Geographical distribution and relative abundance of stockassociated *Culicoides* species (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in southern Africa, in relation to their potential as viral vectors

G.J. VENTER¹, E.M. NEVILL¹, and T.C. DE K. VAN DER LINDE²

ABSTRACT

VENTER, G.J., NEVILL, E.M. & VAN DER LINDE, T.C. DE K. 1996. Geographical distribution and relative abundance of stock-associated *Culicoides* species (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in southern Africa, in relation to their potential as viral vectors. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 63:25–38

To determine the geographical distribution and relative abundance of *Culicoides* species associated with livestock, 220-V down-draught light-traps equipped with 8-W blacklight tubes were operated at 34 sites in different climatic regions in South Africa and Lesotho. From January 1984 to September 1986, 3 041 631 *Culicoides*, belonging to at least 50 species, were collected in a total of 959 collections. Of these, 572 412 individuals were identified and sexed.

Culicoides species were found to be widespread in South Africa and were collected in varying numbers at all the sites sampled. The average catch size, however, was larger in frost-free areas than in areas with extreme winters. The more abundant and widespread species, which have the potential to be vectors of stock-associated viruses such as bluetongue and African horsesickness, were *C. imicola, C. leucostictus, C. schultzei* s.l., *C. pycnostictus, C. nivosus, C. similis, C. zuluensis, C. magnus, C. bedfordi, C. neavei, C. brucei, C. tropicalis, C. exspectator, C. gulbenkiani, C. bolitinos, C. ravus, C. coarctatus and C. onderstepoortensis.* Of these, *C. imicola* was the most abundant species, being dominant at 17 of the 34 sites sampled and accounting for 71,4% of the specimens collected. As *C. imicola* is relatively uncommon in hot and dry as well as cool and wet areas, this species cannot be regarded as the only vector of stock-associated viruses in southern Africa. Future laboratory vector-competence studies, i.e. determination of viral-infection and -transmission rates, should first concentrate on the above-mentioned *Culicoides* species, especially those known to feed on livestock.

Keywords: Ceratopogonidae, *Cullicoides*, Diptera, geographical distribution, potential, relative abundance, stock-associated, viral vectors

INTRODUCTION

Bluetongue (BT) and African horsesickness (AHS) occur annually in the northern and eastern parts of South Africa and cause severe disease in sheep and horses (Verwoerd & Erasmus 1994; Coetzer & Eras-

mus 1994). The many serotypes of the orbiviruses that are responsible for these diseases are transmitted between the vertebrate hosts almost exclusively by biting midges of the genus *Culicoides*, which are true biological vectors (Tabachnick, Mellor & Standfast 1992; Mellor 1993).

An initial step in the elucidation of the epidemiology of a viral disease is the identification of all potential vectors. Species with a distribution approximating to or exceeding that of the disease, have to be examined first (Standfast & Dyce 1972). However, very little has been published on the geographical distribution and

¹ Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute, Onderstepoort, 0110 South Africa

² Department of Zoology and Entomology, Faculty of Science UOFS, P.O. Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300 South Africa

Accepted for publication 9 January 1996-Editor

relative abundance of Culicoides species in South Africa, Nevill, Venter & Edwardes (1992) suggested that the seven Culicoides species having the highest potential as orbivirus vectors in South Africa, are C. imicola, C. bolitinos, C. gulbenkiani, some members of the C. schultzei group, C. zuluensis, C. magnus, and C. pycnostictus. Most of these species have already been shown to be abundant in the winter rainfall region of South Africa (Nevill, Venter, Edwardes, Pajor, Meiswinkel & Van Gas 1988), while C. pycnostictus and C. zuluensis were shown to be the most common species in the southern Free State and Lesotho, respectively (Jupp, McIntosh & Nevill 1980; Venter & Sweatman 1989). C. bolitinos was shown to be the most abundant species in the colder, highlying eastern Free State (Venter & Meiswikel 1994). However, information on the abundance of Culicoides species in other areas is scanty. The purpose of this study was therefore to rectify this situation by identifying and determining the relative abundance and distribution of the most important stock-associated Culicoides species more widely. This was done at 34 sites near livestock in different climatic zones in southern Africa.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Light-trap collections

Down-draught light-traps (220 V) equipped with 8-W blacklight tubes were used. As collections were also used for virus isolation, they were made into phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) to which 0,5% "Savlon" (manufactured by Johnson & Johnson, and containing Chlorhexidine Gluconate and Cetrimide) antiseptic had been added. Large insects were excluded from the collections by mosquito netting placed around the trap. For a few consecutive nights, collections were made daily, stored at 4°C and then railed or air-freighted to the Onderstepoort Veterinary Institute (OVI) once a week (Nevill, Erasmus & Venter 1992). The results of the virus isolations appear in Nevill *et al.* (1992).

The number of collections made at each site was dependent on the collector present and therefore varied between one and 146 (Table 3); the majority of the collections were made during summer, except at Onderstepoort, Potchefstroom and Eiland (Table 1), where collections were made regularly throughout the year.

The number of *Culicoides* per collection varied between zero and more than 100 000. An attempt was made to identify all the *Culicoides* in a collection before using them for virus isolation, but if this was not possible, a random sample of 1 000 to 2 000 insects was identified and sexed. Identification was accomplished with the help of a slide reference collection, preliminary keys and a wing picture atlas of Afrotropical *Culicoides* (R. Meiswinkel, OVI, unpublished data 1994). Catches that were not identified immediately, were stored in 80% ethanol.

Study area

From one to 146 collections per site were made at 33 sites throughout South Africa and at one site in Lesotho, between January 1984 and September 1986 (Fig. 1, Table 3). Tables 1 and 2 summarize the location, environmental factors and weather conditions (Weather Bureau 1986) at each site.

Since the main objective of this study was to identify *Culicoides* species which could be vectors of BT, AHS and other stock-associated viruses, most of the collecting was done near various livestock species (Table 1). The four collections made at Tugela (# 26), Loskop Dam Nature Reserve (# 30) and Mtubatuba (# 32) were the only collections where there were no livestock in the vicinity of the light-trap. According to Nevill & Anderson (1972), Dipeolu (1976), Murray (1987) and Nevill *et al.* (1988) the species and number of host animals in the vicinity of the light-trap probably have an influence on the *Culicoides* abundance and species diversity.

Collection sites varied from dry regions with an annual rainfall of only 169 mm (Veekos) (# 16) to high rainfall areas (Allerton) (# 9) with an annual rainfall of 927 mm (Table 2). Stellenbosch (# 6) (annual rainfall 619 mm) was the only site in a winter rainfall region.

The height above sea-level varied between 100 m (Mtubatuba) (# 32) and 2 800 m (Rhodes) (# 34) (Table 1). In additition, the temperature varied between relatively hot areas, e.g. Messina (# 18) with mean annual daily maximum and minimum temperatures of 29,4°C and 17,3°C, respectively, and relatively cold areas, e.g. Rhodes (# 34) with mean annual daily maximum and minimum temperatures of 19,6°C and 4,1°C, respectively (Table 2). Collections were made in frost-free or only light-frost areas, e.g. Ukulinga (# 4), Stellenbosch (# 6), Eiland (# 11), Louis Trichardt (# 14), Dohne (# 15), Messina (# 18), George (#21) and Loskopdam (#30), where for less than 1 d/year the minimum temperature is below freezing point, to areas with severe frost, e.g. Middelburg (Eastern Cape) (#7) and Rhodes (#34), which have 70,7 and 93,3 d/year, respectively, on which the minimum temperature is below freezing point (Table 2).

Collections were made in 22 of the 70 different vegetation regions of South Africa (Acocks 1975) (Table 1).

Farming activities at the collections sites varied from intensive mixed farming (Stellenbosch) (# 6) to situations where only a few riding horses were kept (Tshipise and Eiland). Apart from farming activities, irrigation also varied at the different sites (Table 1).



FIG. 1 Distribution of 34 light-traps used in *Culicoides* collections between January 1984 and September 1986. The numbers refer to Table 1. The division into provinces is the revised one of 1994

Value of light-traps as monitoring tool

To determine whether light-trap collections were representative of the *Culicoides* species flying in an area, light-trap collections made at five different sites (Onderstepoort, Kaalplaas, Soutpan, Diepsloot and Tshipise) (Table 1) were compared with vehiclemounted-trap collections made at the same sites. The vehicle-mounted-trap was based on a design of Dyce, Standfast & Kay (1971). The vehicle was driven at 20 km/h over a fixed route at dusk and occasionally at dawn. In this way flying insects could be sampled randomly by the moving net.

When the total numbers of *Culicoides* of each species collected by means of the two methods were compared, there was a high correlation (P<0,001) between their respective species compositions. At these five sites no single species was active solely

during the day or at dusk, therefore light-trap collections were representative of the species found near livestock in an area. The vehicle-mounted-trap, however, collected many more males than the light-traps. This was expected as, due to limited dispersal, male numbers are usually low in light-traps unless the trap is set very near a breeding site (Kettle 1962).

Representativeness of light-trap locations

At each of the 34 sites light-traps were operated at one permanent point for the duration of the survey. Factors which influenced the choice of sites, were the presence of livestock, the availability of 220-V electricity and easy access to the light-trap for regular collections. To evaluate how representative of an area the results from one permanent site in this area were, more intensive surveys were conducted at three of the 34 sites, namely Eiland, Allerton and Stellenbosch (Table 1).

Collection site & no.	Grid reference	Height above sea level (m)	Vegetation region (Acocks 1975)	Irrigation	Type of farming	Animals in vicinity of light-trap
1. Onderstepoort VI, stable 3	25°39′S, 28°11′E	1 219	Other turf thornveid	Yes	Experimen- tal animals	Cattle
2. Onderstepoort VI, camp 168	25°39′S, 28°11′E	1 219	Other turf thornveld	Yes	Mixed	Cattle & horses
3. Potchefstroom Agricultural Research Laboratory	26°35′S, 27°14′E	1 345	<i>Cymbopogon</i> <i>Themeda</i> veld	Yes	Mixed	Cattle, sheep & poultry
4. Pietermaritzburg, Ukulinga Experimental Farm	29°40′S, 30°25′E	762	'Ngongoni veld of Natal mist-belt	Yes	Mixed	Cattle
5. Kimberley, "Mauritzfontein"	28°49′S, 24°45′E	1 200	Kalahari thornveld	Yes	Horses	Horses
6. Stellenbosch, "Welgevallen"	33°56′S, 18°52′E	119	Coastal renosterveld	Yes	Mixed	Cattle
7. Middelburg, Eastern Cape Grootfontein Agric. Coll.	31°29′S, 25°02′E	1 263	False upper karoo	Yes	Cattle, sheep & borses	Cattle, sheep, horses & goats
8. Diepsloot Nature Reserve, Gauteng	25°50′S, 27°50′F	1 500	Bankenveld	Yes	Cattle	Cattle
9. Pietermantzburg, Allerton regional laboratory	29°32′S, 30°17′E	684	'Ngongoni veld	Yes	Experimen- tal animals	Sheep & poultry
10. Adelaide Experimental Farm	32°38′S, 26°20′E	763	False thornveld, Eastern Cape	None	Goats & cattle	Goats
11. Eiland, Aventura Resorts	23°40′S, 30°45′E	400	North-eastern mountain sourveld	None	None	Horses
12. Upington Karakul Research Station	28°28'S, 21°20'E	793	Orange River broken veld	None	Karakul	Sheep
13. Roma, St Mary's High School, Lesotho	29°27´S, 27°45´E	1 690	<i>Cymbopogon Themeda</i> sandy veld	None	Mixed	Cattle, sheep, poultry & pigs
14. Louis Trichardt, Lot 285 Soutpansberg District	23°03′S, 29°54′E	961	Mixed bushveld	Yes	Maize	Cattle & game
15. Dohne Research Station, Stutterheim	32°31′S, 27°28′E	899	False Eastern Prov- ince thornveld	Yes	Cattle & sheep	Cattle
16. Upington, Veekos Experimental Farm	28°28′S, 21°20′E	793	Orange River broken veld	Yes	Sheep	Sheep
17. Hluhluwe, Lot H 110	28°05′S, 32°20′E	125	Coastal forest & thornveld	Yes	Cattle & goats	Cattle & sheep
18. Messina Experimental Farm	22°20′S, 29°55′E	500	Mopani veld	Yes	Cattle	Cattle, sheep & goats
19. Glen Agricultural College, Free State	28°57′S, 26°20′E	1 304	Dry Cymbopogon Themedaveld	Yes	Mixed	Cattle, sheep & goats
20. Steytlerville, "Orange Grove"	33°22′S, 24°26′E	600	Succulent karoo	Yes	Sheep & goats	Sheep & goats
21. George, Outeniqua Experimental Farm	33°58′S, 22°28′E	221	Knysna forest	Yes	Sheep & cattle	Sheep & cattle
22. Tshipise, Aventura Resorts	22°33′S, 30°15′E	600	Mopani veld	None	None	Horses
23. Bergpan Salt Works, Northern Province	23°05´S, 29°05´E	961	Mixed bushveld	None	Salt works	Cattle
24. Irene Animal Production Institute	25°50′S, 28°12′E	1 448	Bankenveld	None	Cattle	Cattle
25. Soutpan Experimental Farm, Gauteng	28°22'S, 28°05'E	1 117	Sourish mixed bushveld	None	Cattle	Cattle
26. Tugela, Kwazulu-Natal	29°20'S, 31°28'E	122	Valley bushveld	None	None	None
27. Ermelo, "Ystervarkfontein"	26°31'S, 29°59'E	1 698	North-eastern sandy highveld	None	Mixed	Cattle
28. Groblersdal, "Weber Farm"	25°15′S, 29°26′E	953	Mixed bushveld	Yes	Sheep	Sheep
29. Honingneskrans, Pretoria	25°39′S, 28°11′E	1 219	Sourish mixed bushveld	None	None	None
30. Loskop Dam Nature Reserve	25°28´S, 29°26´E	1 009	Mixed bushveld	None	Game	Game
31. Middelburg, "Springboklaagte", Mpumalanga	25°48′S, 29°44′E	1 447	Bankenveld	None	Cattle & sheep	Sheep, goats & ostriches
32. Mtubatuba, Kwazulu-Natal	28°28′S, 32°10′E	100	Coastal forest & thornveld	None	None	None
33. Onderstepoort, "Kaalplaas"	25°39′S, 28°11′E	1 219	Other turf thornveld	None	Mixed	None
34. Rhodes, Eastern Cape	30°38´S, 27°58'E	2 800	Themeda Festura high mountain veld	Yes	Sheep	Sheep & cattle

TABLE 1 Summary of light-trap locations and the main environmental factors applicable at each of the 34 collection sites

Comparison of collections made immediately adjacent to livestock—in the general vicinity of livestock and where livestock were absent—showed that it was only in the latter situation that the light-trap collections were not representative of the *Culicoides* species associated with livestock. Collections made over a wide area, but still in the vicinity of stock, yielded more species, but there was no significant difference between the relative abundance of the various species caught in the different light-traps—as long as the light-traps were sited near stock. Therefore as a livestock-*Culicoides* sampling tool, a light-trap set at one permanent site near livestock could be regarded as a good indicator of the *Culicoides* species abundance for that particular area.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Distribution and relative abundance of the genus *Culicoides*

The number of collections made and the maximum and average catch sizes at each site, are shown in Ta-

ble 3. A total of 3 041 631 *Culicoides* specimens were collected during 959 collections from 34 different sites. From these, 572 412 were identified and sexed (Table 3). *Culicoides* specimens were found in varying numbers at all the collection sites, emphasizing the wide distribution of this genus in southern Africa.

Notwithstanding the different climatic conditions at each site, which would have an influence on the number of Culicoides specimens collected, there are several other factors which may also influence the number of these specimens collected on a specific night. These include the presence of breeding sites and other light sources in the vicinity of the light-trap, the height of the light-trap above ground level (Murray 1987), wind-speed (Edwards, Kettle & Barnes 1987) the phase of the moon (Nelson & Bellamy 1971; Barnard 1980; Edwards et al. 1987) and even the tides (Reye & Lee 1962). Climatic conditions such as temperature and wind velocity (Murray 1987), and rainfall and relative humidity (Reuben 1963) during the trapping night may also influence the numbers of Culicoides collected. Even the physiological condition and the age of the population may influence these numbers (Brenner, Wargo, Stain & Mulla 1984).

TABLE 2 Summary of the main climatic conditions at the respective collection sites (S.A. Weather Bureau 1986)

		Annual n	nean daily	Lowest	Av. no.	Rainfall	in mm	
Collection site	Weather station	Max. temp. (°C)	Min. temp. (°C)	daily temp. (°C)	vith temp. < 0 °C	Oct March	April– Sept.	Total
Onderstepoort Potchefstroom Ukulinga Kimberley Stellenbosch Middelburg (E. Cape) Diepsloot Allerton Adelaide Eiland Karakul Roma Louis Trichardt Dohne Veekos Hluhiuwe Messina Glen Steytlerville George Tshipise Bergpan Irene Soutpan Tugela Ermelo Groblersdal Honingneskrans Loskop Dam Mithedeburg (Mpumalanga)	Onderstepoort (agric.) Potchefstroom (agric.) Ukulinga (agric.) B.J. Vorster (airport) Elsenburg (agric.) Grootfontein (agric.) Krugersdorp (council) Pietermaritzburg (hospital) Bedford & Fort Beaufort Chester Upington (airport) Ladybrand & Modderpoort Louis Trichardt Dohne (agric.) Upington (agric.) Mkuze Messina Glen (agric.) Mentz Dam George (weather stat.) Pangbourne & Messina Mansfield Irene (weather stat.) Onderstepoort (agric.) Stanger Ermelo Oudestad (exp. farm) Onderstepoort (agric.) Loskopdam (water affairs) Middelburg (prison)	26,3 25,1 23,9 26,0 22,5 23,0 22,2 24,6 23,7 28,9 28,2 24,8 21,9 28,2 29,0 24,8 21,9 28,2 29,0 24,8 21,9 28,2 29,0 24,4 24,8 26,0 21,4 29,1 28,1 26,3 26,3 26,3 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 26,3 27,9 27,9 27,9 27,9 27,9 27,9 27,9 27,9	9,3 9,1 12,8 10,6 6,1 9,0 12,3 10,1 14,2 12,1 7,6 12,6 10,6 11,4 14,6 17,3 7,6 11,1 11,0 16,0 14,6 9,7 9,3 16,3 7,3 11,7 9,3 13,5 7,1	$\begin{array}{c} -3,7\\ -5,4\\ 3,55\\ -3,9\\ 2,7\\ -8,0\\ -2,5\\ 1,3\\ -2,5\\ 1,3\\ -2,3\\ 1,9\\ -3,2\\ 4,4\\ 1,6\\ 0,9\\ -4,3\\ 0,8\\ 6,4\\ -7,0\\ 2,8\\ 4,4\\ -2,5\\ -3,7\\ 8,2\\ -6,6\\ -3,7\\ 3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ 2,8\\ 4,4\\ -5\\ -3,7\\ 3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ 3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ 3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ 3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ 3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ 2\\ -3,7\\ -3,3\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -5,0\\ -3,7\\ -5,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -5,0\\ -3,2\\ -6,0\\ -3,7\\ -5,0\\ -5,0\\ -3,2\\ -5,0\\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 32,8\\ 41,0\\ 0,0\\ 20,6\\ 0,0\\ 70,7\\ 13,9\\ 1,3\\ 11,4\\ 0,4\\ 16,4\\ 56,8\\ 0,4\\ 0,5\\ 17,9\\ -\\ 0,0\\ 66,6\\ 13,0\\ 0,1\\ -\\ 9,1\\ 32,8\\ -\\ 25,8\\ 1,2\\ 32,8\\ 0,3\\ 66,0\\ \end{array}$	604 517 560 322 146 267 633 747 337 449 147 599 639 547 124 416 315 423 135 425 315 - 610 604 - 645 525 604 572 628	102 108 168 97 473 93 134 180 156 79 48 167 109 212 45 162 36 125 90 345 36 - 99 102 - 110 67 102 104 107	706 625 728 419 619 360 767 927 493 528 195 766 748 759 169 578 351 548 225 770 351 - 709 706 755 592 706 676 735
Rhodes	Rhodes & Barkly East	19,6	4,1	-3,3	93,3	509	177	686

TABLE 3	Light-trap collections of Culicoides biting midges at 34 locations in southern Africa from January	1984 to September	1986.
	See Table 1 for details of collection sites		

Collection site	No. of collections	No. of <i>Culicoides</i> collected	No. of <i>Culicoides</i> identified	Maximum catch size	Average catch size
 Onderstepoort: Stable 3 Onderstepoort: Camp 168 Potchefstroom Pietermaritzburg: Ukulinga Kimberley Stellenbosch Middelburg (Eastern Cape) Diepsloot Pietermaritzburg: Allerton Adelaide Eiland Upington: Karakul Roma Louis Trichardt Dohne Upington: Veekos Hluhluwe Messina Glen Steytlerville George Tshipise Bergpan Irene Soutpan Coblersdal Honingneskrans Onderstepoort: Kaalplaas Rhodes 	146 82 77 67 64 62 61 55 39 35 32 21 15 8 6 4 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c} 104\ 720\\ 92\ 540\\ 15\ 767\\ 16\ 235\\ 16\ 524\\ 145\ 297\\ 14\ 008\\ 30\ 847\\ 25\ 497\\ 57\ 503\\ 1\ 730\ 191\\ 4\ 646\\ 32\ 778\\ 115\ 123\\ 110\ 161\\ 23\ 999\\ 390\ 613\\ 65\ 317\\ 9\ 468\\ 253\\ 312\\ 24\ 785\\ 9\ 700\\ 569\\ 1\ 455\\ 338\\ 84\\ 354\\ 514\\ 255\\ 458\\ 541\\ 490\\ 289\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88\ 358\\ 68\ 201\\ 15\ 230\\ 16\ 050\\ 16\ 524\\ 52\ 039\\ 13\ 680\\ 27\ 889\\ 16\ 360\\ 30\ 149\\ 90\ 752\\ 4\ 646\\ 31\ 897\\ 18\ 685\\ 35\ 281\\ 6\ 678\\ 14\ 904\\ 12\ 648\\ 3\ 239\\ 253\\ 312\\ 2\ 191\\ 1\ 099\\ 569\\ 1\ 455\\ 338\\ 84\\ 354\\ 514\\ 255\\ 458\\ 541\\ 490\\ 289\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8\ 320\\ 5\ 492\\ 2\ 942\\ 2\ 942\\ 2\ 130\\ 1\ 952\\ 26\ 200\\ 1\ 407\\ 3\ 663\\ 8\ 148\\ 6\ 876\\ 456\ 100\\ 990\\ 3\ 884\\ 46\ 450\\ 40\ 800\\ 10\ 660\\ 173\ 400\\ 33\ 050\\ 6\ 740\\ 49\\ 193\\ 14\ 000\\ 7\ 860\\ 246\\ 1\ 228\\ 210\\ 84\\ 354\\ 514\\ 255\\ 458\\ 541\\ 490\\ 289\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 717\\ 1\ 129\\ 205\\ 242\\ 258\\ 2\ 344\\ 230\\ 561\\ 464\\ 1\ 474\\ 44\ 364\\ 1\ 33\\ 1\ 024\\ 3\ 714\\ 5\ 007\\ 1\ 091\\ 26\ 041\\ 4\ 082\\ 1\ 183\\ 42\\ 78\\ 6\ 196\\ 3\ 233\\ 190\\ 738\\ 169\\ \end{array}$
Total	959	3 041 631	572 412		

When one takes the above into account, it is very difficult to make substantial conclusions on relative abundance from the calculated average catch sizes represented in Table 3. However, the large number of collections made at some of the sites, raised the reliability of the calculated average of the catch sizes for those sites.

The largest *Culicoides* collections were from Eiland $(\overline{x} = 44\ 364)$ and Hluhluwe $(\overline{x} = 26\ 041)$ (Table 3). Both these sites are in low-lying areas with warm summers and mild winters where frost is seldom experienced. Similar to this, large collections were also made at Stellenbosch ($\overline{x} = 2\ 344$), Louis Trichardt ($\overline{x} = 3\ 714$), Messina ($\overline{x} = 4\ 082$) and Tshipise ($\overline{x} = 6\ 196$) (Table 3). All of these are areas with warm summers and mild, frost-free winters (Table 2).

The average catch size was much smaller in areas with severe winters, such as Potchefstroom (205), Upington (Karakul) (133) and Middelburg (Cape) (230) (Table 3). Notwithstanding the severe winters at Roma and Glen, the average catch sizes were relatively large, being, respectively, 1 024 and 1 183 (Table 3). The calculated catch size, however, is dependent on the seasonal distribution of the collections made. The Roma and Glen averages, for example, were calculated only on the summer catches as no collections were made during a large part of the winter when *Culicoides* were absent owing to low temperatures.

Karakul (# 12) and Veekos (# 16) Experimental Farms are both in the same climatic region and less than 10 km apart, yet the average catch size of 1 091 at Veekos was significantly larger than that of 133 at Karakul (Mann-Whitney test) (Table 3). Karakul is not irrigated and is 10 km from the Orange River, while Veekos is within 1 km of the Orange River and is irrigated from the river. The presence of the river, irrigation, denser vegetation and higher relative humidity, probably create a microclimate and breeding sites conducive to *Culicoides* breeding and survival.

Distribution and relative abundance of *Culicoides* species

Tables 4 and 5 show the *Culicoides* species composition, expressed as a percentage of the total *Culicoides* collected, at each of the 34 light-trap collection sites. Together with the fluctuation in *Culicoides* numbers, there was also a variation in the species composition at the different sites (Tables 4 and 5). The single most abundant species at each site is printed in bold. Where numbers appear in lieu of names in the tables, the numbering system of R. Meiswinkel is followed (OVI, unpublished data 1995).

Table 6 gives a summary of all the species collected as well as the total of the average number of each species collected per site, their relative abundance (the average number of each species collected as a percentage of the total collected) and the number of sites at which each species was collected. This information is converted to percentages and used to give an indication of possible vector rating for each species by using the average of the sum of the two different values. The purpose of this is to exclude species which, although common, have a very restricted distribution, e.g. C. kobae (which was found in very high numbers at only two sites) and to pay more attention to those species which are not only relatively abundant, but also have a wider distribution and are therefore considered better potential vector candidates.

Culicoides belonging to at least 50 species were collected. This is less than 50% of the more than 110 species found in South Africa (R. Meiswinkel, OVI, personal communication 1993). It must be taken into account that collections were made at only 34 sites, mainly near livestock, and that only a relatively small area of South Africa was sampled.

The probability of an insect feeding on a viraemic animal or suitable reservoir, becoming infected, surviving the 4-8-d incubation period, then feeding on a receptive host and transmitting the virus, is low. As a result, rare insects are unlikely to be important vectors (Standfast & Dyce 1972). Therefore the most widespread and abundant species will be better potential vectors than less abundant or localized species. Species which satisfy both these conditionsresulting in a vector rating of more than 25%-were C. imicola, C. leucostictus, C. schultzei s.l., C. pycnostictus, C. nivosus, C. similis, C. zuluensis, C. magnus, C. bedfordi, C. neavei, C. brucei, C. tropicalis, C. exspectator, C. gulbenkiani, C. bolitinos, C. ravus, C. coarctatus and C. onderstepoortensis. Of the above, only those species which were common and predominated at one or more sampling sites, as indicated in Tables 4 and 5, will be discussed further. They are C. imicola, C. schultzei s.l, C. zuluensis, C. leucostictus, C. pycnostictus, C. magnus and C. *bedfordi*. For various reasons which will be explained later, *C. bolitinos, C. milnei* and *C. gulbenkiani* will also be discussed.

C. imicola

With a vector rating of 84,3%, *C. imicola* was the most abundant *Culicoides* species in this survey and accounted for 71,4% of all *Culicoides* collected (Table 6). *C. imicola* has a wide distribution in South Africa and was found at 33 of the 34 collection sites. At 17 of these, it was the single most abundant species (Tables 4 and 5). The highest numbers were collected at Eiland ($\overline{x} = 41\ 272$) and Hluhluwe ($\overline{x} = 23\ 793$). Even if the large numbers collected at these two sites were excluded, *C. imicola* would still form 29,2% of the species composition and remain the most abundant species in this survey.

This species was more abundant in the warm, lowlying areas (e.g. Eiland) than in areas characterized by cold winters and severe frost (e.g. Roma) (Table 4). *C. imicola* was also less abundant in warm/dry areas (e.g. Upington) (Table 4). In the winter rainfall region (e.g. Stellenbosch), where the summers are relative dry and the rainy season relatively cold, *C. imicola* was only the fourth most abundant species (Table 4).

At Middelburg (Eastern Cape), Roma (Lesotho), Veekos (Upington) and Ermelo, *C. imicola* made up less than 5% of the species composition (Tables 4 and 5). It was also less abundant in a survey in the colder high-lying eastern Free State (Venter & Meiswinkel 1994) and was rare in the Karoo and southern Free State (Jupp *et al.* 1980). In collections made in the absence of stock (e.g. Tugela and Loskopdam), *C. imicola* was replaced by *C. leucostictus* as the most abundant species (Table 5). Adecline in the numbers of *C. imicola* collected in the absence of livestock, was also seen in collections made in the Kruger National Park (Meiswinkel 1989).

Outside the borders of South Africa, *C. imicola* is also widespread. It has been found over the whole of Africa as well as in neighbouring countries (Khamala 1971; Khamala & Kettle 1971; Davies & Walker 1974a; Dipeolu 1976; Dipeolu & Sellers 1977; Walker 1977; Phelps, Blackburn & Searle 1982; Meiswinkel 1989; Venter & Sweatman 1989; Glick 1990; Boorman & van Harten 1992). The most northerly distribution of *C. imicola* was extended to the parallel of 41°17′N after this species had been collected in Portugal following an outbreak of AHS in 1989 (Capela, Sousa, Pena & Caeiro 1993).

According to the results of precipitin tests, *C. imicola* feeds predominantly on cattle and sheep (Nevill & Anderson 1972; Braverman & Phelps 1981; Nevill *et al.* 1988), and is still the only proven vector of BT and AHS in South Africa (Du Toit 1944; Wetzel, Nevill &

Culticoides spp. 98,0 79,9 94,9 C. imicola 0,2 2,1 0,1 C. secontictus 0,2 2,1 0,1 C. secontictus 0,2 2,1 0,1 C. struitizel s.l. 0,3 2,2 0,1 C. synostictus 0,1 5,7 0,1 C. invosus 0,1 5,7 0,1 C. similis 0,0 0,2 0,0 C. similis 0,0 0,1 0,2 C. suluensis 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. subendation 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. subsectator 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. anderstepoortensis 0,0 0,0 0,0	8 8 6 7 7 7 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7 8 7	804949404000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	70, 6, 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,										
Connoces spp. 98,0 79,9 94,9 0,1 0,0	88 89 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	804994040000000000000000000000000000000	+ 00 4 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	70,7 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,1	000							-		
C. invosus 0,1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	24040404000000000000000000000000000000		6,		83.6	03.0	80	17	545	1 1 1	4 R	91.4	38.6
C. schultzei s.l. 0,1 5,7 0,1 C. pycnostictus 0,1 5,7 0,2 C. simils 0,0 0,2 0,3 C. subuensis 0,0 0,0 0,3 C. magnus 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. magnus 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. nagrues 0,0 0,1 0,3 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,1 0,0 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. exspectator 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. and then 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. anderstepoortensis 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. angrabeli 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. angrabeli 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. anderstepoortensis 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. anderstepoortensis 0,0 0,0 0,	0,0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,40404.0000000.000 04040040000007404 	0,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,00,0	0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	7.8	2.0	0.1	0		1.4	0.2	- 10	5.1	0.5
C. pycnostictus 0,1 3,7 0,2 0,1 5,7 0,1 0,1 5,7 0,1 0,1 5,7 0,1 0,1 5,7 0,1 0,1 5,7 0,1 0,1 5,7 0,1 0,1 5,7 0,1 0,0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	404004000000000000 4040040000000000000	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	,6 6,3 0,8 0,8	Э,1 Э,1	0,1	5.3	49,4	5,1	7,5	0,0	68,9	. 6	12,8
C. invosus 0,1 5,7 0,1 C. similis 0,0 0,2 0,0	- 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	401-0000000-000 04004000000-401 040	- 0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	8.0	0,7	6,9	0,0	1,6	10,0	0,8	1,6	0,3	0,1	0,2
C. sultuensis C. zuluensis C. zuluensis C. zuluensis C. magnus C. magnus C. magnus C. magnus C. magnus C. tropicalis C. tropicalis C. tropicalis C. tropicalis C. tropicalis C. tropicalis C. tropicalis C. anderstepoortensis C. anderstepoortensis C. anderstepoortensis C. mightennis s.l. C. mightennis s.l. C. micheli C. micheli C. macintoshi C. macintos		40,0,40,000,00,40,40,40,40,40,40,40,40,4	- 20 # 20		0,0	00	, 1 1	16,9	0 0 0	0.0	°.0	1,6 0,1	0,5	, 0 , 1
C. <i>Taugeness</i> C. <i>maguus</i> C. <i>maguus</i> C. <i>maguus</i> C. <i>bedfordi</i> C. <i>troticesi</i> C. <i>troticalis</i> C. <i>troticalis</i> C. <i>troticalis</i> C. <i>gubenkiani</i> C. <i>anderstepoortensis</i> D,0 D,0 D,0 D,0 D,0 D,0 D,0 D,0 D,0 D,0					50	20	- 0	- c		4 c	- °	N -		– c 4 c
C. bedfordi C. bedfordi C. bedfordi C. bravei C. brucei C. brucei C. brucei C. brucei C. brucei C. brucei C. bollinos C. carctatus C. carctatus C. carctatus C. carctatus C. carctatus C. engubandei C. milei C. engubandei C. milei C. mile	20000000000000000000000000000000000000		0000	, - c	4 t 0 c	0 C	5 - 5 C		10		0,0		- c	ч,-
C. neavei 0,0 0,1 0,3 0,4 C. brucesi 0,1 0,3 0,4 0,0 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,1 0,0	4,000,000,000 8,7,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,	000000-000 00000-000	0,0	.8 5.7	. . .	0,1	0,0	14,5	0 î.	0.1 5.1	0.0	9 2 2	0.0	0.0
C. brucei 0,1 0,3 0,4 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,1 0,0 0,0 C. tropicalis 0,0 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. expectator 0,0 <t< td=""><td>,000-00000 70000000000000000000000000000</td><td>00000+000 0000-40-</td><td>00</td><td>7 1,8</td><td>0,1</td><td>0'0</td><td>0,0</td><td>0,1</td><td>0,6</td><td>0,0</td><td>0,0</td><td>0,5</td><td>- -</td><td>0,0</td></t<>	,000-00000 70000000000000000000000000000	00000+000 0000-40-	00	7 1,8	0,1	0'0	0,0	0,1	0,6	0,0	0,0	0,5	- -	0,0
C. tropicalis 0,0 0,1 0,0 C. expectator 0,0 0,1 0,0 0,0 C. gulbenkiani 0,0 0	00-00000 00000000000000000000000000000	0000+000 0007401-)))	4 0,8	0,4	0,1	0,5		0,2	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,4	
C. exspectator C. gulbenkiani C. gulbenkiani C. bollinos C. ravus C. ravus C. ravus C. coarctatus C. onderstepoortensis C. engubandei C. migripennis s.l. C. micheli C. micheli C. macintoshi C. macintoshi C. macintoshi C. macintoshi C. macintoshi C. macintoshi C. macintoshi		00,±000 10, 1, 4, 0, ±		8,1	00	0°2	0,0	0 0 1 0	0	2,0	0,1	0,0	- 0 0	0 0 0
C. bolitinos C. bolitinos C. ravus C. ravus C. coarctatus C. coarctatus C. andersiepoortensis C. engubandei C. nigripennis s.l. C. migripennis s.l. C. corrutus C. corrutus C. corrutus C. macheli C. macintoshi	-000000 000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- C		5.0	50	л с	с,U	0,0		0 ° 0 F		0.0	
C. ravis 0,0 0,2 0,0 C. coarctatus 0,0 0,0 0,3 C. onderstepoortensis 0,0 0,0 0,3 C. ingripentis 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. micheli 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. cornutus 0,0 1,6 0,0 C. macintashi 0,0 1,6 0,0	00000	4 0 L	4.6	5 4 7 C	- 8	- œ	0 C		5 m	0,0	- c. 1 v	0	5 C	50
C. coarctatus 0,0 0,0 0,0 C. onderstepoortensis 0,0 0,0 0,3 C. milnei 0,0 0,0 C. mighennis s.l. 0,0 0,0 C. micheli 0,0 1,6 C. cornutus 0,0 1,6 C. macintoshi	0000	0,0)	0.	0.0	0.0	0.0	с. Г	<u>.</u>	60	1	12.4	100	4
C. onderstepoortensis 0,0 0,3 C. milnei 0,0 0,3 C. engubandei 0,0 0,0 C. nigripennis s.l. 0,0 0,0 C. micheli 0,0 1,6 C. sp. 30 (Avaritia) 0,0 1,6 C. macintoshi	000	0,1		0,0	0,0		0,0		0,1	0,0	0'0	Î	0,1	0,0
C. milnei C. milnei C. engubandei C. nigripennis s.I. C. micheli C. sp. 30 (Avaritia) C. macintoshi	0,0		0,	,4 0,1	0,1	0,1	0,0	0,3	0,0		0'0	0,2		
C. engubander C. nigripennis s.I. 0,0 0,0 C. micheli 0,0 0,0 C. spr. 30 (Avaritia) 0,0 1,6 C. macintoshi		č		0,0		Ċ			0		1	(0,8	
C. mgripermiss C. micheli C. cornutus C. cornutus C. macintoshi C. macintoshi	5 0	L,U		Ņ	4 c x 1	U,1			0,0	0	0,2	0,0		
C. controls C. sp. 30 (Avarita) C. macintoshi					-, 2	0		0	0,0	n'n		ۍ م	00	
C. sp. 30 (Avaritia) C. macintoshi	5			0.0		2		2	0.1			2	2	
C. macintoshi		0,0	0	0			0,1						0,1	0,3
	-	0,5	0,	¢,				0,3				0,2		
C. trifasciellus 0,0	0,3	0			З,З		0'0	0					0,0	
C. pretoriensis		0,0		c		Ċ		0,0				c	0	0,1
C. grauniperinis s.i. 0.0 0.0		0 0		0.0							00	5	oʻo	
C. accraensis s.l.	0,0	1	0,0	0'0	0,0	5					5			
C. angolensis			0,0	0'0							0,0	-		
C. dutoiti		0,0						0			0,0	0,0		
C. nerero		n'n		<u>,</u>	0			N, N				1,4		
C. Cekeyseri					n'n					45.9			_	36 F
C. huambansis	00		-							0.01				200
C. isioloensis	b	, 				0.0								
C. moreli						1	0,0						0.1	
C. sp. 66 (Avaritia)	0,3				0,0									
C. galilarof C. kibatiensis	00			<u>.</u>	0									
Other Culicoides species)		0.0 ^h		0.0	0.3^{a}		0.0				0.3bcd		
Unidentified species 0,0	0,1	0'0	0,0	0'0	0,5	0'0	0,0	0,2	0'0		0,3	5	0,0	0,0

Geographical distribution and relative abundance of Culicoides species

The single most abundant species at each site is printed in bold 0,0 = species representation < 0,05%

- C. sp. 54 (Avaritia) s.l.
 D. c. sp 95 (near bedfordi)
 C. sp. 76 (near bedfordi)
 C. sp. 89 (near dekeyseri)

C. sp. 65 near citroneus)
 f. C. sp. 90 (near exspectator)
 g. C. punctithorax
 h. C. sp. 61 (near pretoriensis)

32

n Table 1	34		0,4		28,4	0,7			3,1	3,8	0,4	1,4						0,4	56,1	0,4	5,2												0,4
e appear ii	33	49,0	7,4		32,2	8,8	0,4	0,2	0,2	0,4	0,4				0,4							0,4		0,2									
f each site	32	46.0	, 0 , 1	6,8			0,2	8,7	5,7			7,0							0,2	21,4										1	/'L		
II details o	31	8,3	9,8	0,2	12,0			6,3	14,6		0,7	ლ ლ					0,2	0,2		44,5													0,4
ch site. Fu	30	19,6	45,5	0,4	0,8	0,4	4,3				17,3	7,8	3,5																				
ons at eac	59	0.6	5,1	0,2	6,2	0,6	1,6		0,2	74,5		2,7																					
ap collecti	28	82,8	0,3	9,3		2,8	6,0	0,3					0,3	0,3		2,8	0,3																
en light-tra	27	4,8	13,1		51,2		9,5		8,3		8 9		1 2							2,4				4 1									
ess than to	26	11,8	52,1	19,2	5,3	0,9	5,6		_			4,1	0,3					_	0,3	0,3											_		
ined by le	25	19,8	26,4	26,5	19,6	0,8	1,8			0,2	1,8	0,1	0,8	0,3	0,1	0,5	0,1	0,2								1,0	_						
as detern	24	43,1	23,4	0,1	14,6	10,4		1,9		1,1	1,8	0,2	0,2	0,4		1,8																	0,1
ern Africa	23	37,5	1,9	21,4	7,0	11,5	0,1	0,2	0,1	1,3	3,6	ы 4	0,6	9,2			0,3	0,2		0'0		0,4	0'0			0 v	0,4 4,0	0,4 6,0	0'0	1,6	с С	5	
4 in south	22	25,1	0,8	56,8	а, а	3,0	2,5	0,2	0,2	6,3			0,2	0,5			τ. V	0,0				0,0											
ites 1934	21	22,8	0,3			0,6	0,3	60,9	1,0	0,3	0,6	_		0,3	1,6	11,2																	
i (%*) at s	20	34,0	1,0	4,3	13,9	1,4	1,9	6,7	3,8	2,9	1,0	4,3	1,9	5,3		4,8		_	12,0												5	0.5)
mposition	19	27,5	3,0	1,1	55,8	5,3	2,4	0,2	0,6	3,3	0,1		0,1				0,1	0,0						0	D,3								
ABLE 5 Culicoides species co	Site	Culicoides spp. C. imicola	C. leucostictus	C. schultzei s.l.	C. pycnostictus	C. nivosus	C. similis	C. zuluensis	C. magnus	C. bedfordi	C. neavei	C. brucei	C. tropicalis	C. exspectator	C. gulbenkiani	C. bolitinos	C. ravus	C. coarctatus	C. onderstepoortensis	C. milnei	C. engubandei	C. nigripennis s.l.	C. micheli	C. cornutus	C. macintosni	C. pretoriensis	C. glabripennis	C. accraensis s.l.	C. angolensis	C. dekeyseri	C. nuampensis C. iciologoeic	C. islovensis C. dalljardi	Unidentified species

^{*} As % of total collection of each species The single most abundant species at each site is printed in bold

^{0,0 =} species representation < 0,05%

TABLE 6	Culicoides species repres	entation, number of positive	sites and species v	ector rating as c	determined with 9	959 light-trap col-
	lections at 34 sites, mostly	y near livestock, in southern	Africa from January	/ 1984 to Septer	mber 1986	U .

<i>Culicoides</i> species	Average no. of each sp. collected	% Relative abundance (a)	Positive sites (out of 34)	% Sites positive (b)	Vector rating % (a + b)/2
C. imicola C. leucostictus C. schultzei s.l. C. pycnostictus C. nivosus C. similis C. zuluensis C. magnus C. bedfordi C. neavei C. brucei C. tropicalis C. exspectator C. gulbenkiani C. bolitinos C. ravus C. coarctatus C. onderstepoortensis C. milnei C. engubandei C. nigripennis s.l. C. micheli C. cornutus C. sp. 30 (Avaritia) C. macintoshi C. trifasciellus C. pretoriensis C. glabripennis s.l. C. eriodendroni C. accraensis s.l. C. angolensis C. dutoiti C. herero C. dekeyseri	77 065,1 1 575,1 9 115,1 2 180,2 1 070,2 649,8 5 697,3 1 010,4 1 064,9 528,9 512,1 311,2 534,2 761,0 47,7 196,8 526,3 70,1 4,7 5,5 21,5 88,1 28,5 16,5 15,6 14,5 0,4 0,9 0,9 0,9 0,9 0,9 0,9 0,9 0,9 0,9 0,9	abundance abundance (a) 71,4 1,5 8,5 2,0 1,0 0,6 5,3 0,9 1,0 0,5 0,5 0,5 0,7 0,6 0,7 0,6 0,7 0,6 0,7 0,6 0,7 0,0 0,2 0,5 0,1 0,0 <tr< td=""><td>sites (out of 34) 33 34 30 31 31 31 28 29 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 20 20 19 19 19 19 19 17 10 10 9 7 7 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5</td><td>97,1 100,0 88,2 91,2 91,2 91,2 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 82,4 85,5 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9</td><td>rating % (a + b)/2 84,3 50,8 48,4 46,6 46,1 45,9 43,8 43,1 41,7 41,4 38,5 38,4 34,1 29,7 29,7 29,7 28,3 28,0 25,1 15,0 14,7 13,2 10,3 8,8 7,4 7,4 7,4 7,4 7,4 7,4 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 4,4</td></tr<>	sites (out of 34) 33 34 30 31 31 31 28 29 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 20 20 19 19 19 19 19 17 10 10 9 7 7 6 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	97,1 100,0 88,2 91,2 91,2 91,2 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 85,3 82,4 82,4 85,5 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9 55,9	rating % (a + b)/2 84,3 50,8 48,4 46,6 46,1 45,9 43,8 43,1 41,7 41,4 38,5 38,4 34,1 29,7 29,7 29,7 28,3 28,0 25,1 15,0 14,7 13,2 10,3 8,8 7,4 7,4 7,4 7,4 7,4 7,4 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 5,9 4,4
C. kobae C. huambensis C. isioloensis C. moreli C. sp. 66 (Avaritia)	3 194,9 10,2 9,6 16,7 0,8	3,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	2 3 3 2 2	5,9 8,8 8,8 5,9 5,9	4,4 4,4 3,0 2,9
C. galliardi C. kibatiensis C. sp. 54 (Avaritia) s.l. C. sp. 95 (near bedfordi) C. sp. 76 (near bedfordi) C. sp. 89 (near dekeyseri) C. sp. 65 (near citroneus) C. sp. 90 (near exspectator) C. punctithorax C. sp. 61 (near pretoriensis) Unidentified species	0,2 0,1 120,8 1,0 0,7 0,6 0,2 0,1 0,1 0,1 0,0 41,2	0,0 0,0 0,1 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0 0,0	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6	5,9 5,9 2,9 2,9 2,9 2,9 2,9 2,9 2,9 2,9 2,9 2	2,9 2,9 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 1,5 23,6

0,0 = species representation < 0,05 %

Erasmus 1970). Over the past 20 years, various serotypes of BT virus have been isolated from *C. imicola* in parts of Africa and the Mediterranean (Davies, Walker, Ochieng & Shaw 1979; Mellor, Osborne & Jennings 1984; Blackburn, Searle & Phelps 1985; Braverman, Barzilai, Frish & Rubina 1985). AHS virus serotypes 2, 4 and 7 were isolated from *C. imicola* in South Africa (Nevill *et al.* 1992), while AHS virus serotype 4 has been isolated from *C. imicola* in Zimbabwe (Blackburn *et al.* 1985) and Spain (Mellor, Boned, Hamblin & Graham 1990). Ephemeral fever virus was also isolated from *C. imicola* in Zimbabwe (Blackburn *et al.* 1985). The laboratory infection rate for a single population of *C. imicola* from the hot, lowlying Northern Province (Eiland) was established at 31% for BT virus serotype 3, at 24% for serotype 6 (Venter, Hill, Pajor & Nevill 1991). Other viruses isolated worldwide from *C. imicola*, as reviewed by Meiswinkel, Nevill & Venter (1994), included Akabane, Shamonda, Nyabira and Letsitele. It can also be mentioned here that *C. imicola* is a member of the subgenus *Avaritia* to which most proven orbivirus vectors belong (Mellor 1992). This, together with the strong association of this species with livestock, the high abundance and wide distribution, rated *C. imicola* as the most important vector of BT and AHS in South Africa. However, the low abundance of *C. imicola* in relatively cold (e.g. Roma) and dry (e.g. Upington) areas, where BT occurs regularly, seems to indicate that this species cannot be the only vector of BT virus in South Africa.

C. bolitinos

C. bolitinos, another member of the subgenus Avaritia, was not dominant at any site. It is, however, widespread and was found at 20 of the 34 collection sites, resulting in a vector rating of 29,7% (Table 6). Although the relative abundance of this species was only 0,6%, it is nevertheless strongly associated with livestock, breeding in the dung of the larger herbivores (Meiswinkel 1989) and feeding on both cattle and horses (Nevill et al. 1988). In a survey conducted in the colder high-lying eastern Free State, C. bolitinos was the most abundant species (Venter & Meiswinkel 1994). C. bolitinos is considered to be the morphological and ecological equivalent of the Oriental-Australasian-eastern Palaearctic C. brevitarsis. which is an important arbovirus vector in Australia (Meiswinkel 1989). An as yet undescribed orbivirus, provisionally named Letsitele virus, has been isolated from C. bolitinos (Nevill et al. 1992). C. bolitinos must therefore be included in the list of species on which future vector-competence studies must be done.

C. schultzei s.l.

There are at least six closely related species in this group in South Africa. As the taxonomy of this group was clarified only in 1994 (Cornet & Brunhes 1994), these species were grouped together as *C. schultzei* s.l. It has a vector rating of 48,4% and is the second most abundant species and represented 8,5% of the *Culicoides* collected (Table 6). This high representation may probably be due to the fact that at least five different species belonging to this group, were collected.

The group has a wide distribution and was found at 30 of the 34 sites sampled (Table 6). It was the most abundant species at Tshipise (56,8%), Veekos (Upington) (68,9%), Karakul (Upington) (49,4%) and Soutpan (26,5%), and was also collected in large numbers at Middelburg (Eastern Cape) (14,9%) and Messina (12,8%) (Tables 4 and 5).

All of these, except Soutpan, are relatively dry areas with an annual rainfall of less than 360 mm (Table 2). Collections at Soutpan were made at the edge of a saltwater pan. According to Wirth & Dyce (1985), the breeding sites of this group are the edges of streams and drainage canals which are organically poor and saline. *C. schultzei* s.l. have bred in the mud at the edge of the salt pan and this explains the high numbers of *C. schultzei* s.l. found in the light-trap collections at Soutpan.

Dipeolu (1976) also found that *C. schultzei* is more common in the dry savanna in Nigeria. This group has a wide distribution in Africa and can also be an important vector species of ephemeral fever virus in Kenya (Davies & Walker 1974b) and Nigeria (Dipeolu 1976; Lee 1979; Herniman, Boorman & Taylor 1983). Outside Africa, *C. schultzei* s.l. also has a wide distribution and was found to be the second most abundant species in western Turkey (Jennings, Boorman & Ergün 1983). This species is capable of feeding on cattle, sheep and horses (Braverman & Phelps 1981; Meiswinkel *et al.* 1994) and might therefore be an important vector in dry areas. Letsitele virus has been isolated from the *C. schultzei* group. (Nevill *et al.* 1992).

C. zuluensis and C. milnei

C. zuluensis represented 5,3% of the *Culicoides* collected, and was found at 28 of the 34 sites sampled, resulting in a vector rating of 43,8% (Table 6). It was the single most abundant species at Dohne (76,0%), Roma (Lesotho) (75,0%), and George (60,9%), and was also abundant at Stellenbosch (25,7%) (Tables 4 and 5). Suitable areas are therefore either summer rainfall areas with cool summers (mean annual maximum temperature below 22,5°C) and high rainfall or winter rainfall areas with mild winters (Table 2).

C. zuluensis was relatively scarce in the tropical parts of the country (Tables 4 and 5). At sites where more than ten collections were made, *C. zuluensis* represented less than 0,5% of the *Culicoides* species composition. The sites were Allerton (Pietermaritzburg), Eiland, Louis Trichardt and Messina. It was also relatively scarce in dry areas such as Middelburg (Eastern Cape) and Upington, where it accounted for less than 1% of species composition (Table 4).

Very little is known about the distribution and biology of *C. zuluensis*. It has been recorded only from Kenya, South Africa, Zimbabwe (Glick 1990) and Lesotho (Venter & Sweatman 1989). *C. zuluensis* has a wide host preference, which may lower its vector potential for BT and AHS, as it was shown to feed on birds, horses, cattle, sheep and pigs (Braverman & Phelps 1981; Meiswinkel *et al.* 1994). Letsitele virus has been isolated from *C. zuluensis* (Nevill *et al.* 1992).

In contrast to *C. imicola* and *C. schultzei*, which are important in tropical and warm, dry areas, *C. zuluensis* can be a potential vector in cooler areas (compare Tables 2 and 4). In this study, *C. zuluensis* was found to be much more abundant than the closely related *C. milnei*. *C. milnei* is regarded as an important species in Africa (Glick 1990). During this survey, *C. milnei* was found at only ten of the 34 sites. However, it was abundant (44,6%) only in a collection made at Middelburg in the cold highveld of Mpumalanga. *C. milnei* was shown to feed predominantly on birds, but it can also feed on horses, pigs and bovids (Braverman & Phelps 1981). BT virus serotype 1 (Walker & Davies 1971) and Akabane virus have been isolated from *C. milnei* in Zimbabwe (Blackburn *et al.* 1985). The possibility of *C. milnei* being abundant and a potentially important vector in certain areas that have not yet been thoroughly sampled, cannot be excluded.

C. leucostictus

C. leucostictus has a wide distribution and was the only species found at all 34 collection sites, resulting in a vector rating of 50,8% (Table 6). The species representation, however, was only 1,5% and it was never the most abundant species in collections made near livestock (Tables 4 and 5). However, it was the single most abundant species in two collections made at Tugela (# 26) and Loskopdam (# 30), respectively (Table 5). Both these collections were made in the absence of livestock (Table 1). *C. leucostictus* may be abundant in collections made near birds or poultry (Nevill & Anderson 1972; Nevill *et al.* 1988).

This species utilizes a wide range of breeding sites from mud and wet ground at the edges of dams and rivers to concentrations of organic waste (Glick 1990; Meiswinkel *et al.* 1994). Owing to its wide distribution, this species is rated as being a good potential virus vector, but the fact that it feeds mainly on birds and poultry (Nevill & Anderson 1972; Meiswinkel *et al.* 1994) lowers its vector potential for stock-associated arboviruses of mammals. There is no record of any virus isolates from *C. leucostictus*.

C. pycnostictus

C. pycnostictus has a vector rating of 46,6% and was found at 31 of the 34 collection sites, representing 2,0% of the *Culicoides* species collected (Table 6). It was the single most abundant species at Middelburg (Eastern Cape) (20,6%), Glen (55,8%) and Ermelo (51,2%) (Tables 4 and 5). Jupp *et al.* (1980) showed that *C. pycnostictus* accounted for 46,6% of the species collected in the southern Free State.

Similar to *C. leucostictus*, this species is mainly a birdfeeder and is usually abundant only in collections made in the vicinity of birds or poultry. The omnipresence of wild birds, although in different concentrations, and the variable breeding sites of *C. pycnostictus*, might be the reason for the wide distribution of this species. However, it was shown that *C*.

pycnostictus may feed on both cattle and horses (Nevill & Anderson 1972; Braverman & Phelps 1981; Meiswinkel *et al.* 1994). BT virus serotypes 6 and 24 have been isolated from *C. pycnostictus* (Nevill *et al.* 1992), which indicates that this species can be a potential vector of at least BT. However, because it is mainly ornithophilic, this species would have a low vector potential for livestock viruses.

C. magnus and C. gulbenkiani

Although *C. magnus* has a wide distribution (it was collected at 29 of the 34 sites sampled) it was not abundant and represented only 0,9% of the *Culicoides* species collected, resulting in a vector rating of 43,1% (Table 6). However, at Stellenbosch *C. magnus* (29,0%) together with *C. gulbenkiani* (27,0%) and *C. zuluensis* (25,7%) were the most abundant species (Table 4). This is confirmed by the results of Nevill *et al.* (1988).

C. magnus is not widely distributed in Africa and has been found only in Gambia, Kenya, South Africa, Zimbabwe (Glick 1990) and Lesotho (Venter & Sweatman 1989). It was, however, the single most abundant species in a "bluetongue area" in Kenya (Walker & Davies 1971). *C. magnus* can feed on sheep, cattle and horses (Walker & Davies 1971; Nevill & Anderson 1972; Braverman & Phelps 1981) and should therefore be regarded as a likely vector of stock-associated arboviruses. Letsitele virus has been isolated from *C. magnus* (Nevill *et al.* 1992).

C. gulbenkiani was common only at Stellenbosch (Tables 4 and 5). Nevertheless, it has a wide distribution and was found at 20 of the 34 collection sites, resulting in a vector rating of 29,7% (Table 6). This species breeds in decomposed cattle or horse dung and can feed on cattle, sheep and pigs (Braverman & Phelps 1981; Nevill *et al.* 1988). Both AHS and BT viruses have been isolated from *C. gulbenkiani* (Nevill *et al.* 1992; Meiswinkel *et al.* 1994).

The host preference and wide distribution of both *C. magnus* and *C. gulbenkiani*, enhance the vector potential of these species in South Africa, but they are not abundant enough to be important vectors.

C. bedfordi

C. bedfordi had a vector rating of 41,7%, but was not very abundant, and dominant only in a collection made at Honingneskrans near the OVI (Table 5). It has a wide distribution in South Africa and was collected at 28 of the 34 collection sites (Table 6). The relative abundance was the highest at Middelburg (Eastern Cape) (18,8%), Karakul (Upington) (14,5%) and Tshipise (6,3%)—all relatively dry areas (Table 2).

C. bedfordi has been found in Cameroon, Kenya, South Africa, Sudan, Tanzania, Zimbabwe (Glick 1990) and Lesotho (Venter & Sweatman 1989). According to Glick (1990), the high number of antennal sensilla, similar to that of *C. leucostictus* and *C. pycnostictus*, indicates that this species may also primarily be a bird feeder. However, there are also reports of females taken while they were biting horses in the daytime in Zululand (Glick 1990) and positive bloodmeal identifications from sheep (Meiswinkel *et al.* 1994). There is no record of any virus isolates from *C. bedfordi*.

CONCLUSIONS

Although these studies have indicated that *Culicoides* species are widespread throughout southern Africa, and that there are at least 18 stock-associated species which have the potential to be virus vectors, there are a number of additional factors which will determine whether arboviruses may be transmitted to the different livestock species in various parts of the region. Among these are the need for unvaccinated, susceptible hosts and a source of virus to be present; the ability of the particular virus to multiply in and be transmitted by livestock-feeding *Culicoides* species in an area; and for adequate populations of the *Culicoides* vector species of the correct age structure to be present. The latter aspect will form the subject of a subsequent paper.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank R.J. Bagnall, L. Burger, D.G. de Klerk, G.L. de Wet, H. du Plessis, W. Ehrett, A. Faure, D. Grobler, E. Hill, L. Jordaan, A. Kriel, P.J. Loock, A. Malan, R. Meiswinkel, I.T.P. Pajor, R. Parker, A.E. Snyman, G.K. Sweatman, T. Toms, G. van der Merwe, J.H. van Gas, B. van Niekerk, C.E. van Schalkwyk, J.C. van Straaten and J.J. Venter for collections made at the various sites. We also wish to thank Alta Stenson for the production of the map of the light-trap-distribution sites. We also acknowledge the help of the technical staff and other personnel of the Entomology section at the OVI. We also wish to thank Dr P.G. Jupp of the National Institute for Virology for editing this paper.

REFERENCES

- ACOCKS, J.P.H. 1975. Veld types of South Africa. *Memoirs of the Botanical Survey of South Africa*, No. 57.
- BARNARD, D.R. 1980. Effectiveness of light-traps for assessing airborne *Culicoides variipennis* populations. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 73:844–846.
- BLACKBURN, N.K., SEARLE, L. & PHELPS, R.J. 1985. Viruses isolated from *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) caught at the veterinary research farm, Mazowe, Zimbabwe. *Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa*, 48:331–336.
- BOORMAN, J. & VAN HARTEN, A. 1992. Vectors of African horse sickness in the Cape Verde Islands. Veterinary Record, 131:56.

- BRAVERMAN, Y., BARZILAI, E., FRISH, K. & RUBINA, M. 1985. Bluetongue virus isolations from pools of *Culicoides* spp. in Israel during the years 1981 to 1983, in *Bluetongue and related Orbiviruses*, edited by T.L. Barber & M.M. Jochim. New York: Alan R. Liss.
- BRAVERMAN, Y. & PHELPS, R.J. 1981. Species composition in samples of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) collected near Salisbury, Zimbabwe in 1976–77. *Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa*, 44:315–323.
- BRENNER, R.J., WARGO, M.J., STAIN, G.S. & MULLA, M.S. 1984. The dispersal of *Culicoides mohave* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in the desert of Southern California. *Mosquito News*, 44:343–350.
- CAPELA, R., SOUSA, C., PENA, I. & CAEIRO, V. 1993. Preliminary note on the distribution and ecology of *Culicoides imicola* in Portugal. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 7:23–26.
- COETZER, J.A.W. & ERASMUS, B.J. 1994. African horsesickness, in *Infectious diseases of livestock with special reference* to southern Africa, edited by J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thomson & R.C. Tustin. Cape Town: Oxford University Press, 1:460–475.
- CORNET, M. & BRUNHES, J. 1994. Révision des espèces de *Culicoides* apparentées à *C. schultzei* (Enderlein, 1908) dans la région afrotropicale (Diptera, Ceratopogonidae). *Bulletin de la Sociéteé entomological de France*, 99:149–164.
- DAVIES, F.G. & WALKER, A.R. 1974a. The distribution in Kenya of bluetongue virus and antibody, and the *Culicoides* vector. *Journal of Hygiene, Cambridge*, 72:265–272.
- DAVIES, F.G. & WALKER, A.R. 1974b. The isolation of ephemeral fever virus from cattle and *Culicoides* midges in Kenya. *Veterinary Record*, 95:63–64.
- DAVIES, F.G., WALKER, A.R., OCHIENG, P. & SHAW, T. 1979. Arboviruses isolated from *Culicoides* midges in Kenya. *Journal of Comparative Pathology*, 89:587–595.
- DIPEOLU, O.O. 1976. Species distributions and abundance of *Culicoides* Latreille (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in Nigeria. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 66:685–693.
- DIPEOLU, O.O. & SELLERS, K.C. 1977. Studies on the Culicoides species of Nigeria. I. The Culicoides Latreille (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) of Ibadan with notes on the other Ceratopogonidae collected by light trap. Nigerian Journal of Science, 11:33–65.
- DU TOIT, R.M. 1944. The transmission of bluetongue and horsesickness by *Culicoides*. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Science and Animal Industry, 19:7–16.
- DYCE, A.L., STANDFAST, H.A., & KAY, B.H. 1971. Collection and preparation of biting midges (Fam. Ceratopogonidae) and other small Diptera for virus isolation. *Journal of the Australian Entomological Society*. 11:91–96.
- EDWARDS, PENELOPE B., KETTLE, D.S. & BARNES, A. 1987. Factors affecting the numbers of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in traps in coastal south-east Queensland, with particular reference to collections of *C. subimmaculatus* in light traps. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, 35:469–486.
- GLICK, J.I. 1990. Culicoides biting midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) of Kenya. Journal of Medical Entomology, 27:85–195.
- HERNIMAN, K.A.J., BOORMAN, J.P.T., & TAYLOR, W.P. 1983. Bluetongue virus in a Nigerian dairy cattle herd. *Journal of Hygiene*, 90:177–193.
- JENNINGS, M., BOORMAN, J.P.T. & ERGÜN, H. 1983. Culicoides from Western Turkey in relation to bluetongue disease of sheep and cattle. Revue d'Elevage et de Mèdicine Vètèrinaire des Pays Tropicaux, 36:67–70.
- JUPP, P.G., McINTOSH, B.M. & NEVILL, E.M. 1980. A survey of the mosquito and *Culicoides* faunas at two localities in the Karoo region of South Africa with some observations on bionomics. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 47:1–6.

- KETTLE, D.S. 1962. The bionomics and control of *Culicoides* and *Leptoconops* (Diptera, Ceratopogonidae = Heleidae). *Annual Review of Entomology*, 15:203–209.
- KHAMALA, C.P.M. 1971. Ecological distribution of East African *Culicoides* Latreille (Dipt., Ceratopogonidae) as shown by light traps. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 60:549–557.
- KHAMALA, C.P.M. & KETTLE, D.S. 1971. The Culicoides Latreille (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) of East Africa. Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London, 123:1–95.
- LEE, V.H. 1979. Isolation of viruses from field populations of *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in Nigeria. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 16:76–79.
- MEISWINKEL, R. 1989. Afrotropical *Culicoides*: A redescription of *C. (Avaritia) imicola* Kieffer, 1913 (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) with description of the closely allied *C. (A.) bolitinos* sp. nov. reared from dung of the African buffalo, blue wildebeest and cattle in South Africa. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 56:23–39.
- MEISWINKEL, R., NEVILL, E.M. & VENTER, G.J. 1994. Vectors: Culicoides spp., in Infectious diseases of livestock with special reference to southern Africa, edited by J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thomson & R.C. Tustin. Cape Town: Oxford University Press, 1:443–459.
- MELLOR, P.S., OSBORNE, R. & JENNINGS, D.M. 1984. Isolations of bluetongue and related viruses from *Culicoides* spp. in the Sudan. *Journal of Hygiene, Cambridge*, 93:621–298.
- MELLOR, P.S., BONED, J., HAMBLIN, C. & GRAHAM, S. 1990. Isolations of African horse sickness virus from vector insects made during the 1988 epizootic in Spain. *Epidemiology and Infection*, 105:447–454.
- MELLOR, P.S. 1992. *Culicoides* as potential orbivirus vectors in Europe, in *Bluetongue, African horse sickness and related orbiviruses*, edited by T.E. Walton & B.I. Osburn. Boca Raton: CRC Press, U.S.A.
- MELLOR, P.S. 1993. Culicoides; Do vectors respect national boundaries? British Veterinary Journal, 149:5–8.
- MURRAY, M.D. 1987. Local dispersal of the biting-midge Culicoides brevitarsis Kieffer (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in southeastern Australia. Australian Journal of Zoology, 35:59–773.
- NELSON, R.L. & BELLAMY, R.E. 1971. Patterns of flight activity of *Culicoides variipennis* (Coquillett) (Diptera; Ceratopogonidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 8:283–291.
- NEVILL, E.M. & ANDERSON, DORA 1972. Host preferences of *Culicoides* midges (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in South Africa as determined by precipitin tests and light trap catches. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 39:147–152.
- NEVILL, E.M., VENTER, G.J., EDWARDES, M., PAJOR, I.T.P., MEISWINKEL, R. & VAN GAS, J.H. 1988. *Culicoides* species associated with livestock in the Stellenbosch area of the Western Cape province, Republic of South Africa (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 55:101–106.
- NEVILL, E.M., ERASMUS, B.J. & VENTER, G.J. 1992. A six-year study of viruses associated with *Culicoides* biting midges throughout South Africa (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae), in *Bluetongue, African horse sickness and related orbiviruses*, edited by T.E. Walton & B.I. Osburn. Boca Raton: CRC Press, U.S.A.
- NEVILL, E.M., VENTER, G.J. & EDWARDES, M. 1992. Potential Culicoides vectors of livestock orbiviruses in South Africa, in

Bluetongue, African horse sickness and related orbiviruses, edited by T.E. Walton & B.I. Osburn. Boca Raton: CRC Press, U.S.A.

- PHELPS, R.J., BLACKBURN, N.K. & SEARLE, L. 1982. *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) catches and virus isolations from them in the Mukwadzi Valley, Zimbabwe. *Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa*, 45:195–200.
- REUBEN, RACHEL 1963. A comparison of trap catches of *Culicoides impunctatus* Goetghebuer (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) with meteorological data. *Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society London*, 38:181–191.
- REYE, E.J. & LEE, D.J. 1962. The influence of the tide cycle on certain species of Culicoides (Diptera, Ceratopogonidae). *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of New South Wales*, 87:377–387.
- STANDFAST, H.A. & DYCE, A.L. 1972. Potential vectors of arboviruses of cattle and buffalo in Australia. Australian Veterinary Journal, 48:224–227.
- TABACHNICK, W.J., MELLOR, P.S. & STANDFAST, H.A. 1992. Working team report on vectors: Recommendations for research on *Culicoides* vector biology, in *Bluetongue, African horse sickness and related orbiviruses*, edited by T.E. Walton & B.I. Osburn. Boca Raton: CRC Press, U.S.A.
- VENTER, G.J. & SWEATMAN, G.K. 1989. Seasonal abundance and parity of *Culicoides* biting midges associated with livestock at Roma, Lesotho (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae). *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 56:173–177.
- VENTER, G.J., HILL, ELAINE, PAJOR, I.T.P. & NEVILL, E.M. 1991. The use of a membrane feeding technique to determine the infection rate of *C. imicola* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) for 2 bluetongue virus serotypes in South Africa. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 58:5–9.
- VENTER, G. J. & MEISWINKEL, R. 1994. The virtual absence of *Culicoides imicola* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in a light-trap survey of the colder, high-lying area of the eastern Orange Free State, South Africa, and implications for the transmission of arboviruses. *Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 61:327–340.
- VERWOERD, D.W. & ERASMUS, B.J. 1994. Bluetongue, in *Infectious diseases of livestock with special reference to southern Africa*, edited by J.A.W. Coetzer, G.R. Thomson & R.C. Tustin. Cape Town: Oxford University Press, 1:443–459.
- WALKER, A.R. 1977. Seasonal fluctuations of *Culicoides* species (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) in Kenya. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 67:217–233.
- WALKER, A.R. & DAVIES, F.G. 1971. A preliminary survey of the epidemiology of bluetongue in Kenya. *Journal of Hygiene, Cambridge*, 69:47–60.
- WEATHER BUREAU 1986. Climate of South Africa, WB 40. Weather Bureau, Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria.
- WETZEL, H., NEVILL, E.M. & ERASMUS, B.J. 1970. Studies on the transmission of African horsesickness. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, 37:165–168.
- WIRTH, W.W. & DYCE, A.L. 1985. The current taxonomic status of the *Culicoides* vectors of bluetongue viruses, in *Bluetongue and related orbiviruses*, edited by T.L. Barber & M.M. Jochim. New York: Alan R. Liss.