1	
2	
3	Nestedness of hoopoes' bacterial communities: symbionts from the
4	uropygial gland to the eggshell
5	
6	
7	Juan J. Soler ^{a*†} , Ángela Martínez-García ^{a*†} , Sonia Rodríguez-Ruano ^b ;
8	Manuel Martínez Bueno ^b , Antonio Manuel Martín-Platero ^b , Juan Manuel
9	Peralta-Sánchez ^b & Manuel Martín-Vivaldi ^c
10	
11	^a Estación Experimental de Zonas Aridas (CSIC) E-04120 Almería, Spain;
12	^b Departamento de Microbiogía Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain;
13	^c Departamento de Zoología Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain;
14	
15	[*] To whom correspondence should be addressed.
16	E-mail: jsoler@eeza.csic.es,
17	[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.
18	
19	Word counts: 7031
20	
21	Author contributions: JJS and MM-V designed the study with considerable assistance
22	from MM-B. AM-G and SRR performed all molecular analyses and binning with
23	considerable assistance of AMM-P. AM-G and JMPS performed most of the field work
24	with assistance by MM-V and JJS.JJS performed all statistical analyses and wrote and
25	first version with help byMM-V; all other authors substantially contributed to the final
26	version.
27	

29 How microbial symbionts are established and maintain on their hosts is a leading question with important consequences for the understanding of the evolution and 30 functioning of mutualistic relationships. The acquisition by hosts of mutualistic 31 microbial symbionts can be considered as colonization processes of environments (i.e., 32 host) by symbionts. Colonization processes can be explored by characterizing 33 34 nestedness of communities, but this approach has rarely been applied to communities of microbial symbionts. We here used this approach, and estimated nestedness of bacterial 35 communities of hoopoes (Upupa epops), a species with symbiotic bacteria in their 36 37 uropygial gland that are expected to colonize eggshells where they protect embryos from pathogens. Bacterial communities were characterized by ARISA and studied 38 nestedness characteristics of bacterial communities living in the uropygial secretion, 39 40 bill, belly and eggshells of each sampled female hoopoes. We detected a consistent nested pattern of bacterial communities of hoopoes; from the uropygial gland to the 41 42 eggshell. We also found evidence of study year and reproductive event influencing level of nestedness of bacterial communities of hoopoes. These results indicate that bacterial 43 communities of eggshells and body parts of female hoopoes are at least partially 44 45 conditioned by the symbiotic community in the uropygial gland. We discuss the importance of these results for understanding this host-microbial mutualism functioning 46 and evolution. 47

48 Keywords: bacteria meta-community, birds, ecological network, eggshells, mutualistic
49 bacteria, symbiosis, preening, uropygial secretion

50 Introduction

51 Host species may receive from their microbial symbionts a multitude of benefits (mutualistic) and costs (parasitic), and understanding how microorganisms are 52 53 established and maintained within their hosts is a leading question in evolutionary biology that is being explored from different perspectives such as molecular biology, 54 behavioral ecology, community ecology and evolutionary game theory (Bright & 55 Bulgheresi, 2010; Archie & Theis, 2011; Ezenwa et al., 2012; Scheuring & Yu, 2012; 56 McFall-Ngai et al., 2013). Mainly for horizontally acquired mutualistic symbionts, 57 authors have traditionally dealt with this question by considering antagonistic 58 characteristics of bacterial strains driving competitive exclusion within bacterial 59 communities; and hosts may even be able to drive this interference competition and 60 favor the recruit of appropriated microbial symbionts (Scheuring & Yu, 2012). 61

62 Bacterial communities are not isolated from each other and sometimes come in direct contact due to their expansion or because of migration of some species or strains 63 with particular antagonistic characteristics (Long & Azam, 2001; Prasad et al., 2011; 64 Long et al., 2013). Such interactions would influence functionality (i.e. antibiotic 65 production and resistance) of bacterial communities as a whole (Cordero et al., 2012) 66 67 and, in the case of including mutualistic bacteria, the adaptive value for their hosts. In this scenario, hosts can acquire beneficial bacteria from the surrounding communities 68 and recruit them into the mutualistic community, which produces antimicrobials that 69 impede or limit proliferation of pathogenic strains at particular body locations. Thus, 70 identifying the degree of connection among different bacterial communities of animal or 71 plant hosts in a meta-community framework would help to understand the mechanisms 72 by which particular symbionts help their hosts and therefore the evolution of mutualistic 73 relationships (Chagnon et al., 2012). This exercise, which is lately approached within 74

frameworks derived from network theory, has recently been applied to ecological
studies of several mutualistic systems including those of plants and mycorrhizals
(Chagnon et al., 2012; Montesinos-Navarro et al., 2012; Jacquemyn et al., 2015).
However, it has largely been ignored in studies exploring mutualistic associations
between bacteria and animal hosts.

Some mutualistic symbionts or their produced antimicrobial chemicals protect 80 81 ant gardens, wood galleries of beetles and embryos of shrimp, lobsters, squid, wasps, salamanders and birds from pathogenic bacteria and/or competitor fungi (Gil-Turnes et 82 al., 1989; Barbieri et al., 1997; Currie et al., 1999; Barbieri et al., 2001; Kaltenpoth et 83 84 al., 2005; Cardoza et al., 2006; Banning et al., 2008; Scott et al., 2008; Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2014b). Microbial communities growing in ant gardens or on embryos coverings, 85 should be interconnected with, and at least partially determined by, the ones inhabiting 86 87 the body of host individuals. This is for instance the case of hoopoes (*Upupa epops*) harboring beneficial bacteria with high antimicrobial potential in their uropygial gland 88 89 (Martín-Platero et al., 2006; Soler et al., 2008; Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2010; Ruiz-Rodríguez et al., 2012; 2013; 2014). In this species, incubating females collect the 90 uropygial secretion with the bill and, then, use it to either preen feathers (Ruiz-91 Rodríguez et al., 2009) including those of the belly, or to directly smear the eggshells 92 (Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2009; Soler et al., 2014; Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2014b). In this 93 way, the bacteria hosted in the female uropygial gland can reach the eggshell indirectly 94 by mean of the secretion on the bill surface, or during incubation by mean of secretion 95 impregnated on belly skin and feathers. Thus, the bacterial community on the eggshells 96 should be conditioned by that on the bill and/or belly; which in turn should depend on 97 the bacterial community in the uropygial gland of females. We previously have shown 98 that some of the bacterial strains detected in the uropygial gland are also detected on the 99

bill, brood patch and eggshell of hoopoes, and that finding some of them in one of these 100 101 location (i.e. uropygial oil) increase the probability of detecting the same bacteria in some other location (i.e. eggshells) of the same female (Martínez-García et al., 2015). 102 103 Finding evidence of such hypothetical hierarchized relationship among bacterial communities from the gland to the eggshells would suggest a causal explanation (i.e. 104 direction of colonization) for the relationship between bacterial community of the 105 106 uropygial secretion and that living on the eggshell of hoopoes. Furthermore, because the bacterial symbionts are of adaptive value for hosts, it would contribute to understand 107 functionality and evolution of the mutualistic relationship. 108

One useful approach to detect interactions affecting the distribution pattern of 109 multiple species across multiples localities is nestedness analysis (Ulrich et al., 2009; 110 Ulrich & Almeida-Neto, 2012; Traveset et al., 2014). The nestedness concept originated 111 112 in the context of explaining insular biotas as result of colonization by a source pool of species from mainland and has two different components. The first one estimates 113 114 nestedness among species; i.e., better dispersers are expected to colonize the majority of 115 islands, including the most distant ones, whereas poor dispersers would be restricted to the less isolated island, which results in a nested pattern of species occurrence on 116 islands (Ulrich & Almeida-Neto, 2012). The second component of nestedness detects 117 non-random patterns of variability of species composition along environmental 118 gradients (Ulrich & Almeida-Neto, 2012). Thus, in meta-communities, the presence of 119 strong components of nestedness is a clear indication of coupled gradients of site 120 environmental characteristics and species traits (Ulrich et al., 2009). Nested patterns are 121 also common in ecological networks of interacting species (Bascompte et al., 2003; 122 Fortuna et al., 2010) and have rarely been explored in bacterial communities (Poisot et 123 al., 2011; Aguirre-von-Wobeser et al., 2014). Knowledge of the nestedness of symbiotic 124

meta-communities will consequently help the comprehension of the dynamic andstability of microbial communities of animals including those of adaptive value.

Here, we study nestedness characteristics of bacterial communities living in the 127 uropygial secretion, bill, belly and eggshells of hoopoes, which correspond to the 128 second nestedness component exposed above. Before establishing on the eggshells, 129 bacteria from the uropygial gland should be detected in the bill and/or the belly of 130 females. Thus, finding statistical support of bacterial communities of hoopoes being 131 nested in that direction would suggest that some of the bacteria in the bill, belly and 132 eggshell of hoopoes came from those in the uropygial gland. There is strong 133 experimental evidence suggesting that environmental conditions such as resource 134 availability, temperature, pH, etc. may influence the outcomes of interactions among 135 bacterial communities (Grossart et al., 2004; Long et al., 2005; 2013) and the evolution 136 137 of mutualistic relationships (Flórez et al., 2015). We here explored possible influences of year, breeding attempt and breeding conditions (captivity vs. wild) on nestedness 138 139 estimates of bacterial communities of breeding hoopoes.

140

141 Material and Methods

142 Field work

The fieldwork was performed during the breeding seasons 2010-2011 in a wild population located in the Hoya de Guadix (37°18'N, 38°11'W), southern Spain, where hoopoes breed in crops, forests and gullies within nest-boxes placed in trees or buildings (Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2009). In 2011 hoopoes were also sampled in two captive populations maintained at the Hoya of Guadix in Granada, and in the Finca Experimental la Hoya, in Almería (36°50'N, 2°28'W) since 2008. Breeding pairs of hoopoes were housed in independent cages at least 3m x 2m x 2m installed in the open, scattered and isolated to avoid interactions among pairs. Cages had access to soil and
provided with live food (crickets, vitamin-enriched fly larvae) and meat (beef heart) *ad libitum*.

The hoopoe is a hole-nesting species that mainly breed in open woods or open areas with scattered trees (Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2014a). Hoopoe females usually lay two clutches of 6-8 eggs along the breeding season, between February and July (Martín-Vivaldi et al., 1999).

157

158 Bacterial sampling

159 Incubating females were sampled 14 days after laying the first egg. We wore new latex gloves cleaned with ethanol during the whole sampling process. Incubating females 160 were caught from the nest box, feathers around the gland were separated and washed 161 162 with ethanol to avoid contamination, and 5 μ l of uropygial secretion were collected with a micropipette directly from within the uropygial gland. The secretion was introduced in 163 164 a sterile 1.5 mL microfuge tube and stored at 4°C. Afterwards, we sampled the complete bill and belly (brood patch) of the females and the eggshells of the whole clutch. Each 165 sample was collected by cleaning the surfaces with a sterile swab slightly wet with 166 167 sterile sodium phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 7.2). The swabs were preserved in 1.5 mL microfuge tubes with 1.2 ml of buffer at 4°C. Gloves were cleaned with ethanol after 168 collecting each of the samples of a nest to avoid contamination among samples, and, 169 within 12 hours after collection, all samples were stored at -20°C until the molecular 170 analyses. 171

172

173 Laboratory work

Given the viscosity of the uropygial secretion, bacterial DNA from these samples was 174 175 extracted with a commercial kit (The FavorPrep[™] Blood Genomic DNA Extraction Kit). Bacterial DNA from swabs kept in phosphate buffer was extracted by following 176 Chelex-based DNA isolation protocol, proposed by Martín-Platero et al. (2010). The 177 use of different DNA isolation methodologies is not a problem for our goals. Higher 178 DNA isolation yields will not produce higher richness detection with the analysis 179 method applied (ARISA, see below). ARISA (Automated rRNA Intergenetic Spacer 180 Region) capture most abundant populations with low power detecting the long tail of 181 low abundant ones. Thus, bacterial communities were characterized following the well-182 183 established ARISA protocol (Fisher & Triplett, 1999). Briefly, we amplified the 16S/23S intergenic spacer region by using the primer pair ITSF and ITSReub consisted 184 of 5'-GTCGTAACAAGGTAGCCGTA-3' (forward primer sequence) and 5'-185 186 GCCAAGGCATCCACC-3' labeled fluorescently with 6-FAM (reverse primer sequence) (Cardinale et al., 2004). PCR amplifications were performed in 50 µl reaction 187 188 volumes containing ultrapure H₂O, 2.5x5 PRIME MasterMix including 1.5mM Magnesium, 200 mM dNTPs, 1.25 U Taq polymerase (5 PRIME, Hamburg, Germany), 189 0.2 mM of primers and 5µl of diluted DNA 1:10. PCR reactions were carried out in 190 Eppendorf Mastercycler nexus Family. Fragments were amplified under the following 191 conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles with 192 denaturation at 94 °C for 45 s, annealing at 52 °C for 45 s and extension at 72 °C for 1 193 min, with a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min. Amplified PCR products were diluted 194 1:10 and denatured by heating in formamide. Fragment lengths were determined by 195 means of automated fluorescent capillary electrophoresis on 3130 Genetic Analyzer and 196 electropherogram peak values were calculated after interpolation with an internal size 197 standard named GeneScan[™] 1200 LIZ dye Size Standard (both Applied Biosystems). 198

199 These analyses were realized in the ING unity (Genetic Information) of CIC (Scientific200 Instrumentation Center) of the University of Granada.

Resulting fragment lengths were analyzed with Peak Scanner v 1.0 (Applied 201 Biosystems) by the "Microsat G5" method. We considered peaks with values of relative 202 fluorescence intensity higher than 0.09% and fragments above a threshold of 50 203 fluorescence units, ranging between 100 and 1,000 bp (base pairs). Operational 204 Taxonomic Units (OTUs) were established by calculating the best binning frame of 205 206 different fragment lengths considering a window size (WS) of 4bp and a distance between two consecutive binning frames (Sh) of 0.1. This exercise was carried out in 207 "R" environment (http://cran.r-project.org/, R.2.12.2 (R Development Core Team, 208 following Ramette 209 2010)) scripts by (2009)at https://www.mpibremen.de/en/Software 2.html. We identified 145 OTUs that appeared with different 210 211 frequencies in different hoopoes bacterial communities.

212

213 Sample sizes and statistical analyses

214 We collected 468 bacterial samples from 81 females, but we failed to amplify bacterial DNA of 21 samples from uropygial gland, bill, brood patch or eggshells coming from 215 10 females. We, thus, considered 71 individual females with complete information of 216 bacterial communities of the secretion, bill, brood patch, and eggshells. Of these 217 females, 20 were sampled twice, 18 during the same season (i.e. two consecutive 218 breeding attempts) and 2 during their first breeding attempt of the two study years. Two 219 more females were sampled three times; one of them during consecutive breeding 220 events in 2011, and the other one was sampled once during the first breeding attempt of 221 2010 and twice during 2011. The remaining 49 females were only sampled during their 222 first breeding attempt. We performed 27 samplings in 2010 and 78 in 2011. 223

The network between OTUs and sampled bacterial communities was built with the 226 "cca" method of the "plotweb" function in the library Bipartite (Dormann et al., 2008) 227 of the statistical software R.2.12.2 (R Development Core Team, 2010). As index of 228 nestedness, for each female and sampling event, we calculated the metric based on 229 overlap and decreasing fill (NODF) (Almeida-Neto et al., 2008; Almeida-Neto & 230 implemented in the user-friendly web 231 Ulrich, 2011) as interface NeD (http://ecosoft.alwaysdata.net/) by Strona et al. (2014). NODF can be estimated for 232 columns and rows and does not depend on number of rows and columns considered 233 (Almeida-Neto et al., 2008). NODF for columns would therefore inform of nestedness 234 of communities among sampling places, while NODF for rows will determine whether 235 236 the rarest OTUs are present in the sampling place that also have the most common (Almeida-Neto et al., 2008). NODF is dependent on the arrangement of columns and 237 238 rows which allow testing hypothesis about the cause of nestedness (i.e. direction of colonization) by ordering columns and rows according to criteria representing different 239 hypotheses (Almeida-Neto et al., 2008; Ulrich et al., 2009; Almeida-Neto & Ulrich, 240 2011; Traveset et al., 2014). To test our hypothesis we thus arranged columns following 241 the predicted colonization sequence from the uropygial gland through the bill and brood 242 patch to the eggshell and estimated NODF of columns, while rows (OTUs identity) 243 were arranged from those detected in all locations to those detected in only one or none. 244 We thus organized presence absence matrices for each sampling event (individual 245 females during a single reproductive event and study year) as including all bacterial 246 strains (OTUs) detected in samples from secretion, beak, brood patch or eggshells. 247 Locations of bacterial communities were in columns ordered following the expected 248

direction of nestedness (secretion, beak, brood patch or eggshells). OTUs identitieswere therefore organized as rows (ESM 1).

The significance of NODF of columns (hereafter NODF) values was assessed against 999 randomization using the Fixed row total – Equiprobable column totals (EF) null model that maintain observed row totals but allow column totals to vary randomly. This null model retains species occurrence frequencies per row but allow species richness per site (column totals) to vary randomly and equiprobably (Gotelli, 2000), which adjust to the hypothesis tested. NeD (Strona et al., 2014) computes Z-values as

$$Z = \frac{(NIr - \overline{NIs})}{\sigma(\overline{NIs})}$$

257 Where NIr is the NODF index of the matrix under examination, \overline{NIs} is the average 258 value of the set of index values for the null matrices generated by the program and 259 $\sigma(\overline{NIs})$ is the standard deviation. Z-values > 1.64 indicate statistical significance at P = 260 0.05.

We estimated NODF and Z-values with matrices built for each individual 261 sampling considering the four kinds of bacterial communities, but also excluding 262 community of brood patches because hoopoes may directly smear uropygial secretion 263 264 on the eggshells with the bill. In all cases communities were arranged according to the hypothesis tested. We later estimated average effect size of nestedness (i.e. NODF 265 index) of bacterial communities of hoopoes and of Z-values, and tested for possible 266 effects of breeding attempt, study year and captivity on the strength of communities' 267 nestedness. Statistical significance of average NODF values was inferred from the 95% 268 269 CI of Z-values (i.e. whether or not it includes the threshold value of 1.64).

270

271 Statistical models

Captive populations were only sampled in 2011 and thus the effect of study year on 272 273 nestedness of bacterial communities of hoopoes was explored only considering samples from the wild population. The statistical General Linear Model (GLM) included the 274 275 NODF values as dependent variable, year, breeding attempt and their interaction as fixed effects, and female identity nested within study year and its interaction with 276 breeding attempt as random factors. Similarly, for exploring the effect of captivity on 277 NODF values, we only used information from 2011, the only study year with samples 278 from captive and wild nests. In this case the GLM model included breeding condition 279 (captivity vs. wild), breeding attempt and their interaction as fixed effects, and female 280 281 identity nested within breeding condition and its interaction with breeding attempt as the random factors. These models were reduced removing terms one by one starting 282 with that with the largest associated p-value, up to p-values smaller than 0.1. Residuals 283 284 of performed models did follow a Gaussian distribution. GLM analyses were performed in Statistica 10.0 (Statsoft Inc., 2011). 285

Since the bacterial community of the secretion may access eggshells directly from the bill (e.g. Path: Secretion-Bill- Egg; hereafter SBE), or indirectly throughout the contact of bill with the brood patch (e.g. Path: Secretion-Bill-Brood-Egg; hereafter SBPE) (Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2014b), we performed the above analyses for NODF values estimated for SBE and SBPE bacterial meta-communities (NODF's values and information of individual samples are shown in ESM 2).

292

293 **Results**

We identified 145 different OTUs in the bacterial communities of hoopoes. 124 of these OTUs were detected in the uropygial secretion, 101 in the bill, 96 in the brood patch and 95 in the eggshell bacterial communities (Fig 1). The OTU richness observed per sampled nest ranged from 11 to 60 (N = 97, Mean (SE) = 33 (1.1), Mode = 40).

On average, sampled bacterial communities of hoopoes were nested from the 298 299 uropygial gland to the eggshells (Fig. 1) independently of considering or not the bacterial community of brood patch in the expected hierarchy of communities (Fig 2). 300 Nestedness of bacterial communities ordered in the opposite direction (from the eggs to 301 the uropygial secretion) were far from statistical significance being Z estimates close to 302 zero (NODF: mean = 14.12, CI: 12.25-17.27, Z-NODF, mean: -0.06, CI: -0.34-0.23) 303 (Egg –Beak – Gland: NODF: mean = 9.37, CI: 6.93-11.80, Z-NODF, mean: -0.33, CI: -304 0.58--0.07). 305

Nestedness of hoopoe's bacterial communities did significantly vary between 306 study years (Table 1), being stronger in 2011 than in 2010 (Fig 2). Moreover, whether 307 308 or not the sampled nests were from captivity or from wild populations did not affect nestedness strength (Table 1). Further, NODF estimates for second breeding attempt 309 310 tended to be higher than those for first clutches (Table 1). In addition, the effect of 311 female identity did not reach statistical significance (Table 1) in any of the statistical models indicating that within-females variance is not significantly lower than the 312 variance among nests of different females. 313

Finally, NODF estimates for groups of bacterial communities including or not that of brood patch provide similar results, suggesting that eggshell bacterial community was equally nested in that of the brood patch than in the bacterial community of bill. All these results suggest that bacterial community of hoopoe eggshell is nested within that of the brood patch and/or bill; and that these bacterial communities are nested within that of symbiotic bacteria in the uropygial gland (Fig 1). These results therefore support the hypothetical pathway of bacteria from the uropygial gland to the egg surface. 321

322 Discussion

Our results show a general nested pattern of bacterial communities of hoopoes from the 323 324 uropygial gland to the eggshell, which is consistent across all individual females. The level of nestedness of hoopoes' bacterial communities varied between study years and 325 reproductive events, indicating environmental influences on the estimates. These results 326 therefore show that bacterial communities of eggshells and body parts of female 327 hoopoes are nested within the community in the uropygial gland. Below we discuss this 328 interpretation and the importance of estimating nestedness of bacterial communities for 329 understanding mechanisms (i.e. structure of bacterial communities) and inferring 330 causality of similarities among bacterial communities of hoopoes that could be extended 331 332 to other mutualistic systems.

333 Hoopoes harbor antibiotic producing bacteria in their uropygial gland that prevent feather degradation (Ruiz-Rodríguez et al., 2009) and trans-shell contamination 334 335 of embryos (Soler et al., 2008; Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2014b). Previous explorations of the bacterial community hosted in the uropygial gland of adult females and nestling 336 hoopoes was performed by mean of traditional culture techniques and mainly detected 337 few species of the Genus Enterococcus (Soler et al., 2008; Ruiz-Rodríguez et al., 2012; 338 2013; 2014). Modern molecular techniques allowed detecting a more complex bacterial 339 340 community in the uropygial secretion of females with 145 different OTUs (fragment size of the 16S/23S intergenetic space region varying between 103 and 999 bp). 341 Bacterial community of the uropygial secretion was even more diverse than those of the 342 beak, brood patch and eggshells (see results) (Martínez-García et al., 2015; Rodríguez-343 Ruano et al., 2015). The higher diversity of the uropygial community, together with the 344 known antimicrobial activity of secretion (Soler et al., 2008; Martín-Vivaldi et al., 345

2010) and of some of their bacterial symbionts (mainly enteroccocci (OTU307 and 346 347 OTU407 for Enterococcus faecalis), Martín-Platero et al., 2006; Ruiz-Rodríguez et al., 2012; 2013) opened the possibility of explaining the detected evidence of nestedness 348 349 among bacterial communities at places that directly or indirectly became in contact with the uropygial secretion (i.e. beak, feathers, brood patch, and eggshells). It is possible 350 that the antimicrobial activity of uropygial secretion kills non-resistant bacterial strains 351 at these locations, whereas most of the bacteria in the uropygial secretion will colonize 352 beak, feathers, brood patch, and eggshells. Because of the detected pattern of 353 nestedness, but also because of differences in environmental conditions experienced by 354 355 bacteria in the uropygial gland and on other sampled locations, bacterial communities of hoopoe's bill could include resistant bacteria to the antimicrobials of the uropygial 356 secretion (migrants or residents) plus those from the uropygial secretion that were able 357 358 to grow in aerobic conditions by using secretion or food remains or keratin for growth. Similarly, bacterial communities of brood patch and eggshell could include resistant 359 360 bacteria and those from the uropygial secretion that resist beak environmental conditions. 361

Environmental factors may also affect composition of bacterial communities. It 362 is known for instance that resource availability and temperature influence antagonistic 363 activity of different bacterial strains (Prasad et al., 2011; Rypien et al., 2010) and, thus, 364 abiotic and biotic factors might drive the outcomes of interactions among bacterial 365 communities. We have detected significant variation in nestedness of hoopoes bacterial 366 communities in relation to year and breeding attempt, and thus the distribution patterns 367 of multiple bacterial strains within host different habitats (i.e. nestedness) may be 368 partially explained by associated changes in environmental conditions affecting for 369 instance within-communities antagonistic activity. Particularly interesting is the effect 370

of year since nestedness among hoopoes microbiomes were only detected in 2011, the 371 372 year with the highest diversity of bacteria in the uropygial secretion (Martínez-García et al., 2015) suggesting that a more diverse microbiota of the uropygial secretion is better 373 374 able to influence eggshell microbiome. In previous work, we have also detected strong environmental effects on the acquisition of enterococci bacterial symbionts (Ruiz-375 Rodríguez et al., 2014) that highlight a possible effect of the environment determining 376 bacterial community of the uropygial secretion and, thus, characteristics of the 377 symbiotic relationship between hoopoes and bacteria. 378

An alternative non-ecological explanation worth discussing here is the 379 380 possibility that the detected nestedness was the consequence of considering dead or non-active bacteria in locations others than the uropygial gland. The molecular 381 techniques we used detect both active and dead bacteria and, therefore, characterized 382 383 communities may include inactive OTUs from the uropygial secretion that may be randomly dragged towards the eggshells. Simply because of random processes, bacteria 384 385 from the secretion that do not resist environmental conditions at the bill of hoopoes, will also be transported and thereby detected by molecular methods in samples from the 386 brood patch and eggshells. Obviously, because dead bacteria at the bill will pass to the 387 eggshell, they will be detected at lower rates in samples from the eggshells than in those 388 from the beak or the brood patch. Besides, the ARISA approach detects just the 389 dominant members of the community making unlikely the detection of the so called 390 rare-biosphere or low abundant bacteria such as those in a dormant state. Although we 391 cannot completely reject this possibility, using traditional culture techniques, we have 392 previously found a positive relationship between densities of symbiotic bacteria (i.e. 393 enterococci) on the eggshells and in the secretion of hoopoes (Martín-Vivaldi et al., 394

2014b) indicating that, at least, some of the bacteria in the uropygial secretion colonizethe eggshell.

The meta-community approach used here has as far as we know never been used 397 398 to characterize the association between mutualistic communities protecting hosts and those including potential pathogens. From an ecological perspective, symbionts that are 399 adaptive for hosts and for instance protect embryos from pathogenic infections are in 400 fact influencing or determining bacterial communities of egg covers. The beneficial 401 effects may be achieved by either/both, (i) directing antimicrobial chemicals from 402 symbionts to the eggshells and/or, (ii) transporting symbionts to the egg covers where 403 they grow and protect embryos. The former possibility would result in a microbial 404 community of resistant microbes, whereas the later would be detected by nested patterns 405 of communities. Interestingly, it may be even possible that some bacteria producing 406 407 antibiotics within the hosts (i.e. glands) were not able to grow outside, but their chemical products facilitated colonization of eggs cover by other symbionts. We still 408 409 have very limited knowledge of mechanisms of microbial symbiont protecting hosts. 410 The characterization of relationships (i.e. nestedness) between communities including pathogenic and/or symbiotic microorganisms, and the detection of geographical or 411 temporal changes in species composition and/or interaction in the context of network 412 (Poisot et al., 2011; 2012; 2014), or within classical meta-community theory (Costello 413 et al., 2012; Pillai et al., 2014), will definitely help to understand mechanisms and 414 evolution of host-microbial mutualisms functioning. 415

Our results show a hierarchical relationship between bacterial community in the uropygial gland of hoopoes and that of the eggshell, where symbionts and/or their antibiotic chemicals act preventing trans-shell bacterial colonization (Martín-Vivaldi et al., 2014b). Therefore, some bacterial strains from the uropygial secretion that are

present in the eggshells may directly straiten pathogens joining the bacterial 420 421 community. Although this possibility should be further tested, the meta-community approach used here allows us to infer the direction of bacterial colonization, which is 422 423 the basic prediction of the hypothesis of symbiotic bacteria functioning on the eggshells of hoopoes. The mutualistic relationship between hoopoes and bacteria may have 424 425 evolved favoring bacteria with antimicrobial properties that are able to reach eggshell 426 after colonizing bills and brood patch. The meta-community approach used here allows us to infer that it may be the case. We hope these results encourage further research in 427 this and other host-microbial mutualistic systems. 428

429

430 Acknowledgements

We thank Estefanía López Hernández and Olga Corona Forero for help in laboratory 431 432 work; Ana Belén García, Jonathan Romero, Manuel Soto, Magdalena Ruiz Rodríguez and Jorge Doña for help in caring for captive hoopoes. Laura Arco, Emilio Pagani and 433 434 Tomás Perez Contreras helped with the field work. Giovanni Strona provided advice and helped us with the NODF calculations. The manuscript benefits from comments on 435 a previous version by Miguel Angel Rodriguez-Gironés, Magdalena Ruiz-Rodríguez 436 and Eva Valdivia. We also thank three anonymous reviewers for their helpful 437 comments. Support by funding was provided by Spanish Ministerio de Economía y 438 Competitividad, European funds (FEDER) (CGL2013-48193-C3-1-P, CGL2013-48193-439 C3-2-P) and Junta de Andalucía (P09-RNM-4557). AMG had a PhD grant from the 440 Junta de Andalucía (P09-RNM-4557). 441

442

- 443
- 444

References

445

Aguirre-von-Wobeser E, Soberon-Chavez G, Eguiarte LE, Ponce-Soto GY, VazquezRosas-Landa M, Souza V. 2014. Two-role model of an interaction network of freeliving gamma-proteobacteria from an oligotrophic environment. *Environmental Microbiology* 16: 1366-1377

Almeida-Neto M, Guimaraes P, Guimaraes PR, Loyola RD, Ulrich W. 2008. A
consistent metric for nestedness analysis in ecological systems: reconciling concept and
measurement. *Oikos* 117: 1227-1239

- Almeida-Neto M, Ulrich W. 2011. A straightforward computational approach for
 measuring nestedness using quantitative matrices. *Environmental Modelling & Software*26: 173-178
- 456 Archie EA, Theis KR. 2011. Animal behaviour meets microbial ecology. *Animal*457 *Behaviour* 82: 425-436
- Banning J, Weddle A, Wahl III G, Simon M, Lauer A, Walters R, Harris R. 2008.
 Antifungal skin bacteria, embryonic survival, and communal nesting in four-toed salamanders, *Hemidactylium scutatum*. *Oecologia* 156: 423-429
- Barbieri E, Barry K, Child A, Wainwright N. 1997. Antimicrobial activity in the
 microbial community of the accessory nidamental gland and egg cases of *Loligo pealei*(Cephalopoda: Loliginidae). *Biological Bulletin* 193: 275-276
- Barbieri E, Paster BJ, Hughes D, Zurek L, Moser DP, Teske A, Sogin ML. 2001.
 Phylogenetic characterization of epibiotic bacteria in the accessory nidamental gland
 and egg capsules of the squid *Loligo pealei* (Cephalopoda : Loliginidae). *Environmental Microbiology* 3: 151-167
- Bascompte J, Jordano P, Melian CJ, Olesen JM. 2003. The nested assembly of plantanimal mutualistic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*USA 100: 9383-9387
- Bright M, Bulgheresi S. 2010. A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 8: 218-230

473 Cardinale M, Brusetti L, Quatrini P, Borin S, Puglia A, Rizzi A, Zanardini E, Sorlini C,
474 Corselli C, Daffonchio D. 2004. Comparison of different primer sets for use in
475 automated ribosomal intergenic spacer analysis of complex bacterial communities.
476 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 70: 6147-6156

- 477 Cardoza YJ, Klepzig KD, Raffa KF. 2006. Bacteria in oral secretions of an endophytic
 478 insect inhibit antagonistic fungi. *Ecological Entomology* 31: 636-645
- Chagnon PL, Bradley RL, Klironomos JN. 2012. Using ecological network theory to
 evaluate the causes and consequences of arbuscular mycorrhizal community structure. *New Phytologist* 194: 307-312
- 482 Cordero OX, Wildschutte H, Kirkup B, Proehl S, Ngo L, Hussain F, Le Roux F, Mincer
- T, Polz MF. 2012. Ecological populations of bacteria act as socially cohesive units of
 antibiotic production and resistance. *Science* 337: 1228-1231

- 485 Costello EK, Stagaman K, Dethlefsen L, Bohannan BJM, Relman DA. 2012. The
 486 application of ecological theory toward an understanding of the human microbiome.
 487 Science 336: 1255-1262
- 488 Currie CR, Scott JA, Summerbell RC, Malloch D. 1999. Fungus-growing ants use 489 antibiotic-producing bacteria to control garden parasites. *Nature* 398: 701-704
- 490 Dormann CF, Gruber B, Fruend J. 2008. Introducing the bipartite Package: Analysing
 491 Ecological Networks. *R News* 8: 8-11
- Ezenwa VO, Gerardo NM, Inouye DW, Medina M, Xavier JB. 2012. Animal behavior
 and the microbiome. *Science* 338: 198-199
- Fisher MM, Triplett EW. 1999. Automated approach for ribosomal intergenic spacer
 analysis of microbial diversity and its application to freshwater bacterial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 65: 4630-4636
- Flórez LV, Biedermann PHW, Engl T, Kaltenpoth M. 2015. Defensive symbioses of
 animals with prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms. *Natural Product Reports* 32:
 904-936
- Fortuna MA, Stouffer DB, Olesen JM, Jordano P, Mouillot D, Krasnov BR, Poulin R,
 Bascompte J. 2010. Nestedness versus modularity in ecological networks: two sides of
 the same coin? *Journal of Animal Ecology* 79: 811-817
- 503 Gil-Turnes MS, Hay ME, Fenical W. 1989. Symbiotic marine-bacteria chemically 504 defend crustacean embryos from a pathogenic fungus. *Science* 246: 116-118
- 505 Gotelli NJ. 2000. Null model analysis of species co-occurence patterns. *Ecology* 81: 2606-2621
- Grossart HP, Schlingloff A, Bernhard M, Simon M, Brinkhoff T. 2004. Antagonistic
 activity of bacteria isolated from organic aggregates of the German Wadden Sea. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 47: 387-396
- Jacquemyn H, Brys R, Waud M, Busschaert P, Lievens B. 2015. Mycorrhizal networks
 and coexistence in species-rich orchid communities. *New Phytologistn/a*
- Kaltenpoth M, Gottler W, Herzner G, Strohm E. 2005. Symbiotic bacteria protect wasp
 larvae from fungal infestation. *Current Biology* 15: 475-479
- Long RA, Azam F. 2001. Antagonistic interactions among marine pelagic bacteria.
 Applied and Environmental Microbiology 67: 4975-4983
- Long RA, Eveillard D, Franco SLM, Reeves E, Pinckney JL. 2013. Antagonistic
 interactions between heterotrophic bacteria as a potential regulator of community
 structure of hypersaline microbial mats. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 83: 74-81
- Long RA, Rowley DC, Zamora E, Liu J, Bartlett DH, Azam F. 2005. Antagonistic interactions among marine bacteria impede the proliferation of *Vibrio cholerae*. *Applied*
- 521 and Environmental Microbiology 71: 8531-8536

- 522 Martín-Platero AM, Valdivia E, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Soler JJ, Martín-Vivaldi M, 523 Maqueda M, Martínez-Bueno M. 2006. Characterization of antimicrobial substances 524 produced by *Enterococcus faecalis* MRR 10-3, isolated from the uropygial gland of the 525 hoopoe *Upupa epops*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 72: 4245-4249
- Martín-Platero AM, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Soler JJ, Martínez-Bueno M. 2010. Chelex based DNA isolation procedure for the identification of microbial communities of
 eggshell surfaces. *Analytical Biochemistry* 397: 253-255
- Martín-Vivaldi M, Doña J, Romero-Masegosa J, Soto-Cárdenas M. 2014a. Abubilla *Upupa epops*. In: Salvador A, Morales MB eds. *Enciclopedia Virtual de los Vertebrados Españoles*. Madrid: Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales
- 532 Martín-Vivaldi M, Palomino JJ, Soler M, Soler JJ. 1999. Determinants of reproductive 533 success in the Hoopoe *Upupa epops*, a hole-nesting non-passerine bird with 534 asynchronous hatching. *Bird Study* 46: 205-216
- 535 Martín-Vivaldi M, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Soler JJ, Peralta-Sanchez JM, Mendez M, 536 Valdivia E, Martin-Platero AM, Martínez-Bueno M. 2009. Seasonal, sexual and 537 developmental differences in hoopoe *Upupa epops* preen gland morphology and 538 secretions: evidence for a role of bacteria. *Journal of Avian Biology* 40: 191-205
- Martín-Vivaldi M, Peña A, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Sánchez L, Ananou S, Ruiz-Rodríguez
 M, Soler JJ. 2010. Antimicrobial chemicals in hoopoe preen secretions are produced by
 symbiotic bacteria. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B, Biological Sciences*277: 123-130
- Martín-Vivaldi M, Soler JJ, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Arco L, Martín-Platero AM, MartínezBueno M, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Valdivia E. 2014b. Special structures of hoopoe eggshells
 enhance the adhesion of symbiont-carrying uropygial secretion that increase hatching
 success. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 83: 1289-1301
- Martínez-García A, Soler JJ, Rodríguez-Ruano SM, Martínez-Bueno M, Martín-Platero
 AM, Juárez-García N, Martín-Vivaldi M. 2015. Preening as a vehicle for key bacteria in
 hoopoes. *Microb Ecol* 70: 1024-1033
- McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, Carey HV, Domazet-Loso T, Douglas AE,
 Dubilier N, Eberl G, Fukami T, Gilbert SF, Hentschel U, King N, Kjelleberg S, Knoll
 AH, Kremer N, Mazmanian SK, Metcalf JL, Nealson K, Pierce NE, Rawls JF, Reid A,
 Ruby EG, Rumpho M, Sanders JG, Tautz D, Wernegreen JJ. 2013. Animals in a
 bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 110: 3229-3236
- Montesinos-Navarro A, Segarra-Moragues JG, Valiente-Banuet A, Verd+ M. 2012.
 The network structure of plant-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 194: 536-547
- Pillai P, Gouhier TC, Vollmer SV. 2014. The cryptic role of biodiversity in the
 emergence of host-microbial mutualisms. *Ecology Letters* 17: 1437-1446

- Poisot T, Lepennetier G, Martinez E, Ramsayer J, Hochberg ME. 2011. Resource
 availability affects the structure of a natural bacteria-bacteriophage community. *Biology Letters* 7: 201-204
- Poisot T, Canard E, Mouillot D, Mouquet N, Gravel D. 2012. The dissimilarity of species interaction networks. *Ecology Letters* 15: 1353-1361
- Poisot T, Stouffer DB, Gravel D. 2014. Beyond species: why ecological interaction
 networks vary through space and time. *Oikos* DOI: 10.1111/oik.01719:
- Prasad S, Manasa P, Buddhi S, Singh SM, Shivaji S. 2011. Antagonistic interaction
 networks among bacteria from a cold soil environment. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*78: 376-385
- R Development Core Team. 2010. *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Ramette A. 2009. Quantitative community fingerprinting methods for estimating the
 abundance of operational taxonomic units in natural microbial communities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75: 2495-2505
- Rodríguez-Ruano SM, Martín-Vivaldi M, Martín-Platero AM, López-López JP, PeraltaSánchez JM, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Soler JJ, Valdivia E, Martínez-Bueno M. 2015. The
 Hoopoe's uropygial gland hosts a bacterial community influenced by the living
 conditions of the bird. *PLoS ONE* 10: e0139734
- Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Martinez-Bueno M, Martin-Vivaldi M, Valdivia E, Soler JJ. 2013.
 Bacteriocins with a broader antimicrobial spectrum prevail in enterococcal symbionts
 isolated from the hoopoe's uropygial gland. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 85: 495-502
- Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Valdivia E, Soler JJ, Martin-Vivaldi M, Martin-Platero AM,
 Martinez-Bueno M. 2009. Symbiotic bacteria living in the hoopoe's uropygial gland
 prevent feather degradation. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 212: 3621-3626
- Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Soler JJ, Martín-Vivaldi M, Martín-Platero AM, Méndez M,
 Peralta-Sánchez JM, Ananou S, Valdivia E, Martínez-Bueno M. 2014. Environmental
 factors shape the community of symbionts in the uropygial gland of hoopoes more than
 genetic factors. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 80: 6714-6723
- Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Valdivia E, Martín-Vivaldi M, Martín-Platero AM, Martínez-Bueno
 M, Méndez M, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Soler JJ. 2012. Antimicrobial activity and genetic
 profile of enteroccoci isolated from hoopoes uropygial gland. *PLoS ONE* 7: e41843
- Rypien KL, Ward JR, Azam F. 2010. Antagonistic interactions among coral-associated
 bacteria. *Environmental Microbiology* 12: 28-39
- Scheuring I, Yu DW. 2012. How to assemble a beneficial microbiome in three easy
 steps. *Ecology Letters* 15: 1300-1307
- 597 Scott JJ, Oh DC, Yuceer M, Klepzig KD, Clardy J, Currie CR. 2008. Bacterial 598 protection of beetle-fungus mutualism. *Science* 322: 63

- Soler JJ, Martín-Vivaldi M, Ruiz-Rodríguez M, Valdivia E, Martín-Platero AM,
 Martínez-Bueno M, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Méndez M. 2008. Symbiotic association
 between hoopoes and antibiotic-producing bacteria that live in their uropygial gland.
 Functional Ecology 22: 864-871
- Soler JJ, Martín-Vivaldi M, Peralta-Sánchez JM, Arco L, Juárez-García-Pelayo N.
 2014. Hoopoes color their eggs with antimicrobial uropygial secretions.
 Naturwissenschaften 101: 697-705
- 606 Statsoft Inc. 2011. *STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 10.* 607 <u>www.statsoft.com</u>.
- Strona G, Galli P, Seveso D, Montano S, Fattorini S. 2014. Nestedness for Dummies
 (NeD): A User-Friendly Web Interface for Exploratory Nestedness Analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software* 59: 1-9
- Traveset A, Kueffer C, Daehler CC. 2014. Global and regional nested patterns of nonnative invasive floras on tropical islands. *Journal of Biogeography* 41: 823-832
- Ulrich W, Almeida M, Gotelli NJ. 2009. A consumer's guide to nestedness analysis. *Oikos* 118: 3-17
- Ulrich W, Almeida-Neto M. 2012. On the meanings of nestedness: back to the basics. *Ecography* 35: 865-871
- 617 *Ecography* 55, 865-87
- 618

Table 1: Results from General Linear Models explaining variation in nestedness index (NODF) and of statistics reflecting the strength of nestedness of every considered matrix (Z-values) in relation to study year, whether or not the study nest was in captivity or in natural conditions and breeding attempt (i.e. first or second clutches). Since the population in captivity was only studied in a single year, the effects of year and of captivity were explored in different models. Female identity nested within year or captivity was included in the model as random factor (R) to account for the within females nest design of the data set. Interaction between the fixed (F) factors was included in the statistical model, whereas that between breeding attempt and the random factors is the error term of the model. Reduced final models are also shown. Effects associated with p-values < 0.1 are highlighted in bold.

		Effects of years and breeding attempt						Effects of captivity and breeding attempt					
		NODFindex			Z-values			NODFindex			Z-values		
		df	F	Р	df	F	р	df	F	р	df	F	р
SBPE													
Year (1) / Captivity (1)	F	1,48.0	4.27	0.044	1,38.6	3.01	0.091	1,64.2	0.85	0.360	1,62.3	0.43	0.514
Breedingattempt (2)		1,4.0	0.39	0.564	1,4.0	0.16	0.710	1,18	1.05	0.320	1,18	0.51	0.486
(1) x (2)		1,4.0	0.65	0.465	1,4.0	0.13	0.738	1,18	0.61	0.445	1,18	2.94	0.104
Female id (Year) (3)	R	46,4.0	1.23	0.477	46,4.0	0.66	0.789	47,18	0.83	0.703	47,18	1.07	0.451
(2) X (3) (error term)		4,0.0			4,00			18			18		
Reducedmodels													
Year (1)	F	1,52	4.04	0.049	1,52	4.93	0.031						
Breedingattempt								1,67	3.98	0.05	1,67	4.23	0.044
SBE													
Year (1) / Captivity (1)	F	1,49.7	7.23	0.010	1,49.2	5.95	0.018	1,59.6	0.51	0.477	1,61.6	0.02	0.877
Breedingattempt (2)	F	1,4.0	0.89	0.398	1,4.0	2.36	0.199	1,18.0	4.39	0.051	1,18.0	3.28	0.087
(1) x (2)	F	1,4.0	0.68	0.456	1,4.0	0.05	0.833	1,18.0	0.00	0.993	1,18.0	0.05	0.826
Female id (Year) (3)	R	46,4.0	1.72	0.32	46,4.0	1.48	0.387	47,18.0	1.46	0.193	47,18.0	1.16	0.374
(2) X (3) (Error Term)		4,0.0			4,0.0			18,0.0			18,0.0		
Reducedmodel													
Year (1)	F	1,52	6.31	0.015	1,52	6.36	0.015						
Breedingattempt								1,67	2.84	0.096	1,67	3.76	0.057

Fig 1: Simple heatmap showing nestedness of the matrix data showing prevalence of each OUT in bacterial samples from the uropygial secretion, bill, brood patch and eggshell of hoopoes.Heatmap were built by pooling bacterial communities of all individuals together and, therefore, has not analytical value, but of visualization of the hierarchized bacterial communities of hoopoes. OTUs were arranged minimizing the number of crossing, which facilitates visualization of overlap of other communities with that of the uropigial secretion.

Fig 2: Mean \pm 95% CI of nestedness index (NODF) of bacterial communities of uropygial secretion, bill, brood patch and eggshells (SBPE, circles) of hoopoes, and of those of the secretion, bill and eggshell (SBE, squares). We provide values considering all samples together, but also for different years (only wild nests considered), different breeding attempts (only 2011 nests considered), and for captivity and wild hoopoe populations (only 2011 nests considered). Dotted lines represent the threshold value (1.64) for statistical significance of nestedness.



Fig 2

