA-34	319	AAACCCATATTAGATCCACCTGAA	TTCAGCGTTTCATCCCTTTCT	1 (122299065)
TA-35	367	TCCTTGGTGTTCATCACA	ACATTCAGGGCAGGATGAAC	

^aChromosome assignment and SNP position are based on *Felis catus* assembly felCat3, v12.2 (coordinates are not provided for amplicons that are not in the assembly). Amplicons TA-1 – TA-9 are from an unassigned contig (Un11); amplicons TA-10 – TA-35 are from chromosome A1. Size is given in bp.

^bItalicized SNPs were used for haplotype analysis. Letter designations correspond to the SNP column labels in Figure 1c and fig. S2,S3, and S4.

Table S5. Amplicons for *Taqpep* sequencing in domestic cats and cheetahs

Amplicon^a	Size(bp)	Forward primer	Reverse Primer
Exon1a ^b	548	CCTTCCAGCTCTGGCTTCTA	ATGTCGAAAAGCGAACCACA
Exon1b ^b	457	CTCGTGCCTCTGCACTATGA	ACTGGGACGCAGGTAGCA
Exon1c	655	AAACATCCTCGTCGGAAAGTG	ATGTCGAAAAGCGAACCACA
Exon2	390	ACAAGCCATCATCCCTCAAT	ACCAACACACGGCCTAGAAA
Exon3	313	CTTAGGGGTGCAGAAATCCA	ACCTGTGGCCAGTATGAAGG
Exon4	396	GGCTTTGACTCGAGAGCATC	TGTGTTTGGGAAGGTGTCAA
Exon5	383	TTTTCTGGATTCTGTCTTCGG	CCTTGGTAGAGCTGCTGGAG CACACTCGAAAACAATGAAATG
Exon6	387	TCAGAGAAATGCACGACTGC	C
Exon7	363	TCTGAAATGCACCCACTCAA	GCATTTTGAAAGCATTGGA
Exon8	337	GCAATGAGAGAAAAGCCAG	CCATTTGAAAATGCCTCCAT
Exon9	308	TGCACTCAAGGTGAGTTTGC	TAGTAGGTGATCTTCGGGGC
Exon10a	333	GCCCCGAAGATCACCTACTA	CCCCAAGGAAGGTGAGTACA
Exon10b	272	TGCTCTGTGATGATTTCCA	CAGGCTGCTTCCATTGAT
Exon10c	130	AAAGCATAATGGACCGTTGG	TTGTGGGTTAGGAGAGTCTGA
Exon11	367	ACCCTTGCAGATCCAGAGAA TTTTTGTGGATTTACTGGGTT	TCCGTGTTCAACCAGAATGA
Exon12	379	T	TCCCTGTCTCTTACCCTTCC
Exon13	381	AGCGCTGATTCTGAAATGCT	GTTAAGCGTCCGACTTCAGC
Exon14	396	TGTTGAGATCAGGCATCGAA	AGCCGTCCTAAGAAAACCA
Exon15	301	CCAGTGTGCAAGCCAAAAT	CATGTAGCTCACCAGTCCCA
Exon16	377	TTATTTGGTGCCTGAAAGCC	TGGTGTGAAAACAGGACGAA
Exon17a	385	CCAGGCACCCTGCTAACTAA	TAACTGACTGAGCCACCCA
Exon17b ^b	220	CTCTCTGCCGAGAAGTTTGG	GCATTGCACCTTCTACCTTACA
Exon18	399	GCGCTGACTCAGAACCCTAA	AGCGACCTTCTCTCCACAA
Exon19	271	TCAATAGGGGCTGTACGCTT	CCCCAAGCCTGTTGTTTTTA
Exon20a	1979	TTGGCCTTCTTCTGGCTAAA	TGGAAGTGGGACTGTCATCA
Exon20b	319	GTTGGAAGAGCACCAGAAGC	CAAAACCCTCAGCCATCACT
Exon20c ^b	688	TTGGCCTTCTTCTGGCTAAA	TGCTTTCCTTGGCTTTTCTG

^a Some exons were amplified with multiple primer sets due to exon size, amplification difficulties, or sequence variants within primer binding sites.

^b Primer sets used for amplification and sequencing of the cat and cheetah *Taqpep* mutations are: Exon1a (S59X and T139N), Exon1b (D228N), Exon17b (W841X), and Exon20c (N977Kfs110).

Table S6. Genotype and phenotype frequencies in feral cats^a

	Blotched ^b	Mackerel ^b	
		Observed	Expected
Ta^M / Ta^M	0	16	16.6
Ta^b / Ta^M	0	36	35.4
Ta^b / Ta^b	31	0	0
Total	31	52(85)	52

^a Prior phenotype-based studies have reached conflicting conclusions with regard to potential effects of Ta^b on fitness (33-35). The genotype distribution data shown here, based on feral cats from Northern California, are consistent with Hardy-Weinberg expectation.

^b Among 311 individuals, 116 had distinct tabby markings that allowed them to be classified as blotched (n=31) or mackerel (n=85). Assuming Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and complete penetrance of the Ta^b allele, this distribution (31 Ta^b/Ta^b and 85 Ta^b/Ta^b or Ta^M/Ta^b) predicts a Ta^b allele frequency of 0.517. Of the mackerel cats, 52 were genotyped (Ta^b refers to the S59X (n=2) or the W841X (n=34) mutations). This population partially overlaps the individuals reported in Table S1.

Table S7. Genes upregulated in black spot areas of cheetah skin^a

Gene	Fold Change	Pathway ^b	P value	FDR
<i>SILV</i>	7.33	Melanogenesis	7.33E-41	1.03E-36
<i>JAKMIP1</i>	28.1		1.10E-34	7.71E-31
<i>TYR</i>	4.92	Melanogenesis	8.22E-23	3.84E-19
<i>TRPM1</i>	14.5	Melanogenesis	4.10E-20	1.44E-16
<i>ENSFCAG00000010136</i>	3.14		5.89E-15	1.38E-11
<i>HBB</i>	2.94		6.86E-13	1.20E-09
<i>NDUFA2</i>	2.99		1.14E-12	1.78E-09
<i>DCT</i>	3.19	Melanogenesis	4.19E-11	5.33E-08
<i>LIMD2</i>	5.21		6.35E-10	6.36E-07
<i>CA8</i>	7.28		3.92E-09	3.66E-06
<i>RPL36AL</i>	2.6		1.11E-08	9.71E-06
<i>TYRP1</i>	3.08	Melanogenesis	2.21E-08	1.72E-05
<i>DYNC111</i>	2.55		5.79E-08	4.06E-05
<i>PLXNC1</i>	2.48		8.40E-08	5.61E-05
<i>RPL26L1</i>	2.06		2.13E-07	1.36E-04
<i>CORO1A</i>	1.97		2.62E-07	1.60E-04
<i>EDN3</i>	4.87	Paracrine signaling	3.59E-07	2.10E-04
<i>LTB</i>	3.21		1.37E-06	7.39E-04
<i>SPI1</i>	2.39		1.36E-06	7.39E-04
<i>OSR1</i>	2.32		1.62E-06	8.41E-04
<i>LRRC33</i>	2.38		3.66E-06	1.83E-03
<i>RAB3IL1</i>	1.91		3.82E-06	1.85E-03
<i>ST6GALNAC3</i>	2.75		4.00E-06	1.87E-03
<i>TMEM200B</i>	4.4		7.66E-06	3.32E-03
<i>FOLR2</i>	1.8		7.82E-06	3.32E-03
<i>CLDN5</i>	1.82		1.11E-05	4.57E-03
<i>C16orf38</i>	2.44		1.44E-05	5.77E-03
<i>MLANA</i>	3.43	Melanogenesis	1.55E-05	5.87E-03
<i>NEDD8</i>	2.9		1.51E-05	5.87E-03
<i>SAA3P</i>	1.76		1.69E-05	6.22E-03
<i>SLC24A5</i>	2.72	Melanogenesis	1.74E-05	6.24E-03
<i>DOK1</i>	2.0		2.05E-05	7.00E-03
<i>C1QTNF5</i>	1.72		2.01E-05	7.00E-03
<i>CHTF18</i>	1.85		2.94E-05	9.57E-03
<i>SVEP1</i>	1.71		3.40E-05	1.08E-02
<i>SLC43A1</i>	1.72		4.30E-05	1.31E-02
<i>SERPING1</i>	1.7		4.30E-05	1.31E-02
<i>TRAFD1</i>	1.75		4.96E-05	1.45E-02
<i>STAT4</i>	2.64		6.15E-05	1.72E-02
<i>CD248</i>	1.68		6.36E-05	1.75E-02
<i>SEPT1</i>	2.65		7.22E-05	1.87E-02
<i>MFAP2</i>	1.76		7.22E-05	1.87E-02

<i>LOC729085</i>	1.99	8.87E-05	2.26E-02
<i>ZIC1</i>	3.62	9.43E-05	2.36E-02
<i>CNNM1</i>	3.7	1.02E-04	2.50E-02
<i>CD209</i>	2.72	1.10E-04	2.66E-02
<i>CCL14</i>	1.65	1.18E-04	2.81E-02
<i>ENSF00000002482</i>	6.45	1.24E-04	2.85E-02
<i>COL6A6</i>	6.05	1.26E-04	2.85E-02
<i>CLEC10A</i>	1.67	1.25E-04	2.85E-02
<i>CLEC1A</i>	2.26	1.34E-04	2.90E-02
<i>BDKRB2</i>	1.72	1.34E-04	2.90E-02
<i>LRRN4CL</i>	1.66	1.32E-04	2.90E-02
<i>RBM19</i>	2.4	2.24E-04	4.61E-02
<i>HIST2H2AA4</i>	3.84	2.35E-04	4.72E-02
<i>NAPSA</i>	1.71	2.39E-04	4.72E-02
<i>PLBD2</i>	1.6	2.36E-04	4.72E-02
<i>MUTYH</i>	1.72	2.54E-04	4.94E-02
<i>TPRA1</i>	3.15	2.61E-04	4.98E-02
<i>TSPAN4</i>	1.61	2.63E-04	4.98E-02

^a Based on a digital gene expression approach (EDGE) as described in Methods. Genes (FDR < 0.05) are listed in order of increasing P values for significance.

^b Among 60 genes upregulated in skin from the black spot compared to the yellow inter-spot area, seven encode genes involved in melanogenesis and one encodes a paracrine factor that are candidates for eliciting localized differences in hair color.

Table S8. Genes upregulated in yellow inter-spot areas of cheetah skin^a

Gene	Fold Change	Pathway ^b	P value	FDR
<i>ENSFCAG00000004053</i>	4.52		1.40E-15	3.93E-12
<i>ENSFCAG00000015326</i>	2.83		1.72E-14	3.44E-11
<i>ENSFCAG00000004061</i>	2.38	KRTAP19-7	1.87E-11	2.62E-08
<i>ENSFCAG00000015327</i>	2.32	KRTAP21-1	8.46E-11	9.87E-08
<i>ENSFCAG00000015329</i>	2.23	KRTAP21-2	3.91E-10	4.22E-07
<i>ENSFCAG00000002173</i>	2.11	KRTAP20-2	1.45E-08	1.20E-05
<i>ENSFCAG00000004049</i>	2.08	KRTAP20-1	3.17E-08	2.34E-05
<i>SPINK6</i>	2.03		6.24E-06	2.82E-03
<i>ENSFCAG00000006428</i>	1.72	KRTAP1-3	2.41E-05	8.05E-03
<i>PCP4</i>	1.81		4.82E-05	1.44E-02
<i>MTIL</i>	1.73		5.69E-05	1.63E-02
<i>TMCO4</i>	1.82		7.04E-05	1.87E-02
<i>SDHB</i>	1.62		1.69E-04	3.59E-02
<i>LYGI</i>	2.44		2.04E-04	4.27E-02

^a Based on a digital gene expression approach (EDGE) as described in Methods. Genes (FDR < 0.05) are listed in order of increasing P values.

^b Among 14 genes upregulated in skin from the yellow inter-spot compared to the black spot area, six encode keratin-associated proteins based on sequence similarity to the indicated human proteins.

Table S9. Amplicons used for expression studies

Amplicon	Species	Forward primer	Reverse Primer
Pmel ^a	Mouse	GCACCCAACCTTGTTGTTCCCT	AGAGATGCAAGGACCACAGC
Slc24a5 ^a	Mouse	ACATCCTAGTTTGGATGGTCAC	CTGGTATGCTTGTCCCTGCT
Mlana ^a	Mouse	GCACAGACGCTCCTATGTCA	AGCCGATAAGCAGAGCAATC
Tyr ^a	Mouse	AAATCATCAAGCCCAAGAGC	TGCCCCTGACACTATCACAC
Trpm1 ^a	Mouse	GCCTCCTAGCTTTCACATGC	AAGGAGGGGAGGACAGACAT
Edn3 ^a	Mouse	AGGCTGTGCACACTTCTGT	CAGTCTCCCGCATCTCTTCT
Dct ^a	Mouse	GCGTGCTGAACAAGGAATG	CCAGGGTCTGGTGTCTGTTT
Tyrp1 ^a	Mouse	TGCTCCAGACAATCTGGGATA	AACGCAGCCACTACAGCAAT
Slc7a11 ^a	Mouse	GGCACCTTTGTTCTGGTGAT	ACGTGAGGAACGCAGAGAAC
Bact ^a	Mouse	CGAGCACAGCTTCTTTGCAG	GCAGCGATATCGTCATCCAT
Taqpep ^b	Mouse	CTGAAGTTGGCCGGTACATT	CCCTGGCAATTCTCTTCTTG
Edn3 ^c	Cat	ATCTCTGGGAGGCCTCAGTT	GTCCTCAACTCCTGCAAAGC
Edn3 ^d	Cat	GGGGAAATTTAAGGTGGTGAA	TCCGGGTGATAGGTACTCCTT
Gapdh ^d	Cat	AAGGTCATCCCAGAGCTGAAT	AGATCCACGACGGACACATT
Pmel ^d	Cat	AGGGACCTACTGCCTCAATGT	AAGCACCATAGCCATCAACAC
Dct ^d	Cat	GAGCCTGCATAACTTGGTTCA	ATCCACAGGAGGATTGGATCT
Taqpep ^d	Cat	TGGCAGCGTTACAAGATGAC	ACTTCAGATTCCGCCACAAC
Mitf ^d	Cat	CTATAGCGTCCCCACGAAAA	TTTCTTCCATGCTCATGCTG
Slc7a11 ^d	Cat	GCCCATTACCAGCTTTCGTA	CACGGCTGTAATGAGCTTGA

^a For studies of mRNA expression by qPCR in *Tg.TRE-Edn3* mice (Fig. 4D)

^b For studies of *Taqpep* mRNA expression by qPCR in mice (Fig. S6)

^c For studies of *Edn3* mRNA expression by in situ hybridization in cats (Fig. 4C)

^d For studies of mRNA expression by qPCR in cats, cheetahs, and leopards (Fig. 4C, S5C)

Supplemental Figure 1




Fig S1. Genetic mapping of the *Tabby* gene. (A) Recombination (rec.) breakpoints for the *Tabby* linkage region lie on chromosome A1 and an unassigned contig (chrUn11), and correspond to a candidate interval of ~ 5 Mb in the dog (chr11: 6.62-11.56 Mb) and human (chr5: 112.96-118.60 Mb) genomes. (B) Significance of genotype-phenotype association, plotted as $-\log_{10}(P)$ from a chi-square test of allele counts is shown as a function of distance along chrA1 or chrUn11 for 58 SNPs (Table S4) in Ta^b/Ta^b ($n = 8-16$) compared to $Ta^M/-$ ($n = 9-32$) random-bred animals. The dashed red line indicates a Bonferroni-corrected 5% significance level. (C) Haplotype analysis (Table S4) narrows the interval to a 244 kb region (chrA1:121238572-121482501) containing 3 genes. The 111 Ta^b chromosomes summarized here do not include 5 singletons; all Ta^b chromosomes are shown separately in Fig. S2.

Supplemental Figure 2




Fig. S2. Haplotypes for tabby patterns. Phenotypes are shown in Fig. 1 (blotched, mackerel) and Fig. S4D (atypical swirled). The S59X, T139N, and W841X alleles were observed in feral cats from a Northern California population (A, B, C) and also in breed cats (Table S2); the D228N mutation was observed only in animals from an NIH colony (D). SNP positions and genotyping information are given in Table S4.

Supplemental Figure 3





Fig. S3. (Left) King cheetah sightings have been restricted to a small (grey-colored) region that includes the Northeast corner of South Africa and parts of Botswana and Zimbabwe. (Right) The K977Nfs110 mutation is completely linked to the king cheetah pattern ($LOD = 5.7$, $\theta = 0$) under a model of autosomal recessive inheritance with complete penetrance. Genotype results are shown for 32 captive animals from the DeWildt pedigree (non-mutant, +, or mutant, fs), and reveal that the frameshift mutation was introduced into the DeWildt pedigree by 3 captured animals (1-3). The proband animal from Northern California (4) is also derived from the DeWildt pedigree; dashed lines indicate 5 generations in which no king cheetahs were observed.

Supplemental Figure 4


A



B

Position	82	110	139	228	282	603	817	880	950	975	989
Human	T	L	T	D	Q	R	E	A	F	L	R
Rhesus	T	L	T	D	Q	Q	E	A	F	L	R
Mouse	G	L	A	D	Q	Q	E	A	F	L	R
Guinea Pig	P	L	T	D	Q	Q	K	A	F	L	R
Horse	A	L	I	D	Q	Q	E	A	F	L	R
Dog	T	L	T	D	E	R	E	A	F	L	R
Microbat	T	L	T	D	Q	Q	E	A	F	L	R
Felid Mut.	K	V	N	N	E	S	G	V	V	V	W
Location	a	b	c	c	d	e	f	g	a	f	h
MAPP sc.	25	26	11	14	12	13	11	14	18	26	12
P value	***	***	*	**	*	**	*	**	**	***	*

C



D



Fig S4. *Taqpep* variation during felid evolution. (A) Phylogeny of 31 felid species for which *Taqpep* sequence was determined, together with the inferred location of selected *Taqpep* substitutions depicted in panel (B). Topology and branch lengths for the tree are based on Johnson et al. (36) (B), Non-synonymous substitutions predicted to have a significant impact on protein function (MAPP score >10). Their assignment to branch locations on the felid tree is based on a maximum likelihood analysis in the context of a known phylogeny (A) as described in Methods. *, **, and *** indicate *P* values < 5 x 10⁻³, < 5 x 10⁻⁴, and < 5 x 10⁻⁵, respectively. (C) The potential impact of substitutions in each of 31 terminal branches (determined by the sum of MAPP scores for that branch) plotted as a function of terminal branch length based on (A). Species are organized into 7 main lineages according to Johnson et al. The regression line is based on all points except *F. nigripes* (the black-footed cat). (D) Pattern phenotype of *F. nigripes*, which resembles the atypical swirled pattern observed in domestic cats that carry the T139N allele (Fig. S2). Nine of nine *F. nigripes* individuals were fixed for 4 species-specific variants (T82K, H87P, E488K, F950V). Photographs were available for 4 of the 9 individuals that were sequenced (right-hand four panels); DNA was not available for the individual depicted in the upper left panel (from Pierre de Chabannes for www.photozoo.org).

Supplemental Figure 5




Fig. S5. Pattern characteristics of wild cat skin. (A) Hematoxylin and eosin-stained section of cheetah skin that includes a cross-section of complex follicle clusters from black (left) and yellow (right) colored areas. Scale bar: 100 μ m. (B) Follicle density, follicle width, and the density of interfollicular epidermal cells (mean \pm standard error) are indistinguishable between black- and yellow-colored areas. (C) Ratio of *Edn3* and *Silv* mRNA levels in cheetah (n=4) and leopard (n=1) skin regions, compared to the inter-spot yellow background areas. * $P < 0.05$ (spot vs. background, two-tailed t test). The cheetah samples used here are distinct from those used for gene expression profiling (Fig. 5B).

Supplemental Figure 6




Fig. S6. Relative *Taqpep* mRNA levels in the laboratory mouse, measured by qRT-PCR from whole embryos, from embryonic (E17.5) and adult (P60) skin, and from other tissues. Results shown represent the mean \pm standard error of three animals.

Supplemental Figure 7




Fig. S7. Effects and interactions of endothelin and melanocortin signaling in cats. (A) The ability of *Edn3* to engage downstream eumelanin components is likely due to overlap between targets of Gs and Gq, the G proteins utilized by the *Mc1r* and *Ednrb*, respectively. The cartoon represents a single melanocyte. Red/yellow pheomelanosomes are produced when expression of *Slc7a11* is increased, and expression of *Dct*, *Silv*, *Tyr*, and *Tyrp1* are decreased; conversely, black/brown eumelanosomes are produced when expression of *Slc7a11* is decreased, and expression of *Dct*, *Silv*, *Tyr*, and *Tyrp1* are increased. (B) Interactions between *Agouti* and *Mc1r* in the context of tabby markings. Amber tabby cats exhibit strong tabby markings as kittens that fade during maturation (5). Diagrams are based on the expression results reported here (Fig. 4) and on ref. (5, 6, 14, 15).