Nova Explore Publications Nova Journal of Medical and Biological Sciences Vol 2(5), May 2014:1-6 PII: S2292793X1400020-2 www.novaexplore.com



Research Article

Ilam Lipid and Glucose Study: A cross-sectional epidemiologic study

Mohamad Reza Havasian ¹, Jafar Panahi ¹, Mohammad Ali Ruzegar ²

¹Student Research Committee, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

² Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

Corresponding Author: Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ilam University of Medical Sciences Email:dds_roozegar@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background: Development of urbanization and alteration in the lifestyle and age structure of the population (aging) increase the risk of non-communicable diseases (cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and stroke). The aim of this study was to evaluate blood glucose and lipid profile, and also estimate the percentage of people with lipids and fasting blood glucose disorders in the city of Ilam.

Materials and Methods: This research is a retrospective study. Therefore, in this study data collecting was performed from clinical laboratories in Ilam from 2006 to 2012. The sample size was determined by the Woodward's formula and all the statistical analyzes were performed using the SPSS-18 software.

Results: The results showed that the average amount of cholesterol, triglycerides, FBG, HDL-C, and LDL-C was equal to 181±21, 151±17, 86±7, 61±8, and 21±3 mg/dl, respectively. Also 25.2% of participants have Cholesterol disorder, 20.3% triglyceride disorder, 12.1% impaired fasting glucose, 18% HDL-C disorder, and 15.8% LDL-C disorder.

Conclusion: This study shows that the mean level of FBG and lipid profile in Ilam is almost identical to the normal values in western societies. Also, the prevalence of impaired FBG and plasma lipids disorders were relatively high in this city. Given these results, a health plan should be developed and the necessary training must be given to the people to reduce of these disorders.

Key words: Cholesterol, triglycerides, fasting blood glucose.

Introduction

Always to judge about a person is healthy or sick should have a reference range for each of the compounds (1). Definition Reference range of a substance is equal to two standard deviations in most healthy people in the area, but is that a healthy person does not define by itself (2).

Levels of some chemicals depend conditions of people's lives where they live and in some areas the rate of them specific to those areas and not generally considered to other regions. The absence of a reference range, causing the patient to be seen as someone who is sick.. Metabolic disorders such as diabetes, increased blood pressure, high cholesterol level, purchasing habits of the causes of heart disease - the disease (3).

Effect of diabetes and hyperlipidmia and fat on heart disease was caused to doing important research in this background that aims to diagnosis and prognosis of patient (4) the research indicated that amount of fat and hyper lipidmia increased (5,6) diabetes is a glucose tolerance disorder(7) prevalence of FBS disorder in children is low (1.8%) and also in fat children is not high(2.5%). In different study prevalence of glucose tolerance disorder in fat children was reported 21-28 %.(8,9) we should done many different study now and by collection information—for prevention of this problems. This study aims to measurement of prevalence of lipid profile and glucose disorders and prediction of normal range of parameters in Ilam city.

Materials and methods

Recent study is an epidemiological study for appointment risk factor of noncommunicable diseases in urban people in Ilam from 2006 to 2011. In this study about 3500 laboratory data were collected (age 20-55) from laboratories in Ilam city and analysis them. All of experiment was done with same kit. All of data was from healthy people that referring to cheek up without any disease history. Information about people that has diabetes and other syndromes was removed from study. Valium sample was appointment with Woodward formula and result of research analysis with SPSS (version 18.00) soft ware by k-score method.(P<0.05 was significant). This information sorted by sex. In this study used from normal range of parameters that obtained from west society.

Result

In this study 3500 person studied tha1563 of them was mal and 1937 was female. The obtained result indicated the mean of cholesterol 181±21, TG 151± 17, FBS 86± 7and LDL-C was21±3 mg/dl. Also mean of all parameters calculated (table 1) the obtained result indicated that significant percent of this data was in normal range.

Lipid profile

LDL-C: 84.2% of people were in normal range and 11.9% in prognosis range and 3.9% was in high risk range

HDL-C: 82 % of data was in normal range and 18 percent has disorder.

Cholesterol

74.8 % of people was in normal range and 18.6 was in prognosis range and 11.2 was in high risk ringed.

TG: 79.7% was in normal range and 20.3 was in disorder range.

Glucose

FBS: 89.7 % of data was in normal range and 4 % was in prognosis range and 8.1 % had diabetes. Actually 12.1 % had FBS disorder. (Table 2)

Conclusion

This research indicated the normal range and disorders of glucose and lipid profile. As disorder in some parameters such as high level of cholesterol and LDL-C and low level of HDL caused too many disease such as coronary heart disease. Thus by achieving the normal range of disorders and compared them with other region can predict disease and prevention them. According to the Azizi et al research in 2002 in Tehran, mean of some disorders such as LDL-C, HDL and cholesterol was more than Ilam and people in high risk of HDL was equal. 22.8% of people was in high risk level while this amount in Ilam was 3.9 %, also 23.6 % of people of Azizi s research was in high risk level of Cholesterol while this amount in Ilam was 6.6 %(10) Fam et al indicated the relationship between HDL-C and body activity that the mean of this disorder in Tehran was more than Ilam that life style and amount of body activity caused to this difference.(11) amount of TG in high risk people in Ilam was more than Tehran. According to the larijani et al research, prevalence of diabetes in Iran 4.67% was reported that this amount in Ilam was 2 equal of mean of Iran. (12) Also Mansori et al research indicated that prevalence of mellitus diabetes is 6.8%. (13) that this amount in Ilam was 8.1, and according to this prevalence of dyslipidmia in Tehran is more than Ilam. (14,15,16,17) actually high prevalence of heart disease risk factor in Iranian adult patient has relationship with increasing of Mortality (18,19,20) Najafi pour et al studies indicated that FBS disorder in Ilam is more than Tehran that can realized that people in Ilam due to the diabetes more than Tehran people.(21) Today, main problem of treatment of this disease for patient is benefit them from of sufficient care and this matter need to early diagnosis and educating people about hygienic system. larijanis study in Boshehr indicated that prevalence of diabetes in male and female was 10.2 and 12.9%.(22) and in Sadeqi et al study s in Esfahan prevalence of diabetes 6.5% was reported.(23) also in azizi et al study in rural region in Tehran prevalence of diabetes 9.3 % was reported. (24) mean of glucose and lipid profile in Ilam in male and female was same. According to the obtained result prevalence of diabetes in Ilam is more than Esfahan and Boshehr and rural region of Tehran.

References:

- 1. Vakili B. 1991. Efforts to understand the meaning of normal values. Bulletin. First Iranian Congress of Biochemistry, Abstracts 59:.
- 2.Rahi H, Kiati A. 1991. Routine laboratory tests to determine the biochemical reference ranges. Bulletin. First Iranian Congress of Biochemistry, Abstracts 143:.
- 3.Smith SC, Greenland P, Grundy SM, 2000. Preventive conference V. Beyond secondary prevention: Identifying the high-risk patient for primary prevention. Executive summary: circulation; 101:111-16.
- 4.Braunwald E. 1997. Shattuck lecture. Cardiovascular incidence at the turn of the millennium: Triump, concerns, and opportunities. Eng J Med; 337(19):1360.
- 5.Mirmiran P, Azizi Gh. 1998. Overweight, obesity. J of Pazhuhesh; 22(2):69-81.
- 6.Azizi F. 1996. Diabetes Mellitus in the Islamic Republic of Iran.IDF Bulletin; 41(4):38-9.
- 7.Type 2 Diabetes in Children and adolescents. American Diabetes Association. Pediatrics 2000; 105:671-80.
- 8.Sinha R, Fisch G, Teague B, Tamborlane WV, Banyas B, Allen K, et al., 2002. Prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance among children and adolescents with marked obesity. New Engl J Med Mar 14; 346(11):802-10.

- 9.Goran MI, Bergman RN, Avila Q, Watkins M, Ball GD, Shaibi GQ, et al. 2004. Impaired glucose tolerance and reducedbeta-cell function in overweight Latino children with a positive family history for type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab; 89(1):207-12.
- 10.Azizi F, Rahmani M, Emami H, Mirmiran P, Hajipour R, Madjid M, et al. 2002. Cardiovascular risk factors in an Iranian urban population: Tehran lipid and glucose study (phase 1). Soz Praventivmed;47(6):408-26
- 11. Fam B, Amuzegar A, Arzhan S, Ghanbarian A, Delshad M, Hossein panah F. 2012. Investigate the relationship between physical activity and metabolic syndrome in adolescents: Tehran Glucose and Lipid Study. J of Diabetes and Lipid Disorders; 11(1):78-86.
- 12.Larijani B, Abolhasani F, Mohajeri-Tehrani MR, Tabatabai O. 2005. Prevalence of type 2 diabetes in Iran 2002. Iranian Journal of Diabetes and Metabolism; 4(3):75-83.
- 13.Mansoori F, Namdaritabar H, Shahrezaee A, Rezaei R, Alikhani A, Montazer M, et al., 2004 .Diabetes Mellitus in Over-Thirty-Year-Old Individuals in Kermanshah Province (2002). Journal of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences; 8(2):57-68.
- 14.Li CL, Tsai ST, Chou P. 2002. comparison of metabolic risk profiles between subjects with fasting and 2-hour plasma glucose impairment: The Kinmenstudy. J clin Epidemiol; 55(1):19-24.
- 15.Pallardo LF, Herranz L, Martin-Vaquero P, Garcia Ingelmo T, Grande C, Jenez M. 2003. Impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance in women with a different cardiovascular profile. Diabetes Care; 26(8):2318-22.
- 16. Weyer C, Bogardus C, Pratley RE. 1999. Metabolic characteristics of individuals with impaired fasting glucose and /or impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes; 48(11):2197-203.
- 17.Ramezani M, Azizi F. 1993. The prevalence of hyperlipidemia in persons 3-19 years of East Tehran 2001. Iranian Journal of Endocrine and Metabolism 2005; 7(3):249-53 Pesian.
- 18.F Aziz .1996. Diabetes mellitus in Islamic Republic of Iran.IDF Bulletin; 41:38-9.
- 19.Zali M, Kazen M, Masjedi MR. Health and disease in Iran. Deputy of research, Ministry of health.; Bulletin No. 10.
- 20.Rafei M, Boshtam M, Sarafzaegan N. 1999. Lipid profiles in the Isfahan population: an Isfahan cardiovascular risk factor survey. EMHJ; 5:766-77.
- 21.Najafi pour F, Zareizadeh M, Belgar L, Sahaf F. 2009. Predictive value of fasting blood glucose testing 50-gr oral glucose tolerance test to confirm or rule out gestational diabetes. Journal of Diabetes and Lipid Disorders: 9(1);25-33.
- 22. Ardshir Larijani MB, Asfuri E. 2002. The prevalence of type 2 diabetes and impaired to IGT individuals 30 to 64 years old in Bushehr Based on the criteria of the World Health Organization and the American Diabetes Association. Journal of Diabetes and Lipid Disorders: 1(2);119-22.
- 23. Sadeghi M, Moidi N, Ruhafza HR, Baghae A, Asgari S, Oghdak P, Sajadi F. 2004. The prevalence of diabetes in people over 19 yearsInthe central province of Isfahan. Journal of Rah Avard Danesh; 7(3):12-20.
- 24.Azizi F, Novai L. 2000. Disorders of lipid metabolism, obesity, and hypertension in diabetic patients, IGT and Compared with healthy individuals in Tehran villages. Journal of Pazhuhesh 24(1):27-38.

Table1; mean of parameters in male and female

	Female	male			
LDL-C	20.7	23.5			
HDL-C	60.8	61.4			
Chol	182	179			
TG	149	153			
FBS	86.6	85.4			
mg/dl					

Table 2: compared of lipid profile in Ilam with Tehran city

	Range	Ilam (%)	Tehran	P
			(%)	
	<normal 130="" dl<="" mg="" td=""><td>84.2</td><td>49.5</td><td></td></normal>	84.2	49.5	
LDL-C	At risk 130-160 mg/dl	11.9	2.7	_
	High risk\ >160 mg/dl	3.9	22.8	0.001
HDL-C	< normal 35 mg/dl	82	78.9	
	> High 35 mg/dl	18	21.1	0.708
Chol	< normal 200 mg/dl	74.8	45.4	
	At risk 200-240 mg/dl	18.6	31	_
	>High risk 240 mg/dl	6.6	23.6	- 0.001
TG	< normal 200 mg/dl	79.7	71.8	
	At risk 200-240 mg/dl	9.1	24.1	_
	>High risk 400 mg/dl	11.2	4.1	0.005
FBS	normal 70-115 mg/dl	87.9	90.8	
	At risk 115-125 mg/dl	4	4.8	_
	>High risk 125 mg/dl	8.1	4.4	0.504