The parasitological, immunological and molecular diagnosis of human taeniasis with

special emphasis on *Taenia solium* taeniasis

Kabemba E. Mwape^{1*}, Sarah Gabriël²

¹Department of Clinical Studies, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia.

¹Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa

²Department of Biomedical Sciences, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium

^{*}Corresponding author:

¹Department of Clinical Studies, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Zambia, Lusaka, Zambia. E-mail: kemwape@yahoo.com; evans.mwape@unza.zm

Keywords

Taenia solium diagnosis; coproparasitology; immunodiagnosis; coproantigen ELISA; PCR

Abstract

Human neurocysticercosis, caused by the larval stage of the tapeworm *Taenia solium*, is an important neurological disorder reported as a major cause of epilepsy. An important risk factor for neurocysticercosis is the presence of human *T. solium* carriers who, upon open defecation, disseminate tapeworm eggs, which are infective to both humans and pigs. In the latter, infection also results in cysticercosis, with associated health and economic consequences. Control of *T. solium* therefore, depends greatly on the accurate detection and treatment of carriers. However, the current available direct diagnostic tests depend on the detection, in feces, of either parasite stages or parasite antigens and genetic material. The former are low cost but lack adequate sensitivity and specificity; the latter too expensive to be routinely utilized in endemic communities. Indirect tests based on antibody detection may only show exposure and not active

infection. An ideal diagnostic test should be one that is low-cost and is able to quickly and reliably detect tapeworm carriers so appropriate treatment can be prescribed in order to eliminate the source of infection. Such a test remains elusive. Efforts should, therefore, be directed at the formulation of a test that is not only sensitive and specific but also affordable for use in endemic countries.

Introduction

Taenia solium, Taenia saginata and Taenia asiatica are important tapeworms causing taeniasis in humans, who as the natural definitive host for these cestodes, harbor the adult worm in the small intestines. Cattle serve as intermediate hosts for T. saginata, while pigs fulfill this role for T. solium and T. asiatica. Upon ingestion of infective eggs, intermediate hosts develop metacestode larval stages (also called cysticerci), resulting in bovine and porcine cysticercosis, respectively. Unlike the other two species, T. solium can also cause cysticercosis in humans. This occurs after inadvertent ingestion of T. solium eggs when metacestodes develop in organs and tissues, giving raise to cysticercosis, one of the most important parasitic conditions in humans. People acquire taeniasis following ingestion of undercooked pork or beef meat or viscera containing viable cysticerci. These develop into adult intestinal tapeworms, which when mature releasing proglottids (worm segments) laden with infective eggs. Proglottids may be passed relatively intact in feces, but frequently they disintegrate within the intestine so free eggs can be found in feces. The excreted eggs are immediately infective to the intermediate hosts [1] thus making the tapeworm carrier a fundamental key player in the transmission of cysticercosis. Garcia-Garcia et al. [2] demonstrated that the presence of tapeworm carriers in households is the main risk factor attributed to human cysticercosis. In the absence of sanitary facilities and/or

adequate personal hygiene, these carriers become a major risk for members of their household and also community members [3].

In non-endemic countries, taeniasis is most likely to be imported by immigrant tapeworm carriers or people travelling to endemic areas where they may acquire the infection through consumption of infected pork. Similarly, returning travelers may import cysticercosis if they ingest infective eggs from the contaminated environment, food, or directly from carriers [4]. Additionally, migration of tapeworm carriers from rural to urban areas increases the risk of transmission of cysticercosis when there are poor environmental and social conditions [3].

While *T. saginata* has a more cosmopolitan distribution, *T. solium* is mostly reported in developing countries in Africa, Asia and Latin America *T. asiatica*, also known as the Asian *Taenia*, is restricted to East Asian countries and has not been reported elsewhere in the world, including Africa [5]. *T. solium* endemicity in developing countries is associated with poverty, free ranging pigs, and poor sanitary conditions, especially lack of latrines [1, 6, 7]. Many reports have documented *T. solium* infection in pigs in Africa with prevalence rates as high as 64% [8].

As mentioned, the lodging of the metacestodes of *T. solium* in the central nervous system (CNS) results in neurocysticercosis (NCC), one of the most important neurological parasitoses in humans, and the main preventable cause of acquired epilepsy in endemic areas [9]. Unlike taeniasis where symptoms are not of major clinical importance, the pathology caused by the establishment of *T. solium* metacestodes in the CNS may be responsible for a high disease burden and morbidity in endemic areas [1]. Unfortunately, the cysticercosis/taeniasis disease complex remains a neglected tropical disease, with very little information on its current global burden. As a consequence, and as for many other parasitic zoonoses, its true burden still needs to

be determined [10, 11]. The current global burden of *T. solium* cysticercosis in terms of Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) has been estimated at 2-5 x 10^6 ; an estimate comparable to other neglected parasitic zoonoses but less than that of the "big three" global infectious diseases -malaria, HIV and tuberculosis- [12]. Also, NCC is reported to account for about 30% of all reported cases of acquired epilepsy in endemic areas [13].

From an economic point of view, the presence of cysticerci in the specific intermediate hosts (*i.e.*, cattle for *T. saginata*, pigs for *T. solium* and *T. asiatica*), may be of great importance due to carcass condemnation in countries where meat inspection at the abattoir level is implemented [1, 11, 12]. NCC is of great economic relevance, resulting from the cost of medical treatment and lost working days. A minimum estimate of the cost of admissions to hospital and wage loss for NCC in the United States (a non-endemic country) was US\$8.8 million annually; whereas in endemic countries such as Mexico and Brazil, treatment costs have been estimated at US\$89 million and US\$85 million, respectively [13].

Overall, *T. solium* has a higher public health impact than *T. saginata*, which mainly has economic implications for the meat industry [14]. Adult tapeworm infections are largely asymptomatic, though some people may experience abdominal discomfort, nausea, diarrhea and loss of appetite, and in the case of *T. saginata*, itchiness of the anal area due to the actively migrating proglottids [15].

Taeniasis infections are increasingly being diagnosed in endemic areas of the world [1]. At the same time, there is growing recognition of *T. solium* as a serious emerging public health threat [16]. Data are, however, still very limited due to the lack of adequate surveillance, monitoring and reporting systems. Compared to other helminth parasites, *T. solium* taeniasis tends to have a

low prevalence, typically less than 1%, even in endemic communities [17]. In fact, a prevalence >1% is considered hyper-endemic [18]. This is because in communities with inadequate sanitary infrastructure, a few tapeworm carriers have the potential to disseminate the infection to a great number of people and free-roaming pigs. Regions of endemicity have been identified [6, 19] with studies reporting prevalences ranging from 0.3% to 11.5% on coproparasitologic examination [20 -26] and from 0.5% to 24.1% on coproantigen (copro-Ag) enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) [1, 25, 26, 28].

T. solium is considered a potentially eradicable parasite [29]. However, since the most affected areas are within developing countries, many ongoing challenges continue to hinder the implementation of control measures for this parasite. Obstacles that need to be overcome include lack of diagnostic facilities, inadequate or absent health infrastructure in rural areas, inaccessibility to health care and treatment with effective taeniacides, minimal cooperation between medical and veterinary services, and lack of knowledge about the parasite [1]. Several control options that target the various potential intervention points in the life cycle of the tapeworm have been described (Figure 1). It is clear that the control of taeniasis requires a multifaceted approach, as single-intervention control program would not achieve the required results [30]. A control strategy that stands out is the treatment of tapeworm carriers so as to remove the continued contamination of the environment in endemic areas. However, this strategy requires the identification of *such* carriers, which has proven problematic due to the lack of low-cost and readily available diagnostic tools in resource-poor endemic areas.

This review looks at the currently available tools for taeniasis diagnosis and the strides made to date to improve them.



Figure 1: *Taenia solium* life cycle and transmission pathways. The bulleted points show intervention strategies that can be implemented for preventing transmission to the next host.

Diagnosis of taeniasis

Diagnosis of taeniasis is mainly based on the search for parasitic material in feces [31]. Several tests have been developed and each has their own advantages and disadvantages (Table 1). Importantly, diagnostic gold standard and cost-effective tests are still lacking. The most widely used methods for taeniasis diagnosis are the coproparasitological examination of feces to demonstrate presence of *Taenia spp.* proglottids or eggs and the detection of specific coproantigens by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) [32]. The possibility of

detecting *T. solium* specific antibodies in serum has also been demonstrated [33] and molecular methods have been reported.

Te	st	Advantage	Disadvantage
Coproparasitologic			
	Self detection	Cheap	Unreliable
	Miarogoony	Highly specific	Low consitivity
	wheroscopy	Highly specific	Low sensitivity
Immunological	Copro-Ag ELISA	Reasonably sensitive	Many false positives
e		,	2 I
	Western blot	Highly specific	Many false positives
Molecular	PCR based	Species differentiation	Very expensive
	LAMD	Species differentiation	No field validation
	LAM	species unrerentiation	no new vanuation

Table 1: Currently available taeniasis diagnostic tests with their main advantage and disadvantage

Copro-Ag ELISA = Coproantigen enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; LAMP = Loop mediated isothermal amplification

Self-detection tool for tapeworm carriers

A common symptom associated with taeniasis is the expulsion of proglottids [34], and carriers may report the presence of these in their feces or feel them in their undergarments [35]. However, while for *T. saginata* and *T. asiatica*, the proglottids may be spontaneously expelled independent of defecation, the expulsion of *T. solium* proglottids is passive and they appear together with feces. The reliability of self-detection for taeniasis diagnosis has been evaluated [36 - 39] with sensitivity ranging from less than 50% in Honduras to over 80% in Sichuan, China [39, 40]. This wide variation has been explained by differences in the predominant *Taenia* species and the habits/customs of inhabitants in endemic area [33]. Regardless, to be implemented as a reliable diagnostic tool, self-detection requires prior public health education campaigns [38].

Coproscopic examination of faeces

The microscopic examination of stool samples (coproscopy) has remained the routine method for the diagnosis and identification of *Taenia* spp. eggs or proglottids to date. Direct wet mounts or concentration methods such as Kato-Katz and the formol-ether concentration technique [41] are widely used for the detection of *Taenia* spp. eggs in feces. The diagnostic sensitivity of these techniques, however, is not optimal, with reports ranging from 38 to 69% [27, 42] taeniasis. Such low sensitivity is primarily due to the intermittent nature of egg release, which leads to an underestimation of the prevalence of taeniasis [43]. Allan et al. [44] reported that coproscopic studies from patients with active tapeworm infection are commonly negative because, firstly, eggs may not appear in feces every day, and secondly, eggs are not uniformly distributed in feces. For these reasons the authors recommended the collection of samples over a three-day period. Further, if destrobilation (i.e., the breakage of gravid proglottids from the worm's body – the strobila) has led to a massive discharge of eggs, these may be absent from feces for up to several weeks thereafter, until more proglottids mature and become gravid [45]. In addition, the specificity of coproscopic methods is limited at the genus level due to the fact that the eggs of these tapeworms are identical under light microscopy [1]. This is particularly relevant given the risks associated with T. solium infection [31].

Parasitological identification of human adult intestinal taeniids to species level relies on the recovery of gravid proglottids or scolices. This recovery is difficult due to the disintegration of the proximal end of the worm when modern cestocidal drugs are used [45]. Jeri et al., [46] improved the treatment method to obtain a recognizable tapeworm by using pre-niclosamide and post-niclosamide electrolyte-polyethyleneglycol (PEG) salt purges to improve bowel cleaning

and collection of the tapeworm scolex, making differentiation between *T. saginata* and *T. solium* easier. Nevertheless, since PEG has to be dissolved in two liters of water, it might not be well accepted/perceived, especially in community studies.

Three morphological characteristics to distinguish *T. solium* from *T. saginata* were proposed by Verster [47] in a taxonomic review of the genus *Taenia*. These characteristics are: presence of an armed rostellum on the scolex; three lobed ovary and absence of a vaginal sphincter. Additionally, the number of uterine branches in gravid proglottids is an indicative but not absolute difference between the two *Taenia* species [48]. Fixation and staining of proglottids with Semichon's acetocarmine allows for identification of these differences, as does injection of liquid black ink through the genital pore. In addition to the absence (*T. saginata*) or presence (*T. solium*) of rostellar hooks on the scolex, Morgan and Hawkins [49] described a differential method based on the number of uterine branches in gravid segments. They reported that *T. solium* had between 8 and 14 unilateral uterine branches, whereas *T. saginata* had 15–24 branches. However, several authors reported overlapping numbers, thus questioning the specificity of this method [49, 50].

The differential diagnosis of the adult worm causing taeniasis is very important for control purposes, but in light of the factors explained above diagnosis using morphological characteristics from parasite material is plagued with challenges

Parasite coproantigen assays

Parasite coproantigens constitute specific products in the feces of the host possible to be detected using immunological tests. These products are associated with parasite metabolism, are independent of presence of eggs or proglottids and are reported to disappear from feces shortly after treatment [31, 51]. Coproantigens can also be detected as early as 2 weeks post infection [52].

Several assays detecting *Taenia* coproantigens have been developed in different formats but all in the form of antigen-capture ELISA using polyclonal antibodies obtained from hyperimmunized rabbits with either adult worm somatic or excretory-secretory (ES) products [25, 53 -56]. These assays are reported to be genus-specific and are independent of reproductive material (e.g., eggs). Furthermore, coproantigens are not detectable after treatment and the antigens are stable in fecal samples [31] making the test very useful for the early detection and evaluation of antiparasitic treatment efficacy in human *T. solium* taeniasis [51]. In epidemiological studies, the coproantigen ELISA is reported to detect around 2.5 times more cases of taeniasis than basic microscopy [42, 44].

The levels of sensitivity of these assays are dependent on the assay format (both microplate and dipstick formats have been used to date) and the quality of the rabbit sera used in their production (high-titre sera being better). Some studies report that these assays have specificity and a sensitivity of 100% and 98%, respectively [32, 55]). Other studies in Guatemala and Peru have, however, recorded lower sensitivities [17, 56-58]. Using Bayesian analysis, a study by Praet et al. [59] reported sensitivity and a specificity of 85% and 92%, respectively. The tests are genus-specific; as such *T. saginata* and *T. solium* infections cannot be differentiated. No cross-reactions with other infections including *Hymenolepis* spp., *Ascaris lumbricoises, Trichuris trichiura*, hookworm and parasitic protozoa have been identified [25, 31]. To achieve species-specificity, Guezala et al. [60] combined both polyclonal antibodies against *T. solium* adult

whole worm extract and *T. solium* adult excretory/secretory proteins (ESP) in a hybrid sandwich ELISA format. This assay was reported to perform with 100% specificity and 95% sensitivity in the detection of *T. solium* carriers [60].

Though Allan and colleagues [42] already pointed out the presence of false positive results with the copro-Ag in a field study conducted in Guatemalan communities, cross-reactions with other parasites other than *Taenia* spp. have not been reported [31]. Nevertheless, potential non-specific reactions of the polyclonal antibodies should be further investigated. In a study by Praet et al. [58], a *T. saginata* positive sample by copro-PCR was also copro-Ag positive, highlighting the non-specificity of the copro-Ag test using polyclonal antibodies against adult *T. solium*. This calls for further improvements in the copro-Ag ELISA test, as the differential diagnosis of taeniasis has public health implications.

The copro-Ag ELISA is reported to detect immature tapeworm stages and this could explain the higher number of copro-Ag ELISA positive cases compared to coproscopy (only detecting eggs and thus adult, gravid tapeworms) reported in studies that have used both tests. Further, studies that have used the copro-Ag ELISA test together with the molecular tests indicate that not all samples that are positive on copro-Ag ELISA are also positive on PCR [26, 58]. In contrast with the copro-Ag ELISA, which is able to detect immature tapeworms, molecular-based tests are dependent on reproductive material such as eggs. This highlights the inadequacies of the latter to detect mature adult tapeworm carriers. Although based on one voluntary self-infected subject, a study by Tembo and Craig [52] reported that for *T. saginata*, coproantigens are detected 14 days post-infection whereas proglottid patency occurs 86 day post infection. If this is true for *T.*

solium, then it could probably explain the higher number of copro-Ag ELISA positives compared to PCR reported in studies that have used both these tests.

The rate at which tapeworms establish in the intestine following ingestion of cysticerci is not well known. It is generally assumed that only one tapeworm develops in a host (solitary worm). Competition between tapeworms, of the same or different species, influencing their establishment has been suggested by Conlan et al. [61]. Since people may consume pork meat infected with many cysts, potentially many of these can develop into adult worms within one host. However, an important proportion of infected individuals can harbour multiple tapeworms, as demonstrated in studies by Bustos et al. (8.2%) [51], and Jeri et al. (20%) [46]. It is also possible that some juvenile tapeworms are expelled before they reach maturity. Although cross reactions have been demonstrated not to occur with the copro-Ag ELISA, additional studies to improve the test are required and the use of monoclonal antibodies to detect antigens in stool is suggested.

Serological diagnostic assays

Wilkins et al. [33] described *T. solium* specific antigens to detect antibodies against adult *T. solium* in serum by Western blot with sensitivity and specificity rates of 95% and 100% respectively. Even though no cross reactions were found in serum from individuals infected with *T. saginata* and other cestodes, including *T. solium* cysticercosis, one sample from a patient suffering from NCC but not harboring the intestinal worm, tested positive [31]. The serological diagnosis of taeniasis has obvious advantages over the fecal based methods (*e.g.*, species specificity, avoidance of potential biohazard associated with collection and handling of fecal samples, and also the possibility of combining the test with other immunological assays in the

diagnosis of cysticercosis). However, in treated individuals, antibodies remain detectable for a long time (period not yet established) and cause false positives [31, 62]. Further, as highlighted above, it is possible that after successful infection and initial establishment in the intestine, some tapeworms fail to progress into mature and gravid worms, consequently dying and getting expelled from the body. In these situations, it is possible that individuals will remain positive for antibodies even when an actual infection cannot be demonstrated.

Whilst these assays have been applied successfully as part of field research programs in endemic countries, issues such as cost and accessibility remain to be addressed if these tests are to be used routinely in these areas of the world [31]. The assays are also yet to be evaluated on a large-scale field studies in endemic areas. For this reason, these tests are not yet commercially available for diagnosis but only for research purposes.

Handali et al. [63], described a rapid test method using recombinant proteins for the immunodetection of taeniasis, which could be affordable, reliable, rapid and easy to perform. Though feasible, the test still requires field evaluation and improvements on its sensitivity for taeniasis detection in endemic areas.

Molecular methods

Molecular techniques have also been developed allowing species-specific tapeworm detection in feces and differentiation of collected parasite material [64 - 69]. Differentiation of human *Taenia* spp. by molecular assays is normally done on proglottids expelled from carriers after treatment [50,70, 71]. In recent years, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests for species-specific confirmation of *Taenia* spp. have been developed based on the detection of parasite DNA in

fecal samples (copro-DNA) [65], cysticerci [65, 72], or eggs present in the feces and on proglottids [65]. Several methods and loci have been used for differentiating *Taenia* spp. Gonzalez et al. [70] designated primers have been used these in multiplex PCR giving differential detection between *T. saginata* and *T. solium*.

Mayta et al. [48] used PCR-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) to differentiate *T. solium* and *T. saginata*. They amplified the 3' region of the 18S and the 5' region of the 28S ribosomal gene (spacing the 5.8S ribosomal gene) and used three restriction enzymes (AluI, D*de*I or *Mbo*I) for analysis of the PCR amplicons. Each enzyme gave a unique pattern for each species. In this assay, the primers amplified DNA from all cestodes, not only from *Taenia spp*.

Rodriguez-Hidalgo et al. [50] also differentiated *Taenia* spp. by PCR-RFLP using the 12S rDNA but developed new primers to reduce the non-specific amplification found when using field samples. They, however, also used D*de*I as the restriction enzyme.

The major problem with PCR for DNA detection in stool samples has been that of sensitivity owing to the presence of PCR inhibitors in stools [64, 73]. Mayta et al., [67] reported a nested-PCR assay targeting the Tso31 gene that was developed for the specific diagnosis of taeniasis due to *T. solium*. The specificity and sensitivity of the assay on archived samples were 97% (31/32) and 100% (123/123), respectively. Under field conditions, and using microscopy and/or ELISA coproantigen testing as the gold standards, the assay was 100% sensitive and specific.

Praet et al. [59] reported a novel real-time PCR using *T. solium*-specific primers, TsolITS_145F and TsolITS_230R (Biolegio, The Netherlands) and the Tsol_ITS_169Tq_FAM double-labelled

probe (Biolegio) to detect *T. solium*-specific amplification. *T. saginata*-specific PCR primers and a detection probe were also chosen within the ITS1 sequence to amplified and detect for *T. saginata* specifically. Using Bayesian analysis, this real-time PCR had a sensitivity and specificity of 83% and 99%, respectively. This study highlighted the importance of using the Bayesian analysis in the estimation of diagnostic tests in light of the absence of a diagnostic gold standard for taeniasis.

The high sensitivity of species-specific detection of *Taenia* spp. is a major advantage of the copro-PCR test for the diagnosis of taeniasis [59, 64, 65]. However, molecular tools remain very expensive and unavailable in endemic areas. The current DNA extraction methods are too expensive to be used as a routine test and many developing countries lack well equipped laboratories needed for molecular tests [1], and this renders their use under field conditions unfeasible

A report by Nkouawa et al. [68] described the development and evaluation of a loop mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay for differential diagnosis of infections with *Taenia* species. They demonstrated that the LAMP method was able to differentially detect *Taenia* species and had high sensitivity and specificity. The LAMP test is simple and highly cost effective compared to PCR, requiring simple inexpensive materials and equipment. The test was, piloted on a limited number of clinical specimens, and therefore requires field validation before it can be made available for routine differential diagnosis of taeniasis. If validated, the LAMP test has the potential to be used as an alternative and cost-effective tool for the detection of *T. solium* carriers globally

Conclusions

The presence of *T. solium* tapeworm carriers in a community where open defecation is frequent, leads to high human and porcine cysticercosis prevalences. A contaminated environment exposes individuals to repeated contact with the parasite. This has been demonstrated by incidence studies reporting high antibody seroconversion rates [25, 74]. Many of those individuals may end up with neurocysticercosis and as a result, may suffer from epilepsy for life. It is therefore, the authors view that the detection and treatment of carriers would be a great leap towards the control and elimination of taeniasis and cysticercosis in endemic communities. However, diagnostic deficiencies in the detection and treatment of carriers [75]. Detection of eggs in feces is insensitive and nonspecific while immunological and molecular tests still require refining before they are made available to endemic communities at a relatively cheaper price than currently prevailing. As highlighted in this review, taeniasis diagnosis is hindered by the lack of a diagnostic gold standard test for *T. solium* detection.

From the public health point of view, it might be argued that taeniasis control could be approached in the same manner than soil-transmitted helminths: with mass-drug administration (MDA) utilizing drugs such as niclosamide, which is reported to be both safe and efficacious. Regrettably, niclosamide is not readily available in many endemic countries -or is not accessible to poor communities where the infection is prevalent. Further, since the taeniasis/cysticercosis disease complex remains a neglected problem, little resources are devoted to its control, if any at all.

The use of mass treatment has resulted in decreases in taeniasis and porcine cysticercosis prevalences in endemic areas [18, 76]. However, since its effects last for only up to two years [77] MDA should be implemented for a number of years or should be combined with other control programs such as community education [78], vaccination of pigs [79 - 81], and improved veterinary control of pig slaughter [1]. As stated by Lightowlers [82], the future control of *T. solium* infections lies in an integrated approach, because a single control measure is unlikely to achieve effective and long lasting control. Notwithstanding, the reduction of environmental contamination with *T. solium* eggs by detection and treatment of carriers would be an important entry point. In resource-constrained settings, tapeworm carrier detection can be more cost-effective than MDA. Hence, low-cost, effective, quick and easy to perform tests are urgently needed to detect these tapeworm carriers who are the cornerstone of taeniasis/cysticercosis transmission.

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines

Conflict of Interest

Kabemba E. Mwape and Sarah Gabriël declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

Of importance

•• Of major importance

- Murrell KD: WHO/OIE/FAO Guidelines for the surveillance, prevention and control of taeniasis/cysticercosis. (ed. Murrell, K. D.), pp. 27-43. Paris, France. World Health Organization for Animal Health (OIE) 2005.
- Garcia-Garcia ML, Torres M, Correa D, et al.: Prevalence and risk of cysticercosis and taeniasis in an urban population of soldiers and their relatives. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1999, 61, 386-389.
- Schantz PM, Moore AC, Munoz JL, et al.: Neurocysticercosis in an Orthodox Jewish community in New York City. New England Journal of Medicine 1992, 327, 692-695.
- 4. ••Yanagida T, Sako Y, Nakao M, et al.: Taeniasis and cysticercosis due to *Taenia solium* in Japan. Parasitology & Vectors 2012, 5, 18. *Even non-endemic countries are at risk of cysticercosis as shown in this study highlighting the potential of T. solium carriers to be a risk of infection to others.*
- 5. Eom KS, Jeon HK & Rim HJ,: Geographical distribution of *Taenia asiatica* and related species. Korean Journal of Parasitology 2009, 47 Supplement, S115-124.
- 6. Phiri IK, Ngowi H, Afonso S, et al.: The emergence of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in Eastern and Southern Africa as a serious agricultural problem and public health risk. Acta Tropica 2003, 87, 13-23.
- Sikasunge CS, Phiri IK, Phiri AM, et al.: Risk factors associated with porcine cysticercosis in selected districts of Eastern and Southern provinces of Zambia. Veterinary Parasitology 2007, 143, 59-66.
- Dorny P, Phiri IK, Vercruysse J, et al.: A Bayesian approach for estimating values for prevalence and diagnostic test characteristics of porcine cysticercosis. International Journal for Parasitology 2004b, 34, 569-576.
- 9. •Carabin H, Ndimubanzi PC, Budke CM, et al.: Clinical manifestations associated with neurocysticercosis: a systematic review. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2011, 5, e1152. *The ultimate effect of T. solium carriers is neurocysticercosis which has various clinical manifestations with considerable burden on those affected.*
- 10. Carabin H, Budke CM, Cowan LD, et al.: Methods for assessing the burden of parasitic zoonoses: echinococcosis and cysticercosis. Trends in Parasitology 2005, 21, 327-333.

- Praet N, Speybroeck N, Manzanedo R, et al.: The disease burden of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in Cameroon. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2009, 3, e406.
- •Torgerson PR & Macpherson CN,: The socioeconomic burden of parasitic zoonoses: Global trends. Veterinary Parasitology 2011, 182, 79-95. *The burden of T. solium cysticercosis entails the increasing need for its control.*
- 13. Ndimubanzi PC, Carabin H, Budke CM, et al.: A systematic review of the frequency of neurocyticercosis with a focus on people with epilepsy. PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2010, 4, e870.
- Roberts T, Murrell KD & Marks S,: Economic losses caused by foodborne parasitic diseases. Parasitology Today 1994, 10, 419-423.
- 15. Gajadhar AA, Scandrett WB & Forbes LB,: Overview of food- and water-borne zoonotic parasites at the farm level. Review of Science and Technology 2006, 25, 595-606.
- Muller R,: Worms and Disease. A Manual of Medical Helminthology, Heinemann Medical Books LTD 1975, London.
- 17. Mafojane NA, Appleton CC, Krecek RC, et al.: The current status of neurocysticercosis in Eastern and Southern Africa. Acta Tropica 2003. 87, 25-33.
- Allan JC, Velasquez-Tohom M, Garcia-Noval J, et al. : Epidemiology of intestinal taeniasis in four, rural. Guatemalan communities. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 1996a. 90, 157-165.
- Cruz M, Davis A, Dixon H, et al.: Operational studies on the control of *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis in Ecuador. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 1989. 67, 401-407.
- 20. Zoli A, Shey-Njila O, Assana E, et al.: Regional status, epidemiology and impact of *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in Western and Central Africa. Acta Tropica 2003a. 87, 35-42.
- 21. Newell E, Vyungimana F, Geerts S, et al.: Prevalence of cysticercosis in epileptics and members of their families in Burundi. Transactions of the Royal Society for Tropical Medcine and Hygiene 1997. 91, 389-391.
- 22. Asaava LL, Kitala PM, Gathura PB, et al.: A survey of bovine cysticercosis/human taeniasis in Northern Turkana District, Kenya. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 2009. 89, 197-204.
- 23. Wohlgemut J, Dewey C, Levy M & Mutua F: Evaluating the efficacy of teaching methods regarding prevention of human epilepsy caused by *Taenia solium* neurocysticercosis in Western Kenya. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2010. 82, 634-642.

- 24. Praet N, Kanobana K, Kabwe C, et al.: *Taenia solium* cysticercosis in the Democratic Republic of Congo: how does pork trade affect the transmission of the parasite? PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases 2010a, 4.
- 25. ••Mwape KE, Phiri IK, Praet N, et al.: *Taenia solium* Infections in a rural area of Eastern Zambia-a community based study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2012. 6(3):e1594. *The use of improved diagnostic tests for taeniasis has led to the detection of many carriers. The authors of this study however, also highlight the inadequacies of these tests in detecting active infections.*
- 26. ••Okello A, Ash A, Keokhamphet C, et al.: Investigating a hyper-endemic focus of *Taenia solium* in northern Lao PDR. Parasites & Vectors 2014. 7,134. *Though corpoantigen detecting tests detect more taeniasis positives, many of such cases are negative on molecular tests.*
- Allan JC, Velasquez-Tohom M, Torres-Alvarez R, et al.: Field trial of the coproantigen-based diagnosis of *Taenia solium* taeniasis by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1996b, 54, 352-356.
- 28. •Mwape KE, Phiri IK, Praet N, et al.: The incidence of human cysticercosis in a rural community of eastern Zambia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2013. 7, e2142. *The result of tapeworm carriers in environmental contamination is elucidated in this study, thus highlighting the need for detection and treatment of carriers to eliminate the contamination.*
- 29. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for the International Task Force for Disease Eradication. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 1993. 42, 28-38.
- 30. Sarti E & Rajshekhar V: Measures for the prevention and control of *Taenia solium* taeniasis and cysticercosis. Acta Tropica 2003, 87, 137-143.
- Allan JC, Wilkins PP, Tsang VC & Craig PS: Immunodiagnostic tools for taeniasis. Acta Tropica 2003, 87, 87-93.
- 32. Allan JC, Avila G, Garcia-Noval J, et al.: Immunodiagnosis of taeniasis by coproantigen detection. Parasitology 1990, 101 Pt 3, 473-477.
- 33. Wilkins PP, Allan JC, Verastegui M, et al.: Development of a serologic assay to detect *Taenia solium* taeniasis. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1999, 60, 199-204.
- 34. ••Raoul F, Li T, Sako Y,: Advances in diagnosis and spatial analysis of cysticercosis and taeniasis. Parasitology 2013. doi:10.1017/S0031182013001303. The available diagnostic tests for taeniasis are inefficient in the accurate diagnosis of the disease as highlighted in this review.

- 35. Ito A, Li T, Chen X, et al.: Mini review on chemotherapy of taeniasis and cysticercosis due to *Taenia solium* in Asia, and a case report with 20 tapeworms. Tropical Biomedicine 2013. 30, 164–173.
- Sarti E, Schantz PM, Plancarte A, et al.: Prevalence and risk factors for *Taenia solium* taeniasis and cysticercosis in humans and pigs in a village in Morelos, Mexico. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1992. 46, 677–685.
- Schantz PM, Cruz M, Sarti E & Pawlowski Z,: Potential eradicability of taeniasis and cysticercosis. Bulletin of the Pan American Health Organization 1993. 27, 397-403.
- Flisser A, Vazquez-Mendoza A, Martinez-Ocana J, et al.: Short report: evaluation of a self-detection tool for tapeworm carriers for use in public health. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2005. 72, 510-512.
- 39. Li T, Ito A, Chen X, et al.: Usefulness of pumpkin seeds combined with areca nut extract in community-based treatment of human taeniasis in northwest Sichuan province. Acta Tropica 2012. 124, 152–157.
- De Kaminsky RG,: Albendazole treatment in human taeniasis. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1991. 85, 648–650.
- 41. Ritchie LS,: An ether sedimentation technique for routine stool examinations. Bulletin of the United States Army Medical Department 1948, 8, 326.
- 42. Sarti E,: Taeniasis and cysticercosis due to Taenia solium. Salúd Publica de México 1997, 39, 225-231.
- 43. Garcia HH, Gilman RH, Gonzalez AE, et al.: Hyperendemic human and porcine *Taenia solium* infection in Peru. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2003a, 68, 268-275.
- 44. Allan JC, Velasquez-Tohom M, Fletes C, et al.: Mass chemotherapy for intestinal *Taenia solium* infection: effect on prevalence in humans and pigs. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1997, 91, 595-598.
- 45. WHO,: Guidelines for Surveillance, Prevention and Control of Taeniasis/Cysticercosis. (eds. Gemmell MA, Matyas Z, Pawlowski Z, et al), pp. 49. World Health Organisation 1983, Geneva.
- 46. Jeri C, Gilman RH, Lescano AG, et al.: Species identification after treatment for human taeniasis. Lancet 2004, 363, 949-950.
- 47. Verster A,: A toxonomic revision of the genus *Taenia Linnaeus*, 1758 s.str. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research 1969, 36, 3-58.

- Mayta H, Talley A, Gilman RH, et al.: Differentiating *Taenia solium* and *Taenia saginata* infections by simple hematoxylin-eosin staining and PCR-restriction enzyme analysis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2000, 38, 133-137.
- 49. Morgan BB & Hawkins PA,: Veterinary Helminthology, Burgess Publishing Company, 1949,
- 50. Rodriguez-Hidalgo R, Geysen D, Benitez-Ortiz W, et al.: Comparison of conventional techniques to differentiate between *Taenia solium* and *Taenia saginata* and an improved polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism assay using a mitochondrial 12S rDNA fragment. Journal of Parasitology 2002, 88, 1007-1011.
- 51. Bustos JA, Rodriguez S, Jimenez JA, et al.: *T. solium* taeniasis coproantigen detection is an early indicator of treatment failure for taeniasis. Clinical and Vaccine Immunology 2012, 19, doi: 10.1128/CVI.05428-05411.
- 52. ••Tembo A & Craig P: *Taenia saginata* taeniasis: copro-antigen time-course in a voluntary self-infection. Journal of Helminthology 2014. doi:10.1017/S0022149X14000455. *The disease time course for taeniasis due to T. solium is not well established. The results of this study on T. saginata infection gives insights to the disease course and confirms the ability of the coproantigen ELISA to detect immature tapeworms.*
- Deplazes P, Eckert J, Pawlowski ZS, et al.: An enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for diagnostic detection of *Taenia saginata* copro-antigens in humans. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1991, 85, 391-396.
- 54. Maass M, Delgado E & Knobloch, J,: Detection of *Taenia solium* antigens in merthiolate-form preserved stool samples. Tropical Medicine and Parasitology 1991, 42, 112-114.
- 55. Allan JC, Craig PS, Garcia-Noval J, et al.: Coproantigen detection for immunodiagnosis of echinococcosis and taeniasis in dogs and humans. Parasitology 1992, 104 (Pt 2), 347-356.
- 56. Machnicka B, Dziemian E & Zwierz C,: Detection of *Taenia saginata* antigens in faeces by ELISA. Applied Parasitology 1996, 37, 106-110.
- 57. Garcia-Noval J, Allan JC, Fletes C, et al.: Epidemiology of *Taenia solium* taeniasis and cysticercosis in two rural Guatemalan communities. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1996, 55, 282-289.
- 58. Cabrera M, Verastegui M & Cabrera R: Prevalence of entero-parasitosis in one Andean community in the Province of Victor Fajardo, Ayacucho, Peru. Revista de Gastroenterologia del Peru 2005. 25, 150-155.
- 59. •Praet N, Verweij JJ, Mwape KE, et al.: Bayesian modelling to estimate the test characteristics of coprology, coproantigen ELISA and a novel real-time PCR for the diagnosis of taeniasis. Tropical Medicine &

International Health 2013. 18, 608-614. With the absence of a gold standard test for taeniasis, the evaluation of the performance of the available tests requires analyses that take into consideration prior information from other tests and from experts in the field.

- 60. Guezala MC, Rodriguez S, Zamora H, et al.: Development of a species-specific coproantigen ELISA for human *Taenia solium* taeniasis. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2009, 81, 433-437.
- 61. Conlan JV, Vongxay K, Fenwick S, et al.: Does interspecific competition have a moderating effect on *Taenia solium* transmission dynamics in Southeast Asia? Trends in Parasitology 2009, 25, 398-403.
- 62. Ito A & Craig PS,: Immunodiagnostic and molecular approaches for the detection of taeniid cestode infections. Trends in Parasitology 2003, 19, 377-381.
- Handali S, Klarman M, Gaspard AN, et al.: (2010). Development and evaluation of a magnetic immunochromatographic test to detect *Taenia solium*, which causes taeniasis and neurocysticercosis in humans. Clinical and Vaccine Immunology 2010, 17, 631-637.
- 64. Nunes CM, Lima LG, Manoel CS, et al. : *Taenia saginata*: polymerase chain reaction for taeniasis diagnosis in human fecal samples. Experimental Parasitology 2003, 104, 67-69.
- 65. Yamasaki H, Allan JC, Sato MO, et al.: DNA differential diagnosis of taeniasis and cysticercosis by multiplex PCR. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2004, 42, 548-553.
- 66. Nunes CM, Dias AK, Dias FE, et al.: *Taenia saginata*: differential diagnosis of human taeniasis by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism assay. Experimental Parasitology 2005, 110, 412-415.
- 67. Mayta H, Gilman RH, Prendergast E, et al.: Nested PCR for specific diagnosis of *Taenia solium* taeniasis. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2008, 46, 286-289.
- Nkouawa A, Sako Y, Nakao M, et al.: Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Method for differentiation and rapid detection of *Taenia* species. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2009, 47, 168–174.
- 69. •Jeon HK, Yong TS, Sohn W, et al.: Molecular identification of *Taenia* tapeworms by Cox1 gene in Koh Kong, Cambodia. Korean Journal of Parasitology 2011, 49, 195-197. *Differentiation of Taenia tapeworms can be achieved by molecular methods*.
- 70. Eom KS, Jeon HK, Kong Y, et al.: Identification of *Taenia asiatica* in China: molecular, morphological. and epidemiological analysis of a Luzhai isolate. Journal of Parasitology 2002, 88, 758-764.

- Gonzalez LM, Montero E, Puente S, et al.: PCR tools for the differential diagnosis of *Taenia saginata* and *Taenia solium* taeniasis/cysticercosis from different geographical locations. Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 2002, 42, 243-249.
- Yamasaki H, Nakao M, Sako Y, et al.: DNA differential diagnosis of human taeniid cestodes by base excision sequence scanning thymine-base reader analysis with mitochondrial genes. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2002, 40, 3818-3821.
- 73. Nunes CM, Lima LG, Manoel CS, et al.: Fecal specimens preparation methods for PCR diagnosis of human taeniasis. Journal of the Institute of Tropical Medicine of *São Paulo* 2006, 48, 45-47.
- 74. •Coral-Almeida M, Rodri 'guez-Hidalgo R, Celi-Erazo M, et al.: Incidence of human *Taenia solium* larval infections in an Ecuadorian endemic area: Implications for disease burden assessment and control. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2014. 8, e2887. *The result of tapeworm carriers in environmental contamination again elucidated in this study, thus highlighting the need for detection and treatment of carriers to eliminate the contamination.*
- Schantz PM,: Progress in diagnosis, treatment and elimination of echinococcosis and cysticercosis. Parasitology International 2006, 55 S7 – S13
- 76. Sarti E & Rajshekhar V,: Measures for the prevention and control of *Taenia solium* taeniasis and cysticercosis. Acta Tropica 2003, 87, 137-143.
- 77. Garcia HH, Evans CA, Nash TE, et al.: Current consensus guidelines for treatment of neurocysticercosis. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 2002, 15, 747-756.
- 78. Ngowi HA, Carabin H, Kassuku AA, et al.: A health-education intervention trial to reduce porcine cysticercosis in Mbulu District, Tanzania. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 2008, 85, 52-67.
- 79. Flisser A, Gauci CG, Zoli A, et al.: Induction of protection against porcine cysticercosis by vaccination with recombinant oncosphere antigens. Infection and Immunity 2004, 72, 5292-5297.
- Gonzalez AE, Gauci CG, Barber D, et al.: Vaccination of pigs to control human neurocysticercosis. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2005, 72, 837-839.
- Assana E, Kyngdon CT, Gauci CG, et al.: Elimination of *Taenia solium* transmission to pigs in a field trial of the TSOL18 vaccine in Cameroon. International Journal for Parasitology 2010, 40, 515-519.
- 82. Lightowlers MW,: Vaccines for prevention of cysticercosis. Acta Tropica 2003, 87, 129-135.