

Geotechnical and hydrogeological characterization of residual soils in the vadose zone

by

Jan Johannes Gerhardus Vermaak

submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Philosophiae Doctor

in the

Faculty of Science University of Pretoria Pretoria

February 2000

© University of Pretoria



Geotechnical and hydrogeological characterisation of residual soils in the vadose zone

by

Jan Johannes Gerhardus Vermaak

Promoter:Professor A. van SchalkwykFaculty:ScienceDepartment:Earth SciencesDegree:Philosophiae Doctor

ABSTRACT

Groundwater is an important natural resource and ought to be protected. Groundwater recharge and contamination are two important aspects in groundwater management. Both these aspects apply to the vadose zone.

The research aimed to narrow the knowledge gap between practising geohydrologists and engineering geologists, both frequently involved in vadose zone investigations for geohydrological and engineering purposes respectively.

The vadose zone is the portion of the geological profile above the groundwater surface and is usually characterised by unsaturated conditions. Matrix forces counteract the force of gravitation to hold liquid in the porous medium and are reflected by hydraulic heads lower than atmospheric pressure (suction). The unique relationship between soil-water content and suction is presented by soil-water characteristic curves.

Flow of liquids is directly proportional to the hydraulic gradient and the hydraulic conductivity and is affected by the geometric properties of the pore channels. In unsaturated soils, flow is governed by both matrix and gravitational forces. Preferential flow is the process by which water and solutes move along preferred pathways through a porous medium.

Important hydrogeological properties, such as porosity, hydraulic conductivity and soil-water retention characteristics, can be estimated from geotechnical data. Unsaturated hydraulic conductivity can also be estimated from soil-water characteristic curves and saturated hydraulic conductivity.

The experimental procedures comprised analyses of existing hydrogeological data, laboratory tests and field experiments. The geotechnical data were used to predict important hydrogeological properties and these predictions were compared to experimentally derived hydrogeological properties. The effects of preferential flow and soil variability were also investigated.

Predictions of porosity, hydraulic conductivity and soil-water retention characteristics lack precision, owing mostly to the natural variability in hydrogeological properties and inherent errors of the empirical models. Accurate predictions of unsaturated hydraulic conductivity



were based on experimentally derived saturated hydraulic conductivity and soil water characteristic data.

The study area is located in Midrand and is underlain by granitoid rocks that had been subjected to a number of geomorphologic events. The land system classification approach was used to delineate the hydrogeological units. The different hydrogeological characteristics can be attributed mainly to the position of the hydrogeological units in respect of the topographical setting, the geomorphologic history and the underlying geology. A conceptual hydrogeological model was constructed for each of the hydrogeological zones and its significance in respect of groundwater recharge and vulnerability discussed.

The research has shown that geohydrological properties can be estimated from geotechnical data with various degrees of accuracy. Predictions of hydraulic conductivity, soil water retention characteristics and porosity are not suitable for site-specific investigations, but it can be used during the feasibility phases. In cases where saturated hydraulic conductivity and soil-water retention characteristics have been experimentally derived, estimations of unsaturated hydraulic conductivity are adequate for site-specific investigations. The land system approach can be used to delineate areas of similar geohydrological characteristics and these can be used in the compilation of aquifer vulnerability and groundwater recharge maps.

(478 words)



Die geotegniese en hidrogeologiese karakterisering van residuele gronde in die vadose sone

deur

Jan Johannes Gerhardus Vermaak

Promotor:Professor A. van SchalkwykFakulteit:NatuurwetenskappeDepartement:AardwetenskappeGraad:Philosophiae Doctor

UITTREKSEL

Grondwater is 'n belangrike natuurlike hulpbron en behoort beskerm te word. Die bepaling van grondwateraanvulling en die omvang van grondwaterkontaminasie is twee belangrike aspekte van grondwaterbestuur. Beide hierdie aspekte is by die vadose sone van toepassing.

Daar is met die navorsing beoog om die kennisgaping wat tussen ingenieursgeoloë en geohidroloë bestaan te vernou. Ondersoeke in die vadose sone word deur beide ingenieursgeoloë en geohidroloë gedoen ten einde die geohidrologiese en ingenieurseienskappe van die grond te bepaal.

Die gedeelte van die geologiese profiel wat bokant die grondwatervlak voorkom, staan as die vadose sone bekend. Beide matriks- en gravitasiekragte word op die grondvog uitgeoefen. Die feit dat die hidrostatiese drukhoogte laer as atmosferiese druk is, word aan dié kragte toegeskryf ('n suigspanning word dus uitgeoefen). Die grondvog karakteristiekekurwe weerspieël die unieke verhouding tussen voginhoud en hidrostatiese drukhoogte in onversadigde gronde.

Die geometriese eienskappe van die poriekanale beïnvloed vloei in gronde. Hierdie vloei is direk proporsioneel tot die hidrouliese gradiënt en die hidrouliese konduktiwiteit. Vloei in onversadigde gronde word deur beide matriks- en gravitasiekragte beïnvloed. Die proses waarvolgens water langs voorkeurpaaie vloei staan bekend as voorkeurvloei.

Skattings van belangrike hidrogeologiese eienskappe, soos byvoorbeeld die porositeit, hidrouliese konduktiwiteit en grondvogretensie karakteristieke, kan gemaak word met behulp van geotegniese data. Skattings van die onversadigde hidrouliese konduktiwiteit kan gemaak word indien die grondvogretensie karakteristieke en versadigde hidrouliese konduktiwiteit bekend is.

Gedurende die navorsing is analises gemaak van bestaande hidrogeologiese data en laboratoriumtoetse, en veld-eksperimente is uitgevoer. Die geotegniese data is gebruik om voorspellings te maak van belangrike hidrogeologiese eienskappe. Die voorspellings is daarna vergelyk met waardes wat eksperimenteel verkry is. Die effek van voorkeurvloei en variasie van grondeienskappe is ook bestudeer.

Die porositeit, hidrouliese konduktiwiteit en grondvogretensie karakteristieke kon nie akkuraat voorspel word nie as gevolg van natuurlike variasie in geohidrologiese eienskappe



en inherente foute van die impiriese modelle. Die onversadigde hidrouliese konduktiwiteit kon wel akkuraat voorspel word deur gebruik te maak van die versadigde hidrouliese konduktiwiteit en grondvogretensie karakteristieke wat eksperimenteel bepaal is.

Die studie-area, geleë te Midrand, word onderlê deur granitiese gesteentes. Die gesteentes het verskeie geomorfologiese periodes deurgemaak. Die landsisteem klassifikasiestelsel is gebruik om hidrogeologiese eenhede te bepaal. Die posisie van die hidrogeologiese eenhede ten opsigte van die topografie, geomorfologiese geskiedenis en onderliggende geologie bepaal grootliks die geohidrologiese kenmerke van die eenhede. 'n Konsepsuele model is vir elke hidrogeologiese eenheid opgestel en die belangrikheid van die eenhede, ten opsigte van grondwater aanvulling en kwesbaarheid, is bespreek.

Die navorsing het getoon dat voorspellings van geohidrologiese eienskappe met verskeie grade van akkuraatheid gemaak kan word. Voorspellings van hidrouliese konduktiwiteit, grondvogretensie karakteristieke en porositeit is nie vir gedetailleerde terrein ondersoeke geskik nie, maar kan gebruik word tydens uitvoerbaarheidsondersoeke. Waar hidrouliese konduktiwiteit en grondvogretensie karakteristieke eksperimenteel bepaal is, kan akkurate voorspellings van onversadigde hidrouliese konduktiwiteit gemaak word. Die landsisteem klassifikasiestelsel kan gebruik word om hidrogeologiese eenhede te bepaal wat gebruik kan word om grondwateraanvullings- en kwesbaarheidskaarte op te stel.

(482 woorde)



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- I would like to thank my promoter, Prof. A. van Schalkwyk, for his guidance and valuable support during the period of this study.
- I appreciate the opportunity given to me by the Water Research Commission to conduct the research and to use it for this thesis
- This project was only possible with the co-operation of many individuals and institutions. I therefore wish to record my sincere thanks to the following:
 - The Department of Water Affairs for their contributions in conducting laboratory geotechnical tests and making equipment available during the course of the project.
 - The Council for Geoscience and CSIR (Transportek) for making geotechnical data available for use in the project.
 - Dr J Annendale for making equipment available for use by the research team.
 - Prof. S Lorentz for contributing in literature and the value discussion regarding unsaturated soils.
 - Mr P Aucamp and C McKnight for their contributions to the research team.
 - The University of Pretoria, CONCOR Ltd., Department of Water Affairs and the Council for Geoscience for providing sites for the field experiments.
 - The many persons and institutions that provided assistance during the course of the field experiments.
- I would like to thank my employers, Yates Consulting (Pty) Ltd., for their support during the latter part of my study period.
- The support of my parents during my study period is greatly appreciated.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	1: INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 AIMS	S OF THIS STUDY	1-3
1.2 Appi	ROACH	1-4
CHAPTER	2: GEOHYDROLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE VADOSE	C
ZONE		2-1
2.1 Вен	AVIOUR OF A FLUID IN A POROUS MEDIUM	2-1
2.1.1	Saturated porous media	
2.1.2	Unsaturated porous media	
2.2 THE	VADOSE ZONE	2-4
2.3 SOII	WATER CHARACTERISTIC CURVES	2-6
2.4 FLO	W OF A LIQUID IN A POROUS MEDIUM	
2.4.1	Steady-state saturated flow	
2.4.2	Unsaturated flow	
2.4.3	Transient flow of water through the vadose zone	
2.4.4	Numerical simulation modelling of unsaturated flow	
2.4.5	Saturated hydraulic conductivity	
2.5 Het	EROGENEITY AND THE EFFECT OF SCALE	2-18
2.6 Hyi	DROGEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES IMPORTANT IN THE ASSESSMENT OF SATURAT	ED
AND UNS	ATURATED FLOW THROUGH THE VADOSE ZONE	2-19
2.6.1	Characteristics of water movement through geological units	
2.7 Pre	FERENTIAL FLOW	2-21
2.7.1	Classification of preferential flow	
2.7.2	Macropore channelling	
2.7.3	Types of macropores	
2.7.4	Other preferential flow mechanisms	
2.8 Imp	ORTANT ASPECTS REGARDING UNSATURATED FLOW WITHIN FIELD SOILS	2-24
2.8.1	Shallow weathered and perched aquifers	



2.8.2	Hypothetical flow paths of contaminants seeping from a pollution source	
2.9 OUA	ANTIFICATION OF GROUNDWATER RECHARGE	2-26
2.9.1	Application of direct methods in the quantitative estimation of recharge	
2.10 TEC	CHNIQUES FOR ASSESSING AQUIFER VULNERABILITY	2-27
2.10.1	Attenuation	2-29

3.1 GEO	LOGY
3.1 010	The weathering profile 3-2
2.1.2	The Weinert N value 3-4
3.1.2	The weinert IV-value
3.2 GEO	MORPHOLOGIC C YCLES
3.3 LAN	D PATTERN CLASSIFICATION
3.3.1	The land system classification approach
3.3.2	The land type classification system
3.3.3	Parametric and analogue methods
3.4 Dat	A COLLECTION AND EVALUATION
3.4.1	Data evaluation by means of GIS
3.5 STA	NDARD GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION METHODS
3.5.1	Soil profile descriptions
3.5.2	Soil index tests
3.5.3	In situ permeability tests
3.6 AVA	AILABILITY OF GEOTECHNICAL DATA
3.6.1	Information from other data sources

CHAPTER 4: RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN GEOTECHNICAL AND

HYDRO	GEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES 4-1
4.1 Es	TIMATIONS OF POROSITY
4.2 Es	TIMATIONS OF SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY
4.2.1	Estimation of saturated hydraulic conductivity based on empirical relationships
4.2.2	Soil-water retention characteristics
4.3 Es	TIMATION OF SOIL-WATER RETENTION CHARACTERISTICS
4.3.1	Soil fractions
4.3.2	Description of the soil-water characteristic curve
4.3.3	Estimation of parameters that describes the soil-water characteristic curve



4.3.4	Physico-empirical equations relating the soil-water characteristic curve with	
partic	le-size distribution curves	
4.3.5	Application of fractal geometry in soil-water characteristic curves	
4.4 Est	IMATION OF UNSATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY	4-21
4.4.1	Empirical expressions	
44.2	Statistical models	4-23
443	The effect of hysteresis	
7.7.5	The effect of hysicilesis	

TER 5: EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS	5-1
EXPERIMENTAL LABORATORY AND LITERATURE STUDIES	
FIELD EXPERIMENTS	
.1 The effect of preferential pathways and soil variability	
2.2 Calibration of the neutron probe	
2.3 Large-Diameter Double-Ring Infiltrometer tests	
2.4 Internal drainage tests	
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	
2.1 Experiment 1: UP Experimental Farm	
2.2 Experiment 2: UP Experimental Farm	
8.3 Experiment 3: Shell Ultra City, Midrand	
8.4 Experiment 4: New Road Interchange, Midrand	
8.5 Experiment 5: Injaka dam construction site	
GEOTECHNICAL TESTS AND RESULTS	
1.1 Soil profile descriptions	
4.2 Geotechnical laboratory tests	
4.3 Results of the water retention tests	
RESULTS OF THE IN SITU TESTS	
5.1 Infiltration results	
5.2 Results of the Large-Diameter Double-Ring Infiltrometer (LDDRI) tests	
5.3 Results of the Internal Drainage tests	
5.4 Preferential flow	
	ER 5: EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS EXPERIMENTAL LABORATORY AND LITERATURE STUDIES CALL STATUS STELD EXPERIMENTS 1 The effect of preferential pathways and soil variability 2 Calibration of the neutron probe 3 Large-Diameter Double-Ring Infiltrometer tests 4 Internal drainage tests 5 Experiment 1: UP Experimental Farm 6 Experiment 2: UP Experimental Farm 6 Experiment 3: Shell Ultra City, Midrand 6 Experiment 5: Injaka dam construction site 6 Geotechnical laboratory tests 8 Results of the water retention tests 1 Infiltration results 1 Infiltration results 1 Infiltration for the IN SITU TESTS 1 Infiltration for the Internal Drainage tests

CHAPTER 6: PREDICTIONS OF GEOHYDROLOGICAL PARAMETERS BASED

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS6-1	ON EX
.1 ESTIMATIONS OF POROSITY	6.1
.2 ESTIMATIONS OF SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY	6.2



6.2.1	Estimations based on soil profile descriptions and soil classification classes	6-2
6.2.2	Empirical equations based on soil fractions	6-4
6.2.3	Empirical equations based on particle-size distribution curves	6-6
6.2.4	Predictions of saturated hydraulic conductivity based on soil-water retention	
data	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6-11
6.3 Des	CRIPTION OF THE SOIL-WATER CHARACTERISTIC CURVE	6-11
6.4 EST	IMATION OF THE SOIL-WATER CHARACTERISTIC CURVE	6-17
6.4.1	Soil fractions	6-17
6.5 EST	IMATION OF UNSATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY	6-19
6.5.1	Statistical equations	6-19

CHAPTER	R 7: APPLICATION OF RESULTS ON A REGIONAL SCALE
7.1 LOC	CATION OF THE STUDY AREA7-1
7.2 THE	JOHANNESBURG GRANITOID DOME
7.2.1	Geology of the Johannesburg Dome7-1
7.2.2	Weathering processes
7.2.3	Geomorphic cycles
7.2.4	Climate and vegetation
7.2.5	Surface drainage
7.2.6	Groundwater occurrence and potential
7.3 ACC	QUISITION AND ANALYSES OF GEOTECHNICAL DATA
7.3.1	Land system classification7-7
7.3.2	Description of materials7-9
7.3.3	Statistical analysis
7.4 Hyi	DROGEOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS
7.4.1	Shallow groundwater7-12
7.4.2	Groundwater recharge and discharge areas7-13
7.4.3	Preferential flow7-13
7.4.4	Borehole census
7.5 PED	DO-TRANSFORMATIONS
7.5.1	Estimation of saturated hydraulic conductivity7-15
7.5.2	Estimation of soil-water retention characteristics7-16
7.5.3	Estimation of unsaturated hydraulic conductivity7-17
7.6 Dei	LINEATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF HYDROGEOLOGICAL UNITS
7.6.1	Description of the hydrogeological zones and assessment of groundwater
recha	rge and vulnerability7-19



CHAPT	ER 8: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	8-1
8.1 D	ISCUSSION	8-1
8.2 C	ONCLUSIONS	8-3
8.2.	Saturated and unsaturated flow through the soil matrix	8- <i>3</i>
8.2.2	2 Preferential flow	8-5
8.2	3 The spatial distribution and delineation of zones with similar hydrogeological	
chai	racteristics	8-5
8.3 R	ECOMMENDATIONS	8-6

CHAPTER 9: REFERENCES	ENCES
-----------------------	-------

DRAWING 1

APPENDICES

2



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Three aspects considered regarding flow in the vadose zone
Figure 2.1: The vadose zone indicating soil-water content – pore-water pressure relationship
Figure 2.2: Typical soil-water characteristic curve for silty sand
Figure 2.3: Typical soil-water characteristic curves for sand silt and clay
Figure 2.4: Soil-water content profiles during redistribution and evapotranspiration
Figure 2.5: Conceptual unsaturated flow model for a layered soil
Figure 2.6: The Representative Elementary Volume
Figure 2.7: Soil-water retention data for fine loam: unscaled (A) and scaled (B)
Figure 2.8: Conceptual preferential mechanisms indicating macropore channelling, funnelled
flow and fingering flow
Figure 2.9: Hypothetical flow paths of contaminated water flowing through the vadose zone 2-26
Figure 2.10: Factors that could have an effect on contamination of the groundwater resource
Figure 3.1: Map of South Africa indicating Weinert N-values
Figure 3.2: Land System map for the Gauteng Province area, South Africa
Figure 3.3: The plasticity chart
Figure 4.1: Estimation of saturated hydraulic conductivity for fine-grained soils
Figure 4.2: Experimentally determined and estimated unsaturated hydraulic conductivity as a
function of volumetric water content
Figure 5.1: Set-up of the Large-Diameter Double-Ring Infiltration tests
Figure 5.2: Layout of experiment 1
Figure 5.3: Infiltration results at Experiment 5
Figure 5.4: Results of the Internal Drainage tests
Figure 6.1: Correlation between measured and predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity



Figure 6.2: Correlation between measured and predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity
based on particle size distribution
Figure 6.3: Predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity based on Atterberg limits
Figure 6.4: Description of the soil-water characteristic curve for sand
Figure 6.5: Description of the soil-water characteristic curve for fine sand
Figure 6.6: Description of the soil-water characteristic curve for silty clayey sand
Figure 6.7: Description of the soil-water characteristic curve for sandy silt-clay
Figure 6.8: Description of the soil-water characteristic curve for clay
Figure 6.9: Correlation between the measured and predicted soil-water characteristic curve at
Experiment 2
Figure 6.10: Correlation between the measured and predicted soil-water characteristic curve
at Experiment 4
Figure 6.11: Correlation between the measured and predicted soil-water characteristic curve
at Experiment 5
Figure 6.12: Correlation between measured and predicted unsaturated hydraulic conductivity
based on soil-water characteristic curves: Sand
Figure 6.13: Correlation between measured and predicted unsaturated hydraulic conductivity
based on soil-water characteristic curves: Fine sand
Figure 6.14: Correlation between measured and predicted unsaturated hydraulic conductivity
based on soil-water characteristic curves: Sandy silt-clay
Figure 6.15: Correlation between measured and predicted unsaturated hydraulic conductivity
based on soil-water characteristic curves: Clay
$T_{i} = 7.1 \text{ G}$ is the low Constant Derive 7.2
Figure 7.1: Geology of the Johannesburg Granitold Dome
Figure 7.2: Erosion surfaces of the eastern Johannesburg Granitoid Dome
Figure 7.3: Land facet map of the study area as derived by API
Figure 7.4: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone A1
Figure 7.5: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone A2
Figure 7.6: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone A3
Figure 7.7: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone B1
Figure 7.8: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone B2
Figure 7.9: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone B3
Figure 7.10: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone C17-31
Figure 7.11: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zones C2
and C3
Figure 7.12: Typical soil profile and conceptual unsaturated groundwater model for Zone D7-33



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Typical values of some properties of common clay minerals	
Table 2.2: Empirical REV designated for various purposes	2-18
Table 2.3: Hydrogeological properties affecting groundwater recharge and contamination	2-20
Table 2.4 Types of attenuation processes	2-29
Table 3.1: Weathering characteristics as influenced by climate	
Table 3.2: Standard geotechnical laboratory tests.	3-12
Table 3.3: Standard geotechnical in situ tests	3-13
Table 3.4: Consistency of granular soils	3-14
Table 3.5: Consistency of cohesive soils	3-14
Table 3.6: Classification according to grain size	3-17
Table 3.7: The Unified Soil Classification System	3-19
Table 3.8: In situ permeability tests	3-20
Table 3.9: Availability of geotechnical and other relevant information	3-24

Table 4.1: Typical dry density, porosity and void ratio values for granular soils	4-1
Table 4.2: Typical dry density, porosity and void ratio values for cohesive soils	4-2
Table 4.3: Coefficient of uniformity and corresponding porosity values	4-2
Table 4.4: Estimated porosity values for twelve agricultural soil types	4-3
Table 4.5: Estimated hydraulic conductivity values from soil type	4-3
Table 4.6: Estimation of hydraulic conductivity from USCS soil groups	4-4
Table 4.7: Estimated saturated hydraulic conductivity for twelve agricultural soil types	4-4
Table 4.8: Empirical equations expressed in terms of the general empirical equation	4-8
Table 4.9: Regression coefficients for volumetric water content at various soil suction values 4-	-12
Table 4.10: Regression coefficients for volumetric water content at various soil suction values	
for South African soils4-	-13
Table 4.11: Empirical equations for determining unsaturated hydraulic conductivity4-	-22



Table 5.1: Average values for geotechnical properties of soil samples 5-10
Table 5.2: Coefficient of Variability of geotechnical properties 5-11
Table 5.3: Results of the water retention tests
Table 5.4: Coefficient of Variability of the water retention tests 5-12
Table 5.5: Results of the LDDRI tests
Table 5.6: Results of the internal drainage tests 5-15
Table 5.7: Increase in calculated flux because of preferential flow
Table 6.1: Prediction of porosity from soil profile descriptions
Table 6.2: Predicted porosity based on the soil textural chart
Table 6.3: Predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity based on soil profile descriptions
Table 6.4: Predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity based on USCS soil groups
Table 6.5: Predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity based on the soil textural chart
Table 6.6: Experimentally derived value and variability of empirical parameter, a for popular
empirical equations
Table 6.7: Basic characteristics of the soil groups prepared by Amer and Awad, 1974 6-6
Table 6.8: Correction factors and coefficients of correlation for ten empirical equations
Table 6.9: Predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity for Experiments 3, 4 and 5
Table 6.10: Predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity for Experiments 3, 4 & 5 based on ten
empirical functions
Table 6.11: Experimentally derived values of parameter a_{gsd}
Table 6.12: Statistical analysis of predicted saturated hydraulic conductivity and parameter, I_c 6-11
Table 6.13: Correlation between experimentally derived soil-water characteristic curves and
their related functions
Table 6.14: Measured and predicted water content at six soil suction values
Table 6.15: Correlation between estimated and experimentally derived unsaturated hydraulic
conductivities (at selected water contents)
Table 6.16: Unsaturated hydraulic conductivity at various soil suction values
Table 7.1: Typical gravel/sand, silt and clay contents for the different soil horizons
Table 7.2: Estimated saturated hydraulic conductivities as derived from soil fractions
Table 7.3: Estimated saturated hydraulic conductivity derived from grain size distribution
curves
Table 7.4: Estimated volumetric water contents at various suction values
Table 7.5: Unsaturated hydraulic conductivity at various soil suction values for residual soils
in the study area7-18



LIST OF SYMBOLS

Α	Area (m^2) [L ²], Activity of soil (-) [-]	
---	--	--

- α_w Contact angle between water and glass (deg) [-]
- C Percentage clay content (%) [-]
- C_k Permeability change index (-) [-]
- C_c Coefficient of curvature (-) [-]
- C_u Coefficient of uniformity (-) [-]
- CV Coefficient of variability (%) [-]
- d Diameter of liquid surface curvature, depth to groundwater level (m) [L]
- D Diameter of grain (m) [L]; Fractal dimension (-) [-]
- D_x Sieve size of where x per cent of mass of soil have passed through (m) [L]
- D_{10} Effective grain diameter (m) [L]
- e Void ratio (-) [-]
- ε Porosity (-) [-]
- f fluidity (m⁻¹ s⁻¹) [L⁻¹T⁻¹]
- G Percentage gravel content (%) [-]
- g Gravitational force (m s⁻²) $[LT^{-2}]$
- γ_w Unit weight of water (N) [ML⁻²T⁻²]
- *h* hydraulic head (m) [L]
- η Viscosity of a fluid (kg m⁻¹ s⁻¹) [ML⁻¹T⁻¹]
- h_c Capillary height (m) (L)
- h_f Hydraulic head at the wetting front (m) (L)
- h_p Piezometric head or Hubert's potential (m) [L]
- *i* Infiltration rate (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]



- *I* Cumulative infiltration rate $(m s^{-1}) [LT^{-1}]$
- i_c Final infiltration rate (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- i_o Initial infiltration rate (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- K Hydraulic conductivity (m s⁻¹) $[LT^{-1}]$
- k Intrinsic hydraulic conductivity or permeability (m^2) [L²]
- K_s Saturated hydraulic conductivity (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- K_r Relative hydraulic conductivity (-) [-]
- *l* Length (m) [L]
- L_f Depth to the wetting front (m) [L]
- v Darcian velocity (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- v_s Seepage velocity or true velocity of flow (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- M Percentage silt content (%) [-]
- N_{re} Reynolds number (-) [-]
- OM Percentage organic matter (%) [-]
- p Pressure (Pa or N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- ρ Density (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- ρ_f Density of the fluid (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- ρ_w Density of water (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- ρ_d Dry density of soil (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- p_0 Atmospheric pressure, by convention considered to be equal to nil (Pa or N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- PI Plasticity index (%) [-]
- Q Rate of flow (m³s⁻¹) [L³T⁻¹]
- q Specific discharge, Darcian flux or flux (cubic metre per square metre area) (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- q_{zo} Specific discharge at groundwater surface depth (m s⁻¹) [L T⁻¹]
- θ Volumetric water content (m³ m⁻³) [-]
- Θ Effective degree of saturation (m³ m⁻³) [-]
- θ_0 Initial volumetric water content (m³ m⁻³) [-]



- θ_a Residual air content (m³ m⁻³) [-]
- θ_r Residual water content or specific retention (m³ m⁻³) [-]
- θ_s Saturated water content (m³ m⁻³) [-]
- Q_{tot} Total quantity of flow over time t (m³) [L³]
- r Radius of the liquid surface curvature (m) [L]
- *R* Radius of the narrow tube or pore radius (m) [L]
- ρ_d Dry density of an undisturbed soil sample or *in situ* soil (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- ρ_g Density of the gas (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- ρ_l Density of the liquid (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- ρ_w Density of water (kg m⁻³) [ML⁻³]
- r Radius of the liquid radius curvature (m) [L]
- *R* Radius of the capillary/soil pore (m) [L]
- RE Recharge (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- R_{eff} Effective pore radius (m) [L]
- σ Surface tension of a liquid (N m⁻¹) [MT⁻²]
- *S* Percentage sand content (%) [-]
- S_s Specific Storage (m⁻¹) [L⁻¹]
- SG Specific gravity (-) [-]
- t Time (s) [T]
- T Temperature (°C) []
- τ_s Shearing stress (N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- Tt Travel time of liquids flowing through the vadose zone (s) [T]
- u Velocity (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- \overline{u} Average velocity (m s⁻¹) [LT⁻¹]
- u_a Pore air pressure (Pa or N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- u_w Pore water pressure (Pa or N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- V_{dz} Deficiency zone or storage capacity of the vadose zone (m³) [L³]
- w Mass of sample (kg) [M]



- W Sources/Sinks discharges (cubic meter water per cubic meter soil) $(s^{-1}) [T^{-1}]$
- ψ Soil suction (Pa or N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- ψ_b Bubbling pressure (Pa or N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- ψ_a Air entry value (Pa or N m⁻²) [MT⁻²L⁻¹]
- z Vertical distance or depth (measured from the groundwater surface positively upwards) (m) [L]
- z_0 Distance to the groundwater level (m) [L]
- z_{dz} Depth of the deficiency zone (m) [L]
- z_{dz} Depth of the deficiency zone (m) [L]