

Effect of management interventions on helminth levels and body condition of working donkeys in South Africa

by

Sonja Matthee

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Parasitology)

in the
Faculty of Veterinary Science
University of Pretoria
Pretoria

August 2000



Effect of management interventions on helminth levels and body condition of working donkeys in South Africa

by

Sonja Matthee

Supervisor: Professor R. C. Krecek
Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases
Faculty of Veterinary Science
University of Pretoria
Onderstepoort
Pretoria

Co-supervisor: Professor A. J. Guthrie
Equine Research Centre
Faculty of Veterinary Science
University of Pretoria
Onderstepoort
Pretoria

ABSTRACT

Twenty-three southern African working donkeys (Equus asinus) were allocated to eight experimental groups. The aim of the study was to determine what effect alternative helminth control methods have on the internal parasite burdens and the condition of working donkeys. Three



control methods [monthly removal of faeces from grazing camps (paddocks), pre-winter treatment with the anthelmintic, moxidectin, and a combination of these two methods] and a replicate of each were compared with a set of two control methods. Monthly live weights, body condition scores and certain blood chemistry values differentially improved in those animals receiving the chemical treatment. The eggs and larvae of the cyathostomes represented the largest portion of the helminth species composition in both the faecal egg counts (FEC) and larval cultures. Although both the FEC and pasture larval counts showed seasonal increases during the warm wet summer months, reduced average pasture larval burdens were recorded in the camps from which the faeces were removed monthly, and a 20 % reduction in the average FEC was noted in the donkeys in these camps towards the end of the study. Pre-winter moxidectin treatment resulted in an initial 100 % reduction in FEC, an average egg reappearance period of 42 to 55 days, and a reduced average FEC for up to eight months after deworming in all the donkeys that received this treatment. To obtain total helminth counts, post-mortem examinations were performed on nine of the donkeys at the end of the study. Estimated worm burdens ranged from 3 831 to 29 501 and 38 helminth species were recorded. The latter include a previously unknown cyathostome species, Cylicocyclus asinus sp. n. Cyathostomum montgomeryi was the most abundant cyathostome and Triodontophorus hartmannae the most abundant large strongyle. The large strongyles were less abundant compared to the cyathostomes, and both groups were more prevalent in the ventral colon. Although both the monthly removal of faeces from the camps and pre-winter treatment resulted in a reduction in the total number of lumenal and encysted cyathostome worms, the combination of these two interventions was the most effective. The latter management system had the largest impact on the strongyle parasite burden in the donkeys. The general health and working capacity of donkeys in southern Africa can be significantly improved by implementation of practical and effective disease prevention recommendations, such as those emanating from this study.



Key words: Equus asinus, donkeys, helminth parasites, Strongylinae, Cyathostominae, Cylicocyclus asinus, helminth control, alternative interventions, pasture larval counts, faecal egg counts, live weight.



Dedicated To My Parents



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

My sincere gratitude to Professor Tammi Krecek who acted as main promoter for this PhD degree. Tammi served as an inspiration to me with her infinite enthusiasm and dedication to scientific research. Her unfailing support, understanding and encouragement throughout the study are gratefully acknowledged. I am also particularly thankful to my co-supervisor Professor Alan Guthrie who backed this project with great interest. His valuable advice and support is much appreciated.

The following people are thanked for their extensive help and support with various activities and discussions which all contributed towards the successful completion of this study: Morkel Boshoff, Susan Milne, Frans Magwai, Michael Mosipa, John Tsatsi, Clifford Matjiane, Hendrik Kgaga, Ruth Morobane, Heleen Smith, Jenny Cornelius, Ben Orban, Francois Nel, John Wise, Eddy Krecek, Laura Lopez-Rebollar and Jan van Rensburg. Professors Ivan Horak, Roy Tustin, Herman Groenewalt and Banie Penzhorn also provided editorial assistance and motivation.

Doctors Laura Lopez-Rebollar, Peter Briggs and David Gerber, Professors Enette van Dyk and Dietrich Volkman, and the staff at the Equine Clinic of the Faculty are thanked for medical assistance with the donkeys. Many thanks to Carien Muller and Gertie Pretorius from the Clinical Pathology Laboratory for the monthly blood analyses. I am grateful to Professor Hennie Groeneveld of the Department of Statistics and Doctor Mike van der Linde of the Division of Academic Computing, Department of Information Technology of the University of Pretoria for their statistical support.

Financial assistance and sponsorship was provided by Bayer South Africa, Equine Research Centre, National Research Foundation, South African Veterinary Association, and University of Pretoria. Fort Dodge Animal Health sponsored the anthelmintics that were used in the study.



To my friends who supported me, your friendship and encouragement is much appreciated.

My family played a tremendous role throughout my studies and I thank them for their love, support and encouragement, in particular my mother and farther. I would like to thank my husband, Conrad, whose attitude to life and research is as much an inspiration as his endless love and support. Finally, I thank God for giving me an appreciation for his creatures.



DECLARATION

This study on the helminths of the donkeys would not have been successfully completed without the help of various people. Miss R. Morobane was appointed to technically assist with the project during 1997 as part of her practical year that was required to complete her veterinary technology diploma at the Pretoria Technikon. Miss S.A. Milne was appointed in the same position at the start of 1998 and was then appointed as a technical assistant until the end of 1999. Apart from assisting me with daily activities, Miss S.A. Milne helped with the washing of herbage samples and isolation and counting of pasture larvae and will be a co-author on the article regarding this part of the study. In addition, she also aided extensively with the post-mortem procedures towards the end of the study (January 1999). Mr M. Boshoff, a technical assistant of the Department of Veterinary Tropical Diseases, provided assistance during the 16-month trial, spanning most of 1997 - 1998, and also provided helped with the post-mortem procedures. Helminth parasites were recovered from the post-mortem material with the aid of Mr J. van Rensburg and worms were mounted for identification with the assistance of Dr L. Lopez-Rebollar. Miss S.A. Milne performed the en face cuts of the worm heads under the supervision of Professor R.C. Krecek. With the exception of the above-mentioned support, this thesis is the candidate's own original work. It has not been previously submitted and is not concurrently being submitted in candidature for any other degree.

Candidate.

S. Matthee



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT | |
|--|-----|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | VI |
| DECLARATION | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | IX |
| LIST OF FIGURES | XII |
| LIST OF TABLES | XV |
| CHAPTER 1 | 1 |
| GENERAL INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| CHAPTER 2 | 5 |
| LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| The role and management of donkeys in South Africa | |
| 2. Helminth parasites of donkeys | |
| The effect of helminth parasitism on donkeys | |
| 4. Methods to detect helminth parasites. | |
| 5. Helminth control methods for donkeys in Africa | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 3 | |
| METHODS USED IN THE STUDY | 22 |
| 1. Weekly exercise | 22 |
| 2. Live weights | 22 |
| McMaster technique and pooled larval cultures | 23 |
| 4. Adhesive tape swab technique for detecting Oxyuris equi eggs | 25 |
| 5. Faecal egg count reduction test | |
| 6. Standard haematology analysis of blood samples collected from the donkeys | 28 |
| 7. Filtering of blood samples and staining to detect Setaria equina | |
| 8. Body condition score of the donkeys | 29 |
| 9. Body measurements of the donkeys | |
| 10. Collection of herbage samples. | |
| 11. Processing of herbage samples | 35 |
| 12. Isolation of parasitic L ₃ from suspended soil and extraneous material | |
| 13. Verification of the efficacy of the combination of machine washing and centrifugation | |
| technique used to determine parasitic nematode larvae in herbage samples | 37 |
| 14. Pasture infectivity as a function of the faecal egg counts and the amount of faecal material | |
| produced | 38 |
| 15. Grazing consumed by the donkeys in each camp | |
| 16. Necropsy and mucosal larval recovery techniques | |
| 17. Processing of intestinal washings and ingesta for worm recovery and identification | 42 |
| CHAPTER 4 | 43 |
| THE EFFECT OF ALTERNATIVE MANAGEMENT INTERVENTIONS ON THE LEVELS | 3 |
| OF HELMINTHS IN LIVE DONKEYS AND ON PASTURE | 43 |
| 1. Introduction | 43 |
| 2. Materials and methods | |
| 2.1. Study area | |
| | |



| 2.2. Study animals | 48 |
|--|-------|
| 2.3. Basic outline of the activities and management systems used in the field study | |
| 2.4. Variables recorded from the donkeys throughout the study | |
| 2.5. Daily and hourly variation in the donkeys' faecal worm egg counts | |
| 2.6. Pasture sampling and determination of its parasitic nematode larval population | |
| 2.7. Data analysis | |
| 3. Results | 54 |
| 3.1. Egg and larval species composition in the faeces of donkeys | |
| 3.2. Fluctuations in faecal worm egg counts | |
| 3.3. Body measurements. | |
| 3.4. Monthly and seasonal faecal egg counts of the donkeys | |
| 3.5. The effect of alternative helminth control methods on the host condition indices | |
| 3.6. Larval numbers recovered from pasture | |
| 3.7. Results of the technique used to isolate cyathostome third-stage larvae (L ₃) from her samples | bage |
| 3.8. Pasture grazing and faecal production by the donkeys | 69 |
| 4. Discussion | |
| 4.1. Egg counts, pasture larvae and their seasonal distribution | |
| 4.2. Daily fluctuations in the faecal nematode egg counts | |
| 4.3. Isolation of parasitic L ₃ from suspended soil and extraneous material using a combine of herbage washing and centrifugation in a sugar solution | ation |
| 4.4. Body measurements of the donkeys | |
| 4.5. Removal of faeces from the pastures on a monthly basis and its effect on pasture larv | |
| burdens, host nematode burdens and the condition of the working donkeys | 78 |
| 4.6. Pre-winter moxidectin treatment and its effect on pasture larval burdens, host nemate burdens and the condition of the working donkeys | 80 |
| 4.7. The combination of monthly faecal removal from camps and pre-winter treatment of donkeys with moxidectin and its effect on pasture larval burdens, host nematode bur and the condition of the working donkeys | dens |
| 4.8. Conclusion. | |
| | |
| CHAPTER 5 | 86 |
| THE EFFECT OF THE THREE MANAGEMENT INTERVENTIONS ON THE HELMIN | NTHS |
| AND GASTEROPHILIIDS RECOVERED FROM THE DONKEYS AT NECROPSY | |
| 1. Introduction | 86 |
| 2. Materials and Methods | 88 |
| 2.1. Study animals and experimental design. | |
| 3. Results | |
| 3.1. Helminth species | |
| 3.2. The numbers and the distribution sites of the helminths in the donkeys | 93 |
| 3.3. Helminth numbers recorded from the donkeys | 94 |
| 3.4. Mucosal larval stages | 97 |
| 4. Discussion | |
| 4.1. Prevalence of helminth species in the donkeys | |
| 4.2. Alternative helminth control methods and their effect on the host's helminth burden | |
| 4.3. Mucosal larval stages, their recovery methods and distribution pattern | 106 |
| 4.4. Conclusion. | |
| CHAPTER 6 | 110 |



| Cylicocyclus asinus sp. n. (NEMATODA: STRONGYLOIDAE: CYATH | OSTOMINAE) FROM |
|--|-----------------|
| DONKEYS, Equus asinus, IN SOUTH AFRICA | |
| 1. Introduction | |
| 2. Materials and methods | 111 |
| 3. Results | 112 |
| 3.1. General | |
| 3.2. Description | 113 |
| 4. Discussion | 117 |
| GENERAL CONCLUSION | 119 |
| SUMMARY | 121 |
| OPSOMMING | 123 |
| REFERENCES | 125 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| | | Page |
|-----------|--|------|
| Figure 1. | An illustration of the eight camps in which the 24 donkeys were housed during the 16-month alternative helminth control trial at the University of Pretoria, South Africa. Camp size is given in m ² . The management systems (MS) are indicated and correspond to those in Table 4. | 47 |
| Figure 2. | Map of South Africa and the provinces from which the 24 donkeys originated include Eastern Cape, North-West, Northern and Mpumalanga. | 48 |
| Figure 3. | Broken tail hair of a donkey caused by the rubbing of its tail base against a fence in an attempt to ease the irritation caused by the presence of gelatinous substances associated with Oxyuris equi eggs around the donkey's anal opening. | 56 |
| Figure 4. | Scatterplot of actual live weight of donkeys compared to the live weight predicted by the body condition score-heart girth-length formula. | 59 |
| Figure 5. | Seasonal average faecal egg counts, based on counts obtained for the animals in the control camps and those in the camps from which faeces were removed from the camps on a monthly basis (MS B), compared to the monthly rainfall that was recorded at the camps. | 60 |
| Figure 6. | Seasonal average faecal egg counts, based on counts obtained from the donkeys in the pre-winter moxidectin treatment camps (MS C) and from the combination of monthly removal of faeces and pre-winter moxidectin treatment camps (MS D), compared to the monthly rainfall that was recorded at the camps. | 61 |



Figure 7. 64 Average rate of weight increase of the animals in the control camps and those in the three different alternative helminth control camps starting at 1 = November 1997; 7 = May 1998; 15 = January 1999. 65 Figure 8. Average rate of body condition increase of the animals in the control camps and those in the three different alternative helminth control camps starting at 1 = November 1997; 7 = May 1998; 15 = January 1999. Figure 9. The average number of third-stage larvae (L3) and the monthly rainfall 66 recorded from the control and MS B (monthly faecal removal) camps from 1 October 1997 to 31 January 1999. Figure 10. The average number of third-stage larvae (L3) and the monthly rainfall 67 recorded from the MS C (pre-winter treatment) and MS D (monthly faecal removal and pre-winter treatment) camps from 1 October 1997 to 31 January 1999. Figure 11. 68 Linear regression analyses of the estimated pasture larval counts before and after using the combination larval recovery technique on 35 seeded herbage samples. Figure 12. 96 The distribution patterns of 21 cyathostome species in the large intestine of the nine donkeys necropsied (Percentage of cyathostomes at each site). Figure 13. Cumulative percentages of contributions played by the compartments of 98 the large intestine in harbouring the encysted cyathostome larval burdens (LL₃ and DL₄) using TMI and large intestinal wall weight (g) from nine of the donkeys.



115

116

Figures 14a - j Drawings of Cylicocyclus asinus sp. n. Scale bars = 50 μm (Figure h), 100 μm (Figures b, c, g, i, j) and 200 μm (Figures a, d, e, f). a. Anterior end, lateral view. b. Buccal capsule, lateral view. c. Buccal capsule, dorsal view. d. Female tail, lateral view. e. Male tail, lateral view. f. Male tail, dorsal view. g. Appendages of genital cone, ventral view, showing prebursal papillae (arrows). h. Fused spicule tips of male. i. Gubernaculum of male. j. Genital cone of male with gubernaculum, lateral view, showing paired dorsal papillae (left arrow), ventral papilla (middle arrow) and prebursal papilla (right arrow).

Figures 15a - f Photomicrographs of Cylicocyclus asimus sp. n. Scale bars = 50 μm (Figures b, c, d) and 100 μm (Figures a, e, f). a. Oesophageal region, dorsoventral view, showing the position of the cervical papillae (arrows). b. Buccal capsule, dorsoventral view, showing ring-like thickening at base of capsule (r) and submedian papillae. c. Buccal capsule, lateral view. d. Lateral papilla protruding through mouth collar. e. Female tail, showing anus and vulva (arrows) and ovejectors, including vestibule (v) and sphincters (s). f. Male tail, lateral view.



LIST OF TABLES

| | | Page |
|----------|--|------|
| Table 1. | Guide to the body condition scoring of working donkeys. | 31 |
| Table 2. | The seven dominant grass species and one herb species present and their abundance in the eight camps that formed part of the 16-month donkey helminth parasite study. | 47 |
| Table 3. | Animal number, place of origin, sex, weight, age and body condition score (BCS) of the 24 donkeys on their arrival July - October 1997 at the University of Pretoria. | 49 |
| Table 4. | The four management systems and the associated camp numbers that were tested at the University of Pretoria from 1 October 1997 to 31 January 1999. | 51 |
| Table 5. | The average larval species composition in the 23 donkeys. Each donkey was exposed to one of four different management systems from 1 October 1997 to 31 January 1999. The management systems are indicated and correspond to those in Table 4. | 56 |
| Table 6. | Average daily faecal egg counts from 22 of the 23 donkeys. | 57 |
| Table 7. | Average faecal strongyle egg counts from 11 donkeys that received a single treatment of 0.4 mg/kg moxidectin and the control animals. | 62 |



| Table 8. | Average eggs per gram of faeces, range and cumulative percentage above 500 epg for those donkeys treated once with 0.4 mg/kg moxidectin in two | 62 |
|-----------|--|----|
| | of the management systems. MS C = pre-winter moxidectin treatment, | |
| | MS D = combination of monthly faecal removal and pre-winter | |
| | moxidectin treatment. | |
| Table 9. | Average ± SD of haemaglobin (Hb), packed cell volume (PCV) and white | 64 |
| | cell count (WCC) of the 23 donkeys from 1 October 1997 to 31 May 1998 | |
| | and from 1 June to 31 January 1999. | |
| Table 10. | The average amount of faeces ± SD (dry weight) recorded per month from | 69 |
| | the pastures during winter and summer. MS B = monthly faecal removal, | |
| | MS D = monthly faecal removal and pre-winter treatment. | |
| Table 11. | Species of cyathostomes (adult stages) recovered from the nine donkeys | 89 |
| | (identifications were done according to the descriptions of Boulenger, | |
| | 1920, Lichtenfels, 1975 and Lichtenfels et al., 1998a and species names | |
| | follow those of Lichtenfels et al., 1998b). | |
| Table 12. | The non-cyathostome helminth and oestrid fly larvae species recovered | 90 |
| | from the nine donkeys necropsied (identifications were done according to | |
| | the descriptions of Theiler, 1923; Zumpt, 1965; Lichtenfels, 1975; | |
| | Reinecke, 1983; Krecek et al., 1997). | |
| Table 13. | Strongyle burdens recovered from the nine donkeys necropsied. | 92 |
| Table 14. | Non-strongylid burdens recovered from the nine donkeys necropsied. | 93 |



Table 15. 95 Total helminth count, total number of cyathostomes, number of adult cyathostomes, lumenal L4 and encysted larvae counts, recovered by TMI and DIG, recorded from eight of the nine donkeys necropsied. MS B = monthly faecal removal, MS C = pre-winter moxidectin treatment, and MS D = combination of monthly faecal removal and pre-winter treatment. Table 16. Comparison of the estimated total encysted larval counts per donkey using 98 the same tissue samples first for counts made by transmural illumination (TMI) and second by peptic digestion (DIG). Table 17. Animal number, place of origin, sex and age of the seven donkeys, 112 necropsied during January 1998 and 1999 that harboured the previously unknown cyathostome species. Table 18. Principal measurements given as ranges and averages of Cylicocyclus 117 asimus sp. n. recovered from the seven donkeys (all measurements in micrometers unless otherwise stated).