

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE VARIABLES  
CONTRIBUTING TOWARDS THE  
ESTABLISHMENT OF A LEARNING CULTURE IN  
SCHOOLS**

*by*

**MABATHO SEDIBE**

**2006**

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE VARIABLES  
CONTRIBUTING TOWARDS THE ESTABLISHMENT  
OF A LEARNING CULTURE IN SCHOOLS**

*by*

**MABATHO SEDIBE**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

**PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR**  
(CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN  
AND DEVELOPMENT)

*in the*

DEPARTMENT OF CURRICULUM STUDIES  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION

*of the*

**UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA**

**PROMOTER:**

Prof. Dr. W.J. Fraser

**2006**

*I dedicate this study to my children, Molefe, Mmathapelo, Tebatso  
and my husband, Edward Morewane Sedibe,  
for their love, support and patience throughout my years of study,  
and my late parents, Judah Sekgothe and Merriam Mampedi Sekhukhune,  
for the foundation they laid in my life and the emphasis  
that they placed on educational achievements.*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

My sincere thanks and gratitude are extended to the following people:

- Prof. W.J. Fraser, my promoter, for his endless support, guidance and encouragement as well as the interest he showed in the research. Working under Prof Fraser was a privilege and has enriched my experience indeed. May God bless him.
- The North-West Province, Department of Education (in Moretele Area Project Office) for giving me permission to use schools for this study.
- Principals, teachers, learners and parents of participating schools for their cooperation in the completion of research questionnaires and interviews.
- The Statistical Consultation Service of the University of Pretoria, for assistance with the statistical processing of data used in this study.
- My sincere thanks to Mrs A van Dyk who did the typing.
- My brothers, sister, friends and colleagues for the love they have shown throughout this study.
- My mother-in-law and sister-in-law for their support.
- My wonderful children, Molefe, Tebatso and Mmathapelo for spending most of the time alone during the difficult times of this study.
- My special thanks go to my understanding husband, Dr. Edward Morewane Sedibe, for his outstanding moral support.
- Above all, I thank God who gave me the strength and wisdom to pursue and succeed with this study.

**DECLARATION**

I declare that “**A comparative study of the variables contributing towards the establishment of a learning culture in schools**” is my own work and that all sources that have been used or quoted have been indicated and acknowledged by means of complete references. This thesis was not previously submitted by me for any degree at another university.

**MABATHO SEDIBE**

Submitted: April 2006

---oOo---

**ABSTRACT**

SUPERVISOR : Prof. Dr. W.J. Fraser  
DEPARTMENT : Curriculum Studies  
DEGREE : PhD (Curriculum and Instructional Design and Development)

The establishment of a culture of teaching and learning in disadvantaged high schools is a challenging phenomenon since the inception of the new democratic South Africa. This study attempts to investigate variables contributing towards the establishment of a culture of teaching and learning in high schools. It is revealed through literature study that some investigations into this research topic has already been done in South Africa, but little if none is done in the disadvantaged high schools in the North-West Province, that is the reason why I was prompted to pursue this topic further.

The high failure rate in Grade 12 results according to my opinion is a serious concern and is on the lips of every teacher, learner, parent, politician and relevant stakeholders. This high failure rate is, according to the findings from the literature review, caused by factors such as underqualified and unqualified teachers, inadequate resources, overcrowded classrooms, poor infra-structures (buildings), poor socio economic background of learners' parents, inconducive environment at school and inadequate role played by teachers and learners in the teaching and learning situation. One expects that the majority of disadvantaged schools would have achieved above the 70% as the pass rate benchmark in the final Grade 12 examinations. However, in most schools in the disadvantaged area this is not the case.

Based on the above statement and the complexity of the study in consultation with variables employed, I opted to use both quantitative and qualitative research designs with an aim to attempt to obtain consistency, validity and reliability of the research results. The analyses of the results reveal that most disadvantaged schools still experience a poor culture of teaching and learning. This is evidenced by low Grade 12 pass rate results in some of the provinces, including North-West.

The research revealed that the variables impacting on the performance of learners at schools are subjected to a complexity of integrated activities many of which are difficult to isolate as predominantly responsible for poor performance as such. What does appear to be an issue of concern is the apparent lack of dedication one would expect

from some teachers working with secondary school learners. One could conclude from the many responses that poor achievement is directly linked to poor teaching and that the latter would again be the result of poor qualifications, lack of resources, poor support systems and most important however, a lack of commitment and dedication needed to ensure a professional approach towards classroom management and teaching.

---oOo---

### **KEYWORDS**

Classroom environment  
Constructivism  
Ecosystems  
Learners  
Learner-teacher support materials  
Learning culture  
Parents  
Parental involvement  
Powerful learning environments  
School environment  
School Management Teams  
Teachers  
Teaching culture

---oOo---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<b>CHAPTER ONE</b>	
<b>ORIENTATION, PROBLEM STATEMENT, EXPLANATION OF TERMS, AIMS OF THE STUDY, METHOD AND PROGRAMME OF RESEARCH</b>	
<b>1.1 INTRODUCTORY ORIENTATION</b>	1
1.1.1 RESOURCES	2
1.1.2 TEACHERS	3
1.1.3 LEARNERS	4
<b>1.2 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK</b>	6
<b>1.3 THE FORMULATION OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM</b>	8
<b>1.4 RESEARCH QUESTIONS</b>	10
<b>1.5 AIM OF THE STUDY</b>	12
<b>1.6 EXPLANATION OF CONCEPTS</b>	13
1.6.1 THE PHENOMENON OF TEACHING	13
1.6.2 TEACHING CULTURE / FACILITATION CULTURE	13
1.6.3 SCHOOL AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT	14
1.6.4 ECOSYSTEM	15
1.6.5 CLASSROOM ENVIRONMENT	15
1.6.6 MANAGEMENT	15
1.6.7 LEARNING	16
1.6.8 POWERFUL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS	16
1.6.9 LEARNERS	17
1.6.10 CONSTRUCTIVISM	17
1.6.11 COMMUNITY	17
1.6.12 SCHOOL MANAGEMENT TEAM (SMT)	18
1.6.13 CULTURE OF LEARNING	18
1.6.14 THE LIFE OF THE CHILD-IN-EDUCATION	19
1.6.15 TEACHER	20
1.6.16 PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT	20

	Page
<b>1.7 RESEARCH APPROACH AND METHODS</b>	20
1.7.1 THE DESCRIPTION OF THE LOCATION OF THREE SAMPLED SCHOOLS	21
<b>1.8 STRUCTURE OF THE RESEARCH</b>	22
<b>1.9 CONCLUSION</b>	23

---oOo---

	Page
<b>CHAPTER TWO</b>	
<b>VARIABLES CONTRIBUTING TOWARDS A CULTURE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING IN HIGH SCHOOLS</b>	
<b>2.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	24
<b>2.2 A HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF THE DETERIORATION OF A CULTURE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING IN HISTORICALLY DISADVANTAGED SCHOOLS</b>	25
<b>2.3 CONCEPTUALIZATION OF A CULTURE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING</b>	27
<b>2.4 THE ROLE OF TEACHERS IN THE TEACHING AND LEARNING SITUATION</b>	36
2.4.1 THE TEACHER AS LEARNING MEDIATOR	43
2.4.2 STAFF COLLABORATION	47
2.4.3 LEARNERS IN THE TEACHING AND LEARNING SITUATION	49
2.4.4 SOCIO ECONOMIC BACKGROUND OF LEARNERS	51
<b>2.5 TEACHING IN POWERFUL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS</b>	53
<b>2.6 TEACHING AND LEARNING RESOURCES</b>	56
<b>2.7 CLASSROOM TEACHING WITH SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO LESSON PREPARATION AND TEACHING METHODS</b>	61
2.7.1 THE SELECTION AND APPLICATION OF TEACHING STRATEGIES, APPROACHES AND METHODS	63
2.7.1.1 Constructivism as underpinning paradigm of learning in a outcomes-based environment	66
<b>2.8 MANAGEMENT OF SCHOOLS AND THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT</b>	69
2.8.1 THE SCHOOL MANAGEMENT TEAM IN SCHOOLS	70
2.8.2 CLASSROOM MANAGEMENT	71

	Page
<b>2.9 PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT / SUPPORT</b>	73
2.9.1 THE ROLE OF PARENTS IN THE EDUCATION OF THEIR CHILDREN	76
2.9.2 COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN THE TEACHING AND LEARNING IN SCHOOLS	78
2.9.2.1 The role of the community in improving the quality of teaching and learning in schools	79
<b>2.10 CONCLUSION</b>	80

---oOo---

	Page
<b>CHAPTER THREE</b>	
<b>THE METHODOLOGY OF THE INVESTIGATION AND THE DISCUSSION OF THE EMPIRICAL AND STATISTICAL CONSIDERATIONS</b>	
<b>3.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	83
3.1.1 QUALITATIVE APPROACH	83
3.1.2 OBSERVATION	85
3.1.3 QUANTITATIVE APPROACH	86
<b>3.2 SAMPLING</b>	87
3.2.1 THE DESCRIPTION OF THE RESEARCH SAMPLE	87
3.2.1.1 Sample sizes and sampling procedure	88
3.2.1.2 Interviews	89
3.2.1.3 The conduction and recording of the interviews	90
3.2.1.4 Construction and content validation of the questionnaire	91
3.2.1.5 Statistical procedure applied in the investigation	94
<b>3.3 APPLICATION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE</b>	95
<b>3.4 CONCLUSION</b>	96

**CHAPTER FOUR****DATA ANALYSES, INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION OF THE QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE RESEARCH RESULTS**

<b>4.1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>INTERPRETATION OF BIOGRAPHICAL DATA OF THE RESPONDENTS</b>	<b>98</b>
4.2.1	CONTENT ANALYSIS	98
<b>4.3</b>	<b>ELABORATION OF DATA ANALYSIS BASED ON USING FREQUENCY ANALYSES</b>	<b>110</b>
4.3.1	CUMULATIVE RESULTS REPRESENTING ALL THREE SCHOOLS	110
<b>4.4</b>	<b>CUMULATIVE RESPONSES FROM PARTICIPANTS</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>4.5</b>	<b>FREQUENCY ANALYSIS OF DATA FROM THE PARTICIPANTS ACROSS THE THREE SCHOOLS</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>4.6</b>	<b>COMPARISON OF RESPONSES FROM TEACHERS AND SMTS ACROSS THE THREE SCHOOLS</b>	<b>148</b>
<b>4.7</b>	<b>COMPARISON OF RESPONSES FROM LEARNERS ACROSS THE THREE SCHOOLS</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>4.8</b>	<b>COMPARISON OF RESPONSES FROM PARENTS ACROSS THE THREE SCHOOLS</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>4.9</b>	<b>RESULTS OF ANOVA USING MULTIPLE COMPARISONS AT 0.05 LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>4.10</b>	<b>A SUMMARY OF RESPONDENTS' OPINIONS (TEACHERS, SMTS, LEARNERS AND PARENTS) REGARDING A "CULTURE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING IN THEIR SCHOOLS"</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>4.11</b>	<b>ELABORATION ON DATA OBTAINED FROM INTERVIEWS IN THREE HIGH SCHOOLS</b>	<b>173</b>
4.11.1	METHODOLOGY	173

	Page
<b>4.12 INTERPRETATION OF RESPONSES FROM INTERVIEWS CONDUCTED IN THREE HIGH SCHOOLS</b>	173
4.12.1 TEACHERS' AND SMTS' QUALIFICATIONS	174
4.12.2 TEACHERS' AND SMTS' ROLES IN THE TEACHING AND LEARNING SITUATIONS	174
4.12.3 SCHOOL BUILDINGS	175
4.12.4 LIBRARY, LABORATORY AND COMPUTER USE	175
4.12.5 TOILETS	176
4.12.6 LEARNING TEACHING SUPPORT MATERIALS AND TEACHING APPROACHES	176
4.12.7 SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF THE LEARNERS' PARENTS	177
<b>4.13 DISCUSSION BASED ON THE RESEARCHER'S OWN OBSERVATION AS SUPPORTED BY THE QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE FINDINGS</b>	177
4.13.1 SCHOOL A	178
4.13.1.1 Biographical information in School A, B & C	178
4.13.1.3 The role of teachers and SMTS in the teaching and learning situation	181
4.13.1.4 Teaching in powerful learning environments	182
4.13.2 SCHOOL B AND C	184
4.13.2.1 The role of teachers and SMTs of School B and C in the teaching and learning situation	184
4.13.2.2 Teaching in powerful learning environments	185
<b>4.15 CONCLUSION</b>	188

	Page
<b>CHAPTER FIVE</b>	
<b>SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS</b>	
<b>5.1 INTRODUCTION</b>	189
<b>5.2 FINDINGS FROM THE LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	190
<b>5.3 SYNTHESIS OF THE INSIGHTS FROM THE LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	192
5.3.1 THE ROLE OF TEACHERS AND SMTs IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CULTURE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING IN HIGH SCHOOLS	195
5.3.1.1 Contextual, school and classroom characteristics	195
5.3.2 TEACHING IN POWERFUL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS	197
5.3.2.1 School and classroom characteristics	197
5.3.3 LEARNER TEACHER SUPPORT MATERIALS	199
5.3.3.1 Classroom characteristics	199
5.3.4 PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT / SUPPORT	200
5.3.4.1 Contextual characteristics	200
5.3.5 THE ROLE OF LEARNERS IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CULTURE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING	202
5.3.5.1 Learners' characteristics	202
5.3.6 BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION	203
5.3.6.1 Educators characteristics	203
<b>5.4 SYNTHESSES OF THE INSIGHTS OF THE QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE INVESTIGATION</b>	204
5.4.1 BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION	205
5.4.2 THE ROLE OF TEACHERS AND SMTs IN TEACHING AND LEARNING SITUATION	205
5.4.3 TEACHING IN POWERFUL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS	207
5.4.4 PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT/SUPPORT	209
5.4.5 VARYING CONTEXTS OF EACH SCHOOL'S EFFECTIVENESS IN RELATION TO THE VARIABLES CONTRIBUTING TOWARDS A CULTURE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING	210
5.4.5.1 The complex uniqueness of each school summary findings	212

	<b>Page</b>
<b>5.5 RECOMMENDATIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AND EDUCATION DEPARTMENTS</b>	215
5.5.1 TEACHERS' QUALIFICATIONS	216
5.5.2 GENDER COMPARISON	217
5.5.3 TEACHING IN POWERFUL LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS	218
5.5.3.1 Modernity of schools (well-resourced schools)	218
5.5.3.2 Professionalism	219
5.5.3.3 Teaching approaches	219
5.5.3.4 The use of computers and other equipment in schools	220
5.5.3.5 Adequate supply of textbooks to schools	221
5.5.3.6 Overcrowding in classrooms	221
<b>5.6 GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	222
<b>5.7 LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY</b>	225
<b>5.8 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	225
<b>5.9 CONCLUSION</b>	226
<b><i>REFERENCES</i></b>	228
<b><i>APPENDICES</i></b>	254

---oOo---

***LIST OF FIGURES***

	Page
Figure 1.1: School effectiveness model as developed by Scheerens (1990:61-80)	7
Figure 2.1: The framework for school leadership accomplishments	70
Figure 5.1: Multi-level structure of schooling (Scheerens 1992:81)	192
Figure 5.2: Adapted diagrammatic representation from Scheerens' model, 1990	193

---oOo---

**LIST OF TABLES**

	Page
Table 2.1: Gauteng examination results: 1995-2000	30
Table 2.2: Trends in the pass rate (%) in the Senior Certificate examination: 1995-2001 in South Africa	30
Table 2.3: Comparison of examination results: 2000-2003	31
Table 2.4: A summary of comparison of national examination Grade 12 results excluding Mpumalanga Province	32
Table 4.1: Questionnaires sent to and returned by the three schools in the Moretele Area Project Office	100
Table 4.2: Gender of respondents (teachers, School Management Teams (SMTS) learners and parents) who participated in the investigation	101
Table 4.3: Qualifications of the respondents (teachers, SMTS, learners and parents) who participated in the investigation	102
Table 4.4: Teaching experiences of teachers and SMTS who participated in the investigation	103
Table 4.5: Age of respondents (teachers, SMTS and parents) who participated in the investigation	104
Table 4.6: Responses from teachers and SMTs regarding current studies	104
Table 4.7: Number of years in marriage of respondents (parents) who participated in the investigation	105
Table 4.8: Number of siblings/brothers and sisters at home of respondents (learners) who participated in the investigation	106
Table 4.9: Age of respondents (learners) who participated in the investigation	106
Table 4.10: Number of years in Grade 12, spent by respondents (learners) who participated in the investigation	107
Table 4.11: Current level of your post (teachers) as a participants in the investigation	108
Table 4.12: Marital status of respondents (teachers, SMTS and parents) who participated in the investigation	109

	Page
Table 4.13: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding that “teachers do sometimes arrive late for school because of transport problems or other problems”	111
Table 4.14: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTS, learners and parents regarding “the school is modern”	112
Table 4.15: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTS, learners and parents regarding “the school has enough lighting”	113
Table 4.16: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTS, learners and parents regarding “the school has computers that are used by learners and teachers”	113
Table 4.17: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “the school has media facilities such as television sets, recorders, videos etc.	114
Table 4.18: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “smoking dagga, drinking alcohol and taking other drugs is one of the school's problems”	115
Table 4.19: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “teachers do too much talking and learners listen passively in order to finish the matric syllabus”	116
Table 4.20: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “teachers do assist learners with extra schoolwork in order to improve their academic progress”	117
Table 4.21: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “teachers do teach instead of facilitating learning”	118
Table 4.22: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “in most cases, learners are alone at home as their parents are working far away in order to support them”	118
Table 4.23: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “the school is conducive to learning”	119
Table 4.24: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “there is teamwork between staff members, parents and SMTs”	120
Table 4.25: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “learners do sometimes arrive late for school as they are staying far from school”	121
Table 4.26: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “the school's classroom furniture is enough for learners”	122
Table 4.27: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “teachers lack professionalism”	122

	Page
Table 4.28: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “learners get much encouragement from their teachers”	123
Table 4.29: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “teachers are overloaded with schoolwork”	124
Table 4.30: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “outcomes-based education is implemented successfully at our school”	125
Table 4.31: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “teachers do attend workshops and seminars organised by the department of education”	126
Table 4.32: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “school management teams do pay teachers class visits – on either weekly, monthly or quarterly basis”	126
Table 4.33: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “learners are given chance and also encouraged to construct new meanings and solutions from existing knowledge”	127
Table 4.34: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “learners are exposed to interactive activities such as groupwork, experiments, researches, fieldwork and projects”	128
Table 4.35: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “department of education supplies schools with adequate textbooks / learning resources”	129
Table 4.36: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs only regarding “the school management team do practice favouritism amongst staff members”	129
Table 4.37: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs and learners regarding “teachers have low morale”	130
Table 4.38: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs and learners regarding “teachers are specialists in the subjects / learning areas they teach”	131
Table 4.39: Cumulative responses from teachers and SMTs and learners regarding “teachers are cooperative and committed in their teaching and learning situations”	131
Table 4.40: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs and learners regarding “teachers do prepare their lessons thoroughly in their teaching and learning situations”	132
Table 4.41: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs and learners regarding “there is positive relationship between teachers, parents and SMTs”	133
Table 4.42: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs and learners regarding “teachers are democratic in their teaching and learning situation”	134

	Page
Table 4.43: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs and learners regarding “teachers do give immediate feedback to learners on e.g. tests, assignments, classwork, projects and other school related matters”	134
Table 4.44: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs and learners regarding “teachers control and manage their classes well”	135
Table 4.45: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “parents do assist their children with homework”	136
Table 4.46: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “parents do provide their grade 12 children with the necessary stationary and textbooks”	137
Table 4.47: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “teachers know most of their learners by name”	138
Table 4.48: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “parents attend meetings at school when invited by the principal”	138
Table 4.49: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “teachers treat their learners equally without favouritism”	139
Table 4.50: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs and parents regarding “some learners live alone in shacks”	140
Table 4.51: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “teachers sometimes dodge their school classes/lessons”	141
Table 4.52: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “the school has enough toilets”	142
Table 4.53: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “the school has a library that is used by learners and teachers”	143
Table 4.54: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “the school has a laboratory that is used by learners and teachers”	144
Table 4.55: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “classrooms are overcrowded with a teacher/learner ratio of more than 1:40 per class”	145
Table 4.56: Cumulative responses from teachers, SMTs, learners and parents regarding “learners sometimes go to school being hungry”	146
Table 4.57: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “teachers sometimes dodge their school classes / lessons” across Schools A, B and C	148

	Page
Table 4.58: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “teachers do sometimes arrive late for school because of transport problems or other problems” across Schools A, B and C	149
Table 4.59: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “the school is modern” across Schools A, B and C	150
Table 4.60: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “the school has enough lighting” across Schools A, B and C	151
Table 4.61: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “the school has computers that are used by learners and teachers” across Schools A, B and C	152
Table 4.62: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “the availability of media facilities, e.g. television set, video etc. in the schools” across Schools A, B and C	153
Table 4.63: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “drug and alcohol abuse at schools” across Schools A, B and C	153
Table 4.64: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “classrooms are overcrowded with a teacher / learner ratio of more than 1:40 per class” across Schools A, B and C	154
Table 4.65: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “the department of education supplies the school with adequate textbooks/ learning resources” across Schools A, B and C	155
Table 4.66: Comparison of responses from teachers & SMTs regarding “learners sometimes go to school being hungry” across Schools A, B and C	156
Table 4.67: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “teachers sometimes dodge their school classes / lessons” across Schools A, B and C	157
Table 4.68: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “teachers arrive late for school” across Schools A, B and C	158
Table 4.69: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “the modernity of the school” across Schools A, B and C	158
Table 4.70: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “the school has computers that are used by learners and teachers” across Schools A, B and C	159
Table 4.71: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “the classrooms are overcrowded with learners at a teacher/ learner ratio of more than 1:40” across Schools A, B and C	160
Table 4.72: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “the school has media facilities such as television sets, tape recorders, video etc” across Schools A, B and C	161

	Page
Table 4.73: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “smoking dagga, drinking alcohol and taking other drugs is one of the school’s problems” across Schools A, B and C	162
Table 4.74: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “parents do provide children with the necessary stationary and textbooks for Grade 12” across Schools A, B and C	163
Table 4.75: Comparison of responses from learners regarding “the department of education supplies the school with adequate textbook/learning resources” across Schools A, B and C	163
Table 4.76: Comparison of responses from parents regarding “teachers sometimes dodge their school classes / lessons” across Schools A, B and C	164
Table 4.77: Comparison of responses from parents regarding “teachers do sometimes arrive late for school because of transport problems or other problems” across Schools A, B and C	165
Table 4.78: Comparison of responses from parents regarding “the school is modern” across Schools A, B and C	166
Table 4.79: Comparison of responses from parents regarding “the school has enough lighting” across Schools A, B and C	167
Table 4.80: Comparison of responses from parents regarding “the school has computers that are used by learners and teachers” across Schools A, B and C	167
Table 4.81: Results of ANOVA, comparing gender, using variables dealing with schools factors	168
Table 4.82: Results of ANOVA, comparing qualifications, using variables dealing with school factors	170
Table 4.83: Results of ANOVA, comparing experience, using variables dealing with school factors	171