

A STRATEGY FOR THE  
EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

BY

ANTON FRANCOIS VAN STADEN

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree  
PhD in Labour Relations Management

in the

FACULTY OF ECONOMIC AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCES

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

2011

## APPRECIATION

I would like to thank the following persons who contributed to the completion of the research:

My promoter, Prof J J de Beer for his expert leadership and his dedication during the completion of this research.

Mr Gerrie Smith for the precise language editing.

Mrs Rina Owen for the statistical analysis.

The respondents and various organisations for persons with disabilities, for the time they spent to complete the questionnaire, for their assistance, interest and encouragement.

Colleagues and friends for their advice, assistance and many favours.

My parents for all the knowledge and opportunity they gave me.

Special thanks to my wife Florette, for the continued support and for allowing me the time to complete this research.

## SUMMARY

South Africa was actively involved in negotiations of the International Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and its Optional Article and signed both treaties on the day that they were opened for signature on 30 March 2007. Ratification of these treaties introduces a binding commitment on the government to implement its determinations. The Convention and, by implication, South Africa as a signatory recognise the right of persons with disabilities to work on an equal level with others.

The Employment Equity Act, 1998, which has been in force for 12 years with limited success, determines that persons with disabilities are a designated group to be affirmed in the workplace. The problem is that even with the protection provided by the Act the trends in employment of persons with disabilities in South Africa indicate that fewer persons with disabilities are employed while the employment of the other designated groups is improving. This can be ascribed to real and perceived constraints that unemployed persons with disabilities experience in South Africa. Therefore, in view of the signing of the Convention by South Africa, a national generic human resource management strategy is required for the enhancement of the employment of persons with disabilities in organisations.

The main objective of this research was to identify the constraints to the employment of persons with disabilities, and to develop an integrated human resource management strategy to enhance the employment of more persons with disabilities in South African organisations. The development of this strategy was based on the constraints experienced by persons with disabilities in finding employment.

The quantitative research design was applied when a purposive sample of eighty-four (84) knowledgeable persons in this field (persons with disabilities, managers and human resource management and labour relations practitioners with experience in appointing persons with disabilities) were surveyed with a semi-structured Likert-type questionnaire to determine the constraints. The questionnaire was specifically constructed for this purpose adding breadth to the research. The questionnaire also included a number of open-ended questions to add the required depth to the data.

Descriptive and inferential statistical methods were used to analyse the participants' quantified scores. The qualitative method of Content Analysis (ATLAS.ti) was applied to further identify categories and subcategories of constraints. From the results, the most significant constraints that inhibit the employment of persons with disabilities were identified. These constraints are as follows:

- The definition of “people/ persons with disabilities” for employment purposes is a constraint because it is vague and words used in the definition are unclear.
- Accessibility and reasonable accommodation are serious constraints for persons with disabilities as it prevents them from participating on a more equal footing in the workplace.
- Disability is viewed in terms of function and social labelling rather than in terms of its relationship to the quality of life of persons with disabilities.
- The Constitution, 1996, EEA, INDS and TAG are not sufficiently useful to manage disability in the workplace.
- The South African legislative and policy framework were hardly ever used to assist with disability management.
- Reasonable accommodation and environmental accessibility are not clearly described in policy guidelines.
- Organisations lack focus on the employment of persons with disabilities.
- Unfair targets to employ persons with disabilities as they are continuously disabled.
- Respondents identified the following general perceptions as constraints:
  - Employees with disabilities are not able to do physically strenuous work.
  - Employees with disabilities require special attention from their supervisors.
  - Employees with disabilities are more expensive to employ than any other employee.
  - Employers are not willing to employ persons with disabilities.
  - Workplaces are not friendly towards disabled persons.
  - A CV indicating that a job applicant is disabled is not properly considered by organisations.
  - Organisations ignore disability management issues because it conflicts with business objectives.

- Organisations encourage managers to ignore disability issues because it conflicts with business objectives.
- Organisations do not have approved and clear written policies or guidelines on employing persons with disabilities.
- Existing policy or written guidelines are not utilised to guide disability management related decisions at work.
- Organisations do not have a disability office or a disability ombudsman to whom employees can report suspected discrimination or receive advice about disability issues.
- HR departments do not act as the primary resource for the disability initiative of organisations.
- HR professionals are not involved in formulating disability management policies for their organisations.
- Individuals responsible for managing the disability programme in organisations are not qualified and experienced for the task.
- Employees do not know who to contact when requiring information on disability management.
- The following key people in organisations are not sufficiently committed to disability management:
  - CEO/Director-General/Top Management.
  - Senior management.
  - Middle management.
  - Subordinates.
  - Peers.
  - Other employees.
- Lack of a focused strategy to recruit persons with disabilities.
- Training and development practices are not effectively presented to all employees resulting in it being a constraint due to the following:
  - Lack of awareness of disability management.
  - Training provided in disability management is not being used by the persons trained.
  - Persons with disabilities are not empowered to assert their rights in terms of disability management.
  - Work environments do not prioritise disability management.

- Disability management is not linked to the performance indicators of all managers at all levels.
- Performance management practice is inadequate in respect of persons with disabilities resulting in it being a constraint due to the following:
  - Effective performance management systems are not in place.
  - Performance management systems do not apply to all employees.
  - Difficulties experienced by persons with disabilities are not understood by employers.
  - Managers are not trained in managing the performance of persons with disabilities.
  - Accessibility and reasonable accommodation impact on the performance of persons with disabilities.
  - Clarity does not exist whether the same or different performance standards must apply to persons with disabilities.
  - Assessments are not conducted objectively by managers.
- Recruitment and selection practices are inadequate and not strategically aligned resulting in it being a constraint due to the following:
  - Adverts are not accessible to persons with disabilities.
  - Adverts do not target persons with disabilities.
  - Selection processes are not seen as a credible by persons with disabilities.
  - Persons with disabilities are not being reasonably accommodated during the selection process.
  - Job design is not considering persons with disabilities adequately to provide for their unique circumstances.
  - Managers and supervisors do not understand disability resulting in deciding not to employ persons with disabilities due to negative perceptions they may have of persons with disabilities.
- Retention and exit management strategies are not utilised in disability management resulting in it being a constraint due to the following:
  - Inconsistent treatment of employees with disabilities and not disabled employees.
  - Reasonable accommodation and rehabilitation of employees who become disabled are not effectively dealt with.

- The reasons why employees leave employment are known but little is done to correct it, resulting in persons with disabilities not being retained.
- Employment equity strategies are not aligned resulting in persons with disabilities not being affirmed.
- Labour relations management strategy is inadequate resulting in it being a constraint due to the following:
  - Human resource management and labour relations practitioners and union officials do not prioritise disability management and the rights of persons with disabilities.
  - The rights and the unique circumstances of persons with disabilities are not recognised resulting in the labour relations processes not providing for persons with disabilities.
- Lack of strategic focus of employers on disability management constrains the employment of persons with disabilities.
- The role of human resource management and labour relations practitioners is not clearly defined in terms of disability management resulting in them not prioritising persons with disabilities as a designated group in terms of the EEA.
- Reasonable accommodation is not clearly defined in the employment context resulting in it being a constraint due to the following:
  - Persons with disabilities are not being reasonably accommodated because employers do not have policy documents in place to guide them in making decisions in providing reasonable accommodation to employees.
  - The perception which exists that reasonable accommodation is costly and would result in undue hardship to employers, is a constraint.
  - Office buildings are generally not disability friendly resulting in a constraint to employ persons with disabilities and those that are employed, not being able to work effectively.
  - Inherent job requirements are generally not defined resulting in persons with disabilities not being employed or being employed in positions not suitable to their specific disabilities.
- Progress with the implementation of disability management programmes are not being monitored resulting in slow progress with implementation and a lack of strategic focus.

Following the identification of the constraints an integrated strategy to manage these constraints was developed. The strategy consists of the following strategic interventions:

- Reword the definition of persons with disabilities to achieve clarity of terms, identification of categories and levels of disability.
- Develop guidelines to the accessibility requirements and reasonable accommodation for persons with disabilities namely:
  - Development of organisational guidelines for reasonable accommodation; and
  - implementation of the NBR by employers.
- Initiate workplace programmes which cause disability to be viewed in terms of the quality of the life of a person with disabilities.
- Create awareness of the legislative and policy framework.
- Enforcement of the implementation of the legislative and policy frameworks by top management.
- Develop a human resource management framework to employ persons with disabilities.
- Set fair and equitable employment targets for persons with disabilities.
- Develop a business case for employing persons with disabilities.
- Establish a disability office or a disability ombudsman, preferably within the human resources function.
- Develop a focussed recruitment and selection strategy to strategically align the recruitment and selection process with the objectives of disability management.
- Develop a focused training and development strategy to empower employers and employees (including those with disabilities) to manage disability effectively in the employment context.
- Develop a focused performance management strategy which strategically aligns performance management with disability management.
- Develop focussed retention and exit management strategies to strategically align retention and exit management with the objectives of disability management.



- Develop a focussed labour relations management strategy to align labour relations management practice with the demands of disability management.
- Develop a scorecard to encourage compliance with disability management.
- Develop a clear role definition for human resource management and labour relations practitioners.
- Develop a focussed reasonable accommodation policy that would address the identified constraints.
- Develop and implement a focussed monitoring and evaluation framework for disability management.

The generic strategy developed in this research provides direction to human resource management and labour relations practitioners and managers to create a culture of disability awareness in organisations. It will also increase the understanding of disability and the management thereof in the workplace and provide a step by step guideline in respect of the main human resource management and labour relations practices and the manner in which it relates to the employment of persons with disabilities.

The research extends the existing body of knowledge with regard to employees with disabilities in the labour relations and human resource management fields of research. The economic and social utility value of the generic human resource management strategy will also enable organisations to increase the employment of persons with disabilities as a strategic human resource management intervention.

## LIST OF CONTENTS

APPRECIATION	ii
SUMMARY	iii
LIST OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
ACRONYMS	xxiii
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	
<b>GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1. BACKGROUND TO THE RESEARCH	- 1 -
1.2 RESEARCH PROBLEM STATEMENT	- 5 -
1.3 RESEARCH QUESTIONS	- 7 -
1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	- 10 -
1.5 THESIS STATEMENT	- 11 -
1.6 DELINEATION	- 11 -
1.7 LIMITATIONS	- 12 -
1.8 DEFINITION OF TERMS AND CONCEPTS	- 13 -
1.9 UNDERLYING ASSUMPTIONS	- 13 -
1.10 SIGNIFICANCE OF THE RESEARCH	- 15 -
1.11 DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK	- 15 -

1.12	CHAPTERS OF THE THESIS	- 18 -
1.13	SUMMARY	- 20 -

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **CONSTRUCTS AND TERMINOLOGY**

2.1.	INTRODUCTION	- 22 -
2.2	MOTIVATION FOR THE DISCUSSION OF THE RELEVANT CONSTRUCTS	- 23 -
2.3.	THE CONSTRUCT: DISABILITY	- 24 -
2.4	THE CONSTRUCT: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT	- 35 -
2.5	THE CONSTRUCT: LABOUR RELATIONS	- 35 -
2.6	THE CONSTRUCT: CONSTRAINTS	- 40 -
2.7	VARIOUS HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	- 41 -
2.8	THE CONSTRUCT: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY	- 45 -
2.9	PREFERRED DISABILITY MANAGEMENT TERMINOLOGY	- 48 -
2.10	SUMMARY	- 51 -

## **CHAPTER 3**

### **DISABILITY IN THE CONTEXT OF EMPLOYMENT**

3.1	INTRODUCTION	- 55 -
3.2	DIFFERENT APPROACHES TO DISABILITY MANAGEMENT	- 57 -
3.2.1	MEDICAL MODEL	- 61 -
3.2.2	SOCIAL MODEL	- 64 -
3.2.3	THE SOUTH AFRICAN MODEL	- 66 -
3.3	SUMMARY	- 68 -

## **CHAPTER 4**

### **INTERNATIONAL DISABILITY MANAGEMENT POLICY FRAMEWORK**

4.1	INTRODUCTION	- 71 -
-----	--------------	--------

4.2	SOCIAL SECURITY	- 72 -
4.2.1	DEVELOPMENT OF THE EARLY WELFARE SYSTEMS	- 72 -
4.2.2	THE DIFFERENT STRANDS OF SOCIAL SECURITY	- 74 -
4.3	THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE INTERNATIONAL LABOUR ORGANISATION TO DISABILITY MANAGEMENT	- 81 -
4.4	THE CONTRIBUTION OF THE UNITED NATIONS TO DISABILITY MANAGEMENT	- 85 -
4.5	SELECTED EXAMPLES (COUNTRIES) OF INTERNATIONAL DISABILITY MANAGEMENT	- 90 -
4.5.1	REASONS FOR SELECTING CERTAIN COUNTRIES	- 90 -
4.5.2	DISABILITY MANAGEMENT IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	- 94 -
4.5.3	DISABILITY MANAGEMENT IN THE UNITED KINGDOM	- 102 -
4.6	SUMMARY	- 110 -

## CHAPTER 5

### SOUTH AFRICAN DISABILITY POLICY FRAMEWORK

5.1	INTRODUCTION	- 112 -
5.2	EVOLVEMENT OF LABOUR LEGISLATION	- 114 -
5.3	THE CONSTITUTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA	- 115 -
5.4	THE LABOUR RELATIONS ACT, 66 OF 1995.	- 120 -
5.5	THE EMPLOYMENT EQUITY ACT, 55 OF 1998	- 123 -
5.6	THE PROMOTION OF EQUALITY AND PREVENTION OF UNFAIR DISCRIMINATION ACT, 4 OF 2000	- 127 -
5.7	CODE OF GOOD PRACTICE: KEY ASPECTS ON THE EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES IN THE WORKPLACE	- 128 -
5.8	THE SOUTH AFRICAN INTEGRATED NATIONAL DISABILITY STRATEGY	- 129 -
5.9	THE TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE GUIDELINES ON THE EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	- 135 -
5.10	CODE OF GOOD PRACTICE: INTEGRATION OF EMPLOYMENT EQUITY INTO HUMAN RESOURCE POLICIES AND PRACTICES	- 136 -
5.11	THE SMALL, MEDIUM AND MICRO ENTERPRISE WHITE PAPER	- 138 -
5.12	NATIONAL BUILDING REGULATIONS	- 140 -

5.13	IMPLEMENTATION STRUCTURES	- 141 -
5.14	DISCUSSION OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN DISABILITY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK	- 144 -
5.15	SUMMARY	- 155 -

## **CHAPTER 6**

### **PREVALENCE OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES IN SOUTH AFRICA**

6.1	INTRODUCTION	- 156 -
6.2	STATISTICAL STUDIES AND TRENDS IDENTIFIED PREVIOUSLY	- 157 -
6.3	PREVALENCE AT NATIONAL LEVEL	- 159 -
6.4	PREVALENCE OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES BY GENDER AND POPULATION GROUP	- 160 -
6.5	PREVALENCE BY PROVINCE	- 161 -
6.6	PREVALENCE BY GENDER AND AGE	- 162 -
6.7	PREVALENCE BY LEVEL OF EDUCATION	- 163 -
6.8	PREVALENCE BY TYPE OF DISABILITY	- 164 -
6.9	EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	- 166 -
6.10	SUMMARY	- 167 -

## **CHAPTER 7**

### **CONSTRAINTS IN THE EFFECTIVE EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES**

7.1	INTRODUCTION	- 169 -
7.2	DESCRIBING CONSTRAINTS	- 170 -
7.3	COMPLEXITY OF DISABILITY MANAGEMENT AS A FIELD OF RESEARCH-	174 -
7.4	COMPLEX DEFINITION OF DISABILITY	- 175 -
7.5	COMPLEX LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK	- 176 -
7.6	NEGATIVE PERCEPTIONS CONCERNING PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES-	176 -

7.7	ABSENCE OF RELEVANT DISABILITY MANAGEMENT POLICY AND CAPACITY IN ORGANISATIONS	- 178 -
7.8	HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES CONSTRAINING THE EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	- 178 -
7.9	THE CONSTRAINTS DERIVED FROM THE UN CONVENTION	- 181 -
7.10	REASONS FOR PRIORITISING DISABILITY MANAGEMENT BY EMPLOYERS	- 183 -
7.11	SUMMARY	- 185 -

## **CHAPTER 8**

### **RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY**

8.1	INTRODUCTION	- 187 -
8.2	RESEARCH DESIGN	- 188 -
8.3	PARADIGMATIC ASSUMPTIONS AND PERSPECTIVES	- 189 -
8.4	DISCUSSION OF THE DIFFERENT DESIGN APPROACHES AND THE DESIGN APPROACH SELECTED FOR THIS STUDY	- 190 -
8.5	RESEARCH METHOD	- 197 -
8.5.1	DEVELOPMENT OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE	- 197 -
8.5.2	RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE	- 200 -
8.5.3	POPULATION AND SAMPLING	- 203 -
8.5.4	DATA COLLECTION	- 205 -
8.5.5	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SAMPLE	- 207 -
8.5.6	DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSIS	- 223 -
8.6	ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS	- 227 -
8.7	SUMMARY	- 228 -

## **CHAPTER 9**

### **RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

9.1	INTRODUCTION	- 230 -
9.2	PRESENTATION OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS	- 230 -
9.2.1	SECTION 2: DEFINING DISABILITY - QUESTIONS 11 TO 14	- 231 -

9.2.2	SECTION 3: USEFULNESS OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK - QUESTIONS 15 AND 16	- 239 -
9.2.3	SECTION 4: GENERAL PERCEPTIONS - QUESTIONS 17 TO 27	- 246 -
9.2.4	SECTION 5: AVAILABILITY OF DISABILITY MANAGEMENT POLICY, CAPACITY AND COMMITMENT OF KEY ROLE-PLAYERS IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATION - QUESTIONS 28 – 35	- 258 -
9.2.5	SECTION 6: PREVALENCE OF EMPLOYEES WITH DISABILITIES - QUESTIONS 36 TO 39	- 267 -
9.2.6	SECTION 7: HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	- 271 -
9.2.7	SECTION 8: REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION – QUESTIONS 69 TO 73	- 300 -
9.2.8	SECTION 9: GENERAL - QUESTION 73	- 305 -
9.3	SUMMARY	- 307 -

## **CHAPTER 10**

### **CONCLUSIONS, HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY, REFLECTION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

10.1	INTRODUCTION	- 313 -
10.2	CONCLUSIONS AND STRATEGY TO RESOLVE THE CONSTRAINTS PREVENTING THE EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	- 313 -
10.3	REFLECTION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	- 329 -

	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	- 336 -
--	---------------------	---------

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: INTERNATIONAL SYMBOL INDICATING THAT A BUILDING IS DISABILITY FRIENDLY	- 141 -
FIGURE 2: EMPLOYMENT RELATED CONSTRAINTS PREVENTING THE EMPLOYMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES	- 174 -



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND RESEARCH AREAS.....	- 9 -
TABLE 2: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK ....	- 17 -
TABLE 3: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK.....	- 23 -
TABLE 4: STRUCTURE OF THE INFORMATION CATEGORISATION OF THE ICF.....	- 31 -
TABLE 5: THE ELEMENTS OF TALENT MANAGEMENT .....	- 43 -
TABLE 6: PREFERRED AND UNACCEPTABLE TERMINOLOGY .....	- 49 -
TABLE 7: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK.....	- 56 -
TABLE 8: KEY CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MOST PROMINENT DISABILITY MODELS.....	- 57 -
TABLE 9: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS PRESENTED BY THE SOCIAL AND MEDICAL MODELS .....	- 65 -
TABLE 10: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK.....	- 72 -
TABLE 11: SUMMARY OF THE ELEMENTS OF THE ILO'S DEFINITION OF SOCIAL SECURITY-	74 -
TABLE 12: STRANDS AND SUB-STRANDS OF SOCIAL SECURITY .....	- 76 -
TABLE 13: SUMMARY OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE VARIOUS STRANDS OF SOCIAL SECURITY .....	- 77 -
TABLE 14: APPROPRIATE CRITERIA (AREAS) FOR ASSESSMENT OF A COUNTRY'S DISABILITY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY.....	- 92 -
TABLE 15: ASSESSMENT OF THE DISABILITY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA .....	- 95 -
TABLE 16: ANALYSIS OF THE DISABILITY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK OF THE UNITED KINGDOM.....	- 103 -
TABLE 17: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK....	- 113 -
TABLE 18: ASSESSMENT OF THE DISABILITY MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORK OF SAFRICA .	- 144 -
TABLE 19: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK....	- 157 -
TABLE 20: NUMBER OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES ACCORDING TO GENDER.....	- 159 -
TABLE 21: NUMBER OF DISABLED PERSONS BY GENDER AND POPULATION GROUP .....	- 160 -
TABLE 22: NUMBER OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES PER PROVINCE ACCORDING TO GENDER.....	- 161 -
TABLE 23: NUMBER OF DISABLED PERSONS BY GENDER AND AGE GROUP.....	- 162 -
TABLE 24: PERCENTAGE OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES IN EACH EDUCATION CATEGORY PER GENDER.....	- 163 -
TABLE 25: PERCENTAGE OF DISABLED PERSONS BY TYPE OF DISABILITY AND PER GENDER GROUP .....	- 165 -
TABLE 26: DISABILITY MANAGEMENT LITERATURE REVIEW RESEARCH FRAMEWORK....	- 170 -

TABLE 27: CATEGORISATION AND EXAMPLES OF CONSTRAINTS/BARRIERS PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES FACE IN LEADING A NORMAL LIFE .....	- 171 -
TABLE 28: EMPLOYMENT RELATED CONSTRAINTS DERIVED FROM THE UN CONVENTION .....	- 181 -
TABLE 29: BENEFITS OF THE PRIORITISING DISABILITY MANAGEMENT BY EMPLOYERS .	- 184 -
TABLE 30: DESCRIPTORS OF RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGICAL OPTIONS .....	- 190 -
TABLE 31: GROUPING OF QUESTIONS IN THE QUESTIONNAIRE.....	- 198 -
TABLE 32: CRITERIA USED TO RESPECTIVELY ASSESS QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE RESEARCH .....	- 201 -
TABLE 33: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES EMPLOYED BY RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS .....	- 207 -
TABLE 34: REPRESENTATION OF PARTICIPANTS (RESPONDENTS) FROM DIFFERENT SIZES OF ORGANISATIONS.....	- 208 -
TABLE 35: NATURE OF THE BUSINESS OF THE ORGANISATION THE RESPONDENT WORKS FOR .....	- 210 -
TABLE 36: QUESTION AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE RESPONDENTS' CURRENT POSITION/DESIGNATION.....	- 211 -
TABLE 37: REPRESENTATION OF THE CURRENT POSITION OF RESPONDENTS .....	- 211 -
TABLE 38: QUESTION AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE LENGTH OF SERVICE IN THE RESPONDENTS' CURRENT POSITION.....	- 213 -
TABLE 39: DURATION OF EMPLOYMENT IN CURRENT POSITION.....	- 213 -
TABLE 40: QUESTION AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION OF RESPONDENTS .....	- 215 -
TABLE 41: GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION OF RESPONDENTS .....	- 215 -
TABLE 42: QUESTION AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE HOME LANGUAGE OF RESPONDENTS .....	- 216 -
TABLE 43: HOME LANGUAGE OF RESPONDENTS .....	- 217 -
TABLE 44: QUESTION AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE RESPONDENTS' HIGHEST LEVEL OF EDUCATION.....	- 218 -
TABLE 45: HIGHEST LEVEL OF QUALIFICATION OF RESPONDENTS.....	- 218 -
TABLE 46: GENDER DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS .....	- 220 -
TABLE 47: DISABILITY STATUS OF RESPONDENTS .....	- 220 -
TABLE 48: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO WHETHER RESPONDENTS WERE MANAGING EMPLOYEES WITH DISABILITIES .....	- 221 -
TABLE 49: MANAGEMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES BY RESPONDENTS.....	- 221 -
TABLE 50: MEANING ATTACHED TO THE VARIOUS RESPONSE VALUES (INTERPRETATION KEY).....	- 224 -

TABLE 51: THE QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE DEFINITION OF DISABILITY .....	- 231 -
TABLE 52: FREQUENCIES OF QUESTION 11 INDICATING THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE DEFINITION OF DISABILITY IS AGREED WITH.....	- 232 -
TABLE 53: FREQUENCIES QUESTION 12 INDICATING THE EXTENT TO WHICH THIS DEFINITION COVERS ALL TYPES OF DISABILITY .....	- 233 -
TABLE 54: FREQUENCIES QUESTION 13 INDICATING THE EXTENT TO WHICH THIS DEFINITION ASSISTS IN DEALING WITH ISSUES OF DISABILITY .....	- 234 -
TABLE 55: MEANS OF QUESTIONS 11, 12 AND 13 RELATED TO THE FORMAL DEFINITION OF DISABILITY .....	- 235 -
TABLE 56: SUMMARY OF THE CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN RELATION TO THE DEFINITION OF DISABILITY .....	- 239 -
TABLE 57: THE QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE USEFULNESS OF THE LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK.....	- 240 -
TABLE 58: RESPONSES TO QUESTION 15 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY AND AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT .....	- 240 -
TABLE 59: MEANS OF QUESTIONS 15.1 TO 15.4 RELATED TO RESPONDENTS' VIEWS CONCERNING THE USEFULNESS OF THE LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK ..	- 243 -
TABLE 60: SUMMARY OF THE CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN RELATION TO THE USEFULNESS OF THE LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK.....	- 246 -
TABLE 61: THE QUESTION AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO GENERAL PERCEPTIONS.....	- 246 -
TABLE 62: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 17 TO 27 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY AND AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT.....	- 248 -
TABLE 63: MEANS OF QUESTIONS 17 TO 27 RELATED TO RESPONDENTS' VIEWS REGARDING GENERAL PERCEPTIONS .....	- 254 -
TABLE 64: SUMMARY OF THE CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN RELATION TO THE GENERAL PERCEPTIONS.....	- 258 -
TABLE 65: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE AVAILABILITY OF DISABILITY MANAGEMENT POLICY AND CAPACITY IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS.....	- 258 -
TABLE 66: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 28 TO 34 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY OR AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT.....	- 260 -
TABLE 67: THE QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE COMMITMENT OF KEY ROLE PLAYERS TO DISABILITY MANAGEMENT .....	- 263 -

TABLE 68: RESPONSES TO QUESTION 35 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY AND AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT .....	- 264 -
TABLE 69: MEANS OF QUESTIONS 28 TO 35.7 RELATED TO RESPONDENTS' VIEWS REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF DISABILITY MANAGEMENT POLICY AND CAPACITY AND THE COMMITMENT OF KEY ROLE-PLAYERS IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS.....	- 265 -
TABLE 70: CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN RELATION TO THE AVAILABILITY OF DISABILITY MANAGEMENT POLICY, CAPACITY AND COMMITMENT OF KEY ROLE-PLAYERS IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS.....	- 267 -
TABLE 71: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE PREVALENCE OF EMPLOYEES WITH DISABILITIES IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS.....	- 268 -
TABLE 72: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE METHOD OF RECRUITING EMPLOYEES WITH DISABILITIES .....	- 269 -
TABLE 73: CONSTRAINT IDENTIFIED IN RELATION TO THE RECRUITMENT OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES.....	- 271 -
TABLE 74: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS.....	- 272 -
TABLE 75: FREQUENCIES TO QUESTION 42 INDICATING HOW OFTEN RESPONDENTS USED THE PRINCIPLES TAUGHT IN DISABILITY MANAGEMENT TRAINING TO GUIDE THEIR DECISIONS AT WORK.....	- 273 -
TABLE 76: AREAS THAT SHOULD BE COVERED IN AN EMPLOYMENT FOCUSED DISABILITY MANAGEMENT TRAINING PROGRAMME .....	- 274 -
TABLE 77: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS .....	- 275 -
TABLE 78: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 45 TO 48 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY OR AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT.....	- 276 -
TABLE 79: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE RECRUITMENT AND SELECTION IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS.....	- 280 -
TABLE 80: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 50 TO 53 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY OR AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT.....	- 280 -
TABLE 81: QUESTIONS AND ITS RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE EMPLOYEE RETENTION AND EXIT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS.....	- 284 -

TABLE 82: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 55 TO 57 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY OR AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT.....	- 285 -
TABLE 83: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 59 TO 62 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY OR AGREEMENT WITH EACH STATEMENT.....	- 289 -
TABLE 84: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE LABOUR RELATIONS MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS-	291 -
TABLE 85: RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS 64 TO 66 GROUPED INTO THREE CATEGORIES INDICATING DISAGREEMENT, NEUTRALITY OR AGREEMENT.....	- 292 -
TABLE 86: MEANS OF QUESTIONS 45 TO 48, 50 TO 53, 55 TO 57, 59 TO 62 AND 64 TO 66 RELATED TO RESPONDENTS' VIEWS REGARDING THE VARIOUS HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS .	- 295 -
TABLE 87: CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN RELATION TO THE VARIOUS HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES .....	- 299 -
TABLE 88: QUESTIONS AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION IN RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS .....	- 301 -
TABLE 89: FREQUENCIES TO QUESTION 69 INDICATING WHETHER RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATION DO HAVE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION POLICIES IN PLACE.....	- 302 -
TABLE 90: AMOUNT OF MONEY RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS WOULD SPEND ON REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION.....	- 302 -
TABLE 91: FREQUENCIES TO QUESTION 71 INDICATING THE EXTENT TO WHICH RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS WOULD EMPLOY AN EXPERT TO ADVISE ON THE BEST METHOD TO ACCOMMODATE A PERSON WITH DISABILITIES.....	- 303 -
TABLE 92: FREQUENCIES TO QUESTION 72 THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE BUILDING IN WHICH THE RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATION DO BUSINESS IS DISABILITY FRIENDLY	- 304 -
TABLE 93: IDENTIFICATION OF CONSTRAINT RELATED TO THE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES IN THE WORKPLACE .....	- 305 -
TABLE 94: QUESTION AND RESPONSE CATEGORIES RELATED TO THE EXTENT TO WHICH RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS MONITOR AND EVALUATE THE DISABILITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME .....	- 305 -
TABLE 95: FREQUENCIES TO QUESTION 73 INDICATING THE EXTENT TO WHICH RESPONDENTS' ORGANISATIONS MONITOR AND EVALUATE THE DISABILITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME .....	- 306 -
TABLE 96: CONSTRAINT IDENTIFIED IN RESPECT OF THE MONITORING AND EVALUATION OF DISABILITY MANAGEMENT PROGRAMMES.....	- 307 -

TABLE 97: SUMMARY OF THE RESEARCH FINDINGS PER RESEARCH QUESTION ..... - 308 -

TABLE 98: SUMMARY OF THE CONSTRAINTS IDENTIFIED IN THE EMPLOYMENT OF  
PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES ..... - 314 -

TABLE 99: PRESENTATION OF THE STRATEGY TO EMPLOY PERSONS WITH  
DISABILITIES..... - 328 -

## ACRONYMS

<b>ADA</b>	Americans with Disabilities Act, 1990 of the USA
<b>AIDS</b>	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
<b>AMHCW</b>	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web
<b>BBBEE</b>	Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment
<b>BCEA</b>	Basic Conditions of Employment Act, 75 of 1997
<b>CART</b>	Computer Assisted Real Time
<b>CCMA</b>	Commission for Conciliation, Mediation and Arbitration
<b>CHPI</b>	The Child Health Policy Institute
<b>COIDA</b>	Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act, 130 of 1993
<b>Constitution, 1996</b>	The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, 108 of 1996
<b>DDA</b>	Disability Discrimination Act, 1995 of the UK
<b>Disability Code</b>	Disability Code issued in terms of the Disability Discrimination Act, 1995 of the UK
<b>DLA</b>	Department of Land Affairs
<b>DPI</b>	Disability Peoples' International
<b>DPO</b>	Disabled Peoples' Organisation
<b>DPSA</b>	Disabled People South Africa
<b>DRC</b>	Disability Rights Commission in terms of the DDA
<b>EEA</b>	Employment Equity Act, 55 of 1998
<b>EEO</b>	Equal Employment Opportunity referred to in terms of the ADA
<b>EEOC</b>	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission referred to in terms of the ADA
<b>EU</b>	European Union
<b>HIV</b>	Human Immune Deficiency Virus
<b>HR</b>	Human Resource (Management)
<b>ICD</b>	International Classification of Diseases
<b>ICF</b>	International Classification Framework
<b>ICIDH</b>	International Classification of Impairment, Disability and Handicap, 2001

<b>ICRPD</b>	UN International Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and its Optional Protocol
<b>ILO</b>	International Labour Organisation
<b>INDS</b>	White Paper on an Integrated National Disability Strategy, 1997
<b>LRA</b>	Labour Relations Act, 66 of 1995
<b>NBR</b>	National Building Regulations and Building Standards Act, 103 of 1997 and the National Building Regulations issued in terms of this Act.
<b>NGO</b>	Non-governmental organisation
<b>OSDP</b>	Office on the Status of Persons with Disabilities
<b>PEPUDA</b>	Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act, 4 of 2000
<b>RDP</b>	Reconstruction and Development Programme
<b>SABS</b>	South African Bureau of Standards
<b>SAFCD</b>	South African Federal Council on Disability
<b>SAHRC</b>	South African Human Rights Commission
<b>SMME</b>	Small, medium and micro-sized enterprises
<b>TAG</b>	Technical Assistance Guidelines
<b>UK</b>	United Kingdom
<b>UN</b>	United Nations
<b>USA</b>	United States of America
<b>WHO</b>	World Health Organisation