Fitzpatrick skin type, Individual Typology Angle and melanin index in an African population: taking steps toward universally applicable skin photosensitivity assessments

Wilkes M^{1*}, Wright CY², du Plessis JL³ and Reeder AI⁴

¹Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 United States of America. ²Climate Studies, Modelling and Environmental Health Research Group, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, and University of Pretoria, Department of Geography, Geoinformatics and Meteorology, Pretoria, South Africa. Email: <u>cwright@csir.co.za</u>

³Occupational Hygiene and Health Research Initiative, North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa. Email: <u>Johan.DuPlessis@nwu.ac.za</u>

⁴Cancer Society of New Zealand Social and Behavioural Research Unit, Department of Preventive and Social Medicine, Dunedin School of Medicine, University of Otago, PO Box 913, Dunedin, New Zealand. Email: <u>tony.reeder@otago.ac.nz</u>

Corresponding author:

^{*1}Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-1301
United States of America Tel: +1 801 362 1450; Email: <u>mw699@cornell.edu</u>

Authors:

Mr Marcus Wilkes, Bachelors of Science, Cornell University (current PhD student)

Dr Caradee Wright, PhD, Council for Scientific and Industrial Research, Pretoria, South Africa

Dr Johan du Plessis, PhD, North-West University, South Africa

Dr Anthony Reeder, PhD, University of Otago, New Zealand

Author Contributions: Mr Wilkes and Dr Wright had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. *Study concept and design*: Mr Wilkes and Dr Wright. *Acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data*: Mr Wilkes, Dr(s) Wright, du Plessis, and Reeder. *Drafting of the manuscript*: Mr Wilkes and Dr(s) Wright, Reeder, du Plessis. *Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content*: Mr Wilkes, Dr(s) Wright, Reeder, du Plessis. *Statistical analysis*: Mr Wilkes and Dr Wright. *Obtained funding*: Mr Wilkes and Dr Wright. *Administrative, technical, or material support*: Ms Nurse, Ms Oosthuizen, Mr Moya. *Study supervision*: Dr Wright.

Funding/Support: This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. (grant number NSF DGE-1144153 to M. W.) and an international travel allowance co-funded through the Graduate Research Opportunities Worldwide (GROW) and United States Agency for International Development agencies. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. Dr Wright received support for this project from CSIR Parliamentary Grant funding, the National Research Foundation Rated Researcher funding and the Cancer Association of South Africa ad-hoc grant. Associate Professor Reeder and the Cancer Society Social and Behavioural Research Unit receive support from the Cancer Society of New Zealand Inc. and the University of Otago.

Funding/Sponsor was involved?

Design and conduct of the study

No

Collection, management, analysis and interpretation of data						
Preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript	No					
Decision to submit the manuscript for publication	No					

Financial Disclosure:

Mr Wilkes is a current doctoral student at Cornell University supported by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship. Dr Wright is presently employed by the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research in South Africa. Dr Reeder is a member of the Cancer Society of New Zealand Inc. National Health Promotion Advisory Committee. Dr Reeder and the Cancer Society Social & Behavioural Research Unit receive funding support from the Cancer Society of New Zealand Inc. and the University of Otago for research activities. Dr du Plessis is an employee of North-west University in South Africa.

Acknowledgement: We acknowledge North-West University and Dr. du Plessis for the loan of the colorimeter and Mexameter MX18, as well as the participants for taking part in this study.

Calculation of Individual Typology Angle (ITA) based on spectrophotometric measurements has been used to classify skin types into physiologically relevant groups,¹ ranging from very light to dark skin.² This study directly compares ITA values with melanin index (MI), the latter frequently used in assigning Fitzpatrick Skin Type (FST),³ in order to improve understanding of how these measurements correlate when used in a study that consists, primarily, of FST V and VI.

Methods

Participants (*n*=556) were drawn from the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research campus in Pretoria, South Africa, from October 6-22, 2014. All participants provided written consent, spoke English, cleaned their non-dominant arm with a wet wipe, and answered a short questionnaire, self-identifying their population group and skin reaction to sunlight. Courage+Khazaka Skin Colorimeter CL 400 and Mexameter® MX 18 objectively determined ITA and MI respectively, by being held against the upper, inner non-dominant arm. ITA was categorized as previously described.¹ FST/MI values: FST I: 0-99.9; FST II: 100-149.9; FST III: 150-249.9; FST IV: 250-349.9; FST V: 350-749.9; FST VI: >750. Data were analyzed using STATA 10.0. The CSIR Research Ethics Committee approved the protocol.

Results

The 556 participants self-identified either as Black (390), Indian/Asian (51), White (99) or Coloured (16). As the current procedure for assigning FST relies on perception of how skin burns and/or tans, participants identified whether their skin: (1) burned without tanning, (2) burned and then tanned, or (3) only tanned, following initial sun exposure. Participants from every ethnic group related to the different tan/burn options, demonstrating some level of sun photosensitivity even in ethnic groups frequently associated with darker skin pigmentation (Indian/Asian and African) (Table I).

	Fitzpatrick Tan/Burn Questions									
	Only Burn		Burn then Tan		Only Tan		Not Applicable		Total	
Ethnic group	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Black	67	17.2	138	35.4	168	43.1	17	4.3	390	70.1
Indian/Asian	5	9.8	19	37.3	26	50.9	1	2.0	51	9.2
White	26	26.3	60	60.6	13	13.1	0	0.0	99	17.8
Coloured	6	37.5	5	31.3	5	31.2	0	0.0	16	2.9

Table I. Frequency of responses by population group to the Fitzpatrick tan/burn questions.

MI vs ITA

We compared our questionnaire findings to objective skin measurements, finding that participant melanin readings and ITA measurements demonstrate a very strong negative correlation (Spearman p=-0.9795, p<0.0001) (Figure 1A). As ITA values decreased, melanin values increased, monotonically. We then analyzed how these measurements correlated after raw values were categorized. Although both ITA and MI values place individuals into one of six skin types, these classification systems are currently unrelated, with no consensus about which values of MI belong to which Fitzpatrick group.^{4,5} We found that by placing participants with melanin values >750 in FST VI, we observed a very strong correlation between these unrelated classification systems (Spearman p =0.9547, p<0.0001) (Figure 1B,C).

Discussion

Determining skin type is necessary for understanding personal sunburn risk and, by extension, skin cancer. It is also important clinically as both the cosmetic and medical industries have increased their use of laser applications in recent years.⁶ As FST questions are used in assigning skin type and determining laser-based treatment parameters, participants were asked FST



Figure. Distribution of Participant Skin Classifications. A, Higher melanin index (MI) values represent darker pigmented skin, whereas lower individual typology angle (ITA) values represent darker pigmented skin. The MI and ITA values demonstrate a strong negative correlation. B, Frequency of participants by spectrophotometer-derived Del Bino skin type category (ITA) and Fitzpatrick skin type (FST) derived from a pigment-measuring device. These unrelated classification systems demonstrate a strong correlation.

questions, and 538 (97%) identified that sun affected their skin in some way. Of the 390 Black participants, 373 (96%) acknowledged that they were photosensitive (Table 1). Only individuals who are not photosensitive are, typically, placed in FST VI, and our data confirm that most black participants should be placed in a FST other than VI.³ As a result we defined the MI for FST VI to include only individuals with a MI >750. Strong correlation between MI and ITA values (Figure 1) suggests that either of these methods can be used to assess skin pigmentation depending on the relevance of the measurement outcome of the intended study. Recognizing this strong correlation will allow research by clinicians, biomedical scientists, and public health researchers to be more applicable and comprehensible across disciplines.

References

- Del Bino, S. and F. Bernerd. Variations in skin colour and the biological consequences of ultraviolet radiation exposure. *Br J Dermatol.* 2013;169 Suppl 3:33-40.
- Chardon A., Cretois I., and Hourseau C. Skin colour typology and suntanning pathways. *Int J Cosmet Sci.* 1991;13:191-208.
- 3. Eilers S, Bach DQ, Gaber R, Blatt H, Guevara Y, Nitsche K, Kundu RV, Robinson J, Accuracy of selfreport in assessing Fitzpatrick skin phototypes I through VI, *JAMA Dermatol*. 2013;149:1289-1294.
- Pershing LK, Tirumala VP, Nelson JL, et al. Reflectance spectrophotometer: the dermatologists'sphygmomanometer for skin phototyping? *J Invest Dermatol*. 2008;128(7):1633-1640.
- Matts PJ, Dykes PJ, Marks R. The distribution of melanin in skin determined in vivo. *Br J Dermatol*. 2007;156(4):620-628.
- Karsten AE, Singh A, Karsten PA, Braun M. Diffuse reflectance spectroscopy as a tool to measure the absorption coefficient in Skin: South African Skin Phototypes. *Photochem and Photobiol* 2013;89:227-233.

Figure 1