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#### Abstract

Penicillium species have been studied for over 200 years and the genus was first described by Link in 1809. Initially, morphological identification methods were used however, much diversity within the genus resulted in researchers seeking alternative techniques and approaches to improve accuracy. These methods involved biochemical analysis of secondary metabolites in conjunction with morphological examination. With the emergence of more accurate and rapid molecular identification tools, scientists embraced modern technology to address diversity challenges. In order to provide a more holistic approach towards the taxonomy of complex genera, morphological analysis remains an essential component in Penicillium identification. Penicillium species are omnipresent, dominant and problematic in postharvest environments. They are known to cause major losses in export markets due to fruit decay. The aim of this study was to identify species within the South African litchi export chain and develop a rapid method for Penicillium identification. This study used morphological as well as molecular identification methods in order to develop PCR-RFLP restriction maps for a number of dominant Penicillium species. Seventeen species of Penicillium were identified using conventional morphological methodology and DNA sequencing, both of which are laborious and time-consuming. Polymerase Chain Reaction-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism provided reliability and repeatability as well as being a cost-effective and rapid identification alternative. A combined phylogenetic study indicated that the taxonomic position of several species may need to be reconsidered. Fourteen species were differentiated from one another through digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with five restriction enzymes. Banding patterns correlated well with phylogenetic and biochemical data of related studies, indicating that this method holds promise as a rapid identification procedure for Penicillium species.
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## SUMMARY

Seventeen dominant species of Penicillium were isolated throughout the South African litchi export chain and identified using morphological as well as molecular methods. Identification was done by DNA sequencing of the ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions and PCR-RFLP using restriction enzymes BfaI, ApoI, HaeIII, HpaII, LweI and TaiI. In descending order of dominance, these species were identified as Penicillium crustosum, P. glabrum, P. chrysogenum, P. biourgeianum/bialowiezense, $P$. solitum, $P$. commune, $P$ citrinum, $P$. citreonigrum, $P$. paneum and $P$. polonicum, $P$. expansum, $P$. brevicompactum, P. echinulatum, P. corylophilum, P. italicum, P. steckii and P. sumatrense. Fourteen species were differentiated from one another through restriction digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region.

Results found in this study correlated well with Frisvad and Samson (2004) and Samson et al. (2004). Where species appeared to be related on a biochemical level (Frisvad and Samson, 2004), it was confirmed through phylogenetic studies of Samson et al. (2004). Results of this study were confirmed through phylogeny as well as PCR-RFLP fingerprinting. For example, P. brevicompactum and P. bialowiezense are related through their ability to produce mycophenolic acid (Frisvad and Samson, 2004). These two species form one clade in the phylogenetic study of Samson et al. (2004). However, only the $\beta$-tubulin gene region was investigated in that study. This compared to species differentiation through HpaII digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region together with results of the combined phylogenetic analysis (both ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions), which indicated that the species belong in separate, yet closely related subclades. This highlights the need to analyse more than one gene region in a phylogenetic study.

Four species namely, $P$. commune, $P$. crustosum, $P$. echinulatum and $P$. solitum could not be differentiated from one another through PCR-RFLP. These isolates demonstrated intra- and interspecies variation within banding patterns, indicating some degree of relatedness between them. This was validated through combined phylogenetic analysis
of all groups in this study. The taxonomic position of these groups may need to be reconsidered. These species were frequently isolated within the litchi export chain, which emphasises the need for their taxonomic resolution.

Another common species that was frequently isolated in this study is $P$. glabrum. Phylogenetic analysis placed the $P$. glabrum groups in two subclades, indicating the presence of at least two strains or subspecies. However, three distinct PCR-RFLP banding patterns developed. Little is known about this monoverticillate isolate, with regards to pathogenicity and resistance mechanisms. Similar results were found for $P$. chrysogenum groups, indicating the presence of two strains, which were differentiated through PCR-RFLP.

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Penicillium species have been studied for over 200 years (Raper and Thom, 1949). Theories ranged from Penicillium originating from germinated yeast cells (1856) to yeast developing into Mycoderma, which in turn developed into Penicillium (1871) (Brefeld, 1875). Significant advances have been made in mycological studies although, there is still much to explore within this genus. Penicillium was first described by Link in 1809 (Brefeld, 1875) however; species diversity within this genus was greatly underestimated as all green penicillia were classified as P. glaucum (Raper and Thom, 1949; Pitt, 1979; Ramirez, 1982). It is estimated that the genus Penicillium may consist of more than 300 species (Pitt, 1991). Species diversity within this genus is high as Frisvad and Samson (2004) studied 58 taxa in Penicillium subgenus Penicillium alone.

Identification of Penicillium species initially focused on morphological methods incorporating the use of standardised media preparation and laboratory conditions (Thom, 1930; Raper and Thom, 1949; Pitt, 1973, 1979; Ramirez, 1982; Pitt, 1991). With much variability in colony morphology within this genus, morphological examination requires validation through alternative methodology (Colombo et al., 2003; Marek et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2005). Alternative identification methods employed biochemical analysis of secondary metabolites such as mycotoxins (Frisvad and Filtenborg, 1983; Frisvad and Filtenborg, 1989) and exoenzymes (Cruickshank and Pitt, 1987), in conjunction with morphological examination. Morphological analysis remains an essential component in Penicillium identification; however molecular techniques are undeniably the future of mycological research.

Conidia are small, lightweight and static allowing for attachment onto almost any surface, which facilitates cross-contamination between surfaces and the surrounding environment (Anderson, 1956; Pitt, 1979; Morey et al., 2003; Amiri et al., 2005). As a dominant organism found in soil as well as the atmospheric environment, Penicillium is a significant genus particularly in fruit export. As early as 1880, Penicillium species were
noted as dominant decay agents of citrus fruit (Raper and Thom, 1949). Environmental weathering, harvesting, handling and packaging may cause the fruit surface to become damaged and as Penicillium is a classic wound pathogen (Anderson, 1956; Janisiewicz and Korsten, 2002), infection is a certainty.

Humidity is a major factor influencing conidial germination, as moisture may assist in attachment of the conidium to an appropriate substrate and is essential for development of the hyphal tip (Amiri et al., 2005). Such humid conditions are found throughout export chains in areas cooled by Heating, Ventilating and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems such as packhouses and cooled containers. It has been suggested that fungal growth within HVAC systems is highly probable as humid conditions are created around cooling coils (Chang et al., 1996).

Litchi chinensis Sonn. (litchi) is a sensitive, exotic fruit grown only in select climates. This fruit has a thin, roughened pericarp that facilitates conidial attachment and it is easily desiccated and damaged, providing an ideal environment for Penicillium growth. Sulphur dioxide fumigation is currently the only method employed in controlling pericarp browning and fungal decay of litchi fruit (PPECB Export Directory, 2007). However, several species have reportedly developed resistance to the treatment (Jennings, 1993). In addition, when used in conjunction with hydrochloric acid, this process causes acidification of the pericarp that selects for fungal growth (Holcroft et al., 1996; Lichter et al., 2004). Litchi fruit has much appeal in European markets due to its seasonability, attractive red appearance and nutrient-rich, sweet-tasting aril (Lichter et al., 2004; Sivakumar and Korsten, 2006).

During 2002/2003, seven thousand tonnes of South African litchi fruit was passed for export (PPECB Export Directory, 2007). However, currently only between one and two thousand tonnes of litchi fruit is exported annually, to destinations such as Central Europe ( $45.14 \%$ ), the Middle East ( $35.1 \%$ ), other parts of Africa ( $15.09 \%$ ) and the United Kingdom (3.9\%) (PPECB Export Directory, 2007). Factors such as dehydration, pericarp
browning and in particular, posthavest decay by fungal species such as Penicillium, cause a reduction in fruit quality that reduces export quantities. South Africa is one of few countries that have an ideal climate to cultivate such a fruit, and this should be used to its full potential. All efforts should focus on growth and expansion of this unique industry, through ensuring that high quality litchi fruit is exported with confidence, whereby benefiting the South African economy.

This dissertation will argue the importance of Penicillium species as prominent decay agents within the South African litchi export chain. This study was aimed at identifying and characterising dominant Penicillium species through the use of morphological and molecular methods. With much variability within this genus, a phylogenetic study serves to propose and clarify taxonomic positions of several species. It was essential to correctly identify species of this genus in order to determine their dominance and ecological role and to minimise losses for the South African litchi the fruit export industry.

## Chapter 1

## Literature review:

Penicillium species associated with exported fruit such as litchi

## 1. INTRODUCTION

"Thus the fungus obtains access everywhere; it is unavoidable as the air by which it is carried." - Prof. Oscar Brefeld (1875).

Penicillium is a saprophytic organism with an ecological role of decomposing dead and decaying matter (Raper and Thom, 1949). Small, resistant and lightweight conidia ensure the survival and prevalence of this organism in the environment (Brefeld, 1875). Identification of Penicillium species may seem an intimidating task, as species in this genus are diverse and variable (Peterson, 2000).

This genus is of particular importance in the agricultural industry, as Penicillium is a dominant decay agent of many crops, particularly fruit. Penicillium has been identified as a dominant decay agent of citrus fruit as early as 1880 (Raper and Thom, 1949). Studies have since focused mainly on other fruits such as table grapes (Franck et al., 2005), apples (Janisiewicz et al., 2003; Amiri and Bompeix, 2005), litchis (De Jager et al., 2003; Jacobs and Korsten, 2004; Lichter et al., 2004), peaches (Karabulat and Baykal, 2002) and pears (Lennox et al., 2003).

The history of the methods used in Penicillium identification, Penicillium as a dominant decay agent, an air contaminant and fruit pathogen will be discussed in this review. Health and safety aspects of Penicillium and current methods used in its control will also be included. The focus will be on litchi fruit, as South Africa is a prominent litchi producing and exporting country (Hoger, 1997; Ghosh, 2001).

## 2. HISTORY OF PENICILLIUM IDENTIFICATION

The history of Penicillium species identification is depicted in Figure 1. Only significant events that contributed to currently used identification methodology are referred to. Methodologies used will not be elaborated on in this section although relevant references
are indicated. Penicillium identification can be grouped under three main developmental phases, which will be discussed individually within the time frame of events (Figure 1).

### 2.1 MORPHOLOGICAL DATA

Penicillium belongs to: Class - Ascomycetes, Order - Plectascineae, Family Aspergillaceae, Genus - Penicillium (Raper and Thom, 1949). Penicillium derives its name from the Latin word "penicillus" meaning "little brush" (Pitt, 1979). This genus was first described by Link (1767-1851) in 1809 (Figure 1) as discussed by Raper and Thom (1949); Pitt (1979) and Ramirez (1982). Link also described the first three species of the genus namely, P. glaucum, P. candidum and P. expansum (Raper and Thom, 1949). Much difficulty has been encountered in correctly identifying Penicillium species for instance; Link classed all green penicillia as $P$. glaucum in 1824. Many mycologists followed this trend during the early era of science (Raper and Thom, 1949). Charles Thom (1872-1956) made exceptional contributions to the methodology behind identifying Penicillium species. In 1910, his work "Cultural Studies of Species of Penicillium" emphasised the need for standardised media in culture examination (Raper and Thom, 1949). Thirty-six species were described, of which 13 were new species while nine were not assigned names as they were insufficiently described (Hasselbring, 1910; Pitt, 1979) (Figure 1). In 1930, Thom developed a monograph - "The Penicillia" in which he incorporated all material on Penicillium taxonomy to date. Again, he emphasised the need for standardised media and laboratory growth conditions, as well as observing colony growth characteristics (Figure 1) (Thom, 1930; Raper and Thom, 1949).

In 1928, Prof. Alexander Fleming discovered penicillin originally isolated from $P$. notatum (Figure 1). In the midst of World War II (1939-1945), the need arose for mass production of this antibiotic (Faddis, 1947). Penicillium chrysogenum was identified as the species with the greatest ability to produce large quantities of penicillin (Swann, 1983; Figure 1). With an abundance of research being done on Penicillium species from


Figure 1: A timeline of significant events contributing to current identification methods of Penicillium species. Three developmental phases are indicated -

1. Morphological data 2. Biochemical and physiological data in conjunction with morphological data, 3. Molecular data in conjunction with morphological data.

1940, Raper and Thom (1949) developed "A Manual of the Penicillia" (Figure 1). In this manual, 99 valid species were divided into sections (monoverticillata, asymmetrica, biverticillate-symmetrica and polyverticillata) and described with various taxonomic keys (Raper and Thom, 1949; Ramirez, 1982). Although many new species have been described since, it remains the taxonomic standard for identification of Penicillium species as many other publications are based on this body of work (Pitt, 1973, 1979; Ramirez, 1982; Pitt, 1991).

In 1973, Pitt's "An appraisal of identification methods for Penicillium species: Novel taxonomic criteria based on temperature and water relations" standardised the methods used in Penicillium identification (Figure 1) (Pitt, 1973). Recipes for two ideal culture media (Czapek Yeast Autolysate Agar (CYA) and Malt Extract Agar (MEA)) were included as well as respective incubation periods and temperatures, inoculation techniques and important microscopic characteristics. These guidelines have set a standard in Penicillium identification and are still in use today (Ramirez, 1982; Pitt, 1991).

### 2.2 BIOCHEMICAL AND PHYSIOLOGICAL DATA IN CONJUNCTION WITH MORPHOLOGICAL DATA

Initial differentiation between fungal taxa through chemotaxonomy was done by Hankin and Anagnostakis (1975), through the development of nine various culture media for enzyme production (Figure 1). A number of Penicillium species have been analysed through pyrolysis gas-liquid chromatography (GLC) as an alternative to morphological identification methods (Burns et al., 1976; Söderström and Frisvad, 1984). Burns et al. (1976) effectively distinguished between 11 species of Penicillium through GLC and claimed this method was effective in strain differentiation. Söderström and Frisvad (1984) focused primarily on isolates of P. crustosum compared with their mycotoxin profiles (Figure 1). Through this method, they were able to differentiate between three strains of $P$. crustosum.

In 1979, Pitt published a monograph "The Genus Penicillium and its Teleomorphic States Eupenicillium and Talaromyces" (Pitt, 1979) (Figure 1). Although several faults were brought to light in the review by Robert Samson (Samson, 1981), the current method of morphologically identifying Penicillium species is described in detail. Species of Eupenicillium (Ludwig) and Talaromyces (Benjamin) were described and the genus was divided into four subgenera namely Aspergilloides [Dierckx], Penicillium [Sect. Asymmetrica Raper and Thom], Biverticillium [Dierckx] and Furcatum [Pitt] (Pitt, 1979).

Carlos Ramirez developed a "Manual and Atlas of the Penicillia" in 1982, which was a colour atlas and extensive description of all Penicillium species identified at that time (Figure 1). This manual addressed the issue of colony characteristics and colour descriptions through full colour images of plates used in the identification process (Ramirez, 1982). A disadvantage of this publication was that Ramirez reverted to the section nomenclature used by Raper and Thom (1949), as opposed to the newly developed subgenera classification of Pitt (1979) (Ramirez, 1982; Paden, 1984).

Samson and Pitt collaborated on "Advances in Penicillium and Aspergillus Systematics" in 1985. This manual covers the terminology and methodology in identification, taxonomy and taxonomic issues surrounding variability within the genus (Samson and Pitt, 1985) (Figure 1). Soon after this publication, John Pitt developed "A Laboratory Guide to Common Penicillium Species" (Pitt, 1991), which contains the current methodology for identification of Penicillium species (Figure 1). In this manual, 48 of the most commonly occurring Penicillium species are described and the identification process is simplified by the use of taxonomic keys. Ten species of Eupenicillium and six Talaromyces species were also included. This manual is highly acclaimed in the Reviewed Works of Mycologia (G.C.H., 1987).

As more species of Penicillium were described, it became evident that morphological identification alone was inadequate. Analysis of mycotoxins and other secondary metabolites as a method of species differentiation became a focal point (Frisvad and Filtenborg, 1983; Frisvad and Filtenborg, 1989) (Figure 1). These studies employed

Thin-Layer Chromatography and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) respectively. A variation of HPLC, Reversed Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography was used to study the relatedness between certain Penicillium species using serological antigens (Polonelli et al., 1987) (Figure 1). A promising method of exoenzyme electrophoresis was developed by Cruickshank and Pitt (1987) (Figure 1). This was performed on several Penicillium species belonging to subgenus Penicillium. Results correlated moderately well with the classifications of Pitt (1979) (Cruickshank and Pitt, 1987).

### 2.3 MOLECULAR DATA IN CONJUNCTION WITH MORPHOLOGICAL DATA

A dawning of a new era began with the first enzymatic amplification of DNA with the polymerase-catalysed chain reaction (PCR) by Saiki et al. $(1985 ; 1988)$ and Mullis and Faloona (1987). This presented scientists with a magnitude of new possibilities within molecular biology. Understanding of identification and taxonomic schemes of Penicillium species has greatly been facilitated by the implementation of this methodology in mycological studies.

In 1991, Bruns and co-workers developed a summary of methods used to date for investigating the molecular evolution of various fungi (Bruns et al., 1991) (Figure 1). Methods include DNA-DNA hybridization; restriction enzyme analysis (RFLP); DNA sequence analysis and electrophoretic karyotyping. A method to determine the genetic sequence of nucleic acids using dideoxynucleotide chain termination was developed by Sanger et al. (1977) (Figure 1). Applications of this technique were greatly improved by the introduction of the PCR methodology. Sequence analysis contributed to further phylogenetic studies and identification of Penicillium species particularly with the creation of global, electronic databases i.e. GENBANK (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Phylogenetic studies of Penicillium species from this time period include that of the subgenus Biverticillium, $P$. marneffei as well as the synnematous species $P$. duclauxii, $P$. clavigerum and P. vulpinum (LoBuglio et al., 1993, 1994; LoBuglio and Taylor, 1995) (Figure 1).

In 1995, Pitt bridged the gap between the three developmental phases in his publication "Phylogeny in the genus Penicillium: a morphologist's perspective" (Pitt, 1995) (Figure 1). He proposed a hypothetical phylogeny for Penicillium species based on morphological, physiological and biochemical as well as molecular data. Samson and Pitt (2000) developed a manual that incorporates both molecular and morphological identification methods for Penicillium species (Figure 1). This guide serves to standardise identification techniques in which variable factors such as temperature, media composition and media preparation, may greatly influence results. Modern molecular taxonomic methods employed to differentiate between species of Penicillium are presented in the form of research articles. Common gene regions analysed include ITS and $\beta$-tubulin (Peterson, 2000; Seifert and Louis-Seize, 2000; Skouboe et al., 2000).

Older methodologies such as Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP), Polymerase Chain Reaction-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) and Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) are still widely used today to characterise strains and isolates of Penicillium (Figure 1). Castella et al. (2002) used AFLP, RAPD and sequencing of the Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) gene region to classify isolates of $P$. verrucosum into two groups based on their ability to produce mycotoxins, specifically ochratoxin A. Lund et al. (2003) used RAPD and AFLP techniques, together with morphology and secondary metabolites profiles to study the diversity and distribution of $P$. commune isolates within cheese production areas. Through such genetic analyses, contamination points occurring throughout the production area could be traced. Colombo et al. (2003) used PCR-RFLP to identify species of the $P$. aurantiogriseum group and such a method may be applied to detection of mycotoxigenic strains of Penicillium species. Other methods successful in detecting mycotoxin production in Penicillium species as well as other fungal genera include Real-Time PCR (Geisen et al., 2004) and microarrays (Schmidt-Heydt and Geisen, 2007) (Figure 1).

Novel methods for identifying fungal genera are being implemented. These include microsatellite-primed PCR, which employs the use of specific primers to amplify desired microsatellite regions (Abrusci, 2005). Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy
allows for differentiation between species and strains of Penicillium and Aspergillus (Fischer et al., 2006). DNA barcoding has been implemented in the identification of Penicillium species by Seifert et al. (2007). This method involves amplification and subsequent phylogenetic analysis of the mitochondrial cytochrome $c$ oxidase 1 (CO1) gene region. Results showed this gene region to have a lower divergence than that of the ITS and $\beta$-tubulin resulting in a high taxonomic resolution of Penicillium species (Figure 1). The discovery of alternative gene regions is essential to the advancement of phylogenetic studies. Peterson (2004), recommended the use of multilocus DNA sequence analysis in order to achieve a fully representative phylogenetic study, as sequencing of single gene regions of isolates may not be able to differentiate between closely related species (Figure 1).

Several studies have been done to resolve taxonomic issues surrounding species of Penicillium subgenus Penicillium (Frisvad and Samson, 2004; Samson et al., 2004). This subgenus is rich in species diversity and it is economically valuable in our daily lives (Peterson, 2004). Thom (1930), illustrates this (for Penicillium species in general) by, "They rot our fruit,... injure our stored grain,... contaminate our pantries,... discolour fibres, wood,... stored paper and sometimes our books. In the laboratory they infest... every kind of culture operation, bacteriological, mycological, or phanerogamic". Frisvad and Samson (2004) studied this subgenus intensely through polyphasic taxonomy. They were able to divide the species into various unique sections and series, and characterise Penicillium subgenus Penicillium in a stable taxonomic system. Morphological characters were examined and secondary metabolite profiles determined. Samson et al. (2004) studied the taxonomy of this subgenus by using partial $\beta$-tubulin sequences and confirmed the results of Frisvad and Samson (2004).

All three developmental phases involve the use of morphological data. This development is essential to our understanding of Penicillium species and identification thereof. In general, research tends to focus on specific species or subgenera of Penicillium, particularly subgenus Penicillium. Currently, few studies are focusing on several gene regions for phylogenetic analysis. In addition, little work has been done on PCR-RFLP
analysis of a number of species from different subgenera. In Peterson et al. (2004), three gene regions were investigated, focusing however, only on three closely related terverticillate species. Although other subgenera may not be as diverse, studies in these areas may resolve some taxonomic issues surrounding this genus as a whole.

## 3. IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERISATION OF PENICILLIUM SPECIES

### 3.1 MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION

Morphological identification employs the use of three different culture media, incubated at three different temperatures as described by $\operatorname{Pitt}(1973 ; 1991)$. Microscopy is used in identification because defining characteristics can be used to distinguish between various teleomorphic and anamorphic species. Microscopic slides are made of each isolate on MEA and CYA, as characteristics may differ on these media. The subgenus (verticillate nature) can be determined by the number of branch points (rami) between the phialide (which bears the conidia on the tip) and the stipe (hyphal stalk). Isolates with one such branch point are monoverticillate, two branches - biverticillate, three branches terverticillate and four branches - quarterverticillate (Figure 2; Pitt, 1991). Monoverticillate isolates are classified into subgenus Aspergilloides and terverticillate and quarterverticillate isolates are grouped into subgenus Penicillium. Biverticillate isolate classification is more complex with growth characteristics playing a major role in the separation of these isolates into either the Furcatum or Biverticillium subgenus (Pitt, 1979; 1991).

Due to certain Penicillium species having similar characteristics when grown on particular media, methods of identification cannot be limited to morphological examination alone (Dupont et al., 1999; Colombo et al., 2003; Marek et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2005). Conversely, isolates of a single species may appear different if grown on various media (Raper and Thom, 1949). This is adequately described by Raper and Thom (1949) by "...a mold grown in the presence of a fermentative sugar may show one
aspect; whereas, the same mold, if grown on a leather shoe or some other nitrogen-rich substrate, may assume a very different appearance". Morphological identification is tedious, time-consuming and accuracy of the procedure is sometimes questionable (Pitt, 1979; Dupont et al., 1999; Colombo et al., 2003; Marek et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2005). More precise, in-depth methods such as molecular identification also need to be employed to ensure accuracy. Species or strains that are morphologically similar may differ genotypically. These differences can only be detected through molecular identification techniques (Mitchell et al., 1995; Vogler and Bruns, 1998). Identification of Penicillium species should therefore focus on the implementation of both morphological and molecular identification methods.


Figure 2: Diagram of a monoverticillate and quaterverticillate penicillus indicating the verticillate nature (subgenus) of an isolate according to the number of branch points between phialide and stipe (Adapted from Pitt, 1991).

### 3.2 MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION

Molecular identification provides a more accurate and definitive method for distinguishing species, based on minor differences in genetic material (Fairbanks and

Anderson, 1999). Fingerprinting methods generally used for identification purposes include Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Hadrys et al., 1992; Lund et al., 2003), Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphic fingerprinting (AFLP) (Vos et al., 1995; Majer et al., 1996; Castella et al., 2002), Polymerase Chain Reaction-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) (LoBuglio and Taylor, 1995; Sequerra et al., 1997; Colombo et al., 2003; Latouche et al., 2003), microarrays (Schmidt-Heydt and Geisen, 2007), DNA barcoding (Seifert et al., 2007) and DNA sequencing (Vogler et al., 1998; Samson et al., 2004). Variations on PCR identification methods include multiplex PCR assays (Dean et al. 2005) and Real-Time PCR (Geisen et al. 2004).

Fungal identification can be rapidly performed as the ITS gene region (White et al., 1990) forms part of the highly conserved 5.8S ribosomal DNA. This region is present in most fungal species (Mitchell et al., 1995; Seifert and Louise-Seize, 2000; Skouboe et al., 2000) and commonly used primers are readily available. Alternative regions such as beta tubulin ( $\beta$-tubulin) are less conserved and can provide greater resolution between closely related species if required (Glass and Donaldson, 1995). Beta-tubulin and other tubulin proteins, form part of microtubules that are essential components of the cytoskeleton and mitotic spindles (Thon and Royse, 1999). Dupont et al. (1999) and Colombo et al. (2003) effectively differentiated between phenotypically similar species of Penicillium using $\beta$-tubulin and ITS gene regions respectively. Restriction enzymes tested previously for efficiency to differentiate various Penicillium species through PCR-RFLP include AluI, AvaI, BamHI, BgII, CloI, EcoRI, HaeIII, HindIII, HinfI, HpaII, MboI, MseI, RsaI and TaqI (Seifert, 2000; Colombo, 2003).

Sequencing in conjunction with fingerprinting techniques has been used extensively in identification of various fungal genera and species (Chen et al., 1996; Cooke and Duncan, 1997; Samson et al., 2004). Sequencing allows for further investigations into the relatedness of organisms through phylogenetic studies and single base changes within the DNA sequence may represent intraspecific variation (Peterson, 2000). In short, numerous studies have been done to resolve the taxonomic issues surrounding Penicillium species (LoBuglio et al., 1994; Skouboe et al., 1999; Peterson, 2000; Seifert
et al., 2000; Frisvad and Samson, 2004; Peterson, 2004; Samson et al., 2004; Wang and Zhuang, 2007).

## 4. PENICILLIUM IN THE ATMOSPHERE

Conidia are a major air contaminant and can result in allergic reactions, asthma, mucous membrane irritation, bronchitis, hyper-sensitivity pneumonitis, organic dust toxic syndrome, conditions resulting from activation of the immune response and many other respiratory disorders in sensitive individuals (Buttner and Stetzenbach, 1993; Calderon et al., 2002; Airaksinen et al., 2004; Portnoy et al., 2004). Bioaerosols contain fungal material such as viable as well as non-viable conidia, mycelial particles and proteins (Portnoy et al., 2004). Conidia need not be viable in order to cause hypersensitivity reactions, as the antigens alone may induce a reaction (Buttner et al., 1993). Sick Building Syndrome (SBS) can be described as sub-clinical symptoms caused by an inadequate ventilation system within a working area (Gupta et al., 2007). Gupta et al. (2007) investigated the effect of SBS on employees in an office building. Worker symptoms include headaches, fatigue, congestion, dizziness and nausea.

Previous studies have shown indoor bioaerosol concentrations to be up to four times greater than that of outdoor air (Sawane and Saoji, 2004; Jo and Seo, 2005; Lee and Jo, 2005). This is important as the majority of daily activities take place indoors. It can be attributed to air-flow occurring from outdoors to indoors, which results in an accumulation of particles carried in the air. This difference appears to increase during wet weather. Rain tends to cleanse the outside atmosphere of bioaerosols while the indoor humidity increases, which promotes spore germination (Bhati and Gaur, 1979; Sawane and Saoji, 2004). Bioaerosols may settle on indoor surfaces but are easily dislodged and recirculated. Undisturbed indoor air has a velocity, which is estimated at $0.142 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (Airaksinen' et al., 2004). Previous studies have shown the minimum air velocity required to dislodge Penicillium conidia from conidiophores to be $0.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Should activity within the room increase, the velocity of the air surrounding surfaces on
which the spores have settled increases. Thus, human exposure to an abundance of fungal material occurs.

Air conditioning (HVAC) systems have been shown to reduce indoor spore counts if windows remain closed and filters are regularly maintained (Streifel et al., 1987). However, these maintenance procedures seldom occur at regular intervals. Chang et al. (1996) demonstrated that fungal growth in HVAC systems is highly probable due to the design and functioning of these units. Bioaerosols penetrate and settle onto duct materials and humid conditions are created around the cooling coils promoting fungal growth (Chang et al., 1996). Cleaning guidelines for HVAC systems have been published by the National Air Duct Cleaners Association (NADCA) to assist in "Understanding Microbial Contamination in HVAC Systems" (Foarde et al., 1997; NADCA, 2004).

Dispersal of fungal material may also be facilitated through cleaning (Franke et al., 1997). Previous studies have shown spore concentrations to be particularly high on carpeted surfaces (Franke et al., 1997; Buttner et al., 2002). Vacuuming is a commonly employed method to clean such surfaces, which further aggravates spore dispersal into the surrounding atmosphere (Buttner and Stetzenbach, 1993). High pressure steamcleaning is commonly used to clean storage areas such as fruit packhouses however; there are several disadvantages to this method. Firstly, no chemicals that are effective in reducing the inoculum are used during the steam-cleaning process. Secondly, the cleaning technique used creates air currents that disturb fungal material that has settled onto surfaces. Finally, steam cleaning involves the use of moisture and this creates ideal conditions for germination of Penicillium conidia.

## 5. PENICILLIUM IN THE ENVIRONMENT

Known for producing vast amounts of small, lightweight and resistant conidia, Penicillium species have the ability to survive, develop and reproduce in adverse conditions, due to this effective survival ability. Major contributing survival factors
include the efficiency of spore attachment, extended spore viability and colonisation of almost any surface (Anderson, 1956; Pitt, 1979; Morey et al., 2003; Amiri and Bompeix, 2005).

Environments that contain a high concentration of Penicillium spores include the surrounding atmosphere, air-conditioning units, walls, floors and wet and humid surfaces and environments (Morey et al., 2003). Penicillium species are reliant on a passive method of spore dispersal (Dobbs, 1942; Franke et al., 1997; Buttner et al., 1999) and where growth is concealed (e.g. within wall structures), slight disturbances may cause conidia to dislodge and circulate in the air in the room. In cooled indoor environments, the approximate velocity of air exiting cooling units is $2.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (Buttner et al., 1999). As discussed previously, the minimum air velocity required to dislodge Penicillium conidia from conidiophores is $0.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (Pasanen et al., 1991). This indicates the ease with which Penicillium spores may dislodge into surrounding environments resulting in a decrease in indoor air quality (Morey et al., 2003; Gupta et al., 2007).

The surface structure of a Penicillium conidium consists of various patterns of rodlets that vary between species. Electron micrographs have shown roughened surface textures of conidia (Hess et al., 1968). This roughened texture may play a role in conidial attachment. Moisture may assist Penicillium spore attachment in two ways (Amiri et al., 2005). Firstly, hydration causes the weight of the spore to increase and secondly, moist conditions are required for the development of the hyphal tip. These factors positively influence spore attachment by making it less likely for the spore to re-enter the atmosphere, allowing for initiation of germination mechanisms. In the presence of appropriate nutrients, moisture and oxygen, conidia attach to an appropriate surface. Conidia then undergo a change in surface properties and chemical composition, enhancing host attachment. Troy and Koffler (1969) demonstrated an increase in hexose sugars and chitin in the walls of $P$. chrysogenum conidia when grown on suitable media. When exposed to moisture, polysaccharides and glycoproteins present on the outside wall of conidia assist in host attachment (Agrios, 1997). In addition, glucan composition of the cell now resembles that of higher plants (Troy and Koffler, 1969), so the conidial
chemistry is similar to that of its host. Nuclear mitosis is initiated and subsequent germination follows.

Several factors influence germination of the conidia. It may be controlled by a number of sensory activators and molecular signaling pathways (Osherov and My, 2001). Water activity plays an essential role in conidial germination and to a lesser extent, temperature and pH (Sautour et al., 2001). Germination is followed by rapid hyphae extension and growth (Anderson, 1956) (Figure 3).


Figure 3: The lifecycle of Penicillium species.
Starting point is indicated by *. Conidia present on conidiophores are exposed to atmospheric disturbances. A condium is dislodged from a fruiting structure and it circulates in the atmosphere until in comes into contact with a suitable host and substrate. Infection of fruit occurs through wounds, lenticels and stomata. Some species will initiate a pathogenic infection while others will remain epiphytic. Germination occurs which is followed by rapid growth, colonisation and development of fruiting structures.

## 6. PENICILLIUM ASSOCIATED WITH FRUIT

### 6.1 IMPORTANCE OF PENICILLIUM IN THE PREHARVEST ENVIRONMENT

A delicate balance of microbial populations exists on plant surfaces, which changes throughout the different developmental phases (Korsten, 2006). As Penicillium is a common soil inhabitant (Pitt, 1991) reliant on air disturbances for spore dispersal, its presence on the phyllo- and fructoplanes may be anticipated (Agrios, 1997; Korsten, 2006). Although Penicillium is a common postharvest fungus, most pathogenic infections occur preharvestly during fruit development. Several species of Penicillium have been indicated to be pathogenic to a number of different plant hosts. These include P. expansum (Link), P. italicum (Wehmer), P. digitatum (Sacc.), P. solitum (Westling), $P$. viridicatum (Westling), P. rugulosum (Thom) and occasionally $P$. hirsutum (Dierckx) (Garber et al., 1965; Pitt, 1991). Following preharvest infection, disease development may remain latent to allow for suitable growth conditions, such as an increase in sugar concentrations and water activity (Dantigny et al., 2007).

Preharvest parameters that promote and facilitate infection of litchi fruit by Penicillium species include environmental factors such as warm winds (to facilitate spore dispersal), high rainfall conditions and pest damage (Gilbert, 1978; De Jager et al., 2003; Jiang et al., 2003). Environmental conditions, extensive exposure of the fruit to harsh sunlight (Ghosh, 2001) and pest infestation may cause the pericarp to be desiccated, wounded or cracked (Gilbert, 1978). Desiccation of the fruit is associated with mycological decay as micro-crack formation is initiated in the pericarp. These micro-cracks as well as lenticels, stomata and associated pericarp wounds, serve as entry points for pathogens (Cooke and Rayner, 1984; Underhill and Simons, 1993; Coates et al., 1995, Agrios, 1997; Sivakumar et al., 2005, Neri et al., 2006).

Penicillium species are one of the most dominant decay agents affecting litchi fruit. The litchi pericarp provides an ideal environment for conidial attachment and fungal growth, even under cold storage conditions (Underhill and Simons, 1993). Many protrusions on
the litchi pericarp give it a particularly rough texture (Sivakumar et al., 2005), whereby conidia require minimal energy to attach to the fruit. Distinguishing between healthy and Penicillium infected fruit may be difficult during preharvest growth development as micro-cracks are difficult to detect (Coates et al., 1995). The litchi pericarp is only 1-3 mm thick (Underhill and Simons, 1993) and the flesh of the fruit provides an abundance of nutrients and sugars as well as a low pH , which selects for fungal growth, in particular that of Penicillium species (Lichter et al., 2004; Tournas et al., 2005).

### 6.2 IMPORTANCE OF PENICILLIUM IN THE POSTHARVEST ENVIRONMENT

Penicillium is one of the most commonly known storage fungal species (Adams and Moss, 2003). Most of the spoilage and decay of fruit caused by this organism takes place during storage, when plant defenses no longer play a role (Tournas et al., 2005). Primarily, contamination of fruit such as litchi, apple and pear occurs post-harvestly during storage, with potential for growth and development of the fungus during storage and transport (Marín et al., 2006).

Following fruit harvest, the microbial population of the phylloplane is altered (Korsten, 2006). In terms of microbial communities, Penicillium is classified as an $r$-strategist (Atlas and Bartha, 1998). This strategy is characterised by high reproductive rates, and the ability to thrive in environments which are sparsely populated and not resource limiting (Atlas and Bartha, 1998). As Penicillium is an opportunistic pathogen, it will thrive and develop rapidly, should the microbial balance of the fructoplane change due to postharvest treatments such as sulphur dioxide fumigation (Korsten, 2006).

Dependant on the host specificity of Penicillium species, growth may proceed in two ways. Fruit may develop epiphytic Penicillium growth as is seen with most species on various fruit (Korsten, 2006). This condition is predominantly a cosmetic drawback since the fungus colonises the fructoplane and does not affect the fruit internally. It does however; affect the export potential and market value of the fruit (Korsten, 2006). Alternatively, growth conditions permitting, a physical pathogenic infection of the fruit
may occur, either pre- or postharvestly. Pathogenic infection of fruit by Penicillium species is characterised by physical invasion of the tissue. Enzymes such as pectinases and cellulases are produced, which facilitates tissue degradation (Atlas and Bartha, 1998).

The importance of Penicillium infection of fruit becomes apparent once it is understood how rapidly cross-contamination occurs between stored fruit, as well as between the surrounding environments (Anderson, 1956; Coates et al., 1995). Penicillium is an aggressive pathogen exhibiting ideal physical fitness to spread, infect and colonise various environments given the correct growth conditions. If a wound is present on a neighbouring, uninfected fruit, mycelia may directly penetrate and infect this fruit (Anderson, 1956). Due to Penicillium being a classical wound pathogen (Janisiewicz and Korsten, 2002; Neri et al., 2006); intact fruit may escape infection even if surrounded by heavily contaminated fruit.

Postharvest decay of litchi fruit by Penicillium species is characterised by general softening of the fruit (with no apparent indentations or wrinkling of the fruit surface) and a watery texture of decaying areas (Anderson, 1956). Fruit has a mouldy taste on consumption and in humid storage conditions; blue-green fruiting bodies become apparent (Anderson, 1956). Once removed from cold storage, rapid decay of the fruit occurs (Holcroft and Mitcham, 1996). The characteristic earthy odour of fruit contaminated with Penicillium is caused by the compound geosmin. Penicillium expansum (Link), as well as eight other Penicillium species are known to produce this compound (Whitfield, 1998).

### 6.3 PENICILLIUM SPECIES ASSOCIATED WITH FRUIT SURFACES

Penicillium preferentially colonise fruit as opposed to other hosts such as vegetables as it is an acid-tolerant fungus thriving in environments of low surface pH (Lichter et al., 2004; Tournas and Katsoudas, 2005; Korsten, 2006). Common hosts for Penicillium as a dominant decay agent include apples (Amiri and Bompeix, 2005; Conway et al., 2005), citrus (Brown et al., 2000; Palou et al., 2003), pears (Lennox et al., 2003), peaches
(Droby et al., 2003), table grapes (Franck et al., 2005) and litchi (De Jager and Korsten, 2003; Jacobs and Korsten, 2004; Korsten, 2006). Certain species appear to have host preferences such as $P$. digitatum and $P$. italicum occurring predominantly on citrus fruit (Pitt, 1991; Palou et al., 2003).

Species which have previously been reported on litchi include $P$. aurantiogriseum (Dierckx), P. brevicompactum (Dierckx), P. chrysogenum (Thom), P. citreonigrum (Dierckx), P. citrinum (Thom), P. corylophilum (Dierckx), P. decumbens (Thom), P. expansum (Link), P. fellutanum (Biourge), P. glabrum (Westling), P. janthinellum (Biourge), P. rugalosum (Thom), P. solitum (Westling) and P. viridictum (Westling) (De Jager and Korsten, 2003; Jacobs and Korsten, 2004). The range of species having been isolated from different studies is an indication of moderate diversity within the genus as well as consistency between species and their ability to colonise different environments. All these species are common soil saprophytes (Domsch and Gams, 1970), many of which may cause decay by serving as an initial inoculum source.

## 7. HEALTH AND SAFETY ASPECTS OF PENICILLIUM

With Penicillium having such a wide environmental distribution, these species act as hygiene indicators of potential cross-contamination points of fruit during export. Some species play a significant role in food safety through the production of mycotoxins. Patulin is produced by $P$. expansum, which is commonly found on deciduous fruit, as well as various other species of Penicillium and Aspergillus (Sommer et al., 1974; Sweeney and Dobson, 1998). Penicillium expansum is able to survive adverse conditions, as it is a psychrophile (Pitt, 1973; Sweeney and Dobson, 1998). This indicates that $P$. expansum should be a dominant isolate found under cold storage conditions. Storage of apples at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ does not prevent the production of patulin (Sommer et al., 1974). In addition, patulin is a heat stable toxin that is not destroyed during fruit processing involving heat (Sommer et al., 1974). The maximum levels of patulin permitted by the European Union in apples intended for direct consumption is $25 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (European Commission, 2003).

Citrinin was first isolated from P. citrinum (Thom) (Hetherington and Raistrick, 1931). Penicillium expansum and P. verrucosum (Dierckx) (Pitt, 1991) and species of Aspergillus and Monascus (Xu et al., 2006) are also known producers of this mycotoxin. Due to routine mycotoxin detection not being very well developed, there is no legal limitation and supporting legislation concerning citrinin in foodstuffs (Xu et al., 2006). Penicillium research however, has become more focused in this area in recent years with the development of mycotoxin detection methods such as Thin Layer Chromatography, High-Performance Liquid Chromatography, enzyme immunoassays (Xu et al., 2006), microarrays (Schmidt-Heydt and Geisen, 2007) and Real Time PCR (Geisen et al., 2004). Mycotoxin detection is of particular importance in the fruit juicing industry as Tournas et al. (2006) detected Penicillium species in pasteurized fruit juice.

## 8. CONTROL OF PENICILLIUM SPECIES

Several methods are commonly used for Penicillium disease control of fruit including fungicides, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ fumigation and biological control. However, an ideal method in control is to prevent injury to the fruit, since Penicillium species are classic wound pathogens (Anderson, 1956). Care should therefore be taken during picking, handling, packaging and transport to minimise wounding of the fruit (Korsten, 2006). Fruit should also be visually inspected for wounds and Penicillium growth during the packing and repacking processes. Infected fruit should promptly be discarded and removed from the facility to prevent release of conidia into the environment.

Maintaining the cold chain during fruit export is essential in ensuring a high quality product (Korsten, 2006). Fruit should be refrigerated as soon as possible after harvest, and kept at low temperatures until sold to the consumer (Sivakumar et al., 2005; Korsten, 2006). Refrigeration causes a reduction in the metabolism of the fruit and cooled conditions are less favourable for disease development (Korsten, 2006). For optimal results it is recommended that litchi fruit be stored at $0-1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ throughout the export chain (PPECB Export Directory, 2007).

To prevent browning and maintain the characteristic red colour of the litchi pericarp, fruit is treated post-harvestly. Fruit is fumigated with sulfur dioxide gas, which initially bleaches the pericarp. The red colour of the pericarp returns after dipping in diluted hydrochloric acid (Lichter et al., 2004). Sulfur dioxide treatment and other fungicide applications are currently the only commercially used methods to prevent pericarp browning and control of fungal growth on litchi in South Africa (Jiang et al., 2003; PPECB, 2007). Sulphur dioxide fumigation and hydrochloric acid dipping appear to have little or no effect in the reduction of fruit decay (Holcroft and Mitcham, 1996; Lichter et al., 2004). On the contrary, it may initiate fungal decay of the fruit by providing a suitable growth environment, devoid of competitive microorganisms that were eliminated during the fumigation process. In addition, previous studies have shown that $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ treatment of fruit causes lenticels to open (Amiri et al., 2005) and may promote damage of the fruit (Franck et al., 2005). Such treatments and harsh environmental factors cause the development of micro-cracks in the pericarp (Gilbert, 1978, Underhill and Simons, 1993, Sivakumar et al., 2005) and subsequently promote decay by acid tolerant fungi such as Penicillium species (Lichter et al., 2004). It is essential to develop alternative postharvest control methods as maximum residue limits for sulphur set by the European Union is 10 ppm (Jiang et al., 2003). Some Penicillium species appear to be resistant to sulphur dioxide treatment. Penicillium egyptiacum (Beyma) conidia for example, appear to be unaffected by exposure of $10-100 \mathrm{ppm}$ gaseous sulfur dioxide (Jennings, 1993).

Alternative control measures to sulfur dioxide treatment are being tested for use both at pre- and postharvest levels. Examples include microwave power in the control of $P$. expansum (Karabulut and Baykal, 2002), ozone gas treatment in the control of $P$. digitatum and P. italicum (Palou et al., 2003), biological control (De Jager et al., 2003) and Controlled Atmosphere (CA) packaging (Beaudry, 1999; Sivakumar and Korsten, 2006; Conway et al., 2005). Controlled atmosphere packaging has shown promise in reducing fungal decay as well as maintaining fruit quality (Beaudry, 1999; Sivakumar and Korsten, 2006). This method is safe for consumers however, the pericarp becomes brown once the fruit is removed from the packaging and exposed to the surrounding
atmosphere. This reduces the appeal of the fruit to European markets. It is however, necessary to determine the effect of these treatments on Penicillium, in order to implement the most effective integrated control solution.

## 9. CONCLUSION

Identification of Penicillium species has evolved over three developmental phases. However, with great advances in the field of molecular biology, the basis of identification still requires morphological techniques. Raper and Thom (Thom, 1930; Raper and Thom, 1949), followed by Pitt (Pitt, 1973; 1979), took the first steps in developing the identification guidelines using standardised media and growth conditions.

Penicillium conidia are a major air contaminant, thriving in closed, humid environments (Chang et al., 1996; Foarde et al., 1997). Humid conditions are often found in litchi packhouses and areas cooled by commercial HVAC systems. Penicillium has the ability to survive in chilled environments such as cooled containers and cold storage facilities. Effective spore attachment mechanisms play an essential role in the infection, growth, development and propagation of this filamentous fungus (Agrios, 1997; Amiri et al., 2005). Cross-contamination is easy between fruit and other crops, and decay is rapid if fruit is not stored within appropriately cooled conditions.

South Africa is a major litchi producing and exporting country, focusing on ensuring quality and extending shelf life of the fruit. Quantities of litchi fruit passed for export however, has decreased over the years. Major contributing factors that negatively impact on fruit quality are pericarp browning and postharvest decay. Studies have found moderate species diversity within the Penicillium genus (De Jager et al., 2003) as well as consistency between the species found during export (Jacobs and Korsten, 2004).

Penicillium can affect the health and safety of the consumer especially if effective control measures are not followed. Several species produce mycotoxins affecting the safety of the product. Sulfur dioxide exposure is the most commonly employed postharvest
treatment of litchi fruit. This treatment has no apparent effect on reducing Penicillium inoculum. The presence of Penicillium species on the fruit may indicate inappropriate handling at various stages in the supply chain. Workers involved in the above aspects are often in a position to minimize wounding which can result in fruit losses. Employees should be adequately trained in careful picking and basic hygiene, as fruit damage is the key to Penicillium infection. Exporters must be aware of the potential risk of crosscontamination and infection of the fruit and how rapidly it may occur. Care must also be taken when storing various fruit crops together and adequate cleaning routines should be in place to minimize cross-contamination between products and from season to season.
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## Chapter 2

# Isolation and identification of Penicillium species in the South African litchi export chain 

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Penicillium species have a natural ecological role of decaying organic matter, thus this genus is a dominant saprophytic fungus found in fruit export. Penicillium species have high reproductive rates (Atlas and Bartha, 1998), indicating their ability to thrive and dominate in nutrient-rich environments such as litchi fruit. In order to ensure high quality fruit, effective cold chain management is crucial. Coldrooms and cooledcontainers are essential in delivering high-quality fruit to the consumer however; these environments are highly condusive to the spread of conidia and subsequent survival of Penicillium species.

Most studies rely on the use of DNA sequencing for identification of Penicillium species, although this method is costly, time-consuming and database information may not be entirely accurate (Ciardo et al., 2007). Previous studies have made use of PCR-RFLP to successfully differentiate between several Penicillium species. LoBuglio and Taylor (1995) used PCR-RFLP to analyse nucleotide differences between different isolates of $P$. marneffei in order to develop species-specific primers. Flórez et al. (2007) used PCRRFLP to distinguish between a number of Penicillium species isolated from cheese. Both these studies analysed the ITS gene region, although it is well established that this region is highly conserved (Glass et al., 1995; Skouboe et al., 2000; Samson et al., 2004; Wang and Zhuang, 2007).

With much diversity within the genus Penicillium, it is essential to develop molecular identification methods that are rapid, repeatable and reliable. The aim of this study was to identify Penicillium species within the South African litchi export chain and develop a PCR-RFLP method with which species may be easily differentiated from one another. The use of PCR-RFLP is ideal as it is a method that is quick and easy to perform and it can easily be applied to routine screening of Penicillium isolates.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 ISOLATION OF PENICILLIUM ISOLATES

Sampling occurred over three litchi-producing and exporting seasons - 2004/2005, 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 - during December to February. Local samples originated from four different litchi packhouses in Limpopo Province (South Africa) as well as cold storage facilities at a harbour (Western Cape Province, South Africa). International samples originated from a port in Holland, two distribution centres in Holland and France and two re-packing facilities in the United Kingdom (U.K.) and Belgium. Fungal isolates were obtained from air samples, fruit wash-water and swabs taken from surfaces in contact with fruit or boxes while the products moved through the export chain. Surfaces from which transport swabs (Medical Wire and Equipment Co., Bath, U.K.) were taken include pickers' and packers' hands; boxes and crates in orchards and packhouses; walls, floors and flaps in packhouses, cold rooms and repacking areas and packlines (bands, rollers, sorters and dip tanks).

Locally, sampling was done at the beginning as well as the end of the litchi season, while international sampling was done once during the season. Sampling procedures however, were identical at all locations. Sampling was done with five replicates per sampling location.

In local orchards, pickers' hands, crates and picking bags were sampled using 10 workers per site. In packhouses, wasterooms and pre-cool rooms; boxes and crates; packers' hands and various areas of the packline were sampled. Walls and floors of packhouses were sampled with ten replicates. In coldrooms, walls, floors and flaps were sampled at one to three sampling points dependant on the size of the facility.

Coldrooms, containers and repacking areas were sampled internationally. Coldroom walls, floors and flaps were sampled, while walls and floors of containers were sampled.

In the repacking area, walls; floors; repackers' hands and boxes were sampled. Data was intended to indicate which Penicillium species occurred within the litchi export chain.

A SAS Compact Surface Air System (PBI International, Italy) was used for air sampling. This system has an air capacity of 30 litres per unit (U), which is 20 seconds. Prior to use, the operating system was optimised for cold rooms as well as areas of ambient temperature to ensure countable fungal colonies (unpublished data). For low temperature areas, such as coldrooms, 6 U was used for sampling, while in areas of ambient temperature, 1 U was used due to the inoculum load being lower in cooled environments.

All swabs were transported in cooler boxes in order to minimise temperature fluctuations. Swabs were promptly processed by aseptically placing the swab in nine ml Ringers' solution (Merck, Johannesburg, South Africa) and mixed well using a vortex shaker (Labotec, Johannesburg). Dilution series were performed and $100 \mu 1$ of each suspension was plated out on Malt Extract Agar (MEA) (Merck) plates amended with D(+)-glucose (Merck) as well as Standard 1 Nutrient Agar (STD1) (Merck). Petri dishes containing both agar types were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ however; MEA plates were incubated for 96 h while STD1 plates were incubated for 48 h . Air sample plates of MEA and STD1 were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for five days. Single, representative colonies were identified and conidia thereof plated out to obtain pure cultures. Total counts were not regarded as part of this study and data will not be presented as such.

Due to the vast number of isolates obtained, the cultures were grouped according to similar cultural characteristics to make handling and identification manageable. These characteristics included colony size, shape, colour, texture and formation, mycelia and reverse plate colouration and exudate production. Each group was assigned a number and a representative isolate was randomly chosen. Groups containing only one isolate were not included in this study.

All isolates were preserved for future reference. Cultures were freeze-dried in duplicate as well as preserved on Potato Dextrose (PDA) (Merck) agar slants and in sterile water.

Cultures are maintained in the fungal culture collection of the Microbiology and Plant Pathology Department, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

### 2.2 MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION

### 2.2.1 CULTURE PREPARATION

Vials containing 9 ml Ringers' solution were sterilized. Three to four agar blocks of approximately 5 mm X 5 mm in size were cut aseptically from representative cultures, added to the vials and vortexed. Ten microliters of the spore suspension was inoculated onto three agar types at three points equidistant from each other. Five Petri dishes were inoculated per isolate according to the guidelines of Pitt (1991). One complex Malt Extract Agar (MEA) [malt extract powder, peptone, glucose and bacteriological agar] plate and one $25 \%$ Glycerol Nitrate Agar (G25N) [Czapek concentrate $\left(\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}, \mathrm{KCl}\right.$, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{FeSO}_{4.7} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4.7} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CuSO}_{4.5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$, yeast extract powder, glycerol and bacteriological agar] plate per isolate were incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for seven days. Three Czapek Yeast Extract Agar (CYA) [Czapek concentrate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$, yeast extract powder, sucrose and bacteriological agar] plates were incubated at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively for seven days (Pitt, 1991).

### 2.2.2. CULTURE EXAMINATION

### 2.2.2.1 MEASUREMENT OF COLONY GROWTH

On the seventh day of incubation, growth and spore germination on the $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ incubated plate was examined using a stereomicroscope (Zeiss, Germany). Growth at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was only visually examined (Pitt, 1991). Diameters of distinct colonies were measured in millimeters on the reverse side of the Petri dish. Each colony that developed from the suspension droplet was measured twice across the widest points. A maximum of six measurements were taken per plate. Colonies where growth was inhibited, or those
that developed from stray droplets were disregarded (Pitt, 1991). All measurements were documented.

### 2.2.2.2 COLONY CHARACTERISTICS

Colony characteristics were assessed visually and stereomicroscopically. Important characteristics included colony texture and colour, conidia production, exudate production and colour thereof, pigmentation of mycelium or exudate, diffusion of the pigment into the medium, sclerotia production and buckling of the medium. Other unique characteristics were also noted and all information was documented.

### 2.2.2.3 MICROSCOPY

A compound microscope (Zeiss) was used for the examination of fruiting structures and conidia. Bright field microscopy of Penicillium species requires staining with lactofuschin (Carmicheal, 1955). Microscopic slide preparations were made from hyphal growth on each of the MEA and CYA plates due to certain characteristics being more apparent on certain media (Pitt, 1991). Important characteristics which were observed and noted include mono-, bi-, ter-, or quaterverticillate penicilli nature; stipe size, shape and texture; conidia shape, size, colour and texture; conidiation and the presence or absence of conidial chains (Pitt, 1991).

### 2.3 MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION

### 2.3.1 SINGLE SPORE ISOLATIONS

Single spore isolations were performed on all representative isolates to ensure genetically homogenous DNA. It was performed by plating out $90 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of sterile water and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the spore suspension (described previously) for each isolate onto 90 mm Petri dishes containing 0.4 \% water agar (Bacteriological agar, Merck). Plates were incubated for three to twelve hours at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Single spores were examined and isolated using a
stereomicroscope. Single spores were inoculated onto Petri dishes containing PDA, which were supplemented with chloramphenicol and incubated until growth was sufficient for DNA extraction. Isolations were repeated in triplicate.

### 2.3.2 DNA EXTRACTION

For extraction of total DNA from the mycelia and conidia of the isolates, the DNeasy ${ }^{\circledR}$ Plant Mini Kit from Qiagen (Southern Cross Biotechnology, Johannesburg) was used according to the manufacturer's specifications. A FastPrep® Instrument FP 120 (Bio $101 ®$ Systems, France) was used to lyse the cells at $4.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for 40 s . Mechanical disruption of the cells was facilitated by the use of 0.5 g of 0.5 mm silica beads (Biospec Products Inc., Separations, Johannesburg). Total DNA extracts were visualised on a $1 \%$ agarose gel (Whitehead Scientific, Johannesburg) stained with $0.01 \%$ ethidium bromide. A 1 kilo-basepair (kb) Hyperladder I (Bioline, Celtic Molecular Diagnostics (Pty) Ltd., Cape Town, South Africa) molecular marker was included for size estimation. Extractions were observed under ultraviolet illumination in an electrophoresis geldocumentation system (Vilber Lourmat, OmniScience, Johannesburg).

### 2.3.3 POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION

Primers chosen for amplification of the Internally Transcribed Spacer (ITS) gene regions of the 5.8 S rDNA were ITS1 ( $5^{`}$ - TTT CCG TAG GTG AAC CTG C - $3^{`}$ ) and ITS4 ( $5^{\circ}$ - TCC TCC GCT TAT TGA TAT GC - 3') (White et al., 1990). A partial section of the beta-tubulin ( $\beta$-tubulin) gene region was amplified with Bt2a ( $5^{\circ}$ - GGT AAC CAA ATC GGT GCT GCT TTC $-3^{`}$ ) and Bt2b ( $5^{`}-\operatorname{ACC}$ CTC AGT GTA GTG ACC CTT GGC 3') primers (Glass et al., 1995).

Amplifications of the ITS gene region were performed in a $50 \mu 1$ reaction volume and the protocol per reaction contained $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of genomic DNA, $5 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$ reaction buffer, $2.5 \mu 150 \mathrm{mM}$ magnesium chloride, 10 mM of each of the four dNTPs, $0-4 \%$ (of the final volume) stock dimethyl sulphoxide, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each $15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ oligonucleotide primer
and 1 unit (U) of Taq DNA polymerase. Sterile SABAX water was added to result in the final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$. A lesser volume of genomic DNA ( $0.15-0.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each oligonucleotide primer ( Bt 2 a and Bt 2 b ) was used in the $\beta$-tubulin PCR reaction. Remaining components were used as in the ITS PCR.

Conditions for the PCR were optimised and PCR cycle profiles were performed using the 2700 Perkin-Elmer PCR thermocycler (Perkin-Elmer, Massachusetts, U.S.A). Cycling conditions for ITS amplification were: initial denaturation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , primer annealing at $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 s and primer extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 s , followed by a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for seven min. Cycling conditions for $\beta$-tubulin amplification were $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three min, followed by 35 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two min, followed by a final extension of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for ten min.

Amplification products were visualised by electrophoresis using a $1 \%$ (w/v) agarose gel stained with $0.01 \%$ ethidium bromide. A 100 bp Hyperladder IV (Bioline) molecular marker was included for size estimation. Products were observed using ultraviolet illumination in an electrophoresis gel-documentation system (Vilber Lourmat).

### 2.3.4 SEQUENCING AND PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS

Purification of the PCR products was performed prior to sequencing. A QIAquick ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR Purification Kit from Qiagen (Southern Cross Biotechnology) was used according to the manufacturer's specifications. Both forward and reverse strands of the ITS and $\beta$-tubulin amplicons were sequenced using the BigDye ${ }^{\circledR}$ Terminator V3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, U.S.A). Components per sequencing reaction were 3 $\mu 1$ sterile water, $1 \mu 1$ dilution buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Bigdye ${ }^{\circledR}$ Reaction Mix, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ primer (forward or reverse) and $3 \mu 1$ purified PCR product resulting in a final volume of $10 \mu 1$. Sequencing reactions were performed using the 2700 Perkin-Elmer PCR thermocycler (Perkin-Elmer). Sequencing cycle conditions were $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one min, followed by 25 cycles of $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{~s}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for five s, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four min and samples were held at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Sequencing reactions were purified prior to analysis using the following clean-up protocol. Ten microlitres of sterile water was added to the sequencing reaction and centrifuged briefly for 30 s . Two microlitres of 3 M sodium acetate was mixed with the reaction to facilitate DNA precipitation. Fifty microlitres of chilled absolute ethanol was added to the reaction and vortexed. This mixture was incubated for ten min on ice and centrifuged at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (13 $000 \mathrm{r} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$ ) for 20 min . Following centrifugation, absolute ethanol was removed, $80 \mu \mathrm{l} 70 \%$ ethanol was added and centrifuged for five min at room temperature, 6000 r.p.m. The $70 \%$ ethanol was removed and tubes were left exposed to allow any remaining ethanol to evaporate.

Samples were analysed using an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were edited using Vector NTI Advance 9.1.0 software (www.invitrogen.com/bioinformatics (2004)) and consensus sequences were subjected to BLAST analysis (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) to clarify identification results. Sequences were deposited in Genbank and accession numbers were assigned to all isolates for both ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov.Genbank/submit.html).

Sequence data was edited further and aligned using Vector NTI Advance 9.1.0 (www.invitrogen.com/bioinformatics (2004)), Bioedit Multiple Sequence Alignment (Hall, 1999) and ClustalX 1.81 (Thompson et al., 1997). Phylogenetic analysis of sequence data was done using PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony) beta version 4.0b10 (Swofford, 1998). Missing data (?), gaps (-) and parsimony uninformative characters were excluded from the analysis. Fifty-three characters had a weight equal to one while 103 characters had a weight other than one. A Partition Homogeneity Test (PAUP 4.0b10) was performed with 100 replicates to test the congruence and combinability of the ITS and $\beta$-tubulin data sets (Huelsenbeck et al., 1996). Both data sets were analysed separately and subsequently combined. A heuristic search for the most parsimonious trees was performed using a random addition sequence and Tree Bisection and Reconnection branch-swapping algorithm. Bootstrap re-sampling of the most parsimonious trees was performed using PAUP 4.0 b 10 with 1000 replicates
(Felsenstein, 1985). Groups with a confidence level greater than $70 \%$ were retained for the consensus trees. Furasium oxysporum sequence data for ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions was downloaded from Genbank (Accession numbers - EU073196 (ITS) and EF450110 ( $\beta$-tubulin)) as outgroups for the respective data sets. Trees were rooted to the outgroup. Tree diagrams were viewed and edited using TreeView 1.6.6 (http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/rod.html) and Microsoft Powerpoint.

### 2.3.5 POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION-RESTRICTION FRAGMENT LENGTH POLYMORPHISM

Sequence data was analysed with Vector NTI Advance 9.1.0 software (www.invitrogen.com/bioinformatics (2004)) to develop restriction maps of the ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions. Restriction enzymes that could potentially differentiate between the various Penicillium spp. were identified. Enzymes, HaeIII, ApoI (isochitzomer $X a p \mathrm{I}$ ), BfaI (isochitzomer - FspBI), HpaII, LweI (isochitzomer - BscAI) and TaiI (Fermentas, Inqaba Biotechnologies, Pretoria, South Africa) were used according to the manufacturers specifications and tested for efficacy in distinguishing between the various Penicillium species. Sterile water, 1U of restriction enzyme and $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the appropriate buffer was added per reaction to $18-20 \mu 1$ of the PCR amplicons, resulting in a total volume of $30 \mu 1$. The reaction mixture was incubated at optimal temperature for three to four hours in a water bath. Products were left overnight to ensure complete product digestion. Fingerprint banding patterns were observed by loading 18-20 $\mu$ PCR-RFLP product on a $3 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ agarose gel stained with $0.01 \%$ ethidium bromide. A 100 bp Hyperladder IV (Bioline) molecular marker was included in order to visually distinguish between fragment sizes in the banding pattern of a species. Visualization was done under ultraviolet illumination in an electrophoresis gel-documentation system (Vilber Lourmat).

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1 ISOLATION OF PENICILLIUM ISOLATES

A total of 1542 Penicillium isolates were obtained over the 2004/2005, 2005/2006 and 2006/2007 sampling seasons. Cultures were grouped according to similar cultural characteristics and a total of 310 groups could be identified. Each of these groups had unique and defining characteristics. Of these groups, 57 contained isolates of atmospheric origin that were chosen for further study. In total, there were 919 isolates which represents $59.6 \%$ of all isolates obtained in this study. The number of isolates per group is indicated in Table 1.

### 3.2 IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION

### 3.2.1 MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION AND POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION

One representative isolate from each of the 57 groups was identified according to the methods described by Pitt (1991). The polymerase chain reaction for the ITS and $\beta$ tubulin gene regions was optimised for all 57 groups. Amplified fragments were approximately $550-650 \mathrm{bp}$ and $450-500 \mathrm{bp}$ for the ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions respectively.

### 3.2.2 SEQUENCE AND PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS

Edited sequence data for both ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions was subjected to BLAST analysis and results are indicated in Table 1. Where more than one identification result is indicated, BLAST results were repeatedly inconclusive. See Appendix I and II for ITS and $\beta$-tubulin sequence alignments. No BLAST results for the $\beta$-tubulin gene region were obtained for those groups identified as $P$. glabrum through analysis of the ITS gene
region, as there were no records for the $P$. glabrum $\beta$-tubulin gene partial cds sequence in the Genbank database at that time.

The partition homogeneity test results indicated that the two data sets were combinable as $\mathrm{P}=0.01(\mathrm{P}<0.05 ; g l=-0.284602)$. A total of 1056 characters were included in the analysis of the combined data sets. Of these characters, 902 were excluded, as they were parsimony uninformative. The remaining 154 characters were parsimony informative and included in the analysis. Following heuristic searches using PAUP* (Swofford, 1998), one tree was retained with significant consistency index (CI) and retention index (RI) values $(\mathrm{CI}=0.6126 ; \mathrm{RI}=0.9200$ ) (Figure 1) and a tree length of 278.38595. Figure 1 indicates clades I to V and various subclades to be discussed.

Table 1: Sequence identification results for all Penicillium groups analysed in this study

| Group | Number <br> of <br> Isolates | Sequence Identification | *ITS and <br> Beta-tubulin <br> Accession <br> Numbers | Group | Number <br> of <br> Isolates | Sequence Identification <br> Beta- <br> tubulin <br> Accession <br> Numbers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 45 | P. biourgeianum Zaleski <br> P. bialowiezense Zaleski | *EU128590 <br> EU128532 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 27 | P. glabrum (Wehmer) Westling | *EU128619 |
| EU128561 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

ITS accession numbers are indicated with a *. Where more than one identification result is indicated, BLAST analysis was inconclusive.


Figure 1: A phylogram generated after a heuristic search of the combined ITS 1, 5.8S and ITS 4 and partial $\beta$-tubulin sequence data of the 57 Penicillium groups analysed in this study. Group numbers are indicated in brackets. Fusarium oxysporum was included as an outgroup. Species names are indicated with the respective group numbers. Bootstrap values are indicated above each branch. Clades I to V are indicated with various sub-clades.

### 3.2.3 POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION-RESTRICTION FRAGMENT LENGTH POLYMORPHISM

To distinguish between the various Penicillium species found in this study, restriction enzymes were tested for efficiency on both ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions. Groups were divided according to species identification as well as verticillate nature (subgenus). Digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region was effective in species and strain differentiation (Figures 2-10). The ITS gene region however, demonstrated limited taxonomic value for species differentiation using PCR-RFLP (Figure 12 and 13).

Digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of subclade I-A (Figure 1) with the HpaII restriction enzyme resulted in several variable banding patterns, with minimal consistency found between species groups (Figure 2). This indicates relatedness between isolates as well as individual species. Approximate fragment sizes of each group are indicated in Table 2. In addition, identification of group 21 is unconfirmed as BLAST analysis of the ITS gene region resulted in $P$. commune while the $\beta$-tubulin gene region was $P$. solitum (Table 1). Minimal differentiation was found between subclades II-A and II-B when the ITS gene region was digested with ApoI (data not shown). Subclade II-B was identified as $P$. brevicompactum while subclade II-A had conflicting identification results for both ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions. These groups were identified as $P$. biourgeianum and $P$. bialowiezense for the ITS and $\beta$-tubulin gene regions respectively (Table 1). Identification of species in these two subclades was confirmed through digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with HpaII, as two unique banding patterns were displayed (Figure 3) - one representing subclade II-A and the other subclade II-B. Fragment sizes are indicated in Table 2.


Figure 2: HpaII digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of subclade I-A grouped into species groups, showing inconsistency with the banding patterns of these species. Although identification of group 21 remains inconclusive, it was placed in the $P$. commune group ( $M=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker).


Figure 3: HpaII digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of subclades II-A and II-B. Subclade II-B $(52,54)$ was confirmed to be $P$. brevicompactum, while subclade II-A $(1,228,47)$ remains unconfirmed between $P$. biourgeianum (ITS) and P. bialowiezense ( $\beta$-tubulin) ( $M=100$ bp marker).

The remaining species used in PCR-RFLPs were $P$. polonicum (subclade I-B), $P$. expansum (subclade I-C), $P$. paneum (subclade I-D), P. italicum (subclade I-E), $P$. chrysogenum (subclades 1-F and I-G), $P$. citrinum, $P$ steckii, $P$. sumatrense (clade IV), $P$ citreonigrum and $P$. corylophilum (clade V) and unidentified group 36 (Figures 4 and 5) (Figure 1). Fragment sizes for all species are indicated in Table 2. Restriction digests of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of these groups using BfaI (isochitzomer - FspBI or MaeI) enabled the differentiation of several species from one another. Penicillium polonicum, P. expansum (Figure 4) and an unknown Penicillium species (group 36) (Figure 5) displayed unique banding patterns. These species groups were removed from the remainder of the identification process.


Figure 4: BfaI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of $P$. paneum (subclade I-D), P. polonicum (subclade IB), P. chrysogenum (subclades I-F and I-G), P. expansum (subclade I-C), P. steckii and P. citrinum (part of clade IV). Penicillium polonicum and $P$. expansum are unique and clearly distinguishable from $P$. paneum and $P$. chrysogenum. Penicillium steckii and $P$. citrinum although they form a unique banding pattern to the other species, remain to be differentiated ( $M=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker).


Figure 5: BfaI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of groups 36 (unidentified), P. sumatrense (part of clade IV), P. citreonigrum and P. corylophilum (clade V) and P. italicum (subclade I-E). Penicillium italicum (57) displays a similar banding pattern to $P$. paneum and $P$. chrysogenum (See Figure 4). Penicillium sumatrense (42) displays a similar banding pattern to $P$. citrinum and $P$. steckii (See Figure 4). Penicillium citreonigrum $(49,51)$ displays a similar banding pattern to $P$. corylophilum $(56)(M=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker $)$.

Penicillium paneum, P. chrysogenum (Figure 4) and P. italicum (Figure 5) displayed similar banding patterns with BfaI digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region. The $\beta$-tubulin gene region of these species was subsequently digested with the restriction enzyme ApoI (isochitzomer - XapI) (Figure 6). Penicillium paneum displayed a unique banding pattern, distinguishing it from the remaining two species, $P$. chrysogenum and $P$. italicum. Restriction digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with HpaII allowed for differentiation between $P$. chrysogenum and $P$. italicum (Figure 7). Penicillium chrysogenum could be further differentiated into two groups by digesting the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with LweI (Figure 8). These groups correspond to subclades I-F (29, 40) and I-G (2, 9, 31, 35) indicated in Figure 1. Fragment sizes for all species are indicated in Table 2.


Figure 6: ApoI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region distinguishing $P$. paneum (subclade I-D) from $P$. chrysogenum (subclade I-F and I-G) and $P$. italicum (subclade I-E) groups (M $=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker).


Figure 7: HpaII digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of $P$. chrysogenum (subclade I-F and I-G) and $P$. italicum (subclade I-E) groups ( $\mathrm{M}=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker).

Penicillium citrinum and $P$. steckii (Figure 4) and $P$. sumatrense (Figure 5) all clustered in Clade IV and demonstrated a similar banding pattern with no cleavage of the $\beta$-tubulin PCR product with restriction enzyme BfaI, which was unique to only these three species. The $\beta$-tubulin gene region of these groups was treated with TaiI in order to distinguish between them (Figure 8). Penicillium citreonigrum and P. corylophilum (clade V) also had similar banding patterns with BfaI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region (Figure 5) and they appear to be phylogenetically related (Figure 1). These two groups were distinguished from one another through digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with ApoI (Figure 9). Fragment sizes for these species are indicated in Table 2.


Restriction digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of $P$. glabrum groups with BfaI resulted in three distinct banding patterns - A, B and C (Figure 10). Subclades III-A and III-B correspond to banding patterns A and B to form one P. glabrum clade. Groups with banding pattern C correspond to the second $P$. glabrum subclade (III-C) in the combined phylogenetic analysis (Figure 1 and 10). Figure 11 is a partial sequence alignment of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of these groups indicating three sequence variations between subclades A, B and C. Fragment sizes are indicated in Table 2.


Figure 10: BfaI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of $P$. glabrum groups. Three distinct banding patterns developed which correspond to subclades III-A, III-B and III-C in Figure 1 ( $M=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker).


Figure 11: A partial $\beta$-tubulin alignment of $P$. glabrum groups indicating three sequence variations between subclades III-A, III-B and III-C (indicated on the right). Regions marked (1) and (2) indicate nucleotide substitutions in subclade III-C, which differ from subclades III-A and III-B. In region (3) however, subclades III-B and III-C share a nucleotide substitution.

Figure 12 represents the HaeIII digestion of the ITS gene region of the monoverticillate groups $P$. glabrum and $P$. citreonigrum as well as two additional isolates namely, $P$. thomii $\left(\mathrm{T}^{*}\right)$ and $P$. citreonigrum ( $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ ) isolated from related studies (data not shown).

These isolates were identified and sequenced using identical methodology and were included to increase the diversity of the monoverticillate isolates in this study. These species were distinguished from one another through the ITS gene region. Fragment sizes for restriction digest of each species with HaeIII is indicated in Table 3.


Figure 12: HaeIII digest of the ITS region of monoverticillate isolates P. glabrum (G), P. citreonigrum (C) and $P$. thomii (T). Group numbers are provided for clarification. Isolates in the final two lanes, $P$. thomii $\left(\mathrm{T}^{*}\right)$ and $P$. citreonigrum $\left(\mathrm{C}^{*}\right)$ do not form part of this study ( $\mathrm{M}=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker).

Digestion of the ITS gene region of the biverticillate isolates ( $P$. citrinum, $P$. sumatrense, P. corylophilum, Penicillium species (group 36) and P. minioluteum (PM)) with HaeIII resulted in three distinct banding patterns (Figure 13). One banding pattern represents the subgenus Biverticillium [Dierckx] ( $P$. minioluteum) while the remaining species form two similar, yet distinct banding patterns, both representing the subgenus Furcatum [Pitt]. One banding pattern represents $P$. citrinum and the other represents the remaining biverticillate groups of this study. Again, $P$. minioluteum was isolated from a related study (data not shown). Fragment sizes for each species are indicated in Table 3.

Group 36 appears to be unique in all PCR-RFLP analyses and remains to be identified to species level. In Figure 5, this group forms a unique banding pattern to all other groups analysed with BfaI digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region. Again in Figure 13, HaeIII digest of the ITS gene region, group 36 is unique even though the ITS gene region is
highly conserved. Approximate fragment sizes are indicated in Table 3. Analysis of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region illustrated at basepair positions 460-474 and 489-499, a 8 -15bp gap within the sequences of all groups except 36 (Appendix II).

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\text { M } & 27 & 36 & 42 & 43 & 44 & 50 & 56 & \text { PM }
\end{array}
$$



Figure 13: HaeIII ITS digest of the biverticillate isolates: $27-P$. citrinum, 36 (unidentified), $42-P$. sumatrense, $43-P$. steckii, 44 and $50-P$. citrinum and $56-P$. corylophilum and $P$. minioluteum (PM). Penicillium minioluteum falls into subgenus Biverticillium but does not form part of this study ( $\mathrm{M}=100 \mathrm{bp}$ marker).

Table 2: Reference table of beta-tubulin PCR-RFLP fragment size ranges of all species in this study with respective restriction enzymes

| Beta Tubulin | $B f a \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{bp})$ | ApoI (bp) | HpaII (bp) | LweI (bp) | TaiI (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. chrysogenum (subclades I-F1 and I-F2) | $\begin{aligned} & 170-175 \\ & 145-155 \\ & 122-124 \end{aligned}$ | uncut | $\begin{gathered} 345-355 \\ 51 \\ 30-40 \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | 273 $100-105$ 82 $265-275$ 245 $195-200$ $180-190$ |  |
| P. citreonigrum (part of clade IV) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 295-310 \\ & 160-170 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 375-390 \\ 75-90 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| P. citrinum (part of clade III) | uncut |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 223-230 \\ 135 \\ 77 \\ 15-30 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| P. corylophilum (part of clade IV) | $\begin{aligned} & 297 \\ & 169 \end{aligned}$ | uncut |  |  |  |
| P. expansum (subclade I-C) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 155-160 \\ 135-140 \\ 124 \\ 27 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { P. glabrum (A) } \\ \text { (subclade III-A) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 370-380 \\ 80-90 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| P. glabrum (B) (subclade III-B) | $\begin{aligned} & 255-265 \\ & 190-195 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| P. glabrum (C) (subclade III-C) | $\begin{gathered} 190-195 \\ 176 \\ 80-90 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| P. italicum <br> (subclade I-E) | $\begin{aligned} & 172 \\ & 170 \\ & 123 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 203 \\ 143 \\ 51 \\ 44 \\ 24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| P. paneum (subclade I-D) | $\begin{gathered} 170-180 \\ 150-160 \\ 121 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 380-390 \\ 75-85 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| P. polonicum (subclade I-B) | $\begin{gathered} 190-195 \\ 165-170 \\ 123 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| P. steckii (part of clade III) | uncut |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 212 \\ 205 \\ 29 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| P. sumatrense (part of clade III) | uncut |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 241 \\ 205 \\ 29 \\ 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Where no fragment size range is indicated, only one group has been analysed. Fragment sizes for individual groups $4,6,10,14,17$, $18,20,21,34,46$ (subclades I-A1, I-A2 and I-A3) are indicated separately.

Table 2 continued

| Beta Tubulin | Bfal (bp) | ApoI (bp) | HpaII (bp) | Lwel (bp) | TaiI (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P. biourgeianum / <br> P. bialowiezense (subclade I-G1) |  |  | 182 $110-120$ 70 51 $25-35$ $22-24$ 6 |  |  |
| P. brevicompactum (subclade I-G2) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 255 \\ 110-120 \\ 51 \\ 30-40 \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 36 - Unidentified Penicillium spp. | $\begin{aligned} & 281 \\ & 216 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $10-P$. solitum |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 227 \\ 160 \\ 40-50 \\ 25-35 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $46-P$. solitum |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 150-160 \\ 125-135 \\ 45-55 \\ 25-35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 4 - P. crustosum |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 227 \\ 160 \\ 40-50 \\ 25-35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 14 - P. crustosum |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 150-160 \\ 125-135 \\ 45-55 \\ 25-35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 17 - P. crustosum |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 227 \\ 160 \\ 40-50 \\ 25-35 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $18-$ P. crustosum |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 370-390 \\ 50-55 \\ 40-45 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $20-$ P. commune |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 227 \\ 160 \\ 40-50 \\ 25-35 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 21-\text { P. commune / } \\ \text { P. solitum } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 370-390 \\ 50-55 \\ 40-45 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 34 - P. commune |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 227 \\ 160 \\ 40-50 \\ 25-35 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| $6-P$. echinulatum |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 370-390 \\ & 50-55 \\ & 40-45 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

Where no fragment size range is indicated, only one group has been analysed. Fragment sizes for individual groups 4, 6, 10, 14, 17, $18,20,21,34,46$ (subclades I-A1, I-A2 and I-A3) are indicated separately.

Table 3: Reference table of approximate ITS PCR-RFLP fragment size ranges of all species used in this study with HaeIII restriction enzyme (Figures 2 and 3). Fragment sizes for $P$. minioluteum and $P$. thomii which are not part of this study, are also indicated

| ITS |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & o \\ & j \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { s. } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HaeIII <br> (bp) | 283 | 240-245 | 258 | 371 | 443 | 258 | 260 | 410 | 256 |
|  | 110-115 | 93-96 | 83 | 85-95 | 45 | 69 | 69 | 85-95 | 95 |
|  | 68 | 65-70 | 69 | 65-70 | 44 | 55 | 53 | 65-70 | 94 |
|  | 54 | 20-30 | 54 | 20-40 | 33 | 49 | 31 | 20-40 | 63 |
|  | 25-30 | 15-25 | 27 | 5 | 10 | 29 | 18 | 5 | 25 |
|  | 20-30 | 10-20 | 26 |  | 9 | 25 | 5 |  | 5 |
|  | 15-25 | 5-10 | 25 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 2 |
|  | 10-20 |  | 12 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |
|  | 10-15 |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4. DISCUSSION

Penicillium is one of the most dominant fungal species found in various local and international environments of the litchi export chain. This is clearly indicated by the number of isolates obtained in this study. Diversity was low within this genus as all groups identified fall within 18 species. The most dominant Penicillium species in this study (in descending order) were P. crustosum ( 310 isolates), P. glabrum (212 isolates), $P$. chrysogenum ( 97 isolates), $P$. biourgeianum/bialowiezense ( 77 isolates), $P$. solitum (42 isolates), P. commune (36 isolates), $P$ citrinum ( 29 isolates), $P$. citreonigrum (27 isolates), $P$. paneum ( 27 isolates) and $P$. polonicum ( 27 isolates), $P$. expansum ( 16 isolates), $P$. brevicompactum ( 13 isolates), $P$. echinulatum (seven isolates), $P$. corylophilum (three isolates), P. italicum (two isolates), P. steckii (two isolates), $P$. sumatrense (two isolates) and group 36 (two isolates).

A common misconception in the litchi fruit industry is that $P$. expansum is the main causal agent of decay. Although it is a mycotoxin producer and broad-spectrum pathogen of many fruit (Pitt, 1991) including litchi (De Jager et al., 2003), it was not found to be dominant in this study. Only $1.04 \%$ of isolates analysed were identified as this species. Penicillium expansum was regularly isolated from international coldroom air samples however, never from South African sampling points. This indicates that contamination of the fruit with $P$. expansum did not occur locally.

Penicillium crustosum was the most dominant species in this study. It is characterised by mass spore production, which are readily dislodged from fruiting structures (Pitt, 1991; Frisvad and Samson, 2004). This may justify the prevalence of this species within the atmosphere. Conidia that settle out of the atmosphere may come into contact with a substrate suitable for germination. With subsequent growth and fruiting structure development, dislodged conidia may form new colonies and the pathogens life cycle is repeated. Penicillium crustosum has been indicated to be weakly pathogenic on pome fruit (Pitt, 1991). This species was isolated locally and internationally and was dominantly found on fruit surfaces and particularly the atmosphere in cooled environments. Penicillium crustosum was more commonly isolated from fruit treated
with sulphur dioxide ( $25.5 \%$ of all $P$. crustosum isolates). Previous reports have shown the development of sulphur dioxide resistance with Penicillium species (Jennings, 1993). This could support the conclusion that $P$. crustosum can survive sulphur treatments and thereafter colonise the surface of the fruit. De Jager and Korsten (2003) and Korsten (2006) indicated that $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ fumigation alters the balance of microbial populations present on the fruit surface, as most organisms are unable to survive the treatment. This allows for opportunistic pathogens such as Penicillium species to act as primary colonisers and thrive within environments of abundant nutrients and little competition from other microbial species.

Penicillium glabrum was the second most dominant species isolated from litchi fruit. Little is known about the role of $P$. glabrum in the export chain although it has previously been reported on litchi fruit (De Jager and Korsten, 2003; Jacobs and Korsten, 2004). It is however, a known mycotoxin producer (Frisvad and Thrane, 1995). No pathogenicity studies have been done for this species nor had any submissions for $P$. glabrum $\beta$-tubulin gene partial cds sequence been made into GENBANK. This species is known as a decay agent of several commodities including grapes, spices, nuts and dairy products (Hocking, 1994; Freire et al., 2000; Overy et al., 2003; Serra et al., 2006); however this species is uncommon on fruit such as citrus and apples. Of the 212 P. glabrum isolates found in this study, 70 originated from air samples (approximately one third of the sample size), both locally and internationally. Culture examination for all groups showed moderate to extensive conidiogenesis. Over time, cultures demonstrated release of conidia from fruiting structures, much like that of $P$. crustosum. This clarifies why $P$. glabrum is a prominent air contaminant.

Considering culture grouping was done prior to identification, morphological variation within isolate groups was prevalent. This approach may have contributed to some incorrect identification of species. In a number of instances, isolates of the same species were placed within different morphological groups. Examples of this include $P$. glabrum being identified from 15 morphological groupings, $P$. chrysogenum from six groups, $P$. polonicum from four groups and $P$. paneum, $P$. expansum and $P$. citrinum from three
groups each. Such variation could have been eliminated through initial direct molecular methods such as DNA sequencing, which would have been impractical. Although moderate correlations were found between morphological groupings and sequencing identifications, exceptions were found in some groups mainly due to greater morphological variation within the species. These include morphological identification of $P$. chrysogenum while sequencing results implicated $P$. polonicum. Similar variations were found between P. solitum, P. expansum and P. crustosum species groups.

Although DNA sequencing is more reliable than morphological identification, there are several disadvantages associated with this method. Firstly, both DNA sequencing and morphological identification are time-consuming processes. Such time losses cannot be afforded by industry, as the litchi season is short and rapid identification is required. Secondly, there are several sequences in GENBANK that appear to be misidentified (Ciardo et al., 2007). Lastly, sequencing is a costly procedure and the number of isolates may be high. Thus it is not a feasible and practical alternative. Due to these disadvantages, it is desirable to develop an alternative method that is rapid, repeatable and reliable and one that reduces identification costs.

The combined phylogenetic study was used in determining the PCR-RFLP banding patterns for each species, by differentiating between closely related species or strains. Subclade I-A consists of several species - P. commune, P. crustosum, P. echinulatum and P. solitum. Although restriction digest of the ITS gene region of these species showed no differentiation between them (data not shown), digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with HpaII provided greater resolution and indicated some degree of relatedness between these groups. Seifert and Louis-Seize (2000) indicated P. solitum, P. commune and P. crustosum to be related, as they are the large-conidium species of the "Penicillium aurantiogriseum" group. Both P. solitum and P. crustosum are producers of viridicatin (Lund et al., 1995). Several studies have shown relatedness between $P$. crustosum, $P$. commune, P. solitum and P. expansum (Peterson, 2000; Skouboe et al., 2000; Samson et al., 2004) however in this study, P. expansum forms part of subclade I-C. Skouboe et al. (2000), found identical ITS sequences for $P$. solitum and $P$. echinulatum while in this
study, although there were sequence variations within $P$. solitum sequences of groups 10 and $46, P$. echinulatum (6) only differed from the consensus sequence with a single nucleotide insertion at basepair position 526. These species were isolated frequently in this study. The number of isolates for all four species was approximately one quarter ( $25 \%$ ) of the total sample size. This indicates the importance of resolving the taxonomic status of these species. In addition, Seifert and Louis-Seize (2000) reiterated the importance of these species as they are dominant mycotoxin producers, particularly ochratoxin A. In this study, these species show intra- as well as interspecific variation between the related groups of this clade as a single base change between species may represent intraspecific variation (Peterson, 2000). Molecular fingerprinting for these species found in this study is inconsistent and the taxonomy of these groups may need to be reconsidered. If factors such as secondary metabolite production, growth rates and conidial production are investigated more closely, it may provide additional resolution (Frisvad and Samson, 2004).

Subclades I-B to I-G consist of species $P$. polonicum, $P$. expansum, $P$. paneum, $P$. italicum as well as $P$. chrysogenum. All these species are classified in subgenus Penicillium [Sect. Asymmetrica Raper and Thom], but they are grouped in various sections and serotypes (ser.). Penicillium polonicum (subclade I-B), a producer of the mycotoxin verrucosidin (Aranda et al., 2002) clusters with subclade I-A and is classified in section Viridicata, ser. Viridicata. All species in subclade I-A are also classified in section Viridicata. Penicillium commune and $P$. crustosum however, are classified in ser. Camembertii while $P$. echinulatum and $P$. solitum form part of ser. Solita (Frisvad and Samson, 2004; Samson et al., 2004). This validates relatedness between these species groups (Samson et al., 2004).

It can be anticipated that $P$. expansum forms a central group in Clade I among other terverticillate species of this study, as $P$. expansum is the type species of genus Penicillium (Frisvad and Samson, 2004). Penicillium expansum and P. italicum are classified in section Penicillium, ser. Expansa and ser. Italica respectively and they are indicated to be related by Wang and Zhuang (2007). Some degree of relatedness between
these species is indicated in this study, although $P$. italicum appears to be more closely related to $P$. paneum than $P$. expansum. Penicillium paneum forms an independent subclade (I-D) closely related to P. expansum, and is classified in section Roquefortii, ser. Roquefortii. A characteristic unique to this section is the ability to survive high concentrations of various acids; however a relationship between $P$. paneum and $P$. expansum is indicated by both species having the ability to produce patulin (Frisvad and Samson, 2004). Penicillium chrysogenum is classified in section Chrysogena, ser. Chrysogena and is the only species in subgenus Penicillium capable of growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Frisvad and Samson, 2004). Growth of other species in subgenus Penicillium at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is usually negative (Pitt, 1991). In this study, $P$. chrysogenum groups were divided into two subclades (I-F and I-G) supported by a strong bootstrap value of $93 \%$. Groups in subclade I-F differ from I-G by four base pair substitutions (Appendix II). This variability is validated by LweI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region. This may represent two different strains of $P$. chrysogenum. All species in subclades I-B to I-G could be differentiated from one another using various enzymes through PCR-RFLP. The genetic diversity within subgenus Penicillium however, did not allow for differentiation between the species using only a single enzyme (data not shown).

Subclade II-A was identified as $P$. biourgeianum/bialowiezense and subclade II-A as $P$. brevicompactum. Penicillium biourgeianum/bialowiezense groups clustered with $100 \%$ bootstrap support, while P. brevicompactum formed a strongly supported (100\%), distinct, yet closely related subclade. Samson et al. (2004) had a similar finding, with $P$. brevicompactum being distantly removed, yet included in subgenus Penicillium. This phenomenon may be due to several species yet to be discovered or long-branch attraction - when lineages evolve rapidly, several species remain indirectly yet closely related regardless of their actual evolutionary relationship (Samson et al., 2004; Bergsten, 2005). Penicillium brevicompactum and P. bialowiezense are mass producers of mycophenolic acid and asperphenamate (Bird and Campbell, 1982; Samson et al., 2004). Mass production of these secondary metabolites is desired as they are widely used in the medical sector for their antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral properties (Larsen et al., 2005).

By analysing the ITS-LSU rDNA, partial calmodulin and partial translation elongation factor 1- $\alpha$ regions, Peterson (2004) indicated that $P$. biourgeianum is a close relative of $P$. brevicompactum while $P$. bialowiezense is more closely related to $P$. polonicum. In this study, no relatedness of these groups to $P$. polonicum was found. In contrast, phylogenetic data for only the $\beta$-tubulin gene region of terverticillate penicillia grouped P. bialowiezense with P. brevicompactum (Samson et al., 2004). Beta-tubulin BLAST results for these groups yielded a similar identification however, BLAST analysis of the ITS gene region cannot be dismissed. These groups could not be differentiated from one another through digestion of the ITS gene region (data not shown) however, digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with HpaII, enabled $P$. brevicompactum to be differentiated from $P$. biourgeianum/bialowiezense. Therefore, it is essential to sequence more than one gene region in order to differentiate between closely related species (Seifert and Louis-Seize, 2000; Peterson, 2004).

Clade III consists of all groups identified as $P$. glabrum with subclades III-A, III-B and III-C corresponding to banding patterns A, B and C in the BfaI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region. Subclades III-A and III-B differ from one another by five nucleotide substitutions and form two unique banding patterns, yet only one subclade resulted. Potential bootstrap values for these two subclades may have been below the set confidence level of $70 \%$, after which individual clades would have collapsed. These groups may represent two strains of $P$. glabrum. Subclade III-C is unique from subclades III-A and III-B, with another five nucleotide substitutions. Sequence alignment analysis of groups forming the individual subclades however, showed some similarities between them. Several instances were found where subclade III-C was unique to III-A and III-B while in other cases, subclade III-B and III-C shared similarities in the $\beta$-tubulin sequence alignment. It was also noted that in some cases subclade III-A and III-C shared similarities, with subclade III-B being unique (data not shown). This indicates relatedness between all groups in the three subclades, potentially as different strains or sub-species. According to Pitt (1991), P. glabrum is incapable of growth at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This is consistent with the groups in subclades III-A and III-B but not with subclade III-C.

Groups 12 and 16 were repeatedly capable of germination to microcolony formation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (data not shown). The taxonomic position of these groups remains to be resolved.

Clade IV consists of $P$. citrinum, P. steckii and P. sumatrense. Penicillium steckii is considered to be a variant of $P$. citrinum (Pitt, 1979; Pitt, 1991). This is verified by strong bootstrap support of $94 \%$ for these species. Malmstrøm et al. (2000), confirmed this by analysis of secondary metabolites produced by $P$. citrinum and related species ( $P$. steckii), while secondary metabolite production by $P$. sumatrense differs from both of these species. Penicillium sumatrense appears to share a phylogenetic relationship with $P$. steckii and ultimately with $P$. citrinum supported by a strong bootstrap value of $99 \%$. Peterson (2000) performed a phylogenetic study where $P$. citrinum and $P$. sumatrense formed a similar grouping. Pitt (1979) previously indicated $P$. sumatrense to be a synonym of $P$. corylophilum. A later publication (Pitt, 1991), suggested a relationship between $P$. citrinum and $P$. corylophilum however, neither of these relationships are indicated in in this study as $P$. corylophilum clusters in Clade V. This has previously been demonstrated by Wang and Zhuang (2007). Genetic similarity between these three species is indicated by no cleavage of the amplification product when the $\beta$-tubulin gene region is digested with $B f a \mathrm{I}$. This was unique to these three species however; they were differentiated from one another by digestion of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with TaiI. This indicates that these species are defined, individual species that are closely related.

Clade V consists of $P$. citreonigrum and $P$. corylophilum. As discussed previously, it has been proposed that $P$. corylophilum shares genetic relationships with $P$. sumatrense as well as $P$. citrinum (Pitt, 1979; Pitt, 1991). None of these proposals are substantiated in this study. In contrast, Wang and Zhuang (2007) suggested $P$. corylophilum to be a solitary taxon with no close relatives. Although $P$. corylophilum is a biverticillate isolate, it has been shown previously to cluster with a monoverticillate isolate, namely $P$. restrictum [Gilman and Abbott] (Wang and Zhuang, 2007). A similar situation was found in this study, as $P$. corylophilum clusters with $P$. citreonigrum, also a monoverticillate isolate. Support for this clade is strong with $100 \%$ bootstrap values. Conversely, P. citreonigrum has been shown to be most closely related to $P$. miczynskii
[Zaleski], a biverticillate species (Pitt, 1991). Genetic similarity between P. citreonigrum and $P$. corylophilum was shown when the $\beta$-tubulin gene region was digested with BfaI. Differentiation could be made between these two species groups by ApoI digest of the $\beta$ tubulin gene region.

Group 36 (identified as a Penicillium species) had conflicting identifications, both morphologically and molecularly. This group could not be identified to species level morphologically (data not shown), while ITS sequence identification was $P$. rolfsii [Thom] and $\beta$-tubulin resulted in $P$. piscarium [Westling]. The banding pattern for this group, generated by BfaI digest of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region, is unique within this study. Phylogenetic analysis placed this group in a solitary position from all other Penicillium species in this study. An independent clade was formed with this group, close to the outgroup Fusarium oxysporum. The taxonomy and identification of this group requires further investigation.

Terverticillate species in this study were too diverse to develop restriction maps according to species and taxonomy (data not shown). Peterson (2000) stated that it would be advantageous to determine whether the other subgenera are as rich in species diversity as Penicillium. However, this cannot be validated in this study, as species diversity within subgenera Aspergilloides [Dierckx], Furcatum and Biverticillium was low. Penicillium thomii ( $\mathrm{T}^{*}$ ) and P. citreonigrum ( $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ ) isolated from related studies, were included to increase the diversity of the monoverticillate isolates. Although the ITS gene region is highly conserved between closely related species of Penicillium (Glass et al., 1995; Skouboe et al., 2000; Samson et al., 2004; Wang and Zhuang, 2007), HaeIII digestion of this gene region for identification purposes was effective for the monoverticillate isolates ( $P$. glabrum, P. thomii and P. citreonigrum). Further investigations with monoverticillate isolates of greater diversity are required to validate this.

Although higher diversity was found with the biverticillate groups in comparison to those that are monoverticillate, with five Penicillium species ( $P$. citrinum, $P$. sumatrense, $P$.
steckii, P. corylophilum and group 36 - unidentified Penicillium species), all these groups belong to subgenus Furcatum. Penicillium minioluteum (PM) belonging to subgenus Biverticillium was incorporated into this analysis to increase diversity. Based on banding patterns of HaeIII digest of the ITS gene region, subgenus Biverticillium (represented by PM) is clearly distinct from the Furcatum species of this study. However, this will need to be investigated further with other Biverticillium species. Furcatum species display three banding patterns, one for $P$. citrinum, one for group 36 and another for the remaining species ( $P$. sumatrense, $P$. steckii and $P$. corylophilum). Such an analysis without phylogenetic substantiation may lead to the conclusion that $P$. sumatrense and $P$. steckii are genetically related to $P$. corylophilum as previously discussed, but it has been established that the ITS gene region is highly conserved and thus ineffective in resolving relationships between closely related species.

Clade I and II represent subgenus Penicillium (terverticillate) while Clades III, IV and V (including group 36) are a combination of Aspergilloides (monoverticillate) and Furcatum (biverticillate). These two groupings form distinct branches within the combined phylogenetic tree. Related phylogenetic studies have indicated similar findings (Peterson, 2000; Wang and Zhuang, 2007). A previous study evaluating the ITS gene region showed that Penicillium is not monophyletic with other Ascomycetes (Berbee et al., 1995) which may indicate that Penicillium species have the ability to evolve within their own genus.

Presently, fourteen species of Penicillium can be differentiated from one another through PCR-RFLP of the $\beta$-tubulin gene region with four restriction enzymes namely BfaI, ApoI, HpaII and TaiI. Potential strains of P. chrysogenum and P. glabrum were differentiated by using LweI and BfaI respectively. The use of PCR-RFLP is a repeatable, reliable and cost effective alternative to Penicillium identification and differentiation.

## 5. CONCLUSION

Morphology is an essential component in Penicillium identification however, due to variability within this genus; it may not provide the required accuracy or specificity. Alternative identification methods such as DNA sequencing are costly and timeconsuming. This study focussed on identifying Penicillium species throughout the South African litchi export chain and developing a rapid, cost-effective identification method. Polymerase Chain Reaction-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) was used, as it is reliable, repeatable, cost-effective and quick to execute.

The South African litchi export industry has suffered a decline in fruit quality, predominantly due to postharvest decay by Penicillium species. Due to the dominance of Penicillium species throughout the fruit export chain and high rate of decay caused by this saprophytic organism, rapid identification to species and strain level serves to benefit the industry. It is essential to develop a rapid test method that will enable accurate identification of contamination sources to enable the industry to manage the control of Penicillium species more effectively. This method may be applied in disputed cases between producers and exporters, when consignments are rejected due to decay.

This study may serve as a precursor in the development of a PCR-RFLP restriction map database for routine screening of Penicillium species, ultimately reducing the necessity for DNA sequencing and morphological identification. This method may be applied to Penicillium species isolated from a number of different environments. As the method is easy to perform, scientists with little knowledge of molecular biology or Penicillium may be able to identify species with confidence under basic laboratory conditions.

Future research should focus on the identification of additional Penicillium species through PCR-RFLP. Analysis of additional gene regions may provide clarity in terms of identifying species, as was found in this study with $P$. biourgeianum/bialowiezense as well as the unidentified Penicillium species. Taxonomic issues surrounding several

Penicillium species in this study should be resolved and descriptions of potential strains or subspecies should be clarified.
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## 7. APPENDIX I - ITS SEQUENCE ALIGNMENT

|  | $5$ | $15$ | 25 | $35$ | $45$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47-P. biourgeianum |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1- P. biourgeianum |  | GC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 3- P. biourgeianum |  | -GACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 28-P. biourgeianum |  |  |  | G | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 54-P. brevicompactum | -GTAG | GTGAACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 52-P. brevicompactum | -TCCGTAG | GTGAACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 56-P. corylophilum |  | -TGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 40-P. chrysogenum |  |  |  |  | ------CCCTC |
| 2- P. chrysogenum |  | CTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 9- P. chrysogenum |  | -GGGACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 29-P. chrysogenum |  |  | TCA | ttaccangig | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 31-P. chrysogenum | -CTTCCGTAG | GTGAACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 35-P. chrysogenum |  |  |  | -CGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 51-P. citreonigrum |  | -CTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 49-P. citreonigrum |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50-P. citrinum |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27-P. citrinum |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44-P. citrinum |  |  |  |  |  |
| $34-P$. commune |  |  |  |  | -GG-GCCCTC |
| 20-P. commune |  |  |  | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 21-P. commune |  | CCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | ttaccangig | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 18-P. crustosum |  | CTGC | GGAAGGATCA | ttaccangig | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 4- P. crustosum |  | CTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| $14-P$. crustosum |  |  |  | -AGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 17-P. crustosum |  |  | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 6- P. echinulatum | -TTTCCGTAG | GTGAACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 48-P. expansum |  |  | -GATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTT |
| 37-P. expansum | CTTCCGTAGG | TGGAACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGGAGCCCTT |
| 45-P. expansum |  | -TGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTT |
| 55-P. glabrum |  | CTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 5-P. glabrum |  | -CTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 8- P. glabrum | -CTTCCGTAG | GTGAACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | TTA-TGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 11-P. glabrum |  |  | --GATCA I | tTACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 12-P. glabrum |  | CTGC | GGAAGGATCA I | TTACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 13-P. glabrum |  |  |  | ----TGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 15-P. glabrum |  |  | -TCA | TtACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 16-P. glabrum |  |  | -TCA I | ttactgagtg | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 19-P. glabrum |  | CCTGC | GGAAGGATCA | ttactgagtg | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 24-P. glabrum |  |  |  | TGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 26-P. glabrum |  | CTGC | GGAAGGATCA I | TTACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 30-P. glabrum |  |  | GGAAGGATCA | tTACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 33-P. glabrum |  | CCTGC | GGAAGGATCA I | ttactgagtg | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 41-P. glabrum |  | CTGC | GGAAGGATCA | ttactgagtg | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 53-P. glabrum |  | ---GACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA T | TTACTGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 57-P. italicum |  | -TGC | GGAAGGATCA T | tTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 38-P. paneum |  | -GC | GGAAGGATCA T | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 22-P. paneum |  |  | -GATCA T | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 23-P. paneum | CCTTCCGTAG | GTGGACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA T | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTC |
| 39-P. polonicum |  |  |  |  | -CCCTT |
| 7- P. polonicum |  | --GAACCTGC | GGAAGGATCA T | TTACCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTT |
| 25-P. polonicum |  |  |  | ---CCGAGTG | AGG-GCCCTT |
| 32-P. polonicum |  |  |  |  | --GCCCTT |

36-P. rolfsii
46-P. solitum
10-P. solitum
43-P. steckii
42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum
1- P. biourgeianum
3- P. biourgeianum
28-P. biourgeianum
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum
40-P. chrysogenum
2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
31-P. chrysogenum
35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
$34-P$. commune
20-P. commune
21-P. commune
18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum
14-P. crustosum
17-P. crustosum
6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55-P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum
8- P. glabrum
11-P. glabrum
12-P. glabrum
13-P. glabrum
15-P. glabrum
16-P. glabrum
19-P. glabrum
24-P. glabrum
26-P. glabrum
30-P. glabrum
33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum
22-P. paneum
23-P. paneum
39-P. polonicum


TGGGTCCAAC CTTCCCCACC CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--- CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CATGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC -TCCCAC---C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C -GTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT--TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC TCCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATC GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC ---------- -------------------------ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC
$\qquad$


TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C -GTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-CGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-CGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC---C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-CGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT tGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C -GTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC---C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCTCTCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT GTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGT TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCA-CGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TAT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TAT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT T-ACCT-TAT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC -TCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC

7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. poloicum 36-P. rolfsii 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum
1- P. biourgeianum
3- P. biourgeianum
28-P. biourgeianum
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum
40-P. chrysogenum
2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
31-P. chrysogenum
35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. Citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
20-P. commune
21-P. commune
18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum
14-P. crustosum
17-P. crustosum
6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55--P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum
8- P. glabrum
11-P. glabrum
12-P. glabrum
13-P. glabrum
15-P. glabrum
16-P. glabrum
19-P. glabrum
24-P. glabrum
26-P. glabrum
30-P. glabrum
33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum

TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC---C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCACC -TCCCAC--C -GIGTTTATT T-ACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCACC TCCCCCC--- -GTGTTT-TC GATCCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT-TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCAC--C CGTGTTTATT TTACCT-TGT TGCT--TCGGC TGGGTCCAAC CTCCCTC--C CGTGTTGCAC GAACCTGTGT TGCT-TCGGC

GAGCCTGCCT T--TTGGCTG CCGGGGGACG TCAGTCCCCG GGTCCGTGCT GAGCCTGCCT T--TTGGCTG CCGGGGGACG TCAGTCCCCG GGTCCGTGCT GAGCCTGCCT T--TTGGCTG CCGGGGGACG TCAGTCCCCG GGTCCGTGCT GAGCCTGCCT T--TTGGCTG CCGGGGGACG TCAGTCCCCG GGTCCGTGCT GAGCCTGCCT T--TGGGCTG CCGGGGGACG TCTGTCCCCG GGTCCGCGCT GAGCCTGCCT T--TTGGCTG CCGGGGGACA TCTGTCCCCG GGTCCGCGCT GGGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCTCT GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCG CA--AGGCCG CCGGGGGGCA TCTGCCCTCT GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCG CA--AGGCCG CCGGGGGGC- TCTGCCCTCT GGCCCGCGCC CCTCCCACCC GTGTTGCCCG AACCTATGTT GCCTCGGCGG GCCCCGCGCC ----------- ---------- ----------------
 GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA---CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GCGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TCTGCCCCCG GGTCCGCGCG GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TCACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC

22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 36-P. rolfsii 46-P. solitum $10-P$. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum 1- P. biourgeianum 3- P. biourgeianum 28-P. biourgeianum 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
20-P. commune
21-P. commune
18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum
14-P. crustosum
17-P. crustosum
6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55-P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum
8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum 41-P. glabrum

GGGCCCGCCT TCACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TCACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TTACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TTACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TTACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TTACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GAGCCCGCCT CA--CGGCCG CCGGGGGGCA TCCGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCT GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT CACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCT TAACTGGCCG CCGGGGGGCT TACGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC GGGCCCGCCG CC-TAGGCCG. CCGGGGGGCA TCCGCCCCCG GGCCCGCGCC

CGCCGGAGAC ACCTTA--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-AT CGCCGGAGAC ACCTTA--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-AT CGCCGGAGAC ACCTTA--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-AT CGCCGGAGAC ACCTTA--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-AT CGCCGAAGAC ACCTTA--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-AT CGCCGAAGAC ACCTTA--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-AT CGCCGAAGAC ACCATT--GA ACACTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGTA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCATT--GA ACGCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CGCCGAAGAC ACCATT--GA ACGCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CGCCGACGGC CCCCCT--GA ACGCTGTCT-- -GAAGTTGCA GTCTGAGACC CGCCGACGGC CCCCCT--GA ACGCTGTCT- -GAAGTTGCA GTCTGAGACC CGCCGACGGC CCCCCT--GA ACGCTGTCT- -GAAGTTGCA GTCTGAGACC CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC--GA ACTCTGCCT- GAAGATTGTC GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC--GA ACTCTGCCT- GAAGATTGTC GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC--GA ACTCTGCCT- GAAGATTGTC GTCTGAG-TG CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GICTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA

53-P. glabrum 57-P. italicum 38-P. paneum 22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 36-P. rolfsii 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum 1- P. biourgeianum 3- P. biourgeianum 28-P. biourgeianum 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 21-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum

CACCGGAGAC ACTATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-CA CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC--GA ACTCTGCCT- GAAGATTGTC GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCC-C--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGAATGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCC-C--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGAATGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCC-C--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGAATGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGAT-GAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC---GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCCC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GACTGAG-TG CGCCGAAAAC ACCATT--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGCA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCTT GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGAC ACCCTC--GA ACTCTGTCT- GAAGATTGAA GTCTGAG-TG CGCCGAAGCC CCCCTCT-GA ACGCTGTCT- GAAGTT-GCA GTCTGAG-AA CGCCGAAGCC CCCCCCTTGA ACGCTGTCT- GAAGTTTGCA GTCTGAG-AA

TAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA ATTAGCTAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA ATTAGTTAAA TAACTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA ATTAGTTAAA TAACTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TATAACGAAA TTAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TATAACGAAA TTAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TATA-CGAAA TTAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA
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\begin{array}{ll}
30-P . & \text { glabrum } \\
33-P . & \text { glabrum } \\
41-P . & \text { glabrum } \\
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46-P . & \text { solitum } \\
10-P . & \text { solitum } \\
43-P . & \text { steckii } \\
42-P . & \text { sumatrense }
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47-P. biourgeianum 1- P. biourgeianum 3- P. biourgeianum 28-P. biourgeianum 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- $P$. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 21-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum

TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA TAAAC-TAAA TAAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA ATTAACTAAA TCAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCT-TT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA AAAATATAAA TTATTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA ACTAGCTAAA TTAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA ACTAGCTAAA TTAGTTAAAA CTTTCAACAA CGGATCTCTT GGTTCCGGCA
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\begin{aligned}
& \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|. . . . . . . . . .| \\
& 255265 \quad 275 \quad 285 \quad 295
\end{aligned}
$$

tCGAtGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT tCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT tCGAtganga acgcagcgan at-gcgatan ctantgtgan tig-cagant tCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT tCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT tCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT tCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT tCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT tCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT
$19-P$.
$24-P$. glabrum

## 47-P. biourgeianum

1- P. biourgeianum
3- P. biourgeianum
28-P. biourgeianum
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. Corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 21-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum

TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT ICGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA ATCGCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAACA ACGCA-CGAA AT-GCGATAA GTAATGTGAA TTGTCAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAC GTAATGTGAA TTG-CA-AAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT TCGATGAAGA ACGCAGCGAA AT-GCGATAA CTAATGTGAA TTG-CAGAAT
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\begin{aligned}
& \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| . . . . . . . . . . \mid \\
& 305 \quad 315 \quad 325 \quad 335 \quad 345
\end{aligned}
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TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T -GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC TTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGA-TCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC

13-P. glabrum
15-P. glabrum
16-P. glabrum
19-P. glabrum
24-P. glabrum
26-P. glabrum
30-P. glabrum
33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
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39-P. polonicum
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32-P. polonicum
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46-P. solitum
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42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum
1- P. biourgeianum
3- P. biourgeianum
28-P. biourgeianum
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum
40-P. chrysogenum
2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
31-P. chrysogenum
$35-P$. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
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18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum
14-P. crustosum
17-P. crustosum
6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55-P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum

TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTAT-CC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT TTGAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCC CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC TCAGTGAATC ATCGAGTCTT T-GAACGCAC ATTGCGCCCT CTGGTATTCC

GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CG'TCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTTCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTTCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCAITGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTG'TCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGgGGgCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG

8- P. glabrum
11-P. glabrum
12-P. glabrum
13-P. glabrum
15-P. glabrum
16-P. glabrum
19-P. glabrum
24-P. glabrum
26-P. glabrum
30-P. glabrum
33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum
22-P. paneum
23-P. paneum
39-P. polonicum
7- P. polonicum
25-P. polonicum
32-P. polonicum
36-P. rolfsii
46-P. solitum
10-P. solitum
43-P. steckii
42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum
1- P. biourgeianum
3- P. biourgeianum
28-P. biourgeianum
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum
40-P. chrysogenum
2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
31-P. chrysogenum
35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
20-P. commune
21-P. commune
18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum
14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum

GgGgGgcatg CCtgTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGgGgcatg cctatccaig cgicattgct gccctcancl Acgactugtg GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCC'TCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG
 GGGgGgcatg cctatcceag cgicattgct gccctcang e AcGgcttgtg gggaggcatg cctgtccang cgicattgct gccctcangc Acgactigtg gGgGggcatg Cctgtccgag cgicattgct gccctcaigc Acgactigig gGGgGgcatg cctgtccgag cgTcattgct gccctcancl acgacttgig gGggagcatg cctatccgag cgtcattgct gccctcancl acgactigig GgGgGgcatg CCtGTCCGAG CGTCATtGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG

 GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGGGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATIGCT GCCCTCAAGC CCGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG GGAGGGCATG CCTGTCCGAG CGTCATTGCT GCCCTCAAGC ACGGCTTGTG

TGTTGGGCCC C--GTCCTCC- --T--TCCGG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCC---T--TCCGG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCC- --T--TCCGG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C--GTCCTCC- --T--TCCGG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA--GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCTCC- --T--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCTCC- ---T--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCC- -TT--CCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA--GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATC----CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATC----CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATC---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATC---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATC---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA IGTTGGGCCC C--GTCCTCCG ATC---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCTCC- -T---CCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCTCC- -T---CCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C--GTCCCCCC C---GCCGGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCC CC--GCCGGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCC C---GCCGGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA tGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATC--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATC--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCG ATC--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCG ATC--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCG ATC--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCG ATC--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG AT---TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA

45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum 41-P. glabrum 53-P. glabrum 57-P. italicum 38-P. paneum 22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 36-P. rolfsii 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum 1- P. biourgeianum 3- P. biourgeianum 28-P. biourgeianum 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune $20-P$. commune 21-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum

TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- --------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GICCCCC-- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA--GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- --------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAAAGGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA--GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCTC C-GTCCCCC- ---------CG GGGACGGGTC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCT C-G'TCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCT C-GTCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GG-ACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCT C-GTCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG AT---TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT---CCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG AT---TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GCCCCCCG GTT---CCGG GGGGCGGACC CGAAA-GGCA TGITGGGCCC C-GTCCTCCG ATT--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCG ATC--TCCGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC C-GTCCCCCC CGC-GCCGGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA TGTTGGGCCC CCGTCCCCCC CTCTGCCGGG GGGACGGGCC CGAAA-GGCA

GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCAAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACTCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCAAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACTCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCAAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACTCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCAAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACTCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCAAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCTCCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCAAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT tGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCGG TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- I-TGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCT'T TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGT-------GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC

6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55-P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum
8- P. glabrum
11-P. glabrum
12-P. glabrum
13-P. glabrum
15-P. glabrum
16-P. glabrum
19-P. glabrum
24-P. glabrum
26-P. glabrum
30-P. glabrum
33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum
22-P. paneum
23-P. paneum
39-P. polonicum
7- P. polonicum
25-P. polonicum
32-P. polonicum
36-P. rolfsii
46-P. solitum
10-P. solitum
43-P. steckii
42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum 1- P. biourgeianum 3- P. biourgeianum 28-P. biourgeianum 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. Corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
20-P. commune
21-P. commune
18-P. crustosum

GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCGG TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGAGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTC TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- T-TGGGGCTC TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTC TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT TGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC GCGGCGGCAC CGCGTCCGGT CCTCGAGCG- TATGGGGCTT CGTCACCCGC

TTT-GTAGG- CCTGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC AAACTTTTT-TTT-GTAGG- CCTGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC AAACTTTTT-TTT-GTAGG- CCTGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC AAACTTTTT-TTT-GTAGG- CCTGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC AAACTTTTT-TTT-GTAGG- ACTGGCCGGC GCCTG-CCGA ----TCACCG AAACTTTTT-TTT-GTAGG- ACTGGCCGGC GCCTG-CCGA ----TCAACC AAACTTTTT-TCTTGTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----CAACCA TCAATCTTTT TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----CA--CA TCAATCTTTT TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----CA--CA TCAATCTTTT TCTAGTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCCAG-CCGA CCCCCAACCT TTAATTATC-TCTAGTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCCAG-CCGA CCCCCAACCT TTAATTATC-TCTAGTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCCAG-CCGA CCCCCAACCT TTAATTATC-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-G-AGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA -----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-TCT-GTAGG- CCCGGCCGGC GCTTG-CCGA ----TCAACC CAAATTTTT-

4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55-P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum
8- P. glabrum
11-P. glabrum
12-P. glabrum
13-P. glabrum
15-P. glabrum
16-P. glabrum
19-P. glabrum
24-P. glabrum
26-P. glabrum
30-P. glabrum
33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum
22-P. paneum
23-P. paneum
39-P. polonicum
7- P. polonicum
25-P. polonicum
32-P. polonicum
$36-P$. rolfsii
46-P. solitum
10-P. solitum
43-P. steckii
$42-P$. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum
1- P. biourgeianum
3- P. biourgeianum
28-P. biourgeianum
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
31-P. chrysogenum
$35-P$. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
$34-P$. commune

$\ldots .|\ldots .|\ldots| \ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|\ldots| \ldots|. . . . . . .$. $555 \quad 565 \quad 575 \quad 585 \quad 595$
-AtCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA -ATCAGGTTG ACCTCGGTAC GAG-TAGGGA T-ACCCG--- -------------ATCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA -AtCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA -TA
-TCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTC-AGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGT-G ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCG-TG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA TCACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA

 ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA tTCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA tTCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA --TCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA --TCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA --TCAGGTTG ACCTCGT-TC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAG-TTG ACCTCGGATC AG--TAGGGA T-ACCCG-TG AACTTAAGCT

20-P. commune
21-P. commune
18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum
14-P. crustosum
17-P. crustosum
6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55-P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum
8- P. glabrum
11-P. glabrum
12-P. glabrum
13-P. glabrum
15-P. glabrum
16-P. glabrum
19-P. glabrum
24-P. glabrum
26-P. glabrum
30-P. glabrum
33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
$38-P$. paneum
$22-P$. paneum
23-P. paneum
39-P. polonicum
7- P. polonicum
25-P. polonicum
32-P. polonicum
36-P. rolfsii
46-P. solitum
10-P. solitum
43-P. steckii
42-P. sumatrense

47-P. biourgeianum
1- P. biourgeianum
3- P. biourgeianum
28-P. biourgeianum
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum
40-P. chrysogenum
2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
$31-P$. chrysogenum
35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum

ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AG--------- ----------------------ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA

ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGGATAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T -ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTT-
TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATG AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAG-TTG ACCTCG-ATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGG--C AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCC---- ------------tTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTTCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTC------
ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATC
ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGAT- AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA TTACCAGTTG ACCACGGATC ATG--GAGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAACCA ATCCAGGTTG ACCTCGGATC AGG-TAGGGA T-ACCCGCTG AACTTAAGCA




| 27-P. citrinum | TAtCAAtAAG CGGG |
| :---: | :---: |
| 44-P. citrinum | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGA-- |
| 34-P. commune |  |
| 20-P. commune | TATCAATAAG CGGGAGGA- |
| 21-P. commune |  |
| 18-P. crustosum | TATCAATA-- |
| 4-P. crustosum |  |
| 14-P. crustosum | TATCAATAAG CGGG |
| $17-P$. crustosum | TATCATAA-- |
| 6- P. echinulatum | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGAA- |
| $48-P$. expansum | TATCAATAAG CGGGAGGA- |
| $37-P$. expansum | TATCATTAAG CGGAGGAA- |
| 45-P. expansum | TATCAATAA- |
| 55-P. glabrum |  |
| 5- P. glabrum | TATCAATAA- |
| 8- P. glabrum | TATCATTAAA GCGGAGGAA |
| 11-P. glabrum | TATCAATAAG CGGGAGGA- |
| 12-P. glabrum | TATCAATAAG CGGGAGGA- |
| 13-P. glabrum | TATCAATAAG CGGGAGG-- |
| 15-P. glabrum | TATCAATAA- |
| 16-P. glabrum | TATCAATAAG CGGGA |
| 19-P. glabrum | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGA-- |
| 24-P. glabrum | TATCA |
| 26-P. glabrum | TATCAATAA- |
| 30-P. glabrum |  |
| 33-P. glabrum | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGAA- |
| 41-P. glabrum | TATCATAA-- |
| 53-P. glabrum | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGAA- |
| 57-P. italicum | TATC |
| 38-P. paneum | TATCA |
| 22-P. paneum |  |
| 23-P. paneum | TATCATTAAG CGGAGGAA- |
| 39-P. polonicum | TATCAATAAG CGGGAGGA- |
| 7- P. polonicum |  |
| 25-P. polonicum | TATCA- |
| $32-P$. polonicum | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGA-- |
| $36-P$. rolfsii | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGA- |
| $46-P$. solitum | TATCAATAAG CGGAGGA-- |
| 10-P. solitum |  |
| 43-P. steckii |  |
| $42-P$. sumatrense |  |

## 8. APPENDIX II - BETA TUBULIN SEQUENCE ALIGNMENT

|  | $5$ | 15 | 25 | 35 | $45$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47-P. bialowiezense | -TACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCGGCACCG |
| 1- P. bialowiezense |  |  | tGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCGGCACCG |
| 3- P. bialowiezense |  |  | tGACCCTtGg | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCGGCACCG |
| 28-P. bialowiezense | -TACCC | TCAGGTGTAG | tGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCGGCACCG |
| 54-P. brevicompactum | -ACC | CTCAGTGTAG | tGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 52-P. brevicompactum |  | GTAG | tgaccitigg | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 56-P. corylophilum | GTACC | CTCAGTGTAG | tGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCGGCACCA |
| $40-\mathrm{P}$. chrysogenum |  |  | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 2- P. chrysogenum |  |  | tGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 9- P. chrysogenum | CC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTt | ACCAGCNCCG |
| 29-P. chrysogenum |  | TAG | tgAccctigg | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| $31-P$. chrysogenum |  |  | tgaccittg | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCNCCG |
| $35-P$. chrysogenum | -TACCC | TCCAGTGTAG | tgACCCTTGG | CCCAGTtGTt | ACCAGCACCG |
| 51-P. citreonigrum |  |  | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCGGCACCG |
| 49-P. citreonigrum | TACC | CTCAGTGTAG | tgaccittg | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCGGCACCG |
| 50-P. citrinum |  | -TAG | tgACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 27-P. citrinum |  |  | --ACCCtTGg | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 44-P. citrinum |  | TAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| $34-P$. commune |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-P. commune |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-P. crustosum |  |  | -CCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCNCCG |
| 4- P. crustosum | TCCCT | CANCTGTAGC | TGACCCTTGG | CCCNGTTGTT | ACCAGCNCCG |
| 14-P. crustosum |  | TA-G | tgAccittag | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 17-P. crustosum |  |  | -GACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 6- P. echinulatum | TACCC | TCAGNGTATG | tgACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCNCCG |
| 48-P. expansum | -ACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 37-P. expansum | TACC | CTCAGNTTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 45-P. expansum | TACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 55-P. glabrum | ACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 5- P. glabrum |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8- P. glabrum |  |  | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 11-P. glabrum |  |  | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 12-P. glabrum |  | AG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 13-P. glabrum |  |  | IGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 15-P. glabrum |  |  | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTIGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 16-P. glabrum |  | TAG | IGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 19-P. glabrum |  | ITAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 24-P. glabrum |  | AG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 26-P. glabrum |  |  | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTIGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 30-P. glabrum |  | TAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 33-P. glabrum |  | -AG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 41-P. glabrum |  |  | -GACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 53-P. glabrum |  | -AG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTI | ACCAGCACCG |
| 57-P. italicum | -TACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| $38-P$. paneum | -TACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 22-P. paneum |  | -A | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 23-P. paneum |  | -TAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| $36-P$. piscarium |  |  | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCA |
| 39-P. polonicum | --TACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 7- P. polonicum | CC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| 25-P. polonicum | CCCNGGTACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
| $32-P$. polonicum | -------ACC | CTCAGTGTAG | TGACCCTTGG | CCCAGTTGTT | ACCAGCACCG |
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GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC--GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-TCCG AAGACGANAG TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAGAGC'T TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-GCCG AAGATGAA-G TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAGAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GAC'TG-GCCG AAGATGAA-G TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAGAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GATTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GATTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GATTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG ---------------------G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG IGCCGAAAGG GACTG-GCCG AAGANGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG NGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTCAGGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC--GGGG CGGAATAGAC CACCGAAGGG --CTG-ACCG AAA-CGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAATAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAATAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAATAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-GCCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-GCCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-GCCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-GCCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC--GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-GCCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAATAGAC CACCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG GACTG-GCCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAGAGCT TGCCAAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG

7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 46-P. solitum $10-P$. solitum 21-P. solitum 43-P. steckii $42-P$. sumatrense

47-P. bialowiezense 1- P. bialowiezense 3- P. bialowiezense 28-P. bialowiezense 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum 41-P. glabrum 53-P. glabrum 57-P. italicum 38-P. paneum 22-P. paneum

GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAAGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-GCCG AAGANGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GATTGCACCG CAAACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGA CGGAAAAGCT TGCCGAAGGG GACTG-ACCG AAGACGAA-G TTGTC-GGGG CGGAAGAGCT TGCCGAAGGG
....|....| ....|....| ....|....| ....|....| ....|.....|
$105115 \quad 125 \quad 135 \quad 145$
ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACGAGGACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACGAGGACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACGAGGACGG ACCGGAgCGg AcAgcgicca tggtaccgg ctccaigtcg Acgaggacgg ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACGAGGACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACGAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCATCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCATCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCATCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACCAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCATCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACCAGGACGG ACCAGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACCAGGACGG ACCAGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACCAGGACGG ACCAGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACCAGGACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGANCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGNGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACAAGGACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCAGAGCGG ACGGCGTCCA TGGTGCCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCAGAGCGG ACGGCGTCCA TGGTGCCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG

23-P. paneum 36-P. piscarium 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 21-P. solitum 43-P. steckii $42-P$. sumatrense

47-P. bialowiezense 1- P. bialowiezense 3- P. bialowiezense 28-P. bialowiezense 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum $35-P$. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum 41-P. glabrum 53-P. glabrum

ACCAGAGCGG ACGGCGTCCA TGGTGCCGGG CTCCAAATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGCACGG ACGGCATCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAGATCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG ACCGGAGCGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCAGG CTCCAAATCG ACGAGAACGG GCCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAAGTCG ACCAGAACGG ACCGGCACGG ACAGCGTCCA TGGTACCGGG CTCCAGATCA ACCAGAACGG

CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCACTAGCCT GGGAGGTCAA AAAAT----C CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCACTAGCCT GGGAGGTCAA AAAAT----C CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCACTAGCCT GGGAGGTCAA AAAAT----C CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCACTAGCCT GGGAGGTCAA AAAAT----C CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCACTAGCCT GGGCGGTCAA GAATA----T CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCACTAGCCT GGGCGGTCAA GAATA----T CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCG TTGCTAGCCT G----CAGGG AAACAA---CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTGTCAA AGAAAAACGT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTGTCAA AGAAAAACGC CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTGTCAA AGAAAAACGC CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTGTCAA AGAAAAACGT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGICA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTGTCAA AGAAAAACGC CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTGTCAA AGAAAAACGC CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCG TTGCTGGCCT ATTGATAAAG AGAGAA---CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCG TTGCTGGCCT ATTGATAAAG AGAGAA---CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCGGAAGCCT ATTGATAAAA -CAAACAATA CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCGGAAGCCT ATTGATAAAA -CAAACAATA CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCGGAAGCCT ATTGATAAAA -CAAACAATA CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA AGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA AGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA AGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA GGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAG GGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA GGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATTAG GGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA AGAAAAAGAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA AGAAAAAGAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA AGAAAAAGAT CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA----CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A------TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GTAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATCAA---CACGGGGAAC GTAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A------TCAA GATCAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GTAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATCAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GTAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A------TCAA GATCAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA---CACGGGGAAC GTAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A------TCAA GATCAA---CACGGGGAAC GAAACGGTTG CTGCTGGCCT A-----TCAA GATAAA-----

57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum
22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum 36-P. piscarium 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 21-P. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

47-P. bialowiezense 1- P. bialowiezense 3- P. bialowiezense 28-P. bialowiezense 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. Corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. Citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum

CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGAGTTTCAA AGAAAA-GAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATT---AA AGAAAAACAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATT---AA AGAAAAACAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATT---AA ATAAAAACAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT GGA---AAAC AAAACATCCA CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATATCAAA GAAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATATCAAA GAAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AgATATCAAA GAAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATATCAAA GAAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAG GGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAG GGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC GTACTTGTCA CCGCTGGCCT AGATTATCAA AGAAAA-CAT CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCGGAAGCCT ATTCAGAAAA ACAAACAATC CACGGGGAAC ATACTTGTCA CCGGAAGCCT ATTGTAAACA -GAAAAACAA
 CGGGTGAGCA AACACACAAC AAGATTTTTC CA---AGGCA TTGT-ACTCA CGGGTGAGCA AACACACAAC AAGATTTTTC CA---AGGCA TTGT-ACTCA CGGGTGAGCA AACACACAAC AAGATTTTTC CA---AGGCA TTGT-ACTCA CGGGTGAGCA AACACACAAC AAGATTTTTC CA---AGGCA TTGT-ACTCA GAGGTGAGAA AATGCACAAC CAGAGTTCTT CA---CATCA TTGT-ACTCA GAGGTGAGAA AATGCACAAC AAGAGTTCTT CA---CATCA TTGT-ACTCA --ATTGAGAT TAGATTAGAT CGGTCGAGGC AT---TA-AT GTGACACATA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACAAT CAATCGATTC CC---AGTCA TTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACAAT TAATCGATTC CC---AGCCA TTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACAAT TAATCGATTC CC---AGTCA TTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACAAT CAATCGATTC CC---AGTCA TTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACAAT TAATCGATTC CC---AGTCA TTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACAAT TAA--GATTC CC---AGTCA TTGT-ACTCA --ATCATACT TAGATAAGAT CAATCGAAGT GG---TACGG ATGTCACTTA --ATCATACT TAGATAAGAT CAATCGAAGT GG---TACGG ATGTCACTTA GTTGGTTAGA TAATGATTCC AATGGCATTG G----GGTCA GTATCACTTA GTTGGTTAGA TAATGATTCC AATGGCATTG G----GGTCA GTATCACTTA GTTGGTTAGA TAATGATTCC AATGGCATTG G----GGTCA GTATCACTTA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---AGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---AGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATTAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---CGTCG TTGA-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACTAT TATTCGGTTT CC---TGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACTAT TATTCGGTTT CC---TGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACTAT TATTCGGTTT CC---TGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---AGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTAA AC---AGTCG GTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTAA AC---AGTCG GTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTAA AC---AGTCG GTGT-ACTCA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAACT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAACT TC---AATTN CCAC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAACT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAACT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAACT TC---GATTC C-AC-ACATA

33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum
22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum 36-P. piscarium 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 21-P. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

47-P. bialowiezense 1- P. bialowiezense 3- P. bialowiezense 28-P. bialowiezense 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum
--CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAGCT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA --CATTAGAG AAGCCTTTAT ACTTCTAACT TC---AATTC C-AC-ACATA CCGATCAGAT TATGCACAAA TATTCGGTTC CA---AGTCG CTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT TGTGCACGAT TAATCAATGT CC---AGTTG TTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT TGTGCACGAT TAATCAATGT CC---AGTTG TTGT-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT TGTGCACGAT TAATCAATGT CC---AGTTG TTGT-ACTCA CTGATTAGCG CCCACGTTGA TATTGAGGTA TTGATAAGAC ACGCAACTTA CTGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---AGTGA TTGG-ACTCA CTGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC----AGTGA TTGG-ACTCA CTGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---AGTGA TTGG-ACTCA CTGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---AGTGG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---GGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATCAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC---GGTCG TTGG-ACTCA CCGATTAGAT GATGCACGAT TATTCGGTTT CC----CGTCG TTGA-ACTCA GTTAGTTGGA TAAT-ATTTC AATTGCATTG A----GGTCA GCATCACTTA GCCATTAGAA TCTCAAGACT AAGTGTATTG ATGG-GATTG TTGTCGCTTA
 CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCCA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCG CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCCA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCG CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCCA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCG CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTGCCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTGCCA CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCGC GCTGGAGATC GGAAACACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGTTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA ATAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA ATAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCGC GCTGGAGGTC GGAGACACCA CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCGC GCTGGAGGTC GGAGACACCA CGTGGGTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA GCTGGAGATC GGAGGTTCCG CGTGGGTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA GCTGGAGATC GGAGGTTCCG CGTGGGTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA GCTGGAGATC GGAGGTTCCG CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGITGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGITGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG

24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum 41-P. glabrum 53-P. glabrum 57-P. italicum 38-P. paneum 22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum 36-P. piscarium 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 21-P. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

47-P. bialowiezense 1- P. bialowiezense 3- P. bialowiezense 28-P. bialowiezense 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- $P$. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
31-P. chrysogenum
35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
20-P. commune
18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum
14-P. crustosum
17-P. crustosum
6- P. echinulatum
48-P. expansum
37-P. expansum
45-P. expansum
55-P. glabrum
5- P. glabrum
8- P. glabrum
11-P. glabrum
12-P. glabrum
13-P. glabrum

CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CCTCGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA ACTGGAGGTC GGAAGCCTCG CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC AGAGGTTCCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC AGAGGTTCCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC AGAGGTTCCA CGTGGGTGAA GTAGACATTC AAGCGCTCGA GCTGTTGATC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CATGGTTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATACGCTCGA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTACCA CGTGGGTGAA GTAGACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA GCTGGAGGTC GGAGGTTCCG CATGGGTGAA GTAAACGTTC ATGCGCTCCA GCTGGAGGTC AGAGGTACCG

TTGTACCTAA C---AATATA TCAGAA-CC- -AATCCACAT AGGATCC-CA TTGTACCTAA C---AATATA TCAGAA-CC- -AATCCACAT AGGATCC-CA TTGTACCTAA C---AATATA TCAGAA-CC- -AATCCACAT AGGATCC-CA
TTGTACCTAA C---AATATA TCAGAA-CC- -AATCCACAT AGGATCC-CA TTGTACCTAA C---AAGATC TCAGAC-CC- -AATCCACGC GTAATTC-GA TTGTACCTAA C---AAGATC TCAGAC-CC- -CATCCACGC ATAATTC-GA GCGTACCTAT ATC-AAAACA TCAGAC--CG CTATTTCCTG TCAGGTCGGA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCA GAA-TCCCTA ITGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCA AAA-TCCCCA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCA GAA-TCCCCA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCA GAA-TCCCTA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCA GAA-TCCCCA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG IGATCCACCA GAA-TCCCCA GCGTACCTAT ACC-AAAACA TCAGAC--CG CTAGTTCGTG TTGACGGGTG GCGTACCTAT ACC-AAAACA TCAGAC--CG CTAGTTCGTG TTGACGGGTG TTGTAGCTGC CCA-AAAATA TCAGAC--CG CCATTCTCGA AAAAACGTAA TTGTAGCTGC CCA--AAAATA TCAGAC--CG CCATTCTCGA AAAAACGTAA TTGTAGCTGC CCA-AAAATA TCAGAC--CG CCATTCTCGA AAAAACGTAA TTGTACCTAG G---AAGATA TCAGAT-GTA TGATCTACCG GAACCCCCCA TTGTACCTAG G---AAGATA TCAGAT-GTA TGATCTACCG GAACCCCCCA TTGTATCTAG G---AATATA TCAGAT-GTG TAATCCACCA GAACCCCCTG TTGTACCTAG G---AAGATA TCAGAT-GTG TAATCCACCG GAAACCCCTA TTGTACCTAG G---AAGATA TCAGAT-GTG TGATCCACCG GAAACCCCCA TTGTACCTAG G---AAGATA TCAGAT-GTG TAATCCACCG GAAACCCCTA TTGTACCTAG G---AAGATG TCAGAT-GTG TGATCCACCA GAAACCCCCA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCTACTA GAAACCC--A TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCTACTA GAAACCC--A TTGTACCTAG $\mathrm{C}--$-AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCTACTA GAAACCC---A TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AAATTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AAATTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA

| $15-P$. | glabrum |
| :--- | :--- |
| $16-P$. | glabrum |
| $19-P$. | glabrum |
| $24-P$. | glabrum |
| $26-P$. | glabrum |
| $30-P$. | glabrum |
| $33-P$. | glabrum |
| $41-P$. | glabrum |
| $53-P$. | glabrum |
| $57-P$. | italicum |
| $38-P$. | $p a n e u m$ |
| $22-P$. | $p a n e u m$ |
| $23-P$. | $p a n e u m$ |
| $36-P$. | piscarium |
| $39-P$. | $p o l o n i c u m$ |
| $7-P$. | $p o l o n i c u m$ |
| $25-P$. | polonicum |
| $32-P$. | polonicum |
| $46-P$. | solitum |
| $10-P$. | solitum |
| $21-P$. | solitum |
| $43-P$. | steckii |
| $42-P$. | sumatrense |

47-P. bialowiezense
1- P. bialowiezense
3- P. bialowiezense
28-P. bialowiezense
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum
40-P. chrysogenum
2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
31-P. chrysogenum
35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
20-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum

TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCTACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AAATTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCTACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AAATTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCTACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCTACCT CGCAATCTCA TTGACGCTAA ----AAATTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA TIGACGCTAA ----AATTTA TCAGACCGCC ATTTCCACCT CGCAATCTCA ITGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGTT-GTG TGATCAACCG GAAGCCC--A TTGTACCTAG C---AAAATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCG GAAACCC-CA ITGTACCTAG C---AAAATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCG GAAACCC-CA ITGTACCTAG C---AAAATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCCACCG GAAACCC-CA TTGTAGCTAG CCAAAAAATA TCAGACCGCC ATTCCGCGTC CGATGATATA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGAICTACCG GAAACCCACA TIGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCTACCG GAAACCCACA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCTACCG GAAACCCACA TTGTACCTAG C---AAGATA TCAGAC-GTG TGATCTACCG GAAACCCACA TTGTACCTAG G---AAGATG TCAGAT-GTA TGATCCACCG GAAACCCCCA TTGTACCTAG G----AAGATG TCAGAT-GTG TGATCCACCG GAAACCCCCA TIGTATCTAG G---AATATA TCAGAT-GTG TAATCCACCA GAACCCCCTG ITGTAGCTGC CCA-AAAATA TCAGAC--CG CCATTCTCCA AAAAACATAA ITGTAGCTGC CCA-AAAATA TCAGAC--CG CCATTCTC-G AAAATCAAAA

-----GTACG CTC-----CA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----GTACG CTC-----CA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----GTACG CTC-----CA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----GTACG CTC------CA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----ACACA GTCGTC--CA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----ACACA GTCGTC--CA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----TTTTG GGCG-----C CTTACTGGCC ATCGCCGTCA AGGCCGTGCT
-----TCACT GTTA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
------TCACT GTTA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----TCACT GTTA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----TCACT GTTA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
------TCACT GTTA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
------TCACT GTTA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT
-----TTATG GGCG-----A CTTACTGGCC ATCGCCGTCA AGGCCATGCT
-----TTATG GGCG------A CTTACTGGCC ATCGCCGTCA AGGCCATGCT ACACTTCTTT GTCGAAAGAA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCA AGGCCGTGCT ACACTTCTTT GTCGAAAGAA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCA AGGCCGTGCT ACACTTCTTT GTCGAAAGAA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCA AGGCCGTGCT -----TCACA GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACA GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TTACT GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT - ----TCACT GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACA GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACT GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -_---TCACA GTTAG----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCACCATCG AGACCGTGCT ----- TCACC GTTG----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCACCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACC GTTG-----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCACCATCG AGACCGTGCT ------TCACC NTTG----AA CTTACTGTCC AT----------------------------TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT ------TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT

11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum
41-P. glabrum
53-P. glabrum
57-P. italicum
38-P. paneum
22-P. paneum
23-P. paneum
36-P. piscarium
39-P. polonicum
7- P. polonicum
25-P. polonicum
32-P. polonicum
46-P. solitum
10-P. solitum
21-P. solitum
43-P. steckii
42-P. sumatrense

47-P. bialowiezense 1- P. bialowiezense 3- P. bialowiezense 28-P. bialowiezense 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum 31-P. chrysogenum 35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune $20-P$. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum
----- TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT ------TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCG'TGCT ------TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT ------TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT -----TCGAT GTTGA---AA CTCACTGTCC ATCACCATCA AGTCCGTGCT ------CCACT GCTG----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCATC GTTG----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCATC GTTG-----AA CITACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCATC GTTG----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT GTCGAGGAAT ATCGGACAAA CTTACTGGCC ATCGCCGTCA AGGCCGTGCT -----TCACC ATTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACC ATTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACC ATTAA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCG'TGCT ------TCACC ATTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACA GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TCACA GTTAA----AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT -----TTACT GTTAA---AA CTTACTGTCC ATCGCCATCG AGACCGTGCT ACACTTCTTT GTCGAAAGAA CTTACTGGCC ATCGCCATCA AGACCGTGCT -------CCTG GTCGAAATCA CTCACTGTCC ATCGCCATCA AGGCCGTGCT

CGCCGGAGAT AGTTTGCCTT T-ATGTCAGT TAGCA--AGA TG--TCAATT CGCCGGAGAT AGTTTGCCTT T-ATGTCAGT TAGCA--AGA TG--TCAATT CGCCGGAGAT AGTTTGCCTT T-ATGTCAGT TAGCA---AGA TG--TCAATT CGCCGGAGAT AGTTTGCCTT T-ATGTCAGT TAGCA--AGA TG--TCAATT CGCCGGAGAT AGTTTGCCTT T-GAGTCAAT TAGCA--AAA TG--TCAATT CGCCGGAGAT AGTTTGCCTT T-AAGCCAGT TAGCA---AAT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTG G-AATTAAGT CAGTA--AAT CG-TTTCTCG CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGCA--ACT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGCA--ACT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGCA--ACT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGCA--ACT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGCA--ACT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGCA--ACT TG--TCAATT CACCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTG G-AATTCAGT CAGTA--TAA TG-TCTCTCG CACCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTG G-AATTCAGT CAGTA--TAA TG-TCTCTCG CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA TAGAATTGGT CAGTA--TAT TG---CTCTT CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA TAGAATTGGT CAGTA--TAT TG---CTCTT CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA TAGAATTGGT CAGTA--TAT TG---C'TCTT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT CAGGA--ACC CG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGGA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT TAGGA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AAACCAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG C-AATCCAGT TAGTA--AAT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG C-AATCCAGT TAGTA--AAT TG--TCAATT

55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum 41-P. glabrum 53-P. glabrum 57-P. italicum 38-P. paneum 22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum $36-P$. piscarium 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 21-P. solitum 43-P. steckii $42-P$. sumatrense

47-P. bialowiezense 1- P. bialowiezense 3- P. bialowiezense 28-P. bialowiezense 54-P. brevicompactum 52-P. brevicompactum 56-P. corylophilum 40-P. chrysogenum 2- P. chrysogenum 9- P. chrysogenum 29-P. chrysogenum $31-P$. chrysogenum $35-P$. chrysogenum 51-P. citreonigrum 49-P. citreonigrum 50-P. citrinum 27-P. citrinum 44-P. citrinum 34-P. commune 20-P. commune 18-P. crustosum 4- P. crustosum 14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum

CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT TAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAACA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT TAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAACA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA C-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT TAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAACA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA C-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT TAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAACA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA C-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA C-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT TAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAACA CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA G-AAATCAGT CAGTG--ATT CGGTCCAATA CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG C-AATCCAAT TAGTA--AAT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAAAT GGTTTGCCTG G-AATTCGGT TAGTA--ATT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAAAT GGTTTGCCTG G-AATTCGGT TAGTA--ATT TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAAAT GGTTTGCCTG G-AATTCGGT TAGTA--ATT TG--TCAATT CACCAGCAAT GGTCTGCCTG TAGGTTGAGT CAGTACAATC TGCTCATTAA CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCGAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCGAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCGAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCGAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AAACCAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AAACCAGT TAGTA--ACC TG--TCAATT CGCCAGAGAT GGTTTGCCTG T-AATCCAGT CAGGA--ACC CG--TCAATT CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTA TAGAATTGGT CAGTA--ATT TG---CCCTC CGCCAGCAAT GGTTTGCCTG TTGAATTGAT TAGTT--TAT TG---CTTCA


| 48-P. | expansum |
| :--- | :--- |
| $37-P$. | expansum |
| $45-P$. | expansum |
| $55-P$. | glabrum |
| $5-P$. | glabrum |
| 8-P. | glabrum |
| $11-P$. | glabrum |
| $12-P$. | glabrum |
| $13-P$. | glabrum |
| $15-P$. | glabrum |
| $16-P$. | glabrum |
| $19-P$. | glabrum |
| $24-P$. | glabrum |
| $26-P$. | glabrum |
| $30-P$. | glabrum |
| $33-P$. | glabrum |
| $41-P$. | glabrum |
| $53-P$. | glabrum |
| $57-P$. | italicum |
| $38-P$. | $p a n e u m$ |
| $22-P$. | $p a n e u m$ |
| $23-P$. | paneum |
| $36-P$. | piscarium |
| $39-P$. | $p o l o n i c u m$ |
| $7-P$. | $p o l o n i c u m$ |
| $25-P$. | $p o l o n i c u m$ |
| $32-P$. | polonicum |
| $46-P$. | solitum |
| $10-P$. | solitum |
| $21-P$. | solitum |
| $43-P$. | steckii |
| $42-P$. | sumatrense |

47-P. bialowiezense
1- P. bialowiezense
3- P. bialowiezense
28-P. bialowiezense
54-P. brevicompactum
52-P. brevicompactum
56-P. corylophilum
40-P. chrysogenum
2- P. chrysogenum
9- P. chrysogenum
29-P. chrysogenum
$31-P$. chrysogenum
35-P. chrysogenum
51-P. citreonigrum
49-P. citreonigrum
50-P. citrinum
27-P. citrinum
44-P. citrinum
34-P. commune
20-P. commune
18-P. crustosum
4- P. crustosum



14-P. crustosum 17-P. crustosum 6- P. echinulatum 48-P. expansum 37-P. expansum 45-P. expansum 55-P. glabrum 5- P. glabrum 8- P. glabrum 11-P. glabrum 12-P. glabrum 13-P. glabrum 15-P. glabrum 16-P. glabrum 19-P. glabrum 24-P. glabrum 26-P. glabrum 30-P. glabrum 33-P. glabrum 41-P. glabrum 53-P. glabrum 57-P. italicum 38-P. paneum 22-P. paneum 23-P. paneum 36-P. piscarium 39-P. polonicum 7- P. polonicum 25-P. polonicum 32-P. polonicum 46-P. solitum 10-P. solitum 21-P. solitum 43-P. steckii 42-P. sumatrense

| -CGGCACTT | -ACCANAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTGGT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -CGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CANCACCGAT | TTGG-TTACC |
| --CGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTGGGTTACC |
| TGCNACACNT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCAC |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTNGGTTACC |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACC--- |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CA |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCAC |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCA |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTGGTTACCA |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACC--- |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCG-- |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCG-- |  |
| TGCAACACGT | - ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCG-- |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACC |  |
| TGCAACACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTTGGTTACC |
| --CGGGACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCG-- |  |
| --CGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTGGTTACCA |
| --CGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCAC---- |  |
| --CGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTGGTTACCC |
| CGTGGCACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGC------ |  |
| CTCGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCG-- |  |
| CTCGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCAC---- |  |
| CTCGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTTGGTTAC- |
| CTCGGNACTT | -ACCA |  |  |
| --CGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCG-- |  |
| --CGGCACTT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTGGTTACCA |
| --CGGCACTT | -ACCANAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TTGGTTACAC |
| TGCAGCACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGCACCGAT | TNGGTTACCA |
| TGCAGCACGT | -ACCAGAAAG | CAGC |  |

