

# A HIGHER EDUCATION MARKETING PERSPECTIVE ON CHOICE FACTORS AND INFORMATION SOURCES CONSIDERED BY SOUTH AFRICAN FIRST YEAR UNIVERSITY STUDENTS

by

## **MELANIE WIESE** 91009970

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

PhD (MARKETING MANAGEMENT)

in the

DEPARTMENT OF MARKETING AND COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT

FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

at the

**UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA** 

PROMOTOR: PROFESSOR C H VAN HEERDEN CO-PROMOTOR: PROFESSOR Y JORDAAN

Pretoria, South Africa

January 2008



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to everyone of my colleagues and friends who supported me and helped me in my studies. A special word of thanks to the following wonderful people:

- My promoters and mentors, Professor Neels van Heerden and Professor Yolanda Jordaan, for their positive attitude, motivation, patience and guidance.
   Thank you that you always had time for me and all your encouragement;
- Professor Ernest North, for sparking my passion for higher education marketing and his encouragement and support;
- Mr Paul van Staden and Mrs Jaqui Sommerville from the Statistical Department, for their help with the statistical manipulations;
- My parents, for all their love, support and encouragement throughout my studies:
- My parents-in-law, for taking an interest in my studies;
- A very special word of thanks to two very special people in my life, my children,
  Melandri and Jandrè, who gave me the freedom to complete this study;
- The most important person in my life, my husband, Ben, for his continual motivation and support throughout my study. I dedicate this study to you for all your love, support, encouragement and endless cups of tea; and
- My Lord, for affording me the opportunity, giving me the strength to persist and giving me the ability to complete this study.



#### **SYNOPSIS**

The South African higher education sector is currently facing many challenges. Factors such as a decrease in government funding, mergers and student unrest compel higher education institutions to apply effective strategies for funding and recruitment of quality students. Higher education institutions are forced to focus on restructuring and repositioning themselves, build a strong brand, communicate their image and to sustain their position in order to ensure a competitive advantage.

In order to be locally relevant and globally competitive, higher education institutions need to become more marketing-oriented. In a restricted financial environment, higher education institutions will have to assess and reassess marketing strategies aimed at attracting quality first year students. A proper assessment of the importance of the choice factors students consider when selecting a higher education institution as well as the usefulness of the information sources they consider, will enable institutions to allocate funds, time and resources more efficiently and effectively.

A quantitative study with a self-administrated questionnaire was used to allow students to complete the questionnaire during class lectures. Non-probability convenience sampling was used and a sample of 1241 students responded from six higher education institutions: the University of Pretoria, Tshwane University of Technology, University of Johannesburg, University of the Free State, University of Kwa–Zulu Natal and the North-West University. The sample consisted of 64 percent females and 36 percent male students. The ethnic orientation distribution was as follows: 46 percent Caucasian, 41 percent Black African, 9 percent Indian, 3 percent Coloured and 1 percent students of other ethnic groups.

The main goal of this study was to investigate the relevant importance of the choice factors, as well as the usefulness of the information sources, that first year Economic and Management Sciences students at selected higher education institutions in South Africa considered when they decided to enrol at a specific higher education institution. The findings indicated that some choice factors were more important to students than others, as well as the fact that students from different gender groups, ethnic groups,

language groups and institutions differed in the importance they attached to the choice factors. The top ten choice factors respondents regarded as important in the selection of a higher education institution are: quality of teaching, employment prospects (possible job opportunities), campus safety and security, academic facilities (libraries and laboratories), international links (study and job opportunities), language policy, image of higher education institution, flexible study mode (evening classes and use of computers), academic reputation (prestige), and a wide choice of subjects/courses.

The findings also revealed that information sources directly from a higher education institution, such as open days and campus visits, university publications and websites are the most useful to students, while information from mass media such as radio, television, magazines and newspaper advertisements are not as useful.

The findings give marketing educators an indication of the importance of choice factors considered by prospective students in selecting a higher education institution, and enable higher education institutions to use their limited funds more efficiently to attract quality students, create a unique position and gain a competitive advantage. Based on the usefulness of information sources and the importance of choice factors considered, student focused marketing communication can be developed. This should aid students to make more informed decisions about the higher education institution they wish to attend. The study also add to existing theory in the fields of services marketing, higher education marketing and consumer behaviour, especially the field of outlet selection, as the institution can be viewed as the outlet for buying education. Information obtained from this study also contributes to the available research and literature on this topic and could be used by other researchers as a basis for future research.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
CHAPTER 1	1
BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
1.2.1 MARKETING OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION	DNS5
1.2.2 NON-PROFIT ORGANISATIONS	6
1.2.3 SERVICES MARKETING AND NON-PROFIT ORGA	NISATIONS7
1.2.3.1 MARKETING STRATEGY FOR SERVICE AND	NON-PROFIT
ORGANISATIONS	9
1.2.3.2 IMAGE AND HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION	ONS10
1.2.4 CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR IN A SERVICE ENVIRON	NMENT12
1.2.4.1 STEPS IN THE CONSUMER DECISION-MAKIN	G PROCESS12
1.2.4.2 CHOICE FACTORS STUDENTS CONSIDER IN	THE SELECTION
PROCESS	14
1.3 HYPOTHESES	16
1.4 IMPORTANCE / BENEFITS OF THE STUDY	17
1.5 METHODOLOGY	18
1.5.1 SAMPLING	19
1.5.1.1 TARGET POPULATION	19
1.5.1.2 SAMPLING METHOD	19
1.5.1.3 SAMPLE SIZE	20
1.5.2 DATA COLLECTION	20
1.5.3 DATA ANALYSIS	22
1.6 OUTLINE OF THE STUDY	24
CHAPTER 2	26
THE HIGHER EDUCATION LANDSCAPE	26
2.1 INTRODUCTION	26
2.2 THE GLOBAL HIGHER EDUCATION LANDSCAPE	29
2.2.1 GLOBALISATION AND HIGHER EDUCATION	30
2.2.2 INCREASED COMPETITION IN THE GLOBAL ARE	NA31

2.2	2.3	CH	ANGING DEMOGRAPHIC OF THE GLOBAL STUDENT	
		РО	PULATION	32
2.2	2.4	GR	OWTH IN STUDENT NUMBERS AND GROWTH IN NON-	
		TEA	ACHING STAFF	33
2.2	2.5	INS	TITUTIONAL COOPERATION AND COLLABORATIONS WITH	
		IND	DUSTRY	34
2.2	2.6	РО	LICY AND FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION	
		GL	OBALLY	35
2.2	2.7	INC	REASED PRICE OF HIGHER EDUCATION	36
2.2	2.8	AL٦	TERNATIVE SOURCES OF FUNDING FOR HIGHER EDUCATION	
		INS	TITUTIONS	38
2.2	2.9	CU.	TTING COSTS TO COPE WITH DECREASED FUNDING	38
2.2	2.10	CH	ANGES IN THE MODE OF DELIVERY AND TECHNOLOGY USED	40
2.3	SOL	JTH	AFRICAN HIGHER EDUCATION LANDSCAPE	41
2.3	3.1		E EFFECT OF GLOBALISATION ON THE SOUTH AFRICAN	
		ED	UCATION	42
	2.3.	1.1	Foreign direct investment	44
	2.3.	1.2	World Best Practice in higher education	44
	2.3.	1.3	A move towards corporate citizenship	44
	2.3.	1.4	Higher education institutions with a global vision	45
2.3	3.2	CH	ANGES IN THE FUNDING OF SOUTH AFRICAN HIGHER	
		ED	UCATION INSTITUTIONS	47
2.3	3.3	INC	REASED EMPHASIS ON TECHNOLOGY IN SOUTH AFRICAN	
		HIG	HER EDUCATION	49
2.3	3.4	TRA	ANSFORMATION POLICIES IN SOUTH AFRICAN HIGHER	
		ED	UCATION	54
	2.3.	4.1	National Commission on Higher Education (NCHE 1996) and Green	
			Paper III	55
	2.3.	4.2	White Paper on Education and Training (1997)	55
	2.3.	4.3	Higher Education Act (1997)	56
	2.3.	4.4	The National Plan for Higher Education (NPHE, February 2001)	57
2.3	3.5	INC	REASED MERGERS IN SOUTH AFRICAN HIGHER EDUCATION	59
2.3	3.6	THE	E IMPACT OF HIV/AIDS ON HIGHER EDUCATION IN SOUTH	
		AFF	RICA	61

_	2.3.7	CHANGING PROFILE OF SOUTH AFRICAN HIGHER EDUCATION	
		STUDENTS	63
2	2.3.8	THE COMPETITIVE ARENA OF SOUTH AFRICAN HIGHER	
		EDUCATION	64
2	2.3.9	LANGUAGE POLICY CHALLENGES IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN	
		HIGHER EDUCATION LANDSCAPE	65
2	2.3.10	INCREASED SPECIALISATION IN SOUTH AFRICAN HIGHER	
		EDUCATION LEARNING	68
2.4	COI	NCLUSION	71
СН	APTE	R 3	73
MΑ	RKET	TING'S ROLE IN HIGHER EDUCATION	73
3.1	INT	RODUCTION	73
3.2	THE	CHANGING ROLE OF MARKETING	74
3.3	THE	MARKETING CONCEPT	76
3	3.3.1	THE PRINCIPLE OF CONSUMER ORIENTATION	77
3	3.3.2	THE PRINCIPLE OF INTEGRATION AND COORDINATION OF	
		ACTIVITIES	77
3	3.3.3	THE PRINCIPLE OF MAXIMISING LONG-TERM SUCCESS	78
3	3.3.4	THE PRINCIPLE OF SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	79
3.4	MAI	RKET-ORIENTATION AND MARKETING-ORIENTATION	80
3	3.4.1	MARKET-ORIENTATION	80
3	3.4.2	MARKETING-ORIENTATION	82
3.5	MAI	RKETING STRATEGY AND CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR	83
3.6	SEC	GMENTATION, TARGET MARKETING AND POSITIONING (STP	
	PRO	DCESS)	86
3.7	THE	SERVICES MARKETING MIX OF HIGHER EDUCATION	
	INS	TITUTIONS	89
3	3.7.1	THE SERVICE PRODUCT STRATEGY OF HIGHER EDUCATION	
		INSTITUTIONS	90
3	3.7.2	THE PRICING STRATEGY OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.	93
3	3.7.3	THE PROMOTIONAL STRATEGY OF HIGHER EDUCATION	
		INSTITUTIONS	97
	3.7.	3.1 Internal marketing	.101
	3.7.	3.2 External marketing	.101

		3.7.	3.3	Interactive marketing	104
	3.7	7.4	THE	DISTRIBUTION STRATEGY OF HIGHER EDUCATION	
			INS	TITUTIONS	107
	3.7	7.5	THE	PEOPLE STRATEGY OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS	111
		3.7.	5.1	Dimension 1: Managing employees	111
		3.7.	5.2	Dimension 2: Balancing customer and employee interaction	113
		3.7.	5.3	Dimension 3: Managing customers	114
	3.7	7.6	THE	PROCESS STRATEGY OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS.	115
	3.7	7.7	THE	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE STRATEGY OF HIGHER EDUCATION	
			INS	TITUTIONS	117
	3.8	3	SU	MMARY	121
C	НА	PTE	R4.		124
С	ON	SUN	/IER	BEHAVIOUR IN CONTEXT	124
4	.1	INT	ROD	UCTION	124
4	.2	DEF	ININ	IG CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR	125
4	.3	MO	DELS	S OF CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR	126
	4.3	3.1	EC	ONOMICAL, SOCIOLOGICAL AND INFORMATION PROCESSING	
			МО	DELS	126
	4.3	3.2	THE	E ENGEL, BLACKWELL AND MINIARD CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR	
			МО	DEL	129
4	.4	INT	ERN.	AL FACTORS INFLUENCING THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	131
	4.4	1.1	DE	MOGRAPHICS	131
	4.4	1.2	PEF	RCEPTION	133
	4.4	1.3	LEA	ARNING	134
	4.4	1.4	МО	TIVATION AND NEEDS	135
	4.4	1.5	PEF	RSONALITY	136
	4.4	1.6	EM	OTIONS	136
	4.4	1.7	ATT	TITUDES	137
	4.4	1.8	LIF	ESTYLE	138
4	.5	EXT	ERN	IAL FACTORS AFFECTING THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	138
	4.5	5.1	CUI	_TURE	139
	4.5	5.2	SO	CIAL CLASS	140
	4.5	5.3	REF	FERENCE GROUPS	141
	1 5	5 /	ΕVΙ	All V	1/12

4	.5.5	THE ORGANISATION'S MARKETING MIX	143
4.6	STE	P 1: NEED/PROBLEM RECOGNITION	144
4.7	STE	EP 2: INFORMATION SEARCH	146
4	.7.1	INFORMATION SOURCES USED BY STUDENTS	147
4	.7.2	THE INTENSITY OF THE SEARCH ACTIVITY	150
4.8	STE	P 3: EVALUATION PROCESS	153
4	.8.1	APPROPRIATE EVALUATIVE CRITERIA (CHOICE FACTORS)	154
4	.8.2	IMPORTANCE OF EACH EVALUATIVE CRITERIA (CHOICE	
		FACTORS)	158
4	.8.3	THE EXISTENCE OF VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	160
4	.8.4	DECISION RULES	164
4.9	STE	EP 4: OUTLET SELECTION AND PURCHASE	165
4.10	O STE	EP 5: POST-PURCHASE BEHAVIOUR	168
4	.10.1	POST-PURCHASE DISSONANCE	169
4	.10.2	SERVICE PRODUCT USE	171
4	.10.3	PURCHASE EVALUATION	172
4.1	1 SUN	MMARY	173
CH.	APTE	R 5	176
RE	SEAR	CH METHODOLOGY	176
5.1	INT	RODUCTION	176
5.2	IDE	NTIFY THE PROBLEM AND DETERMINE THE RESEARCH OBJECT	IVE
	(ST	EP 1)	178
5.3	SET	HYPOTHESES (STEP 3)	180
5	.3.1	HYPOTHESIS 1	181
5	.3.2	HYPOTHESIS 2	182
5	.3.3	HYPOTHESIS 3	182
5	.3.4	HYPOTHESIS 4	183
5	.3.5	HYPOTHESIS 5	184
5	.3.6	HYPOTHESIS 6	185
5	.3.7	HYPOTHESIS 7	186
5.4	THE	RESEARCH DESIGN (STEP 4)	187
5.5	DE\	/ELOPMENT OF A SAMPLING PLAN (STEP 5)	189
5	.5.1	SAMPLE POPULATION	190
5	.5.2	SAMPLE FRAME	190

5	.5.3	SA	MPLING METHOD	.191
5	.5.4	SA	MPLE SIZE	.192
5.6	SEI	_EC	TA PRIMARY DATA COLLECTION METHOD (STEP 6)	.193
5	.6.1	QU	ANTITATIVE METHOD: SURVEY	.194
5.7	DE:	SIGN	OF THE DATA COLLECTION INSTRUMENT (STEP 7)	.195
5	.7.1	DE	VELOPING THE QUESTIONNAIRE	.195
	5.7	1.1	The Admitted Student Questionnaire	.196
	5.7	1.2	The Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP)	.199
5	.7.2	QU	ESTIONNAIRE LAY-OUT AND QUESTION TYPES	.202
5	.7.3	SE	CTIONS OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE USED IN THIS STUDY	.204
	5.7	3.1	Section A of the questionnaire	.204
	5.7	3.2	Section B of the questionnaire	.205
	5.7	3.3	Section C of the questionnaire	.206
5	.7.4	VA	LIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF QUESTIONNAIRE	.207
5	.7.5	PR	E-TESTING THE QUESTIONNAIRE	.208
5.8	GA	THE	RING DATA (STEP 8)	.209
5.9	DA	TA P	ROCESSING (STEP 9)	.210
5	.9.1	DA	TA PREPARATION	.210
	5.9.	1.1	Editing	.210
	5.9.	1.2	Coding	.211
	5.9.	1.3	Data capturing	.211
	5.9.	1.4	Data cleaning	.212
5	.9.2	DA	TA ANALYSIS	.212
5.1	0 SUI	MMA	RY	.216
СН	APTE	R 6		.217
RE	SEAF	RCH	RESULTS AND FINDINGS	.217
6.1	INT	ROD	OUCTION	.217
6.2	RE	SPO	NSE RATE	.217
6.3	DES	SCR	IPTIVE DATA	.218
6	.3.1	SO	CIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF STUDENTS	.218
6	.3.2	TH	E RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF UNIVERSITY CHARACTERISTICS	
		OR	CHOICE FACTORS	.225
6	.3.3	US	EFULNESS OF INFORMATION SOURCES	.227
6.4	REI	_IAB	ILITY AND VALIDITY OF THE MEASURING INSTRUMENT	.229

6.5	RESEA	RCH OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES RESULTS	230
6.5	.1 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 1	232
6.5	.2 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 2	234
	6.5.2.1	Usefulness of university related information sources	237
	6.5.2.2	Usefulness of reference group related information sources	239
	6.5.2.3	Usefulness of mass media related information sources	241
6.5	.3 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 3	243
6.5	.4 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 4	246
6.5	.5 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 5	249
6.5	.6 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 6	251
6.5	.7 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 7	255
6.5	.8 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 8	256
6.5	.9 RE	SEARCH OBJECTIVE 9	257
6.6	SUMMA	RY	259
CHAI	PTER 7		261
CON	CLUSIC	NS, IMPLICATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE	
RES	EARCH		261
7.1	INTRO	DUCTION	261
7.2	MAIN F	INDINGS RELATING TO CHOICE FACTORS	261
7.2	.1 CC	NCLUSIONS REGARDING THE MAIN FINDINGS ON CHOICE	
	FA	CTORS	261
7.2	.2 IMI	PLICATIONS OF THE MAIN FINDINGS ON CHOICE FACTORS	264
7.2	.3 RE	COMMENDATIONS REGARDING CHOICE FACTORS	267
	7.2.3.1	Recommendations regarding the individual choice factors	268
	7.2.3.2	Recommendations regarding the importance of choice factors	
		according to gender, language, ethnic background and university	
		attended	279
7.3	MAIN F	INDINGS RELATING TO INFORMATION SOURCES	288
7.3	.1 CC	NCLUSIONS REGARDING THE MAIN FINDINGS ON	
	INF	FORMATION SOURCES	288
7.3	.2 IMI	PLICATIONS OF THE MAIN FINDINGS OF INFORMATION	
	SC	URCES	291
7.3	.3 RE	COMMENDATIONS REGARDING INFORMATION SOURCES	293
	7.3.3.1	Recommendations regarding the individual information sources	294

	7.3.	3.2	Recommendations regarding the usefulness of information sources	
			according to gender, ethnic background and university attended	.304
7.4	IMP	LICA	ATIONS OF THE FINDINGS ON AN INSTITUTION'S MARKETING	
	STR	RATE	GY	.310
7.5	LIM	IITA	FIONS	.313
7.	5.1	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	.314
7.	5.2	EM	PIRICAL STUDY	.314
7.6	PER	RSPE	ECTIVES/RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	.315
7.7	EVA	LUA	TION OF THE OBJECTIVES SET VERSUS THE RESEARCH	
	RES	SULT	<sup>-</sup> S	.316
BIBI	LIOG	RAP	PHY	.321
APP	END	IX A	: CRITERIA TO SELECT A HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION	
			QUESTIONNAIRE	.351



## **LIST OF FIGURES**

**Page** 

Figure 2.1:	The higher education landscape	28
Figure 3.1:	Marketing strategy and consumer behaviour	85
Figure 3.2:	Goods and services continuum	90
Figure 3.3:	Communication and the services marketing triangle	100
Figure 4.1:	Engel, Blackwell and Miniard's consumer behaviour model	130
Figure 4.2:	Alternative evaluation process	154
Figure 4.3:	The five stage brand elimination model	162
Figure 5.1:	The research process	177
Figure 6.1:	Gender of respondents	219
Figure 6.2:	Age of respondents	219
Figure 6.4:	Higher education institution attended	221
Figure 6.5:	Residents of the province in which the higher education institution is	
	located	223
Figure 6.6.	Academic ability	224



## **LIST OF TABLES**

		Page
Table 4.1:	Choice factors used by students in the institutional selection	
	process	157
Table 5.1:	Measurement of variables	214
Table 5.2:	Objectives, hypotheses, questions and statistical tests	216
Table 6.1:	Response rate	218
Table 6.2:	Home Language	223
Table 6.3:	Proximity of permanent family home from the higher education	
	institution	223
Table 6.4:	The importance of different university characteristics (choice	
	factors)	226
Table 6.5:	Usefulness of information sources	229
Table 6.6:	Order of importance of choice factors	234
Table 6.7:	The usefulness of information sources ranked in descending	
	order by mean value	236
Table 6.8:	Usefulness of university related information sources	238
Table 6.9:	Usefulness of reference group related information sources	240
Table 6.10:	Usefulness of mass media related information sources	242
Table 6.11:	Mean values and MANOVA results for different ethnic groups	245
Table 6.12:	Mean values and MANOVA results for different language groups	248
Table 6.13:	Mean values and MANOVA results for different gender groups	251
Table 6.14:	Mean values and MANOVA results for higher education	
	institutions	253
Table 6.15:	Mean values and t-test results for distance from an institution	
	and use of campus visits and open days	257
Table 6.16:	Mean values and t-test results for residents of the province	
	and use of word-of-mouth	258
Table 6.17:	Mean values and t-test results for Grade 12 average and use	
	of websites	259
Table 6.18:	Summary of hypotheses tested	260
Table 7.1:	Top 10 choice factors according to gender	281
Table 7.2:	Top 10 choice factors per language group	282



Table 7.3:	Top 10 choice factors according to institution attended	285
Table 7.4:	Top 10 choice factors according to ethnic orientation	287
Table 7.5:	Usefulness of information sources according to gender	305
Table 7.6:	Usefulness of information sources according to ethnic orientation	307
Table 7.7:	Usefulness of information sources according to institution	
	attended	309