The science of the tropical cereals sorghum, maize and rice in relation to lager beer brewing

# J. R. N. Taylor\*, B. C. Dlamini and J. Kruger

\*Correspondence to: J. R. N. Taylor, Institute for Food, Nutrition and Well-being and Department of Food Science, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X20, Hatfield 0028, South Africa. E-mail: john.taylor@up.ac.za

Institute for Food, Nutrition and Well-being and Department of Food Science, University of Pretoria, Private Bag X20, Hatfield 0028, South Africa. E-mail: john.taylor@up.ac.za

Keywords: adjunct, maize, malt, rice, sorghum, lager beer

#### ABSTRACT

Mainstream lager beer brewing using the tropical cereals sorghum, maize and rice, either as malt or as raw grain plus commercial enzymes, is becoming widespread. This review examines the differences in composition between these tropical cereals and barley and their impact on brewing processes and beer quality. All of the cereals have a starch gelatinisation temperature some 10°C higher than barley. The sorghum prolamin proteins are particularly resistant to proteolysis due to disulphide cross-linking involving  $\gamma$ -kafirin. Unlike barley, the major endosperm cell wall components in sorghum and maize are arabinoxylans, which persist during malting. The rice cell walls also seem to contain pectic substances. Notably, certain sorghum varieties, the tannin-type sorghums, contain considerable levels of condensed tannins (proanthocyanidins), which can substantially inhibit amylases, and probably also other brewing enzymes. Tropical cereal malts exhibit a similar complement of enzymic activities to barley malt, with the notable exception of  $\beta$ -amylase, which is much lower and essentially is absent in their raw grain. Concerning beer flavour, it is probable that condensed tannins, where present in sorghum, could contribute to bitterness and astringency. The compound 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline, responsible for the popcorn aroma of maize and also the major aroma compound in rice, presumably affects beer flavour. However, much more research is needed into tropical cereals and beer flavour. Other future directions should include improving hydrolysis of prolamins into free amino nitrogen, possibly using prolyl carboxypeptidases and investigating tropical cereal lines with useful novel traits such as high amylopectin, high protein digestibility and low phytate.

# Introduction

Since the beginning of the 20th century there has been increasing development of lager beer brewing using high proportions of cereals other than barley malt. Here, the term "lager beer" is used to denote common traditionally barley malt-based beers. This process of barley malt replacement in brewing is accelerating. Today, there are several totally non-barley lager beers being brewed across the world such as Eagle in Africa<sup>(1)</sup> and Redbridge<sup>(2)</sup> and Bard's Tale<sup>(3)</sup> in the USA.

Several drivers of barley malt replacement can be identified, in particular grain cultivation. Barley is a cool season, temperate cereal<sup>(4)</sup> and in tropical and sub-tropical regions its cultivation is generally far less viable compared to the major tropical cereals of maize<sup>(5)</sup>, rice<sup>(6)</sup>and sorghum<sup>(7)</sup>. An early example of this driver was the demonstration of locally developed sorghum malt beverages in 1917 at the Madras Exhibition in India<sup>(8)</sup>. Related to this are government policies of import replacement and support for local farmers. In Nigeria, a temporary ban on barley and barley malt imports from the mid 1980s to 1999 has resulted in the continuing general use of sorghum and maize in lager beer brewing<sup>(9)</sup>.Favourable taxation has also played a role. In Japan, in the early 2000s low barley malt lager beers called Happoshu, which were less taxed than beers produced with a high proportion of malt, had captured 40% of the beer market<sup>(10)</sup>. Similarly, lower taxation to favour locally grown cereals has helped the development of sorghum lager brewing in East African countries such as Uganda<sup>(1)</sup>. Another driver is the enormous growth of grain bioethanol production based predominantly on maize, which is resulting in major developments in commercial enzyme technology<sup>(11)</sup>. Lastly, the most recent driver is the gluten-free trend<sup>(12)</sup>. Whilst still a very small market in the USA, where in 2009 gluten-free beers accounted for less than 0.1% of beer sales, growth rates of up to 35% have been reported<sup>(13)</sup>.

Although brewing a "beer" with cereals other than barley malt is straightforward, achieving economic process efficiency and producing a consumer-acceptable product is an ongoing research challenge. This review examines four interrelated areas of non-barley malt brewing science: impacts of differences in chemical composition of the major constituents of tropical cereal grains, the enzyme activities of the tropical cereals, potential deficiencies in yeast nutrition and beer sensory characteristics, in respect of refined raw grain (essentially starchy endosperm), whole raw grain as well as malted cereal brewing. Throughout the review

comparisons will be made between these cereals and barley. The emphasis in the review is on the science, since large-scale commercial brewing practice, especially with sorghum, has often rapidly overtaken or even pre-dated technology research developments reported in the public domain<sup>(14, 15)</sup>. The review concerns only sorghum, maize and rice, since these are the cereals used in mainstream low- or non-barley malt beers and they are all "gluten-free" cereals<sup>(16)</sup>.

#### Major grain chemical components of sorghum, maize and rice

In barley malt brewing, the process of solubilisation (enzymatic hydrolysis and physical solubilisation) of the chemical components of the grain: starch, non-starch polysaccharides (NSPs), proteins, lipids, minerals, vitamins and phytochemicals is facilitated by enzymatic modification of the grain structure during malting. Notably, the endosperm cell walls are degraded and there is limited hydrolysis of the endosperm protein matrix and starch granules<sup>(17)</sup>. The tropical cereal grains are similar in general proximate chemical composition to barley with only a few clear differences. However, there are important detailed differences in the composition, structure and properties of some of the chemical components between them and barley, and between themselves, which can restrict solubilisation even if these grains are malted.

#### Starch

The starch gelatinisation temperature range of the tropical cereal starches is some 10 to 20 degrees higher than that of barley starch, 62-78°C and 51-60°C, respectively<sup>(18)</sup>. The temperature optima of, for example, sorghum malt  $\alpha$ -amylase is around 70°C and sorghum malt  $\beta$ -amylase is around 50°C<sup>(19, 20)</sup>, with complete inactivation of  $\beta$ -amylase taking place at 68°C within 15 minutes<sup>(21)</sup>. Because of this, simultaneous starch gelatinization and hydrolysis as takes place in barley malt mashing<sup>(22)</sup> is not effective. Thus, in practice the tropical cereals, whether in the form or raw grain or malt, must be cooked first to gelatinize their starch, cooled then saccharified using barley malt or commercial enzymes<sup>(22, 23)</sup>. Thus, in commercial lager brewing using sorghum malt, the malt is primarily an adjunct rather than the source of hydrolytic enzymes<sup>(23)</sup>. This is despite much research on rising temperature and decoction type mashing regimes to obviate the problem of sorghum starch's high gelatinization temperature<sup>(24, 25, 26, 27, 28)</sup>.

There is some evidence that the gelatinisation temperature of sorghum and rice starches may be generally slightly higher than that of maize starch<sup>(29)</sup>. In the case of sorghum, this is possibly because the amylose degree of polymerisation (DP) and average number of side chains appears to be higher than that of maize starch $^{(18,30)}$ . However, the proven, considerably higher gelatinisation temperature of tropical starches seems to be related to the longer side chains of their amylopectin compared to those of the temperate cereals. For example, it has been found that approximate weight-average chain lengths (CL<sub>w</sub>) of sorghum, wheat and barley amylopectin are 30.1, 26.8 and 27.9, respectively<sup>(31)</sup>. Amylopectin chain length is related to starch synthase II activity<sup>(32)</sup> and rice starch gelatinisation temperature has been shown to be controlled by the starch synthase IIa (SSIIa) gene<sup>(33)</sup>. It was found that SSIIa protein content and starch gelatinisation temperature were positively correlated with amylopectin chain lengths of high DP and negatively correlated with chain lengths of low DP. Rice is unusual in that its starch is stored in compound starch granules consisting of at least 16 small granules of 3-5 microns diameter<sup>(32)</sup>. However, the compound granule structure does not appear to affect end-use quality<sup>(32)</sup>. For brewing, long grain rice is generally avoided because of gelatinization and viscosity problems in mashing<sup>(34)</sup>. Stickiness of long grain rice is apparently correlated with the proportion of amylopectin A and short B chains<sup>(35)</sup>.

There also exist waxy cereals, which are essentially 100% amylopectin (0% amylose) maize <sup>(36)</sup>, rice<sup>(37)</sup> and sorghum <sup>(38)</sup>types. The high proportion of amylopectin exerts considerable effects on starch physical properties. Waxy barley and maize starches have been found to exhibit much greater swelling than their normal counterparts, which had 27.5% and 29.4% amylose, respectively, despite the waxy starches having 1-2 degree higher gelatinization temperature<sup>(39)</sup>. Similarly, waxy sorghum starch exhibited a very much higher and considerably earlier pasting peak viscosity than normal sorghum starch, despite its gelatinisation temperature being two degrees higher <sup>(40)</sup>.

Presumably because of the better swelling properties of amylopectin starch, there has been substantial interest in using waxy sorghum and maize in lager beer brewing<sup>(41, 42, 23)</sup> and recently for bioethanol production<sup>(43, 44)</sup>. Significantly, it has been found that waxy maize gave a 93% starch to ethanol conversion, some 5% higher than normal maize, in a "cold fermentation" process, i.e. without starch cooking<sup>(44)</sup>. This seemed to be a consequence of the waxy maize having shorter average amylopectin chain length than normal maize.

# Proteins

Quantitatively, the major proteins of barley, maize and sorghum are the prolamin storage proteins, which are endosperm-specific<sup>(29)</sup>. Uniquely, in rice glutelin-type storage proteins with an 11S globulin type amino acid sequence<sup>(45)</sup>are the major proteins. Whole grain rice also has a much lower protein content (approx. 7%)<sup>(46)</sup>than the other cereals barley (8-15%)<sup>(47)</sup>, maize (approx. 10%)<sup>(36)</sup>and sorghum (approx. 11%)<sup>(48)</sup>. The maize zein and sorghum kafirin prolamins are very similar in composition, amino acid sequence and conformation, consisting predominantly of small, 19-25 kDa alpha-prolamins<sup>(49)</sup>and hence are less diverse than the barley hordein proteins, which more closely resemble the wheat gliadins and glutenins<sup>(50)</sup>. Kafirin and zein are also notably more hydrophobic, or more strictly speaking less hydrophilic, than the storage proteins of the triticeae cereals such as wheat and barley<sup>(51)</sup>.

Importantly with respect to the provision of free amino nitrogen (FAN), the wet cooked protein digestibility of sorghum is substantially lower (approx. 30% lower) than that of the other cereals<sup>(52)</sup>. Having said this, there is also some evidence that the endosperm storage proteins of cooked rice are very resistant to hydrolysis<sup>(53)</sup>. Concerning the low protein digestibility of cooked sorghum, this is as a result of extensive polymerisation of the kafirins (and possibly other endosperm proteins) through disulphide bonding involving the cysteine-rich  $\gamma$ -kafirin sub-class<sup>(51, 52, 54, 55)</sup>. The cross-linking of the kafirin containing endosperm matrix protein may limit starch gelatinisation (more strictly speaking starch granule expansion) during cooking<sup>(56, 57)</sup> and in turn hydrolysis of the starch to fermentable sugars <sup>(58)</sup>. The disulphide-bonded crosslinking involving  $\gamma$ -kafirin seems to exacerbate the problem of the high content of proline in cereal prolamin proteins, for example  $\gamma$ -kafirin 23 mole% proline<sup>(59)</sup>. The high content of proline makes the prolamin oligopeptides, released by endopeptidase activity, resistant to degradation to free amino acids by conventional carboxypeptidases<sup>(60)</sup>.

Concerning protein types involved in beer foam, barley lipid transfer protein 1 (LTP 1) appears to play a key role in beer foam stabilization<sup>(61)</sup>. Tropical cereal grains also contain LPTs<sup>(62)</sup> and like in barley LTP<sup>(62, 63)</sup>, they seem to be primarily expressed in the aleurone and germ<sup>(64)</sup>. LTP 1's foaming properties are dependent on changes in the protein brought about during malting and brewing, in particular glycation by Maillard reactions during malting<sup>(61)</sup>.

Thus, grists comprising high proportions of raw tropical cereal refined starchy endosperm adjunct are undoubtedly deficient in foam-active LTP.

# Non-starch polysaccharides (NSP)

A fundamental difference in structure and chemical composition of rice compared to sorghum and maize is that paddy (rough) rice has a fibrous husk (hull) like barley, which is not threshed off the true grain. The rice hull comprises some 31-36% cellulose, 18% pentosans, 10-18% lignin, 3-12% hemicelluloses and 13-21% ash (mainly silicon)<sup>(65)</sup>. Rice hulls could presumably function as a filter bed in lautering.

The cell walls of the starchy endosperm, like the starchy endosperm matrix protein, may limit starch granule expansion during cooking<sup>(66)</sup> and subsequent starch hydrolysis. Also, importantly the endosperm cell walls can retard or limit wort separation due their hydration<sup>(67)</sup>. As is well known, this can be a major problem in barley brewing where the water-extractable (1-3,1-4)  $\beta$ -glucans, are by far the major cell wall component<sup>(68)</sup>. In contrast, in maize<sup>(69)</sup> and sorghum<sup>(70, 71, 72)</sup> the predominant components of starchy endosperm cell walls seem to be pentosans, specifically glucuronoarabinoxylans (GAX), which are water inextractable. In maize, the ratio of heteroxylans to  $\beta$ -glucans is at least 8:1<sup>(73)</sup>, whereas in barley, arabinoxylans account for only some 20% of cell wall NSP<sup>(69)</sup>. In sorghum grain, the level of  $\beta$ -glucan is very low, approx. 0.2% of grain weight<sup>(74)</sup>. The sorghum<sup>(75)</sup> and maize<sup>(69)</sup>GAX are also more complex and highly substituted than the arabinoxylans of barley. Further, their GAX are highly cross-linked. It now appears that this take place by oxidation of feruloyl ester to form oligoferuloyl esters and ether-like bonds<sup>(76)</sup>rather than diferulates as has been widely proposed <sup>(77, 78)</sup>. Presumably, on account of their inert nature, the maize and sorghum endosperm cell walls do not seem to constitute a problem with regard to wort separation.

Rice endosperm cell walls seem to be different to either barley or sorghum and maize, as although arabinoxylans and  $\beta$ -glucans account for the major proportion (approx. 47-49%) of endosperm cell wall NSP<sup>(73, 79)</sup>, there are also substantial proportions of cellulose (approx.23-28%) and pectic substances containing polygalacturonides<sup>(73, 80)</sup>(approx. 27%) and variable amounts of glucomannans<sup>(73)</sup>. It does not, however, seem that these pectic substances have an adverse effect on wort filtration when rice is used as an adjunct.

A fundamental difference between sorghum and barley malt is that the starchy endosperm cell walls of sorghum are not degraded during malting to any appreciable extent<sup>(77, 81)</sup> and remain visibly essentially intact even when the cell contents have been completely degraded<sup>(82)</sup>. The persistence of the sorghum endosperm cell is probably due to the high levels of cross-linked GAX. Additionally, it has been suggested that the presence of fuco-xyloglucan may contribute to the cells walls being resistant to cellulolytic type enzymes<sup>(83)</sup>. In view of the similarity in sorghum and maize endosperm cell wall composition<sup>(69)</sup>, it is probable that the maize cell walls also persist during malting, but firm evidence seems to be lacking. In rice, it has been reported that malting brings about dynamic changes in the feruloylarabinoxylans, greatly reducing the molecular size and increasing the ferulic acid content of the soluble arabinoxylans ten-fold<sup>(84)</sup>.

Notwithstanding the persistence of the endosperm cell walls in sorghum during germination, as sorghum malt is invariably treated as an adjunct and cooked prior to mashing, they do not seem to constitute a barrier to filtration in this type of brewing. However, there seems to be clear evidence that wort filtration is much retarded if sorghum malt is used conventionally as both source of enzymes as well as starch<sup>(85, 86)</sup>. It has been suggested that slow filtration of sorghum malt worts is as a result of low endo- $\beta$  -1,3 1,4 glucanase activity, a suggested cause of persistence of sorghum endosperm cell walls<sup>(15, 87)</sup>. However, this seems unlikely in view of the relatively low  $\beta$ -glucan content, even if there is good evidence that the sorghum malt worts, the relative contributions of the persistent endosperm cell walls and incomplete saccharification need to be quantified.

# Lipids

In brewing, lipid content is important with regard to foam and beer oxidative stability both of which are adversely affected by high levels of lipids<sup>(22)</sup>. However, caution has to be exercised when looking at data on cereal grain gross proximate composition. Nevertheless, whole grain maize has a considerably higher fat content (approx. 4.4%)<sup>(36)</sup>, compared to barley (2.3-3.7%)<sup>(88)</sup>, barley malt (approx. 1.8%)<sup>(48)</sup>, rice (1.6-2.8%) <sup>(48)</sup> and sorghum (approx. 3.2%)<sup>(89)</sup>. The lipid content of the endosperm tissue is much lower. Maize endosperm grits and rice endosperm grits or broken polished rice are used as adjunct, with typical lipid specifications of 0.7% <sup>(90)</sup> and 0.2%<sup>(34)</sup>, respectively. In contrast, sorghum can and is used as

a whole grain adjunct<sup>(1)</sup>, presumably because of its lower lipid content than maize and the fact that it is only milled directly before brewing and thus lipid oxidation is minimised.

# **Phenolics and tannins**

All cereal grains contain phenolic acids, which are concentrated in endosperm and bran cell walls and most cereals contain some flavonoid-type polyphenols, which are concentrated in the pericarp <sup>(91)</sup>. However, sorghum is unique among the major cereals in that certain sorghum varieties contain significant levels of condensed tannin type polyphenols ( $\geq 1\%$ ) and that most types contain significant levels of flavonoids <sup>(92)</sup>. However, despite valiant efforts by sorghum scientists such as Prof Lloyd W Rooney to communicate the science and practical implications of polyphenols and tannins in sorghum <sup>(93)</sup>, there remains a serious misconception that the terms polyphenols and tannins are synonymous<sup>(15, 94)</sup>. Unfortunately, this compounds the problem that our knowledge of tannins and non-tannin polyphenols in sorghum is still far too incomplete.

Basically, three different types of phenolic compounds may be present in sorghum grain: phenolic acids, flavonoids and condensed tannins<sup>(95)</sup>. All sorghum types contain phenolic acids such as hydroxycinnamic acids. For example ferulic acid is present in all cereal grains and coumaric acid is also present in barley, maize and rice<sup>(96)</sup>, and the hydroxybenzoic acids such as vanillic and syringic acid are present in all four cereals <sup>(91)</sup>. Sorghums visually appearing as red, brown and black, and even some types of white appearing sorghums, additionally contain many different anthocyanin flavonoid-type polyphenols<sup>(95, 96)</sup>. The aglycones of some of these anthocyanins are pigmented, such as apigenidin and luteolinidin, and are responsible for the grain colour. Anthocyanins and anthocyanidins are also present in substantial quantities in pigmented barley, maize and rice varieties <sup>(97)</sup>. However, sorghum is unique among cereal grains in that one of the anthocyanins that it contains is of the 3-deoxyanthocyanin type <sup>(98)</sup>, which seems to have anticancer activity <sup>(99)</sup>. Only the white-tan plant type of sorghum (referred to in the USA as food grade sorghum) <sup>(96)</sup>contains minimal levels of polyphenols. This type of sorghum is used for sorghum lager brewing in East and Southern Africa <sup>(1)</sup>.

Certain sorghum varieties additionally contain condensed tannins, more properly identified as proanthocyanidins or procyanidins, which are polymers of flavonoids <sup>(92)</sup>. Tannin-containing sorghum varieties are properly classed as tannin sorghums<sup>(100)</sup> and are of two types: Type II

and Type III, the latter containing a dominant  $B_1$ - $B_2$  spreader gene <sup>(38)</sup>. We know that the Type II tannin sorghums generally contain less tannins than the Type III <sup>(92)</sup>. However, comprehensive data on their tannin contents is lacking. The Type II tannin sorghums are invariably white in appearance <sup>(38)</sup> and white Type II tannin sorghum is widely used in sorghum malting and lager brewing in Nigeria<sup>(101, 102)</sup>. Type III tannin sorghums are invariably red or brown in colour<sup>(38)</sup>. Thus, the situation is that there are many sorghum varieties that are white in colour, but contain tannins and there are very many that are red and brown or even black that do not.

The major property of tannins of significance in brewing is that they bind irreversibly to proteins, probably through hydrogen bonding<sup>(103, 104)</sup> and hydrophobic interactions<sup>(104, 105)</sup>. Tannins have an affinity for proline residues<sup>(103, 105)</sup> and because of this sorghum condensed tannins also complex with the kafirin prolamins<sup>(104, 106)</sup> and other prolamins<sup>(104)</sup> and soluble proteins. The latter are rendered insoluble <sup>(107)</sup>. It has been known for several decades that the tannins in sorghum malt can substantially inhibit malt amylase activity<sup>(108)</sup> and reduce sugar production during mashing <sup>(109)</sup>. They also inhibit sorghum protein hydrolysis<sup>(107)</sup> and presumably other enzyme activities of importance in brewing. However, an important gap in our knowledge is what level of tannins in sorghum significantly inhibits malt and commercial enzyme activities in brewing.

Technologies such as steeping the sorghum grain in very dilute formalin or sodium hydroxide solution have been developed and are routinely applied in sorghum malting practice, whereby these chemicals react with the tannins and prevent amylase inhibition <sup>(23)</sup>. In the formalin treatment, formaldehyde probably polymerises the tannins to form phenol-formaldehyde resin <sup>(110)</sup>. The mechanism of inactivation by sodium hydroxide is not known but it has been suggested that it involves oxidative polymerisation<sup>(108)</sup>. These treatments undoubtedly also prevent inhibition of other enzymes in brewing, but concrete evidence is lacking.

### Enzymes of sorghum, maize and rice malt and whole raw grain

This section will primarily address the question as to whether malted maize, rice and sorghum express the full spectrum of enzyme types and levels of activities to completely replace the enzymes of barley malt. With regard to brewing with raw grain, it will address the question whether raw whole maize, rice and sorghum contain useful levels of any enzymes for brewing.

Concerning  $\alpha$ -amylase activity, using a somewhat non-specific assay <sup>(111)</sup> it was found that some 80% of a sample of 30 sorghum cultivars had similar or slightly higher malt  $\alpha$ -amylase activity than a sample of 47 barley cultivars, although 20% were rather lower. These findings, together with other research that found lower  $\alpha$ -amylase activity in sorghum malt compared to barley malt<sup>(85, 112)</sup>, are consistent with observations that there are fewer  $\alpha$ amylase isozyme forms in sorghum than barley <sup>(113, 114)</sup>. Maize malt also seems to have more  $\alpha$ -amylase isozymes than sorghum <sup>(114)</sup>. Using a specific dye labelled dextrin assay for  $\alpha$ amylase  $^{(115)}$ , it was found that that  $\alpha$ -amylase activity in maize malt was several times higher than that of sorghum malt, but lower than rice malt <sup>(116)</sup> (Table 1). However, the sorghum used had a germination percentage of only 32% and despite a correction factor being applied the data may not be accurate. The same study showed that rice malt had higher  $\alpha$ -amylase activity than maize malt. In support of the caution, another study, using a relatively specific assay showed rice malts to have only slightly higher  $\alpha$ -amylase activity than sorghum malt <sup>(117)</sup> Further, using a specific assay of  $\alpha$ -amylase <sup>(115)</sup>, researchers have found medium to high activity in rice malt relative to barley malt <sup>(118, 119)</sup>. It can thus be concluded that maize, rice and sorghum malts all have adequate  $\alpha$ -amylase activities. However, the raw grains of sorghum, maize and rice do not exhibit any appreciable  $\alpha$ -amylase activity<sup>(118)</sup>.

The situation is different with regard to  $\beta$ -amylase. There is clear evidence from both relatively non-specific and specific assays that the  $\beta$ -amylase activity of sorghum malt is very much lower than that of barley malt<sup>(111, 21)</sup>) and limiting in brewing <sup>(120)</sup>). Maize malt also seems to have relatively low  $\beta$ -amylase activity <sup>(116)</sup>. The low  $\beta$ -amylase activities of sorghum and maize malts can be attributed to the fact that as tropical cereals, unlike the triticeae cereals such as barley and wheat, they only exhibit the tissue "ubiquitous" form of the enzyme <sup>(121)</sup>. Concerning rice malt, several workers all using a specific assay for  $\beta$ -amylase<sup>(122)</sup>, have found that it has relatively good  $\beta$ -amylase activity<sup>(116, 118, 119)</sup> despite that fact rice appears only to exhibit the ubiquitous form of the enzyme <sup>(121)</sup>. It is probable that  $\beta$ -amylase is essentially absent in the raw grain of sorghum<sup>(21, 123)</sup>) and the other tropical cereals<sup>(119, 121)</sup> notwithstanding the fact that significant levels were reported in black waxy rice raw grain<sup>(119)</sup>. )

Data on the other amylase enzymes in malts of these tropical cereals is scanty. Limit dextrinase (debranching enzyme) of high activity was purified from sorghum malt as long ago as 1976<sup>(124)</sup>. Much more recently, comparative work, referred to above<sup>(116)</sup> has shown that rice malt has high limit dextrinase activity, followed by sorghum malt (notwithstanding the sample's low germination), with maize malt having the lowest activity. Interesting, whole grain rice and white (debranned) rice have been found to exhibit high levels of limit dextrinase activity<sup>(119, 125)</sup>, several times that of barley malt<sup>(125)</sup>.

Alpha-glucosidase activity has been found in malt of black non-waxy and black waxy rice<sup>(119)</sup> and small amounts in the raw grain of these rice types<sup>(119)</sup>. In the case of sorghum malt,  $\alpha$ -glucosidase is present and active but in a water-insoluble form<sup>(126)</sup>). As a consequence this and probably the high gelatinisation temperature of sorghum starch<sup>(127)</sup>, sorghum malt worts can contain a very high ratio of glucose to maltose,  $1:1^{(126, 127)}$ . It has also been reported that maize, rice and sorghum malts exhibit amyloglucosidase (glucoamylase) activity<sup>(116)</sup>. However, this may be a misinterpretation, as the assay used employed *p*-nitrophenyl β-D-maltoside as substrate <sup>(128)</sup>, as this also acts as a substrate for  $\alpha$ -glucosidase<sup>(129)</sup>.

There is also very scanty information concerning the cell wall degrading of malts of the tropical cereals. According to a 2009 review on cereal xylanases, it is not even confirmed on the basis of specific assays whether xylanase activity is even present in germinating maize<sup>(130)</sup>, despite the fact that, as explained, that the arabinoxylans are the major endosperm cell wall components in maize and sorghum<sup>(69, 71, 72)</sup>. Concerning xylanase activity, a comparative study using their cereal pentosans as substrates indicated that sorghum malt had higher pentosanase activity than barley malt<sup>(87)</sup> and that there was essentially no pentosanase activity in the raw barley or sorghum grain. In apparent contrast, another study by the same first author stated that extracts of sorghum malt enzymes failed to hydrolyse sorghum endosperm cell walls <sup>(70)</sup>. However, the data suggests that in fact the enzymes removed the arabinose side chains from the xylose backbone. This would be consistent with the persistence of the endosperm walls in sorghum malt<sup>(77, 81)</sup>.

With regard to  $\beta$ -glucanase activity, several studies have shown that the endo- $\beta$ -glucanase activity in sorghum malt is considerably lower than in barley malt <sup>(70, 87, 111)</sup> (Table 1). A single study showed that the level of end- $\beta$ -glucanase activity in maize and rice malts was very low, even in comparison to sorghum malt<sup>(116)</sup>. There seems to be negligible endo- $\beta$ -

glucanase activity in raw sorghum <sup>(87, 116)</sup>, maize<sup>(116)</sup> and rice <sup>(116)</sup> grains. Though limited, the literature clearly indicates that maize, rice and sorghum malts contain low levels of endosperm cell wall degrading enzymes. Because of this and the fact that the endosperm cell walls of all the cereals probably persist during malting, supplementation with exogenous cell wall degrading enzymes in brewing is beneficial.

The problem of hydrolysing the proline-rich cereal prolamin storage proteins into free amino acids has been referred to earlier. Barley malt contains up to 42 different endoproteases alone, which are of four different classes: metallo, serine, cysteine and aspartic<sup>(131, 132)</sup>. Zymography on two dimensional electrophorograms indicated that sorghum malt had a similar pattern of endoproteases to barley malt, but the enzymes had low pI. <sup>(132)</sup>. High levels of metalloproteases were in sorghum and apparently also cysteine- and serine-type proteases <sup>(131)</sup>. Both the metallo- <sup>(133)</sup>) and cysteine-type proteases<sup>(134)</sup> have been purified from sorghum malt. The sorghum malt proteases, like those of barley malt, are insoluble in simple aqueous solvents <sup>(135, 136)</sup>.). This presumably means that enzymatic sorghum malt extracts, as have been proposed in lager brewing<sup>(23)</sup>, would be very deficient in protease activity. Interestingly, it has been observed that the level of endoprotease activity in sorghum did not increase substantially during malting<sup>(137)</sup>.

With regard to malted maize, four endoproteases, apparently of the cysteine type, which could degrade zein have been isolated from the endosperm of germinating grain <sup>(138)</sup>.Rice malt has been found to exhibit much lower endoprotease activity than barley or sorghum, with possibly the cysteine class being most important<sup>(132)</sup>.

It has been proposed that the unusual prolyl type carboxypeptidase is of major importance with regard for hydrolysis of the proline-rich peptide products of endoprotease cleavage of prolamins into free amino acids<sup>(60)</sup>. Such prolyl carboxypeptidases have been found in germinated barley<sup>(139)</sup> and there are indications that that they are present in maize, rice and sorghum<sup>(60)</sup>. It has also been shown that there is carboxypeptidase activity in sorghum malt at brewing type acidic pH<sup>(136, 137)</sup> and importantly that the activity releases FAN from endopeptidase hydrolysed kafirin prolamin<sup>(137)</sup>. Unlike the situation with endoprotease activity, carboxypeptidase activity in sorghum was found to increase substantially during malting<sup>(137)</sup>.

In germinating rice, m-RNAs for several types of serine type carboxypeptidases have been found to be abundant in the germ and to increase during germination<sup>(140)</sup> and high neutral metallo carboxypeptidase activity has also been found<sup>(141)</sup>. This enzyme was not detected in germinating barley or maize. However, importantly with respect to brewing, the levels of acid carboxypeptidase activity in both germinated rice and maize were low in the scutellum and very low in the endosperm, when compared to germinating barley<sup>(141)</sup>. Whether these carboxypeptidases in germinated rice and maize can release free amino acids from the peptide products of endosperm storage protein hydrolysis does not seem to have been investigated.

Information on the differences between the phytase (myo-inositol-hexakisphosphate 6phosphohydrolase) activity in barley, rice, sorghum and maize whole raw grains and malt is lacking. This may be due to the fact that it is very difficult to purify plant phytases from contaminating nonspecific phosphatases<sup>(141)</sup>. Contradictory increases and decreases in phytase activity due to germination have been observed in barley<sup>(142, 143, 144, 145)</sup> and rice<sup>(144, <sup>146)</sup>, while the phytase activity of sorghum<sup>(143, 146)</sup> and maize<sup>(145, 146)</sup> has only been found to increase substantially during germination. The phytase activities of sorghum, maize and rice malts are similar to that of barley malt (Table 1). It has also been found that malting reduced the phytate content of sorghum by 29%<sup>(145)</sup> to 81%<sup>(146)</sup>, maize by 34%<sup>(145)</sup> to 88%<sup>(146)</sup> and rice by 65%<sup>(145)</sup> to 77<sup>(146)</sup> and 83<sup>(146)</sup>%. It appears that with malted sorghum, maize and rice, phytate degradation is similar to that of barley malt<sup>(143, 144, 145)</sup>. Therefore addition of exogenous phytase when brewing with malts of these cereals would not seem to be necessary.</sup>

Whole raw grain sorghum (24 -111 U/kg)<sup>(144, 148, 148)</sup>, maize (12-130 U/kg)<sup>(145, 148, 149)</sup> and rice (120-190 U/kg)<sup>(145, 159)</sup> seem to have negligible to low phytase activity compared to barley whole raw grain (582-1830 U/kg)<sup>(145, 148)</sup>. Therefore when whole raw sorghum, maize and rice are used in brewing, phytase addition appears to be useful. For example, it has been found that adding phytase in rice sake brewing increased yeast growth and fermentation performance, without the osmotic stress produced by addition large amounts of inorganic phosphate salts<sup>(150)</sup>. Also, adding phytase to raw sorghum and maize mashing was shown to decrease the phytate content of sorghum spent grain, while the phytate content of the maize spent grain was negligible before the phytase addition.<sup>(151)</sup>

With the notable exception of  $\beta$ -amylase, it appears that tropical cereal malts have all the enzymes required to produce a well fermentable wort. However, the raw grains of these cereals are almost completely devoid of all the enzymes required in mashing, including  $\beta$ -amylase. Thus, when brewing with solely raw grain, the added exogenous enzymes need to provide all the activities.

# Potentially limiting micronutrients for yeast fermentation

The magnesium, zinc, lipid and FAN contents of sorghum, maize and rice refined grain, whole raw grain and malt and will be discussed with respect to yeast nutrient requirements. In general, wort from barley malt provides all the nutritional requirements of yeast during fermentation with the exception of  $zinc^{(22, 152)}$ . It has been found that to achieve optimal fermentation during lager brewing, the zinc and magnesium concentration of wort should be between 0.01 to 0.15 mg/L<sup>(152)</sup> and 50 to 100 mg/L<sup>(153)</sup>, respectively. The magnesium content of barley, sorghum and maize whole raw grains are not substantially different from each other (Table 2), with the exception of a very high and possibly anomalous value reported for sorghum<sup>(154)</sup>. The sorghum was soaked in tap water, which may explain the high levels of magnesium. It seems, however, that in general whole raw grain rice has a lower magnesium content compared to the other grains. The zinc contents of all the whole raw grain cereals are similar, with some high values being reported for sorghum and barley. It is not clear if there are really barley and sorghum cultivars with such high zinc contents or if it is due to other reasons such as contamination<sup>(155, 156)</sup> or high soil mineral content<sup>(157)</sup>.

The potential for magnesium and zinc deficiencies in wort, from refined barley, sorghum, maize and rice grains, is even higher as up to 50-90% of magnesium and zinc can be lost when cereal grains are refined, due to the removal of the mineral-rich pericarp and sometimes the germ<sup>(158)</sup>.

According to an authoritative review, slightly higher amounts of certain vitamins and minerals have been found in cereals after germination, but it was cautioned that most of the increases in nutrients are not true increases and could simply be due to the loss of dry matter, mainly carbohydrates, due to respiration<sup>(159)</sup>. Other authors have, however, reported substantial increases in magnesium and zinc during germination (maize<sup>(160)</sup>), decreases (sorghum<sup>(154)</sup>), maize<sup>(160)</sup>), (rice<sup>(147)</sup>) and no significant effect (maize<sup>(160)</sup>). Despite the

significant reduction in magnesium and zinc contents, which occur in all the grain species during refining, it appears that the variation in these mineral contents within a cereal type due to environmental<sup>(161, 162)</sup> and genetic<sup>(162, 163)</sup> variation, is as large as between cereal species.

The grain mineral contents alone do not, however, give the full picture. Components in the grain inhibiting mineral solubilisation substantially affect the amount of minerals that are eventually available in the wort to the yeast. Phytate (myo-inositol hexaphosphate), which chelates divalent minerals such as magnesium and zinc, has been found to be present in barley, maize, rice and sorghum at  $0.6^{(164)}$ ,  $0.8^{(164)}$ ,  $0.1^{(165)}$   $1.1^{(160)}$  and  $0.4^{(164)}$ - $1.3^{(148)}g/100$  g, respectively. A study on the effect of the phytate content in sorghum and maize on the solubilisation of minerals into the wort during mashing showed that when the phytate content of the spent grain was lower, the percentage of minerals solubilised into the wort, was higher<sup>(151)</sup>. If tannin containing sorghum (discussed previously) is used for brewing, the tannins probably also bind these minerals, further reducing their solubilisation into the wort<sup>(165)</sup>.

Concerning lipids, sterols and unsaturated fatty acids can be in sub-optimal quantities in wort<sup>(166)</sup> despite the high concentration of lipids in whole cereal grains. As lipids are concentrated in the germ, they may be lost in substantial amounts when the grains are refined<sup>(167)</sup>. All the unsaturated fatty acids present in the grain may also not be solubilised into the wort as it has been found that the concentration of oleic acid in barley malt wort was approximately 52% less than that of its malt<sup>(22)</sup>. As with the minerals, the fatty acid contents of these grains seem to vary substantially within each cereal species. However, there do not seem to be substantial difference between the palmitic, oleic and linoleic acid contents of barley, sorghum, maize and rice whole raw grains (Table 3). While data on the effect of malting on the fatty acid contents of barley, sorghum, maize and rice the fatty acid contents.

Concerning FAN, whole raw grain sorghum and maize, but possibly not rice, seem to have a lower FAN content than that of whole raw grain barley (Table 4). The FAN content of cereal malts are affected by a number of factors such as germination time, temperature and watering level<sup>(168)</sup>, explaining the substantial variation in the data between different studies. However, the wort FAN levels from whole raw grain and malted barley, sorghum and rice (maize no data) seem to be similar (Table 4). It has been found that when whole raw grain maize and

decorticated sorghum were mashed with the same commercial enzymes, the maize FAN (110-169 mg/L) was higher than that of the sorghum (84-142 mg/L)<sup>(169)</sup>. This suggests that refining of sorghum decreases the FAN that can be produced by proteolysis during mashing, presumably as result of removal part of the protein-rich corneous endosperm and germ.

The effects of yeast nutrient limitations are exacerbated during high-gravity brewing, which subjects yeast cells to high osmotic pressure<sup>(170, 171)</sup> and high ethanol levels<sup>(171)</sup>. High gravity brewing may necessitate supplementation of the wort with minerals<sup>(152)</sup>, vitamins<sup>(172)</sup> and lipids<sup>(173)</sup> to avoid stuck fermentations. Yeast requires oxygen during the first few hours of fermentation for lipid synthesis by the yeast<sup>(174)</sup>. However, oxygen solubility is poor in high gravity worts, possibly resulting in even less optimal concentrations of sterols and lipids<sup>(174)</sup>. A review on the effect of increasing the magnesium and zinc contents of worts by enrichment and supplementation concluded that increasing these minerals significantly improves fermentation performance in high gravity brewing<sup>(175)</sup>.

# Impact of brewing with sorghum, maize and rice on beer flavour

It has been stated that most of the flavour of barley malt beer originates from the hops or is developed through yeast metabolism and that majority of malt flavours originate during kilning<sup>(198)</sup>. However, the same authors further stated the barley may contribute astringency and perhaps body due to the polyphenols and tannins<sup>(198)</sup>. In fact, barley malt (and presumably the grain) contains the flavonoid catechin, plus procyanidin dimers and trimers based on catechin and gallocatechin units<sup>(199)</sup>. However, the level of all these flavonoids is probably only 20% of that in red non-tannin sorghums and only some 3% of that in tannin<sup>(91)</sup>. In addition polyphenols and tannins, aldehydes, which are lipid oxidation products, are key aroma compounds in barley<sup>(200)</sup>.

Concerning sorghum, apart from its polyphenols, little is known about the compounds responsible for sorghum flavour. As described, some types of sorghum contain substantial quantities of condensed tannins. Tannins are known to impart the dry and puckering sensation of astringency in the oral cavity, which seems to involve binding of the tannins to the salivary proline-rich proteins<sup>(201)</sup>. However, it has been shown that bran extracts of all the major types of sorghum, including the white tan-plant type, which contains very low levels of

polyphenols<sup>(91)</sup>, were perceived by a trained descriptive sensory panel to be both bitter and astringent<sup>(202)</sup>. In general, however, the tannin sorghums were more bitter and astringent, although one tannin sorghum variety was found to be similarly bitter and astringent as a red non-tannin type, notwithstanding the fact that it contained condensed tannins. Notably, the white tan-plant sorghums also had a sweet, maize-like flavour, unlike the tannin and red non-tannin types. Unpublished descriptive sensory work from our laboratory on the flavour of boiled sweet wort from raw whole grain sorghum revealed that wort from red tannin sorghum was substantially more sour, bitter and astringent than worts from white tan-plant, red non-tannin and even white Type II tannin sorghums. In apparent contradiction, a commercial brewing syrup made from white sorghum is stated not to have the unpleasant aftertaste associated red sorghum syrup<sup>(203)</sup>.

Regarding the impact of maize and rice on beer flavour, a predominant flavour of cooked maize is the "popcorn aroma", which is caused by 6-acetyltetrahydopyridine, 2-acetyl-1-pyrroline (2-AP) (roasty popcorn-like aroma) and its analogue 2-propionyl-1-pyrroline<sup>(204)</sup>. In corn (maize) tortillas, a potent flavour compound is 2-aminoacetophenone <sup>(205)</sup>, apparently formed from tryptophan under the alkaline cooking condition. It is not known whether this compound would be formed under the slightly acidic conditions of brewing. The aroma of sweet corn is predominantly due to dimethyl sulphide<sup>(206)</sup>. Concerning polyphenols, beer brewed from a grist containing 86% maize adjunct contained somewhat less total phenols than all malt beer, 280 mg/L and 337 mg/L, respectively, with both types of beers containing very low levels of flavonoids and tannins<sup>(207)</sup>.

With regard to rice flavour, it is important to distinguish between the fragrant and nonfragrant types<sup>(208)</sup>, the latter being used as adjunct as they are far less expensive. More than 200 volatile compounds have been identified in rice, but only 2-AP (the popcorn aroma compound) has been confirmed to contribute to rice aroma<sup>(208)</sup>. The levels of 2-AP in nonaromatic rice are up to 0.008 ppm, some 10 times less than in aromatic rice<sup>(209)</sup>. Apart from 2-AP, as with barley aldehydes, lipid oxidation products, such as 2-nonenal and 2-4 decadienal clearly also contribute to rice aroma or flavour<sup>(210)</sup>. In a study of beer and its ingredients, one aldehyde, glyoxal (ethanedial) (which has an off-flavour reminiscent of sour milk) was found in highest concentration in rice<sup>(211)</sup>. An important point made concerning rice, but also is applicable to all cereals, is that aroma and flavour are affected by many factors, including: genetics, pre-harvest issues, time of harvest, harvest moisture, drying and storage conditions, degree of milling (which impacts lipid oxidation) and cooking method<sup>(208)</sup>, and in particular malting and kilning<sup>(67)</sup>. It has been observed that with pale beers (presumably including regular type lager beers) few of the characteristic flavours of malt survive the brewing process but the situation is very different when roasted malts and roasted barley are ingredients<sup>(212)</sup>.

An analysis of a non-alcoholic malt beverage made from sorghum malt roasted at 200°C revealed 28 volatile compounds, comprising pyrazines, furans, aldehydes, ketones, esters and alcohols<sup>(213)</sup>, all of which with the exception of alcohols are typical of the Maillard reaction<sup>(214)</sup>. Aldehydes, ketones and esters were present in the beverage in the highest concentration and it was described as having a nutty, sweet chocolate aroma<sup>(214)</sup>.

Beer produced from sorghum malt germinated at  $25^{\circ}$ C was found contain somewhat lower levels of higher alcohols than from sorghum germinated at  $20^{\circ}$ C<sup>(28)</sup>, possibly related to the better modification of sorghum malt at the higher temperature<sup>(215)</sup>.

There is some indication that the differences in free amino acid profile of sorghum malt worts compared to barley malt worts could influence beer flavour by affecting yeast metabolism. Sorghum malt worts were found to contain low levels of valine<sup>(111)</sup>. This led to high levels of vicinal diketones (diacetyl), during fermentation due to effects on the regulation of valine synthesis by the yeast. A more general problem is that brewing with a low proportion of malt (and undoubtedly also with just raw grain) can also impact beer flavour as a result of low levels of FAN. It has been proposed that resulting from the fact that when FAN is depleted during fermentation, yeast cell proliferation ceases, brewers raise fermentation temperatures to enable the few number of yeast cells to consume the same quantity of carbon sources (fermentable sugars)<sup>(216)</sup> and that this affects yeast metabolism, resulting in higher levels of volatile flavour compounds.

# **Future directions**

As consumers of beers brewed from tropical cereals become discerning, more attention needs to be given to beer flavour. An interesting concept is to promote or repress higher alcohol and ester production by yeast by controlling fermentation parameters and the addition of limiting yeast nutrients such as free amino acids, zinc and linoleic acid<sup>(216)</sup>.

Far more knowledge on the proteolytic enzymes of these cereals, especially regarding the activity of prolyl carboxypeptidases, is required in order to improve their wort FAN levels. It would also seem potentially attractive to genetically modify these cereals so that they have such protease activity and a similar level of  $\beta$ -amylase activity to barley malt. A candidate  $\beta$ -amylase is the lysine-rich protein from Hiproly barley<sup>(217)</sup>. However, whether the economic benefit of these genetic modifications would justify the cost of development, especially including obtaining regulatory approval, is debatable.

A more viable alternative is to investigate lines of these cereals that have modified traits in respect of improved solubilisation to produce a well fermentable wort. The waxy (high amylopectin) trait has been discussed. The bioethanol industry is beginning to employ a novel commercial amylase that can effectively hydrolyse raw (ungelatinised) starch<sup>(11)</sup>, thus saving energy. Recent research indicates that waxy maize starch is better hydrolysed by this novel amylase than normal maize starch<sup>(44)</sup>.

In many developing countries, a major research activity is to develop tropical cereals with improved nutritional traits for human nutrition, such as increased of provitamin A and essential minerals, improved mineral bioavailability, and improved protein quality and digestibility<sup>(218)</sup>. These co-called biofortified cereals are being specifically developed for cultivation by small-holder farmers, and they could be a valuable crop for these farmers, as some of the traits are beneficial in brewing<sup>(151)</sup>. For example, sorghum lines with high protein digestibility have been developed where synthesis of certain kafirin sub-classes, including specifically  $\gamma$ -kafirin, have been inhibited by chemical mutation<sup>(219)</sup> or genetic modification<sup>(220)</sup>. Research has shown that FAN in sorghum malt<sup>(194)</sup>, and FAN and extract in raw sorghum grain brewing<sup>(151)</sup> can be substantially improved with the use of such sorghums.

# References

- Mackintosh, I, and Higgins, B. (2004) The development of a sorghum-based lager in Uganda: A model of co-operation between industry and government in the development of local ingredients for the production of quality lager beer and consequential benefits for the parties involved. *Aspects Appl. Biol.* 27, 235-245.
- 2. Redbridge.http://www.redbridge.com (last accessed March 2013).
- 3. Bard's Tale Beer Company. http://www.bardsbeer.com (last accessed March 2013).
- Nilan, R.A., and Ullrich, S.E. (1993) Barley: Taxonomy, origin, distribution, production, genetics, and breeding, in Barley: Chemistry and Technology (MacGregor, A.W. and Bhatty, R.S. Eds.) pp. 1-29, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- Farnham, D.E., Benson, G.O., and Pearce, R.B. (2003) Corn perspective and culture, in Corn: Chemistry and Technology, 2nd, ed. (White, P.J. and Johnson, L.A. Eds.) pp. 1-33, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- Childs, N.W. (2004) Production and utilization of rice, in Rice: Chemistry and Technology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., (Champagne, E.T., Ed.) pp. 1-23, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- Obilana, A.B. (2004) Sorghum: Breeding and agronomy, in Encyclopedia of Grain Science, vol. 3 (Wrigley, C., Corke, H. and Walker, C.E. Eds.) pp. 108-119, Elsevier, Oxford.
- Viswanath, B., Lakshmana Row, T., and Ragnunthaswami Ayyangar, P.A. (1918) Cholam (*A. sorghum*) as a substitute for barley in malting operations. *Mem. Dept. Agric. India 5*, 117-129.
- Michael, D., and Nzeka, U. (2012) Nigeria Grain and Feed Annual Report, GAIN Report Number NI1204. USDA Foreign Agricultural Service Global Information Network. <u>http://gain.fas.usda.gov</u> (last accessed March 2013).
- 10. Beer in Japan http://factsanddetail.com (last accessed February 2013).
- 11. Ingledew, M. (2012) Fuel ethanol. Brew. Dist. Int. (5), 31-35.
- Kelly, A.L., Moore, M.M., and Arendt, E.K. (2008) New product development: The case of gluten-free food products, in Gluten-free Cereal Products and Beverages (Arendt, E.K. and Dal Bello, F. Eds.) pp. 413-431, Academic Press, Burlington, MA.

- Adams, J. (2009) A victory for gluten-free beer. <u>http://www.celiac.com</u> (last accessed February 2013).
- Goode, D.L., Halbert, C., and Arendt, E.K. (2003) Optimization of mashing conditions when mashing with unmalted sorghum and commercial enzymes. J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem. 61, 69-78.
- 15. Ogbonna, A.C. (2011) Current developments in malting and brewing trials with sorghum in Nigeria: A review. *J. Inst. Brew.* 117, 394-400.
- 16. Blaise, P., Phiarais, N., and Arendt, E.K. (2008) Malting and brewing with glutenfree cereals, in Gluten-free Cereal Products and Beverages (Arendt, E.K. and Dal Bello, F. Eds.) pp. 347-372, Academic Press, Burlington, MA.
- 17. Morrall, P., and Briggs, D.E. (1978) Changes in cell wall polysaccharides of germinating barley grains. *Phytochemistry* 17, 1495-1502.
- Lineback, D.R. (1984) The starch granule. Organization and properties. *Bakers Dig.* 58, 16–21.
- 19. Kumar, R.S.S., Singh, S.A., and Rao, A.G.A. (2005) Thermal stability of α-amylase from malted jowar (*Sorghum bicolor*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 53, 6883-6888.
- El Nour, M.E.M., and Yagoub, S.O. (2010) Partial purification and characterization of α- and β-amylases isolated from *Sorghum bicolor* cv. (Feterita) malt. *J. Appl. Sci. 10*, 1314-1319.
- 21. Taylor, J.R.N., and Robbins, D.J. (1993) Factors influencing beta-amylase activity in sorghum malt. *J. Inst. Brew.* 99, 413-416.
- 22. Briggs, D.E., Boulton, C.A., Brookes, P.A., Stevens, R. (2004) Brewing Science and Practice. Woodhead Publishing, Cambridge, pp. 85-167, 662-714.
- 23. Taylor, J.R.N., Schober, T.J., and Bean, S.R. (2006) Novel food and non-food uses for sorghum and millets. *J. Cereal Sci.* 44, 252-271.
- Olatunji, O., Jibogun, A.C., Anibaba, T.S., Oliyide, V.O., Ozumba, A.U., Oniwinde, A.B., and Koleoso, O. (1993) Effect of different mashing procedures on the quality of sorghum beer. *J. Am. Brew. Chem.* 51, 67-70.
- 25. Agu, R.C., and Palmer, G.H. (1998) A reassessment of sorghum for lager-beer brewing. *Bioresource. Biotechnol.* 66, 253-261.
- 26. Owuama, C.I., and Okafor, N. (1987) Studies on mashing methods for beer brewing with sorghum. *MIRCEN J. 3*, 243-253.
- 27. Taylor, J.R.N. (1992) Mashing with malted grain sorghum. J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem. 50, 13-18.

- Igyor, M.A., Ogbonna, A.C., and Palmer, G.H. (2001) Effect of malting temperature and mashing methods on sorghum wort composition and beer flavour. *Process Biochem. 36*, 1039-1044.
- Hoseney, R.C. (1994). Principles of Cereal Science and Technology, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., pp. 29-79, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 30. Taylor, J.R.N., and Emmambux, M.N. (2010) Developments in our understanding of sorghum polysaccharides and their health benefits. *Cereal Chem.*, 87, 263-271.
- 31. Chung, J.H., Han, J.A., Yoo, B., Seib, P.A., and Lim, S.T. (2008) Effects of molecular size and chain profile of waxy cereal amylopectins on paste rheology during retrogradation. *Carbohydrate Polymers* 71, 365–371.
- 32. Fitzgerald, M. (2004) Starch, in Rice: Chemistry and Technology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.,
  (Champagne, E.T., Ed.) pp. 109-141, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 33. Bao, J., Xiao, P, Hiratsuka, M., Sun, M., and Umemoto, T. (2009) Granule-bound SSIIa protein content and its relationship with amylopectin structure and gelatinization temperature of rice starch. *Starch/Stärke*, 61, 431-437.
- 34. Yoshizawa, K., and Ogawa, Y. (2004) Rice in brewing, in Rice: Chemistry and Technology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. (Champagne, E.T., Ed.) pp. 541-567 American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 35. Cameron, D.K., and Wang, Y-J. (2005) A better understanding of factors that affect the hardness and stickiness of long-grain rice. *Cereal Chem.*, 82,113-119.
- 36. Watson, S.A. (2003) Description, development, structure and composition of the corn kernel, in Corn: Chemistry and Technology, 2nd, ed. (White, P.J. and Johnson, L.A. Eds.) pp. 69-106, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 37. Wilkinson, H.C., and Champagne, E.T. (2004) Value-added rice products, in Rice: Chemistry and Technology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., (Champagne, E.T., Ed.) pp. 473-493, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 38. Rooney, L.W., and Miller, F.R. (1982) Variation in the structure and kernel characteristics of sorghum, in International Symposium on Sorghum Grain Quality (Rooney, L.W. and Murty, D.S. Eds.) pp. 143-169, ICRISAT, Patancheru, India.
- Tester, R.F., and Morrison, W.R. (1990) Swelling and gelatinization of cereal starches. I. Effects of amylopectin, amylose and lipids. *Cereal Chem.*, 67, 551-557.

- 40. Sang, U., Bean, S., Seib, P. A., Pedersen, J., and Shi, Y.-C. (2008) Structure and functional properties of sorghum starches differing in amylose content. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 56, 6680-6685.
- Figueroa, J.D.C., Martinez, B.F., and Ríos, E. (1995) Effect of sorghum endosperm type on the quality of adjuncts for the brewing industry. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.*, 53, 5–9.
- 42. Ortega Villicaña, M.T., and Serna-Saldivar, S.O. (2004) Production of lager from sorghum malt and waxy grits. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.*, 62, 131–139.
- Yan, S., Xu, X., Bean, S.R., Pedersen, J.F., Tesso, T, Chen, Y.R., Wang, D. (2011) Evaluation of waxy grain sorghum for ethanol production. *Cereal Chem.* 88, 589-595.
- Yangcheng, H., Jiang, H., Blanco, M., and Jane, J.L. (2013) Characterization of normal and waxy corn starch for bioethanol production. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 61, 379-386.
- 45. Yano, H., Wong, J.H., Cho, M-J., and Buchanan, B.B. (2001) Redox changes accompanying the degradation of seed storage proteins in germinating rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 42, 879-883.
- 46. Shih, F.F. (2004) Rice proteins, in Rice: Chemistry and Technology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed.,
  (Champagne, E.T. Ed.) pp. 143-162, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 47. Shewry, P.R. (1993) Barley seed proteins, in Barley: Chemistry and Technology (MacGregor, A.W. and Bhatty, R.S. Eds.) pp. 131-197, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 48. Schakel, S.F., Van Heel, N., and Harnack, J. (2004) Grain composition table, in Encyclopedia of Grain Science, vol. 3 (Wrigley, C., Corke, H. and Walker, C.E. Eds.) Appendix, 1, Elsevier, Oxford.
- 49. Belton, P.S., Delgadillo, I., Halford, N.G., and Shewry, P.R. (2006) Kafirin structure and functionality. *J. Cereal Sci.* 44, 272-286.
- Bekes, F., and Wrigley, C. (2004) Protein chemistry, in Encyclopedia of Grain Science, vol. 1 (Wrigley, C., Corke, H. and Walker, C.E. Eds.) pp. 254-262, Elsevier, Oxford.
- Duodu, K.G., Taylor, J.R.N., Belton, P.S., and Hamaker, B.R. (2003) Mini review: Factors affecting sorghum protein digestibility. *J. Cereal Sci.* 38, 117-131.

- 52. Hamaker, B.R., Kirleis, A.W., Butler, L.G., Axtell, J.D., and Mertz, E.T. (1987) Improving the *in vitro* protein digestibility of sorghum with reducing agents. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 84, 626-628.
- Tanaka, Y., Resurreccion, A.P., Juliano, B.O., and Bechtel, B.D. (1978) Properties of whole and undigested fraction of protein bodies of milled rice. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* 42, 2015-2023.
- 54. Ng'andwe, C.C., Hall, A.N., and Taylor, J.R.N. (2008) Proteolysis of sorghum endosperm proteins when mashing with raw grain plus exogenous protease and potassium metabisulphite. *J. Inst. Brew.* 114, 343-348.
- 55. Da Silva, L.S., Taylor, J., and Taylor, J.R.N. (2011) Transgenic sorghum with altered kafirin synthesis: Kafirin solubility, polymerization and protein digestion. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59, 9265-9270.
- 56. Chandrashekar, A., and Kirleis, A.W. (1988) Influence of protein on starch gelatinization in sorghum. *Cereal Chem.* 65, 457-462.
- 57. Ezeogu, L.I., Duodu, K.G., Emmambux, M.N., and Taylor, J.R.N. (2008) Influence of cooking conditions on the protein matrix of sorghum and maize endosperm flours. *Cereal Chem.* 85, 397-402.
- 58. Ezeogu, L.I., Duodu, K.G., and Taylor, J.R.N. (2005) Effects of endosperm texture and cooking conditions on the in vitro starch digestibility of sorghum and maize flours. J. Cereal Sci. 42, 33-44.
- 59. Shewry, P.R. (2002) The major seed proteins storage proteins of spelt wheat, sorghum, millets and pseudocereals, in Pseudocereals and Less Common Cereals (Belton, P.S. and Taylor, J.R.N. Eds.) pp. 1-24, Springer, Berlin.
- 60. Simpson, D.J. (2001). Review: Proteolytic degradation of cereal prolamins—the problem with proline. *Plant Sci. 161*, 825–838.
- 61. Perrocheau, L., Bakan, B., Boivin, P., and Marion, D. (2006) Stability of barley and malt Lipid Transfer Protein 1 (LPT1) toward heating and reducing agents: relationships with the brewing process. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 3108-3113.
- 62. Kader, J.-C. (1996) Lipid transfer proteins in plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 47, 627-654.
- Lindorff-Larsen, K., Lerche, M.H., Poulsen, F.M., Roepstorff, P., and Winther, J.R. (2001) Barley Lipid Transfer Protein, LTP1, contains a new type of lipid-like posttranslational modification. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 33547–33553

- 64. Sossountzov, L., Ruiz-Avila, L, Vignols, F., Jolliot, A., Arondel, V., Tchang, F, Grosbois, M, Guerbette, F., Miginiac, E., Delseny, M, Puigdomenèch, P, and Kader, J-C. (1991) Spatial and temporal expression of a maize lipid transfer protein gene. *Plant Cell 3*, 923-933.
- 65. Champagne, E.T., Wood, D.F., Juliano, B.O., and Bechtel, D.B. (2004) The rice grain and its gross composition, in Rice: Chemistry and Technology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., (Champagne, E.T., Ed.) pp. 77-107, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- Parker, M.L., Grant, A., Rigby, N.M., Belton, P.S., and Taylor, J.R.N. (1999) Effects of popping on the endosperm cell walls of sorghum and maize. *J. Cereal Sci.* 30, 209-216.
- 67. Briggs, D.E.(1998) Malts and Malting, pp. 133-228, 699-741, Blackie Academic & Professional, London.
- MacGregor, A.W., and Fincher, G.B. (1993) Carbohydrates of barley grain, in Barley: Chemistry and Technology (MacGregor, A.W. and Bhatty, R.S. Eds.) pp. 73-130, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 69. Huisman, M.M.H., Schols, H.A., and Voragen, A.G.J. (2000) Glucuroarabinoxylans from maize kernel are more complex than those from sorghum kernel cell walls. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *43*, 269–279.
- EtokAkpan, O.U. (1992) Comparative studies of the degradation of non-starchy polysaccharides by sorghums and barleys during malting. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 58, 129-134.
- 71. Verbruggen, M.A., Beldman, G., Voragen, A.G.J., and Hollemans, M. (1993)
  Water-unextractable cell wall material from sorghum: isolation and characterization. *J. Cereal Sci.*, *17*, 71–82.
- 72. Verbruggen, M.A., Beldman, G., and Voragen, A.G.J. (1995) The selective extraction of glucuronoarabinoxylans from sorghum endosperm cell walls using barium and potassium hydroxide solutions. *J. Cereal Sci.*, *21*, 271–282.
- 73. Fincher, G.B., and Stone, B.A. (2004) Chemistry of nonstarch polysaccharides, in Encyclopedia of Grain Science, vol. 1 (Wrigley, C., Corke, H. and Walker, C.E. Eds.) pp. 206-223, Elsevier, Oxford.
- 74. Taylor, J.R.N., Orovan, E., and Dewar, J. (1994) Changes in beta-glucan during sorghum malting and sorghum beer brewing. *S. Afr. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 6, 99-102.

- 75. Verbruggen, M.A., Beldman, G., and Voragen, A.G.J. (1998) Enzymic degradation of sorghum glucuronoarabinoxylans leading to tentative structures. *Carbohydrate Res.* 306, 275–282.
- 76. Burr, S.J., and Fry, S.C. (2009) Extracellular cross-linking of maize arabinoxylans by oxidation of feruloyl esters to form oligoferuloyl esters and ether-like bonds. *Plant J.* 58, 554-567.
- 77. Glennie, C.W. (1984) Endosperm cell wall modification in sorghum grain during germination. *Cereal Chem.* 61, 285-289.
- Chiremba, C., Taylor, J.R.N., Rooney, L.W., and Beta, T. (2012) Phenolic acid content of sorghum and maize cultivars varying in hardness. *Food Chem.* 134, 81-88.
- 79. Shibuya, N., Nakane, R., Yasui, A, Tanaka, K., and Iwasaki, T. (1985) Comparative studies on cell wall preparations from rice bran, germ, and endosperm. *Cereal Chem.* 62, 252-258.
- Shibuya, N., and Iwasaki, T. (1978) Polysaccharide and glycoproteins in the rice endosperm cell wall. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* 42, 2259-2266.
- 81. Palmer, G.H. (1991) Enzymic degradation of the endosperm cell walls of germinated sorghum. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 7, 17-21.
- 82. Glennie, C.W., Harris, J., and Liebenberg, N.v.d.W. (1983) Endosperm modification in germinating sorghum grain. *Cereal Chem.* 60, 27-31.
- EtokAkpan, O.U. (1993) Enzymic degradation and nature of the endosperm cell walls of germinating sorghums and barley. J. Sci. Food Agric. 61, 389-393.
- 84. Shyama Prasad Rao, R., and Muralikrishna, G. (2006) Water soluble feruloylarabinoxylans from rice and ragi: Changes upon malting and their consequence on antioxidant activity. *Phytochemistry*. 67, 91-99.
- Demuyakor, B., Ohta, Y., Nakatani, K., Fukui, N., and Kanagawa, K. (1995) Brewing of beer with *Sorghum vulgare* malt and minimal barley blending. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 52, 111-115.
- 86. Agu, R.C. (1995) Comparative study of experimental beers brewed from millet, sorghum and barley malts. *Process Biochem. 30*, 311-315.
- Etokakpan, O.U., and Palmer, G.H. (1990) Comparative studies of the development of endosperm-degrading enzymes in malting sorghum and barley. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 6, 408-417.

- Morrison, W.R. (1993) Barley lipids, in Barley: Chemistry and Technology (MacGregor, A.W. and Bhatty, R.S., Eds.) pp. 199-246, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- Serna-Saldivar, S., and Rooney, L.W. (1995) Structure and chemistry of sorghum and millets, in Sorghum and Millets: Chemistry and Technology, (Dendy, D.A.V. Ed.) pp. 69-124, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 90. Duensing, W.J., Roskens, A.B., and Alexander, R.J. (2003) Corn dry milling: Processes, products and applications, in Corn: Chemistry and Technology, 2nd, ed. (White, P.J. and Johnson, L.A. Eds.) pp. 69-106, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- 91. Dykes, L., and Rooney, L.W. (2007) Phenolic compounds in cereal grains and their health benefits. *Cereal Foods World* 52, 105-111.
- Dykes, L., and Rooney, L.W. (2006) Sorghum and millet phenols and antioxidants.
   *J. Cereal Sci.* 44, 236-251.
- 93. Rooney, L.W., and McDonough, C. (n.d.) Myths about sorghum tannins. <u>http://intsormil.org</u> (last accessed February 2013).
- 94. Anglani, C. (1998) Sorghum for human food a review. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutrit.* 52, 85-95.
- 95. Waniska, R.D., and Rooney, L.W. (2000) Structure and chemistry of the sorghum caryopsis, in Sorghum: Origin, History, Technology, and Production (Smith, C.W. and Frederiksen, R.A. Eds.) pp. 649-688, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- 96. Awika, J.M., and Rooney, L.W. (2004) Sorghum phytochemicals and their potential impact on human health. *Phytochemistry* 65, 1199-1221.
- Abdel-Aal, E-S.M., Young, J.C., and Rabalski, I. (2006) Anthocyanin composition in black, blue, pink, purple, and red cereal grains. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54, 4696-4704.
- 98. Awika, J.M., Rooney, L.W., and Waniska, R.D. (2004) Properties of 3deoxyanthocyanins from sorghum. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, *52*, 4388-439.
- 99. Yang, L., Browning, J.D., and Awika, J.M. (2009) Sorghum 3-deoxyanthocyanins possess strong phase II enzyme inducer activity and cancer cell growth inhibition properties. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57, 1797-1804.
- 100. GIPSA (United States Department of Agriculture Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration), 2007.Grain Inspection Handbook II. Chapter 9:

Sorghum. <u>http://www.gipsa.usda.gov/publications/fgis/handbooks/grain-insp/grbook2/sorghum.pdf</u> (last accessed February 2013).

- 101. Taylor, J.R.N. (2003) Overview: Importance of sorghum in Africa. In: Afripro: Workshop on the Proteins of Sorghum and Millets: Enhancing Nutritional and Functional Properties for Africa, Pretoria, 2-4 April 2003, (Belton, P.S. and Taylor, J.R.N. Eds.), Paper 01. www.afripro.org.uk (last accessed February 2013).
- 102. USAID/Nigeria Markets, Sorghum.<u>www.nigeriamarkets.org</u>. (last accessed February 2013).
- 103. Murray, N.J., Williamson, M.P., Lilley, T.H., and Haslam, E (1994) Study of the interaction between salivary proline-rich proteins and a polyphenol by 1H-NMR spectroscopy. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 219,923–935.
- 104. Emmambux, N.M., and Taylor, J.R.N. (2003) Sorghum kafirin interaction with various phenolic compounds. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 83, 402-407.
- 105. Baxter, N.J., Lilley, T.H., Haslam, E., and Williamson, M.P. (1997) Multiple interactions between polyphenols and salivary proline rich protein repeat sequence result in complexation and precipitation. *Biochem. 36*, 5566–5577.
- 106. Taylor, J., Bean, S.R., Ioerger, B.P., and Taylor, J.R.N. (2007) Preferential binding of sorghum tannins with gamma-kafirin and the influence of tannin binding on kafirin digestibility and biodegradation. *J. Cereal Sci.* 46, 22-31.
- 107. Hoffmann, E.M., Muetzel, S., and Becker, K. (2002) A modified dot-blot method of protein determination applied in the tannin-protein precipitation assay to facilitate the evaluation of tannin activity in animal feeds. *Brit. J. Nutrit.* 87, 421-426.
- Beta, T., Rooney, L.W., Marovatsanga, L.T., and Taylor, J.R.N. (2000) Effect of chemical treatments on polyphenols and malt quality in sorghum. *J. Cereal Sci.* 31,295-302.
- 109. Daiber, K.H. (1975) Enzyme inhibition by polyphenols of sorghum grain and malt. J. Sci. Food Agric. 26, 1399-1411.
- Morrison, R.T., and Boyd, R.N. (1983) Organic Chemistry, 4th ed., pp. 978– 979, Allyn and Bacon, Newton, MA.
- 111. Dufour, J.P., Mélotte, L., and Srebrnik, S. (1992) Sorghum malts for the production of lager beer. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 50, 110-119.

- Awoyinka, O.A. and Adebawo, O.O. (2008) Quality assessment and potential utilization of high amylolytic Nigerian maize cultivars, *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 7, 4331-4335
- 113. Mundy, J., Gibbons, G.C., and Munck, L. (1983).Sorghum and barley amylases – a comparison, Proc. Eur. Brew. Conv. Congr. London, pp. 39-46.
- Lecommandeur, D., and Daussant, J. (1989) Polymorphism in maize, oats and sorghum α-amylases. *Phytochemistry* 28, 2921-2925.
- 115. McCleary, B.V., and Sheehan, H. (1987) Measurement of cereal α-amylase: a new assay procedure. *J. Cereal Sci. 6*, 237-251.
- Dziedzoave, N.T., Graffham, A.J., Westby, A., and Komlaga, G. (2010) Comparative assessment of amylolytic and cellulolytic enzyme activity of malts prepared form tropical cereals. *Food Control* 21, 1349-1353.
- 117. Okafor, N., and Iwoumo, J. (1990) Malting and brewing qualities of some Nigerian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties and some thoughts on the assessment of malts from tropical cereals. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 6, 187-194.
- Ceppi, E.L.M., and Brenna, O.V. (2010) Experimental studies to obtain rice malt. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 58, 7701-7707.
- 119. Usansa, U., Burberg, F., Geiger, E., Black, W., Chokchai, W., Arendt, E.K., Kreisz, S., Boonkerd, N., Teauroong, N., and Zarnkow, M. (2011) Optimization of malting conditions for two black rice varieties, black non-waxy rice and black waxy rice (*Oryza sativa* L. Indica). *J. Inst. Brew.* 117, 39-46.
- Del Pozo-Insfran, D., Urias-Lugo, D., Hernandez-Brenes, C., and Serna Saldivar, S.O. (2004) Effect of amyloglucosidase on wort composition and fermentable carbohydrate depletion in lager beers. *J. Inst. Brew.* 110, 124–132.
- 121. Ziegler, P. (1999) Mini review: Cereal beta-amylases. J. Cereal Sci. 29, 195-204.
- 122. McCleary, B.V., and Codd, R. (1989) The measurement of beta-amylase in cereal flours and commercial enzyme preparations. *J. Cereal Sci.* 9, 17-33.
- 123. Tawaba, J.-C.,B., Béra, F., and Thonart, P. (2013) Modelling the β-amylase activity in red sorghum malting when *Bacillus subtilis* is used to control mould growth. *J. Cereal Sci.* 57, 115-119.
- 124. Hardie, D.G., Manners, D.J., and Yellowlees, D. (1976) The limit dextrinase from malted sorghum (*Sorghum vulgare*). *Carbohydrate Res.* 50, 75-85.

- 125. McCleary, B.V. (1992) Measurement of the content of limit-dextrinase in cereal flours. *Carbohydrate Res.* 227, 257-268.
- Taylor, J.R.N., and Dewar, J. (1992) Role of alpha-glucosidase in the fermentable sugar composition of sorghum malt mashes. *J. Inst. Brew.* 100, 417-419.
- 127. Agu, R.C., and Palmer, G.H. (1997) α-glucosidase activity of sorghum and barley malts. *J. Inst. Brew.* 103, 25-29.
- Megazyme International. <u>http://www.megazyme.com</u> (last accessed April 2013).
- 129. Lukomskaya, I.S., Voznyi, Y.V., Lanskaya, I.M., and Podkidisheva, E.I. (1996) Use of beta-maltosides (p-nitrophenyl-beta-D-maltoside, 2-chloro-4nitrophenyl-beta-D-maltoside and 4-methylumbelliferyl-beta-D-maltoside) as substrates for the assay of neutral alpha-glucosidase from human kidney and urine. *Clin. Chem. Acta.* 244, 145-54.
- Dornez, E., Gebruers, K., Delcour, J.A., and Courtin, C.M. (2009) Grainassociated xylanases: Occurrence, variability, and implications for cereal processing. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 20, 495-410.
- Zhang, N., and Jones, B.L. (1995) Characterization of germinated barley endoproteolytic enzymes by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. *J. Cereal Sci.* 21, 145–153.
- 132. Jones, B.L., and Lookhart, G.L. (2005) Comparison of the endoproteinases of various grains. *Cereal Chem.* 82, 125-130.
- Ogbonna, A.C., and Okolo, B.N. (2005) Purification and some properties of a metalloprotease from sorghum malt variety KSV8-1. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 21, 1051-1056.
- Ogbonna, A.C., Obi, S.K.C., Okolo, B.N., and Odibo, F.J.C. (2004)
   Purification and some properties of a cysteine proteinase from sorghum malt variety SK5912. J. Sci. Food Agric. 84, 113-120.
- 135. Evans, D.J., and Taylor, J.R.N. (1990) Extraction and assay of proteolytic activities in sorghum malt. *J. Inst. Brew.*, *96*, 201-207.
- Ogbonna, A.C., Obi, S.K.C., and Okolo, B.N. (2003) Modification of the methods for the extraction of carboxypeptidase and proteinase activities from sorghum malts. *J. Inst. Brew. 109*, 51-56.

- 137. Evans, D.J., and Taylor, J.R.N. (1990) Influence of cultivar and germination conditions on proteolytic activities in sorghum malt. *J. Inst. Brew. 96*, 399-402.
- De Barros, E.G., and Larkins, B.A. (1990) Purification and characterization of zein-degrading proteases from endosperm of germinating maize seeds. *Plant Physiol.* 94, 297-303.
- Mikola, L. (1983) Germinating barley grains contain five acid carboxypeptidases with complementary substrate specificities. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 747, 241–252.
- 140. Washio, K., and Ishikawa, K. (1994) Organ-specific and hormone-dependent expression of genes for serine carboxypeptidases during development and following germination of rice grains. *Plant Physiol.* 105, 1275-1280.
- 141. Mikola, L., and Saarinen, S. (1986) Occurrence of acid and neutral carboxypeptidases in geminating cereals. Physiol. Plant. *67*, 557-561.
- *142.* Sung, H.G., Shin, H.T., Ha, J.K., Lai, H.L., Cheng, K.J., and Lee, J.H. (2005)
   Effect of germination temperature on characteristics of phytase production from barley. *Bioresource Tech.* 96, 1297-1303. (not spotted so inserted check if in right spot)
- 143. Bartnik, M., and Azafranska, I. (1987) Changes in phytate content and phytase activity during the germination of some cereals. *J. Cereal Sci. 5*, 23-28.
- 144. Centeno, C., Viveros, A., Brenes, A., Canals, R., Lozano, A., and De La Cuadra, C. (2001) Effect of several germination conditions on total P, phytate P, phytase and acid phosphatase activities and inositol phosphate esters in rye and barley. J. Agric. Food Chem. 49, 3208-3215.
- 145. Egli, I., Davidsson, L., Juillerat, M.A., Barclay, D., and Hurrell, R.F. (2002) The influence of soaking and germination on the phytase activity and phytic acid content of grains and seeds potentially useful for complementary feeding. *J. Food Sci.* 67, 3484-3488.
- 146. Azeke, M.A., Egielewa, S.J., Eigbogbo, M.U., and Ihimire, I.G. (2011) Effect of germination on the phytase activity, phytate and total phosphorus contents of rice (*Oryza sativa*), Maize (*Zea mays*), millet (*Panicum miliaceum*), sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). J. Food Sci. Technol. 48, 724-729.
- 147. Liang, J., Han, B.Z., Nout, M.J.R., and Hamer, R.J. (2008) Effects of soaking and germination and fermentation of phytic acid, total and *in vitro* soluble zinc in

brown rice. *Food Chem.* 110, 821-828. (needs earlier insertion in text or numbers are out of order )

- Eeckhout, W., and De Paepe, M. (1994) Total phosphorus, phytatephosphorus and phytase activity in plant feedstuffs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 47, 19-29.
- 149. Godoy, S., Chicco, C., Meschy, F., and Requena. F. (2005) Phytic phosphorus and phytase activity of animal feed Ingredients. *Interciencia Version Impresa*, *30*, 24-28.
- Fujita, J., Fukuda, H., Yamane, Y., Kizaki, Y., Shigeta, S., Ono, K., Suzuki,
  O., and Wakabayashi, S. (2001) Critical importance of phytase for yeast growth and alcohol fermentation in Japanese *sake* brewing. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 23, 867–871.
- 151. Kruger, J., Oelofse, A., Taylor, J., and Taylor J.R.N. (2012) Potential for improvement in yeast nutrition in raw whole grain sorghum and maize lager brewing and bioethanol production through grain genetic modification and phytase treatment. J. Inst. Brew. 118, 70-75.
- Bromberg, S.K., Bower, P.A., Duncombe, G.R., Fehring, J., Gerber, L., Lau, V.K., and Tata, M. (1997) Requirements for zinc, manganese, calcium and magnesium in wort. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 55, 123-128.
- 153. Jones, R.P., and Greenfield, P.F. (1984) A review of yeast ionic nutrition, I: growth and fermentatative requirements. *Process Biochem. 4*, 48-59.
- 154. Irakoze, O.C., Zhou, H., Zhang, H., Zhu, K., Li, Q., and Murekatete, N. (2001) The effect of soaking with wooden ash and malting upon some nutritional properties of sorghum flour used for impeke, a traditional Burundian malt-based sorghum beverage. *Agric. Sci. China 10*, 1801-1811.
- Cary, E.E., Grunes, D.L., Dallyn, S.L., Pearson, A., Peck, N.H., and Hulm,
   R.S. (1994) Plant Fe, Al and Cr concentrations in vegetables as influenced by soil inclusion. *J. Food Qual.* 17, 467-476.
- 156. Tatala, S., Svanberg, U., and Mduma, B. (1998) Low dietary iron availability is a major cause of anemia: a nutrition survey in the Lindi District of Tanzania. *Am. J. Clin. Nutrit.* 68, 171-178.
- 157. Zhuang, P., Shu, W., Li, Z., Liao, B., Li J., and Shao, J. (2009) Removal of metals by sorghum plants from contaminated land. *J. Environ. Sci.* 21, 1432-1437.

- Serna-Saldivar, S.O., Clegg, C., and Rooney, L.W. (1994) Effects of parboiling and decortication on the nutritional value of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L. Moench*) and pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum L.*). *J. Cereal Sci. 19*, 83-89.
- 159. Lorenz, K., and D'Appolonia, B. (1980) Cereal sprouts: Composition, nutritive value, food applications. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutrit.* 13, 353-385.
- 160. Sokrab, A.M., Mohamed Ahmed, I.A., and Babiker, E.E. (2012) Effect of germination on antinutritional factors, total and extractable minerals of high and low phytate corn (*Zea mays L.*) genotypes. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.* 11, 123-128.
- 161. Hajslova, J., Schulzova, V., Slanina, P., Janne, K., Hellenas, K.E., and Andersson, Ch. (2005) Quality of organically and conventionally grown potatoes: study of micronutrients, metals, secondary metabolites, enzymatic browning and organoleptic properties. *Food Additives Contaminants* 22, 514-534.
- 162. Liu, Z., Cheng, F., and Zhang, G. (2005) Phytic acid content in japonica rice as affected by cultivar and environment and its relation to protein content. *Food Chem.* 89, 49-52.
- 163. Kayode, A.A.P., Linnemann, A.R., Hounhouigan, J.D., Nout, M.J.R., and Van Boekel M.A.J.S. (2006) Genetic and environmental impact on iron, zinc, and phytate in food sorghum grown in Benin. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 54, 256-262.
- 164. Oatway, L., Vasanthan, T., and Helm, J.H., 2001. Phytic acid. *Food Rev. Intl.* 17, 419-431.
- 165. Santos-Buelga, C., and Scalbert, A. (2000) Proanthocyanidins and tannin-like compounds – nature, occurrence, dietary intake and effects on nutrition and health. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 80, 1094-1117.
- Russell, I. (1994) Yeast, in Handbook of Brewing, (Hardwick, W.A. Ed.)
   pp.169-202, Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Delcour, J.A., and Hoseney, R.C. (2010) Principles of Cereal Science and Technology, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., pp. 136-138, AACC International, St. Paul, MN.
- 168. Dewar, J., Taylor, J.R.N., and Berjak, P. (1997) Determination of improved steeping conditions for sorghum malting, *J. Cereal Sci.* 26, 129-136.
- Perez-Carrillo, Callejas-Cortes, M.L., Sabillon-Galeas, L.E., Montalvo-Villarreal, J.L., Canizo, J.R., Moreno-Zepeda, M.G., and Serna-Salvidar, S.O. (2011) Detrimental effect of increasing sugar concentrations on ethanol production from maize or decorticated sorghum mashed fermented with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae or Zymomonas mobilis. Biotechnol. Lett. 33*, 301-307.

- 170. Pratt-Marshall, P.L., Brey, S.E., de Costa, S.D., Bryce, J.H., and Stewart, G.G.
  (2002) High gravity brewing an inducer of yeast stress, *Brew. Guardian 131*, 22-26.
- Pratt-Marshall, P.L., Bryce, J.H., and Stewart, G.G. (2003) The effect of osmotic pressure and ethanol on yeast viability and morphology, *J. Inst. Brew. 109*, 218-228.
- 172. Winter, J.F., Locet, M.O., and Uribelarrea, J.L. (1989) Inhibition and growth factor deficiencies in alcoholic fermentation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Curr. Microbiol.* 18, 247-252.
- 173. Dragone, G., Silva, D.P., and De Almeida e Silva, J.B. (2004) Factors influencing ethanol production rates at high-gravity brewing, *LWT Food Sci Technol. 37*, 797-802.
- 174. Debourg, A. (2010) Yeast management and high gravity fermentation, *Cerevisiae 35*, 16-22.
- 175. Gibson, B.R. (2011) 125<sup>th</sup> anniversary review: Improvement of higher gravity brewery fermentation via wort enrichment and supplementation. *J. Inst. Brew.* 117, 268-284.
- USDA (2005) Nutrient Data Laboratory, Food Analysis Program, Wave 9m, USDA, Beltsville, MD.
- 177. Liu, D.J., Robbins, G.S., and Pomeranz, Y. (1974) Composition and utilization of milled barley products. IV. Mineral components. *Cereal Chem. 51*, 309-316.
- 178. Danish Food Composition Databank, version 7.0, 2008)
   http://www.foodcomp.dk/v7/fcdb\_namesearch.asp (last accessed April 2013).
- Ragaee, S., El-Sayed, M., Abdel-Aal, E.M., and Noaman, M. (2006)
   Antioxidant activity and nutrient composition of selected cereals for food use, *Food Chem.* 98, 32-38.
- Mendoza, C., Viteri, F.E., Lönnerdal, B., Raboy, V., Young, K.A., and Brown, K.H. (2001) Absorption of iron from unmodified maize and genetically altered, low-phytate maize fortified with ferrous sulfate or sodium iron EDTA, *Am. J. Clin. Nutrit.* 73, 80-85.
- 181. Bravi, E., Marconi, O., Perretti, G., and Fantozzi, P. (2012) Influence of barley variety and malting process on the lipid content of malt. *Food Chem.* 135, 1112-1117.

- 182. Afify, A.M.R., El-Beltagi, H.S., Abd El-Salam, S.M., and Omran, A.A. (2012) Oil and fatty acid contents of white sorghum varieties under soaking, cooking, germination and fermentation processing for improved cereal quality. *Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca 40*, 86-92.
- 183. Della Casa, G., Bochicchio, D., Faeti, V., Marchetto, G., Poletti, E., Rossi, A., Panciroli, A., Mordent, A.L., and Borgna, N. (2010) Performance and fat quality of heavy pigs fed maize differing in linoleic acid content. *Meat Sci.* 84, 152-158.
- 184. Kim, H.J., Hwang, I.G., Kim, T.M., Woo, K.S., Park, D.S., Kim, J.H., Kim, D.J., Lee, J., and Jeong, H.S. (2012) Chemical and functional components in different parts of rough rice (*Oryza sativa L.*) before and after germination. *Food Chem.* 134, 288-293.
- 185. Mehmood, S., Orhan, I., Ahsan, Z., Aslant, S., and Gulfraz, M. (2008) Fatty acid composition of seed oil of different *Sorghum bicolor* varieties. *Food Chem.* 109, 855-859.
- Choudhury, N.H., and Juliano, B.O. (1980) Lipids in developing and mature rice grain. *Phytochemistry* 19, 1063-1069.
- 187. Agu, R.C. (2003) Some relationship between malted barley of different nitrogen levels and the wort properties. *J. Inst. Brew. 109*, 106-109.
- 188. Goode, D.L., Wijngaards, H.H., and Arendt, E.K. (2005) Mashing with unmalted barley-impact of malted barley and commercial enzyme (*Bacillus spp.*) additions. *Tech Q. Master Brew. Assoc. Am.* 42, 184-198.
- 189. Steiner, E., Auer, A., Becker, T., Gastl, M. (2010) Comparison of beer quality attributes between beers brewed with 100% barley malt and 100% barley raw material. J. Sci. Food Agric. 92, 803-813.
- 190. Puangwerakul, Y. (2007) Malt and wort characteristics of 42 cereal rice varieties cultivated in Thailand. *Kasetsart J. Nat. Sci.* 41, 15-20.
- 191. Agu, R.C. (2002) A comparison of maize, sorghum and barley as brewing adjuncts. *J. Inst. Brew. 108*, 119-22.
- 192. Etim, M.U., and Etokakpan, O.U. (1992) Sorghum brewing using sweet potato enzymic flour to increase saccharification, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 8, 509-511.
- 193. Bajomo, M.F., and Young, T.W. (1994) Fermentation of worts made from 100% raw sorghum and enzymes, *J. Inst. Brew. 100*, 79-84.

Mugode, L., Portillo, O.R., Hays, D.B., Rooney, L.W., and Taylor, J.R.N.
(2011) Influence of high protein digestibility sorghums on free amino nitrogen
(FAN) production during malting and mashing. *J. Inst. Brew.* 227, 422-426.

- 195. Peralta-Contreras, M., Chuck-Hernandez, C., Perez-Carrillo, E., Bando-Carranza, G. Vera-Garcia, M., Gaxiola-Cuevas, N., Tamayo-Limon, R., Cardenas-Torres, F., and Serna-Saldivar, S.O. (2013) Fate of free amino nitrogen during liquefaction and yeast fermentation of maize and sorghum differing in endosperm texture. *Food Bioproducts Process.* 91, 46-53.
- Aniche, G.N., and Palmer, G.H. (1992) Influence of gibberellic acid (GA) on the development of amylolytic activities in rice during germination. *Process Biochem.* 27, 291-297.
- 197. Agu, R.C., Chiba, Y., Goodgellow, V., MacKinlay, J., Brosnan, J.M., Bringhurst, T.A., Jack, F.R., Harrison, B., Pearson, S.Y., and Bryce, J.H. (2012) Effect of germination temperatures on proteolysis of the gluten free rice grains and buckwheat during malting and mashing. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 60, 10147-10154.
- Bamforth, C.W., and Barclay, A.H.P. (1993) Malting technologies and the uses of malt, in Barley: Chemistry and Technology (MacGregor, A.W. and Bhatty, R.S. Eds.) pp. 197-254, American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN.
- Callemien, D., and Collin, S. (2010) Structure, organoleptic properties, quantification methods, and stability of phenolic compounds in beer - A review. *Food Rev. Int.* 26, 1-84.
- Cramer, A.C.J., Mattinson, D.S., Fellman, J.K., and Baik, B.K. (1995)
   Analysis of volatile compounds from various types of barley cultivars. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 53, 7526-7531.
- 201. Lee, C.A., Ismail, B., and Vickers, Z.M. (2012) The role of salivary proteins in the mechanism of astringency. *J. Food Sci.* 77, 381-387.
- 202. Kobue-Lekalake, R., Taylor, J.R.N., and De Kock, H.L. (2007) Effects of phenolics in sorghum grain on its bitterness, astringency and other sensory properties. J. Sci. Food Agric. 87, 1940-1948.
- 203. Briess Malt and Ingredients Co. What is BreisSweet<sup>TM</sup> White Sorghum Syrup. http://www.brewingwithbriess.com (last accessed March 2013).
- 204. 200 Schieberle, P. (1991) Primary odorants in popcorn. J. Agric. Food Chem. 39, 1141-1144.

- 205. Buttery, R.G., and Ling, L.C. (1995) Volatile flavor components of corn tortillas and related products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 43, 1878-1882.
- 206. Buttery, R.G., Stern, D.J., and Ling, L.G. (1994) Studies on the flavor volatiles of some sweet corn products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 42, 791-795.
- 207. Fumi, M.D., Galli, R., Lambri, M., Donadini, G., and De Faveri, D.M. (2011) Effect of full-scale brewing process on polyphenols in Italian all-malt and maize adjunct lager beers. J. Food Comp. Anal. 24, 568-573.
- 208. Champagne, E.T. (2008) Rice aroma and flavor: A literature review. *Cereal Chem.* 85, 445-454.
- 209. Buttery, R.G., Ling, L.C., Juliano, B.O., and Turnbaugh, J.G. (1983) Cooked rice aroma and 1-acetyl-pyrroline. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, *31*, 823-826.
- 210. Buttery, R.G., Turnburgh, J., and Ling, L. (1988) Contributions of volatiles to rice aroma. *J. Agric. Food Chem. 36*, 1006-1009.
- 211. Palamand, S.R., Nelson, G.D., and Hardwick, W.A. (1970) Further studies on glyoxal and methylglyoxal in beer. *Proc. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* 20, 186-191.
- 212. Beal, A.D., and Mottram, D.S. (1993) An evaluation of the aroma characteristics of malted barley by free-choice profiling. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, *61*, 17-22.
- 213. Lasekan, O.O., Lasekan, W.O., and Idowu, M.A. (1997) Flavour volatiles of 'malt beverage' from roasted sorghum. *Food Chem.* 58, 341-344.
- 214. Van Boekel, M.A.J.S. (2006) Formation of flavour compounds in the Maillard reaction. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 24, 230-233.
- 215. Agu, R.C., and Palmer, G.H. (1996) Endosperm breakdown of sorghum at different malting temperatures. *J. Inst. Brew.* 102, 415-418.
- Kobayashi, M., Shimizu, H., and Shioya, S. (2008) Beer volatile compounds and their application to low-malt beer fermentation. *J. Bioscience Bioengineering 106*, 317-323.
- 217. Forsyth, J.L., O'Kennedy, M.M., Grootboom, A., and Shewry, P.R. (2003) Prospects for improving sorghum grain quality. In: Afripro: Workshop on the Proteins of Sorghum and Millets: Enhancing Nutritional and Functional Properties for Africa, Pretoria, 2-4 April 2003, (Belton, P.S. and Taylor, J.R.N. Eds.), Paper 13. www.afripro.org.uk (last accessed April 2013).
- Taylor, J., Taylor, J.R.N., and Kini, F. (2012) Cereal biofortification: Strategies, challenges and benefits. *Cereal Foods World* 57, 165-169.

- 219. Weaver, C. A., Hamaker, B. R., and Axtell, J. D. (1998) Discovery of grain sorghum germ plasm with high uncooked and cooked in vitro protein digestibility. *Cereal Chem.* 75, 665–670.
- 220. Henley, E.C., Taylor, J.R.N., and Obukosia, S. (2010) The importance of dietary protein in human health: Combating protein deficiency in sub-Saharan Africa through transgenic biofortified sorghum. *Adv. Food Nutrit. Res.* 60, 21-52.

- 1248 **Table 1.** Comparative studies on the activity of enzymes important in lager brewing in
- 1249 barley, sorghum, maize and rice malts

Enzyme	Cereal	Malt enzyme activity	
α-amylase	Barley	206 IU/mg protein <sup>(112)</sup> , 44 DU* <sup>(117)</sup> , 365 IU/g <sup>(85)</sup>	
	Sorghum	142-148 IU/mg protein <sup>(112)</sup> , 20 IU/g <sup>(116)</sup> , 31 DU <sup>(117)</sup> ,95 IU/g <sup>(85)</sup>	
	Maize	98-106 IU/mg protein <sup>(112)</sup> , 49 IU/g malt <sup>(116)</sup> ,	
	Rice	$120 \text{ IU/g}^{(116)}, 28-42 \text{ DU}^{*(117)},$	
	Barley	234 IU/mg protein <sup>(112)</sup> , 1017 IU/g <sup>(85)</sup>	
β-amylase	Sorghum	156-158 IU/mg protein <sup>(112)</sup> , 23-80 IU/g <sup>(116)</sup> , 48 IU/g <sup>(85)</sup>	
	Maize	123-125 IU/mg protein <sup>(112)</sup> , approx. 15–55 IU/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
	Rice	23–175 IU/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
	Barley	1.8 IU* <sup>(127)</sup>	
α-glucosidase	Sorghum	1.6 IU* <sup>(127)</sup> , 0.06–0.30 IU/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
	Maize	0.07–0.11 IU/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
	Rice	$0.22-0.30 \text{ IU/g}^{(116)}$	
	Barley	0.2-0.4 EU/g <sup>(125)</sup>	
Limit dextrinase	Sorghum	1.0–1.4 EU/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
	Maize	0.3–0.5 EU/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
	Rice	3.3–6.0 EU/g <sup>(116)</sup> , 2.2-3.2 EU/g <sup>(125)</sup>	
Endo-β-	Barley	100-135 U/g <sup>(87)</sup>	
	Sorghum	$15-20 \text{ EU/g}^{(87)}, 0.4-2.4 \text{ U/g}^{(116)}$	
(1,3)(1,4)- glucanase	Maize	0.0–0.1 U/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
giucanase	Rice	0.0–0.1 U/g <sup>(116)</sup>	
	Barley	220-550 U/g <sup>(87)</sup>	
Pentosanase/	Sorghum	220-550 U/g <sup>(87)</sup>	
xylanase	Maize	ND	
	Rice	ND	
	Barley	$1.1 \text{ PU/g}^{(145)}$	
Dhataa	Sorghum	$0.4 \text{ PU/g}^{(145)}, 0.4 \text{ PU/g}^{(146)}$	
Phytase	Maize	$0.8 \text{ PU/g}^{(145)}, 1.0 \text{ PU/g}^{(146)}$	
	Rice	$0.1 \text{ PU/g}^{(145)}, 3.0 \text{ PU/g}^{(146)}$	
Estimated proteolytic	Barley	36% KI <sup>(117)</sup>	
	Sorghum	36% KI <sup>(117)</sup>	
	Maize	ND	
activity	WIAIZC		

1250 ND - No relevant data could be found

1251 \*Reference does not give the amount of flour that contains stated activity

U = Unit definition could not be found

IU (International unit) - Amount of enzyme which releases 1  $\mu$  mole of *p*-nitrophenol from the substrate per minute at the defined pH and temperature

DU (Dextrinising Unit) - Quantity of alpha-amylase that will dextrinize soluble starch in the presence of an excess of beta-amylase at the rate of one gram per hour at 30°C

EU (Enzyme activity unit) - Amount of enzyme that releases 1  $\mu$  mole of glucose reducing sugar equivalent per min at 40°C and pH 5.0 or pH 5.5

PU (Phytase unit) - Enzyme activity that liberates 1  $\mu$  mole inorganic phosphate<sup>(145)</sup> or phosphorus<sup>(146)</sup>/min

KI (Kolbach Index) - Total soluble nitrogen in the wort as a percentage of the total nitrogen in the malt

	<b>Refined grain</b>	Whole raw grain	Malt			
Magnesium (mg/kg)						
Barley	$780^{(176)}, 78^{*(177)}, 670^{(178)}, 468^{(177)}, $	$1330^{(176)}, 890^{(178)}, 1410^{(177)}, 1971^{(179)}$	ND			
Sorghum	1200 <sup>(176)</sup> , 1590 <sup>(158)</sup> ,	$1840^{(158)}, 188^{(179)}, 4480^{(154)}, 1452^{(151)}$	3510 <sup>(154)</sup>			
Maize	470 <sup>(178)</sup>	$1270^{(176)}, 511-571^{(160)}, \\806^{(151)}, 1800^{(180)},$	440-560 <sup>(160)</sup>			
Rice	350 <sup>(176)</sup> , 310-406 <sup>(178)</sup> ,	230-1120 <sup>(176)</sup> , 326-415 <sup>(178)</sup>	ND			
	Zi	inc (mg/kg)				
Barley	$21^{(176)}, 5^{*(177)}, 28^{(178)}, \\16^{(177)}, 38^{(178)}, $	28 <sup>(176)</sup> , 24 <sup>(177)</sup> , 74 <sup>(179)</sup>	ND			
Sorghum	3-8 <sup>(178)</sup>	$3^{(179)}, 64^{(154)}, 22^{(151)}, 31^{(178)},$	53 <sup>(154)</sup>			
Maize	14 <sup>(176)</sup> , 5 <sup>(178)</sup>	$22^{(176)}, 26^{(180)}, 18^{(151)}, 13^{(160)}, 18^{(151)},$	18-20 <sup>(160)</sup>			
Rice	11-21 <sup>(178)</sup> , 8 <sup>(176)</sup>	$12-25^{(176)}, 18^{(176)}, 20^{(147)}$	6-13 <sup>(147)</sup>			

**Table 2.** Magnesium and zinc contents of barley, sorghum, maize and rice refined grain, whole raw grain and malt

\*Hand dissected endosperm

ND = No relevant data could be found

	Whole raw grain	Malt					
16:0 (Palmitic acid)							
Barley	$24^{(178)}, 18-19^{(181)}$	16-19 <sup>(181)</sup>					
Sorghum	12-13(182)	12-15 <sup>(182)</sup>					
Maize	13-16 <sup>(183)</sup>	ND					
Rice	$18^{(184)}$	19 <sup>(184)</sup>					
	18:1 (Oleic acid)						
Barley	$12^{(178)}, 35^{(176)}, 13-14^{(181)}$	10-12 <sup>(181)</sup>					
Sorghum	35 <sup>(176)</sup> , 31-49 <sup>(185)</sup> , 34-40 <sup>(182)</sup>	37-41 <sup>(182)</sup>					
Maize	39 <sup>(176)</sup> , 21-36 <sup>(183)</sup>	ND					
Rice 12-43 <sup>(184)</sup>		44 <sup>(184)</sup>					
	18:02 (Linoleic acid)						
Barley	57 <sup>(178)</sup> , 56-58 <sup>(181)</sup>	61 <sup>(181)</sup>					
Sorghum	28-51 <sup>(185)</sup> , 42-50 <sup>(182)</sup>	42-43 <sup>(182)</sup>					
Maize 48-59 <sup>(183)</sup>		ND					
Rice $19^{(184)}, 39^{(186)}$		18 <sup>(184)</sup>					

**Table 3.** Palmitic, oleic and linoleic acid contents (% of total fatty acids) of barley, sorghum, maize and rice whole raw grain and malt

ND = No relevant data could be found

	Whole raw grain (mg/100 g)	Malt (mg/100 g)	Wort - Whole raw grain (mg/L)	Wort – Malt (mg/L)
Barley	47-48 <sup>(187)B</sup> , 73 <sup>(188), A</sup> ,	$106^{(188)}, 125^{(189)},$ $140-196^{(187)}$	46 <sup>(189)</sup> , 65 <sup>(188)</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 118\text{-}120^{(190)},126^{(191)},\\ 136^{(192)},157^{(189)},\\ 158^{(190)},177^{(188)} \end{array}$
Sorghum	$12^{(194)}, 13-19^{(195)}, 18^{(54)}, 22^{(151)}, 18^{(54)}, 18^$	83 <sup>(194)</sup> , 167-213 <sup>(168)</sup>	29 <sup>(151)</sup> , 29 <sup>(193)</sup>	152 <sup>(192)</sup>
Maize	22 <sup>(151)</sup> , 25 <sup>(195)</sup> ,	ND	22 <sup>(151)</sup> ,	110-169 <sup>(168)</sup>
Rice	45 <sup>(195)</sup>	30-50 <sup>(159)</sup> , 99-104 <sup>(119)</sup> , 170 <sup>(196)</sup>	ND	67-188 <sup>(197)</sup> , 95-138 <sup>(190)</sup>

**Table 4.**Free amino nitrogen (FAN) contents of barley, sorghum, maize and rice whole raw grains, their malts and worts

<sup>A</sup>Results obtained by using the EBC Congress mashing procedure on a grist of 50% malted barley and 50% unmalted barley.

<sup>B</sup>One day germinated grain.

ND = No relevant data could be found