

**THE DEVELOPMENT OF COMPLEX SYSTEMS: AN
INTEGRATED APPROACH TO DESIGN INFLUENCING**

ARIE WESSELS

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

PHILOSOPHIAE DOCTOR

In the

**FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, BUILT ENVIRONMENT
AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA

SUPERVISOR: PROFESSOR L. PRETORIUS

2012

ABSTRACT

The aim of this research is to identify and analyze the impact of design changes to a system in a concurrent engineering environment and the development project, and to make proposals how to minimize the impact on the development project performance. A further objective is also to determine the effect of design changes as a result of design influencing. In a concurrent engineering environment system components are being developed in parallel. Any change to one component of the system may impact on other system components under development.

Design as part of the systems engineering process is an iterative and dynamic process. Although the systems engineering process has been very well structured and refined over the years, it still remains to a certain extent an unpredictable process. A consequence of this is that changes to a design of a subsystem or component comprising the system can occur at any stage of the process.

The systems engineering process is a “*static*” process since there are no time constraints or management of consumption of resources on the different systems engineering processes and steps. As such system engineering cannot function in isolation. To bring a system into being, systems engineering must function within a project management environment to provide the management of schedule and the consumption of resources. The interaction between project management and system engineering processes can have a distinct influence on the systems engineering process and must be taken into account when studying the performance of system development projects. This research investigates the project management/systems engineering interface with specific focus on cost and schedule.

Since project management is the encompassing process wherein a system is being developed, its influence on the system engineering process will also be investigated. This research has the following research objectives:

- Optimization of design influencing by dividing the design teams into two different complementary but opposing mindset groups.
- Evaluate the impact of design changes in terms of cost and schedule overruns in a concurrent engineering development environment.

A comprehensive development project was used as a case-study. A Narrative Inquiry comprising the main system development project players investigated the problems experienced on the project and found that management was the major cause for the project cost and schedule overruns. The principal finding of this research showed, that unplanned, unexpected and forced design changes was the primary

area of conflict between systems engineering and project management, leading to development project cost and schedule overruns. The Narrative Inquiry findings were actually the symptoms of a deeper underlying problem. Root Cause analysis identified the fundamental mechanisms of design change and the influence of management on the process.

This research identifies the fundamental mechanisms that result in design iterations and the influence that management has on this process. An improved “**Effect-to-Cause**” design influencing model is proposed to reduce the risk of design changes during system integration. A mathematical model has been developed to quantify the impact of a design change on a multi-layer, multi-component system. This model confirms that the system hierarchy design is very important to minimize the impact and consequential development project risk should a design change be required for one of the system components. By means of the mathematical model, a proposed system’s architecture can be modelled. The model quantifies the impact of a system component design change on the rest of the system development project. This model will facilitate the optimization of system architecture to reduce development project cost and schedule risks. The system architecture model will also enable design review boards to make informed decisions when considering options for a system component design change.

This research also found that the Systems Engineering process must function harmoniously within the larger Project Management environment for the optimum performance of a development project. The road forward to achieve this goal is for the systems engineering and design processes to become more structured and the removal of the unpredictability in the processes so far as the number of design iterations is concerned. This will enable the systems engineering processes to be more easily accommodated within the structured project management processes to the benefit of the overall development project performance. A structured “**Cause-to-Effect**” design influencing methodology has been investigated. Indications are that this may be the road forward for systems engineering process development to even further reduce the risk of a design change during system integration and consequential detrimental impact on the development project performance.

Table of Contents

Table of figures	8
Abbreviations	9
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 Development Projects Problem areas	12
1.2 Concepts and Definitions	14
1.3 Systems Engineering and Project Management Articles	17
1.4 Problem Statement	18
1.5 Research Objectives	19
1.6 Research Contributions	20
1.7 Research Questions	20
1.8 Research Roadmap	20
1.9 Chapter Summary	22
Chapter 2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
2.1 Discussion of Research and Analysis Method	24
2.1.1 Exploratory Research	25
2.1.2 Empirical Research	25
2.1.3 Constructive Research	25
2.1.3.1 Design Science Research	26
2.1.3.2 Narrative Inquiry Research	26
2.2 Selection of Research Methods	27
2.3 Root Cause Analysis (RCA)	28
2.4 Chapter Summary	31
Chapter 3 SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT BACKGROUND	33
3.1 System	34
3.1.1 Characteristics and Properties of a System	34
3.1.2 System dynamics	36
3.2 Systems Engineering	38
3.3 Systems Engineering Process	39
3.3.1 Systems Engineering Outputs and Summary	40
3.4 Project Management	41

3.5	Matrix Organisational Structure	44
3.6	Design Influencing	45
3.6.1	Success Domain Team (SD)	48
3.6.2	Failure Domain Team (FD).....	48
3.6.3	Project Management Team (PM)	50
3.7	Chapter Summary	51
Chapter 4	BACKGROUND TO THE CASE-STUDY	53
4.1	Purpose and Outline of the Chapter	54
4.2	Background of Armour and Anti-Tank Weapons Systems	54
4.3	Scope of the Case-study	56
4.4	Introduction to Anti-Tank Missile Systems.....	57
4.5	Evolution of Anti-Tank Weapons Systems	57
4.5.1	First Generation Anti-Tank Missile Systems.....	57
4.5.2	Second Generation Anti-Tank Missile Systems	59
4.5.3	Third Generation Anti-Tank Missile Systems	60
4.6	User Requirements Background	60
4.6.1	Existing Anti-Tank Armoured Vehicle	60
4.7	Ingwe Missile Description.....	62
4.8	User Requirements	62
4.9	Primary Constraints Invoked by the Client	63
4.10	Contract Overview.....	64
4.11	Project Management model	64
4.12	Contractor's Management Model	65
4.13	Introduction to the Case-study Summary	65
Chapter 5	CASE-STUDY	66
5.1	Purpose and Outline of the Chapter	66
5.2	Development Model and Development Process	69
5.3	Development Project Objectives	70
5.4	Development Strategy.....	70
5.5	Systems Engineering Process Selection.....	70
5.5.1	Applied Systems Engineering Process.....	72
5.5.2	Design Reviews and Baseline Management	74
5.5.3	Engineering Change Management.....	75
5.5.4	PRACAS Management.....	75
5.5.5	Overview of the Final Evolved System	76
5.6	Development Project Logistics Engineering Process	76
5.7	System Hand-Over.....	79

5.8	Chapter Summary	80
Chapter 6	PROBLEMS EXPERIENCED AND LESSONS LEARNED	81
6.1	Review of the Case-study	82
6.2	Grouping and Quantification of the Problems Experienced.....	84
6.3	Evaluation of the Analysis Results	85
6.3.1	Management Related Project Problems.....	85
6.3.1.1	Client Requirements – baseline shift.....	86
6.3.1.2	Matrix Organisational Structure Related Problems.....	87
6.3.1.3	Project Management and Schedules.....	88
6.3.2	Systems Engineering Related Problems	91
6.3.2.1	Specialist Resource Availability	91
6.3.2.2	System Data Availability and Data Integrity.....	91
6.3.2.3	Standardised Terminology	93
6.3.3	QA and CM Related Problems	94
6.3.4	Development Process	94
6.4	The Causes of the Problems Encountered.....	95
6.5	Chapter Summary	98
Chapter 7	ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS	100
7.1	Purpose and Outline of the Chapter	100
7.1.1	Evaluation of the IPS Development Model	101
7.1.2	Finding the Root Cause.....	103
7.1.3	Determining the theoretical ground	103
7.1.3.1	Project management and systems engineering processes	104
7.1.3.2	Systems Engineering Shortcomings.....	104
7.1.4	Detailed Analysis of design iterations.....	106
7.1.4.1	Established design process.....	106
7.1.4.2	Application of the SD-FD design influencing model.....	109
7.1.4.3	Real world design influencing model.....	112
7.1.5	The Generalised Design Change Impact Equation	116
7.1.5.1	Impact of functional couplings	119
7.1.5.2	Development of the mathematical model	120
7.2	Summary of the impact of change.....	125
7.2.1	What other factors are at play?	126
7.3	How can the IPS model be improved?	127
7.4	What other models would be appropriate?	127
7.5	Chapter Summary	128
Chapter 8	EVALUATION OF STRUCTURED DESIGN	131

8.1	Introduction to Structured Design	132
8.2	Investigation into Structured Design methodologies.....	134
8.2.1	Theory of Inventive Problem Solving (TRIZ)	134
8.2.2	Axiomatic Design.....	135
8.3	Case-Study - Problems Experienced and Lessons Learnt	141
8.3.1	Structured Design Example: a subsystem of the case-study ...	141
8.3.2	Revisit of the Narrative Inquiry Analysis findings.....	144
8.4	Summary and Conclusions	145
Chapter 9	CONCLUSIONS	148
9.1	Research Questions Answered.....	150
9.2	Academic Contributions	152
9.3	Recommendations	153
9.4	Further Research	153
9.5	Further Systems Engineering Development.....	155
	References	156
Apendix A	System Dynamics	168
Apendix B	Problems experienced	171
Apendix C	Design Iteration Impact Study	177
Apendix D	Revised Problems experienced using AD	186

Table of figures

Figure 1: Published Articles	17
Figure 2: Research roadmap	21
Figure 3: Empirical research cycle	25
Figure 4: Double loop corrective action process	30
Figure 5: Closed-loop PRACAS	31
Figure 6: System emergent and hierarchy properties	36
Figure 7: Elements of project success	43
Figure 8: Systems Engineering environment	43
Figure 9: Matrix Organisational Structure	44
Figure 10: Success/Failure domain concept	48
Figure 11: Design influencing model.....	49
Figure 12: Interaction between the SD and FD teams	50
Figure 13: Anti-Tank Weapons System	56
Figure 14: ZT3A1 Anti-tank Missile System	61
Figure 15: Ingwe Missile cut-away	62
Figure 16: IPS development model.....	67
Figure 17: System boundaries and client interface	71
Figure 18: Case-study Systems Engineering process	73
Figure 19: Anti-tank missile system integrated into the ZT3 turret	76
Figure 20: Systems and Logistics engineering interrelationship	77
Figure 21: Summary of problems experienced in the case-study	85
Figure 22: Successive design refinement	108
Figure 23: Unconstrained “ <i>effect-to-cause</i> ” design influencing model	111
Figure 24: Constrained “ <i>effect-to-cause</i> ” design influencing model	113
Figure 25: Multi-level system showing possible functional couplings.....	118
Figure 26: Value of Systems Engineering; Summary Report 1/04.....	133
Figure 27: TRIZ process for creative problem solving.....	135
Figure 28: Axiomatic design domains	137
Figure 29: Distributed organisation of the AD system architecture	139
Figure 30 Axiomatic Design articles published	140
Figure 31: Part of the SGOU Tree Diagram	142
Figure 32: Part of the SGOU Design Matrix.....	143
Figure 33: Revised problems experienced using AD methodology	144
Figure 34: Penetration of TRIZ and AD into Systems Engineering	146
Figure 35: AD articles in context of SE published	146
Figure 36: Unconstrained <i>effect-to-cause</i> design influencing model.....	177
Figure 37: Constrained <i>effect-to-cause</i> design influencing model.....	178
Figure 38: Hypothetical system hierarchy	179
Figure 39: System structure with maximum functional decoupling.....	183
Figure 40: System structure with maximum functional coupling.....	183

Abbreviations

AD	Axiomatic Design
ADM	Advanced Development Model
ADM	Arrow Diagramming Method
ARRL	American Radio Relay League
ATGM	Anti-tank guided missile
BIT	Built-in Test
BITE	Built-in Test Equipment
BOM	Bill of Materials
CDR	Critical Design Review
CI	Configuration Item
CFE	Customer Furnished Equipment
CM	Configuration Management
DoD	Department of Defence (USA)
DRB	Design Review Board
DSM	Design Structure Matrix
DSR	Design Science Research
ECP	Engineering Change Proposal
EDM	Engineering Development Model
ERA	Explosive Reactive Armour
ESEE	Early Systems Engineering Effort
ET&E	Engineering Test and Evaluation
FBS	Functional Breakdown Structure
FD	Failure Domain
FFF	Form, Fit and Function
FMECA	Failure Mode, Effects and Criticality Analysis
FRB	Failure Review Board
FTA	Fault Tree Analysis
GERT	Graphical Evaluation and Review Technique
Hdbk	Handbook
HEAT	High Explosive Anti-Tank
IEEE	International Electronics and Electrical Engineering
ILSP	Integrated Logistics Support Plan
INCOSE	International Council on Systems Engineering
IPC	Illustrated Parts Catalogue
IPS	Integrated Product Support
IPT	Integrated Project team
ISP	Integrated Support Plan
ITAR	International Traffic in Arms Regulations
LAN	Local Area Network
LCC	Life Cycle Cost
LORA	Level of Repair Analysis
LSA	Logistic Support Analysis
LSAP	Logistics Support Analysis Plan
LSAR	Logistic Support Analysis Record
MCLOS	Manual Command to Line of Sight
Mil	Military
MIS	Management Information System
MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology

MRV	Maintenance Recovery Vehicle
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration (USA)
NAVSO	Navy Standard Order (USA)
OT&E	Operational Test and Evaluation
PBS	Product Breakdown Structure
PC	Personal Computer
PCMB	Project Configuration Management Board
PDM	Precedence Diagramming Method
PDR	Preliminary Design Review
PM	Project Management
PMBOK	Project Management Body of Knowledge
PMI	Project Management Institute
PPM	Pre-Production Development Model
PRACAS	Problem Reporting and Corrective Action system
PSP	Product Support Plan
QA	Quality Assurance
RBD	Reliability Block Diagram
RBDO	Reliability Based Design Optimization
RCA	Root Cause Analysis
RCM	Reliability Centered Maintenance
SACLOS	Semi-Automatic Command to Line of Sight
SANDF	South African National Defence Force (SANDF)
SD	Success Domain
SDD	Software Design Document
SE	Systems Engineering
SEMP	Systems Engineering Management Plan
SRD	Software Requirements Document
Std	Standard
TAAF	Test Analyse and Fix
TEMP	Test Engineering Management Plan
TRAMP	Testability, Reliability, Affordability, Maintainability and Produceability
URS	User Requirements Statement
XDM	Experimental Development Model