

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

## SHORT COMMUNICATION

### Identifying bacterial predictors of honey bee health

Giles E. Budge<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Ian Adams, Richard Thwaites<sup>1</sup>, Stéphane Pietravalle<sup>1</sup>, Georgia C Drew<sup>3</sup>,  
Gregory D.D. Hurst<sup>3</sup>, Victoria Tomkies<sup>1</sup>, Neil Boonham<sup>1,2</sup>, Mike Brown<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fera, Sand Hutton, York, YO411LZ.

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Agri-Food Research and Innovation, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon  
Tyne, NE1 7RU.

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Crown Street, Liverpool, L69 7ZB.

<sup>4</sup> Animal and Plant Health Agency, Sand Hutton, YO41 1LZ.

\* Corresponding author: [giles.budge@fera.co.uk](mailto:giles.budge@fera.co.uk); [giles.budge@ncl.ac.uk](mailto:giles.budge@ncl.ac.uk)

18 **Abstract**

19 Non-targeted approaches are useful tools to identify new or emerging issues in bee  
20 health. Here, we utilise next generation sequencing to highlight bacteria associated with  
21 healthy and unhealthy honey bee colonies, and then use targeted methods to screen a wider  
22 pool of colonies with known health status. Our results provide the first evidence that bacteria  
23 from the genus *Arsenophonus* are associated with poor health in honey bee colonies. We  
24 also discovered *Lactobacillus* and *Leuconostoc* spp. were associated with healthier honey  
25 bee colonies. Our results highlight the importance of understanding how the wider microbial  
26 population relates to honey bee colony health.

27

28 **Keywords**

29 probiotic; symbiont; microbiome

30

31

32 **1. Introduction**

33 The economic contribution of insect pollination to crop production (Gallai et al., 2009) and  
34 human nutrition security (Ellis et al., 2015) is significant. Managed honey bees are often  
35 singled out as a substantial global supplier of pollination services (Kleijn et al., 2015) but are  
36 exposed to a range of pressures that contribute to poor health, including parasites (Budge et  
37 al., 2015; Higes et al., 2008), pesticides (Henry et al., 2012) and climate change; for review  
38 see (Vanbergen and Initiative, 2013).

39

40 As pollinators are placed under increasing pressures, the microbiome of bees is emerging as  
41 an important and understudied factor in the maintenance of health. Food amended with lactic  
42 acid bacteria can protect honey bees against American (Forsgren et al., 2010) and European  
43 foulbrood (Vasquez et al., 2012) whilst members of the gut microbiota have putative roles in  
44 the metabolism of carbohydrates (Lee et al., 2015). Microbiota of the honey bee may  
45 therefore contribute to pathogen defence, nutrition and protection against environmental  
46 compounds.

47

48 Here we used pyrosequencing of the 16S amplicon to highlight bacteria differentially  
49 associated with healthy and unhealthy honey bee colonies, and then developed targeted real-  
50 time PCR methods to explore microbial relationships with colony health.

51

52

## 53 2. Materials and Methods

### 54 2.1. Sampling

55 A recent study collected adult honey bee samples from healthy and unhealthy UK colonies  
56 to investigate known pathogens as predictors of poor honey bee colony health (Budge et al.,  
57 2015). We identified two case studies within these samples where professional beekeepers  
58 managed apiaries experiencing persistently poor colony health as well as apiaries showing  
59 consistently good colony health, despite using similar beekeeping practices. Beekeeper A  
60 had one healthy apiary (AH; 6 colonies) and two unhealthy apiaries (AU1; 5 colonies and  
61 AU2; 6 colonies). Beekeeper B had one healthy apiary (BH; 3 colonies) and one unhealthy  
62 apiary (BU; 3 colonies). DNA was extracted from 30 adult honey bees from each colony as  
63 described previously (Budge et al., 2015).

64

### 65 2.2. Pyrosequencing 16S amplicons

66 16S amplicons were produced using composite primers (Hamady et al., 2008) with Multiplex  
67 Identifiers (MIDs) from Roche using a different MID tagged reverse primer for each sample  
68 (Table S1). The forward primer comprised the Roche 454 Primer B (underlined) and 'TC'  
69 linker (italics) concatenated to the conserved bacterial primer 27F (bold) (5'-  
70 GCCTTGCCAGCCCGCTCAG *TCAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG*-3'). The reverse primer  
71 comprised the Roche 454 Primer A (underlined) followed by the 10 nt MID, a 'CA' linker  
72 (italics) and the conserved bacterial primer 338R (bold) (5'-GCCTCCCTCGCGCCATCAG-  
73 MID-CATGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT-3').

74

75 16S PCR reactions were set up using Advantage 2 Reagents (Clontech, USA) comprising 5  
76 µL 50x SA buffer, 1 µL Advantage 2 polymerase mix, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1 µL of template 400  
77 nM forward and reverse primers and 40 µL water. Reactions were carried out in a Biometra  
78 T3 thermocycler PCR machine (Biometra, Germany) beginning with 94°C for 10 min followed  
79 by 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s (denaturing), 55°C for 30 s (annealing) and 72°C for 1 min  
80 (extension). PCR products were visualised on a 1% gel and quantified using the Quant-iT

81 dsDNA BR assay kit (Invitrogen). Amplicons were sequenced on two sixteenths of a plate  
82 from a GS-FLX Genome Sequencer (University of Newcastle, Institute of Human Genetics)  
83 and sequences analysed using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) pyrosequencing  
84 pipeline (Cole et al., 2009). Sequences were trimmed and identified based on MID using the  
85 initial processing feature and each read assigned to a taxa using the RDP classifier.

86

### 87 *2.3. Screening colonies with known health status*

88 Three bacterial species with differential expression between healthy and unhealthy hives  
89 were selected for the development of targeted real-time PCR tests following previously  
90 published protocols (Budge et al., 2010) (Table S2). Targeted real-time PCR tests were used  
91 to rescreen DNA extracts from 129 adult honey bee samples reported previously (Budge et  
92 al., 2015). To investigate the relationship between the presence of the newly identified  
93 bacteria and honey bee colony health, the square root of the number of combs of adult bees  
94 was used as the response variable in a multiple linear regression model with the detection of  
95 established parasites (*N. apis*, *N. ceranae*, *M. plutonius*, KBV, DWV, BQCV, SBV, CBPV,  
96 APBV, IV, IAPV) and newly associated bacterial species (*Arsenophonus*, *Lactobacillus*,  
97 *Leuconostoc*) as potential explanatory variables (GenStat version 17.1).

98

### 99 *2.4. Arsenophonus PCR sequencing*

100 To further characterise *Arsenophonus* spp. detected in *A. mellifera* adults, we generated  
101 sequence from two genes; the house keeping gene fructose-bisphosphate aldolase class II  
102 (*fbaA*) and 16S rRNA for two colony samples using established protocols. *FbaA* sequences  
103 were amplified using the primer pair *fbaAF* (5'-GCCGCTAAGGTTGGTTCTCC) and *fbaAR*  
104 (5'-CCTGAACCAACCATGGAAAACAAAA; 658 bp amplicon) adapted from a previous study  
105 (Duron et al., 2010). 16S rRNA sequences were amplified using established primers (Duron  
106 et al., 2008) generating a 804 bp amplicon. Products were purified and Sanger sequenced  
107 through both strands using the original primers. Data were used to infer the relatedness of  
108 the *A. mellifera Arsenophonus* strain to others in the genus. . Model selection was made

109 using the best-fit nucleotide substitution test in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013), and maximum  
110 likelihood tree estimated using the Tamura 3-parameter model (Tamura, 1992) for fbaA  
111 sequence, and the Kimura 2-parameter model (Kimura, 1980) for 16S rRNA.. The  
112 evolutionary rate differences between sites was modelled using Gamma distribution (fbaA)  
113 or uniform rates (16S rRNA). Accession numbers and references for sequences from the  
114 related species used in phylogenetic reconstruction are provided (Tables S3, S4)  
115

116 **3. Results and Discussion**

117 *3.1. Pyrosequencing 16S amplicons*

118 In total, 15,633 16S amplicon sequences (NCBI Bioproject PRJNA315609) were identified  
119 by MID and classified with 95% confidence using the RDP webtools. Bacteria from 17  
120 identifiable genera generated at least 1% of the sequence reads in samples from either  
121 healthy or unhealthy honey bee colonies (Table 1).

122 **[Table 1]**

123

124 Sequences of *Arsenophonus* were more frequently found in adult bee samples from  
125 unhealthy apiaries whilst *Lactobacillus* and *Leuconostoc* were more frequently found in  
126 healthy apiaries (Table 1). These bacterial genera were selected for further study and real-  
127 time PCR primers designed to confirm species presence (Table S2).

128

129 *3.2. Screening colonies with known health status*

130 PCR-based rescreening of DNA samples from adult honey bees for the remaining three  
131 bacterial genera revealed positive results for *Arsenophonus* (62/129), *Lactobacillus* (20/129)  
132 and *Leuconostoc* (18/129). The multiple linear regression suggested the established parasite  
133 DWV and newly associated bacterial species *Arsenophonus*, *Lactobacillus* and *Leuconostoc*  
134 were significant predictors of honey bee colony size ( $F=20.81$ ;  $df=4,124$ ;  $P<0.001$ ). DWV  
135 ( $F=18.68$ ;  $df=1,124$ ;  $P<0.001$ ) and *Arsenophonus* ( $F=9.4$ ;  $df=1,124$ ;  $P=0.003$ ) presence were  
136 negatively correlated and *Lactobacillus* ( $F=4.14$ ;  $df=1,124$ ;  $P=0.044$ ) and *Leuconostoc*  
137 ( $F=51.01$ ;  $df=1,124$ ;  $P<0.001$ ) were positively correlated to the number of combs of bees  
138 (Figure 1A).

139 **[Figure 1]**

140 *3.3. Arsenophonus PCR sequencing*

141 *Apis mellifera* *Arsenophonus* grouped with *Arsenophonus* strains previously identified in  
142 *Colletes* using 16S Sequence (Figure 2A), a result congruent with results from Switzerland  
143 (Yañez et al., 2016). FbaA sequences suggested *Apis mellifera* *Arsenophonus* formed a

144 monophyletic group with *Arsenophonus nasoniae* from the parasitoid wasp (*Nasonia*  
145 *vitripennis*) and *Arsenophonus* isolated from the raspberry aphid (*Aphis idaei*; Figures 1C).  
146



#### 147 4. Discussion

148 Our results provide the first evidence that members of the genus *Arsenophonus* are  
149 associated with poor health in UK honey bee colonies. In total, 48% of adult bee samples  
150 tested positive from eleven counties demonstrating *Arsenophonus* is well distributed  
151 geographically, and more common in the UK than Switzerland where only 24% of colonies  
152 tested positive (Yañez et al., 2016). Increased abundance of bacteria with 90% sequence  
153 identity to *Arsenophonus* has been reported in honey bee colonies suffering from Colony  
154 Collapse Disorder (CCD) in the United States, indicating a potential association with poor  
155 bee health (Cornman et al., 2012). There are two competing and equally significant  
156 hypotheses for the correlation between *Arsenophonus* presence and the poor health of  
157 honey bee colonies. Firstly, *Arsenophonus* could increase host susceptibility to infection.  
158 This might occur, for instance, if the symbiont modulated host immune pathways are  
159 affected to reduce pathogen clearance. Alternatively, *Arsenophonus* may protect its host  
160 against parasites, and thus reaches high prevalence in areas where parasite pressure is  
161 high. *Arsenophonus* has been associated with foraging honey bees in Israel (Aizenberg-  
162 Gershtein et al., 2013), Switzerland (Babendreier et al., 2007) and The United States  
163 (Corby-Harris et al., 2014) and was associated with hive debris from the Czech republic  
164 (Hubert et al., 2015), so whilst we do not know which of our hypotheses is correct,  
165 elucidation of the association is of clear importance to international apiculture and merits  
166 future experimental studies.

167

168 We also report the novel finding that lactic acid bacteria (LAB) from the genera *Lactobacillus*  
169 and *Leuconostoc* were predictors of increased colony size in UK honey bee colonies.  
170 *Leuconostoc* spp. have rarely been associated with aculeate pollinators, the only previous  
171 reports being presence in fresh pollen collected by foraging honey bees in Algeria (Belhadj  
172 et al., 2010) and a finding in the gut of *Bombus terrestris* in Belgium (Praet et al., 2015).  
173 *Lactobacillus* is better studied, becoming associated with adult bees soon after eclosure  
174 (Vasquez et al., 2012) and thought to be important to honey production (Olofsson and

175 Vasquez, 2008) and the maturation of pollen (Vasquez and Olofsson, 2009). LABs have  
176 long been associated with good health in humans and although they have recently been  
177 shown to inhibit bacterial honey bee pathogens (Forsgren et al., 2010; Vasquez et al., 2012)  
178 our data are the first to link their presence with good colony health. Several commercial  
179 feeds contain blends of LAB (including *Lactobacillus*) to offer the promise of improved honey  
180 bee colony vigour, however none of these products are known to contain *Leuconostoc* spp..  
181 Future experiments should determine whether the inclusion of *Leuconostoc* spp. could  
182 improve the health of honey bee colonies as part of a novel probiotic.

183

184 Our results contribute to the growing body of evidence that the honey bee microbiota,  
185 outwith known pathogens, may offer an important contribution to honey bee colony health.  
186 Non-targeted sequencing methods are a useful tool to highlight previously unknown  
187 microbes and other genera, such as *Microbacterium*, *Proteus* and *Staphylococcus*,  
188 represent additional possible candidates for further study.

189

190 **Acknowledgments**

191 This work was funded by Defra. GD acknowledges additional support from a BBSRC iCASE  
192 studentship sponsored by Fera and Bee Disease Insurance.

193

194 **References**

- 195 Aizenberg-Gershtein, Y., Izhaki, I., Halpern, M., 2013. Do Honeybees Shape the  
196 Bacterial Community Composition in Floral Nectar? Plos One 8, e67556.
- 197 Babendreier, D., Joller, D., Romeis, J., Bigler, F., Widmer, F., 2007. Bacterial  
198 community structures in honeybee intestines and their response to two  
199 insecticidal proteins. Fems Microbiology Ecology 59, 600-610.
- 200 Belhadj, H., Harzallah, D., Khennouf, S., Dahamna, S., Bouharati, S., Baghiani, A.,  
201 2010. Isolation, identification and antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria  
202 from Algerian honeybee collected pollen, In: Acta Horticulturae, pp. 51-58.
- 203 Budge, G.E., Barrett, B., Jones, B., Pietravalle, S., Marris, G., Chantawannakul, P.,  
204 Thwaites, R., Hall, J., Cuthbertson, A.G.S., Brown, M.A., 2010. The  
205 occurrence of *Melissococcus plutonius* in healthy colonies of *Apis mellifera*  
206 and the efficacy of European foulbrood control measures. Journal of  
207 Invertebrate Pathology 105, 164-170.
- 208 Budge, G.E., Pietravalle, S., Brown, M., Laurenson, L., Jones, B., Tomkies, V.,  
209 Delaplane, K.S., 2015. Pathogens as Predictors of Honey Bee Colony  
210 Strength in England and Wales. Plos One 10.
- 211 Cole, J.R., Wang, Q., Cardenas, E., Fish, J., Chai, B., Farris, R.J., Kulam-Syed-  
212 Mohideen, A.S., McGarrell, D.M., Marsh, T., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., 2009.  
213 The Ribosomal Database Project: improved alignments and new tools for  
214 rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 37, D141-D145.
- 215 Corby-Harris, V., Maes, P., Anderson, K.E., 2014. The Bacterial Communities  
216 Associated with Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera*) Foragers. Plos One 9, e95056.

217 Cornman, R.S., Tarpay, D.R., Chen, Y., Jeffreys, L., Lopez, D., Pettis, J.S.,  
218 vanEngelsdorp, D., Evans, D., 2012. Pathogen Webs in Collapsing Honey  
219 Bee Colonies. *Plos One* 7, e43562.

220 Duron, O., Bouchon, D., Boutin, S., Bellamy, L., Zhou, L., Engelstaedter, J., Hurst,  
221 G.D., 2008. The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods:  
222 *Wolbachia* do not walk alone. *Bmc Biology* 6, 27.

223 Duron, O., Wilkes, T.E., Hurst, G.D.D., 2010. Interspecific transmission of a male-  
224 killing bacterium on an ecological timescale. *Ecology Letters* 13, 1139-1148.

225 Ellis, A.M., Myers, S.S., Ricketts, T.H., 2015. Do Pollinators Contribute to Nutritional  
226 Health? *Plos One* 10, e114805.

227 Forsgren, E., Olofsson, T.C., Vasquez, A., Fries, I., 2010. Novel lactic acid bacteria  
228 inhibiting *Paenibacillus* larvae in honey bee larvae. *Apidologie* 41, 99-108.

229 Gallai, N., Salles, J.M., Settele, J., Vaissiere, B.E., 2009. Economic valuation of the  
230 vulnerability of world agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecological*  
231 *Economics* 68, 810-821.

232 Hamady, M., Walker, J.J., Harris, J.K., Gold, N.J., Knight, R., 2008. Error-correcting  
233 barcoded primers for pyrosequencing hundreds of samples in multiplex.  
234 *Nature Methods* 5, 235-237.

235 Henry, M., Beguin, M., Requier, F., Rollin, O., Odoux, J.F., Aupinel, P., Aptel, J.,  
236 Tchamitchian, S., Decourtye, A., 2012. A Common Pesticide Decreases  
237 Foraging Success and Survival in Honey Bees. *Science* 336, 348-350.

238 Higes, M., Martin-Hernandez, R., Botias, C., Garrido Bailon, E., Gonzalez-Porto,  
239 A.V., Barrios, L., Jesus del Nozal, M., Bernal, J.L., Jimenez, J.J., Garcia  
240 Palencia, P., Meana, A., 2008. How natural infection by *Nosema ceranae*

241 causes honeybee colony collapse. *Environmental Microbiology* 10, 2659-  
242 2669.

243 Hubert, J., Erban, T., Kamler, M., Kopecky, J., Nesvorna, M., Hejdankova, S., Titera,  
244 D., Tyl, J., Zurek, L., 2015. Bacteria detected in the honeybee parasitic mite  
245 *Varroa destructor* collected from beehive winter debris. *Journal of Applied*  
246 *Microbiology* 119, 640-654.

247 Kimura, M., 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base  
248 substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *Journal of*  
249 *molecular evolution* 16, 111-120.

250 Kleijn, D., Winfree, R., Bartomeus, I., Carvalheiro, L.G., Henry, M., Isaacs, R., Klein,  
251 A.-M., Kremen, C., M'Gonigle, L.K., Rader, R., Ricketts, T.H., Williams, N.M.,  
252 Adamson, N.L., Ascher, J.S., Baldi, A., Batary, P., Benjamin, F., Biesmeijer,  
253 J.C., Blitzer, E.J., Bommarco, R., Brand, M.R., Bretagnolle, V., Button, L.,  
254 Cariveau, D.P., Chifflet, R., Colville, J.F., Danforth, B.N., Elle, E., Garratt,  
255 M.P.D., Herzog, F., Holzschuh, A., Howlett, B.G., Jauker, F., Jha, S., Knop,  
256 E., Krewenka, K.M., Le Feon, V., Mandelik, Y., May, E.A., Park, M.G.,  
257 Pisanty, G., Reemer, M., Riedinger, V., Rollin, O., Rundlof, M., Sardinias,  
258 H.S., Scheper, J., Sciligo, A.R., Smith, H.G., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Thorp, R.,  
259 Tscharntke, T., Verhulst, J., Viana, B.F., Vaissiere, B.E., Veldtman, R.,  
260 Westphal, C., Potts, S.G., 2015. Delivery of crop pollination services is an  
261 insufficient argument for wild pollinator conservation. *Nature Communications*  
262 6, 7414.

263 Lee, F.J., Rusch, D.B., Stewart, F.J., Mattila, H.R., Newton, I.L.G., 2015. Saccharide  
264 breakdown and fermentation by the honey bee gut microbiome.  
265 *Environmental Microbiology* 17, 796-815.

266 Olofsson, T.C., Vasquez, A., 2008. Detection and identification of a novel lactic acid  
267 bacterial flora within the honey stomach of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*.  
268 *Current Microbiology* 57, 356-363.

269 Praet, J., Meeus, I., Cnockaert, M., Houf, K., Smagghe, G., Vandamme, P., 2015.  
270 Novel lactic acid bacteria isolated from the bumble bee gut: *Convivina intestini*  
271 *gen. nov., sp nov., Lactobacillus bombicola sp nov., and Weissella bombi sp*  
272 *nov.* *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek International Journal of General and*  
273 *Molecular Microbiology* 107, 1337-1349.

274 Tamura, K., 1992. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions when there  
275 are strong transition-transversion and G+C-content biases. *Molecular Biology*  
276 *and Evolution* 9, 678-687.

277 Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipiński, A., Kumar, S., 2013. MEGA6:  
278 Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0. *Molecular Biology and*  
279 *Evolution* 30, 2725-2729.

280 Vanbergen, A.J., Initiative, T.I.P., 2013. Threats to an ecosystem service: pressures  
281 on pollinators. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 251-259.

282 Vasquez, A., Forsgren, E., Fries, I., Paxton, R.J., Flaberg, E., Szekely, L., Olofsson,  
283 T.C., 2012. Symbionts as Major Modulators of Insect Health: Lactic Acid  
284 Bacteria and Honeybees. *Plos One* 7, e33188.

285 Vasquez, A., Olofsson, T.C., 2009. The lactic acid bacteria involved in the production  
286 of bee pollen and bee bread. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 48, 189-195.

287 Yañez, O., Gauthier, L., Chantawannakul, P., Neumann, P., 2016. Endosymbiotic  
288 bacteria in honey bees: *Arsenophonus* spp. are not transmitted transovarially.  
289 *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 363, fnw147.

290





292 **Table and figure legends**

293 **Table 1** Frequency of 16S amplicon sequences detected in adult honey bee samples for all  
294 17 identifiable genera with greater than 1% read abundance in either healthy or unhealthy  
295 groups.

296

297 **Figure 1** Estimated number of combs of adult bees as predicted by presence or absence of  
298 deformed wing virus (DWV), *Arsenophonus*, *Lactobacillus* and *Leuconostoc* using a multiple  
299 linear regression (A). Error bars represent 95% CI. Maximum likelihood inference of the  
300 relatedness of *Arsenophonus* spp. isolated from *Apis mellifera* to other *Arsenophonus*  
301 strains using sequence from 16S rRNA (B) and *fbaA* (C). Branch length denotes the number  
302 of substitutions per site and bootstrap values from 1000 replications are shown at nodes.  
303 Strains that have not been formally taxonomised are labelled following their host species.

304