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## XMM-ATLAS




- Centered in Herschel-ATLAS SDP (Rigby+11)
- (09:04:30,+00:34:00)
- Ranalli+15: source catalogue
- 7.1deg ${ }^{2}$, 336ks total: mode $\sim 3.5 \mathrm{ks}$
- Wavelet+emldetect source search: 1816 sources
- in three bands: $0.5-2,2-8,0.5-2 \mathrm{keV}$


## Other catalogues

- SDSS DR9 (Adelman-McCarthy+09): ugriz
- 161131 sources in overlapping area ( 111404 clean=1)
- VIKING DR1 (Edge \& Sutherland 2014): zYJHKs
- band-merged source catalogue
- 736187 sources in ~overlapping area (421850 pNoise<0.5 \&\& nBands $\geq 2$ )
- WISE (Cutri+2012): 3.4, 4.6, 12 \& $22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
-68147 sources in overlapping area (all det. $5 \sigma$ in $\geq 1$ band)


## Other catalogues



## Other catalogues



## Astrometry

- SDSS DR9: adding 0.1" in quadrature to the RA,Dec pos. errors - For XMM-ATLAS using SDSS DR12 QSO as reference
- Filtering QSOs on good z quality
- Filtering XMM-ATLAS pointlike ext058<0.001
- 71 pairs within $5^{\prime \prime}:<\mathrm{dRA}>=-0.02^{\prime \prime}<\mathrm{dDec}>=-0.2^{\prime \prime}$ sigma~1.5"
- Ranalli+15 rectified w.r.t. SDSS DR7 QSOs, residual difference?
- Adding $1.5^{\prime \prime}$ in quadrature to radec_err from emldetect
- For VIKING using SDSS DR9 point sources as reference
- Filtering on VIKING point sources
- 51014 pairs within $1^{\prime \prime}:<\mathrm{dRA}>\sim<\mathrm{dDec}>\sim 0.035^{\prime \prime}$ sigma~0.1"
- Setting errpos=0.1" (no positional error in catalogue)
- WISE used as in catalogue


## The ARCHES cross-correlation tool

- archesxmatch: Full N-dimensional symmetric cross-correlation of catalogues (see F.-X. Pineau's talk)
- It uses:
- Source positions
- Source positional errors (1 $\sigma$ )
- Catalogue area
- Sky densities of pairs, triplets...
- It provides:
- List of tuples within some user-chosen $3 \sigma$ distance
- Probabilities of all combinations of catalogues for that tuple
- List of five highest probabilities (when defined): maxProbaVall...maxProbaVal5
- Marginalised 2D probabilities for tuples in $\geq 3$ catalogues:
- e.g.: margProba_AB for $A, B, C: P_{\text {marg }}(A B)=P(A B C)+P\left(A B \_C\right)$


## ARCHES xcorr. tool: X and 3 other cats

- For simplicity, let's consider $N=4$ (XMM-ATLAS+3 cats.)
- $A=X M M, B=S D S S, C=V I K I N G, D=W I S E$
- "Left-join": each XMM-ATLAS source will be considered afresh with each new catalogue: X-ray-centric
Output tuples could have up to four dimensions:
- No source in any other catalogue within limit nPos=1
- A source in 1 other catalogue, $n P o s=2$ : AB,A_B; AC,A_C; AD,A_D
- One source in each of 2 other catalogues, nPos $=3$ :
- ABC,AB_C,AC_B,A_BC=(A)(BC),A_B_C=(A)(B)(C)
- ABD,AB_D,AD_B,A_BD,A_B_D
- ACD,AC_D,AD_C,A_CD,A_C_D
- $B C D, B C-D, B D=C, B \_C D, B \_C-D$ (remember, X-ray-centric)
- One source in each of 3 other catalogues, $n P o s=4$ :
- ABCD
- ABC_D,ABD_C,ACD_B
- AB_CD,AB_C_D,AC_BD,AC_B_D,AD_BC,AD_B_C
- A_BCD,A_BC_D,A_BD_C,A_B_CD,A_B_C_D


## ARCHES xcorr. tool: X and 3 other cats

- X-ray-centric: Considering only relationship with XMM source
- Using for classification (for the time being) only maximum probability $\max [P(*)]=P_{\max }=$ maxProbaVal1

|  |  | nPos |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Only X | X | All | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A_B, } \\ & \text { A_C, } \\ & \text { A_D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A_BC,A_B_C, } \\ & \text { A_CD,A_C_D, } \\ & \text { A_BD,A_B_D } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { A_BCD,A_BC_D,A_B_CD,A_B_C_D, }}{\text { A_C }}$ |
| X +1 cat | XS | - | $A B$ | $A B C$ C,AB_D | AB_CD,AB_C_D |
|  | X_V_ | - | AC | AC_B,AC_D | AC_BD,AC_B_D |
|  | X__W | - | AD | AD_B,AD_C | AD_BC,AD_B_C |
| X+2cat | XSV_ | - | - | ABC | ABC_D |
|  | X_VW | - | - | ACD | ACD_B |
|  | XS_W | - | - | ABD | ABD_C |
| X+3cat | XSVW | - | - | - | ABCD |

## ARCHES cor. tool: X and 3 other cats

nePos

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



## SDSS in full area: "clean" sample

- Full sample 1816 XMM sources in 7.1deg²
- For each XMM sou. keeping only tuple with highest $P_{\max }$
- Using "clean" sample:
- SDSS: clean=1
- VIKING: pNoise<0.9 \& present in >1 band
- "Clean" xcorr better overall: more XMM with counterparts
- Because probabilities also depend on density of $X+1,2,3$ cat pairs

|  | Subsample | SDSS |  | SDSS clean |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Only X | X__ | 803 | 803 | 717 | 717 |
| X+1cat | XS_- |  | 26 |  | 11 |
|  | X_V_ | 77 | 40 | 119 | 92 |
|  | X_W |  | 11 |  | 16 |
| X+2cat | XSV_ |  | 324 |  | 310 |
|  | X_VW | 360 | 34 | 419 | 108 |
|  | XS_W |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| X+3cat | XSVW | 576 | 576 | 516 | 561 |

## SDSS full clean: probability threshold

- Choosing threshold $85 \%$ : drop in $P_{\max } X+2,3$ cat



## SDSS full clean: probability threshold

- Choosing threshold 85\%: drop in marginalised probabilities too



## SDSS full clean: prob. $\geq 85 \%$

- $\sim 2 / 3$ of the $\mathrm{X}+2,3$ cat survive (by design)

|  | Subsample | SDSS clean prob. $\geq 85 \%$ |  | SDSS clean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Only X | X | 1049 | 1049 | 717 | 717 |
| X+1cat | XS | 24 | 5 | 119 | 11 |
|  | X_V_ |  | 15 |  | 92 |
|  | X__W |  | 4 |  | 16 |
| X+2cat | XSV_ | 296 | 216 | 419 | 310 |
|  | X_VW |  | 80 |  | 108 |
|  | XS_W |  | 0 |  | 1 |
| X +3 cat | XSVW | 447 | 447 | 516 | 561 |

# SDSS full clean: best tuple, 1st \& 2nd 

- For the tuple with the highest $\mathrm{P}_{\max }$ comparing $\mathrm{P}_{\max }$ and second hightest prob. $\mathrm{P}_{2 \text { nd }}=$ maxProbaVal2
- What do we do about those with $P_{\max }+P_{2 n d} \geq 0.85$ ?



## SDSS full clean: best tuple, 1st \& 2nd

- What do we do about those with $P_{\max }+P_{2 n d} \geq 0.85$ ?
- If compatible, could boost total probability above threshold: 0 cases
- If not compatible, could change $P_{\max }$ combination: always $\sim A B C, A \_B C$



# SDSS full clean: 1st \& 2nd best tuples 

- For each XMM source, comparing tuples with 2 highest $\mathrm{P}_{\max }$ : 801 unique XMM-ATLAS sources ( $1015 \leq 1$ tuple)
- What do we do about those with $\mathrm{P}_{\max }\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right) \geq 0.85$ ?



# SDSS full clean: 1st \& 2nd best 

- Counting:
- Remember $\mathrm{P}_{\max } \geq \mathrm{P}_{\max }\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$
- Worry about $P_{\max }\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right) \geq 0.85$ ?

| 1st | 2nd | N | $\mathrm{P}_{\max }\left(2^{\mathrm{nd}}\right) \geq 0.85$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Only X | Only X | 230 | - |
| X+cat | Only X | 60 | 13 |
| Only X | X+cat | 192 | 97 |
| X+cat | X+cat | 319 | 192 |
| Total |  | 801 | 302 |



## SDSS in full area: questions/issues

## - Areas not quite matched for VIKING

- At the moment, for each tuple, using just max[P(*)], should we use marginalised probabilities instead? e.g.
- For probs. from 3 catalogues: margProba_A*
- For probs. from 4 catalogues: $P\left(X S V \_\right)=P\left(A B C \_D\right)+P(A B C D)$
- In how many these marginalised probs. would change combination?
- For XMM-ATLAS/SDSS/VIKING/WISE: 0
- At the moment, for each XMM source, using just the tuple with the highest max $\left[\mathrm{P}\left({ }^{*}\right)\right]$, should we worry about the other tuples?
- How many of those share SDSS/VIKING/WISE sources?
- Each source from each catalogue can only belong to one tuple!
- For XMM-ATLAS/SDSS/VIKING/WISE: most change VIKING, a few change also SDSS
- In how many the marginalised probs. above would change order?
- For XMM-ATLAS/SDSS/VIKING/WISE: 1 from X_VW to X__W, diff. VIKING


## Conclusions

- Tool works well
- The input needs to be worked on:
- Matched astrometry
- Matched sky coverage
- The output needs to worked on:
- Understand the probabilities and their meaning
- Choose the one(s) that best suit what is needed
- Future for XMM-ATLAS cross-correlations:
- Match XMM astrometry?
- Match sky coverages (XMM-SDSS-VIKING-WISE, add KiDS)
- Repeat cross-correlations
- Marginalise 3,4 catalogue probabilities?
- Get SEDs (ARCHES tool too)
- Get photo-z (+ U. Napoli: machine learning)


# 4 catalogues: marginalised probs. 

 - X-ray-centric: Considering only relationship with XMM source
## Probabilities for nPos

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | $P\left(A \_B\right)$ | $P\left(A \_B C\right)+P\left(A \_B \_C\right)$ |
|  | $P\left(A \_C\right)$ | $P\left(A \_C D\right)+P\left(A \_C \_D\right)$ | P(A_BCD)+P(A_BC_D) |
|  | $P\left(A \_D\right)$ | $P\left(A \_B D\right)+P\left(A \_B \_D\right)$ | $P\left(A \_B D \_C\right)$ |


| X+1cat | XS_ | - | margProba_AB |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X_V_ | - | margProba_AC |  |  |
|  | X__W | - | margProba_AD |  |  |
| X+2cat | XSV_ | - | - | $P(A B C)$ | $P\left(A B C \_D\right)+P(A B C D)$ |
|  | X_VW | - | - | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{ACD})$ | $P\left(A C D \_B\right)+P(A B C D)$ |
|  | XS_W | - | - | $P(A B D)$ | $P\left(A B D \_C\right)+P(A B C D)$ |
| X+3cat | XSVW | - | - | - | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{ABCD})$ |

