



Bryant, D. M., and Yap, A. S. (2016) Editorial overview: Membrane traffic and cell polarity. *Traffic*, 17(12), pp. 1231-1232.

There may be differences between this version and the published version. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite from it.

Bryant, D. M., and Yap, A. S. (2016) Editorial overview: Membrane traffic and cell polarity. *Traffic*, 17(12), pp. 1231-232. (doi:[10.1111/tra.12433](https://doi.org/10.1111/tra.12433)) This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with [Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving](#).

<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/140050/>

Deposited on: 24 April 2017

Enlighten – Research publications by members of the University of Glasgow  
<http://eprints.gla.ac.uk>

## **Editorial**

### **Editorial Overview: Membrane Traffic and Cell Polarity**

David M. Bryant<sup>1</sup> and Alpha S. Yap<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cancer Research UK Beatson Institute, and <sup>2</sup>Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow, G61 1BD, UK.

[david.bryant@glasgow.ac.uk](mailto:david.bryant@glasgow.ac.uk)

<sup>2</sup>Division of Cell Biology and Molecular Medicine, Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia 4072.

[a.yap@imb.uq.edu.au](mailto:a.yap@imb.uq.edu.au)

The marriage between cell polarization and membrane traffic has been long appreciated, but until recently has been poorly understood. To build a polarized cell with asymmetrically organized cell surfaces, such as an apical-basal polarized epithelium, cells must deliver and maintain proteins, lipids, and secreted components to a common cell cortex (1). Accompanying any cell surface polarity rearrangement is an equally complex intracellular organelle reorganization (2). This simple idea can be used by neighbouring cells to give rise to very complex tissues; organize apical-basal polarization in the same orientation between neighbours and a monolayer can be made. Do the same radially around an intercellular space and a lumen may form. Two key events in such polarization are the generation of cadherin-based cell-cell adhesion, and the formation of the apical surface (3). This set of reviews walks the aisle of this polarity and trafficking marriage to focus on how trafficking of cadherins and apical domain-generating proteins is regulated and how it contributes to apical-basal polarization and tissue morphogenesis.

The first review by West and Harris (4) summarises the regulators and consequence of cadherin trafficking, with a focus on tissue morphogenesis. Studies in *D. melanogaster* and *C. elegans* have illuminated the consequence of altering cadherin trafficking, and the morphogenetic processes that require cadherin endocytosis and recycling in order to remodel tissues. Although how cadherin trafficking is utilised may differ between model systems, a key concept has arisen: endocytosis of cadherin, and possible transit through Rab11-positive recycling endosomes, is essential to regulating cadherin cell surface levels (5-7). Given the fundamental roles for cadherins in controlling cell adhesion and the actin cytoskeleton (8), altering surface cadherin levels can provide an instructive mechanism for how mechanotransduction is transmitted across a tissue.

The review from Román-Fernández and Bryant (9) examines the function and regulation of apical domain-promoting proteins in the formation of epithelial polarity. The review summarizes the trafficking itineraries and their regulators, for three apical proteins that have emerged as core regulators of apical domain formation: the Crumbs family of proteins, the light-sensor molecule Rhodopsin, and the CD34-family sialomucin protein Podocalyxin (3, 10). More than just being static transport routes that merely transport polarity-inducing cargo, intracellular organelle asymmetry itself has emerged as key to forming apical-basal polarization (2, 11). These studies underscore the notion that trafficking and polarity are not two independent processes, but are part of the same morphogenetic process to deliver and maintain distinct proteins and lipids to distinct cell surface domains.

The final review from Cadwell and colleagues [reference needs to be updated] delves deep into the trafficking pathways, machineries, and sorting mechanisms that control cadherin endocytosis and recycling. Membrane trafficking as a mechanism to remodel cell-cell interfaces has become increasingly appreciated since the first demonstration of E-cadherin recycling as a mechanism to control surface levels (6), the sorting motifs that contribute cadherin surface targeting (12), and the mechanisms that induce its endocytosis (13). At the heart of all of these processes is the factotum p120-catenin, which through binding and potentially masking various

sorting motifs in the cadherin tail modulates the spatial regulation of cadherin endocytosis (14). The list of cadherin modifications and the machineries that recognise and route endocytic cadherin molecules continues to grow. These studies paint a picture of a complex relationship between cadherin trafficking and cell morphogenesis, which highlights the central importance of controlling just the right amount of cadherin on the cell surface at any one time.

## References:

1. Rodriguez-Boulan E, Macara IG. Organization and execution of the epithelial polarity programme. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2014;15(4):225-242.
2. Apodaca G, Gallo LI, Bryant DM. Role of membrane traffic in the generation of epithelial cell asymmetry. *Nat Cell Biol* 2012;14(12):1235-1243.
3. Eaton S, Martin-Belmonte F. Cargo sorting in the endocytic pathway: a key regulator of cell polarity and tissue dynamics. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2014;6(10):a016899.
4. West JJ, Harris TJ. Cadherin trafficking for tissue morphogenesis: control and consequences. *Traffic* 2016.
5. Langevin J, Morgan MJ, Sibarita JB, Aresta S, Murthy M, Schwarz T, Camonis J, Bellaiche Y. Drosophila exocyst components Sec5, Sec6, and Sec15 regulate DE-Cadherin trafficking from recycling endosomes to the plasma membrane. *Dev Cell* 2005;9(3):365-376.
6. Le TL, Yap AS, Stow JL. Recycling of E-cadherin: a potential mechanism for regulating cadherin dynamics. *J Cell Biol* 1999;146(1):219-232.
7. Lock JG, Stow JL. Rab11 in recycling endosomes regulates the sorting and basolateral transport of E-cadherin. *Mol Biol Cell* 2005;16(4):1744-1755.
8. Hoffman BD, Yap AS. Towards a Dynamic Understanding of Cadherin-Based Mechanobiology. *Trends Cell Biol* 2015;25(12):803-814.
9. Roman-Fernandez A, Bryant DM. Complex Polarity: Building Multicellular Tissues Through Apical Membrane Traffic. *Traffic* 2016.
10. Overeem AW, Bryant DM, van ISC. Mechanisms of apical-basal axis orientation and epithelial lumen positioning. *Trends Cell Biol* 2015;25(8):476-485.
11. Winter JF, Hopfner S, Korn K, Farnung BO, Bradshaw CR, Marsico G, Volkmer M, Habermann B, Zerial M. *Caenorhabditis elegans* screen reveals role of PAR-5 in RAB-11-recycling endosome positioning and apicobasal cell polarity. *Nat Cell Biol* 2012;14(7):666-676.
12. Miranda KC, Khromykh T, Christy P, Le TL, Gottardi CJ, Yap AS, Stow JL, Teasdale RD. A dileucine motif targets E-cadherin to the basolateral cell surface in Madin-Darby canine kidney and LLC-PK1 epithelial cells. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276(25):22565-22572.
13. Fujita Y, Krause G, Scheffner M, Zechner D, Leddy HE, Behrens J, Sommer T, Birchmeier W. Hakai, a c-Cbl-like protein, ubiquitinates and induces endocytosis of the E-cadherin complex. *Nat Cell Biol* 2002;4(3):222-231.
14. Davis MA, Ireton RC, Reynolds AB. A core function for p120-catenin in cadherin turnover. *J Cell Biol* 2003;163(3):525-534.