1	Running title: Genetic basis of the hoopoe microbiome
2	
3	Title: The microbiome of the uropygial secretion in hoopoes is shaped along the
4	nesting phase
5	
6	Martínez-García, Ángela ¹ , Martín-Vivaldi, Manuel ³ , Ruíz-Rodriguez Magdalena ¹ ,
7	Martínez-Bueno, Manuel ² ; Arco, Laura ³ , Rodríguez-Ruano, Sonia M. ² , Peralta-
8	Sánchez, Juan Manuel , Soler, Juan José
9	
10	
11	¹ Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas (CSIC) E-04120 Almería, Spain
12	² Departamento de Microbiología Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain
13	³ Departamento de Zoología Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain
14	
15	*corresponding author;
16	Email: jsoler@eeza.csic.es
17	Tel: 34 950 281045
18	Fax: 34 950 277100
19	
20	Word count: 6630
21	Table count: 1
22	Figure count: 3
23	

24 Abstract

25 Microbial symbiont acquisition by hosts may determine the effectiveness of the mutualistic relationships. A mix of vertical and horizontal transmission may be 26 27 advantageous for hosts by allowing plastic changes of microbial communities depending on environmental conditions. Plasticity is well known for gut microbiota, but 28 is poorly understood for other symbionts of wild animals. We here explore the 29 importance of environmental conditions experienced by nestling hoopoes (Upupa 30 *epops*) during the late nesting phase determining microbiota in their uropygial gland. In 31 cross-fostering experiments of 8 days old nestlings, "sibling-sibling" and "mother-32 33 offspring" comparisons were used to explore whether the bacterial community naturally established in the uropygial gland of nestlings could change depending on experimental 34 environmental conditions (i.e. new nest environment). We found that the final 35 36 microbiome of nestlings was mainly explained by nest of origin. Moreover, crossfostered nestlings were more similar to their siblings and mothers than to their 37 stepsiblings and stepmothers. We also detected a significant effect of nest of rearing, 38 suggesting that nestling hoopoes acquire most bacterial symbionts during the first days 39 of life, but that the microbiome is dynamic and can be modified along the nestling 40 period depending on environmental conditions. Estimated effects of nest of rearing, but 41 42 also most of those of nest of origin are associated to environmental characteristics of nests, which are extended phenotypes of parents. Thus, natural selection may favor the 43 acquisition of appropriated microbial symbionts for particular environmental conditions 44 found in nests. 45

Key-words: Cross-fostering experiment, Horizontal transmission, Microbial symbiont,
Microbial transmission, Parent-Offspring comparisons, Plasticity, *Upupa epops*,
Vertical transmission

Hosts may acquire symbionts directly by vertical transmission from parents to 51 52 offspring [1, 2], or by horizontal transmission from the environment [3]. Although the vast majority of symbioses described in eukaryotes involve bacteria [1, 4], studies on 53 mechanisms of bacterial transmission are limited to a handful of model systems [5, 6]. 54 55 Horizontally transmitted bacteria are known for squids [3], tubeworms [7] and mussels [8], while mechanisms of vertical transmission have been detected for instance in 56 ascidians [9], bryozoans [10] and earthworms [11]. For some other model systems, 57 58 microbial symbionts are acquired both vertically and horizontally, as it is the case for beneficial gastrointestinal microbiomes of animals [12] or for enterococci of the 59 uropygial gland of hoopoes (Upupa epops) [13]. 60

61 Modes of bacterial acquisition may determine the effectiveness of the mutualistic relationship [14]. On the one hand, fitness of vertically transmitted 62 symbionts is closely related to that of their hosts and, thus, enhancing reproductive 63 success of hosts will directly benefit their own performance [14-17]. On the other hand, 64 different symbionts may provide hosts with characteristics that are more appropriate for 65 particular environments and/or symbiont effectiveness may vary with environmental 66 conditions. Although vertical transmission is identified as a key route to host 67 specialization on effective symbionts [14, 18], hosts with horizontally transmitted 68 symbionts have the opportunity to adjust the community of symbionts to environmental 69 70 characteristics [19]. In situations of variable environmental conditions with unpredictable selection pressures, a mix of vertical and horizontal transmission shaping 71 symbiont bacterial communities might be of selective advantage for hosts because it 72 would guarantee the simultaneous presence of potential beneficial microorganisms from 73

an for different environments [5, 14, 20]. In this mixed mode of symbiont acquisition
the symbiotic community that hosts acquire from mothers and/or environment during
the first days of life could change in relation to variable environmental conditions
experienced later, in subsequent phases of life. Symbiotic community changes (i.e.,
plasticity) of hosts in relation to environmental changes are well known for gut
microbiota [21, 22] but are poorly understood for other symbionts of wild animals.

We experimentally explore whether microbiome of the uropygial gland of 80 nestling hoopoes change along the nesting period in relation to environmental 81 conditions hosted in their uropygial gland. Symbiotic bacteria have only been detected 82 83 by traditional culture methods in incubating females and nestlings [23, 24]. Nowadays we know that males and non-reproducing females also harbor bacteria in their gland, but 84 at very low density [25]. Interestingly, we also know that antibiotic producing 85 86 enterococci are transmitted from mother hoopoes to offspring soon after hatching (vertical transmission), and that hatchlings (i.e. before uropygial gland functions) are 87 88 able to incorporate new enterococci symbionts from new environments after crossfostering experiments (horizontal transmission) [13]. The question that we try to answer 89 here is whether the bacterial community, once it is established in the uropygial gland of 90 nestlings (i.e. functioning), could change depending on experimental environmental 91 92 conditions (i.e. new nest environment). We approached this aim by cross-fostering nestlings of intermediate age (i.e. with an established bacterial community) from 93 different nests and characterizing bacterial communities of fledglings by mean of 94 95 ARISA (Automatic Ribosomal Intergenic Spacer Analysis). We first describe the bacterial communities in uropygial secretions of nestlings and females in terms of 96 prevalence, richness, and Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) composition. The 97 relative contribution of factors acting during early (i.e. genetic plus early environment 98

99 including any pre-manipulation maternal effects) and late (experimental nest 100 environment) nesting phase were determined by (i) comparing the proportion of 101 variance explained by nest of origin and nest of rearing respectively. Relative 102 contribution of early and later environments explaining microbiota of the uropygial 103 secretion was also explored by comparing (ii) levels of similarity between siblings 104 reared in different nests and between stepsiblings reared in the same nest, and (iii) 105 between cross-fostered nestlings and biological and stepmothers.

106

107 Materials and methods

108 Study species, study area and general methods

The hoopoe is distributed throughout Europe, Asia and Africa, inhabiting open woods or open areas as steppes, grasslands, pastures, semi-deserts, or crops whenever they have scattered trees, walls or buildings providing holes for nesting and soil without tall vegetation for feeding [26-28]. Females lay one or two clutches of 6-8 eggs along the breeding season, between February and July [29]. Incubation lasts 17 days and starts with the first or second egg, which results in eggs hatching asynchronously at 24 h or even greater intervals [30].

The fieldwork was performed during the breeding seasons 2010-2011 in a wild 116 117 population located in the Hoya de Guadix (37°18'N, 38°11'W), southern Spain, where hoopoes breed in crops, forests and gullies within nest-boxes placed in trees or 118 buildings. In 2011, hoopoes were also sampled in a captive population descendant from 119 120 our wild population and breeding in captivity since 2008. The captive pairs were distributed in two different subpopulations located in South-eastern Spain, one of them 121 in installations of the University of Granada in Hoya of Guadix (Granada), and the other 122 one in facilities of the Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas (CSIC) at the Finca 123

Experimental La Hoya in Almería (36°50′N, 2°28′W). All females and nestlings were
ringed with numbered rings and females also with color rings for individual recognition.

Nestboxes in the wild were visited twice per week, from mid-February to the end of July to record laying date, clutch size and hatching date. Pairs of hoopoes breeding in captivity were housed in independent cages at least 3m x 2m x 2m installed in the open, scattered and isolated to avoid interactions between pairs and ensure successful breeding. Cages had access to soil and were provided with live food (crickets, vitamin-enriched fly larvae and meat (beef heart)) *ad libitum* and were visited daily.

133

134 Experimental design and sampling

The cross-fostering experimental design consisted in the exchange of two experimental 135 136 nestlings among pairs of nests of similar $(\pm 1 \text{ day})$ hatching date and similar brood size. The exchange was carried out when the oldest nestling in each nest was 8 days old (i.e., 137 138 when nestlings start to produce secretion containing bacteria). Two of the four heaviest nestlings in each nest were randomly selected and exchanged with those from another 139 nest (i.e. with the same age and similar weight). Comparisons were later performed with 140 all nestling in the experimental nests. Nestlings were individually marked by painting 141 142 their tarsus with permanent innocuous markers. Cross-fostering experiments were performed between wild nests in 2010 and in 2011 between one nest in captivity and the 143 other in wild conditions. When this was not possible, experimental nestlings were 144 145 exchanged between two captivity nests, or between two wild nests. This was done so to increase phenotypic variance among cross-fostered nests that allow a more realistic 146 estimation of the effects of nest of origin and of nest of rearing (Falconer 1989). 147

148 Transport of nestlings between nests lasted approximately 1 h and was done in a149 portable incubator at 37 °C to reduce stress due to temperature change.

Uropygial secretions of females were sampled before hatching date (i.e. 14 days 150 after laying the first egg), whereas those of nestlings were sampled 10 days after nest 151 exchange (i.e. oldest nestlings had 18 days old). Incubating females were captured 152 within the nest-box by hand, quickly sampled, and released again within the nest to 153 reduce disturbance. For each capture, we wore new sterile latex gloves cleaned with 154 70% ethanol for the whole process to limit external bacterial contamination. Before 155 collecting samples from uropygial gland, we softly washed the circlet of feathers and 156 surrounding skin with a cotton swab slightly soaked in ethanol to reduce the risk of 157 contamination with external bacteria. After evaporation of the alcohol, a sterile 158 micropipette tip (1-10 µl micropipette (Finpipette)) was introduced in the gland papilla. 159 160 The papilla was pressed softly with a finger and the uropygial secretion entirely collected was transferred to a sterile microfuge tube. Afterwards, 5µl were separated in 161 162 a different sterile microfuge tube for the molecular analyses. Nestling hoopoes were 163 sampled with identical protocol than adult females were. For further molecular analyses, all samples were individually stored in 1.2 ml sterile microfuge tubes in a portable 164 cooler (1-3 °C) until being stored in the lab at -20° C the same day of sampling. 165

We sampled 44 nests and got information for the 44 breeding females and for 165 nestlings; 93 of them did grow in the same nests where they hatched, whereas 72 were moved to foreign nests. However, final sample sizes were reduced due to predation of wild nests or failures with ARISA. We obtained complete information of siblings that were reared in the same nests of hatching (N = 57) or moved to another nests (N = 44) for 28 nests. Only for 21 of these nests, we got the necessary information to compare the bacterial community of experimental nestlings with that of their foster and genetic siblings on the one hand, and with the bacterial community of their motherand stepmother on the other hand.

175

176 *Laboratory work*

Bacterial genomic DNA for the uropygial secretion samples was extracted with a 177 commercial kit (The FavorPrep[™] Blood Genomic DNA Extraction Kit, Favorgen). 178 ARISA (Fisher and Triplett 1999), which amplifies an intergenic transcribed spacer 179 (ITS) region between the prokaryotic 16S and 23S rDNA, was used to characterize the 180 composition of bacterial communities. This region is highly variable both in size and 181 sequence between species and, thus, offers an appropriate taxonomic resolution for 182 microbiota characterization (Danovaro et al. 2006). The ITS was amplified using the 183 primer pair ITSF (5'-GTCGTAACAAGGTAGCCGTA-3') and ITSReub (5'-184 185 GCCAAGGCATCCACC-3') [31]. The primer ITSReub was labeled fluorescently with 6-FAM. Amplifications were performed in 50 µL reaction volumes containing ultrapure 186 187 H₂O, 20 µL of 5 PRIME MasterMix (2.5x) including 1.5mM Mg(OAC)₂, 200 µM dNTPs, 1.25 U Taq DNA polymerase 0.2 µM of primers and 5µL of diluted DNA 1:10. 188 PCRs were carried out in Eppendorf Mastercycler Nexus. Fragments were amplified 189 under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C 2 min, followed by 30 190 191 cycles with denaturation at 94 °C 45 s, annealing at 52 °C 45 s and extension at 72 °C 1 min, with a final extension at 72 °C 5 min. Amplified PCR products were diluted 1:10 192 and denatured by heating in formamide. Fragment lengths were determined by mean of 193 194 automated fluorescent capillary electrophoresis on 3130 Genetic Analyzer. Electropherogram peak values were calculated after interpolation with an internal size 195 standard named GeneScan[™] 1200 LIZ dye Size Standard (both Applied Biosystems). 196

197 These analyses were performed at the Scientific Instrumentation Center of the198 University of Granada.

199

200 Statistical analysis

Peak Scanner 1.0 (Applied Biosystems) was used to determine fragment length (i.e. 201 OTUs) in terms of base pairs (bp). For binning DNA fragment lengths from different 202 samples we used available scripts in R-environment [http://cran.r-project.org/] at 203 204 www.ecology-research.com [32] with a window size of 4 base pairs (bp) and a distance of two consecutive binning frames (i.e. shift) of 0.1. Peaks with RFI values of < 0.09%205 were considered as background peaks. Only fragments above a threshold of 50 206 fluorescence units and ranging between 100 and 1,000 bp [32] were used for 207 constructing and analyze the presence-absence matrices depicting bacterial 208 209 communities.

210 We described the bacterial community harbored in uropygial secretion of 211 females and nestlings with information obtained from ARISA for all individuals (44 212 females and 165 nestlings). To explore the differences in bacterial richness (number of OTUs per sample) between adult females and nestlings, we performed ANOVAs with 213 one fixed factor (adult females vs. nestlings). Moreover, we explored differences in 214 215 prevalence of OTUs detected in uropygial secretions of adult females and nestlings, but 216 considering the most frequent OTUs; i.e., those that were detected in more than 30% of females or nestlings uropygial secretion sampled. Pearson correlation coefficients were 217 218 used to explore whether OTU's prevalence in females and nestling samples were related. We did this analysis with all detected OTUs and also including only those that 219 were present in more than 30% of females or nestlings sampled. Furthermore, we 220 analyzed differences in the composition of bacterial communities hosted in uropygial 221

secretions of females and nestlings by one-way PERMANOVAs analysis (Jaccard's 222 distance), taking into consideration all females and only non-moved nestlings. Trying to 223 reduce probability of detecting significant differences among females and nestlings due 224 to rare OTUs, we only considered those that appeared in more than 3 samples of 225 females or nestlings. We used classical multidimensional scaling analysis, Principal 226 Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) to graphically show variation in bacterial communities of 227 uropygial secretions of females and nestlings. This technique represents the objects 228 (communities) on a plot with canonical axes, where the distance between the objects 229 shows their underlying similarity [33]. 230

Cross-fostering experiments are a well-established approach for partitioning 231 phenotypic variance in its genetic and environmental components in mixed statistical 232 models that include the identity of nest of origin and rearing (nested within nest of 233 234 origin) as random factors [34]. This experimental approach has been previously used to 235 explore genetic and environmental influences determining cloacal bacterial assemblages 236 in great tit (*Parus major*) [35], and the enterococci community of the uropigial gland of 237 hoopoes [13]. Here, we performed cross-fostering experiments of nestlings of intermediate age to estimate the relative importance of early and late nestling periods 238 explaining the whole microbiota of the uropygial gland of hoopoes. The effects of early 239 240 nestling phase, which include genetic component and any pre-manipulation maternal and environmental effects, were estimated by the proportion of variance of microbiome 241 composition explained by nest of origin and by mother-offspring (i.e. vertical 242 243 transmission) and sibling-sibling comparisons. The effects of late nesting phase, which would only include environmental components, were estimated by the proportion of 244 variance associated to the nest of rearing and by stepmother-offspring and sibling-245 246 stepsibling comparisons.

The similarity matrix among all bacterial communities of the sampled 247 248 individuals were based in Jaccard's distance [36]. The similarity values were used as the dependent variables of PERMANOVA model using type III estimation of mean squares. 249 This model try to explain similarity among nestlings including two random factors: nest 250 of origin and nest of rearing (nested within nest of origin). For this model we used only 251 the 28 nests for which we have information for moved and non-moved nestlings from 252 the same nest of origin. Finally, for the 21 experiments with all the information (see 253 above), we estimated mean values of similarities among bacterial communities of 254 experimental nestlings and those of their genetic (reared in different nests but 255 genetically related) or foster (reared in the same environment but genetically unrelated) 256 siblings. We estimated for the same nests mean values of similarities among bacterial 257 communities of experimental nestlings and their genetic or foster mothers. 258

All multivariate analyses and figures trying to explain similarity matrices (PERMANOVAs) were performed with PRIMER v7 (PRIMER-E) software (Anderson et al. 2008). Statistical inferences (e.g., P-values) of all PERMANOVAs were based on 9999 permutations. Statistical tests trying to explain variation in bacterial richness and prevalence of different bacterial strains, as well as those comparing mean values of similarities estimated for genetically related and unrelated individuals, were performed with STATISTICA10 software [37].

- 266
- 267 **Results**

268 Description of bacterial communities in uropygial secretions: prevalence, richness, 269 and composition

We detected 143 different OTUs (length of the ITS fragment varying between 100 bp and 847 bp) in the bacterial community of the uropygial secretion of female and nestling

hoopoes, 141 of which were present in nestlings, and 116 in females. All except two 272 OTUs that were detected in females at very low prevalence (143 bp and 603 bp, 2.22%) 273 and 4.44%, respectively) were also present in nestling samples. Prevalence of detected 274 OTUs ranged from 0.61% (OTU with 847 bp) to 84.44% (OTU with 183 bp), and were 275 similar for females and nestlings as shown by the strong positive relationships among 276 their values (Appendix 1, $R^2 = 0.89$, N = 143, t = 34.2, p < 0.0001). This relationship 277 was evident even when only considering the 28 OTUs that were present in more than 278 30% of samples from females or nestlings (Fig. 1, $R^2 = 0.73$, N = 28, t = 8.44, p < 279 0.0001). Richness of bacterial community of the uropygial secretions of nestlings (mean 280 (SE) = 22.64 (0.66)) was also similar to that of females (mean (SE) = 21.78 (1.37)) (F = 281 0.34, df = 1, 207, p = 0.55). Additionally, composition of bacterial communities of 282 nestlings and females did not significantly differ (one-way PERMANOVA, F = 1.53, df 283 284 = 1, 135, *p* = 0.0572, Fig. 2).

285

286 Effects of early and late nesting phase on the bacterial community

The similarity matrix among bacterial communities of the uropygial gland of 287 experimental nestlings was significantly explained by nest of origin and nest of rearing 288 (Table 1). The proportion of variance explained by the nest of origin was relatively 289 290 larger than that explained by nest of rearing (Table 1). This result suggests that the influence of the early nesting phase (i.e., genetic factors and/or pre-manipulation 291 maternal and environmental effects) explaining uropygial microbiome of hoopoes was 292 293 relatively larger than that of late nesting period (i.e., environmental influence and maternal effects after the experiment). This inference was further confirmed by the 294 significantly larger similarity values of comparisons of siblings reared in different nests 295 than those for comparisons of stepsiblings reared in the same nest (GLM, F = 19.33, df =296

1, 20, p = 0.0002; Fig. 3a). Results from comparisons of similarities between bacterial communities of cross-fostered nestlings and those of their foster and genetic mothers; (Fig.3b) were also in accordance with a relatively larger influence of the early nesting phases determining the bacterial community of the uropygial secretion of hoopoe nestlings (GLM, F = 20.42, df = 1, 20, p = 0.0002).

Importantly, we found statistically significant effects of nest of rearing explaining bacterial community of nestling hoopoes (Table 1), which suggest that environmental conditions experienced by hoopoes during the late nesting phase also contribute to mould microbial community.

306

307 Discussion

Our main results are twofold. The first one is that bacterial communities of the 308 309 uropygial secretion of hoopoe nestlings did not differ significantly from those of their 310 mothers. The second group of results pointed out strong pre-manipulation effects 311 explaining the composition of bacterial communities in experimental cross-fostered 312 nestlings. We also detected a significant effect of nest of rearing, suggesting that environmental characteristics experienced after experimental treatment contributes to 313 the microbiome of the uropygial secretion of hoopoes. Below, we discuss the 314 315 importance of these findings for understanding the mechanisms of acquisition of bacterial symbionts by nestling hoopoes, and the implication for coevolutionary 316 relationships between hoopoes and bacteria of their uropygial secretion. 317

Previous work has shown that the prevalence of cultivable bacterial strains (i.e., enterococci) in the uropygial secretion of females and nestlings differs [13]. These differences were at least partially due to the effect of few enterococci strains that appeared at a higher prevalence in samples of females or nestlings [13]. However, when considering the bacterial community as a whole, differences between females and nestlings did not reach statistical significance, and prevalence of different OTUs in samples from females and from nestlings correlated positively. In terms of bacterial diversity, even when considering the group of enterococci, estimates for females and nestlings did not differ significantly [13]. Thus, although prevalence of some OTUs in communities of females and nestlings may differ, the microbiome of the uropygial secretion of females and nesting hoopoes is quite similar.

Results from previous cross-fostering experiments performed by Ruiz-Rodríguez 329 et al. [13] with recently hatched nestlings that were exchanged before they started to 330 produce secretion (4 days old) strongly suggested that enterococci from the new 331 environment are incorporated into the community of the uropygial secretion of 332 nestlings, although some strains came from the uropygial secretion of mothers [13]. We 333 334 here considered the whole microbiome of the uropygial gland of hoopoes, and performed the experiment with nestlings of intermediate age, once they started to 335 336 produce uropygial secretion. Even with these nestlings, we also found a significant effect of nest of rearing and of nest of origin explaining variation in microbial 337 community. Thus, the effect of nest of origin detected here also included environmental 338 effects before the experiment and, accordingly, was stronger than that detected for 339 340 enterococci community in cross-fostering experiments performed with 4-days-old nestlings. Moreover, the detected effect of nest of rearing of 8 days old cross-fostered 341 nestlings confirms that experimental nestlings incorporate new bacteria to their 342 343 uropygial microbiome once the uropygial gland is functioning. Consequently, results from these two experiments considered together suggest that nestling hoopoes acquire 344 most bacterial symbionts during the first days of life, but that the microbiome of 345

hoopoes is dynamic and can be modified along the nestling period depending onenvironmental conditions.

The effect of either nest of origin or nest of rearing included possible maternal 348 349 effects that respectively occur before (from genetic mother) and after (from stepmother) the experimental translocation of nestlings [34, 38]. Mechanisms of vertical 350 transmission of symbionts is by definition a maternal effect that contributes to offspring 351 phenotype, but that is genetically determined in mothers (i.e. indirect genetic effect, [39-352 41]. Thus, the detected effects of nest of origin on the microbial community of nestling 353 secretions, as well as the relatively high similarities between related nestlings, and 354 between nestlings and mothers, may be explained by direct vertical transmission of 355 symbionts from mother to offspring. However, previous results suggested that direct 356 contacts with mother or nest material are not necessary for hatchling hoopoes to develop 357 358 normal uropygial glands and acquire enterococci symbionts [13]. Thus, it is unlikely that the strong influence of nest of origin, and the similarity among bacterial 359 360 communities of related individuals detected here, were exclusively explained by vertical transmission. An additional interpretation of these results is that the first microbes to 361 colonize the hatchling glands will exclude subsequent colonists. So an empty gland 362 acquires any microbe, but this is biased in nature because the natal nest is dominated by 363 364 microbes from the mother, and later from the hatchling itself after it has been colonized. Thus, the transmission would be technically horizontal (because it has an external 365 phase) in this scenario, but the external phase is determined by the mother similar to 366 vertical transmission. 367

368 An alternative explanation of the strong effects of nest of origin is that related 369 hoopoes share characteristics of their uropygial gland and/or secretion (i.e., chemical 370 properties) that influence the composition of the bacterial community established.

Bacteria from the environment that were compatible with characteristics of the 371 uropygial gland and secretion of hoopoes would colonize hosts. Within the uropygial 372 gland, competitive ability of different bacterial strains would depend on the particular 373 374 environment (i.e. chemicals, resources, etc.) provided by hoopoes, which would determine the stabilized microbiome of the uropygial secretion [see 19]. Even if this 375 was the explanation of the large detected microbiome similarities among relatives, the 376 detected effects of nest of rearing suggest a plastic microbiome response to 377 environmental changes after reaching certain stability level (i.e. uropygial gland 378 functioning). 379

380 Nests of birds are considered as extended phenotypes of parents because nest site selection, nest building behavior, nest sanitation behavior, etc. are characters with 381 strong genetic components [42, 43]. Thus, nests are indirect genetic (i.e., parental) 382 383 effects for nestlings where natural selection would work [39]. Estimated effects of nest 384 of rearing, but also most of those of nest of origin are likely associated to environmental 385 characteristics of nests (included bacterial communities and characteristics of mothers). Thus, independently of the relative importance of genetic, environmental and maternal 386 effects, the factors determining the bacterial community of the uropygial secretion of 387 nestling hoopoes have a considerable genetic background to be modulated by natural 388 389 selection.

Detecting mechanisms explaining how beneficial microbiomes are established and maintained within their hosts is a major question in evolutionary biology [5, 44]. Here, we found a strong effect of nest of origin that likely included indirect genetic effects, but also evidence of an influence of the environment. This effect suggests the composition of the microbial community in the uropygial secretion of hoopoes for which evidence of beneficial effects for hosts are accumulating [23, 45-47] is plastic. We expect that these results will encourage further research directed to detect factors driving phenotypic plasticity of the symbiotic microbiome of hoopoe uropygial gland, including physiological and morphological characteristics of the gland as well as characteristics of the microbiome of mothers, siblings and nests.

400

401 Acknowledgements

We thank Estefanía López Hernández and Olga Corona Forero for help in laboratory
work; Ana Belén García, Jonathan Romero Masegosa, Manuel Soto Cárdenas, Natalia
Juárez García Pelayo and Jorge Doña Reguera for help in caring of captive hoopoes.
Support by funding was provided by Spanish Ministerio de Economía y
Competitividad, European funds (FEDER) (CGL2013-48193-C3-1-P, CGL2013-48193C3-2-P) and Junta de Andalucía (P09-RNM-4557). AM-G had a predoctoral grant from
the Junta de Andalucía (P09-RNM-4557).

409

410 Authorship statement

JJS and MM-V designed the study, JJS and AM-G carried out statistical analyses. AM-G together with SRR performed molecular analyses. AM-G, LA and JMPS performed most of the field work. AM-G wrote the first version of the manuscript with substantial contribution from MRR and JJS. All authors contributed to the final version of the article.

- 416
- 417

418

Reference List

- Moran NA, Wernegreen JJ (2000) Lifestyle evolution in symbiotic bacteria: insights from genomics. Trends Ecol Evol 15:321-326
- 421 2. Darby AC, Douglas AE (2003) Elucidation of the transmission patterns of an insect-borne Bacterium. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:4403-4407

- 3. Nyholm SV, McFall-Ngai M (2004) The winnowing: establishing the squid-vibrio symbiosis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2:632-642. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro957
- 425
 4. Lindquist N, Barber PH, and Weisz JB (2005) Episymbiotic microbes as food
 426 and defence for marine isopods: unique symbioses in a hostile environment.
 427 Proc R Soc Lond B 272:1209-1216
- 428 5. Chaston J, Goodrich-Blair H (2010) Common trends in mutualism revealed by
 429 model associations between invertebrates and bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev
 430 34:41-58. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2009.00193.x
- 431
 6. Salem H, Florez L, Gerardo N, and Kaltenpoth M (2015) An out-of-body
 432 experience: the extracellular dimension for the transmission of mutualistic
 433 bacteria in insects. Proc R Soc Lond B 282. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.2957
- Nussbaumer AD, Fisher CR, and Bright M (2006) Horizontal endosymbiont
 transmission in hydrothermal vent tubeworms. Nature 441:345-348. doi:
 10.1038/nature04793
- 8. Salerno JL, Macko SA, Hallam SJ, Bright M, Won YJ, McKiness Z, and Van
 Dover CL (2005) Characterization of symbiont populations in life-history stages
 of mussels from chemosynthetic environments. Biol Bull 208:145-155
- Hirose E, Adachi R, and Kuze K (2006) Sexual reproduction of the *Prochloron*bearing ascidians, *Trididemnum cyclops* and *Lissoclinum bistratum*, in
 subtropical waters: seasonality and vertical transmission of photosymbionts. J
 Mar Biol Assoc U K 86:175-179
- Sharp KH, Davidson SK, and Haygood MG (2007) Localization of `*Candidatus Endobugula* sertula' and the bryostatins throughout the life cycle of the bryozoan
 Bugula neritina. ISME J 1:693-702
- 447 11. Davidson SK, Stahl DA (2008) Selective recruitment of bacteria during
 448 embryogenesis of an earthworm. ISME J 2:510-518
- Bright M, Bulgheresi S (2010) A complex journey: transmission of microbial
 symbionts. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:218-230. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2262
- Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Soler JJ, Martín-Vivaldi M, Martín-Platero AM, Méndez M,
 Peralta-Sánchez JM, Ananou S, Valdivia E, and Martínez-Bueno M (2014)
 Environmental factors shape the community of symbionts in the uropygial gland
 of hoopoes more than genetic factors. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:6714-6723
- 455 14. Douglas AE (1998) Host benefit and the evolution of specialization in symbiosis. Heredity 81:599-603
- 457 15. Herre EA (1993) Population structure and the evolution of virulence in nematode parasites of fig wasps. Science 259:1442-1445
- 459 16. Frank SA (1996) Models of Parasite Virulence. Q Rev Biol 71:37-78

- 460 17. Poulsen M, Bot ANM, Currie CR, Nielsen MG, and Boomsma JJ (2003)
 461 Within-colony transmission and the cost of a mutualistic bacterium in the leaf-462 cutting ant Acromyrmex octospinosus. Funct Ecol 17:260-269
- 463 18. Frank SA (1997) Models of symbiosis. Am Nat 150:s80-s99
- 464 19. Scheuring I, Yu DW (2012) How to assemble a beneficial microbiome in three
 465 easy steps. Ecol Lett 15:1300-1307
- 20. Currie CR, Poulsen M, Mendenhall J, Boomsma JJ, and Billen J (2006)
 Coevolved crypts and exocrine glands support mutualistic bacteria in fungusgrowing ants. Science 311:81-83
- Quercia S, Candela M, Giuliani C, Turroni S, Luiselli D, Rampelli S, Brigidi P,
 Franceschi C, Bacalini MG, Garagnani P, and Pirazzini C (2014) From lifetime
 to evolution: escales of human gut microbiota adaptation. Frontiers in
 Microbiology 5. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00587
- 473 22. Moeller AH, Li YY, Ngole EM, Ahuka-Mundeke S, Lonsdorf EV, Pusey AE,
 474 Peeters M, Hahn BH, and Ochman H (2014) Rapid changes in the gut
 475 microbiome during human evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 476 Sciences of the United States of America 111:16431-16435
- Soler JJ, Martín-Vivaldi M, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Valdivia E, Martín-Platero AM,
 Martínez-Bueno M, Peralta-Sánchez JM, and Méndez M (2008) Symbiotic
 association between hoopoes and antibiotic-producing bacteria that live in their
 uropygial gland. Funct Ecol 22:864-871
- 481 24. Martín-Vivaldi M, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Soler JJ, Peralta-Sanchez JM, Mendez
 482 M, Valdivia E, Martin-Platero AM, and Martínez-Bueno M (2009) Seasonal,
 483 sexual and developmental differences in hoopoe *Upupa epops* preen gland
 484 morphology and secretions: evidence for a role of bacteria. J Avian Biol 40:191485 205
- 486 25. Rodríguez-Ruano SM (2015) Diversidad bacteriana en la glándula uropigial de la abubilla: dinámica estacional y beneficios asociados. Dissertation, Granada University
- Rehsteiner U (1996) Abundance and habitat requirements of the Hoopoe *Upupa epops* in Extremadura (Spain). Ornithol Beobachter 93:277-287
- 491 27. Barbaro L, Couzi L, Bretagnolle V, Nezan J, and Vetillard F (2008) Multi-scale
 492 habitat selection and foraging ecology of the eurasian hoopoe (*Upupa epops*) in
 493 pine plantations. Biodivers Conserv 17:1073-1087
- Schaub M, Martinez N, Tagmann-Ioset A, Weisshaupt N, Maurer ML, Reichlin
 TS, Abadi F, Zbinden N, Jenni L, and Arlettaz R (2010) Patches of bare ground
 as a staple commodity for declining ground-foraging insectivorous farmland
 birds. PLoS ONE 5:e13115. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0013115

- 498 29. Martín-Vivaldi M, Palomino JJ, Soler M, and Soler JJ (1999) Determinants of
 499 reproductive success in the Hoopoe *Upupa epops*, a hole-nesting non-passerine
 500 bird with asynchronous hatching. Bird Study 46:205-216
- S01 30. Cramp S. (1998) Cramp's the complete birds of the Western Palearctic.
 S02 Optimedia, Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Sol 31. Cardinale M, Brusetti L, Quatrini P, Borin S, Puglia A, Rizzi A, Zanardini E,
 Sorlini C, Corselli C, and Daffonchio D (2004) Comparison of different primer
 sets for use in automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis of complex
 bacterial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 70:6147-6156
- 32. Ramette A (2009) Quantitative community fingerprinting methods for
 estimating the abundance of operational taxonomic units in natural microbial
 communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:2495-2505
- 510 33. Legendre P, Legendre L. (1998) Numerical Ecology. Elsevier Science,
 511 Amsterdam
- Merilä J (1996) Genetic variation in offspring condition: an experiment. Funct
 Ecol 10:465-474
- 514 35. Lucas FS, Heeb P (2005) Environmental factors shape cloacal bacterial
 515 assemblages in great tit *Parus major* and blue tit *P. caeruleus* nestlings. J Avian
 516 Biol 36:510-516
- 517 36. Zuur AF, Ieno EN, , Smith GM. (2007) Analysing ecological data. Springer,
 518 New York, NY
- 519 37. Statsoft Inc. (2011) STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 10.
 520 www.statsoft.com,
- 38. Soler JJ, Moreno J, and Potti J (2003) Environmental, genetic and maternal
 components of immunocompetence of nestling pied flycatchers from a crossfostering study. Evol Ecol Research 5:259-272
- 39. Mousseau TA, Fox CW (1998) The adaptive significance of maternal effects.
 Trends Ecol Evol 13:403-407
- 40. Wolf JB, Brodie III ED (1998) The coadaptation of parental and offspring
 characters. Evolution 52:299-308
- 41. Wolf JB, Brodie III ED, Cheverud JM, Moore AJ, and Wade MJ (1998)
 Evolutionary consequences of indirect genetic effects. Trends Ecol Evol 13:6469
- 42. Hansell M. (2000) Bird nests and construction behaviour. Cambridge University
 Press, Cambridge
- 43. Walsh PT, Hansell M, Borello WD, and Healy SD (2009) Repeatability of nest
 morphology in African weaver birds. Biol Lett

- 44. Prosser JI, Bohannan BJM, Curtis TP, Ellis RJ, Firestone MK, Freckleton RP,
 Green JL, Green LE, Killham K, Lennon JJ, Osborn AM, Solan M, van der Gast
 CJ, and Young JP (2007) The role of ecological theory in microbial ecology. Nat
 Rev Microbiol 5:384-392. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1643
- 45. Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Valdivia E, Soler JJ, Martin-Vivaldi M, Martin-Platero AM,
 and Martinez-Bueno M (2009) Symbiotic bacteria living in the hoopoe's
 uropygial gland prevent feather degradation. J Exp Biol 212:3621-3626. doi:
 10.1242/jeb.031336
- 46. Martín-Vivaldi M, Peña A, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Sánchez L, Ananou S, RuizRodríguez M, and Soler JJ (2010) Antimicrobial chemicals in hoopoe preen
 secretions are produced by symbiotic bacteria. Proc R Soc Lond B 277:123-130.
 doi: 10.1098/rspb.2009.1377
- 47. Martín-Vivaldi M, Soler JJ, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Arco L, Martín-Platero AM, Martínez-Bueno M, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, and Valdivia E (2014) Special structures of hoopoe eggshells enhance the adhesion of symbiont-carrying uropygial secretion that increase hatching success. J Anim Ecol 83:1289-1301. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12243
- 552 553

Table 1. Results of a PERMANOVA model explaining matrices of similarity among the bacterial communities found in the uropygial secretions of hoopoe nestlings. The model includes identity of nest of origin (genetic factor) and rearing (environmental factor) nested within nest of origin. Bold p-values are those lower than 0.05.

Factors	Pseudo-F	df	р	Permutations	% Explained
					variance
(a) Nest of origin	3.56	27	>0.001	9693	37.0
(b) Nest of rearing	1.28	27	0.013	9737	14.9
(nested in (a))					

559

Figure 1. Prevalence (%) of different bacterial OTUs (named by their length in terms of base pairs (bp)) found in more than 30% of samples from uropygial glands of hoopoe nestlings (N = 165) and females (N = 44).

The running ti 2. Multidimensional space representation (PCoA) based on similarities of the OTUs communities harbored in uropygial secretions of hoopoe females and nonmoved nestlings. The total variance explained is also shown (captured by the three axes = 33.1%).

568

Figure 3. Similarities in composition of bacterial communities (Jaccard's distance in 569 percentage) among samples from cross-fostering experiments. (A) Similarity between 570 uropygial secretions of nestlings that did not grow in their nests of origin (experimental 571 nestlings) and genetic siblings reared in their native nests (between siblings), or foster 572 573 siblings (between stepsiblings). (B) Similarities between microbiomes of the experimental moved nestlings and those of their genetic (mother - nestlings) or foster 574 575 (stepmother - nestlings) mothers. Lines connect estimates of individuals from the same 576 pair of cross-fostered nests.

579 Fig 1







587 Fig 3





589 Appendix 1. Prevalence (%) of different bacterial OTUs (named by their length in terms of base pairs (bp)) found in

all sampled uropygial glands of nestlings (N=165) and females (N=44). Bold numbers show OTUs that were detected

in more than 30% of samples from females or nestlings

OTU	Females	Nestlings	OTU	Females	Nestlings	OTU	Females	Nestlings
100	11.11	9.09	295	0.00	1.21	499	2.22	5.45
103	2.22	1.82	299	22.22	13.33	503	0.00	1.21
107	0.00	0.61	303	37.78	41.21	507	6.67	4.85
111	6.67	10.30	307	13.33	31.52	511	28.89	25.45
115	4.44	1.21	311	53.33	46.67	515	17.78	12.73
119	0.00	9.09	315	4.44	7.27	519	17.78	14.55
123	0.00	3.64	319	28.89	28.48	523	15.56	16.97
127	15.56	16.97	323	4.44	10.91	527	11.11	38.18
131	13.33	27.27	327	13.33	28.48	531	24.44	32.12
135	11.11	15.15	331	55.56	45.45	535	68.89	56.97
139	28.89	16.36	335	11.11	24.24	539	11.11	10.91
143	2.22	0.00	339	33.33	26.67	543	2.22	17.58
147	13.33	22.42	343	6.67	15.15	547	0.00	2.42
151	4.44	13.33	347	73.33	70.91	551	6.67	6.06
155	8.89	21.82	351	22.22	31.52	555	55.56	26.06
159	2.22	7.88	355	17.78	13.33	559	15.56	8.48
163	6.67	4.24	359	2.22	2.42	563	24.44	34.55
167	0.00	4.24	363	31.11	24.24	567	66.67	55.76
171	33.33	2.42	367	15.56	16.97	571	8.89	12.73
179	2.22	3.03	371	0.00	1.21	575	2.22	5.45
183	84.44	80.00	375	0.00	0.61	579	11.11	4.24
187	8.89	10.91	379	33.33	31.52	583	17.78	18.79
191	17.78	20.61	383	0.00	0.61	587	13.33	10.91
195	44.44	58.18	387	0.00	1.21	591	2.22	2.42
199	15.56	13.94	391	4.44	5.45	595	8.89	4.85
203	4.44	1.21	395	2.22	6.06	599	6.67	4.24
207	0.00	1.21	399	11.11	6.06	603	4.44	0.00
211	2.22	3.03	403	6.67	5.45	611	6.67	4.24
215	4.44	6.67	407	80.00	85.45	619	4.44	2.42
219	44.44	47.27	411	11.11	13.94	639	2.22	1.21
223	4.44	3.03	415	2.22	1.21	647	8.89	4.85
227	8.89	4.85	419	8.89	18.79	651	0.00	0.61
231	15.56	10.91	423	24.44	21.82	659	2.22	4.24
235	17.78	2.42	427	13.33	20.00	667	0.00	0.61
239	11.11	10.91	431	0.00	2.42	675	0.00	0.61
243	57.78	58.79	439	8.89	8.48	679	0.00	0.61
247	15.56	6.67	451	2.22	4.85	699	2.22	9.70
251	2.22	2.42	455	4.44	1.82	703	0.00	1.82
255	75.56	73.33	459	2.22	3.03	711	2.22	2.42
259	2.22	6.06	463	4.44	3.64	715	0.00	0.61
263	20.00	18.79	467	75.56	80.00	719	0.00	0.61
267	0.00	1.82	471	51.11	44.24	731	0.00	1.21
271	15.56	18.18	475	44.44	43.03	755	0.00	0.61
275	48.89	60.61	479	15.56	14.55	767	4.44	2.42
279	80.00	78.18	483	2.22	1.21	775	0.00	0.61
283	31.11	25.45	487	2.22	2.42	779	0.00	0.61
287	6.67	10.91	491	24.44	17.58	847	0.00	0.61
291	0.00	6.67	495	4 4 4	8 4 8			