

1 **Predictors of access to care in Juvenile Systemic Lupus Erythematosus**  
2 **(JSLE) – Evidence from the UK JSLE Cohort Study**

3

4 **Short title:** Access to care in JSLE

5

6 **Authors** – Eve MD Smith<sup>1</sup>, Helen E Foster<sup>1,2</sup>, William K Gray<sup>3</sup>, David Taylor-  
7 Robinson<sup>4</sup>, Michael W Beresford<sup>5,6</sup> on behalf of the UK JSLE Study Group.

8 <sup>1</sup>Great North Children's Hospital, Newcastle Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,  
9 Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK.

10 <sup>2</sup>Musculoskeletal Research Group, Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle  
11 University, UK.

12 <sup>3</sup>Northumbria Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, North Shields, UK.

13 <sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health and Policy, University of Liverpool, UK.

14 <sup>5</sup>Department of Women's and Children's Health, Institute of Translational  
15 Medicine, University of Liverpool, UK.

16 <sup>6</sup>Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, Alder Hey Children's NHS  
17 Foundation Trust, Liverpool.

18

19 **Corresponding author:** Dr Eve Smith, Department of Paediatric  
20 Rheumatology, Great North Children's Hospital, Queen Victoria Road,  
21 Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 4LP, England, UK. E-mail:  
22 [evemsmith@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:evemsmith@yahoo.co.uk), Tel: 07748763657, Fax: 0191 282 6235.

23

24 **Key words (up to 10 words):** Juvenile systemic lupus erythematosus, JSLE,  
25 diagnosis, access to care, cohort

26 No conflicts of interest to declare. Funding statement – No financial support  
27 received for this work.

28

29 Disclosures: The authors do not report any financial interests or conflict of  
30 interest, which may affect the conduct or reporting of these results.

31

32 Funding: No financial support received for this work.

33

34 List of non-standard abbreviations: JSLE = Juvenile Systemic Lupus  
35 Erythematosus, ACR = American College of Rheumatology, JIA = Juvenile  
36 Idiopathic Arthritis, RA = Rheumatoid Arthritis, SLE = Systemic Lupus  
37 Erythematosus, IQR = interquartile range, NHS = national health service.

38

39 **Abstract (250 words):**

40

41 **Background:** Timely access to comprehensive specialist care is crucial in  
42 Juvenile Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (JSLE) with barriers in access to  
43 specialist care having the potential to negatively influence disease trajectory  
44 and outcomes. **Objectives:** To investigate factors that may influence the  
45 interval between symptom-onset and JSLE diagnosis. **Methods:** Data from all  
46 patients recruited to the UK JSLE Cohort Study between 2006-2011 and  
47 meeting American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria for lupus were  
48 analysed. Variables associated with time between symptom-onset and  
49 diagnosis were identified using correlation tests. Linear regression was used  
50 to identify independent predictors of access to care. **Results:** Two hundred  
51 and fifty seven children with JSLE were included in the analysis (216 females,  
52 41 males, ratio 5.3:1). Median time from symptom-onset to diagnosis was 0.4  
53 years (range 0.0-14.1 years, inter-quartile range [IQR] 0.2-1.4). A linear  
54 regression model identified being of African or Caribbean origin ( $p=0.006$ ),  
55 Asian ( $p=0.045$ ), referred by a paediatrician ( $p=0.047$ ) or having nephritis  
56 ( $p=0.045$ ) at presentation, as independent predictors of shorter time to  
57 diagnosis. Being of Caribbean or Asian origin, compared to white, was  
58 associated with a 56% and 37% reduction in geometric mean time to  
59 diagnosis respectively. Similarly, being referred to paediatric rheumatology by  
60 a paediatrician or having nephritis at presentation, was also associated with a  
61 32% and 36% reduction in geometric mean time to diagnosis respectively.  
62 **Conclusion:** Within this national UK cohort, ethnic origin, initial source of  
63 referral and having lupus nephritis at presentation, were strong predictors of

64 the interval to establishing a diagnosis of JSLE.

65

66 **Background:**

67

68 Delays in diagnosis and initial access to specialist care are well reported in

69 Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis (JIA), Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) [1, 2] and have

70 been reported in Juvenile-onset Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (JSLE) [3].

71 Diagnosis in JSLE can be challenging as the condition often presents with

72 non-specific symptoms, such as fatigue, arthralgia, mouth ulcers and

73 headaches, occurring intermittently and cumulatively over many months.

74 Conversely, presentation can be acute with potentially life-threatening

75 manifestations e.g. renal failure or seizures. Major organ involvement is more

76 prevalent in JSLE than in adult-onset SLE with more rapid accrual of disease

77 related damage [3-5]. There is no single diagnostic test and diagnosis relies

78 upon awareness and experience, comprehensive clinical assessment,

79 judicious interpretation of investigations and often exclusion of other

80 conditions such as malignancy.

81

82 In both RA and JIA, reducing the time from diagnosis to starting disease

83 modifying anti-rheumatic drugs is important in optimising outcomes [6, 7].

84 Otten et al recently investigated the relationship between disease activity

85 patterns and early aggressive treatment in JSLE. Patients who were treated

86 aggressively at an early stage (within 6 months) subsequently had a more

87 favorable disease course (longer quiescence pattern), compared to patients

88 who were not treated aggressively early (chronically active disease pattern)

89 [8].

90

91 There is a marked paucity of previous studies exploring access to specialist  
92 care in JSLE when compared to JIA, RA and SLE [2, 6, 7, 9, 10]. The Euro-  
93 Lupus cohort, including 1000 patients (76 with JSLE) from 7 European  
94 countries has reported a mean time from initial symptom onset to diagnosis of  
95 2 and 5 years in adult-onset and JSLE, respectively. The authors concluded  
96 that 'doctors were reluctant to diagnose SLE in children because typical signs  
97 and symptoms are less common and the milder manifestations of SLE may be  
98 missed in a paediatric population' [3].

99

100 The UK JSLE Cohort Study was established in 2006 by the UK JSLE Study  
101 Group (<http://www.liv.ac.uk/ukjsle>), with the aim of documenting a prospective  
102 cohort of JSLE patients. It collects detailed information on demographics,  
103 ACR SLE criteria [11], disease activity, medication use and disease damage  
104 indices. The aim of the present study was to investigate factors that may  
105 influence the interval between symptom-onset and JSLE diagnosis, using  
106 data collected by the UK JSLE Cohort study over 5 years.

107

#### 108 **Patients and methods:**

109

110 The general characteristics of the UK JSLE Cohort Study have recently been  
111 described [12]. In brief, the study is organised from a national coordinating  
112 centre in Liverpool and includes children and young people with onset of

113 JSLE prior to the age of 17 years. Patients are recruited to the cohort from all  
114 major paediatric rheumatology and nephrology centres across the UK.

115

116 Written parental consent / patient consent or assent to take part in the study  
117 was obtained from all patients and families involved in accordance with the  
118 declaration of Helsinki. The study has received ethical approval from the  
119 North West National Research Ethics Service Committee, Liverpool East, and  
120 is supported by the UK Clinical Research Network Study Portfolio (for details,  
121 see <http://public.ukcrn.org.uk/search/>). Patients were eligible for the current  
122 study if they were recruited to the UK JSLE cohort between 2006-11 and met  
123 four of the eleven established ACR criteria for lupus [11].

124

125 Bespoke *a priori* case report forms collect comprehensive clinical and  
126 demographic data for each JSLE patient. From clinical experience and  
127 previous studies [2, 7, 10, 11] we investigated a number of variables  
128 considered of potential relevance to accessing specialist care. These  
129 included, demographic factors (ethnicity, gender, age at presentation,  
130 socioeconomic status, family history of autoimmune disease including SLE,  
131 rheumatoid arthritis, thyroid abnormalities, connective tissue disease, type 1  
132 diabetes in a first degree family relative), factors associated with disease  
133 severity (presenting features, ACR SLE criteria) and mode of referral (origin of  
134 referral to paediatric rheumatology, distance from nearest tertiary paediatric  
135 rheumatology service). Self reported patient ethnicity was defined according  
136 to the UK National Census categorisations [13]. The data of patients who  
137 were of mixed race were grouped with those of the associated ethnic minority

138 group (e.g. Asian and Asian / Mixed ethnic origin patient data combined  
139 during analysis). The English index of multiple deprivation for 2007 (derived  
140 from postcodes) was used as a measure of small area deprivation of area of  
141 residence [14].

142

143 Time from symptom onset to diagnosis was non-normally distributed with a  
144 long tail of higher values. Therefore, the data were log transformed to give a  
145 broadly normal distribution. Variables correlated with the log of time between  
146 symptom-onset and diagnosis were identified ( $p < 0.1$ ). Linear regression was  
147 then used to identify independent predictors of access to care ( $p < 0.05$ ).  
148 Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare the IMD scores of the Cohort  
149 population in 2007, with that of the English population in 2007 as a whole.  
150 Data were expressed as percentages, median, range and interquartile ranges  
151 (IQR). Results were analysed using SPSS version 19 software (SPSS,  
152 Chicago, IL, USA).

153

#### 154 **Results:**

155 A total of 257 participants were eligible and their demographic data are  
156 presented in Table 1. The cohort comprised a preponderance of females and  
157 wide range of ethnic diversity. The median time from symptom onset to  
158 diagnosis is 0.4 years (range 0.0-14.1 years, IQR 0.2-1.4 years). 143/258  
159 patients (55%) were diagnosed within 6 months of symptom onset and a  
160 further 32/258 patients (12%) were diagnosed within 12 months. Referrals to  
161 paediatric rheumatology directly from primary care were uncommon (11%)  
162 with the remainder of referrals being from general paediatrics and sub-

163 specialists. A family history of autoimmune disease in a first-degree family  
164 relative was present in 27% of patients. The median distance from the  
165 patient's home to a tertiary paediatric rheumatology centre was 21.3 miles  
166 (range 0.1-154.9, IQR 9.5-48.6 miles). The JSLE cohort population Index of  
167 Multiple Deprivation scores were found to be higher than the English  
168 population as a whole ( $p < 0.001$ ).

169

170 Variables correlating with log of time to diagnosis, and identified as  
171 independent predictors of shorter time to diagnosis are shown in Table 2.  
172 Being Caribbean or Asian, compared to white, was associated with a 56%  
173 and 37% reduction in geometric mean time to diagnosis respectively. Being  
174 referred to a paediatric rheumatology service by a paediatrician and having  
175 nephritis at presentation, was also associated with a 32% and 36% reduction  
176 in geometric mean time to diagnosis respectively. Gender, age at  
177 presentation, ACR score, distance from nearest tertiary paediatric  
178 rheumatology service, socioeconomic status and family history of autoimmune  
179 disease were not found to be significant predictors of access to care.

180

## 181 **Discussion:**

182

183 We undertook an observational study of a UK JSLE cohort and demonstrated  
184 that ethnic origin, initial source of referral and having lupus nephritis at  
185 presentation were strong predictors of reduced interval to establishing a  
186 diagnosis. In contrast to what might have been anticipated from clinical  
187 practice and previous studies [2, 3, 5, 10], gender, age at presentation, ACR



188 score, distance from nearest tertiary paediatric rheumatology service,  
189 socioeconomic status and family history of autoimmune disease were not  
190 found to be significant predictors of access to care.

191

192 The baseline demographic and clinical data from this large, national,  
193 multicentre collaborative UK JSLE Cohort Study highlight the considerable  
194 variation in time taken to achieve a diagnosis of JSLE within the UK, with  
195 some patients being diagnosed quickly, and others facing major delays.  
196 These data suggest that multiple referrals occur within secondary and tertiary  
197 care before accessing paediatric rheumatology care, providing important  
198 insights into potential factors that may contribute to the wide variation in time  
199 taken to achieve a diagnosis. The importance of early diagnosis is highlighted  
200 by clinical outcome data for lupus nephritis [15], and also by the observation  
201 that patients with JSLE from the US who lack comprehensive medical  
202 insurance and have reduced access to care, have higher rates of  
203 complications [10].

204

205 Previous studies exploring access to care in JIA suggest that the explanation  
206 for delay in referral is multi-factorial, with the experience and knowledge of the  
207 healthcare professionals to whom the family present being paramount, as well  
208 as social, cultural, organisational and health network related factors [2, 3, 16].  
209 General practitioners and paediatric trainees have been shown to display poor  
210 confidence in paediatric musculoskeletal assessment [17] and a lack of  
211 awareness of rheumatic diseases in children and young people. In response  
212 to this, innovative educational resources have been developed to improve

213 paediatric musculoskeletal examination skills [18]. Adaptation of existing  
214 resources or development of JSLE specific resources is required, as the  
215 increased incidence of internal organ involvement in JSLE may negatively  
216 influence the 'visibility' of disease [12, 19].

217

218 In adult SLE it is known that socio-demographic disparities exist in relation to  
219 both initial and on-going access to healthcare, resulting in differences in long-  
220 term outcomes, hospitalisations, morbidity and ultimately mortality [10]. These  
221 observations have mainly come from American studies, and emphasise the  
222 contribution of ethnicity, gender, education, adherence, social support,  
223 socioeconomic status, mode of healthcare delivery, medical insurance type  
224 and geographical location of appropriate healthcare, as determinants of  
225 access to care. The relative influence of individual factors is difficult to  
226 disentangle, as many social determinants of health frequently co-exist.

227

228 Specific factors such as distance to a paediatric rheumatology centre may be  
229 more of an issue in a large country like the US, and determinants of access to  
230 care may also differ according to the populations' basic demographics and  
231 healthcare system structure. In terms of access to and use of care in chronic  
232 diseases, the literature suggests a different picture in adults and children,  
233 whereby care tends to be more equitably delivered to children [20]. In the UK  
234 National Health System (NHS), where care is universally free at the point of  
235 access, some studies have suggested equality of access to secondary care  
236 services in children by socioeconomic status [21], whereas others have  
237 demonstrated inequity in the utilisation of specialist services in relation to

238 socio-economic status (e.g. in eye-care services) [22]. A further study of cystic  
239 fibrosis care suggested that UK clinicians consider deprivation status as well  
240 as diseases status when making decisions about treatments, potentially  
241 mitigating some of the effects of social disadvantage on health outcomes [23].

242

243 A qualitative study looking at the perceptions of healthcare provision in adults  
244 with SLE in the UK has described four main themes which relate to patient  
245 experiences; *'searching for an answer'*, *'nobody can understand'*, *'are they*  
246 *really listening'* and *'joining the dots'*. These themes highlight the diagnostic  
247 difficulties faced by adults with SLE, the lack of basic understanding of SLE by  
248 GP's and healthcare providers, and the need for cohesive healthcare [24].

249 These experiences may resonate with those of young people with JSLE, but  
250 in view of the known significant differences between childhood and adult  
251 onset SLE [3-5, 12], it is likely that additional and distinct factors may be of  
252 importance.

253

254 Strengths of this study include the large, nationally representative,  
255 prospectively collected data, however, the limitations must be acknowledged.

256 The UK JSLE Cohort Study was not specifically designed to explore barriers  
257 and drivers of access to care, and does not collect detailed data on the time-  
258 period prior to diagnosis. Clearly there is a potential bias towards patients  
259 seen at large tertiary centres connected to the UK JSLE Cohort study, with  
260 data lacking from patients who have been managed in other paediatric  
261 centres or in adult healthcare. The number of patients described is smaller  
262 than in some adult lupus cohorts [10], and consequently the study may not be

263 adequately powered to detect the influence of all previously described  
264 determinants of access to care. Other potentially important covariates such as  
265 age, occupation, level of educational attainment, marital status, social support  
266 of parents / carers and existing knowledge of JSLE are currently not collected  
267 by the UK JSLE cohort study, but may also bear influence on access to care.  
268 Our study provides insight and a basis on which to design further studies to  
269 gain a more in-depth understanding of the barriers and facilitators to  
270 appropriate care and achieving a diagnosis in JSLE.

271

272 In conclusion, timely access to comprehensive specialist care is crucial in  
273 JSLE. The UK JSLE Cohort Study data demonstrates that there is  
274 considerable variation in time taken to achieve a diagnosis of JSLE within the  
275 UK. Future studies combining qualitative and quantitative methodologies are  
276 warranted to provide important insights into the experiences and challenges of  
277 achieving a diagnosis of JSLE. Recognition of such barriers and facilitators to  
278 appropriate care will inform recommendations for interventions and strategies  
279 to improve access to and delivery of specialist care in JSLE across the  
280 boundaries of paediatric, adolescent and adult care within clinical networks.

281

## 282 **Key messages**

- 283 1. Length of time to achieve a diagnosis of JSLE varies widely.
- 284 2. These data suggest that JSLE patients experience multiple referrals  
285 before accessing paediatric rheumatology care.

286

287 **Acknowledgements:** We would like to thank all JSLE patients and their  
288 families for contributions in this study, and acknowledge the multidisciplinary  
289 teams within each pediatric centre represented herein by the respective  
290 principal investigators: Janet McDonagh, Jane Tizard, Janet Gardner-Medwin,  
291 Joyce Davidson, Clarissa Pilkington, Satyapal Rangaraj, Nick Wilkinson, Phil  
292 Riley, John Ioannou, Manish Sinha, Kate Armon and Kathryn Bailey. We also  
293 would like to thank Lupus UK for providing financial support for the  
294 coordination and database development, as well as private benefactors, and  
295 all members of UK JSLE Study Group.

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

## References

313

314 1. Manners PJ. Delay in diagnosing juvenile arthritis. The Medical journal  
315 of Australia. 1999;171(7):367-9.

316 2. Foster HE, Eltringham MS, Kay LJ, Friswell M, Abinun M, Myers A.  
317 Delay in access to appropriate care for children presenting with  
318 musculoskeletal symptoms and ultimately diagnosed with juvenile idiopathic  
319 arthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2007;57(6):921-7.

320 3. Cervera R, Khamashta MA, Hughes GRV. The Euro-lupus project:  
321 epidemiology of systemic lupus erythematosus in Europe. Lupus.  
322 2009;18:869-74.

323 4. Tucker LB, Uribe AG, Fernandez M, Vila LM, McGwin G, Apte M, et al.  
324 Adolescent onset of lupus results in more aggressive disease and worse  
325 outcomes: results of a nested matched case-control study within LUMINA, a  
326 multiethnic US cohort (LUMINA LVII). Lupus. 2008;17(4):314-22.

327 5. Mina R, Brunner HI. Pediatric lupus--are there differences in  
328 presentation, genetics, response to therapy, and damage accrual compared  
329 with adult lupus? Rheumatic diseases clinics of North America.  
330 2010;36(1):53-80.

331 6. Möttönen T, Hannonen P, Korpela M, Nissilä M, Kautiainen H, Ilonen J,  
332 et al. Delay to institution of therapy and induction of remission using single-  
333 drug or combination-disease-modifying antirheumatic drug therapy in early  
334 rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis and rheumatism. 2002;46(4):894-8.

335 7. Albers HM, Wessels JA, van der Straaten RJ, Brinkman DM,  
336 Suijlekom-Smit LW, Kamphuis SS, et al. Time to treatment as an important

337 factor for the response to methotrexate in juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *Arthritis*  
338 *and rheumatism*. 2009;61(1):46-51.

339 8. Otten MH, Cransberg K, van Rossum MA, Groothoff JW, Kist-van  
340 Holthe JE, Ten Cate R, et al. Disease activity patterns in juvenile systemic  
341 lupus erythematosus and its relation to early aggressive treatment. *Lupus*.  
342 2010;19(13):1550-6.

343 9. Sheppard J, Kumar K, Buckley CD, Shaw KL, Raza K. 'I just thought it  
344 was normal aches and pains!': a qualitative study of decision-making  
345 processes in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford,*  
346 *England)*. 2008;47(10):1577-82.

347 10. Demas KL, Costenbader KH. Disparities in lupus care and outcomes.  
348 *Current opinion in rheumatology*. 2009;21(2):102-9.

349 11. Hochberg MC. Updating the American College of Rheumatology  
350 revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis*  
351 *and rheumatism*. 1997;40(9):1725.

352 12. Watson L, Leone V, Pilkington C, Tullus K, Rangaraj S, McDonagh JE,  
353 et al. Disease activity, severity, and damage in the UK Juvenile-Onset  
354 Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Cohort. *Arthritis and rheumatism*.  
355 2012;64(7):2356-65.

356 13. Office of National Statistics. 2001 Census UK2001 [13.02.13].  
357 Available from: [http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/guide-method/census/census-](http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/guide-method/census/census-2001/index.html)  
358 [2001/index.html](http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/guide-method/census/census-2001/index.html).

359 14. HM Government. English Indices of Deprivation 2010 2010 [12.2.13].  
360 Available from: <http://data.gov.uk/dataset/index-of-multiple-deprivation>.

- 361 15. Fiehn C, Hajjar Y, Mueller K, Waldherr R, Ho AD, Andrassy K.  
362 Improved clinical outcome of lupus nephritis during the past decade:  
363 importance of early diagnosis and treatment. *Annals of Rheumatic Disease*.  
364 2003;62(5):435-9.
- 365 16. Shiff NJ, Tucker LB, Guzman J, Oen K, Yeung RS, Duffy CM. Factors  
366 associated with a longer time to access pediatric rheumatologists in Canadian  
367 children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. *The Journal of Rheumatology*.  
368 2010;37(11):2425-21.
- 369 17. Foster HE, Everett S, Myers A. Rheumatology training in the UK: the  
370 trainees' perspective. *Rheumatology (Oxford, England)*. 2005;44(2):263-4;  
371 author reply 4.
- 372 18. Foster HE, Kay LJ, Friswell M, Coady D, Myers A. Musculoskeletal  
373 screening examination (pGALS) for school-age children based on the adult  
374 GALS screen. *Arthritis and rheumatism*. 2006;55(5):709-16.
- 375 19. Pluchinotta FR, Schiavo B, Vittadello F, Martini G, Perilongo G, Zulian  
376 F. Distinctive clinical features of pediatric systemic lupus erythematosus in  
377 three different age classes. *Lupus*. 2007;16(8):550-5.
- 378 20. Hanratty B, Zhang T, Whitehead M. How close have universal health  
379 systems come to achieving equity in use of curative services? A systematic  
380 review. *International Journal of Health Services*. 2007;37(1):89-109.
- 381 21. Saxena S, Eliahoo J, Majeed A. Socioeconomic and ethnic group  
382 differences in self reported health status and use of health services by  
383 children and young people in England: cross sectional study. *BMJ*.  
384 2002;325(7363):520.



- 385 22. Majeed M, Williams C, Northstone K, Ben-Shlomo Y. Are there  
386 inequalities in the utilisation of childhood eye-care services in relation to  
387 socio-economic status? Evidence from the ALSPAC cohort. *British Journal of*  
388 *Ophthalmology*. 2008;92:965-9.
- 389 23. Taylor-Robinson D, Smyth RL, Diggle PJ, Whitehead M. The effect of  
390 social deprivation on clinical outcomes and the use of treatments in the UK  
391 cystic fibrosis population: a longitudinal study. *Lancet Respiratory Medicine*.  
392 2013;1:121-28.
- 393 24. Hale E, Treharne GJ, Lyons AC, Norton Y, Mole S, Mitton DL, et al.  
394 "Joining the dots" for patients with systemic lupus  
395 erythematosus: personal perspectives of health care  
396 from a qualitative study. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*. 2006;65:585-9.  
397  
398  
399  
400