



University of Pretoria

Fatty acid intercalated layered double hydroxides as additives for Jojoba oil and polymer matrices

by

Lumbidzani Moyo

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Philosophiae Doctor in Chemical Technology

in the

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, BUILT ENVIRONMENT AND
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA**

Pretoria

November 2012

Declaration

I, Lumbidzani Moyo, the undersigned, declare that the thesis that I hereby submit for the degree PhD in Chemical Technology at the University of Pretoria is my own work, and has not previously been submitted by me for degree purposes or examination at this or any other university.

Pretoria, November 2012

.....
Lumbidzani Moyo

Fatty acid intercalated layered double hydroxides as additives for Jojoba oil and polymer matrices

Student: Lumbidzani Moyo

Supervisor: Prof. Walter W. Focke

Co-supervisor: Dr. Frederick J. W. Labuschagne

Department: Chemical Engineering

University: University of Pretoria

Degree: PhD (Chemical Technology)

Synopsis

Fatty acid intercalated layered double hydroxides were used as additives for Jojoba oil and polymer matrices. The first phase of the study was to intercalate carboxylic acids (C_{14} to C_{22}). These were successfully intercalated into layered double hydroxides (LDHs), with the formula $[Mg_{0.7}Al_{0.3}(OH)_2](CO_3)_{0.15} \cdot 0.5H_2O$. The one-pot synthesis consistently yielded a bilayer intercalated product for the range of acids employed. The intercalated anions had an orientation tilt angle of $55\text{--}63^\circ$, depending on the length of the fatty acid chain. However, there is an indication that the anion exchange process employed in this study is accompanied by probable dissolution and recrystallisation of the LDH. This is supported by the different growth habits and sizes of platelets observed through scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Moreover, the organo-LDH platelets were found to have varying M^{II}/M^{III} compositions, ranging from 1.65 to 6, indicating that the one-pot synthesis yields an array of mixed metal hydroxides.

Polymer composites, containing 5% and 10 wt.% of stearate intercalated layered double hydroxides (LDH-stearate) and neat layered double hydroxides (LDH- CO_3), were prepared via melt-compounding to explore the use of LDHs as an additive. The stearate modified starting material was bilayer-intercalated clay. During melt compounding, excess stearates were released and the clay reverted to a monolayer-intercalated form. Comprehensive characterisation and study of the fatty acid-intercalated LDH showed that these organoclay hybrids exhibit thermotropic behaviour. This behaviour ultimately leads to the exudation of

excess fatty acid. The exuded stearates were found to have lubricating and plasticising effects on the poly(ethylene-co-vinyl acetate) (EVA) and linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) matrices. Strong hydrogen bond interactions between the chains of poly(ethylene-co-vinyl alcohol) (EVAL) and the clay platelet surfaces overwhelmed the lubrication effect and caused an increase in the melt viscosity of this matrix. The notched Charpy impact strength of this composite was almost double that of the neat polymer. It appears that this can be attributed to the ability of the highly dispersed and randomly oriented nanosized clay platelets to promote extensive internal microcavitation during impact loading. The creation of a large internal surface area provided the requisite energy dissipation mechanism.

The study also considered fatty acid-intercalated LDH as an argillaceous mineral for potential use as a rheological additive in Jojoba oil. A minimum of 20 wt.% LDH in Jojoba oil formulation was found to be stable, i.e. it did not form separate layers on standing. The viscosity of the neat Jojoba oil demonstrated Newtonian behaviour, whereas the modified LDH/Jojoba oil formulation shear thinned, which is a typical non-Newtonian behaviour. Viscosity as a function of temperature showed complex rheological behaviour for the long chain fatty acids C₁₆ to C₂₂. The viscosity increase is assumed to be due to a combination of three events, which include the formation and changes of LDH microstructures within the oil, the loss of excess fatty acids into the oil matrix, and the formation of fatty acid crystal networks. Shear action also induced some delamination of the clay platelets.

Keywords: Layered double hydroxides, Intercalation, Fatty acid, Nanocomposites, Thickener

Acknowledgements

The author would like to extend her sincere gratitude to:

- The Lord Almighty, my El Shaddai, for His blessings and the grace that He has abundantly bestowed on me.
- Prof. Walter W. Focke for his guidance and support, as well as the contributions and encouragement that he gave me throughout the course of this work.
- My co-supervisor Dr Johan Labuschagne for the discussions as we tried to understand and explain the numerous results obtained.
- Bervelie Davies and Lynn O’Niell for taking time to read and edit my thesis.
- Wiebke Grote (XRD), Chris van der Merwe, Alan Hall (TEM), Andre Botha (SEM) and Onius Sitando (ICP-OES).
- My colleagues at the Institute of Applied Materials, University of Pretoria, Pedro Massinga Jr, Hermínio Muiambo, Nontete Nhlapo, Shephard Tichapondwa, Washington Mhike, Mthokozisi Sibanda, Shatish Ramjee and Hendrik Oosthuizen, for their unending support and companionship.
- Terence and Naardirah who assisted in carrying out some of the experimental work.
- My parents Anderson and Ethel – thank you for your unwavering support, I could have not asked for a better pair. I’m truly blessed. To my brothers Busisa, Zwelithini, Loius and Andy – thank you for the encouragement. I am what I am because of you. Percy – thank you for the insightful discussions.
- Last but not least, I would like to thank my husband Mthulisi Nxumalo, for his patience and understanding during the course of my studies.

Preface

The central theme of the study was to explore the nature and properties of fatty acid modified layered double hydroxides (LDHs) and their potential use as additives in polymer matrices and Jojoba oil. The first phase of the project involved intercalating long chain fatty acids, i.e. from C₁₄ to C₂₂, and fully characterising the modified LDH. The stearic acid (C₁₈) intercalant was ultimately chosen due to its abundance and availability, its substantially long chain length and its reproducibility during the intercalation trials for the implementation of the stages that followed. The second and third phases entailed the use of stearate modified LDH (LDH-stearate) in the preparation of polymer composites and as an additive in Jojoba oil. The thesis is so structured that these three different phases of the study are presented in self-contained chapters (i.e. Chapters 2–4). The highlight of the work is the improvement of the mechanical properties of LDH-based polymer nanocomposites. LDHs offer an alternative to natural clay minerals such as smectites, which have been traditionally used in clay nanocomposites.

The thesis comprises five chapters, each with its own list of references, and appendices:

Chapter 1 is an introduction to the study, with a brief overview of the nature and history of layered double hydroxides (LDHs) and of the two main areas under review in this study, i.e. LDH-based polymer composites and the possible use of LDHs as argillaceous material in Jojoba oil.

Chapter 2 examines the nature, structure, formulae and preparation techniques for LDHs. It further introduces the reader to intercalation, modification methods and the orientation of intercalated fatty acids. It also gives comprehensive details of the intercalation procedure used and the characterisation of the fatty acid-intercalated LDHs used in this study.

Chapter 3 defines polymer composites and distinguishes nanocomposites from conventional composites. It looks into the different preparation techniques for LDH-based polymer composites. The chapter also reviews the properties observed in the resultant composites. It presents a full characterisation of the LDH-based polymer composites of three different polymer matrices. The composites obtained were fully characterised with

regard to the type of composite, thermal stability, mechanical properties and viscoelastic behaviour.

Chapter 4 examines the anomalous thickening mechanism of LDH-stearate in Jojoba oil as a function of temperature. A brief overview of the colloidal dispersions of LDHs in different media, i.e. aqueous, non-aqueous and emulsions is given.

Chapter 5 summarises the study and the key findings. As the concluding chapter, it provides recommendations on future research in the field and other possible applications of LDHs.

The **References** provide a record of the literature consulted in the course of this study, which was also used to elucidate the findings of the study.

The **Appendices** contain complementary and supplementary data generated during the study; these are divided according to the corresponding chapters.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SYNOPSIS	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	III
PREFACE.....	IV
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF TABLES.....	XVI
LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS.....	XVIII
CHAPTER 1	1
1 INTRODUCTION.....	2
1.1 LAYERED DOUBLE HYDROXIDES.....	2
1.2 LDH-BASED POLYMER COMPOSITES	3
1.3 LDH/JOJOBA OIL SUSPENSIONS	6
1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVE	6
1.4.1 Methodology	7
1.5 REFERENCES.....	8
CHAPTER 2	11
2 LAYERED DOUBLE HYDROXIDES.....	12
2.1 WHAT IS A LAYERED DOUBLE HYDROXIDE?	12
2.2 LDH PREPARATION ROUTES.....	14
2.2.1 Co-precipitation.....	14
2.2.2 Urea hydrolysis	15
2.2.3 Sol-gel.....	16
2.2.4 Post-preparation techniques.....	17
2.2.5 Texture and morphology.....	18
2.3 INTERCALATION.....	19
2.3.1 Intercalation methods	20
2.3.2 Orientation of intercalated fatty acids	22
2.4 CHARACTERISATION OF LDH AND MODIFIED DERIVATIVES.....	25
2.5 EXPERIMENTAL	25
2.5.1 Materials	25

2.5.2	Preparation of organo-LDH.....	26
2.5.3	Characterisation.....	27
2.6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	29
2.6.1	Composition and morphology.....	29
2.6.2	X-ray diffraction analysis	33
2.6.3	Fourier transform infrared analysis (FTIR)	34
2.6.4	Thermal analysis	38
2.7	CONCLUSIONS.....	46
2.8	REFERENCES.....	47
CHAPTER 3		55
3	PROPERTIES OF LDH/POLYMER AND NANOCOMPOSITES	56
3.1	POLYMER COMPOSITES.....	56
3.2	POLYMER COMPOSITE STRUCTURES	58
3.2.1	Phase separated composites	58
3.2.2	Intercalated composites	58
3.2.3	Exfoliation/delamination composites	59
3.3	LDH-BASED POLYMER COMPOSITE PREPARATION.....	60
3.3.1	In situ polymerisation	60
3.3.2	Solution intercalation.....	62
3.3.3	Melt processing	63
3.4	PROPERTIES OF LDH-BASED POLYMER NANOCOMPOSITES.....	65
3.4.1	Physical properties	66
3.4.2	Mechanical properties.....	69
3.5	EXPERIMENTAL	75
3.5.1	Materials	75
3.5.2	Preparation of LDH-stearate.....	75
3.5.3	Preparation of polymer/LDH-St.....	75
3.5.4	Characterisation.....	76
3.6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	77
3.6.1	X-ray diffraction.....	82
3.6.2	Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)	84
3.6.3	Melt viscosity.....	86
3.6.4	Viscoelastic properties.....	89

3.6.5	Mechanical properties.....	91
3.6.6	Thermal analysis	96
3.7	CONCLUSION.....	101
3.8	REFERENCES.....	103
CHAPTER 4		112
4	ORGANO-LDH/OIL SUSPENSIONS	113
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	113
4.2	RHEOLOGY.....	113
4.3	THICKENING MECHANISM.....	116
4.4	COLLOIDAL DISPERSIONS.....	117
4.4.1	Clay dispersion in aqueous media	118
4.4.2	Clay dispersion in non-aqueous media.....	118
4.4.3	Clay dispersion in emulsions	120
4.5	EXPERIMENTAL	121
4.5.1	Materials	121
4.5.2	Preparation of fatty acid-intercalated hydrotalcite	121
4.5.3	Preparation of 30 wt.% LDH-fatty acid/Jojoba oil formulation	122
4.5.4	Characterisation.....	122
4.6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	124
4.6.1	Organo-layered double hydroxides (organo-LDHs)	124
4.6.2	Jojoba oil/LDH-derivative formulation	130
4.7	CONCLUSION.....	143
4.8	REFERENCES.....	144
CHAPTER 5		148
5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....		149
APPENDIX A: PUBLICATIONS AND CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS.....		151
APPENDIX B: FATTY ACID-INTERCALATED LAYERED DOUBLE HYDROXIDES		152
APPENDIX C: LDH-BASED POLYMER COMPOSITES.....		168
APPENDIX D: ORGANO-LDH / JOJOBA OIL SUSPENSION		199

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Global application market share projections for polymer composites (Adapted from Research and Markets, http://www.researchandmarkets.com)	4
Figure 1.2. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) melting endotherm and hot stage microscopy of LDH-stearate (Nhlapo <i>et al.</i> , 2008).....	5
Figure 2.1. Layered structure of LDH-CO ₃	13
Figure 2.2. Common habits of smectite single crystallites (Adapted from Grim & Güven, 1978)	18
Figure 2.3. Intercalation	19
Figure 2.4. Orientation of intercalated fatty acids.....	23
Figure 2.5. Effect of chain length on the close packing of intercalated fatty acids (Adapted from Kanicky & Shah, 2002)	24
Figure 2.6. Effect of pH on the close packing intercalated fatty acid (Adapted from Kanicky & Shah, 2002)	24
Figure 2.7. (a) SEM; (b), (c) and (d) TEM micrographs of neat LDH-CO ₃	30
Figure 2.8. SEM micrographs of the LDH samples: (a) LDH-myristate; (b) LDH-palmitate; (c) LDH-stearate; and (d) LDH-behenate	31
Figure 2.9. EDS data showing different compositions of LDH-palmitate platelets with Mg:Al ratios of (a) 2.09 and (b) 6.95	32
Figure 2.10. WAXS diffractograms of the neat and modified LDH.....	33
Figure 2.11. Increase in basal spacing with increase in alkyl chain lengths (○) obtained experimentally in this study and (◆) obtained from theoretical calculations	34
Figure 2.12. Pristine LDH and its typical FTIR vibrations	35
Figure 2.13. FTIR spectra of pristine and modified LDHs.....	36
Figure 2.14. FTIR zoom of the modified LDH.....	37
Figure 2.16. Temperature scan XRD of LDH-fatty acids	40
Figure 2.17. Effect of temperature on the peak position of the $\nu_{as}(\text{CH}_2)$ band in the FTIR spectra for LDH-stearate, and the corresponding DSC	41
Figure 2.18. TG and DTG of the LDH-CO ₃ indicating the different decomposition stages	42

Figure 2.19. Thermogravimetric analysis: (a) % mass loss and (b) derivative mass loss of pristine and modified LDHs	43
Figure 2.20. Evolved gas analysis for LDH-stearate	43
Figure 2.21. X-ray diffractograms for magnesium stearate, aluminium stearate and magnesium/aluminium stearate prepared by heating an aqueous suspension of the former two reagents in the presence of Tween 60	45
Figure 3.1. Polymer composite structures	59
Figure 3.2. Schematic pathways of in situ polymerisation within the LDH layers in the preparation of polymer/LDH nanocomposites (Adapted from Costa <i>et al.</i> , 2008).....	61
Figure 3.3. Characterisation of LDH-based polymer composites	65
Figure 3.4. Mixing rule conditions for layered composites (Adapted from Verbeek & Focke, 2002).....	70
Figure 3.5. Craze yielding (Adapted from MIT Open Course Ware, 2009).....	71
Figure 3.6. Shear banding (Adapted from MIT Open Course Ware, 2009).....	72
Figure 3.7. Polymer-toughening mechanism with rigid particles (Kim <i>et al.</i> , 1998) (Figure adapted from Zuiderduin <i>et al.</i> , 2003).....	72
Figure 3.8. Freeze-fractured surface of neat EVA, EVA/LDH-St and EVA/LDH-CO ₃ . The latter two samples contained 10 wt.% filler	79
Figure 3.9. Freeze-fractured surface of neat EVAL, EVAL/LDH-St and EVAL/LDH-CO ₃ . The latter two samples contained 10 wt.% filler	80
Figure 3.10. Freeze-fractured surface of neat LLDPE, LLDPE/LDH-St and LLDPE LDH-CO ₃ . The latter two samples contained 10 wt.% filler	81
Figure 3.11. XRD diffractograms (WAXS) of the pristine, modified LDH and the 10 wt.% polymer composites indicating the relevant basal spacing	82
Figure 3.12. TEM images of the 10 wt.% polymer/LDH composites of (a) EVA/ LDH-St; (b) EVAL/LDH-St; (c) LLDPE/LDH-St; (d) EVA/LDH-CO ₃ ; (e) EVAL/LDH-CO ₃ and (f) LLDPE/ LDH-CO ₃	84
Figure 3.13. Agglomeration observed in the different matrices in SEM micrographs	85
Figure 3.14. Schematic of the ‘house-of-cards’ structure: (a) LLDPE/LDH-CO ₃ showing an agglomerate with face-to-edge interactions and (b) with edge-to-edge interactions	86
Figure 3.15. Effect of LDH incorporation on the viscosity of the polymers LLDPE, EVA and EVAL at 190 °C	88

Figure 3.16. DMA data for the storage modulus and $\tan \delta$ of LLDPE and its 10 wt.% derivative composites	89
Figure 3.17. DMA data for the storage modulus and $\tan \delta$ of EVA and its 10 wt.% derivative composites	90
Figure 3.18. DMA data for the storage modulus and $\tan \delta$ of EVAL and its 10 wt.% derivative composites	91
Figure 3.19. Optical light microscope side-views of Charpy impact test specimen of EVAL: (a) neat, (b) LDH-stearate composite and (c) LDH-CO ₃ 10 wt.% composite	94
Figure 3.20. Top view of the EVAL/LDH-St tensile impact test specimen showing: (a) debonding and (b) fibrillation	95
Figure 3.21. TG data for EVAL and derivative composites	97
Figure 3.22. DSC cooling traces of each of the 10 wt.% polymer composite systems	98
Figure 3.23. POM images of neat LLDPE and derivative composites (scale bar is 40 μm)	99
Figure 4.1. The parallel plate depiction of steady state viscous shear flow (Focke, 2012)	114
Figure 4.2. Viscosity curve of (a) Newtonian and (b) non-Newtonian fluids	115
Figure 4.3. Soft microstructure, characterising the system as a “soft-glass” or “gel” (Stokes & Frith, 2008).....	117
Figure 4.4. SEM micrographs of the LDH-stearates E and S used in the Jojoba oil formulation	124
Figure 4.5. (a) Schematic illustration illustration of silicon dangling bond (Kasap 2001) and (b) euhedral and subhedral crystals arrows indicating dangling bonds.....	125
Figure 4.6. XRD diffractograms of: (a) LDH-St (E) and (b) LDH-St (S).....	126
Figure 4.7. FTIR spectrum of the LDH-St	128
Figure 4.8. Viscosity curves as a function of temperature of: (a) 30 wt.% LDH-St (E) and (b) 30 wt.% LDH-St (S) (The heating run is shown in red and the cooling run in blue).....	129
Figure 4.9. Arbitrarily scaled X-ray diffractograms for stearic acid, LDH-CO ₃ , LDH-stearate (S) and a 30 wt.% dispersion of LDH-stearate (S) in Jojoba oil prepared at a temperature of 80 °C.....	130
Figure 4.10. Viscosity-temperature curves of Jojoba oil/stearic acid suspensions heated at 5 °C/min from 10 to 90°C and cooled at the same rate back to 10 °C (The heating runs are shown in red and the cooling run in blue)	131

Figure 4.11. DSC traces for neat Jojoba oil and stearic acid as well as a 60:40 blend of the oil with the acid; samples were heated at 5 °C/min from -40 to 200 °C and cooled at the same rate back to -40 °C.....	131
Figure 4.12. Hot-stage optical microscopy of Jojoba oil suspension containing 20 wt.% stearic acid (magnification bar: 40µm)	132
Figure 4.13. General illustration of a Jojoba oil-stearic acid phase diagram	133
Figure 4.14. The effect of shear rate and LDH-St content on the viscosity of Jojoba oil suspensions (the temperature was kept constant at 30 °C).....	134
Figure 4.15. Comparison of the Jojoba oil thickening efficiency of 30 wt.% Mg-stearate, Al-stearate and LDH-St (the temperature was 30 °C)	134
Figure 4.16. Effect of the presence of small amounts of alcohols (5 wt.%) to 25 wt.% LDH-St suspension in Jojoba oil on the suspension viscosity	135
Figure 4.17. The effect of temperature on the viscosity of Jojoba oil and a 30 wt.% LDH-St suspensions subjected to a heating-cooling cycle (The shear rate was 30 s ⁻¹ ; the temperature was scanned at 5 °C/min from 10 to 90 °C and back. The heating run is shown in red and the cooling run in blue)	136
Figure 4.18. Viscosity-temperature heating run subdivided into three stages	137
Figure 4.19. Viscosity-temperature cooling run subdivided into four stages	139
Figure 4.20. Comparison of the Jojoba oil thickening efficiency of Mg-St, Al-St and LDH-St, all at a loading of 30 wt.%. (The shear rate was 5 s ⁻¹ ; temperature was scanned at 5 °C/min from 10 to 90 °C and back. The heating runs are shown in red and the cooling runs in blue coloured symbols).....	140
Figure 4.21. X-ray diffractograms of stearic acid, LDH-St and the LDH-St/Jojoba oil formulation	141
Figure 4.22. FTIR spectra of 30 wt.% LDH-St/Jojoba oil formulation obtained as temperature is increased	142
Figure B-1. Fatty/carboxylic acids used in the study	152
Figure B-2. XRD diffractograms for LDH-myristate	154
Figure B-3. XRD diffractograms for LDH-palmitate	155
Figure B-4. XRD diffractograms for LDH-behenate	156
Figure B-5. XRD diffractogram of co-intercalated organo-LDH	157
Figure B-6. SEM micrographs of co-intercalated LDHs.....	159

Figure B-7. LDH-CO ₃ SEM micrograph, X-ray and composition of platelets.....	160
Figure B-8. LDH-myristate SEM micrograph, X-ray and composition of platelets	161
Figure B-9. LDH-palmitate SEM micrograph, X-ray and composition of platelets	162
Figure B-10. LDH-St SEM micrograph, X-ray and composition of platelets	162
Figure B-11. LDH-behenate SEM micrograph, X-ray and composition of platelets.....	163
Figure B-12. LDH-palmitate and myristate TG profile.....	165
Figure B-13. LDH-behenate TG profile.....	166
Figure C-1. FTIR of the neat and composite derivatives	176
Figure C-2. TEM micrographs of the 5 wt.% LDH-carbonate polymer composites	177
Figure C-3. TEM micrographs of the 5 wt.% LDH-stearate polymer composites	178
Figure C-4. Dynamic mechanical properties of 5% filler formulations.....	179
Figure C-5. Tensile strength and tensile impact test summary of neat EVAL and derivative composites	180
Figure C-6. Tensile strength and tensile impact test summary of neat EVA and derivative composites	181
Figure C-7. Tensile strength and tensile impact test summary of neat LLDPE and derivative composites	182
Figure C-8. Tensile test results	183
Figure C-9. SEM micrographs of fractured surfaces from the Charpy impact test and corresponding data (composites of 10 wt.% LDH).....	184
Figure C-10. DSC scans of EVA and derivative composites	185
Figure C-11. DSC scans of EVAL and derivative composites.....	185
Figure C-12. DSC scans of LLDPE and derivative composites	186
Figure C-13. DSC scans of EVAL and derivative composites.....	186
Figure C-14. DSC scans of EVA and derivative composites	187
Figure C-15. DSC scans of LLDPE and derivative composites	187
Figure C-16. TG data of EVA and derivative composites	188
Figure C-17. TG data of EVA and derivative composites	188
Figure C-18. Evolved gas analysis of neat EVAL by TG-FTIR.....	189

Figure C-19. Evolved gas analysis of EVAL/5% LDH-St by TG-FTIR	190
Figure C-20. Evolved gas analysis of EVAL/10% LDH-St by TG-FTIR.....	191
Figure C-21. Evolved gas analysis of EVAL/5% LDH-CO ₃ by TG-FTIR	192
Figure C-22. Evolved gas analysis of EVAL/10% LDH-CO ₃ by TG-FTIR	193
Figure C-23. Evolved gas analysis of neat EVA by TG-FTIR.....	194
Figure C-24. Evolved gas analysis of EVA/5% LDH-St by TG-FTIR.....	195
Figure C-25. Evolved gas analysis of EVA/10% LDH-St by TG-FTIR.....	196
Figure C-26. Evolved gas analysis of EVA/5% LDH-CO ₃ by TG-FTIR	197
Figure C-27. Evolved gas analysis of EVA/10% LDH-CO ₃ by TG-FTIR.....	198
Figure D-1. Viscosity-temperature curve of different stearic acid compositions in Jojoba oil	200
Figure D-2. 20 wt.% of stearic acid in Jojoba oil heated and cooled to 24 °C (measurement bar is 40 μm)	200
Figure D-3. DSC curves of different stearic acid compositions in Jojoba oil	201
Figure D-4. Viscosity-temperature curve of different palmitic acid compositions in Jojoba oil.....	202
Figure D-5. 20 wt.% palmitic acid in Jojoba oil heated and cooled to 25 °C (measurement bar is 40 μm)	202
Figure D-6. FTIR spectra of neat Jojoba oil, 30 wt.% LDH-stearate/Jojoba oil formulation and stearate.....	203
Figure D-7. The effect of shear rate and temperature on the viscosity of Jojoba oil suspensions (the LDH-stearate content was 30 wt.% and the shear rate was kept constant at 5 s ⁻¹).....	204
Figure D-8. Viscosity as a function of temperature of the neat Jojoba oil	205
Figure D-9. Summary of rhombohedral-shaped LDH-palmitate: A – SEM image of morphology of particles; B – XRD diffractograms with a d-spacing of 4.7 nm; C – TGA data indicating organic content; D – viscosity curve as a function of temperature of the derivative 30 wt.% formulation.....	205
Figure D-10. Summary of subhedral-shaped LDH-palmitate: A – SEM image of morphology of particles; B – XRD diffractograms with a d-spacing of 4.46 nm; C – TGA data indicating organic content; D – viscosity curve as a function of temperature of the derivative 30 wt.% formulation.....	206
Figure D-11. Summary of subhedral-shaped LDH-behenate: A – SEM image of morphology of particles; B – XRD diffractograms with a d-spacing of 6.08 nm; C – TGA data indicating	

organic content; D – viscosity curve as a function of temperature of the derivative 30 wt.%
formulation..... 207

Figure D-12. Summary of subhedral-shaped LDH-Pal-St: A – SEM image of morphology of
particles; B – XRD diffractograms with a d-spacing of 4.56 nm; C – TGA data indicating
organic content; D – viscosity curve as a function of temperature of the derivative 30 wt.%
formulation..... 208

Figure D-13. Summary of subhedral-shaped LDH-(Jjoba/stearate): A – SEM image of
morphology of particles; B – XRD diffractograms with d-spacings of 5.06 and 4.46 nm; C –
TGA data indicating organic content; D – viscosity curve as a function of temperature of the
derivative 30 wt.% formulation..... 209

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Summary of layered double hydroxides, year of discovery, polytypes and chemical formulas (Adapted from Zaneva & Stanimirova, 2004).....	14
Table 2.2. Orientation and d-spacing of fatty acid-intercalated LDHs.....	22
Table 2.3. Summary of fatty acids used in the intercalation process	26
Table 2.4. Compositional data and formulae for the LDH-CO ₃ precursor and intercalated products.	29
Table 2.5. Summary of thermogravimetric data and estimates for the degree of intercalation	44
Table 2.6. Summary of XRD and TGA results for the LDH-CO ₃ , LDH-stearates and magnesium stearate and aluminium stearate samples	45
Table 3.1. Layered nanostructured materials for potential use in polymer composites (Adapted from Utracki <i>et al.</i> , 2007).....	57
Table 3.2. Summary of in situ polymerisation in LDH-based nanocomposites.....	62
Table 3.3. Summary of solution intercalation in LDH-based nanocomposites	63
Table 3.4. Summary of melt-processing examples in LDH based nanocomposites	64
Table 3.5. Summary of the mechanical properties of LDH/polymer composites	92
Table 3.6. Thermal stability data at T _{0.1} , T _{0.5} , % residue and change in temperature (ΔT), results pertaining to 10 wt.% composites	96
Table 3.7. DSC data indicating the onset temperature and melting endotherm of the 10 wt.% polymer composites.....	100
Table 4.1. Different types of non-Newtonian fluids (Adapted from Shenoy, 1999).....	116
Table 4.3. Illustration of the different stages associated with the heating run in the viscosity-temperature curve.....	138
Table B-1. Summary of intercalation experiments	153
Table B-2. Observed 2θ reflections of XRD of neat myristic acid and LDH-myristate	155
Table B-3. Observed 2θ reflections of XRD of neat palmitic acid and LDH-palmitate	156
Table B-4. Observed 2θ reflections of XRD of neat behenic acid and LDH-behenate.....	157
Table B-5. Compositional data and formulae of co-intercalated organo-LDHs	158
Table B-6. Summary of thermogravimetric data and estimates for the degree of intercalation	164

Table B-7. Summary of thermogravimetric data, estimates for the degree of intercalation and d-spacing.....	166
Table C-1. Injection moulding comments on EVA and derivative composites.....	168
Table C-2: Injection moulding comments on EVAL and derivative composites	169
Table C-3. Injection moulding comments on LLDPE and derivative composites.....	170
Table D-1. Stearic acid in Jojoba oil formulation (J stands for Jojoba oil and S for stearic acid and their respective compositions).....	199
Table D-2. Palmitic acid in Jojoba oil formulation (J stands for Jojoba oil and P for palmitic acid and their respective compositions)	199
Table D-3. Visual observation of different 30 wt% of intercalated LDHs	204

List of Acronyms, Abbreviations and Definitions

AEC	Anionic exchange capacity – Amount of exchangeable anions available with the crystal structure of an adsorbent material, expressed in meq/100 g
AFM	Atomic force microscopy
DMA	Dynamic mechanical analysis/analyser
DSC	Differential scanning calorimetry
EDS	Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
EVA	Ethylene vinyl acetate
EVAL	Ethylene vinyl alcohol
FTIR	Fourier transform infrared
HT	Hydrotalcite
ICP-OES	Inductively coupled plasma optical emission
LDH	Layered double hydroxides
LDH-Be	LDH-behenate
LDH-My	LDH-myristate
LDH-Pa	LDH-palmitate
LDH-St	LDH-stearate
LDO	Layered double oxide
LLDPE	Linear low-density polyethylene
MFI	Melt flow index
PE	Polyethylene
PLLA	Poly(L-lactide)
PMMA	Polymethyl methacrylate
POM	Polarised optical microscopy
PP	Polypropylene
PS	Polystyrene
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TG(A)	Thermogravimetry (Thermogravimetric analysis)
UV	Ultraviolet
XRD	X-ray diffraction

Definitions

Anhedral	Refers to poorly formed crystal with no distinct faces
Delamination	A process in which layers of a multi-layered structure separate
Exfoliation	A process in which layers of a multi-layered structure are separated into single sheets
Fatty acid	Carboxylic acid, is an organic compound with a –COOH functional group
Intercalation	A process in which atoms, ions or molecules are inserted between the layers of a two-dimensional crystal lattice host
Organo-LDH	Surfactant/fatty acid modified layered double hydroxides
Peptisation	To disperse a suspension to form a colloid
Subhedral	Moderately formed crystals
Thermotropic	Changes in structure as temperature changes
Euhedral	Fully-faced crystals, well-formed with sharp, easily recognisable crystal faces.