

# Europe is witnessing the establishment of a new regional order, built on territories such as Scotland, Catalonia and the Basque Country

**LSE** [blogs.lse.ac.uk/europpblog/2014/10/22/europe-is-witnessing-the-establishment-of-a-new-regional-order-built-on-territories-such-as-scotland-catalonia-and-the-basque-country/](https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/europpblog/2014/10/22/europe-is-witnessing-the-establishment-of-a-new-regional-order-built-on-territories-such-as-scotland-catalonia-and-the-basque-country/)

22/10/2014

*This year has seen an independence referendum in Scotland, a contested debate over a proposed independence consultation in Catalonia and growing momentum behind independence movements elsewhere in Europe. Igor Calzada writes that the debates taking place within countries like Spain and the UK offer an example of what he terms 'post-independence'. He argues that political devolution, economic development and nation-state re-scaling processes have become intertwined, thereby establishing a new European regional order characterised by the presence of city-regional small nations as new key players beyond their referential nation-states.*



The UK and Spain are not depicted as having the same nation-state DNA with regard to their respective histories and political-cultural traditions. A glance at the current European regional comparative context reveals that, while the UK Government legitimised the Scottish Government and supported the Scottish independence referendum as being a highly democratic exercise, Spain stands out as remaining normatively inflexible without, so far, even contemplating any dialogue with the presidents of the Catalan and Basque Autonomies.

Meanwhile, contemporary EU nation-states are accepting the implementation of the right of a population to decide how it is governed in relation to the UK's inner national diverse context, which is embodied by the current position of Scotland. On the other hand, Spain has been avoiding the demands of the Catalan and Basque institutions and citizens on the basis of both historic and more recent episodes of political unrest. As a result, it seems impossible to open any discussion about the devolution claims of city-regional small nations, particularly in terms of devising an internal, alternative and re-scaled configuration of Spain as a nation-state, which would involve modifying the 1978 Constitution.

Moreover, in the case of the Basque Country, this is presented as the least likely outcome as political violence in the region has been both a major obstacle and also a source of inertia. Nevertheless, ETA (Euskadi Ta Askatasuna) announced a 'definitive cessation' of its campaign in 2011 and, therefore, should welcome any kind of democratic implementation that involves devolving powers to the Basque Country. Hence, can we find any remarkable differences between EU nation-states such as the UK and Spain? Indeed, I think there are plenty of them.

## Post-independence in Scotland, Catalonia and the Basque Country

Within the broader global context, established nation-states are facing substantial changes, not only externally in terms of the global geostrategic game but also internally in their relations with their constituent 'city-regions'. These 'city-regions' appear as dynamic, networked, territorial configurations embedded in their referential nation-states and driven by a wide range of diverse, transformative promotional policies that result in very uncertain consequences for both the 'city-regions' and the nation-states. However, clarification of the taxonomy and case-studies involved is required. As Allen Scott has [pointed out](#), 'city-region' is a term that creates confusion, while Kevin Morgan [notes that](#) "what is true of some city-regions is not true of all city-regions".

Furthermore, Michael Keating [has argued](#) that globalisation and European integration have led to a resurgence of post-nationalism, which goes beyond nation-states. It is specifically in this context that 'city-regions' are making advances and, as noted by Morgan, each does so in its own way. Put simply, some 'city-regions' are promoted by economic renewal policies, while others are driven by national identity demands.\*

In this global context, political devolution, economic development and nation-state re-scaling processes are merging and becoming intertwined, thereby establishing a new European regional order characterised by the presence of city-regional small nations as new key players beyond their referential nation-states. In this game, the hypothetical strategic scenarios are not clear and they remain uncertain considering, on the one hand, the heterogeneous tradition of the nation-states themselves and, on the other hand, the political histories of these city-regional small nations. Nevertheless, it is clear that this new order must be taken seriously through close attention to its democratic dimension and to the clear territorial and political consequences for the city-regions, their related nation-states and the EU as a whole. I would like to entitle this debate 'Post-independence'.

In an attempt to shed some light on this debate, in June I presented a book entitled *Post-independence* in the Basque Country, Spain, under the umbrella of the 'Benchmarking City-Regions beyond Nation-States' project. The book sets out to capture the different natures of the social innovation processes of eight city-regions. It suggests a benchmarking between the eight 'city-region' cases beyond their referential 'nation-states', which are the Basque Country (Spain and France), Dublin (Ireland), Portland (Oregon), Oresund (Denmark and Sweden), Iceland, Liverpool/Manchester (UK), Scotland (UK) and Catalonia (Spain).

Among these cases, there are two groups of 'city-regions': those that are fuelled merely by economic renewal and those driven by national identity factors. In the first group, I include Dublin, Portland, Oresund and Liverpool/Manchester; in the second group are the Basque Country, Iceland, Scotland and Catalonia. The unit of analysis is the 'city-region', in which a complex networked dimension could account for different currently established socio-territorial structures, such as small nations (the Basque Country, Scotland and Catalonia), metropolitan cities (Dublin, Portland, Liverpool and Manchester), cross-border regions (Oresund) and small states (Iceland).

I will extract from the book some brief conclusions for each of the three city-regional small nation cases, the Basque Country, Catalonia and Scotland, in relation to their referential nation-states, which are Spain and France and the UK. The Table below provides the population of each of these territories and their GDP contribution to their current nation-state (as a percentage of the total of the larger state it is located within).

**Table: City-regional small nations' population and GDP**

<i>City-regional small nation</i>	<i>Population in millions (percentage of UK/Spain)</i>	<i>GDP contribution as percentage of UK/Spain GDP</i>
Scotland	5.3 (8)	9
Catalonia	7.5 (16)	19
Basque Country	2.2 (5.5)	6

**Note: Figures for the Basque Country only relate to the territory within Spain, not the Basque Country territory within France. Source: [New York Times](#)**

The conclusions might lead us to coin 'Post-independence' as a new term, referring to the democratic process that each case portrays. Let us take each city-regional small nation in turn. First, Scotland currently represents the first relevant case in which a hypothetical independence option was agreed to by both the regional Scottish government and institutions (Holyrood) and the British nation-state government and institutions (Westminster). As a consequence, due to its substantial citizen engagement and the way in which the independence referendum was managed democratically by both sides, this case demonstrated very good practices – efficient governance, social media usage and a rationalised dialectic.

Second, Catalonia is a case that should be understood in the context of the significant level of social support that

has been gained (over 80 per cent according to the 9 November vote) for independence, which prompted planning for a consultation, held on 9 November, and also pushed the Catalan Regional Government to accept this possibility, even against the will of the Spanish Central Government. However, the main debate has been focused on the real controversy regarding whether or not to honour the consultation without having any information on the content. It therefore seems that the confrontational and antagonistic dialectic adopted will not, in the short-term, help to achieve a democratic outcome.

Finally, the Basque Country presents a new and positive case, which has evolved very quickly and has created an environment in which a demand for a referendum is bound to occur sooner or later as a consequence of the overcoming of the political violence that dominated the previous era. The main issue for any such referendum is the continued lack of preparation for the democratic content of the debate. Furthermore the region may have the same difficulties as those face by Catalonia regarding Spain's inflexible position.

*Please read our comments policy before commenting.*

*Note: This article gives the views of the author, and not the position of EUROPP – European Politics and Policy, nor of the London School of Economics. Featured image credit: NASA (CC-BY-SA-3.0)*

Shortened URL for this post: <http://bit.ly/127wvle>

---

#### **About the author**

**Igor Calzada** – *University of Oxford / Ikerbasque*

Igor Calzada is Lecturer & Post-doctoral Research Fellow at the University of Oxford (UK) and Ikerbasque (Basque Science Foundation, Spain). He is an expert in research and policy-making projects on city-regional policies, social innovation, territorial development and strategies and governance.



-