UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Source-sink plasmid transfer dynamics maintain gene mobility in soil bacterial communities.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/115023/

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Hall, James Peter John, Wood, Andrew James orcid.org/0000-0002-6119-852X, Harrison, Eleanor et al. (1 more author) (2016) Source-sink plasmid transfer dynamics maintain gene mobility in soil bacterial communities. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. pp. 8260-8265. ISSN 1091-6490

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1600974113

# Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

# Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# Source-sink plasmid transfer dynamics maintain gene mobility in soil bacterial communities

James P. J. Hall<sup>a, 1</sup>, A. Jamie Wood<sup>a,b</sup>, Ellie Harrison<sup>a</sup> and Michael A. Brockhurst<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biology, University of York, York, UK <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of York, York, UK

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Horizontal gene transfer is a fundamental process in bacterial evolution that can accelerate adaptation via the sharing of genes between lineages. Conjugative plasmids are the principal genetic elements mediating the horizontal transfer of genes, both within and between bacterial species. In some species, plasmids are unstable and likely to be lost through purifying selection, but when alternative hosts are available, interspecific plasmid transfer could counteract this and maintain access to plasmid-borne genes. To investigate the evolutionary importance of alternative hosts to long-term plasmid population dynamics in an ecologically relevant environment we established simple soil microcosm communities comprising two species of common soil bacteria, Pseudomonas fluorescens and Pseudomonas putida, and a mercury resistance (Hg<sup>R</sup>) plasmid, pQBR57, both with and without positive selection (i.e. addition of Hg(II)). In single-species populations, plasmid stability varied between species: while pQBR57 survived both with and without positive selection in P. fluorescens, it was lost or replaced by non-transferrable Hg<sup>R</sup> captured to the chromosome in P. putida. A simple mathematical model suggests these differences were likely due to pQBR57's lower intraspecific conjugation rate in P. putida. By contrast, in two-species communities, both models and experiments show that intraspecific conjugation from P. fluorescens allowed pQBR57 to persist in P. putida via sourcesink transfer dynamics. Moreover, the replacement of pQBR57 by non-transferrable chromosomal Hg<sup>R</sup> in *P. putida* was slowed in co-culture. Interspecific transfer allows plasmid survival in host species unable to sustain the plasmid in monoculture, promoting community-wide access to the plasmid-borne accessory gene pool and thus potentiating future evolvability.

Horizontal gene transfer | plasmids | mobile genetic elements | microbial ecology

### INTRODUCTION

2

3

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is a key process in bacterial evolution, driving the spread of ecologically and clinically important traits such as resistances to environmental toxins and antibiotics (1). Conjugative plasmids are extrachromosomal genetic elements that carry genes for their horizontal transfer between bacteria (i.e. conjugation) and are principal mediators of HGT both within and between species (2, 3). Because plasmid-borne 'accessory genes' (i.e. genes not directly involved in core plasmid functions) can enhance the virulence, metabolism or resistance of bacterial hosts (1), the population dynamics of plasmids is fundamentally important to understanding bacterial adaptation (3)

Plasmids impose costs on their hosts (4), and theory suggests that without positive selection for accessory genes, plasmids should be lost from bacterial populations due to purifying selection unless counteracted by a high rate of conjugation (5, 6). Under positive selection, plasmids should also eventually be lost as selection favours chromosomal integration of accessory genes and loss of the redundant plasmid (5). In addition to the immediate loss of accessory genes, the loss of conjugative plasmids from populations decreases the potential for HGT, thereby diminishing a key mode for acquisition of novel adaptive genes and thus limiting bacterial evolvability.

Several mechanisms could act to maintain plasmids. Compensatory evolution can ameliorate plasmid cost, thereby weakening selection against the plasmid (7-9). However, this process is unlikely to stabilise highly unstable plasmids or maintain plasmids in small populations where the rate of plasmid loss is likely to exceed the rate of compensatory evolution. Plasmids may carry genes that directly enhance their stability, such as partitioning genes or toxin-antitoxin systems, but even when present such systems are imperfect, resulting in plasmid-free segregants (10). Plasmids can also be maintained within a host species as infectious elements, provided conjugation rates are high (e.g. (11)).

An alternative mechanism is for plasmid loss in a focal host species to be counteracted by on-going transfer from another species in which the plasmid is stably maintained. Such interspecific conjugation, analogous to transmission of infectious disease from a reservoir host (12), could maintain access to the mobile gene pool, allowing the focal species to remain evolutionarily responsive to temporally or spatially variable selection (3). Plasmids can be shared by a considerable fraction of the microbial community (13), but surprisingly there have been few experimental tests of how the presence of alternative hosts affects plasmid population dynamics, particularly over periods longer than a few days. Moreover, most studies of plasmid dynamics have been performed in well-mixed rich laboratory media, which do not adequately represent the physical structure or nutrient availability in most natural microbial communities (14, 15). Structured communities may present fewer opportunities for plasmid donors to encounter recipients, but clustering of genotypes in

## Significance

Bacterial adaptation through horizontal gene transfer is central to microbial evolution, and in the context of antibiotic resistance represents a growing clinical threat. Conjugative plasmids are key mediators of genetic exchange both within and between species. Experimental studies have mostly focused on plasmid population dynamics in single-species pop-ulations, but between-species transfer could counteract purifying selection and maintain plasmids in hosts that would otherwise lose them. We show that plasmids can be lost from single-species populations, even when their genes are under selection, because beneficial genes are captured by the chromosome. In contrast, experiments and models show that in a two-species community, between-species transfer maintains community-wide access to plasmids, promoting the spread of the ecologically and clinically important genes they carry.

**Reserved for Publication Footnotes** 



**Fig. 1.** Co-culture with favourable host *P. fluorescens* promotes plasmid carriage in unfavourable *P. putida* (A) *P. fluorescens* populations evolved with 0  $\mu$ /g Hg(II). The upper row of sub-panels shows single-species populations; the lower row shows populations cultured alongside *P. putida* (co-culture). Six replicate populations (columns, labelled a–f) were initiated for each treatment. Each sub-panel shows, for an individual population, total density at transfer (solid line), the density of pQBR57+ (filled green area below the line), and the density of pQBR57–*merA*+ mutants (filled purple area below the line). For clarity, tick marks at the bottom of each sub-panel indicate sampling times and green '+' symbols indicate detection of pQBR57. A black circle at the final sampling point (transfer 65) indicates that Hg<sup>R</sup> remained in the population at the end of the experiment; filled circles indicate pQBR57 (and Hg<sup>R</sup>) remained. Note that no pQBR57-*merA*+ mutants were detected in *P. fluorescens*. (B) *P. fluorescens* populations evolved with 16  $\mu$ /g/g Hg(II). As panel A, except evolved with 16  $\mu$ /g/g Hg(II). (C) *P. putida* populations evolved with 16  $\mu$ /g/g Hg(II). As panel A, except evolved with 16  $\mu$ /g/g Hg(II). As panel A, except populations cultured *P. putida* a–f was grown with the corresponding co-cultured *P. fluorescens* population (a–f, panel A). (D) *P. putida* populations evolved with 16  $\mu$ /g/g Hg(II). As panel C, except evolved with 16  $\mu$ /g/g Hg(II). Different y-axis scales are used for each species: *P. fluorescens* density was ~5x *P. putida*.

space may promote species coexistence (16) and also allow plasmids to rapidly sweep through naïve recipient populations once encountered (17, 18).

To test how the presence of alternative host species affects plasmid population dynamics we established populations of Pseudomonas fluorescens SBW25 and Pseudomonas putida KT2440 either individually ('single-species'), or together ('co-culture'), in sterile soil microcosms, which offer a spatially structured, low resource and near-natural environment (19). Pseudomonads such as P. fluorescens and P. putida are widespread and often coexist in natural soil communities (20). Populations were founded with a mercury resistance (Hg<sup>R</sup>) plasmid (the 307 kb pQBR57, isolated from the same site as P. fluorescens SBW25 (21)) at  $\sim$ 50% starting-frequency, with approximately equal numbers of pQBR57-bearers (pQBR57+) in each species for the co-culture treatment. Every four days, samples were transferred into fresh microcosms which had either been pre-treated with selective levels of mercuric chloride (16  $\mu g/g Hg(II)$ ) or with an equal volume of water (0 µg/g Hg(II)). Such transfers represent a simple controllable regime which acts as a proxy for the dynamic 'turnover' of nutrients occurring in soil habitats (22), and 16 µg/g Hg(II) corresponds to specific mercury contamination, such as in industrial or post-industrial sites (23). The dynamics of the bacterial populations, the frequency of pQBR57, and the frequency of the mercury reductase gene (*merA*) were tracked over 65 transfers (approximately  $\sim$ 440 generations, SI Text).

#### RESULTS

# Plasmid dynamics were strongly affected by host species and culture conditions

The dynamics of pQBR57 varied greatly between species and with Hg(II) treatment. pQBR57 was generally maintained in P. fluorescens under both Hg(II) treatments, going extinct in only one replicate (replicate a, 0 µg/g Hg(II), co-culture). Under 0 µg/g Hg(II) (Figure 1A), plasmid frequencies were variable between replicates and across time, particularly during the early part of the experiment. No significant effect of living alongside P. putida could be detected in terms of pQBR57 survival (Fisher's Exact Test, p = 1), constancy (Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test, Z = 0, p = 1) or dynamics (GLMM, effect of co-culture, parametric bootstrapping p = 0.08). Under 16  $\mu g/g$  Hg(II), both in onespecies and co-culture treatments (Figure 1B), pQBR57 fixed in P. fluorescens by transfer five and remained so until the end of the experiment. P. fluorescens was therefore a favourable host for pQBR57, in that it generally maintained the plasmid regardless of selective environment.



**Fig. 2.** A two-species model predicts between-species conjugation can promote plasmid carriage in an unfavourable host species. (A) Plasmid frequency in species 1 (*P. fluorescens*-like, , x-axis) and species 2 (*P. putida*-like, , y-axis) was simulated over 5000 iterations of a simple mass-action plasmid dynamics model. The model was initiated with varying plasmid starting frequencies (0.1, 0.5 and 0.9). Arrows indicate the passage of time for each simulation, and a coloured circle indicates the final state. Models omitting conjugation (grey) result in the loss of plasmid from both species. Models omitting interspecific conjugation (red) result in plasmid maintenance in species 1, but extinction in species 2, whereas models including interspecific conjugation (blue) result in plasmid maintenance at low levels in species 2. (B) Zoomed view of panel A. With interspecific conjugation, the plasmid is maintained at approximately 0.35% in species 2.

In contrast, pQBR57 was poorly maintained in single-species P. putida populations. In the 0 µg/g Hg(II) single-species treatment (Figure 1C, upper row), pQBR57 decreased rapidly in frequency and ultimately went extinct in all replicates, resulting in a completely Hg(II)-sensitive population. In the 16 µg/g Hg(II) single-species treatment (Figure 1D, upper row), pQBR57 frequency increased to near-fixation in all populations before transfer five. However, mutants that lost pQBR57 but retained the mercury reductase merA gene (pQBR57-merA+) soon emerged and reached high frequency (>50%) in all populations. In 5/6replicates pQBR57- merA+ mutants eventually outcompeted plasmid bearers, resulting in plasmid extinction by the end of the experiment. In single-species populations, therefore, pQBR57 was significantly more likely to go extinct when its host was P. putida rather than P. fluorescens, both under parasitic 0 µg/g Hg(II) (Fisher's Exact Test, p = 0.0022) and mutualistic 16  $\mu$ g/g Hg(II) (p = 0.015) conditions. *P. putida* was therefore an unfavourable pQBR57 host, in that it generally lost the plasmid regardless of selective environment.

However, living in co-culture with P. fluorescens had a positive effect on pQBR57 carriage by P. putida under both Hg(II) conditions. In 0 µg/g Hg(II) (Figure 1C, lower row), 5/6 co-cultured P. putida populations carried pOBR57 at detectable levels during the experiment, particularly in two replicates (e and f). Control experiments, in which we mixed plasmid-containing P. fluorescens and plasmid-free P. putida immediately before spreading on selective media, did not yield any transconjugants (SI Text), suggesting that these clones carried pQBR57 in situ rather than acquiring it on the surface of the agar plate. pQBR57 therefore benefitted from a reduced chance of extinction in co-cultured P. putida in  $0 \mu g/g Hg(II)$  (Fisher's Exact Test, p = 0.015), and we detected a positive effect of co-culture on the frequency of P. putida plasmid-carriers over time (GLMM, effect of co-culture:transfer, parametric bootstrapping p = 0.025; effect of co-culture p =0.006). The exception was replicate a, in which pQBR57 also went extinct in the co-cultured P. fluorescens population.

In 16 µg/g Hg(II) (Figure 1D, lower row), like with singlespecies culture, pQBR57– *merA*+ mutants arose in all cocultured *P. putida* populations. However in 2/6 co-cultured pop-



**Fig. 3.** Short term experiments show maintenance of pQBR57 by conjugation. (A) *P. fluorescens* donor and *P. fluorescens* recipient. Six replicate populations (columns, a–f) were initiated for each treatment. Each sub-panel shows the densities at transfer of bacteria that began with pQBR57 ('donors', dashed line) and bacteria that began without pQBR57 ('recipients', solid line). The density of pQBR57+ is shown for the donors (filled yellow area below the dashed line) and the recipients (filled green area below the solid line). At the bottom of each sub-panel, ticks indicate sampling points, green '+' symbols indicate detection of plasmid-bearing recipients, and a black circle indicates detection of plasmid-bearing recipients at the end of the experiment. (B) As panel A, except the donor species was *P. fluorescens* and the recipient species was *P. putida*. The smaller sub-panels below replicates b, c and d show zoomed regions of the upper sub-panels to indicate low frequency pQBR57+ *P. putida*. (C) As panel A except with *P. putida* donor and *P. fluorescens* recipient.

ulations these mutants remained  $\leq$  30%, and in one replicate (b) they were subsequently lost. Overall, the presence of P. fluorescens had a positive effect on the frequency of plasmid-carriage in P. putida in 16 µg/g Hg(II) (GLMM, effect of co-culture:transfer, parametric bootstrapping p = 0.045; effect of co-culture p = 0.008), though we did not detect a significant differ-ence in plasmid extinction between single-species and co-cultured *P. putida* (Fisher's Exact Test, p = 0.24), probably because strong selection for Hg<sup>R</sup>, and hence pQBR57 initially, resulted in high frequencies of pQBR57+ P. putida in all populations in the early part of the experiment. Co-culturing with the favourable host P. fluorescens therefore enhanced plasmid presence in the unfavourable host P. putida, both when plasmid-borne genes were 

### pQBR57 was sustained by conjugative transfer

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

Within species, theory predicts that variation in plasmid dynamics is determined by the net cost of carriage and the rates of conjugative transfer and segregational loss (5, 6). Differences in pQBR57 stability between P. putida and P. fluorescens cannot be explained by costs, because we found pQBR57 to be more costly in *P. fluorescens*, which maintained the plasmid, than in *P. putida*, which did not (SI Text). In contrast, we found that pQBR57 had a relatively high intraspecific conjugation rate in *P. fluorescens*, approximately 1000x that in P. putida (SI Text), which might explain maintenance and spread of pQBR57 in P. fluorescens without positive selection. Furthermore, we could detect interspecific transfer of pQBR57 in both directions (SI Text). If pQBR57 could be maintained in *P. fluorescens* by intraspecific conjugation, then in co-culture *P. fluorescens* might act as a source for *P. putida* through interspecific conjugation. Alternatively, variation in the rate at which plasmid-free segregants arise (segregation rate) may explain differences in plasmid maintenance between the species.

To explore the role of these processes, we first tested the effect of conjugation in a simple mass-action model of plasmid dynamics (24) adapted to include two species. For species 1, the change in the number of plasmid-free bacteria  $F_1$  over time is given by

$$\frac{dF_1}{dt} = (\alpha_1 F_1 + \delta P_1) \left( 1 - \frac{(F_1 + P_1)}{K_1} \right) - \gamma_{11} F_1 P_1 - \gamma_{21} F_1 P_2 - \mu F_1$$
(1)

and the change in the number of plasmid-containing-bacteria P1 is given by

$$\frac{dP_1}{dt} = (\beta_1 P_1 - \delta P_1) \left( 1 - \frac{(F_1 + P_1)}{\kappa_1} \right) + \gamma_{11} F_1 P_1 + \gamma_{21} F_1 P_2 - \mu P_1 \qquad , (2)$$

where  $\alpha_1$  is the species 1-specific plasmid-free growth rate,  $\beta_1$ 442 is the species 1-specific plasmid-bearing growth rate, Y11 is the 443 species 1 intraspecific conjugation rate,  $\gamma_{21}$  is the interspecific 444 conjugation rate from species 2 to species 1,  $K_1$  is the species 445 1-specific carrying capacity,  $\varepsilon$  is the plasmid segregation rate 446 447 and *k* is the washout rate. Similar equations were written using the species 2-specific parameters to describe the dynamics of  $F_2$ 448 and  $P_{2}$ , with intraspecific conjugation rate  $\gamma_{22}$  and interspecific 449 conjugation rate from species 1 to species 2,  $\gamma_{12}$ . Because we 450 did not detect a significant effect of co-culture on the growth 451 rates or carrying capacities of *P. fluorescens* or *P. putida* (SI Text) 452 we assumed that interspecific competition did not greatly affect 453 growth dynamics. Parameter estimates were obtained experimen-454 tally for P. fluorescens ('species 1') and P. putida ('species 2', see 455 456 SI Text) where possible, and the four-equation model run with varying starting plasmid frequencies for 5000 iterations either 457 with interspecific and intraspecific conjugation, with intraspe-458 cific conjugation only, or without any conjugation. To test the 459 robustness of the qualitative model predictions we also ran the 460 model with sets of parameters randomly drawn from a wide range 461 of plausible values (Supplementary Figures S1-S3). The model 462 with no conjugation ultimately saw plasmid extinction in both 463 species (Figure 2). With intraspecific conjugation the plasmid 464 stabilised at  $\sim 85\%$  in species 1, although it went extinct in species 465 2. Importantly, adding interspecific conjugation allowed plasmid 466 persistence in both species, albeit at low frequency in species 2 467  $(\sim 0.35\%, Figure 2B)$ . Further exploration of the parameter space 468 showed that plasmid survival in species 1 was due to higher levels 469 of intraspecific conjugation, which in turn was due to conjugation 470 rate and to a lesser extent the larger population size of species 471 1 (Figure S1), while plasmid survival in species 2 depended on 472 plasmid survival in species 1 and interspecific conjugation from 473 species 1 to species 2 (Figure S2). Segregation rates, however, 474 could be varied over a large range without qualitative effect on the 475 476 model predictions, suggesting the observed plasmid dynamics are

4 | www.pnas.org --- ---

better explained by intra- and interspecific conjugation (Figure 477 478 S3).

The mass-action model is a simple approximation of the 479 ecological system and hence excluded many details; most notably 480 the spatial structure inherent to soil. Therefore, to explicitly 481 test the predicted importance of conjugation in plasmid main-482 tenance we ran short-term experiments using marked strains to 483 484 follow the densities and plasmid status of bacteria beginning with ('donors') and without pQBR57 ('recipients'). In single-species 485 P. fluorescens populations (P. fluorescens donor and recipient, 486 Figure 3A), consistent with the cost of pOBR57, we found that 487 donors were rapidly outcompeted by recipients, and were not 488 detected in any replicate by the end of the experiment (10 trans-489 490 fers). However, the plasmid was maintained in all replicates at  $\sim$ 20-30% due to transfer into the recipient strain. These results, 491 qualitatively consistent with the mass-action model, show that 492 pQBR57 survival in P. fluorescens, at least in the short term, 493 was through conjugative transfer. To test whether co-habiting 494 with plasmid-bearing P. fluorescens promoted plasmid carriage 495 in P. putida we co-cultured recipient P. putida with donor P. 496 497 fluorescens. Consistent with the model results we found plasmidbearing *P. putida* at low frequencies both during the experiment 498 499 (3/6 replicates) and at the end of the experiment (6/6 replicates, Figure 3B). Interestingly, despite beginning the experiment at a 500 plasmid frequency of 100%, plasmid carriage in P. fluorescens was 501 reduced to  $\sim 25\%$  by the end of the experiment, demonstrating 502 the emergence of, and selection for, plasmid-free segregants. We 503 also tested whether co-habiting with donor P. putida allowed 504 pQBR57 invasion of a plasmid-free P. fluorescens recipient popu-505 lation. In all replicates we detected plasmid-bearing P. fluorescens 506 (Figure 3C), and in two replicates, e and f, plasmid carriage 507 508 by P. fluorescens reached frequencies sufficient for prolonged maintenance (as determined by comparison with Figure 3A). In 509 contrast we saw marked plasmid loss from P. putida in all repli-510 cates due to competition from plasmid-free segregants. These 511 data are therefore not consistent with an alternative hypothesis: 512 that pQBR57 maintenance in P. putida in co-culture was due 513 to some other interspecific interaction (e.g. plasmid-borne genes 514 that provide a selective advantage to P. putida only alongside P. 515 fluorescens). Although mass-action models are more commonly 516 517 used to describe liquid cultures, our ability to capture the qualitative features seen in the soil microcosms is consistent with 518 reports that spatial structure has little effect on plasmid transfer 519 dynamics when donor and recipient bacteria encounter each 520 521 other early in the growth cycle (17). Together these results show 522 that conjugative transfer underlies the invasion and maintenance of mobile resistance genes in a favourable bacterial host, and in so 523 doing allows neighbouring, unfavourable host species sustained 524 access to those genes. 525

#### Interspecific plasmid transfer can maintain gene mobility in unfavourable host species

In multi-species communities, favourable hosts could act as 'sources' of plasmid for other community members. To explore the effects of a plasmid source on a neighbouring species we adapted our model for a single focal species by replacing the explicit interspecific conjugation term  $\gamma_{21}P_i$  in equations (1) and (2) with a rate constant I, representing the sum of all interspecific conjugation events with an external (fixed) population. This gives equations (3) and (4), allowing analytic progress (SI Text)

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = (\alpha F + \delta P) \left( 1 - \frac{(F+P)}{\kappa} \right) - \gamma F P - \Gamma F - \mu F$$
(3)

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = (\beta P - \delta P) \left( 1 - \frac{(F+P)}{K} \right) + \gamma FP + \Gamma F - \mu P \qquad .(4) \qquad 539$$
540
541

Without a plasmid source (I = 0), plasmid frequency in the focal 542 species is determined primarily by the balance of the plasmid cost 543 and the (intraspecific) conjugation rate. Under most parameter 544

Footline Author

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

combinations the plasmid either fixes or is completely lost, and with only a very narrow region of parameter space that results in a mixed population of plasmid-bearing and plasmid-free individuals (Figure S4). Adding a plasmid source ( $\Gamma > 0$ ) eliminates the region of parameter space in which the plasmid is absent from the focal species, and expands the region resulting in plasmid fixation in the focal species (Figure S4). A plasmid source increases the effective conjugation rate for the focal species; in the simplified case where segregation is neglected, this increase is linear with the interspecific conjugation rate  $\Gamma$  (SI Text)

Next, we considered when plasmid-borne genes are under positive selection but can be captured by the chromosome at a low rate  $\notin$  to produce chromosomal mutants, which benefit from the captured genes regardless of whether they also carry the plasmid. We expanded equations (3) and (4) and added two further equations to describe plasmid-free and plasmid-bearing chromosomal mutants ( $\epsilon$  and  $\notin$  respectively) (25)

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = (\alpha F + \delta P) \left( 1 - \frac{(F + P + C + Q)}{\kappa} \right) - \gamma F(P + Q) - \Gamma F - \eta F - \mu F$$
(5)

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = (\beta P - \delta P) \left( 1 - \frac{(F+P+C+Q)}{\kappa} \right) + \gamma F(P+Q) + \Gamma F - \phi P - \mu F$$

$$\frac{dG}{dt} = (\alpha C + \delta Q) \left( 1 - \frac{(F+P+C+Q)}{\kappa} \right) - \gamma C(P+Q) - \Gamma C - \mu C$$
(7)

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \left(\beta Q - \delta Q\right) \left(1 - \frac{(F + P + C + Q)}{\kappa}\right) + \gamma C(P + Q) + \Gamma C + \phi P - \mu Q$$
(8)

where  $-\eta F$  represents selection against plasmid-free bacteria that do not have the beneficial genes (24). Similar to the case without positive selection, without a plasmid source the plasmid either remains at fixation in the focal species or is lost by competition with plasmid-free chromosomal mutants, with a narrow range of parameter values resulting in a mixed population of plasmidbearers and plasmid-free chromosomal mutants (Figure S4). The addition of a plasmid source expands the region of parameter space that results in a mixed population in the focal species by inhibiting fixation of plasmid-free chromosomal mutants (Figure S4). Therefore the presence of a plasmid source in a microbial community is expected to greatly enhance persistence of plasmidborne genes and maintenance of interspecific gene mobility.

### DISCUSSION

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592 We have shown that co-culture with an alternative host promoted the survival of a conjugative plasmid, maintaining community-593 wide access to the plasmid-borne gene pool. In single-species 594 cultures, the plasmid invaded and was maintained by infectious 595 conjugative transfer in one host (P. fluorescens), but was lost by 596 segregation and purifying selection from the other (P. putida), 597 regardless of whether its accessory genes were under selection. 598 Co-culture enabled a 'source-sink' relationship in which inter-599 specific transfer from the 'source' host P. fluorescens maintained 600 the plasmid in the 'sink' host P. putida, preserving access to the 601 accessory genes the plasmid carries. Long term plasmid stability 602 varies widely even between strains of the same species (26), but 603 source-sink transfer dynamics mean that if a conjugative plasmid 604 is maintained in one member of a community, that member 605 can become a plasmid source persistently infecting neighbouring 606 sink species. In natural communities, plasmid maintenance was 607 found to correlate with existing plasmid prevalence, suggesting a 608 tendency of certain hosts to preferentially act as plasmid sources 609 (27). This dynamic, in which a subset of a multi-host community 610 is critical for persistence of an infectious element, is well studied 611 in the context of disease reservoirs (12), and adapting theoretical 612

and methodological approaches from disease reservoir ecology to plasmid biology could be productive, for example in identifying putative source species and understanding their role in the dissemination of important bacterial traits, like antibiotic resistance. 616

617 Potential plasmid recipients can stretch across diverse micro-618 bial groups (13), and although transconjugants within sink-species 619 may be transient (due to segregation or purifying selection) (28) 620 their continual replenishment by conjugation from the source 621 means that microbial community richness may be more robust 622 to occasional bouts of selection for plasmid-borne genes. Co-623 culture enhanced plasmid persistence in the sink species even 624 under Hg(II) selection, whereas in single-species P. putida cul-625 tures, plasmid-carriers tended to be outcompeted by mutants 626 with chromosomal Hg<sup>R</sup>. Plasmid survival under positive selec-627 tion has important consequences because plasmids can carry 628 many accessory genes (e.g. (29)) not all of which are selected at 629 any given time. Interspecific conjugation also provides opportu-630 nity for plasmid recombination with resident genetic elements, 631 enhancing genomic diversification (2). Furthermore, prolonged 632 source-sink transfer dynamics could promote plasmid host range 633 expansion (30), as also shown for bacteriophage (31). Previously, 634 Dionisio and colleagues (32) noted how multi-species commu-635 nities might accelerate plasmid spread when a highly conjuga-636 tive intermediate species enhances plasmid transfer between two 637 poorly-conjugative species. In species-rich host communities this 638 'amplification effect' likely acts in concert with the source-sink 639 transfer dynamic, with plasmid sources acting both as a conduit 640 for rapid plasmid spread and a reservoir for long-term mainte-641 nance. 642

Conjugation rate rather than fitness cost explained differences in plasmid stability between the two pseudomonads. The plasmid was more readily lost from P. putida despite lower costof-carriage, presumably because less intraspecific conjugation meant plasmid-free individuals were less likely to be (re-)infected. Since conjugation depends on population density as well as intrinsic conjugation rate (18) the higher density of P. fluorescens may also have enhanced plasmid spread. Increases in density over the course of the experiment, perhaps due to adaptation to the growth medium and/or transfer regime, may partly explain the re-invasion of pQBR57 in P. fluorescens in several populations between transfers 13 and 41. Mutations can increase conjugation rate (32, 33), and transient derepression of transfer gene expression following plasmid acquisition can also accelerate plasmid spread through naïve host populations (e.g. (34)), an effect particularly pronounced for bacteria growing on surfaces (17), although it is unclear whether either of these mechanisms are at work here. It is relevant that within-species conjugation underlies pQBR57 persistence in P. fluorescens, because the source-sink transfer dynamic would be unavailable to a plasmid that ameliorated its cost by completely abrogating conjugation (e.g. (35)). However, high conjugation rate is not essential for a plasmid source: hosts that achieve long-term plasmid stability through other routes, such as compensatory evolution (9, 36), could also become sources, provided they retain some degree of interspecific conjugation.

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

It is tempting to explain the persistence of plasmids and 668 other mobile genetic elements by the benefits they bring to a 669 bacterial community, for example as a communal gene pool (3) 670 or by increasing robustness to environmental uncertainty (14). 671 However it is hard to envisage how selection might maintain 672 mobile elements for the benefit of the community in the long 673 term if they are costly for the individual cell in the short term (5). 674 Our data shows community-wide access to beneficial accessory 675 genes resulting from processes occurring in one species in that 676 community, specifically the persistence of a conjugative plasmid 677 by infection. This extends previous evidence demonstrating the 678 invasion and survival of plasmids as infectious parasitic elements, 679 especially in spatially structured populations (11, 26, 37). 680

Detailed molecular and genetic studies of plasmid-host adaptation are revealing the mechanisms behind plasmid stability (7, 9, 9)35, 38, 39). However, these studies have primarily been conducted in one plasmid / one host systems, which are not reflective of natural microbial populations containing many different bacterial species (40) and mobile genetic elements (21, 41). We have shown that even simple two-species microbial communities offer evolutionary opportunities unavailable in a single-species population. In a diverse community, a few bacterial species acting as stable sources of conjugative plasmids may represent hubs of horizontal gene exchange. Identifying those species and understanding their ecology could have important implications for the control of clinically important mobile elements.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

#### Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Pseudomonas fluorescens SBW25 and P. putida KT2440, labelled with gentamicin or streptomycin resistance markers and either plasmid-free or carrying pQBR57, were used for experiments (21). Soil microcosms were established and maintained similarly to previously described (21) and 1% w/v soil wash was transferred to a fresh microcosm every 4 days. Viable counts of each species were obtained by spreading samples on media containing species-selective antibiotics. For the first experiment, plasmid status in each species was assessed by PCR on  $\sim$ 30 colonies using primers targeting plasmid loci and the merA gene (SI Text). For the short-term experiment we assessed

- 1. Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO, Toussaint A (2005) Mobile genetic elements: the agents of open source evolution. Nat Rev Micro 3(9):722-732.
- Halary S, Leigh JW, Cheaib B, Lopez P, Bapteste E (2010) Network analyses structure genetic diversity in independent genetic worlds. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107(1):127-132.
- Norman A, Hansen LH, Sorensen SJ (2009) Conjugative plasmids: vessels of the com-3. munal gene pool. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 364(1527):2275-2289.
- Baltrus DA (2013) Exploring the costs of horizontal gene transfer. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 28(8):489-495
- Bergstrom CT, Lipsitch M, Levin BR (2000) Natural selection, infectious transfer and the 5. existence conditions for bacterial plasmids. Genetics 155(4):1505-1519.
- 6. Stewart FM, Levin BR (1977) The Population Biology of Bacterial Plasmids: A PRIORI Conditions for the Existence of Conjugationally Transmitted Factors. Genetics 87(2):209-228.
- Harrison E, Brockhurst MA (2012) Plasmid-mediated horizontal gene transfer is a coevolutionary process. Trends in Microbiology 20(6):262-267.
- Millan AS, et al. (2014) Positive selection and compensatory adaptation interact to stabilize 8. non-transmissible plasmids. Nature Communications 5:5208.
- Harrison E, Guymer D, Spiers AJ, Paterson S, Brockhurst MA (2015) Parallel Compensatory 9. Evolution Stabilizes Plasmids across the Parasitism-Mutualism Continuum. Current Biology 25(15):2034-2039.
- 10. Sengupta M, Austin S (2011) Prevalence and Significance of Plasmid Maintenance Functions in the Virulence Plasmids of Pathogenic Bacteria. Infection and Immunity 79(7):2502-2509.
- 11. Bahl MI, Hansen LH, Sørensen SJ (2007) Impact of conjugal transfer on the stability of IncP-1 plasmid pKJK5 in bacterial populations. FEMS Microbiology Letters 266(2):250-256. 12
- Viana M, et al. (2014) Assembling evidence for identifying reservoirs of infection. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 29(5):270-279.
- 13. Klümper U, et al. (2015) Broad host range plasmids can invade an unexpectedly diverse fraction of a soil bacterial community. The ISME Journal 9(4):934-945.
- Heuer H, Smalla K (2012) Plasmids foster diversification and adaptation of bacterial popu-14. lations in soil. FEMS Microbiol Rev 36(6):1083-1104.
- 15. Hall-Stoodley L, Costerton JW, Stoodley P (2004) Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. Nat Rev Micro 2(2):95-108.
- Kim HJ, Boedicker JQ, Choi JW, Ismagilov RF (2008) Defined spatial structure stabilizes a synthetic multispecies bacterial community. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 105(47):18188-18193.
- Simonsen L (1990) Dynamics of plasmid transfer on surfaces. Microbiology 136(6):1001-1007. 17 Krone SM, Lu R, Fox R, Suzuki H, Top EM (2007) Modelling the spatial dynamics of plasmid 18.
- transfer and persistence. Microbiology 153(8):2803-2816. 19. Gomez P, Buckling A (2011) Bacteria-Phage Antagonistic Coevolution in Soil. Science
- 332(6025):106-109 20. Cho JC, Tiedje JM (2000) Biogeography and degree of endemicity of fluorescent Pseu-
- domonas strains in soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66(12):5448-5456. 21. Hall JPJ, et al. (2015) Environmentally co-occurring mercury resistance plasmids are ge-
- netically and phenotypically diverse and confer variable context-dependent fitness effects. Environmental Microbiology 17(12):5008-5022. 22. Hill KE, M Top E (1998) Gene transfer in soil systems using microcosms. FEMS Microbiology
- Ecology 25(4):319-329 23
- Li P, Feng XB, Qiu GL, Shang LH, Li ZG (2009) Mercury pollution in Asia: A review of the contaminated sites. Journal of Hazardous Materials 168(2-3):591-601. 24
- Harrison E, et al. (2015) Bacteriophages Limit the Existence Conditions for Conjugative Plasmids. mBio 6(3):e00586-15.
- 25. Svara F, Rankin DJ (2011) The evolution of plasmid-carried antibiotic resistance. BMC Evol Biol 11(1):130.
- 6 | www.pnas.org --- ---

plasmid status by replica plating onto Hg(II) plates and tested representative 749 colonies by PCR. To test for Hg<sup>R</sup> at the end of the experiments we also spread 750 samples on Hg(II) plates containing species-selective antibiotics and tested representative colonies by PCR. For the 16 µg/g treatment we sampled up to 64 colonies. Because we tested approximately the same number of colonies from each species, differences in population size between the two species did not affect detection limits.

#### Analysis and statistics

For analysis of plasmid dynamics, we cropped data collected before transfer 7 because plasmid frequencies were dynamic due to short-term ecological processes (e.g. selection for Hg<sup>R</sup> causing plasmid fixation in Hg(II) treatments). Plasmid constancy was calculated using the Fluctuation Index (42) and analysed by Asymptotic Wilcoxon Mann-Whitney Rank Sum Tests. To compare plasmid dynamics we used the R package 'Ime4' (43) to fit Generalised Linear Mixed Effects Models (GLMM) with binomial response distributions and logit link functions (44, 45). For end-point analyses, we compared populations using Fisher's Exact Test. Full details and R code can be found in SI Text. Analyses were performed using R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and plots were created using 'ggplot2' (46). For the mathematical models, parameters were estimated experimentally where possible (SI Text), numerical solutions were found using MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick MA, U.S.A.), and analytic investigations performed with the help of Mathematica (Wolfram, Champaign IL, U.S.A.).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

We thank V. Friman and J. Pitchford for comments. This work was supported by ERC Consolidator Grant Agreement no. 311490-COEVOCON to MAB.

- De Gelder L, Ponciano JM, Joyce P, Top EM (2007) Stability of a promiscuous plasmid in 26. different hosts: no guarantee for a long-term relationship. Microbiology 153(2):452-463.
- Bellanger X, Guilloteau H, Breuil B (2014) Natural microbial communities supporting the transfer of the IncP-1ß plasmid pB10 exhibit a higher initial content of plasmids from the same incompatibility group. Frontiers in Microbiology 5(637).
- Yano H, et al. (2013) Host range diversification within the IncP-1 plasmid group. Microbiology 28 159(11):2303-2315.
- 29 Sen D, et al. (2011) Broad-Host-Range Plasmids from Agricultural Soils Have IncP-1 Backbones with Diverse Accessory Genes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 77(22):7975-7983.
- Kottara A, Hall JPJ, Harrison E, Brockhurst MA (2016) Multi-host environments select for 30. host- generalist conjugative plasmids. BMC Evol Biol 16:70.
- 31. Benmayor R, Hodgson DJ, Perron GG, Buckling A (2009) Host mixing and disease emergence. Curr Biol 19(9):764-767.
- 32. Dionisio F, Matic I, Radman M, Rodrigues OR, Taddei F (2002) Plasmids spread very fast in heterogeneous bacterial communities. Genetics 162(4):1525-1532.
- De Gelder L, Williams JJ, Ponciano JM, Sota M, Top EM (2008) Adaptive Plasmid 33. Evolution Results in Host-Range Expansion of a Broad-Host-Range Plasmid. Genetics 178(4):2179-2190.
- Lundquist PD, Levin BR (1986) Transitory derepression and the maintenance of conjugative 34 plasmids. Genetics 113(3):483-497.
- 35. Turner PE, et al. (2014) Antibiotic resistance correlates with transmission in plasmid evolution. Evolution 68(12):3368-3380.
- Dahlberg C, Chao L (2003) Amelioration of the cost of conjugative plasmid carriage in 36. Eschericha coli K12. Genetics 165(4):1641-1649.
- 37 Fox RE, Zhong X, Krone SM, Top EM (2008) Spatial structure and nutrients promote invasion of IncP-1 plasmids in bacterial populations. The ISME Journal 2(10):1024-1039.
- Millan AS, Toll-Riera M, Qi Q, MacLean RC (2015) Interactions between horizontally 38. acquired genes create a fitness cost in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nature Communications 6.6845
- Loftie-Eaton W, et al. (2015) Evolutionary paths that expand plasmid host-range: implica-39. tions for spread of antibiotic resistance. Molecular Biology and Evolution 33(4):885-897.
- 40 Lozupone CA, Knight R (2007) Global patterns in bacterial diversity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104(27):11436-11440.
- Sentchilo V, et al. (2013) Community-wide plasmid gene mobilization and selection. The ISME Journal 7(6):1-14.
- 42 Vogwill T, Fenton A, Brockhurst MA (2009) Dispersal and natural enemies interact to drive spatial synchrony and decrease stability in patchy populations. Ecology Letters 12(11):1194-1200.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2014) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using Ime4. Journal of Statistical Softwar, 67(1):1-48.
- 44 Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R (Springer Science & Business Media, New York).
- Bolker BM, et al. (2009) Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 24(3):127-135.
- Wickham H (2010) ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (Springer-Verlag, New York).
- Harrison XAA (2005) Comparison of observation-level random effect and beta-binomial models for modelling overdispersion in binomial data in ecology and evolution. PeerJ 3, e1114.
- 811 48 Ramos-Gonzalez MI, Campos MJ, Ramos JL, Espinosa-Urgel M (2005). Characterization 812 of the Pseudomonas putida Mobile Genetic Element ISPpu10: an Occupant of Repetitive 813 Extragenic Palindromic Sequences. Journal of Bacteriology 188(1):37-44
- 814 Scarpellini M, Franzetti L, Galli, A (2004) Development of PCR assay to identify Pseu-49. domonas fluorescens and its biotype. FEMS Microbiology Letters 236(2):257-260. 815

Footline Author

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

816

Please review all the figures in this paginated PDF and check if the figure size is appropriate to allow reading of the text in the figure.

If readability needs to be improved then resize the figure again in 'Figure sizing' interface of Article Sizing Tool.