

**INTERNATIONAL
JOURNAL**

Institute of Knowledge Management

KNOWLEDGE



Scientific Papers
Vol. 15.3.

KNOWLEDGE IN PRACTICE



<http://globalimpactfactor.com/knowledge-international-journal>
Global Impact and Quality Factor 1.023 (2015)

Eleventh International Scientific Conference
KNOWLEDGE IN PRACTICE
16-18 December, 2016 Bansko, Bulgaria

KNOWLEDGE



***INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
SCIENTIFIC PAPERS
VOL 15.3***

16-18 December, 2016

Bansko, BULGARIA

INSTITUTE OF KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

SKOPJE, MACEDONIA



KNOWLEDGE

International Journal Scientific papers Vol. 15.3

EDITORIAL BOARD

Vlado Kambovski PhD, Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Sinita Zaric PhD, Maria Kavdanska PhD, Veselin Terziev PhD, Mirjana Borota – Popovska PhD, Predrag Trajkovic PhD, Jova Kekenovski PhD, Svetlana Trajkovic PhD, Zivota Radosavljevic PhD, Margarita Koleva PhD, Mile Matijevic PhD, Nonka Mateva PhD, Rosita Chobanova PhD, Aleksandar Nikolovski PhD, Marija Knezevic PhD, Snežana Miličević PhD, Irina Singavskaya PhD, Dzulijana Tomovska PhD, Oliver Dimitrijevic PhD, Nedžad Korajlic PhD, Nebojska Pavlovic PhD, Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Dimitrija Popovski PhD, Lisen Bashkurti PhD, Tome Naumov PhD, Trajce Dojcinovski PhD, Jana Merdzanova PhD, Zoran Srzentic PhD, Nikolai Sashkov Cankov PhD

Preparing and correction: Lijana Pushova

Print: GRAFOPROM – Bitola

Editor: IKM – Skopje

For editor

Robert Dimitrovski, PhD

KNOWLEDGE

International Journal Scientific Papers Vol. 15.3

ISSN 1857-92

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

President: Academic, Prof. Vlado Kambovski PhD

- Prof. Robert Dimitrovski PhD, Faculty of Management, MIT University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Zivota Radosavljević PhD, Dean, Faculty FORCUP, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Venselin Terziev PhD, University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Paul Sergius Koku PhD, Florida Atlantic University (USA)
- Prof. Cesar Birzea PhD, University of Bucharest (Romania)
- Prof. Rosica Cobanova PhD, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Aleksandar Nikolovski PhD, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Anita Trajkovska PhD, Rochester University (USA)
- Prof. Sima Zarić, PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Milan Radosavljević PhD, Dean, Faculty of strategic and operational management, Union University, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Anka Trajkovska-Pedkoska PhD, UKLO, Faculty of technology and technical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Predrag Trajković PhD, JMPNT, (Serbia)
- Prof. Lidija Tozi PhD, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Bistra Angelovska, Faculty of Medicine, University "Goce Delčev", Štip (Macedonia)
- Prof. Miško Hristovski PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Jova Kekelovski PhD, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Saško Korunoski, Dean, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Cvetko Andreovski, Vice rector, Faculty of Tourism, UKLO, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Margarita Koleva PhD, Dean, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Aleksandar Donchev, MIT University, Faculty of Law, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Maria Kavdanska PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Mirjana Borota-Popovska, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Topuzovska-Latkovic, PhD, Centre for Management and Human Resource Development, Institute for Sociological, Political and Juridical Research, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Marija Knezevic PhD, Academic, Banja Luka, (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Ljupco Neumovski PhD, Forum for Mobility and Research, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Oliver Iliev PhD, Faculty of Communication and IT, FON University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Dimitrija Popovski PhD, Faculty of Sport, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Yuri Doroshenko PhD, Dean, Faculty of Economics and Management, Belgorod (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Ilija Nasov PhD, Research and Development center PLASMA, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Sashko Plachikov PhD, Faculty of Pedagogy, University Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Ivan Pedkov PhD, Rector, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Vladimir Lazarov PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Tosko Krstev PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Antoanela Hristova PhD, European Polytechnic University, Pernik (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Azra Adžajlić – Đedović PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)

Eleventh International Scientific Conference
KNOWLEDGE IN PRACTICE
16-18 December, 2016 Bansko, Bulgaria

- Prof. Aleksandar Korablev, PhD, Dean, Faculty for economy and management, Saint Petersburg State Forest Technical University, Saint Petersburg (Russian Federation)
- Prof. Primoz Dolenc, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Doc. Igor Strubelj, PhD, PhD, Faculty of Management, Primorska University, Koper (Slovenia)
- Prof. Hristo Beloev PhD, Bulgarian Academy of Science, Rector of the University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branko Sotirov PhD, University of Rousse (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Karl Schopf, PhD, Akademie für wissenschaftliche forschung und studium, Wien (Austria)
- Prof. Isa Spahija PhD, International Balkan University (Macedonia)
- Prof. Volodymyr Denyryuk, PhD, Dobrov Center for Scientific and Technological Potential and History studies at the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine (Ukraine)
- Prof. Laste Spasovski PhD, Vocational and educational centre, Skopje (Macedonia)
- Prof. Branislav Simonovic PhD, Faculty of law, Kragujevac (Serbia)
- Prof. Dragan Kokovic PhD, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Saska Kicoshev PhD, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad (Serbia)
- Prof. Pere Tumbas PhD, Faculty of Economics, University of Novi Sad, Subotica (Serbia)
- Prof. Natalija Kirjenko PhD, Faculty For economic and Business, Institute of Entrepreneurial Activity, Minsk (Belarus)
- Prof. Zoya Katru PhD, Prorector, Euro College, Istanbul (Turkey)
- Prof. Mustafa Kacar PhD, Euro College, Istanbul (Turkey)
- Prof. Evgenia Penkova-Pantaleeva PhD, UNWE -Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nikolina Ognenska PhD, Faculty of Music, SEU - Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Tihomir Domazet PhD, President of the Croatian Institute for Finance and Accounting
- Prof. Stojan Ivanov Ivanov PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Maja Lubenova Cholakovska PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Daniela Ivanova Popova PhD, Faculty of Public Health and Sport, SWU Neofit Rilski, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Branimir Kampa PhD, Institute SANO, Zagreb (Croatia)
- Prof. Marina Simin PhD, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Miladin Kalinic, College of professional studies in Management and Business Communication, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Helmut Stranske PhD, former Head of the University of Vienna Reform Group (Austria)
- Prof. Ahmad Zakari PhD, University of Wolver Hampton, (United Kingdom)
- Prof. Izako Pantaleev PhD, NBUniversity, Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Liven Bashkurti PhD, Global Vice President of Sun Moon University (Albania)
- Prof. Baki Koleci PhD, University Hadzi Zeka, (Kosovo)
- Prof. Ivana Jelik PhD, University of Podgorica, Faculty of Law, (MNE)
- Prof. Islam Hasani PhD, Kingston University (Bahrain)
- Prof. Rumen Valcovski PhD, Immunolab Sofia (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Jenko Kunchev PhD, University „Cemeterisec Hrabar“ - Varna (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nedjad Korajlic PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Alisabri Sabani PhD, Faculty of criminology and security, Sarajevo (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Jova Ataljevic PhD, Faculty of Economy, University of Banja Luka, (Bosnia & Herzegovina)
- Prof. Branislav Simonovic PhD, Faculty of law University of Kragujevac (Serbia)
- Doc. Taryana Sobolieva PhD, State Higher Education Establishment Vadiym Getman Kiyev National Economic University, Kiyev (Ukraine)

- Prof. Svetlana Trajkovic PhD, High School of applied professional studies, Vranje (Serbia)
- Prof. Suzana Pavlovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Zorka Jugovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Dragan Mariakovic PhD, High health – sanitary school for professional studies, Belgrade (Serbia)
- Prof. Dusan Ristic, PhD Emeritus – Faculty of Management, Sremski Karlovci (Serbia)
- Prof. Rumena Stefanov, PhD, Dean, Faculty of public health, Medical University of Plovdiv (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Stojana Ristevska PhD, Dean, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Snezana Stoulova, PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Lence Mircevska PhD, High Medicine School, Bitola, (Macedonia)
- Prof. Danijana Tomovska, PhD, Dean, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Mitre Stojanovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Ljupce Kocovski PhD, Faculty of Biotechnical sciences, Bitola (Macedonia)
- Prof. Vasil Zecov PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Nikola Bozkov PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Vasil Pehlivanov PhD, College of tourism, Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Oliver Dimitrijevic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies "Hipokrat", Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Ernika Antic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies "Hipokrat", Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Jelena Stojanovic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies "Hipokrat", Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Prof. Georgi Georgiev PhD, National Military University "Vasil Levski", Veliko Trnovo (Bulgaria)
- Prof. Miodrag Smelcarovic PhD, High medicine school for professional studies "Hipokrat", Bujanovac (Serbia)
- Doc. Ana Dzumalievta PhD, South-West University "Neofit Rilski", Blagoevgrad (Bulgaria)
- Doc. Marija Kostic PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, Vrnjaska Banja (Serbia)
- Doc. Sandra Živanovic PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, Vrnjaska Banja (Serbia)
- Doc. Snežana Milicevic PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, Vrnjaska Banja (Serbia)
- Doc. Nebojsa Pavlovic PhD, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism, Vrnjaska Banja (Serbia)
- Prof. Kamal Al-Nakib PhD, College of Business Administration Department, Kingdom University (Bahrain)
- Prof. Venus Del Rosario PhD, Arab Open University (Philippines)
- Prof. Nishad M. Navaz PhD, Kingdom University (India)

THE INFLUENCE OF REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES ON IN VITRO FERTILIZATION SUCCESS

Maryam Shahidi

Hazrat-e Maryam Fertility Center, Mazandaran, Sari, Iran, Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Mazandaran, Sari, Iran

Fateme Davoodi

Hazrat-e Maryam Fertility Center, Mazandaran, Sari, Iran,

Maryam Ramezgar

Hazrat-e Maryam Fertility Center, Mazandaran, Sari, Iran,

Vaso Taleski

Faculty of Medical Sciences, University „Goce Delchev“-Shtip, Republic of Macedonia

Liljana Simjanovska

Faculty of Medical Sciences, University „Goce Delchev“-Shtip, Republic of Macedonia

lsimjanovska@yahoo.com

Abstract: The reactive oxygen species (ROS) are one of the serious factors which negatively affect the in vitro fertilization (IVF) outcome. When the formation of ROS overcomes the ability of the biological system to detoxify them, they cause damage of the cells known as oxidative stress. These oxygen derived free radicals normally are produced from mitochondria during normal oxidative respiration. Due to their highly reactive nature, ROS can combine directly with other molecules leading to their structural and functional changes which result in cellular damage. Physiologically ROS can modulate the reproductive processes such as sperm-oocyte interaction, early embryo development and implantation. However the imbalance in their presence can cause oxidative stress affecting the pregnancy outcome. Although the IVF methods are continuously developing and improving there are still not sufficient microenvironment conditions that will avoid ROS accumulation and their negative influence on IVF outcome. The source of ROS during IVF may be due to the absence of endogenous defense mechanisms or due to the use of various manipulative techniques. In that way the ROS can originate from the endogenous production from the gametes and embryos or can be the result of the external factors such as: culturing media, O₂ concentration, light or the manipulation technique which has been used. One of the most important endogenous factor is increased oxidative stress in the spermatozoa which is associated with poor fertilization rate, low embryo quality and high rate of pregnancy loss. The other endogenous factors are connected with oocytes and the follicular fluid. It has been shown that higher ROS levels can trigger disruption of the oocyte cytoskeleton, affect the spindle formation, aneuploidy and embryo developmental arrest. Since embryo is a fast developing organism that needs high energy in some cases can also generate excessive amounts of ROS. Pathological levels of ROS during embryo culturing result in a low quality embryos with high fragmentation leading to low clinical pregnancy rates. To avoid the effects of the known external sources of ROS many improvements were made by additions of supplements in the culture media that reduce the ROS formation, culturing under lower O₂ concentrations, reduced exposure to light and introduction of vitrification methods for cryopreservation. Although many strategies are implemented so far, there is still a need for further development of new ways to avoid the oxidative stress as much as possible during the IVF treatment. One of the future approaches to prevent the harmful oxidative stress on IVF may be optimization of the environment by using the enriched atmosphere with negative air ions.

Key words: IVF, pregnancy, ROS, fertilization

INTRODUCTION

Free radicals are the molecules which produce oxidative stress whenever they are not neutralized by the antioxidants and they can cause damage of the cells. They are unstable and reactive molecules that acquire an electron from the surrounding molecule. Oxygen radicals, such as the superoxide anion (O₂⁻), the hydroxyl radical (OH[•]), Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), hypochlorite radical (OCl[•]), and the peroxy radical (ROO[•]) represent the group named reactive oxygen species (ROS) [1,2]. Also in this group are included the nitrogen radicals, such as nitric oxide (NO), nitric dioxide (NO₂), peroxy nitrite anion and nitroxy ion [3]. Normally the physiological processes result in a production of ROS since they are involved in various signal transduction pathways as a second messengers. Usually the life cells maintain the balance between ROS and antioxidants. The process of stabilization of the free radicals is by the addition of an electron by the antioxidants without affecting itself [4]. In the cases of overproduction of ROS damage of the cell constituents such as proteins, lipids and DNA occur. According to the intensity of the cell damage the oxidative stress can result in either reconstitution of the cell by repairing process or triggered apoptosis or even necrosis in the worse cases. ROS are known to play role in physiological processes such as phagocytosis, cell proliferation, differentiation and

migration [5]. Concerning the reproductive processes ROS also play an important role. It has been shown that they are involved in sperm-oocyte interaction, implantation and early embryo development [6]. As a consequence of unbalanced ROS production the developed oxidative stress can lead to low pregnancy outcome.

IVF METHODS AND ROS

For more than three decades the assisted reproduction technologies (ART) have been used in many cases of male and female infertility. These manipulative techniques can cause: pH shock, temperature changes, osmotic variations, UV light damage or nutrient and other imbalances [7,8]. However in the recent studies have been shown that *in vitro* manipulation of the gametes and embryos increase the risk of overphysiological production of ROS affecting the success rate of the treatment. It has been suggested that this occurs mostly due to a lack of gamete and embryo protection by the scavengers needed to neutralize the ROS. The source of ROS in IVF can be from the endogenous origin or external sources during the IVF process.

ENDOGENOUS SOURCES OF ROS

Under the normal conditions in aerobic cells the most important source of ROS are the oxygen-derived radicals formed in mitochondria during the intermediate steps of their reduction. Since the gametes and embryos as the other cells have energy needs they use the ATP created through mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis. These processes are the physiological source of ROS [9,10]. The internal sources of ROS during IVF can originate either from spermatozoa, oocytes or embryos. ROS formation occurs during the capacitation and acrosome reaction [11]. The major source of overphysiological amounts of ROS in the ejaculate are the morphologically abnormal spermatozoa and leucocytes [12]. Also the pathological effects result from the loss of plasma membrane fluidity of spermatozoa affecting the sperm motility. Increase of free radicals can produce DNA damage of the sperm cells. Many different types of mutations such as deletions, frameshifts, DNA cross links and chromosomal rearrangements have been described [13]. Also increased ROS decreases the mitochondrial membrane potential triggering the apoptosis of the sperm cells. Consequently during the IVF process many of these factors can lead to low fertilization rate, impaired embryo development and high pregnancy loss [14]. In contrast to the male gamete the situation with the oocyte ROS investigation is more complex. So far several studies have shown negative correlation of ROS production with the pregnancy outcome in IVF patients. Excessive ROS levels can be a cause of oocyte cytoskeleton disruption which result in microtubule dysfunction and aneuploidy. Any alteration of the meiotic spindle function can affect the normal fertilization, development of the embryos and consequently the IVF outcome [15]. The first developing embryo is the third endogenous source of ROS during IVF. The high energy needs of the early embryo is supplied by the ATP production through mitochondrial phosphorylation and glycolysis. Excessive generation of ROS usually are the result of increased energy demands during the processes of compaction and hatching. It has been found that *in vitro* conditions facilitate the ROS production when compared to *in vivo* conditions. It is clear that abnormal levels of ROS have negative impact on embryo quality recorded as slow development, high fragmentation and impaired blastocyst formation [16]. Studies of the day three levels of ROS have shown that increase of ROS ultimately affect the clinical pregnancy rates.

EXOGENOUS SOURCES OF ROS

The manipulative methods used in the IVF processes are one of the exogenous sources of ROS. However many other external factors also exist, such as media and supplements, excess of glucose, oxygen concentration, visible light and volatile organic compounds (VOC) [17]. Comparing the IVF methods which has been used, it seems that the IVF conventional process of spontaneous fertilization give different increase of the ROS levels compared to the intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) [18]. Also the cryopreservation methods for the gametes and embryos cause damage of the cells as a result of a decrease of the natural defense mechanisms. However the two known methods for cryopreservation, vitrification or slow freezing result in different levels of damage of the cells by ROS [19]. The culture media as a factor for increased ROS formation is mostly connected with the presence of metallic ions which accelerate ROS generation. This is solved by the addition of transferrin and ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid as a chelators [20]. For the O₂ as a factor in IVF procedures it is known that compared to the *in vivo* conditions it is three times more concentrated. Lowering the percentage of O₂ in the incubator better development of the embryos to the blastocyst stage have been achieved [21]. To avoid the visible light exposure of the cells many IVF laboratories work under decrease light intensity or even some of them using the fluorescent light filters [22].

SOLUTION STRATEGIES TO AMELIORATE THE ROS FORMATION IN IVF

There are several solution strategies that have been applied to ameliorate the negative influence of over creation of ROS. One of the implemented approaches were various sperm preparation methods that lower the ROS from teratogenic sperm cells and leucocytes [23]. Double density gradient centrifugation and glass wool filtration were recommended [24]. The high quality semen samples were obtained by the combination of

migration [3]. Concerning the reproductive processes ROS also play an important role. It has been shown that they are involved in sperm-oocyte interaction, implantation and early embryo development [6]. As a consequence of unbalanced ROS production the developed oxidative stress can lead to low pregnancy outcome.

IVF METHODS AND ROS

For more than three decades the assisted reproduction technologies (ART) have been used in many cases of male and female infertility. These manipulative techniques can cause: pH shock, temperature changes, osmotic variations, UV light damage or nutrient and other imbalances [7,8]. However in the recent studies have been shown that in vitro manipulation of the gametes and embryos increase the risk of overphysiological production of ROS affecting the success rate of the treatment. It has been suggested that this occurs mostly due to a lack of gamete and embryo protection by the scavengers needed to neutralize the ROS. The source of ROS in IVF can be from the endogenous origin or external sources during the IVF process.

ENDOGENOUS SOURCES OF ROS

Under the normal conditions in aerobic cells the most important source of ROS are the oxygen-derived radicals formed in mitochondria during the intermediate steps of their reduction. Since the gametes and embryos as the other cells have energy needs they use the ATP created through mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis. These processes are the physiological source of ROS [9,10]. The internal sources of ROS during IVF can originate either from spermatozoa, oocytes or embryos. ROS formation occurs during the capacitation and acrosome reaction [11]. The major source of overphysiological amounts of ROS in the ejaculate are the morphologically abnormal spermatozoa and leucocytes [12]. Also the pathological effects result from the loss of plasma membrane fluidity of spermatozoa affecting the sperm motility. Increase of free radicals can produce DNA damage of the sperm cells. Many different types of mutations such as deletions, frameshifts, DNA cross links and chromosomal rearrangements have been described [13]. Also increased ROS decreases the mitochondrial membrane potential triggering the apoptosis of the sperm cells. Consequently during the IVF process many of these factors can lead to low fertilization rate, impaired embryo development and high pregnancy loss [14]. In contrast to the male gamete the situation with the oocyte ROS investigation is more complex. So far several studies have shown negative correlation of ROS production with the pregnancy outcome in IVF patients. Excessive ROS levels can be a cause of oocyte cytoskeleton disruption which result in microtubule dysfunction and aneuploidy. Any alteration of the meiotic spindle function can affect the normal fertilization, development of the embryos and consequently the IVF outcome [15]. The first developing embryo is the third endogenous source of ROS during IVF. The high energy needs of the early embryo is supplied by the ATP production through mitochondrial phosphorylation and glycolysis. Excessive generation of ROS usually are the result of increased energy demands during the processes of compaction and hatching. It has been found that in vitro conditions facilitate the ROS production when compared to in vivo conditions. It is clear that abnormal levels of ROS have negative impact on embryo quality recorded as slow development, high fragmentation and impaired blastocyst formation [16]. Studies of the day three levels of ROS have shown that increase of ROS ultimately affect the clinical pregnancy rates.

EXOGENOUS SOURCES OF ROS

The manipulative methods used in the IVF processes are one of the exogenous sources of ROS. However many other external factors also exist, such as media and supplements, excess of glucose, oxygen concentration, visible light and volatile organic compounds (VOC) [17]. Comparing the IVF methods which has been used, it seems that the IVF conventional process of spontaneous fertilization give different increase of the ROS levels compared to the intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) [18]. Also the cryopreservation methods for the gametes and embryos cause damage of the cells as a result of a decrease of the natural defense mechanisms. However the two known methods for cryopreservation, vitrification or slow freezing result in different levels of damage of the cells by ROS [19]. The culture media as a factor for increased ROS formation is mostly connected with the presence of metallic ions which accelerate ROS generation. This is solved by the addition of transferrin and ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid as a chelator [20]. For the O₂ as a factor in IVF procedures it is known that compared to the in vivo conditions it is three times more concentrated. Lowering the percentage of O₂ in the incubators better development of the embryos to the blastocyst stage have been achieved [21]. To avoid the visible light exposure of the cells many IVF laboratories work under decrease light intensity or even some of them using the fluorescent light filters [22].

SOLUTION STRATEGIES TO AMELIORATE THE ROS FORMATION IN IVF

There are several solution strategies that have been applied to ameliorate the negative influence of over creation of ROS. One of the implemented approaches were various sperm preparation methods that lower the ROS from teratogenic sperm cells and leucocytes [23]. Double density gradient centrifugation and glass wool filtration were recommended [24]. The high quality semen samples were obtained by the combination of

magnetic-activated separation with gradient centrifugation [25]. Additional supplementation of the media with antioxidants such as pentoxifylline, glutathione or albumin have been implemented [26]. Since the introduction of IVF procedures as a treatment for infertility, there is continuous attempt to mimic the natural conditions. Therefore different supplementations of the media to maintain a stable antioxidant balance have been used. During the time culture media have evolved and sequential culture media were created for the benefit of better embryo development [27]. Although there are certain achievements, there is still a need for further research with an aim to get higher pregnancy rate. To ameliorate the oxidative stress is a serious and very important challenge for further studies in the IVF field. Implementation of enriched environment with negative air ions (NAI) may have some influence on ROS creation. It has been shown that inhalation of NAI by rats influence the energy reactions of mitochondria. The study of liver and brain cells after inhalation of NAI showed decrease in the energy demand after the induced hyperactivation with epinephrine. This study demonstrated the sensitivity of mitochondrial processes in the brain and liver cells [28]. We hypothesize that NAI may have a physiological effect on the cells such as gametes or developing embryos as well, which has to be experimentally investigated.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Spiteller Review: on the chemistry of oxidative stress, *Journal of Lipid Mediators*, vol. 7, pp. 199-221, 1993
- [2] H. Sies, Strategies of antioxidant defense, *European Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 215, pp.213-219, 1993
- [3] M.K. O'Bryan, A. Zini, C.Y. Cheng, P.N. Schlegel, Human sperm endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression: correlation with sperm motility, *Fertility and Sterility*, vol. 70, pp.1143-1147, 1998
- [4] S.G. Rhee, Cell signaling, H_2O_2 , a necessary evil for cell signaling, *Science*, vol.312, pp.1882-1883,2006
- [5] Y.M. Jansson, B. Van Houten, P.J. Born, B.T. Mossman, Cell and tissue responses to oxidative damage, *Laboratory Investigation*, vol. 69, pp.261-274, 1993.
- [6] C. Taylor, Antioxidants and reactive oxygen species in human fertility, *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, vol.10, pp.189-196, 2001
- [7] Y. Goto, Y. Noda, T. Mori, M. Nakano, Increased generation of reactive oxygen species in embryos cultured *in vitro*, *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol.15, pp.69-75, 1993
- [8] A. Agarwal, S. Gupta, H. Abdel-Razek, N. Kravic, K. Athayde, Impact of oxidative stress on gametes and embryos in an ART Laboratory, *Clinical Embryology*, vol. 9, pp.5-22, 2006
- [9] K.J. Aitken, Molecular mechanisms regulating human sperm function, *Molecular Human Reproduction*, vol.3, pp.169-173, 1997
- [10] M. Attaran, E.Pasqualotto, T.Falcone, J.M. Goldberg, K.F. Miler, A. Agarwal, R.K. Sharma , The effect of follicular fluid reactive oxygen species on the outcome of *in vitro* fertilization, *International Journal of Fertility and Womens Medicine*, vol.45, pp. 314-320, 2000
- [11] E. de Lamirande , C. Gagnon, A positive role for the superoxide anion in triggering hyperactivation and capacitation of human spermatozoa, *International Journal of Andrology*, vol.16,pp. 21-25, 1993
- [12] M. Ollero, E. Gil-Guzman, M.C. Lopez , R.K. Sharma, A. Agarwal, R.Larson, D. Evenson, A.J. Thomas, J.G. Alvarez, Characterization of subsets of human spermatozoa at different stages of maturation: implications in the diagnosis and treatment of male infertility, *Human Reproduction*, vol.16, pp. 1912-1921, 2001
- [13] R.J. Aitken, C. Krausz, Oxidative stress, DNA damage and the Y chromosome, *Reproduction*, vol. 122, pp.497-506, 2001
- [14] A. Agarwal , T.M. Said, M.A. Bedaiwy, J. Banerjee, J.G. Alvarez, Oxidative stress in an assisted reproductive techniques setting, *Fertility and Sterility*, vol.86, pp. 503-512, 2006
- [15] W.J. Choi, J. Banerjee, T. Falcone, J. Bana, A. Agarwal, R.K. Sharma, Oxidative stress and tumor necrosis factor- α -induced alterations in metaphase II mouse oocyte spindle structure, *Fertility and Sterility*, vol.88, pp. 1220-1231, 2007
- [16] M.A. Bedaiwy, T. Falcone, M.S. Mohamed, A.A. Alseem, R.K. Sharma, S.E. Worley, J. Thomson, A. Agarwal, Differential growth of human embryos *in vitro*: role of reactive oxygen species, *Fertility and Sterility* vol.82, pp. 593-600, 2004
- [17] M.H. Niaz-Esfahani, N.J. Winston, M.H. Johnson, Effects of glucose, glutamine, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and oxygen tension on the concentration of reactive oxygen species and on development of the mouse preimplantation embryo *in vitro*, *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility*, vol. 96, pp. 219-231, 1992
- [18] A. Agarwal, T.M. Said, M.A. Bedaiwy, J.Banerjee, J.G. Alvarez, Oxidative stress in an assisted reproductive techniques setting, *Fertility and Sterility*, vol. 86, pp.503-512, 2006
- [19] J.F. Bilodeau, S. Chatterjee, M.A. Simard , C.Gagnon, Levels of antioxidant defenses are decreased in bovine spermatozoa after a cycle of freezing and thawing, *Molecular Reproduction Development*, vo.55, pp. 282-288, 2000

- [20] M. Ozawa, T. Nagai, M. Fehrudin, N.W. Karja, H. Kaneko, J. Noguchi, K. Ohnuma, K. Kikuchi, Addition of glutathione or thioredoxin to culture medium reduces intracellular redox status of porcine IVM/IVF embryos, resulting in improved development to the blastocyst stage, *Molecular Reproduction Development*, vol. 73, pp. 998-1007, 2006
- [21] G.G. Leoni, I. Rosati, S. Succi, L. Bogliolo, D. Bebbere, F. Baringaar, S. Ledda, A low oxygen atmosphere during IVF accelerates the kinetic of formation of *in vitro* produced ovine blastocysts, *Reproduction in Domestic Animals*, vol. 42, pp.299-304, 2007
- [22] T. Nakayama, Y. Noda, Y. Goto, T. Mori, Effects of visible light and other environmental factors on the production of oxygen radicals by hamster embryos, *Theriogenology*, vol. 41 pp. 499-510, 1994
- [23] R.R. Hankel, W.B. Schill, Sperm preparation for ART, *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*, vol.1, pp.108, 2003
- [24] S. Grunewald, W. Miska, G. Miska, M.Rasch, M. Reinhardt, H.J. Glander, U. Pasch, Molecular glass wool filtration as a new tool for sperm preparation, *Human Reproduction*, vol.22, pp. 1403-1412, 2007
- [25] S. Miltzari, W. Muller, W. Weichel, A. Radbruch, High gradient magnetic cell separation with MACS, *Cytometry*, vol.11, pp.231-238, 1990
- [26] A. Bonini, C. Lagalla, R. Sciarino, V. Distratis, M.A. Boni, M. Cattoli, G. Coticcchio, Artificial reproductive technology achievements for optimizing embryo quality, *Annual New York Academy of Science*, vol. 1034, pp. 232-261, 2004
- [27] A. Mercader, D. Valbuena, C. Simón, Human embryo culture, *Methods in Enzymology*, vol.420, pp.3-18, 2006
- [28] I.G. Statorovskaia, T.V. Sirota, I.R. Sankin, M.N. Kondrashova, Optimization of energy-dependent processes in mitochondria from rat liver and brain after inhalation of negative air ions, *Biofizika*, vol.43, pp.766-771, 1998