Current status of fox rabies in Europe

J. BARRAT and M.F.A. AUBERT

CNEVA, Laboratoire D' Etudes sur la Rage et la Pathologie des Animaux Sauvages B.P. 9, 54220, Malzéville, France

ABSTRACT

BARRAT, J. & AUBERT, M.F.A. 1993. Current status of fox rabies in Europe. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, 60:357–364

There was a general decline in animal rabies in Europe in 1991 following the peak levels which occurred in 1989. This was ascribed, in France at least, to the normal decline in cases usually experienced following peak occurrence and also to oral immunization of foxes against rabies.

European countries in which rabies occurs may be infected by fox, insectivorous bat or dog rabies. This paper makes a general summary of the rabies situation in Europe in 1991 and presents data obtained in 1991 from 15 European countries using oral vaccination against fox rabies.

RABIES SITUATION IN EUROPE IN 1991

There are a number of animal reservoirs of rabies in Europe: red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), polar fox (*Alopex lagopus*), dog and insectivorous bats (mainly *Eptesicus serotinus*). Some of the data presented here is derived from the *Rabies Bulletin Europe* published at the World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centre in Tübingen, Germany.

In 1989 there had been a general increase in fox rabies cases in Europe (principally in France, Belgium and Germany) which was interpreted as being the consequence of two mild winters which favoured the survival of foxes and their prey, resulting in an increase in the fox population and the occurrence of rabies.

In 1991, 16 490 cases of rabies were reported in Europe, which was 22 % less than in 1990 (Table 1). However, this downward trend in cases was not evident in Austria, Poland, Romania and Switzerland. Such an overall decrease in incidence is to be expected after a period marked by a very high occurrence of rabies, but the most significant decreases were recorded in the countries where oral vaccination

ABLE 1	Animal	rabies	cases	in	Europe	in	1991 ^e
--------	--------	--------	-------	----	--------	----	-------------------

Country	No. of cases reported	Trend (%) since 1990
Austria Belgium	2 460 29	NS ^b – 75
Czechoslavakia	1 359	NS
France	2 165	- 27
Germany	3 602	- 35
Hungary	880	- 19
Italy	4	+ 100
Luxembourg	16	- 75
Netherlands (bat rabies cases)	12	- 46
Poland	2 287	+ 12
Romania	54	+ 10
Spain (African part)	8	NS
Switzerland and Liechtenstein	105	+ 105
Turkey (canine rabies principally)	427	- 27
USSR (canine rabies principally)	2 404	+ 35
Yugoslavia (canine rabies principally)	669	- 20

^a Data extracted from *Rabies Bulletin Europe* ^b NS = Not significant



FIG. 1 Rabies distribution in Europe in 1991. Each point corresponds to a case of rabies in a terrestrial mammal. Bat rabies cases are indicated by squares. This map was edited from the quarterly maps published by WHO collaborating centre in Tübingen (FRG)

Species	1990 ^a	1991 ^a	Trend (%)
Red foxes	515	123	- 80
Total wildlife cases	524	129	- 80
Dogs Cats Cattle Sheep and goats	5 9 17 40	2 3 5 4	 70 90
Total domestic animal cases	73	15	- 79
Total	597	144	- 80

TABLE 2 Trend in rabies incidence between 1990 and 1991 in French départements vaccinated at least once during or before autumn 1990

^a These data were collected in the départements of Ain, Cher, Eure, Nièvre, Rhône, Saône et Loire, Seine et Marne, Yvelines and Val d'Olse (total area 47 123 km²) campaigns had been undertaken. Switzerland was the exception to this trend. There, fox populations had increased following earlier oral immunization campaigns only to be followed by the re-introduction of rabies from a neighbouring country. Fifteen cases of bat rabies were recorded in Europe in 1991: 12 in the Netherlands and three in Germany. Most of these occurred in areas where no fox rabies is present. As was the case for rabies in terrestrial animals, fewer cases in bats were reported in 1991 than 1990 (22 cases) or 1987 (142 cases). The distribution of rabies cases in animals in Europe is shown in Fig. 1.

No human rabies was reported in 1991.

Influence of oral vaccination campaigns on fox rabies

Data from France show that country-wide oral vaccination campaigns induced a decrease in fox rabies

TABLE 3	Trend in	n rabies incid	lence	bet	ween	1990	and .	199	1 in
	French	départemen	ts ne	ver	vacci	nated	prior	to	the
	autumn	of 1990							

Species	1990 ^a	1 991 ª	Trend (%)
Red foxes Total wildlife cases	313 325	333 356	+ 6 + 10
Dogs Cats Cattle Sheep and goats	11 21 11 27	12 23 19 67	+ 9 + 10 + 73 + 148
Total domestic animal cases	77	130	+ 69
Total	402	486	+ 21

^a These data were collected in départements of Haute Marne, Bas Rhin, Haute Saône and Vosges (total area = 22 200 km²)

as well as in other species. Table 2 summarizes the difference in incidence between 1990 and 1991 in départements (an administrative division of nearly 5 000 km²) in which bait vaccine was distributed throughout the département at least once during or before autumn 1990, while Table 3 shows the trend in départements never vaccinated before autumn 1990.

The general trend between 1990 and 1991 was an increase of 21 % in unvaccinated départements while an 80 % decrease was experienced in vaccinated ones. It is furthermore probable that the better surveillance of fox rabies during oral immunization campaigns resulted in a higher proportion of rabies cases in these animals being reported in vaccinated départements than in unvaccinated départements.

In unvaccinated départements, the increase in rabies incidence was more marked in domestic than in wild animals. These départments have been infected with rabies for a long time and for this reason rabies in wildlife does not draw much attention and the presence of rabies is therefore better indicated by the presence of the disease in domestic animals.

Another way of estimating the efficiency of oral vaccine of foxes is to measure the number of rabies cases/km² of vaccinated area following oral immunization. Fig. 2 shows cumulative data collected between 1989 and October 1991. Before oral vaccination of foxes, rabies case density was 16/1 000 km². The occurrence of rabies was then measured during the 6 month periods including and following oral vaccination campaigns (i.e. from November to the next April and from May to October).

Where no rabies case were identified in an area following a vaccination campaign, this zone was not included in the calculations, so it may be assumed that the decrease in rabies cases was more marked following oral immunization than indicated by Fig. 2.



FIG. 2 Evolution of rabies case density in areas submitted to oral vaccination campaigns from 1989 to October 1991. Data are grouped by six month periods (November to next April and May to October)

Control of foxes rabies in Europe

Prior to the meeting on Rabies Control in Europe, held in Nancy (France) in 1991, a questionnaire was sent to heads of national veterinary services of all European countries. Twenty-five countries provided answers to the questionnaire. The answers indicated that 16 made an effort to control fox numbers while 15 were using oral vaccination of foxes. Furthermore, the area covered by oral vaccination campaigns in Europe has increased steadily: 55 000 km² in 1988, 178 000 in 1990 and 269 000 in 1991.

Conclusions based on data derived from answers to the questionnaire are summarized below and details shown in Tables 4–14.

Status of oral vaccination of foxes

- Eleven of the responding countries which are using oral immunization still consider the measure experimental despite large areas being covered in some cases (Tables 5 and 7). Financial support of these operations is both governmental and regional and the participation of the EEC was indicated by three countries (Table 5). With the exception of Czechoslovakia, state agents distribute or participate to the distribution of baits in all European countries.
- Bait vaccines are distributed by hand on the ground exclusively by four countries, three others use only aerial distribution while three countries are using both methods. Among aerial distribution techniques, helicopters are most frequently used (Table 6).
- The density of baits distributed in treated areas is between 13 and 20/km² but is not always homogeneous; in six countries the density of distributed baits varies with the topography (Table 6). Two

	Fox					Other wild species			
Country	Offensive measures	Culling	Vacci- nation		Bounty	Species	Culling	Vacci- nation	Bounty
Germany United Kingdom Austria Belgium Cyprus Denmark Spain Finland France Greece Netherlands Hungary Ireland Italy Luxembourg Norway Poland Portugal Romania Sweden Switzerland Czechoslovakia Turkey USSR Yugoslavia	Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes No No No No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	S S, G, PT S, P S, T S, T S, T S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	No Yes No No No Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes	No No No No Yes No No No No No No No No No	Racoon dogs	S, T	Yes	No No No No No No No No

TABLE 4 C	Control	measures	used	against	rabies	in	wildlife	in	Europe:	1991
-----------	---------	----------	------	---------	--------	----	----------	----	---------	------

S = shooting; P = poisoning; T = trapping; G = gassing

TABLE 5	Financial	support	of	oral	vaccination	campaigns	in
	Europe: 1	991					

Country	Experimental oral vacci- nation	Main financial support	Other sources ^a
Germany United Kingdom Austria	Yes No Yes	R/L R	
Belgium Cyprus Denmark	Yes No No	C/R	
Finland France Nethorlands	Yes Yes Xos	C C/R	EEC
Hungary	No		EEC
Luxembourg Poland Portugal Romania	Yes No No	C	
Sweden Switzerland Czechoslovakia Yugoslavia	No Yes Yes Yes	C C/R C	EEC UEEB ^b

C = central origin; R = regional origin; L = local origin

^a The EEC reimburses up to 50% of money spent on oral vaccination of foxes in some circumstances

^b Union Europ. Elev. de Bétail

campaigns are conducted each year, one in spring and the other in autumn (Table 6).

- Four vaccine viruses are incorporated into baits used in Europe. Three are attenuated strains (SAD Bern, SAD B19 and SAG1) and the fourth is a vaccinia/rabies-glycoprotein recombinant (VRG strain) (Table 8).
- Assessment of the efficiency of oral vaccination of foxes is conducted both by surveys of rabies cases in treated areas (11 countries) and by examination of specially sampled foxes in these areas (0,01 to 0,75 animals/km²) in ten countries (Table 9).
- Bait intake is measured by the deposition in bone and teeth of tetracyclin used as a biomarker in the baits. The detection of the marker is performed by uv fluorescence of tooth sections (three countries), sections of lower jaw (eight countries) or femur (two countries) (Table 11).
- Seroconversion is measured in ten countries by serum/virus neutralization tests on the sera of sampled animals, while an ELISA technique is used by one country (Table 9).
- The possibility of the vaccine virus inducing rabies in foxes or other wildlife is tested by the use of monoclonal antibodies able to distinguish the vaccine from street strains (Table 9).

Country	Distribution		Distributing	No. of	0	
Country	On foot	By air	teams	teams baits/km ²		Unifeated areas
Germany	F	Р, Н	0	15–20	Spring/autumn	
Austria	F		V, O	16	Spring/autumn	Cities, water, mountains
Belgium	-	P, H	V, O	15	Spring/autumn	
Finland	F	Р	V, 0	15 on foot, 20 by air	Spring/autumn	Only border regions vaccinated
France	-	Ĥ	0	13	Spring/autumn	Residential areas
Netherlands	F		V, 0	16	Spring/autumn	Cities
Italy	F	+	V. 0	5-20	Spring/autumn	
Luxembourg	-	н	0	20	Spring/autumn	
Switzerland	F		V. 0	15	Spring/autumn	Alt. > 2000 m
Czechoslovakia	F	Н	V	15		
Yugoslavia	F		V, O	16	Spring/autumn	

TABLE 6 Distribution of bait vaccines in Europe: 1991

F = foot; P = aeroplane; H = helicopter; V = volunteers; O = official agents; + = unknown

TABLE 7 Areas over which bait vaccines against rables in foxes were distributed in Europe: 1988-1990

TABLE 8	Vaccine strains incorporated into oral baits for immuni-	-
	zation of foxes in Europe	

Country	Treated area	Cou			
Country	1988	1989	1990		
Germany Austria Belgium Finland France Netherlands Italy Luxembourg Switzerland Czechoslovakia Yugoslavia	18 000 9 800 9 500 2 825 4 626 260 (1987) 5 392 2 587 7 252 580	94 000 16 000 9 700 28 305 260 2 370 2 587 7 822 3 307 3 500	93 000 25 000 10 000 2 000 106 518 260 2 587 10 334 14 520 4 500	Gern Aus Belg Finla Fran Neth Italy Luxe Swit Cze Yug	

	Usage (% per anr	ium)	
Country	SAD B19	SAG1	VRG	SAD Bern
Germany	100			
Austria.	100	0	0	0
Belgium (1991)			100	
Finland	100			
France (1990)	42	19	39	0,9
Netherlands	100	0	0	0
Italy	100			
Luxembourg	100	0	0	0
Switzerland (1990)				100
Switzerland (1991)		100		
Czechoslovakia	100			
Yugoslavia	100			

TABLE 9 Details of methods employed by European countries in the monitoring of oral vaccination campaigns

Country	No. of foxes collected/km ²	No. of laboratories	Tetracycline detection		e detection Sero- logical		Differentia between r and vacci	tion abies virus ne strains
		involved	In teeth	In bones	teeninque		Tested	Technique
Germany Austria Belgium Finland France Netherlands Italy Luxembourg Switzerland Czechoslovakia Yugoslavia	0,025 0,040 0,008 0,011 0,750 0,100 0,100 0,100 0,900 2,000	1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1	Yes Yes No No	Lower jaw Lower jaw Lower jaw Lower jaw Femur Lower jaw Femur Lower jaw Lower jaw	FFI ^a FFI FFI FFI FFI FFI ELISA FFI FFI	Vaccinia	Yes No No ^b Yes Yes No Yes Yes No	Mabs Mabs Mabs Mabs Mabs Mabs

^a FFI = flourescent focus inhibition test

^b The use of VRG vaccine eliminates this risk

Current status of fox rabies in Europe

Question	0.4	On other species					
Country	On toxes	Yes/No	Other subjects				
Germany	Population dynamics	No					
Austria	No	No					
Belgium	Population dynamics & echinococcosis	Yes	Yes				
Finland	No	Yes		Biology of raccoon dog, game density			
France	Population dynamics	Yes	Yes				
Netherlands	No	Yes	Yes				
Luxembourg	Echinococcosis & trichinellosis	No					
Switzerland	Population dynamics	Yes	Yes	Population dynamics			
Czechoslovakia	Bait intake at day 4, 8 and 14	Yes	Yes				
Yugoslavia	No	Yes	Yes	Wild boar			

TABLE 10 Studies	conducted in	parallel wit	th oral	vaccination	control
------------------	--------------	--------------	---------	-------------	---------

TABLE 11 Results obtained during oral vaccination campaigns in various European countries

Country	Vaccinated	Cam- paign	Bait	intake (%) ^a	Sero (%) ^b	convers	sion	Vaccinal	Remarks
	species	no.	Min.	Ave.	Max.	Min.	Ave.	Max.	Strain	
Germany Austria	Fox Fox	1	60 55	80	90 60	50 47	70	80 51		3-5 % of TET - seroconvert,
	Fox	2	70		80	59		67		3–5 % of TET – seroconvert, 80–85 % of TET + seroconvert
	Fox	3	70		80	59		67		3–5 % of TET – seroconvert, 80–85 % of TET + seroconvert
Belgium	Fox	1		53,5					SAD B19	Distributed on foot
	Fox	2		41		1			SAD B19	Distributed by air
	Fox	3		73					VRG	6/7 seroconvert
	Adult fox	4		86					VRG	n = 50
	Young fox	4		25					VRG	n = 20
Finland	Racoon dog	1		75						
	Racoon dog	2		50						
	Fox	1	1				72			
	Fox	2					38			
	Fox	3					63			
	Fox	4					75			
France	Fox	1		44			40		1 4	
	Fox	2		62			60			
	Fox	3		70			65			
	Fox	4		80			75			
Netherlands	Fox	1		46						
	Fox	2	1	84						
	Fox	3		67			40			
	Fox	4		92			77			
Italy	Fox	1	4	43	29	4	44	24	SAD	
	Fox	2	5	18	8	4	15	7	SAD	
Luxembourg	Fox	5	63	68	75	60	72	80	SAD B19	
Switzerland	Fox		45	60	80	40	50	60		Values depend on age, season of sampling and of baiting
Czechoslovakia	Fox	1		56			50			
	Fox	2		53			50			
	Fox	3		79,5			42			
Yugoslavia	Fox	1	35	54	75	30		60		

^a Determined by tetracycline deposition in bone or teeth (see Table 9)

^b Presence of antibodies at levels considered indicative of protection

Country	Cost/km ² (in ECU)			Future of oral	Rossen
Country	Vaccine	Baiting	Control	vaccination	neason
Germany Austria Belgium Denmark Finland France Netherlands Italy Luxembourg Sweden Switzerland Czechoslovakia Yugoslavia	15 14,88 15,50 70 on foot, 90 by air ^a 14,24 15,50 9,5 14,5 with control 500 ^a 15,55	1,70 50 on foot, 12 by air ^a 8,49 13,00 with control 18,3 8,9 20 ^a	1,76 150 ^a	Continue Continue Continue Continue Continue Stop Continue Continue Continue Continue Continue Continue Continue Continue	On the border No more rabies

TABLE 12 Cost of oral vaccine campaigns, future of these operations

^a In local currency

TABLE 13 Changes in lox densities following oral vaccination campaigns against rables in toxe	TABLE 13	Changes in fo	ox densities	following ora	l vaccination	campaigns	against	rabies	in foxe	s
---	----------	---------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	-----------	---------	--------	---------	---

Country	Increase of fox density	Origin of data	Increase is considered as serious	Reason
Germany	Yes	Field observation	No	A new level has to be reached
Austria	Yes	Field observation	°Yes	Rabies control in the free area
Belgium	Yes	Field observation	Yes	Echinococcosis
Finland France	No Yes	Field observation Field observation	No	No scientific evidence
Netherlands	Yes	Field observation	No	Also observed in rabies free area
Italy	Yes	Field observation	Yes	Risk of other disease (echinococcosis)
Luxembourg	Yes	Field observation	Yes	Return of rabies; echinococcosis
Switzerland		Field observation	Yes	Return of rabies; echinococcosis; mange
Yugoslavia	Yes	Field observation	No	

The influence of oral vaccination of foxes on non target species is followed by six countries (Table 10).

Results of oral vaccination campaigns

The overall results of oral vaccination of foxes against rabies can be summarized as follows:

- After three campaigns in France, 80 % of foxes in the vaccination zones have consumed at least one bait and more than 70 % have seroconverted.
- Among the 13 European countries that have answered this question, 12 intend to continue oral vaccination of foxes; the 13th will discontinue the exercise because fox rabies no longer occurs in that country (Table 12).
- On the basis of field observations, nine countries indicated that the eradication of rabies or a lowering in the incidence of the disease will result in an increase in fox numbers (which is also noted inrables free areas). Five of them consider that this

TABLE 14 Exchange of data on oral vaccination

Country	Type of exchange
Germany	Official
Austria	Unofficial
Belgium	Official and unofficial
Finland	Unofficial
France	Official and unofficial
Netherlands	Official and unofficial
Italy	Official
Luxembourg	Official
Sweden	Official and unofficial
Switzerland	Unofficial
Czechoslovakia	Official
Yugoslavia	Official

may increase the risk of echinococcosis and also pose the problem that a high density of susceptible foxes could result in explosive spread should the infection be re-introduced (Table 13).