



# **INTERFACIAL MORPHOLOGY AND DESCALING OF REHEATED STAINLESS STEEL**

By

**Nana-Araba Quagraine**

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

**Master of Engineering**

In the Department of Materials Science and Metallurgical Engineering, Faculty of  
Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology, University of Pretoria,  
Pretoria, Republic of South Africa

**Supervisor: Professor PC Pistorius**

**April, 2001**



## Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to my lecturers, colleagues and friends who directly or indirectly helped me in preparing this thesis. Special thanks to Professor Chris Pistorius, my supervisor, for his guidance and unending support; as well as Mr Carel Coetzee for his assistance with the SEM. I would also like to express my gratitude to Carnegie Mellon University and Iscor as well as Columbus stainless steel for providing all of the samples and financial support.



# INTERFACIAL MORPHOLOGY AND DESCALING OF REHEATED STAINLESS STEEL

By

Nana-Araba Quagraine

Prof. PC Pistorius

Department of Material Science and Metallurgical Engineering  
Master Degree in Engineering

## ABSTRACT

*During the steel-making process, scale is formed in the reheating furnace (on hot-rolled steel products) and is used to oxidize away some surface imperfections, but if the resultant scale cannot be removed prior to rolling (by the usual method of hydraulic descaling), then serious surface quality problems can arise. Experimental results are presented that test the hypothesis that the descaling behavior of slabs following reheating is controlled by interfacial roughening at the scale-steel interface. In this investigation, two stainless steels were considered: type 304 (austenitic, with 18% Cr and 8% Ni) and type 412 (ferritic / martensitic, with 11.6% Cr).*

*It was found that the entanglement that arose at the scale-steel interface was in fact effective in maintaining scale-steel adhesion, under the mechanical descaling conditions used. In the case of type 304, descaling proceeded by fracture along chromite layers, which formed on the austenite grain boundaries; for this steel, the extent of descaling depended most strongly on austenite grain size, and not primarily*



*on the conditions in the reheating furnace. In contrast, type 412 samples descaled only at the edge of the entangled zone, and showed a greater sensitivity to the reheating conditions.*

*Key terms: Interfacial morphology, reheated stainless steel, iron oxide, scale, mechanical descaling, reheating gas atmosphere, oxidation, surface quality, austenite grain structure, excess oxygen*

# INTERVLAKMORFOLOGIE EN ONTSKALING VAN HERVERHITTE ROESVRYSTAAL

deur

Nana-Araba Quagraine

Prof. PC Pistorius

Departement Materiaalkunde en Metallurgiese Ingenieurswese  
Meestersgraad in Ingenieurswese

## OPSOMMING

Gedurende die staalverwerkingsproses word skaal in die herverhittingsoond gevorm, wanneer platblokke voor warmwalsing verhit word. Skaalvorming word gebruik om oppervlakdefekte weg te oksideer, maar as die gevormde skaal nie voor walsing verwyder word nie, kan ernstige oppervlakgehalteprobleme ontstaan; die gebruikelike metode om die skaal te verwyder is om met hoëdrukwatersproeiërs. Eksperimente is uitgevoer om die hipotese dat die onskalingsgedrag van herverhitte platblokke deur die ruheid van die skaal-staalintervlak beheer word, te toets. Twee tipes roesvrystaal is gebruik: tipe 304 (austenities met 18% Cr en 8% Ni) en tipe 412 (ferrities/martensities, met 11.6% Cr).

Dit is gevind dat verstrengeling tussen skaal en staal (by die intervlak) effektief was om die skaal aan die staal te heg gedurende warm meganiese onskaling. In die geval van tipe 304 het onskaling deur kraging langs chromietlae wat op die austenietkorrelgrense gevorm het, plaasgevind; vir hierdie tipe staal was die omvang van onskaling dus grootliks van die austenietkorrelgrootte afhanklik, en nie primêr



van toestande in die herverhittingsoond nie. In teenstelling het tipe 412-monsters by die buitegrens van die verstrengelde gebied ontskaal, en het ook 'n groter invloed van die herverhittingstoestande getoon.

Sleutelwoorde: intervlakmorfologie, herverhitting, roesvrystaal, ysteroksied, skaal, meganiese ontskaling, herverhittingsoondatmosfeer, oksidasie, oppervlakgehalte, austenietkorrelstruktuur



## NOMENCLATURE

$P$ (Pa)	Impact pressure of descaling jet
$T$	Temperature
$\rho$ (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Density of water
$G$ (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Volume flow rate of water
$t$ (m)	Thickness of jet
$B$ (m)	Width of steel being descaled
$v$ (m/s)	Speed of steel under jet
$\theta$ (°)	Angle of jet from vertical
$\rho_s$ (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Density of scale
$\alpha$ (°C <sup>-1</sup> )	Coefficient of thermal expansion of scale
$C$ (J/kg °C )	Specific heat capacity of scale
$E$ (N/m <sup>2</sup> )	Elastic modulus of scale
$k$ (W/ m °C )	Thermal conductivity of scale
$x$ (m)	Thickness of scale
$l$ (m)	Distance between through scale cracks
$\sigma$ (J/ m <sup>2</sup> )	Energy required to separate scale from steel
$\epsilon_c$	Compressive strain to failure of scale
$\epsilon_T$	Tensile strain to failure of scale
$J$ (N/m <sup>2</sup> )	Shear strength of scale / metal interface



# LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

## Figures

Figure 1: Schematic depiction of processes occurring during scale growth by cationic diffusion .....	5
Figure 2 : SiO <sub>2</sub> - FeO phase diagram (Levin, et al, 1964).....	10
Figure 3 : Diagram of set-up during hydraulic descaling (Matsuno, 1980).....	13
Figure 4 : Diagram indicating offset angle of cooling jet (Sheppard, and Steen,1970) .....	14
Figure 5 : Influence of nozzle diameter (Sheridan and Simon,1995).....	15
Figure 6 : Conditions required for successful descaling of four steel grades(low-carbon aluminium-killed steel, and 3 alloy steels). Descaling is successful if the actual jet impact and water consumption lie to the right of the relevant line. (Sheridan and Simon,1995).....	19
Figure 7 : Effect of steel composition and reheating temperature on the interfacial roughness and descaling behavior for steels containing 0.02% or 0.1% Si, together with 10ppm or 0.1 Ni %. The clear columns give the average thickness of scale remaining after descaling (plotted against the left-hand axis), and the shaded columns give the relative roughness of the scale-metal interface (right-hand axis) (Asai, et al, 1997).....	22
Figure 8 : Combinations of header pressure ( $\Delta P$ ) and time (t) required to remove scale with thickness l from carbon steel which had been reheated at different temperatures. ....	25
Figure 9 : Experimental configuration used to grow scale under simulated reheating furnace conditions.....	31
Figure 10 : Figure of temperature-controlled glass condenser .....	33
Figure 11 : Schematic representation of furnace assembly.....	36
Figure 12 : Temperature profile as a function of position. (Depth measured from top of furnace. Programmed furnace temperature: 1250 °C. The average measured temperature in the hot zone was approximately 1200 °C.....	37
Figure 13 : Measured temperature in hot zone versus programmed furnace temperature at a depth of 503 mm below furnace top .....	38
Figure 14 : Schematic representation of bending rig, to yield mechanical descaling	40
Figure 15 : Punch-and-anvil combination used to give mechanical descaling .....	41
Figure 16 : Table of chemical compositions (mass percentages) of samples .....	42
Figure 17 : Location of samples to assess the degree of descaling, within the reheated sample which had been descaled by bending.....	44
Figure 18 : Experimental matrix for type 304 stainless steels .....	45
Figure 19 : Experimental matrix for type 412 stainless steels .....	46
Figure 20 : Type 412-sample temperature as a function of time.....	47
Figure 21 : Type 304-sample temperature as a function of time.....	47



Figure 22 : Scanning electron micrographs of the scale-steel interface of type 412 samples held at 1210 °C with 4% excess O <sub>2</sub> for different holding times (as indicated on the micrographs).....	49
Figure 23 : Detail of the structure in the entangled region, showing internal oxidation .....	50
Figure 24 : Measured residual scale thickness for type 412 samples, reheated at 1210 °C, for varying times and with 3% and 4% oxygen in the furnace atmosphere .....	51
Figure 25 : Development of entanglement at the scale-steel interface, for type 412 steel at a reheating temperature of 1210 °C (scanning electron micrographs) .....	53
Figure 26 : Montage of descaled surface of type 412 sample (reheated at 1210 °C), showing that the residual scale thickness corresponds to the full thickness of the entangled region .....	54
Figure 27 : Measured residual scale thickness for type 412 samples, reheated at 1280 °C, for varying times and with 3% and 4% oxygen in the furnace atmosphere .....	56
Figure 28 : Development of entanglement at the scale-steel interface, for type 412 steel at a reheating temperature of 1280 °C (scanning electron micrographs) .....	57
Figure 29 : Montage of descaled surface of type 412 sample (reheated at 1280 °C)	58
Figure 30 : Scanning electron micrographs of the scale-steel interface region of type 304 samples held at 1280 °C and 4% excess O <sub>2</sub> for different times (as indicated on the micrographs).....	59
Figure 31 : Measured residual scale thickness for type 304 samples, reheated at 1250 °C, for varying times and with 3% and 4% oxygen in the furnace atmosphere .....	60
Figure 32 : Change in appearance of the residual scale, for type 304 stainless steel, after reheating at 1250 °C and mechanical descaling (scanning electron micrographs) .....	62
Figure 33 : Structure of scale close to scale-steel interface, for type 304 stainless steel reheated at 1250 °C in an atmosphere with 4% excess oxygen. Planes of metal-free chromite (arrowed) are evident. (The unoxidised substrate is shown in the upper parts of the three images.) .....	63
Figure 34 : Etched cross-sections of type 304 samples reheated at 1250 °C. ....	64
Figure 35 : Montage of descaled surface of type 304 sample (reheated at 1250 °C), .....	64
Figure 36 : Measured residual scale thickness for type 304 samples, reheated at 1280 °C, for varying times and with 3% and 4% oxygen in the furnace atmosphere .....	65
Figure 37 : Change in appearance of the residual scale, for type 304 stainless steel, after reheating at 1280 °C and mechanical descaling (scanning electron micrographs) .....	67
Figure 38 : Structure of scale close to scale-steel interface, for type 304 stainless steel reheated at 1280 °C in an atmosphere with 4% excess oxygen. Planes	



of metal-free chromite (arrowed) are evident. The unoxidised substrate is shown in the upper parts of the three images..... 68

Figure 39 : Etched cross-sections of type 304 samples reheated at 1280 °C..... 68

Figure 40 : Appearance of exterior surface of descaled type 412 sample ..... 69

Figure 41 : Appearance of exterior surface of descaled type 304 sample, showing that the appearance of the cracked residual scale reflects the underlying austenite grain structure. .... 70



# CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	I
NOMENCLATURE .....	VI
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. BACKGROUND .....	1
1.2. PROBLEM .....	2
1.3. OBJECTIVES OF INVESTIGATION .....	3
1.4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	4
1.4.1. <i>Introduction</i> .....	4
1.4.2. <i>Need for new methods</i> .....	4
<b>2. LITERATURE SURVEY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. INTERFACIAL ROUGHENING MECHANISMS .....	5
2.1.1. <i>Pure metals</i> .....	5
2.1.2. <i>Alloys</i> .....	8
2.2. DESCALING .....	13
2.2.1. <i>Introduction</i> .....	13
2.2.2. <i>Header diameter</i> .....	13
2.2.3. <i>Nozzles and attachments</i> .....	14
2.2.4. <i>Distance and water pressure</i> .....	15
2.2.5. <i>Effect of scale temperature</i> .....	16
2.2.6. <i>Mechanism of high pressure water descaling</i> .....	16
2.2.7. <i>The effect of interfacial roughening on descaling</i> .....	21
2.2.8. <i>Mechanical Descaling</i> .....	23
2.3. REHEATING TEMPERATURE .....	25
2.4. REHEATING TIME .....	26
2.5. COMPOSITION OF GAS ATMOSPHERE.....	27
2.5.1. <i>Effect of water vapour</i> .....	27
2.5.2. <i>Effect of oxygen</i> .....	27
2.6. SURFACE FINISH.....	28
2.7. EFFECT OF COOLING CONDITIONS AFTER DESCALING .....	28
2.8. CONCLUSIONS ON EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH.....	29
<b>3. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES.....</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1. INTRODUCTION.....	30
3.2. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP (SCALE GROWTH) .....	30
3.2.1. <i>Gas system set-up</i> .....	30
3.2.2. <i>Furnace set-up</i> .....	35
3.3. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP (DESCALING) .....	39
3.4. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE .....	42
3.4.1. <i>Sample size and preparation</i> .....	42
3.4.2. <i>Experimental run</i> .....	43



---

<b>4. RESULTS</b> .....	<b>49</b>
4.1. STAINLESS STEEL TYPE 412.....	49
4.1.1. <i>Residual scale thickness</i> .....	51
4.2. STAINLESS STEEL TYPE 304.....	59
4.2.1. <i>Residual scale thickness</i> .....	60
4.3. COMPARISON OF TYPE 304 AND 412 STAINLESS STEEL.....	69
<b>5. CONCLUSION</b> .....	<b>71</b>
<b>6. RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	<b>72</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>73</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1</b> .....	<b>77</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2</b> .....	<b>84</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3</b> .....	<b>90</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4</b> .....	<b>99</b>
<b>APPENDIX 5</b> .....	<b>102</b>
<b>APPENDIX 6</b> .....	<b>111</b>
<b>APPENDIX 7</b> .....	<b>116</b>