Occurrence and potential transfer of mycotoxins in gilthead sea bream and Atlantic salmon by use of novel alternative feed ingredients. Jaime Nácher-Mestre^a, Roque Serrano^a, Eduardo Beltrán^a, Jaume Pérez-Sánchez^c, Joana Silva ^d, Vasilis Karalazos^e, Félix Hernández^a, Marc H. G. Berntssen^b* ^aResearch Institute for Pesticides and Water (IUPA). Avda. Sos Baynat, s/n. University Jaume I, 12071 Castellón, Spain ^bNational Institute of Nutrition and Seafood Research, PO Box 2029 Nordnes, N-5817 Bergen, Norway ^cInstitute of Aquaculture of Torre la Sal (IATS, CSIC), 12595 Ribera de Cabanes, Castellón, Spain ^d BioMar, 7484 Trondheim, Norway ^e BioMar R&D, Grangemouth, FK3 8UL, UK.

Abstract

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Plant ingredients and processed animal proteins (PAP) are suitable alternative feedstuffs for fish feeds in aquaculture practice, although their use can introduce contaminants that are not previously associated with marine salmon and gilthead sea bream farming. Mycotoxins are well known natural contaminants in plant feed material, although they also could be present on PAPs after fungi growth during storage. The present study surveyed commercially available plant ingredients (19) and PAP (19) for a wide range of mycotoxins (18) according to the EU regulations. PAP showed only minor levels of ochratoxin A and fumonisin B1 and the mycotoxin carry-over from feeds to fillets of farmed Atlantic salmon and gilthead sea bream (two main species of European aquaculture) was performed with plant ingredient based diets. Deoxynivalenol was the most prevalent mycotoxin in wheat, wheat gluten and corn gluten cereals with levels ranging from 17 to 814 and µg kg⁻¹, followed by fumonisins in corn products (range 11.1-4901 µg kg⁻¹ for fumonisin B1+B2+B3). Overall mycotoxin levels in fish feeds reflected the feed ingredient composition and the level of contaminant in each feed ingredient. In all cases the studied ingredients and feeds showed levels of mycotoxins below maximum residue limits established by the Commission Recommendation 2006/576/EC, and following these guidelines no mycotoxin carry-over was found from feeds to edible fillets of salmonids and a typically marine fish, such as gilthead sea bream. As far we know, this is the first report of mycotoxin surveillance in farmed fish species.

47

48

49

46

Keywords: Mycotoxins, marine aquaculture, plant ingredients, processed animal proteins, fish feed, fish

50

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel. (+47) 99487708; fax: (+47) 55905299. E-mail address:

marc.berntssen@nifes.no. URL: http://www.nifes.no

1. Introduction

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

Serious concern on fish meal and fish oil availability to support the rapidly growing aquaculture industry has led to extensive search of alternative raw materials for aquafeeds (Tacon and Metian, 2008; Torrissen et al., 2011). The most obvious alternatives are plant oils and proteins, and the long-term consequences of high inclusion levels of these feedstuff have been addressed in past and ongoing large EU projects, such as AQUAMAX (www.aquamaxip.eu) and ARRAINA (www.arraina.eu), where main results highly support the feasibility of a high level of replacement of marine feed ingredients in both Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) and gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) (Benedito-Palos et al., 2008; Torstensen et al., 2008). Processed animal protein (PAP) from the rendering industry is another valuable alternative feed ingredient (Davies et al., 2009; Burr et al., 2012; Toldra et al., 2012), and recently the EU has set out a working plan for the re-authorization of the use of non-ruminant PAPs in aquafeeds after previous bans following outbreaks of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (EC, 2013a). The use of these alternative feed ingredients can introduce contaminants that were previously not associated with marine salmon and sea bream farming. One example of this are mycotoxins, which are world-wide found in cereal grains and animal feed (Binder, 2007 a,b; Streit et al., 2013). Mycotoxins are produced by fungi that preharvest infect agricultural crops (field mycotoxins) or post-harvest agricultural commodities stored under certain temperature and humidity conditions (storage mycotoxins) (Magan et al., 2010; Bryden, 2012). Meat products can also be contaminated with mycotoxins (Mizáková et al., 2002; Sorensen et al., 2010; Ostry et al., 2013), and animal by-products could hence be a potential source for these mycotoxins in animal feeds (Caruso et al., 2013). The mycotoxin aflatoxin B1 (AFB1)

78 is under EU feed regulation (EU, 2002), while guidance values have been set for animal 79 feed ingredients and animal feed for several mycotoxins, including deoxynivalenol 80 (DON), zearalenone (ZEN), ochratoxin A (OTA), and fumonisin B1 + B2 81 (FB1+FB2)(EC, 2006). For other mycotoxins, such as T-2 and HT-2 toxins, indicative 82 levels for cereal products, including those intended for animal feed have been set (EC, 83 2013b; Cheli et al., 2014). In fact, many surveillance studies have reported mycotoxin 84 levels on a wide range of randomly sampled feed ingredients and finished feeds from 85 terrestrial animals (Binder, 2007 a,b; Rodrigues and Naehrer, 2012; Streit et al., 2012; 86 Streit et al., 2013), but only few studies recent studies are done in fish feeds or farmed 87 fish (Pietsch et al., 2013; Wozny et al., 2013). Besides, most fish studies on mycotoxins 88 are focused on the hazards for fish health in experimental trials with fortified feeds 89 (Poston et al., 1982; Arukwe et al., 1999; Manning et al., 2003; EFSA, 2005; Manning 90 et al., 2005; Wozny et al., 2008; EFSA, 2011; Hooft et al., 2011; Caruso et al., 2013) 91 with little information on the carry-over to the edible parts of the fish. 92 Multi occurrence of mycotoxins requires, however, the need for the application 93 of multi-mycotoxin methods in order to get a more accurate picture of the extent of the 94 wide range of mycotoxin contamination (Monbaliu et al., 2010; Streit et al., 2012; 95 Aberg et al., 2013). Earlier studies established feasible analytical approaches for 96 mycotoxins in feed ingredients, aquafeeds and fish fillets (Malachová et al., 2014; 97 Beltran et al., 2013; Nacher-Mestre et al., 2013). Based on this previous experience, the 98 present work aims to quantify a wide range of mycotoxins in commercially available 99 plant and PAP feed ingredients, fish feeds based on these ingredients, and their transfer 100 to the edible part of farmed Atlantic salmon and gilthead sea bream, two main species of 101 the European aquaculture. In addition to the 8 mycotoxin under EU regulation/guidance 102 in feed and feed ingredients (AFB1, DON, ZEN, OTA, FB1+FB2, T-2 and HT-2), 10

- additional mycotoxins of potential relevance for food safety are included (AFB2, AFG1,
- 104 AFG2, FB3, nivalenol (NIV), 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol (3-AcDON), 15-
- acetyldeoxynivalenol (15-AcDON), diacetoxyscirpenol (DIA), fusarenon-X (Fus X) and
- neosolaniol (NEO)) in the study.

108

2. Material and methods

- 109 2.1. Feed ingredients
- A total of 19 commercially available plant feed ingredients were provided by Biomar
- 111 (Grangemouth, UK) feed producer: wheat (n=3, Germany and Denmark), wheat gluten
- 112 (n=4, UK, Germany, and China), pea (n=1, Denmark), pea protein (n=2, Norway),
- 113 rapeseed meal (n=1, Denmark), corn gluten (n=3, China and Germany), soya protein
- 114 (n=4, Brazil) and sunflower meal (n=1, Russia). Nineteen commercially available PAPs
- from non-ruminants were provided by the European Fat Processors and Renderers
- 116 Association (EFPRA). All PAPs were produced according the EU regulation for PAP
- intended for use as feed-ingredients in animal feed (EC, 2001, 2009). These PAPs are
- category 3 products that are fit for human consumption at the point of slaughter (EC,
- 119 2009). The PAPs sourced are all produced in central Europe and included poultry bone
- and meat meal (n=4), poultry blood meal (n=4), pork meal (n=3), pork blood meal
- 121 (n=3), pork greaves (n=2) and feather meal (n=3). All feed ingredients were stored at -
- 122 18° C until analyses.

- 124 2.2. Experimental diets and feeding trials
- Fish feeds for feeding trials were based on plant feed ingredients, and not PAPs, as only
- noticeable mycotoxin levels were found on the former feedstuffs (see results section).
- 127 The feeds were produced by Biomar under commercial aquafeed production techniques

128	based on high-temperature extrusion processes, which potentially could affect
129	mycotoxin residue levels. For gilthead sea bream, two diets were formulated with the
130	same feed ingredients varying the replacement of fish meal and fish oil by plant
131	ingredients. Salmon feeds were production triplicates of high plant ingredient diets
132	based on the same feed ingredients (Table 1).
133	Sea bream trial. Juvenile gilthead sea bream of Atlantic origin were fed with the
134	respective diet (triplicate tanks of 2500 l in groups of 150 fish each) for 8 months (May-
135	December) in the indoor experimental facilities of the Institute of Aquaculture of Torre
136	la Sal (CSIC, Spain) under natural light and temperature conditions at our latitude
137	(40°5′N; 0°10′E). Fish grew from an initial body weight of 15 g until 296-320 g with a
138	feed:gain ratio (feed/weight gain) of 1-1.05 regardless of diet composition. Over the
139	course of the trial, fish were fed daily (5-6 days per week) at visual satiety. At harvest
140	(week 31), 6 fish per dietary treatment were killed by a blood to the head and deboned
141	fillets were stored at -80 °C until analyses.
142	Salmon trial. Post-smolts were randomly distributed among 6 sea cages (5m x 5m x 5m;
143	125 m³; 150 fish per cage) at Gildesskål Research Station, GIFAS, Gildeskål kommune,
144	Norway. Prior to the start of the trial, fish were acclimated to the environmental
145	conditions for two weeks. At the start, the average fish weight was $228 \pm 5 \ g$ and during
146	the 6th month feeding period (duplicate cages per diet) the weight fish is more than
147	doubled. Over the course of the trial, fish were hand-fed until satiation two times daily
148	and feed intake was recorded for each sea cage. At harvest (week 27), 3 fish per dietary
149	treatment were killed by a blood to the head and deboned fillets were stored at -80 °C
150	until analyses.

2.3. Analytical procedure

153 Up to 18 mycotoxins, AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, AFG2, OTA, NEO, FB1, FB2, FB3, T-2, 154 DIA, ZEN, NIV, DON, 3-AcDON, 15-AcDON, Fus X, and HT-2 were analyzed 155 according to the methodology of Beltran et al. (2013), adapted to the aquaculture 156 matrices (Nacher-Mestre et al., 2013). Briefly, 2.5 g homogenized samples were 157 extracted with acetonitrile:water 80:20 (1% HCOOH) using an automatic mechanical 158 shaker for 90 min. Then, the extract was centrifuged followed by a 4-fold dilution with 159 water and finally centrifuged prior analysis. Analyses were performed by ultra-high 160 performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC, BEH C18 analytical column, 1.7 µm 161 particle size, 2.1 mm × 50 mm; Acquity, Waters, Milford, MA, USA,) coupled to 162 tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) with a triple quadrupole analyser (QqQ; TQ-S, 163 Waters Micromass, Manchester, UK) using an orthogonal Z-spray-electrospray 164 interface (ESI). The LC-MS/MS conditions are given in more detail in supplementary 165 data (Table 1 supplementary data). Matrix-matched calibration was used for a correct 166 quantification in order to compensate for matrix effects (details in reagents, supplementary information). In every sequence of analysis (for each sample matrix), 167 168 two quality control samples (QCs), i.e. "blank" samples (previously analyzed) fortified 169 at the two different concentration levels were also analyzed together with the samples to 170 assure reliability of data reported. QC recovery experiments were performed at 40 and 400 µg kg⁻¹ for DON, HT-2, NIV, FUS X, 3-AcDON, 15-AcDON and OTA; at 4 and 171 40 μg kg⁻¹ for fumonisins, T-2, ZEN, DIA and NEO; and at 0.4 and 4 μg kg⁻¹ aflatoxins 172 173 (details in reagents, supplementary information). Figure 1 shows a general overview for 174 the QC recoveries in every matrix (ingredients, feeds and fish) for the different groups 175 of mycotoxins. In general, recoveries (%) were satisfactory in the range between 60 and 176 110 %. Linearity of the quantification was evaluated taking seven matrix-matched 177 standard solutions which were analyzed in duplicate in the following ranges: 0.01–1

ng/mL (aflatoxins), 0.1–10 ng/mL (fumonisins, T-2, ZEN, DIA, NEO) and 1–100 ng/mL (DON, HT-2 Toxin, NIV, Fus X, 3-AcDON, 15-AcDON and OTA). It was considered satisfactory when correlation coefficients were higher than 0.99 with residuals lower than 20%. The acquisition of three SRM (Selected Reaction Monitoring) transitions per compound allowed the unequivocal confirmation of positive samples, supported by the accomplishment of ion intensity ratios and retention time when compared with reference standards. TargetLynx (MassLynx v. 4.1, Waters, Manchester, UK) software was used to process the quantitative data obtained from calibration standards and from samples. Limits of quantification (LOQ) as well as limits of detection (LOD) were estimated in the matrices studied for a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) equal to 10 and 3, respectively, from the SRM chromatograms of samples spiked at the lowest concentration level (Table 2).

3. Results and Discussion

The multi mycotoxin LC-ESI-MS/MS method was applied to the analysis of 18 mycotoxins in plant and animal ingredients used in the elaboration of fish feed, in different experimental feeds and in cultured fish tissues from marine aquaculture trials. Results of the QC recoveries included in each batch were satisfactory in the range between 60 and 110% with some exceptions for fish fillet matrices. Figure 1 shows a general overview for the QC recoveries in every matrix (ingredients, feeds and fish) for the different groups of mycotoxins. Regarding matrix effect, fumonisins, DON, OTA and ZEN were the compounds which showed higher matrix suppression in all matrices studied. Table 2 shows the LOQ obtained for the matrices analyzed. LOQs at concentrations around the level of µg kg⁻¹ were obtained for almost all studied mycotoxins. For some mycotoxins no proper quantification could be obtained for some

matrices (NIV in rapeseed, corn, pea, poultry feather and blood meal and ZEN in poultry feather and blood meal and pork meal) due to the presence of coeluted matrix interference peaks. The LOQs for the different ingredients, feeds and fish muscle from the feeding experiments were in all cases below the maximum permitted levels (EU, 2002; EC, 2006, 2013b).

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

207

203

204

205

206

3.1. Feed ingredients of plant origin

Table 3 gives the level of mycotoxins in plant feed ingredients that are commonly used in commercial aquafeeds for Atlantic salmon and gilthead sea bream. Fumonisins (sum FB1+FB2+FB3) in corn was the most prevalent mycotoxin contamination (min.-max. 11.1-4901 µg kg⁻¹) followed by DON in wheat and corn products (min-max. 17-504 and 139-814 µg kg⁻¹, respectively). Fumonisins were also present in one wheat gluten sample, but with lower levels (13.2 µg kg⁻¹) than observed in corn. ZEN as well as T-2 and HT-2 were found in some of the wheat and corn feed ingredients (min-max. 8-17 and 2.8-67 µg kg⁻¹, respectively). OTA was found in wheat, corn and pea protein products (min-max. 0.4-5.2 µg kg⁻¹ for all products). All levels were under the EU regulation or guidance levels for mycotoxins in plant material intended for animal feeds (Cheli et al., 2014). Plant feed ingredients for aquafeeds are sourced from the global market and in the present study ingredients were obtained from Asia, South-America and central and Northern Europe. The current study included only a limited number of possible plant feed ingredients used for aquafeeds, not providing a basis for global mycotoxin contamination assessment. Other studies on plant feed ingredients used for terrestrial animal feeds, however, have performed a far more extensive global surveillance showing regional and plant specific differences in mycotoxin contamination (Binder et al., 2007b; Monbaliu et al., 2012; Njobeh et al.,

2012; Rodrigues and Naehrer, 2012; Afsah-Hejri et al., 2013; Schatzmayr and Streit, 2013; Streit et al., 2013).

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

In Northern world-wide regions such as North-America, North-Asia and central Europe the main corn contaminants are DON (average levels ranging 1085-1421 µg kg⁻¹ ¹) and fumonisins (average levels ranging 1357-2861, 2180 µg kg⁻¹). In contrast, in Southern regions such as South-America, South-East Asia and Southern Europe the corn has far lower DON than fumonisins levels (average levels ranging 214-985 and 1568-3226, µg kg⁻¹, respectively) (Rodrigues and Naehrer, 2012). Similarly in the present study, one corn sample from South-China had a lower DON than fumonisins level (815 versus 4901, µg kg⁻¹ respectively) while the other two corn samples from Europe (Germany) had lower and more equal DON and fumonisin levels. Both central-European corn samples also had relatively high trichothecenes levels such as HT-2 toxin (67 µg kg⁻¹) followed by ZEN (8 µg kg⁻¹), as could be expected for *fusarium* fungi producing toxicants in moderate climates (Binder et al. 2007b). The fusarium fungi species are the most common source for corn fumonisins contamination but also Aspergillus niger produces fumonisins on corn, mainly as FB2 (Soares et al., 2013). Corn is a plant feed ingredient that is most affected by co-contamination of several mycotoxins (Scudamore and Livesey, 1998) and similarly in the present study the corn samples had co-occurrence of fumonisins B1, B2 and B3, DON, 15-AcDON, HT-2, T-2, ZEN, and OTA.

Earlier global surveillance showed that DON was the main wheat contaminant independently from region of origin (Rodrigues and Naehrer, 2012). Similarly, for wheat products in the present trial which were sourced from central Europe and Asia, DON was the main contaminant followed by ZEN and to a lesser degree T-2, HT-2 toxin and fumonisins (Table 3). Soybean meal products are widely used feed ingredient

in Atlantic salmon and sea bream farming, and only GMO-free soy products are used which are mostly source from Brasil. Global surveys showed DON and fumonisins equally present in soy from South-America, but at far lower levels than wheat and corn (Rodrigues and Naehrer, 2012). In present study soy had only low mycotoxin contaminations compared to wheat and especially corn (Table 3).

The mycotoxin OTA is mostly produced by *penicillium* species under storage conditions and was mainly found in the present study in wheat and pea proteins (Table 3). The fungi *P. verrucosum* is typically primarily found on cereals and is therefore responsible for the major contributor to OTA contamination of cereal products (Lund and Frisvad, 2003). OTA can also be produced by several *Aspergillus* species which are adapted to grown on various leguminous seeds (Bayman et al., 2006) which could explain the low OTA contamination of peas. Clearly, as for terrestrial animal farming, sourcing of plant feed ingredients based on product type and regions of origin is a first step in control of mycotoxin aquafeed contamination.

3.2. Feed ingredients of animal origin

Table 4 gives the mycotoxin levels in the different processed animal proteins that can be used for future aquafeeds after the lift of the ban on these products in the EU food supply chain. As expected, only the typical storage mycotoxins, OTA but also FB1 were detected in poultry feather and bone and meat meal (fumonisin) and pork blood (OTA). The levels were, however, around detection limit and are by far under the EU guidelines for plant products intended for animal feeds (60 mg kg⁻¹ for FB1+FB2 in maize and 250 μg kg⁻¹ for OTA in cereals (EC, 2006)). Fumonisins are mainly produced by a small number of *Fusarium* species, which have specific crops (corn) as habitat (Pitt and Hocking, 2009). However, other fumonisin producing fungi such as *Aspergillus niger*

278 (Mogensen et al., 2009) has been isolated from warm air-dried meat products 279 (Mizáková et al., 2002; Sorensen et al., 2010). The most common fumonisin produced 280 by Aspergillus niger is FB2 at high amounts of carbohydrate or NaCl (Frisvad et al., 281 2007), although additional FB4 can be produced in agar cultures (Noonim et al., 2009) 282 and other fumonisins forms (FB1-4) are found on A. niger contaminated dried raisins 283 (Varga et al., 2010). In the present study, FB1 was the only fumonisin form detected on 284 PAP material. The Aspergillus niger strains are also known to produce OTA (Accensi et 285 al., 2004), which could be a source for the detected OTA in one of the PAP samples. 286 The fungi *Penicillium nordicum* is the most known OTA producer (Larsen et al., 2001; 287 Lund and Frisvad, 2003) and grows well at low temperatures on meat products but 288 mostly only at increased salinity (Schmidt-Heydt et al., 2012). Storage OTA 289 contamination by P. nordicum is, therefore, often limited to salted meat food products 290 such as cured ham and sausage (Sonjak et al., 2011; Schmidt-Heydt et al., 2012). 291 Products of animal origin such as pork and poultry raw meat or blood products can be 292 also indirectly contaminated by OTA when monogastric animals are fed with 293 contaminated feed stuffs (EFSA, 2004a) as dietary OTA can be transferred from the 294 feed to animal meat (Malagutti et al., 2005). From the present study, however, the risk 295 of OTA or FB1+B2+B3 contamination of EU produced PAP products intended for 296 aquafeeds seems low. Similarly, from surveillance studies on foodstuffs of both plant 297 and animal origin it was concluded that plant products rather than cured animal products 298 could be contaminated with OTA (Bertuzzi et al., 2013; Ostry et al., 2013)

299

300

301

302

3.3. Feed and fish muscle

The present study assess the transfer of mycotoxins throughout the sea bream and Atlantic salmon food production chain by assessing mycotoxin levels in feed

ingredients and follow their transfer to commercially produced aquafeeds and eventually carry-over to the edible parts of the fish fed on these feeds. Commercially produced aquafeeds were made based on the same analysed plant feed ingredients given in table 3. Table 5 gives the mycotoxin levels of sea bream feed with either a low or high overall plant protein content as well as three Atlantic salmon feed production repeats with a similar high-plant feed composition based on the same batch of feed ingredients. For the sea bream feeds, the low plant-protein feeds had an unexpected higher DON level than high-plant protein based feeds. One of the main sources for DON in sea bream diets was contaminated wheat (371 µg kg⁻¹), which inclusion levels in low plant feed was slightly higher than high plant feed (11 versus 7 %, respectively), thus explaining the slightly higher levels in low-plant diets. The wheat gluten used in the sea bream diets had only minor DON levels (17 µg kg⁻¹). For the sea bream feeds, the main plant-protein increase in high plant protein diets came from corn and soya (from 31% to 50 %: low plant feed with 15% and 16% and high plant feed with 25% and 25% for corn and soya, respectively). The soy protein concentrate (SPC) feed ingredient had only detectable levels of FB1 and FB2, while corn was the main source for fumonisin (139 µg kg⁻¹sum FB1+2+3) and 15 Ac-DON (53 µg kg⁻¹), causing an increase in these mycotoxins in high plant-protein feeds. The differences in mycotoxin contamination of traditional marine feeds and high plant-protein substitution feeds in the present study, exemplifies that mycotoxin levels in plant-protein based feeds are more dependent on the individual contamination level of each plant-protein ingredient rather than the overall higher inclusion level of plant protein. In addition to the substitution of fish-meal with plant-proteins, an extra sea bream feed was produced in which fish oil was substituted with plant oils. This substitution had no effect on feed

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

mycotoxin level supporting the notion that the plant proteins and not the plant oils are the main source for mycotoxin contamination.

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

For the Atlantic salmon high plant-protein feed production repeats, mycotoxin levels were as expected from the contamination level of the feed ingredients and with similar levels among the repeats with the exception of fumonisins. Higher feed fumonisin levels were found than could be expected from the low inclusion level (4%) of the sole fumonisin feed ingredient source (corn, 403 µg kg⁻¹ sum FB1+2+3), and with a large variation (112-754 µg kg⁻¹ sum FB1+2+3) among the production repeats. The large variation in fumonisin levels suggest the present of storage fungi that can grow heterogeneously within and among feed batches. The main source for fumonisins in corn are Fusarium species which normally grow very little under storage conditions and storage is not expected to increase furasium derived fumonisin contamination (Pitt et al., 2013). Aspergilles niger fungi species can also produce fumonisins (Baker, 2006) but they are also the source for the typical storage mycotoxin OTA, which were only present at detectable levels in the salmon feeds as could be excepted from the inclusion of OTA contaminated pea proteins (1.8 µg kg⁻¹ at inclusion level of 13%). Surveillance of finished feed for terrestrial animals in Europe and the Mediterranean area gave average fumonisin levels of 638 µg kg⁻¹ in 3 out of 10 analysed samples (Binder, 2007b). Slovenian poultry feed had fumonisin levels ranging from 36-1160 µg kg⁻¹ (Streit et al., 2012). Surveillance of feed ingredients and finished feeds in Europe and the Mediterranean showed maximum OTA level in feed ingredients to be 33 µg kg⁻¹ while in finished feeds the mean levels were 305 µg kg⁻¹ with maximum of 530 µg kg⁻¹, thus suggesting OTA contamination during storage of finished feeds. Studies on rainbow trout feeds in Poland showed ZEN contamination up to 82 µg kg⁻¹, in the present study however ZEN was not detected in any of the feeds.

Information on carry-over of contaminants from feed ingredients and feed to animal food products is essential for appropriate human risk assessment of feed contaminants (Leeman et al., 2007). Expert opinions by the European Food Safety Authorities (EFSA) have evaluated the carry-over of several mycotoxins in terrestrial animals such as poultry, swine and cow (EFSA, 2004a,b, 2005, 2007), while no information exists on the carry-over in farmed fish species. In the present study, neither gilthead sea bream nor Atlantic salmon had any detectable levels of mycotoxins in their fillet (data not shown) after respectively 8 and 7 months of feeding with the diets presented in table 5. In general, the carry-over of mycotoxins in terrestrial animals is limited (EC, 2006) which is partly the basis for the use of only guidance limits and not regulation limits for mycotoxins in feeds (with the exception of the aflatoxins) as contaminated feed does not directly or indirectly impact the human health (Siegel and Babuscio, 2011). Similarly in the present study, for marine farmed sea bream and Atlantic salmon the potential carry-over of mycotoxin residue levels in commercial relevant feeds was limited. It should be noted though, that the present study only assessed the parent compounds of mycotoxins in limited feeding trials with ambient feed contaminations. More detailed studies on the toxico-kinetics of dietary mycotoxins and their metabolites in the main EU farmed fish species are needed to provide an appropriate risk assessment of food safety from mycotoxin contaminated aquafeeds. More importantly, assessment on the adverse effects of dietary mycotoxins on fish health and welfare is needed for the main EU farmed fish species in order to establish acceptable feed mycotoxin levels for farmed fish (Manning et al., 2005; Bernhoft et al., 2013).

373

374

375

372

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

Acknowledgements

- This work has been (partly) funded under the EU Seventh Framework Programme by
- 376 ARRAINA Project 288925: Advanced Research Initiatives for Nutrition and

377	Aquaculture. The views expressed in this work are the sole responsibility of the authors
378	and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Commission. The authors also
379	acknowledge the financial support (partly) of the project SAFE-PAP, Research Council
380	Research and Development Project (227387) National Institute of Nutrition and
381	Seafood Research (NIFES, Norway). The authors acknowledge the financial support of
382	Generalitat Valenciana, as research group of excellence PROMETEO II/2014/023 and
383	PROMETEO II/2014/085. The authors are grateful with Serveis Centrals
384	d'Instrumentació Científica (SCIC) of University Jaume I for using the UPLC-TQS
385	Mass Spectrometer. This work has been developed in the framework of the Research
386	Unit of Marine Ecotoxicology (IATS (CSIC)-IUPA (UJI)).
387	
388	
389	
390	
391	
392	
393	
394	
395	
396	
397	
398	
399	

400 References

- 401 Aberg, A.T., Solyakov, A., Bondesson, U., 2013. Development and in-house validation
- of an LC-MS/MS method for the quantification of the mycotoxins deoxynivalenol,
- zearalenone, T-2 and HT-2 toxin, ochratoxin A and fumonisin B1 and B2 in
- 404 vegetable animal feed. Food Addit. Contam. Part A-Chem. 30, 541-549.
- 405 Accensi, F., Abarca, M.L., Cabanes, F.J., 2004. Occurrence of Aspergillus species in
- mixed feeds and component raw materials and their ability to produce ochratoxin A.
- 407 Food Microbiol. 21, 623-627.
- 408 Afsah-Hejri, L., Jinap, S., Hajeb, P., Radu, S., Shakibazadeh, S., 2013. A Review on
- 409 Mycotoxins in Food and Feed: Malaysia Case Study. Compr. Rev. Food. Sci. Food
- 410 Saf. 12, 629-651.
- 411 Arukwe, A., Grotmol, T., Haugen, T.B., Knudsen, F.R., Goksoyr, A., 1999. Fish model
- for assessing the in vivo estrogenic potency of the mycotoxin zearalenone and its
- 413 metabolites. Sci. Total Environ. 236, 153-161.
- Baker, S.E., 2006. Aspergillus niger genomics: Past, present and into the future. Med.
- 415 Mycol. 44, S17-S21.
- Bayman, P., Baker, J.L., 2006. Ochratoxins: A global perspective. Mycopathologia 162,
- 417 215-223.
- 418 Beltran, E., Ibanez, M., Portoles, T., Ripolles, C., Sancho, J.V., Yusa, V., Marin, S.,
- Hernandez, F., 2013. Development of sensitive and rapid analytical methodology for
- food analysis of 18 mycotoxins included in a total diet study. Anal. Chim. Acta 783,
- 421 39-48.
- 422 Benedito-Palos, L., Navarro, J.C., Sitja-Bobadilla, A., Bell, J.G., Kaushik, S., Perez-
- Sanchez, J., 2008. High levels of vegetable oils in plant protein-rich diets fed to

- gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata L.): growth performance, muscle fatty acid profiles
- and histological alterations of target tissues. Br. J. Nutr. 100, 992-1003.
- Bernhoft, A., Sundstøl Eriksen, G., Sundheim, L., Berntssen, M.H.G., Brantsæter, A.L.,
- Brodal, G., Kruse Fæste, C., Skow Hofgaard, I., Rafoss, T., Sivertsen, T., Tronsmo,
- 428 A.M., 2013. Risk assessment of mycotoxins in cereal grain in Norway. Norwegian
- Scientific Committee for Food Safety (VKM) 109-211,
- http://www.vkm.no/dav/eee04d10c04.pdf.
- 431 Bertuzzi, T., Gualla, A., Morlacchini, M., Pietri, A., 2013. Direct and indirect
- contamination with ochratoxin A of ripened pork products. Food Control 34, 79-83.
- Binder, E.M., 2007a. Managing the risk of mycotoxins in modern feed production.
- 434 Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 133, 149-166.
- Binder, E.M., Tan, L.M., Chin, L.J., Handl, J., Richard, J., 2007b. Worldwide
- occurrence of mycotoxins in commodities, feeds and feed ingredients. Anim. Feed
- 437 Sci. Technol. 137, 265-282.
- Bryden, W.L., 2012. Mycotoxin contamination of the feed supply chain: Implications
- for animal productivity and feed security. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 173, 134-158.
- Burr, G.S., Wolters, W.R., Barrows, F.T., Hardy, R.W., 2012. Replacing fishmeal with
- blends of alternative proteins on growth performance of rainbow trout
- (Oncorhynchus mykiss), and early or late stage juvenile Atlantic salmon (Salmo
- 443 *salar*). Aquaculture 334, 110-116.
- Caruso, D., Talamond, P., Moreau, Y., 2013. Mycotoxins and fish farming: A risk left
- behind? Cah. Agric. 22, 165-173.
- 446 Cheli, F., Battaglia, D., Gallo, R., Dell'Orto, V., 2014. EU legislation on cereal safety:
- An update with a focus on mycotoxins. Food Control 37, 315-325.

- da Rocha, M.E.B., Freire, F.D.O., Maia, F.B.F., Guedes, M.I.F., Rondina, D., 2014.
- Mycotoxins and their effects on human and animal health. Food Control 36, 159-165.
- Davies, S.J., Gouveia, A., Laporte, J., Woodgate, S.L., Nates, S., 2009. Nutrient
- digestibility profile of premium (category III grade) animal protein by-products for
- temperate marine fish species (European sea bass, gilthead sea bream and turbot).
- 453 Aquac. Res. 40, 1759-1769.
- 454 EC, 2001. Regulation (EC) no 999/2001 of the european parliament and of the council
- of 22 May 2001 laying down rules for the prevention, control and eradication of
- certain transmissible spongiform encephalopathies. Official Journal of the European
- 457 Union L 147, 1-38.
- 458 EC, 2006. Commission Recommendation No 2006/576 of 17 August 2006 on the
- presence of deoxynivalenol, zearalenone, ochratoxin A, T-2 and HT-2 and
- fumonisins in products intended for animal feeding. Official Journal of the European
- 461 Union L 229, 7-9.
- 462 EC, 2009. Regulation (EC) No 1069/2009 of the european parliament and of the council
- of of 21 October 2009 laying down health rules as regards animal by-products and
- derived products not intended for human consumption and repealing Regulation (EC)
- No 1774/2002 (Animal by-products Regulation). Official Journal of the European
- 466 Union L300, 1-33.
- 467 EC, 2013a. Commission regulation (EU) No 56/2013 of 16 January 2013 amending
- Annexes I and IV to Regulation (EC) No 999/2001 of the European Parliament and
- of the Council laying down rules for the prevention, control and eradication of
- certain transmissible spongiform encephalopathies. Official Journal of the European
- 471 Union 21, 3-16.

- 472 EC, 2013b. Commission Recommendation No 2013/165/EU of 27 March 2013 on the
- presence of T-2 and HT-2 toxin in cereals and cereal products. Official Journal of the
- 474 European Union L 91, 12–15.
- 475 EFSA, 2004a. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Contaminants in Food Chain on a
- 476 request from the Commission related to ochratoxin A (OTA) as undesirable
- substance in animal feed Request No EFSA-Q-2003-039 Adopted on 22 September
- 478 2004. The EFSA Journal 101, , 1-36.
- 479 EFSA, 2004b. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain on a
- request from the Commission related to Zearalenone as undesirable substance in
- animal feed (Question N° EFSA-Q-2003-037 Adopted on 28 July 2004. The EFSA
- 482 journal 89, 1-35.
- 483 EFSA, 2005. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Contaminants in Food Chain on a
- request from the Commission related to fumonisins as undesirable substances in
- animal feed Request No. EFSA-Q-2003-040. The EFSA Journal 235.
- 486 EFSA, 2007. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain on a
- request from the Commission related to Deoxynivalenol (DON) as undesirable
- substance in animal feed (Question N° EFSA-Q-2003-036) Adopted on 2 June 2004
- adapted 2007. The EFSA Journal (2004) 73, 1-42, 1-42.
- 490 EFSA, 2011. Scientific Opinion on the risks for animal and public health related to the
- 491 presence of T-2 and HT-2 toxin in food and feed1. The EFSA journal 9, 2481.
- 492 EU, 2002. Directive 2002/32/EC of the European parliament and of the council of 7
- May 2002 on undesirable substances in animal feed. Off. J. Eur. Commun L140, 10-
- 494 22.
- 495 Frisvad, J.C., Smedsgaard, J., Samson, R.A., Larsen, T.O., Thrane, U., 2007. Fumonisin
- 496 B(2) production by Aspergillus niger. J. Agric. Food Chem. 55, 9727-9732.

- 497 Hooft, J.M., Elmor, H.I., Encarnacao, P., Bureau, D.P., 2011. Rainbow trout
- 498 (Oncorhynchus mykiss) is extremely sensitive to the feed-borne Fusarium mycotoxin
- deoxynivalenol (DON). Aquaculture 311, 224-232.
- Larsen, T.O., Svendsen, A., Smedsgaard, J., 2001. Biochemical characterization of
- ochratoxin A-producing strains of the genus Penicillium. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.
- 502 67, 3630-3635.
- Leeman, W.R., Van den Berg, K.J., Houben, G.F., 2007. Transfer of chemicals from
- feed to animal products: The use of transfer factors in risk assessment. Food Addit.
- 505 Contam. 24, 1-13.
- Lund, F., Frisvad, J.C., 2003. Penicillium verrucosum in wheat and barley indicates
- presence of ochratoxin A. J. Appl. Microbiol. 95, 1117-1123.
- Magan, N., Aldred, D., Mylona, K., Lambert, R.J.W., 2010. Limiting mycotoxins in
- stored wheat. Food Addit. Contam. Part A-Chem. 27, 644-650.
- Malachová, A., Sulyok, M., Beltrán, E., Berthiller, F., Krska, R., 2014. Optimization
- and validation of a quantitative liquid chromatography—tandem mass spectrometric
- method covering 295 bacterial and fungal metabolites including all regulated
- mycotoxins in four model food matrices. J. Chromatogr. A.
- 514 DOI:10.1016/j.chroma.2014.08.037
- Malagutti, L., Zannotti, M., Scampini, A., Sciaraffia, F., 2005. Effects of Ochratoxin A
- on heavy pig production. Anim. Res. 54, 179-184.
- Manning, B.B., Li, M.H., Robinson, E.H., Gaunt, P.S., Camus, A.C., Rottinghaus, G.E.,
- 518 2003. Response of channel catfish to diets containing T-2 toxin. J. Aquat. Anim.
- 519 Health 15, 229-238.
- Manning, B.B., Li, M.H., Robinson, E.H., 2005. Feedborne mycotoxins in aquaculture
- feeds: impact and management of aflatoxin, fumonisin, and moniliformin.

- 522 Mizáková, A., Pipová, M., Turek, P., 2002. The occurrence of moulds in fermented raw
- meat products. Czech Journal of Food Sciences 20 89-94.
- Mogensen, J.M., Nielsen, K.F., Samson, R.A., Frisvad, J.C., Thrane, U., 2009. Effect of
- temperature and water activity on the production of fumonisins by Aspergillus niger
- and different Fusarium species. BMC Microbiol. 9.
- Monbaliu, S., Van Peteghem, C., De Saeger, S., 2012. Detection and determination of
- natural toxins (mycotoxins and plant toxins) in feed. in: FinkGremmels, J. (Ed.).
- Animal Feed Contamination: Effects on Livestock and Food Safety. Woodhead Publ
- 530 Ltd, Cambridge, pp. 286-325.
- Monbaliu, S., Van Poucke, C., Detavernier, C., Dumoulin, F., Van De Velde, M.,
- Schoeters, E., Van Dyck, S., Averkieva, O., Van Peteghem, C., De Saeger, S., 2010.
- Occurrence of Mycotoxins in Feed as Analyzed by a Multi-Mycotoxin LC-MS/MS
- 534 Method. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 66-71.
- Nacher-Mestre, J., Ibanez, M., Serrano, R., Perez-Sanchez, J., Hernandez, F., 2013.
- Qualitative Screening of Undesirable Compounds from Feeds to Fish by Liquid
- Chromatography Coupled to Mass Spectrometry. J. Agric. Food Chem. 61, 2077-
- 538 2087.
- Njobeh, P.B., Dutton, M.F., Aberg, A.T., Haggblom, P., 2012. Estimation of Multi-
- Mycotoxin Contamination in South African Compound Feeds. Toxins 4, 836-848.
- Noonim, P., Mahakarnchanakul, W., Nielsen, K.F., Frisvad, J.C., Samson, R.A., 2009.
- Fumonisin B2 production by Aspergillus niger in Thai coffee beans. Food Addit.
- 543 Contam. Part A-Chem. 26, 94-100.
- Ostry, V., Malir, F., Ruprich, J., 2013. Producers and Important Dietary Sources of
- Ochratoxin A and Citrinin. Toxins 5, 1574-1586.

- Pietsch, C., Kersten, S., Burkhardt-Holm, P., Valenta, H., Danicke, S., 2013.
- Occurrence of Deoxynivalenol and Zearalenone in Commercial Fish Feed: An Initial
- 548 Study. Toxins 5, 184-192.
- Pitt, J.I., Hocking, A.D., 2009. Fungi and Food Spoilage, Third Edition. Springer, New
- 550 York.
- Pitt, J.I., Taniwaki, M.H., Cole, M.B., 2013. Mycotoxin production in major crops as
- influenced by growing, harvesting, storage and processing, with emphasis on the
- achievement of Food Safety Objectives. Food Control 32, 205-215.
- Poston, H., Coffin, J., Combs, G., 1982. Biological effects of dietary T-2 toxin on
- rainbow-trout, Salmo-gairdneri. Aquat. Toxicol. 2, 79-88.
- Rodrigues, I., Naehrer, K., 2012. A Three-Year Survey on the Worldwide Occurrence
- of Mycotoxins in Feedstuffs and Feed. Toxins 4, 663-675.
- 558 Schatzmayr, G., Streit, E., 2013. Global occurrence of mycotoxins in the food and feed
- chain: facts and figures. World Mycotoxin J. 6, 213-222.
- 560 Schmidt-Heydt, M., Graf, E., Stoll, D., Geisen, R., 2012. The biosynthesis of ochratoxin
- A by Penicillium as one mechanism for adaptation to NaCl rich foods. Food
- 562 Microbiol. 29, 233-241.
- 563 Scudamore, K.A., Livesey, C.T., 1998. Occurrence and significance of mycotoxins in
- forage crops and silage: a review. J. Sci. Food Agric. 77, 1-17.
- Siegel, D., Babuscio, T., 2011. Mycotoxin management in the European cereal trading
- sector. Food Control 22, 1145-1153.
- Soares, C., Calado, T., Venancio, A., 2013. Mycotoxin production by Aspergillus niger
- aggregate strains isolated from harvested maize in three Portuguese regions. Revista
- 569 Iberoamericana De Micologia 30, 9-13.

- 570 Sonjak, S., Licen, M., Frisvad, J.C., Gunde-Cimerman, N., 2011. Salting of dry-cured
- meat A potential cause of contamination with the ochratoxin A-producing species
- Penicillium nordicum. Food Microbiol. 28, 1111-1116.
- 573 Sorensen, L.M., Mogensen, J., Nielsen, K.F., 2010. Simultaneous determination of
- ochratoxin A, mycophenolic acid and fumonisin B-2 in meat products. Anal.
- 575 Bioanal. Chem. 398, 1535-1542.
- 576 Streit, E., Schatzmayr, G., Tassis, P., Tzika, E., Marin, D., Taranu, I., Tabuc, C.,
- Nicolau, A., Aprodu, I., Puel, O., Oswald, I.P., 2012. Current Situation of Mycotoxin
- 578 Contamination and Co-occurrence in Animal Feed-Focus on Europe. Toxins 4, 788-
- 579 809.
- 580 Streit, E., Naehrer, K., Rodrigues, I., Schatzmayr, G., 2013. Mycotoxin occurrence in
- feed and feed raw materials worldwide: long-term analysis with special focus on
- 582 Europe and Asia. J. Sci. Food Agric. 93, 2892-2899.
- Tacon, A.G.J., Metian, M., 2008. Global overview on the use of fish meal and fish oil in
- industrially compounded aquafeeds: Trends and future prospects. Aquaculture 285,
- 585 146-158.
- Toldra, F., Aristoy, M.C., Mora, L., Reig, M., 2012. Innovations in value-addition of
- edible meat by-products. Meat Sci. 92, 290-296.
- Torrissen, O., Olsen, R.E., Toresen, R., Hemre, G.I., Tacon, A.G.J., Asche, F., Hardy,
- R.W., Lall, S., 2011. Atlantic Salmon (Salmo salar): The "Super-Chicken" of the
- 590 Sea? Rev. Fish. Sci. 19, 257-278.
- Torstensen, B.E., Espe, M., Sanden, M., Stubhaug, I., Waagbo, R., Hemre, G.I.,
- Fontanillas, R., Nordgarden, U., Hevroy, E.M., Olsvik, P., Berntssen, M.H.G., 2008.
- Novel production of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) protein based on combined

594 replacement of fish meal and fish oil with plant meal and vegetable oil blends. 595 Aquaculture 285, 193-200. 596 Varga, J., Kocsube, S., Suri, K., Szigeti, G., Szekeres, A., Varga, M., Toth, B., Bartok, 597 T., 2010. Fumonisin contamination and fumonisin producing black Aspergilli in 598 dried vine fruits of different origin. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 143, 143-149. 599 Wozny, M., Brzuzan, P., Luczynski, M.K., Gora, M., Bidzinska, J., Jurkiewicz, P., 600 2008. Effects of cyclopenta[c]phenanthrene and its derivatives on zona radiata 601 protein, ER alpha, and CYP1A mRNA expression in liver of rainbow trout 602 (Oncorhynchus mykiss Walbaurn). Chem.-Biol. Interact. 174, 60-68. 603 Wozny, M., Obremski, K., Jakimiuk, E., Gusiatin, M., Brzuzan, P., 2013. Zearalenone 604 contamination in rainbow trout farms in north-eastern Poland. Aquaculture 416, 209-605 211. 606 607






Figure 1. General overview about the QC recoveries in every matrix (a=ingredients, b=feeds and c=fish fillets) for the different groups of mycotoxins.

Table 1. Feed formulation (as % inclusion level) of two gilthead sea bream diets (GSB-D) with low or high inclusion levels of plant material (GSB-D1 and GSB-D2, respectively), and three production replicates for Atlantic salmon diets with similar high plant ingredient inclusion levels with feed ingredients from the same batch (AS-D1-3).

Ingredient (%)		Diets	
	GSB-D1	GSB-D2	AS-D1-3
Fish meal	23.00	3.00	8.00
Krill meal	-	-	2.00
SPC 60%	-	-	18.00
SPC 90 %	2.00	2.00	-
Soya protein	16.00	25.00	-
Corn gluten	15.00	25.00	4.00
Wheat gluten	4.00	7.30	15.00
Rapeseed cake	12.00	9.70	-
Wheat	11.08	6.80	6.00
Pea protein	-	-	13.00
Field peas	-	-	9.00
Fish oil	15.60	6.56	4.40
Rapeseed oil	0	4.40	8.80
Palm olein	0	4.40	4.80
Linseed oil	-	-	2.20
Mineral and vitamin mixtures	1.32	5.84	4.80

Table 2. LOQs obtained for mycotoxins in the matrices studied. For some mycotoxins (nivalenol and zearalenone) no proper quantification could be obtained for some matrices due to high interference.

								LOC	Qs (µg/Kg)									
	Plant ingredients								Animal	protein			Feed		Fish			
Mycotoxins	Wheat	Wheat Gluten	Pea	Pea Protein	Rapesed Cake	Corn Gluten	Soya Protein	Sunflower Meal	Poultry Meal	Poultry Blood Meal	Pork Meal	Pork Blood Meal	Pork greaves	Feather Meal	Salmon Feed	Sea bream Feed	Salmon	Sea bream
NIV	44,4	200					182			400	400	190	364		364			
DON	0,9	4,5	1,7	3,7	222	18,3	3,5	22,2	26,0	3,4	11,8	4,0	13,0	23,0	2,7	22,3	4,7	4,5
Fus X	11,9	9,3	12,2	10,5	222	30,8	5,4	41,2	36,4	11,4	13,8	7,0	11,1	19,0	19,0	61,5	9,5	11,8
NEO	1,1	0,9	0,4	0,2	1,8	1,6	0,2	1,2	3,1	1,8	1,8	0,9	1,5	2,9	0,4	1,6	0,6	1,0
3-Ac DON	3,9	1,9	6,7	3,6	12,1	3,4	1,8	6,9	13,8	1,3	4,3	1,7	5,3	3,7	8,0	6,3	8,5	5,1
15-AcDON	3,4	1,3	6,2	1,5	11,1	3,3	0,8	11,1	5,9	8,3	5,5	0,8	2,4	7,4	7,2	5,3	3,2	4,9
AFG2	0,3	0,2	0,2	0,3	4,0	1,1	0,1	1,5	2,9	0,2	1,1	0,1	0,2	2,2	0,2	3,6	0,1	0,2
AFG1	0,2	0,1	0,1	0,2	2,7	0,9	0,1	1,1	1,8	0,2	0,5	0,1	0,2	1,7	0,2	2,2	0,1	0,1
AFB2	0,1	0,04	0,1	0,4	1,6	1,0	0,1	0,4	2,1	0,2	0,4	0,1	0,2	2,2	0,1	1,4	0,2	0,3
AFB1	0,2	0,1	0,1	0,4	1,7	3,6	0,1	2,7	1,3	0,3	0,7	0,1	0,4	4,0	0,4	3,1	0,1	0,1
DIA	0,03	0,01	0,01	0,02	0,08	0,05	0,01	0,05	0,12	0,04	0,13	0,01	0,02	0,21	0,03	0,11	0,04	0,03
HT-2	2,4	2,1	4,8	3,7	10,9	6,9	1,6	27	14,3	5,6	11,1	2,4	4,4	30,3	4,8	30,8	3,5	5,8
FB1	0,4	0,6	0,6	1,9	1,4	0,5	0,9	0,9	1,8	1,6	2,1	4,2	3,8	2,9	0,3	2,4	1,4	0,7
T-2	0,1	0,03	0,1	0,1	0,1	0,2	0,02	0,2	0,5	0,3	0,5	0,1	0,2	0,6	0,1	0,6	0,2	0,3
ZEN	0,3	14,3	1,4	0,1	4,4	0,7	4,4	8,2		6,2	5,5	5,7			36,4			
OTA	0,4	0,3	0,4	0,7	0,7	1,4	0,2	0,5	1,6	0,9	1,4	0,6	0,8	1,7	0,6	1,5	0,8	0,9
FB2	0,5	1,0	0,5	1,3	0,9	0,1	0,4	0,4	3,6	1,1	1,1	2,2	1,9	1,8	0,2	0,6	0,5	0,5
FB3	0,8	1,5	0,8	1,8	3,0	0,6	1,3	0,9	7,3	2,4	1,8	4,3	4,0	6,7	1,2	3,0	1,0	1,3

624 Limit of detection (LOD) could be obtained from LOQ: LOD=3·LOQ/10

Table 3. Levels of mycotoxins (μg kg⁻¹ww, minimum-maximum (number of positive samples)) in commercially available plant feed ingredients used in aquafeeds (n=number of different samples). - = not detectable at given matrix limit in table 1.

	Sunflower meal	Rapeseed meal	wheat	wheat gluten	corn gluten	Pea protein	soy protein concentrate
	(n=1)	(n=1)	(n=3)	(n=4)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=4)
AFG2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFG1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFB2	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFB1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fus X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DON	-	-	53-371 (3)	17-504 (4)	139-814 (3)	-	-
3-AcDON	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15-AcDON	-	-	-	-	53-452	-	-
NEO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HT-2	-	-	4-8.1 (2)	4 (2)	67 (1)	-	-
T-2	_	-	4 (1)	4 (2)	2.8 (1)	-	-
ZEN	-	-	-	14-17 (2)	8-13 (3)	-	-
OTA	0.4	0.4	0.4(1)	2.0-5.2 (4)	0.4(3)	1.8 (1)	-
FB1	_	-	-	0.4-8.2 (2)	0.4-2319 (3)	-	0.4(2)
FB2	-	-	-	2.9(1)	2.9-1943 (3)	-	0.5 (1)
FB3	-	-	-	2.1(1)	7.8-638 (3)	-	-
Sum FB1+FB2+FB3	-	-	-	13.2	11.1-4901	-	-

Table 4. Levels of mycotoxins (μg kg⁻¹ww, minimum-maximum (number of positive samples)) in commercially available processed animal proteins used in aquafeeds (n=number of different PAP samples), - = not detectable at given matrix limit in table 2.

	Poultry Blood (n=4)	Poultry Meal (n=4)	Poultry Feather Meal (n=3)	Pork Blood Meal (n=3)	Pork Meal (n=3)	Pork Greaves (n=2)
AFG2	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFG1	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFB2	-	-	-	-	-	-
AFB1	-	-	-	-	-	-
NIV	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fus X	-	-	-	-	-	-
DON	-	-	-	-	-	-
3-AcDON	-	-	-	-	-	-
15-AcDON	-	-	-	-	-	-
NEO	-	-	-	-	-	-
DIA	-	-	-	-	-	-
HT-2	-	_	-	-	-	-
T-2	-	-	-	-	-	-
ZEN	-	_	-	-	-	-
OTA	-	-	-	0.4(2)	-	-
FB1	-	0.4-2.6 (2)	0.4(1)	-	-	-
FB2	-	-	-	-	-	-
FB3	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5. Levels of mycotoxins (μg kg⁻¹ww) of two gilthead sea bream diets (GSB-D) with low or high inclusion levels of plant material (GSB-D1 and GSB-D2, respectively), and three production replicates for Atlantic salmon diets with high plant ingredient inclusions levels (AS-D1-3). – not detectable at given matrix limit in table 1.None of the dietary mycotoxins were detected in the fillets of sea bream or Atlantic salmon fed for respectively 8 or 7 months on these diets.

Diets	GSB-D1	GSB-D2	AS-D1	AS-D2	AS-D3
AFG2	-	-	-	-	-
AFG1	-	-	-	-	-
AFB2	-	-	-	-	-
AFB1	-	-	-	detected	detected
NIV	-	-	-	-	-
Fus X	-	-	-	-	-
NEO	-	-	-	-	-
DON	79,2	53,5	22,4	19,4	23,1
3-AcDON	-	-	-	-	-
15-AcDON	8,1	13,6	detected	detected	detected
DIA	-	-	-	-	-
HT-2	-	-	detected	5	-
Γ-2	-	-	0,1	0,1	0,1
ZEN	-	-	-	-	-
OTA	-	-	detected	detected	detected
FB1	-	4,5	66,9	335	50,6
FB2	-	1,9	62,2	324	43,9
FB3	-	detected	18,9	95,3	18
Sum FB1+FB2+FB3	-	6,4	148	754	112

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Table 1. Experimental conditions of the optimized UHPLC-(ESI)-MS/MS method for

. 1	1 . 1		. •
tha	calactad	myco	toving
uic	selected	HIVCO	WAIIIS.

Nivalenol (NIV) 0.70 [M+H] 313.1 10 175.1 20	Compound	Retention	Precursor	ion	Cone	Product	Collision
Decoxynivalenol (DON) Deco						175.1	20
Decoxynivalenol (DON)	Nivalenol (NIV)	0.70	$[M+H]^+$	313.1	10	159.1	20
Deoxynvalenol (DON) Deoxynvalenol (Pox)						91.0 (q2)	40
DON 0.90	D ' 1 1					249.1	10
Pusarenon X Fusarenon X		0.96	$[M+H]^+$	297.0	20	231.1	10
Fusarenon X (Fus X)	(DON)					203.1	10
Fusx 1.49						175.1	20
Neosolaniol (NEO) 1.90 [M+NH ₄] 400.2 20 305.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		1.49	$[M+H]^+$	355.1	30	229.1	20
Necoslaniol (NEO) 1.90 [M+NH _d] 400.2 20 305.1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	(FusA)					247.1	20
NEO 1.90 1						185.1	20
Sample S		1.90	$[M+NH_4]^+$	400.2	20		10
3-Acetyl 2.70 [M+H] 339.1 20 213.1 20 213.1 20 213.1 20 213.1 20 279.1 10 10 279.1 10 10 279.1 10 10 279.1 10 10 279.1 10 10 279.1 10 10 279.1 10 10 279.1 30 279.1 30 30 279.1 30 30 279.1 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	(NEO)						10
Decoxynivalenol (3-AcDON)	3-Acetyl						
Caralenone Car		2.70	$[M+H]^+$	339.1	20	213.1	
15-Acetyl 2.78			[]				
Deoxynivalenol (15-AcDON)							
Aflatoxin G2 (AFG2) 3.42 [M+H] 331.1 30 245.1 30 245.1 30 257.1 30 257.1 30 267.1 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3		2.78	$[M+H]^+$	339 1	20		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.70	[141 11]	337.1	20		
Aflatoxin G2 (AFG2) 3.42 [M+H] [†] 331.1 30 189.1 40 257.1 30 Aflatoxin G1 (AFG1) 3.49 [M+H] [†] 329.1 30 200.1 40 200.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 288.1 20 243.1 30 243.1 30 243.1 30 243.1 30 243.1 30 243.1 30 244.1 30 244.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 30 30 241.1 50 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 10 349.2 349.2 349.2 349.2 349.2 349.2 355.1 40 355.1 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	(13 1162-011)						
Aflatoxin GI (AFG1) Aflatoxin GI (AFG1) Aflatoxin B2 (AFB2) Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) Diacetoxyscirpenol (DIA) Tumonisin B1 (FB1) Tumonisin B1 (FB1) AFL (AFB2) ARABATOR ARA	Aflatoxin G2	3.42	$[\mathbf{M}_{\perp}\mathbf{H}]^{+}$	331.1	30		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(AFG2)	3.42	[141711]	331.1	30		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
Aflatoxin B2 (AFB2) 3.56 [M+H] ⁺ 315.1 30 259.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 287.1 30 288.1 20 288.1 20 241.1 30 241.1 30 241.1 30 241.1 30 241.1 30 307.1 10 247.1 10 307.	Aflatoxin G1	2.40	EM - 1111+	220.1	20		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(AFG1)	3.49	[M+n]	329.1	30		
Aflatoxin B2 (AFB2) 3.56 [M+H] ⁺ 315.1 30 287.1 30 243.1 30 30 243.1 30 243.1 30 269.1 30 26							
Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) 3.62 [M+H]	Aflatoxin B2	2.56	D.A. TIII	215 1	20		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3.56	[M+H]	315.1	30		
Aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) $(AFB1) = 10000000000000000000000000000000000$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Aflatoxin B1	3.62	$[M+H]^+$	212.1	20		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(AFB1)			313.1	30		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Diacetoxyscirpenol	3.67	$[M+NH_4]^+$	384.2	10		
Fumonisin B1 (FB1) 3.85 $[M+H]^+$ 722.2 30 334.2 40 352.2 30 686.2 30 10 10 15 10 15 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10							
Fumonisin B1 (FB1) 3.85 $[M+H]^+$ 722.2 30 352.2 30 686.2 30 263.1 10 263.1 2							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Fumonisin R1						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3.85	$[M+H]^+$	722.2	30		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(121)						30
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						263.1	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	HT-2 toxin (HT-2)	3.88	$[M+NH_4]^+$	442.2	20	215.1	15
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						197.0	15
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						185.1	20
Fumonisin B3 $\begin{array}{c} 4.00 \\ \text{(FB3)} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 4.00 \\ \text{(FB4)} \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} \text{[M+H]}^+ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 706.2 \\ 706.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 336.2 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 30 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 30 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 30 \\ 318.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 40 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 318.2 \\ 40 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 318.2 \\ 30 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 318.2 \\ 30 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 318.2 \\ 30 \\ 354.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 30 \\ 30 \\ 318.2 \\ 30 \\ 318.2 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ 354.2 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 273.1 \\ 20 \\ 131.1 \\ 35 \\ 239.1 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 221.1 \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 35 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\ 30 \\ 35 \\ 35 \\$	T-2 toxin (T-2)	3.99	$[M+NH_4]^+$	484.2	20	305.1	10
Fumonisin B3 (FB3) 4.00 $[M+H]^{+}$ 706.2 30 336.2 40 354.2 30 336.2 40 Fumonisin B2 (FB2) 4.04 $[M+H]^{+}$ 706.2 30 318.2 40 318.2 40 354.2 30 326.2 40 326.2 40 326.2 40 326.2 40 326.2 40 326.2 40 326.2 40 326.2 30 318.2 40 326.2 30 318.2 30 326.2 30 318.2 30 326.2 30 318.2 30 326.2 30 318.2 30 326.2 30 318.2 30 318.2 30 318.2 317.1 $317.$						245.1	10
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						336.2	40
Fumonisin B2 (FB2) 4.04 $[M+H]^+$ 706.2 30 $\frac{336.2}{318.2}$ 40 $\frac{318.2}{30}$ 40 $\frac{40}{354.2}$ 30 $\frac{25}{30}$ $\frac{273.1}{311.1}$ 25 $\frac{25}{30}$ $\frac{273.1}{311.1}$ 35 $\frac{2}{30}$ $\frac{318.2}{30}$ 4.08 $[M+H]^+$ 404.2 30 $\frac{239.1}{30}$ 30	Fumonisin B3	4.00	$[M+H]^+$	706.2	30	336.2	40
Fumonisin B2 (FB2) 4.04 [M+H] ⁺ 706.2 30 318.2 40 354.2 30 20 273.1 25 25 273.1 20 273.1 35 25 26 273.1 20 273.1 30 20 273.1 30 20 21.1 35 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	(FB3)					354.2	30
(FB2) $\begin{array}{c} 4.04 \\ & [M+H] \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 706.2 \\ & 30 \\ \hline 354.2 \\ 30 \\ \hline 25 \\ \hline 273.1 \\ 20 \\ \hline 273.1 \\ 35 \\ \hline 239.1 \\ 30 \\ \hline 20 \\ \hline 273.1 \\ 35 \\ \hline 239.1 \\ 30 \\ \hline 21.1 \\ 35 \\ \hline 239.1 \\ 30 \\ \hline 221.1 \\ 35 \\ \hline 239.1 \\ 30 \\ \hline 221.1 \\ 35 \\ \hline 27 \\ 35 \\ \hline 27 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 3$	E '' DA					336.2	40
Zearalenone (ZEN) 4.07 [M-H] 317.1 20 273.1 20 (ZEN) 20 131.1 35 (M-H) 4.08 [M+H] 404.2 30 221.1 35		4.04	$[M+H]^+$	706.2	30	318.2	40
Zearalenone (ZEN) 4.07 [M-H] ⁻ 317.1 20 175.1 25 273.1 20 131.1 35 Ochratoxin A (OTA) 4.08 [M+H] ⁺ 404.2 30 239.1 30 221.1 35	(FB2)		- 1			354.2	30
Zearalenone (ZEN) 4.07 [M-H] ⁻ 317.1 20 273.1 20 131.1 35 Ochratoxin A (OTA) 4.08 [M+H] ⁺ 404.2 30 239.1 30 239.1 35							
Ochratoxin A (OTA) 4.08 [M+H] ⁺ 404.2 30 221.1 35		4.07	[M-H] ⁻	317.1	20		20
Ochratoxin A (OTA) 4.08 [M+H] ⁺ 404.2 30 239.1 30 221.1 35	(ZEN)						
Ochratoxin A (OTA) 4.08 $[M+H]^+$ 404.2 30 221.1 35							
(OTA)		4.08	$[M+H]^{+}$	404.2	30		
	(OTA)		[]				

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

639 640	Reagents
641	All mycotoxin standards (>99% purity) were supplied by Sigma Aldrich (Madrid,
642	Spain). HPLC-grade water was obtained from water passed through a MilliQ water
643	purification system (Millipore Ltd., Bedford, MA, USA). HPLC-grade methanol
644	(MeOH), HPLC-grade acetonitrile (ACN), acetic acid (>99.8%) and ammonium acetate
645	(NH ₄ Ac) (>99%) were purchased from ScharLab (Barcelona, Spain). Formic acid
646	(HCOOH) (>98%) was obtained from Fluka (Buchs, Switzerland).
647	Mycotoxins were divided into three different groups depending on their intensity
648	response. Thus, individual stock solutions, MIX A (10 mg L^{-1} , containing DON, HT-2,
649	NIV, FUS X, 3AcDON, 15AcDON and OTA), MIX B (1 mgL ⁻¹ , containing FB1, FB2,
650	FB3, T-2, ZEN, DIA and NEO) and MIX C (0.1 mgL ⁻¹ , containing AFG1, AFG2,
651	AFB1, AFB2), respectively, were prepared by diluting the reference standards solutions
652	in acetonitrile (abbreviations used for mycotoxins are specified in Table 1,
653	supplementary data). The total mixed standard solution was prepared by adding 1 mL of
654	MIX A, 1 mL of MIX B, and 1 mL of MIX C, and diluting to 10 mL with water.
655	Working standard solutions for LC-MS/MS analysis and for fortification of samples
656	were prepared by dilution of the total mixed standard solution with water. Stock
657	standard solutions were stored in a freezer at -20 °C, whereas working solutions were
658	stored in a fridge. Matrix-matched standard calibration was used for quantification
659	purposes. Standards in matrix were prepared by adding 100 μL from the corresponding
660	standard solution plus 900 μL of the 4-fold diluted blank extract.
661	