

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Using the H-index to assess disease priorities for salmon aquaculture

Alexander G Murray^a, Maya Wardeh^{b,c} and K Marie McIntyre^{b,c}

^aMarine Laboratory Marine Scotland Science, 375 Victoria Road, Aberdeen
AB11 9DB, UK

^bHealth Protection Research Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections,
University of Liverpool, L69 7BE, UK

^cDepartment of Epidemiology and Population Health, Institute of Infection
and Global Health, University of Liverpool, Leahurst Campus, Chester High
Road, Neston, Cheshire CH64 7TE, UK

Abstract

Atlantic salmon's (*Salmo salar*) annual aquaculture production exceeds 2M tonnes globally, and for the UK forms the largest single food export. However, aquaculture production is negatively affected by a range of different diseases and parasites. Effort to control pathogens should be focused on those which are most "important" to aquaculture. It is difficult to specify what makes a pathogen important; this is particularly true in the aquatic sector where data capture systems are less developed than for human or terrestrial animal diseases. Mortality levels might be one indicator, but these can cause a range of different problems such as persistent

26 endemic losses, occasional large epidemics or control/treatment costs. Economic
27 and multi-criteria decision methods can incorporate this range of impacts, however
28 these have not been consistently applied to aquaculture and the quantity and quality
29 of data required is large, so their potential for comparing aquatic pathogens is
30 currently limited. A method that has been developed and applied to both human and
31 terrestrial animal diseases is the analysis of published scientific literature using the
32 H-index method. We applied this method to salmon pathogens using Web of
33 Science searches for 23 pathogens. The top 3 H-indices were obtained for: sea lice,
34 furunculosis, and infectious salmon anaemia; post 2000, Amoebic Gill Disease
35 (AGD) replaced furunculosis. The number of publications per year describing
36 bacterial disease declined significantly, while those for viruses and sea lice
37 increased significantly. This reflects effective bacterial control by vaccination, while
38 problems related to viruses and sea lice have increased. H-indices by country
39 reflected different national concerns (e.g. AGD ranked top for Australia). Averaged
40 national H-indices for salmon diseases tend to increase with log of salmon
41 production; countries with H-Indices significantly below the trend line have suffered
42 particularly large disease losses. The H-index method, supported by other literature
43 analyses, is consistent with the nature and history of salmon diseases and so
44 provides a useful quantitative measure for comparing different diseases in the
45 absence of other measures.

46 Key words: Atlantic salmon, pathogens, aquaculture, H-index

47

48 Highlights:

49 Ranking pathogens of salmon aquaculture is difficult

50 We use publication trends and H-index to rank pathogens

51 Sea lice, infectious salmon anaemia, furunculosis have highest H-indices

52 Bacterial publications in decline, reflects vaccination

53 Virus and sea lice publications increasing reflecting emerging problems

54

55

56 **1. Introduction**

57 Aquaculture is an increasingly important source of protein and now accounts for
58 approximately 50% of fish used for human consumption (FAO 2014). In cooler
59 temperate marine waters the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) is the principle farmed
60 species. Salmon farming began in the 1960s in Norway and soon after was
61 established in other countries such as Chile, Canada and the Faeroe Islands. Global
62 production now exceeds 2M tonnes (FAO 2014). However, production has been
63 impacted by diseases and parasites, for example large outbreaks of infectious
64 salmon anaemia in Chile (Mardones et al. 2011) and on-going high costs of sea lice
65 control around the world (Costello 2009a).

66 To effectively target disease control, or implement risk reduction programmes, it is
67 necessary to identify which diseases are “important”. A range of such methods are
68 used for targeting diseases in humans and terrestrial animals (Brooks et al. 2015).
69 Assessment is complicated because diseases have different types of impact upon
70 production e.g. causing mortalities, reduced productivity, treatment costs, or loss of
71 employment. In aquatic animals, assessment is particularly difficult given a lack of
72 data and the need to assess a range of impacts in different circumstances and in
73 different countries. Selection can be made using expert opinion (Murray 2015), but
74 an objective selection based on impact would be more meaningful and defensible
75 (Brooks et al. 2015).

76 The most obvious disease impact is mortality, but its economic consequences are
77 different depending on whether death occurs early or late in the production cycle, as
78 losses of full grown fish are more costly than those of young smolts (Kilburn et al.
79 2012). Mortalities can occur as occasional large epidemic shocks or near constant
80 endemic losses; these can be budgeted for within normal production costs. Sea lice
81 may cause only limited mortality in well-run fish farms (Soares et al. 2011) but their
82 treatment imposes large costs on salmonid farmers (Costello 2009a) and lice may
83 have impacts on third parties, as elevated burdens can be found on wild fish up to 30
84 km from farms (Middlemas et al. 2012). Other diseases, such as infectious salmon
85 anaemia (ISA) only impact farmed fish, but costs under area control strategies
86 applied to ISA can fall on neighbours (Murray et al. 2010). The uncertainties caused
87 by epidemics include serious social costs such as short-term loss of jobs and an

88 uncertain investment climate that prevents creation of new employment. Diseases
89 can also cause loss of potential production by limiting scope for aquaculture e.g. in
90 Australia, amoebic gill disease (AGD) limits marine salmon farming to areas with
91 good access to freshwater used to treat the pathogen.

92 Impacts are therefore multifactorial and analysis tools such as multi-criteria-decision-
93 analysis, MCDA (Del Rio Vilas et al. 2013, Brooks et al. 2015), or different impacts
94 turned into an economic cost and compared between diseases, can be useful.
95 These approaches allow diseases to be ranked, for example such as has been
96 undertaken for exotic pig diseases in Australia (Brooks et al. 2014). Both
97 approaches need good characterisation of different impacts to allow multiple
98 diseases to be compared in a consistent manner; for aquatic animal diseases data
99 may be absent and systematic assessment methods are consequently less
100 consistently applied. Economic estimates for individual disease impacts are often
101 made e.g. for ISA (Hastings et al. 1999, Mardones et al. 2011), sea lice (Costello
102 2009a), or piscirickettsia (Rozas and Enriquez 2014). However most costings are
103 based on expert opinion or limited calculations and relatively few use systematic and
104 transparent methods e.g. pancreas disease (Aunsmo et al. 2010) or bacterial kidney
105 disease (Hall et al. 2014). Fofana and Baulcomb (2012) have applied an economic
106 model to assess the costs of three different diseases and this approach may be an
107 area of progress in the near future. However, even if systematic approaches are
108 available, lack of data limits the ability to make detailed economic assessment in
109 many cases. Some costs, such as to welfare, are very difficult to assess, and
110 although methods such as contingency valuation do exist these have severe
111 limitations in practice (Venkatachalam 2004). Opinions on significance of impacts
112 vary depending on different stakeholder's concerns (Brooks et al. 2014). It is
113 therefore very difficult, using existing methods, to compare the economic impact of
114 different salmonid diseases in a consistent way.

115 The scientific literature is, by its very nature, well documented. Academic
116 publications provide a measure of the effort, and therefore importance, that scientists
117 and their funders attach to different diseases, and continuity in publications on a
118 topic suggests an ongoing issue. An approach that has been utilised for comparing
119 the significance of different diseases is to use scientific publications as a proxy for
120 interest and hence effectively an assessment of the importance of pathogens.

121 Specifically, citation histories can be generated and linked to years of publication,
122 subject areas, countries and so forth. A particularly good summary measure of
123 citation is the Hirsch or H-index method for each particular disease (McIntyre et al.
124 2011, 2014a). In this case, the H-index is the integer at which the number of papers
125 equals the number of citations arising as a result of those papers.

126

127 The H-index approach has been applied to diseases of humans and domestic
128 animals (McIntyre et al. 2014a). It is an objective measure that has been shown to
129 be related to a combination of morbidity and mortality effects (via Disability-Adjusted
130 Life Years) that result from these diseases (McIntyre et al. 2011, 2014a, Cox et
131 al.2016). Although certain diseases may attract disproportionate interest, or lack of
132 interest (such as neglected diseases in lower income countries (Hunter 2009)), the
133 literature is a good descriptor of the effort placed in preventing or controlling
134 particular diseases. While the scientific literature and H-index method are not
135 immune to biases, they can be used for objective comparison of interest in diseases.
136 The use of the H-index method has considerable potential to facilitate the
137 development of measures that allow policy and industry assessment of generalised
138 disease control strategies to be focused on those diseases that have the highest H-
139 indices, rather than focussed on a subjective selection of diseases of interest. Within
140 this study, the H-index method was used to objectively assess and compare the
141 interest in aquaculture diseases in salmon producing countries, as a measure to help
142 identify disease priorities.

143 Countries are affected by different diseases to differing extents, for example
144 Australian salmon farms are heavily affected by AGD but, at least until recently, this
145 has been a lesser problem in most other countries. Conversely, Australia lacks
146 many of the diseases that cause serious problems in other countries. For the major
147 salmon pathogens, the potential effects of changes in the focus of scientific research
148 with time were also examined.

149

150

151

152

153 **2. Methods**

154

155 2.1. H-index literature search protocol

156 2.1.1. Information sources

157 H-index searches were undertaken in May 2015 using Web of Science (WoS) (WOS,
158 2014) and the methods described by McIntyre et al. (2014a). Previous work has
159 established that results of H-index searches for pathogens undertaken using
160 different bibliographic sources (e.g. WoS, SCOPUS, Google Scholar) are not
161 identical but are highly correlated (McIntyre et al. 2011).

162

163 2.1.2. Eligibility criteria

164 Searches were restricted to the years 1990 to 2014, inclusive. The effects of time
165 were examined for nine salmon pathogens by calculating H-indices based on
166 searches spanning both 1990-2014 and 2000-2014, inclusive. English is used in
167 WoS, however searches also include foreign-language publication title translations.
168 All literature in the WoS database has been published.

169

170 2.1.3. Searches

171 Searches were undertaken using search phrases specified in quotation marks (“”),
172 and the ‘topic’ (TS – examining the full paper) or ‘title’ (TI) search field and with no
173 lemmatization. Phrases were compiled including pathogen scientific name,
174 alternative names, synonyms and alternative spellings according to NCBI Taxonomy
175 (NCBI, 2014). H-index scores for clinical diseases used clinical terms as well as
176 pathogen phrases for the main pathogens of disease. Virus searches also included
177 synonyms and acronyms from the NCBI Taxonomy database (NCBI, 2014) and
178 International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV, 2014), and the term ‘virus’,
179 and excluded other entities (viral or non-viral) which shared acronyms. The Boolean
180 operators ‘AND’, ‘OR’, and ‘NOT’ linked multiple search phrases. The full search
181 terms were generated within the Enhanced Infectious Disease Database (EID2)
182 (McIntyre et al. 2014b, Wardeh et al. 2015). All searches were carried out on the
183 same day (6th May 2015) to avoid biases in time to publication.

184

185

186 2.1.4. Search phrases

187 The literature searches took the format of:

188 TS = ((Host) AND (Pathogen OR Disease))

189 Or TI = ((Host) AND (Pathogen OR Disease))

190 Analysis was also broken down by country using queries of the format:

191 TI = ((Host) AND (Pathogen OR Disease)) AND CU = (Country)

192 where TI was a Title search and TS was a topic search (a general search of the full
193 paper).

194

195 2.2. Hosts

196 The host considered in analyses was the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). Search
197 phrases used both English and Linnaean names. The unqualified term “salmon” is
198 sometimes used to refer to Atlantic salmon and so searches allowed “salmon”, while
199 explicitly excluding other species of salmon. Also, “infectious salmon” was excluded
200 to avoid papers on infectious salmon anaemia in other host species. The host
201 search term was thus:

202 ("Salmo salar" OR "Atlantic salmon" OR (salmon NOT (“coho salmon” OR “Chinook
203 salmon” or “pink salmon” OR “chum salmon” OR “Sockeye salmon” OR “Masu
204 salmon” OR “king salmon” OR “Pacific salmon” OR “infectious salmon”)))

205

206 2.3. Diseases and pathogens

207 Compiling the list of diseases and pathogens of importance to salmon farming is
208 complicated as many are known by a variety of names. The Scottish government
209 maintains a list of diseases of interest to Scottish aquaculture
210 (<http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/aquaculture/diseases>),
211 which was used as a basic source of diseases perceived to be of significance to
212 salmon farming. This list consisted of 22 diseases of finfish, however one of these
213 was spring viraemia of carp, which was not of relevance. Another listed condition
214 was cataracts, which does not relate to a specific pathogen and so was excluded;
215 *Diplostomum spathaceum*, a specific pathogen causing cataracts was, however,
216 included. This left 20 diseases for analyses. To ensure more global coverage, a

217 further three salmonid diseases were added: heart and skeletal muscle inflammation
218 (HSMI) is a disease of increasing concern in Norway, Epizootic haematopoietic
219 necrosis (EHN) is a disease of trout that is notifiable to the OIE, and salmon
220 rickettsia syndrome (SRS) is an important disease in Chile that also occurs in the
221 UK. The initial list of pathogens was extensive; those with the highest H-index
222 scores were selected for more detailed analyses later. The incorporation of an initial
223 long-list that included relatively obscure salmon pathogens ensured that a final list of
224 key pathogens with high H-indices was not dependent on this initial list.

225

226 Multiple pathogens may be associated with disease conditions, and publications can
227 use different names for the same pathogen owing to taxonomic revision, or to
228 disagreement. Sometimes, no pathogen has yet been associated with a particular
229 disease. Situations when multiple, or zero, pathogens are named in association with
230 particular diseases are described in Table 1.

231

232 2.4 Analysis of Data

233 2.4.1. Comparison of title and text search methods

234 The TI and TS searches generated different H-index scores. These sets of scores
235 were compared by calculating a regression coefficient and r^2 value, and computing
236 confidence intervals. Specific diseases for which H-indices lay outside the
237 confidence range were identified and used to characterise the outcomes of the TI
238 and TS searches.

239

240 Nine key diseases with the highest H-index scores (>10) were selected for further
241 analysis.

242

243 2.4.2. Variation in H-index scores between countries

244 Search phrases for the salmon pathogens with the highest H-indices were broken
245 down by country to examine national differences in interest in the nine key diseases
246 with the highest world-wide H-index scores. Eight countries were incorporated in this
247 analysis including: Norway, Chile, Scotland, Canada, Denmark (The Faeroe Islands),
248 Australia, USA and Ireland. These countries accounted for 99.5% of world salmon
249 production in 2012 (FAO 2014). Mean national H-indices were calculated and

250 plotted against national production data for 2012. A regression with 95% confidence
251 intervals was used to identify countries in which averaged H-indices deviated
252 significantly from the normal.

253

254 2.4.3 Changes in disease publications with time

255 Diseases emerged and declined with time. For those with the top nine H-index
256 scores calculated using publications from 1990-2014, H-indices were recalculated
257 using only publications from the 2000-2014 period, to identify pathogens whose
258 scores changed.

259

260 A more detailed analysis of change in the pathogens' profiles with time was carried
261 out by plotting the annual number of publications, as identified by the TI search, for
262 each of the nine key diseases. A regression analysis was used to identify statistically
263 significant trends with time. All statistical analyses were undertaken in R (Crawley
264 2013).

265

266 **3. Results**

267 3.1. Comparison of title and text search methods

268 The H-indices for salmon calculated using full text (TS) searches ranged from 61 for
269 typical frunculosis to 0 for Red Mark Syndrome and for the title only (TI) searches
270 they ranged from 39 for sea lice to 0 for several conditions (Table 2). H-index scores
271 calculated for TI were much lower than for TS.

272

273 If the H-index scores calculated using TI and TS searches were plotted against each
274 other (Fig. 1), there was a good degree of agreement between values (r^2 of 0.77),
275 suggesting that both searches provide similar results in terms of the rankings of
276 specific pathogens. The TI search was a more specific indicator of papers
277 describing salmon-pathogen interactions, and therefore these were used for the
278 remaining analyses.

279

280 The H-index method was used to identify key diseases or parasites for salmon: sea
281 lice, furunculosis, ISA, IPN, PD, AGD, *G. salaris*, *Vibrio* and BKD (Table 2). All these

282 diseases had, for Atlantic salmon, H-index scores in excess of 10 for searches by
283 paper title (TI). Further analyses in this paper focuses on these diseases/parasites.

284

285

286 3.2. Variation in H-index scores between countries

287 The results suggest that the focus of research on diseases is dependent on country
288 (Table 3): Scotland, Canada, Chile and the USA all had their highest H-indices for
289 sea lice, while for Norway the highest was for furunculosis, with ISA a close second.
290 For Denmark the highest H-indices was for *G. salaris*, for Australia it was AGD and
291 for Ireland it was PD. Norway also had a substantial H-index score for *G. salaris* and
292 both Norway and Scotland for IPN. Canada had its highest national H-indices for
293 ISA and BKD; the latter possibly due to the widespread BKD reservoir in wild Pacific
294 salmon spilling over into farmed Atlantic salmon.

295

296 The calculation of national H-index scores for diseases (Table 2) allowed
297 examination of the presence of disease being associated with a non-zero H-index
298 score at the country level. Australia is free of all the diseases listed in Table 2,
299 except AGD and vibriosis (Munday et al.1992). PD has not been reported in
300 Australia, Canada, Chile, Denmark or the USA (OIE 2015), while Gs is absent from
301 Scotland, Ireland and non-European countries (OIE 2015). Ireland is additionally
302 free of BKD and ISA (apart from a single event of ISAV detection in trout). Of 21
303 zero H-index scores (Table 2), seven are associated with the presence of disease
304 (odds 1:2) and for the 51 non-zero H-indices, disease is present in 45 countries
305 (odds 15:2). A Fisher's exact test gave an odds ratio of 0.070 with a 95% confidence
306 range of 0.016 to 0.270 ($p = 7.1 \times 10^{-6}$), confirming that overall, a national focus of
307 research is associated with the presence of disease.

308

309 If the mean was taken of national H-index scores for the main nine
310 diseases/pathogens, the result was correlated with the logarithm of salmon industry
311 production; the regression line had an $r^2=0.340$ or $r^2=0.713$ if Chile was excluded
312 (Fig 2). There is thus a general relationship between salmon production and mean
313 H-index score, with exceptions for Denmark and Chile.

314

315

316 3.3. Changes in disease publications with time

317 The incidences of diseases of significance are likely to change with time. When H-
318 indices were re-calculated to exclude references published before 2000, then two of
319 the bacterial diseases (BKD new H-index score = 5 and vibriosis new H-index score
320 = 8) dropped out of the top nine diseases. The list of highest-ranking pathogens
321 became: sea lice = 34, ISA = 26, AGD = 22, IPN = 22, furunculosis = 18, PD = 17, *G.*
322 *salaris* = 12, HSMI = 10 and CMS = 9. This revised list was dominated by viruses (5
323 of the top 9 H-indices), but the H-indices for sea lice and AGD were higher than
324 those for most other conditions.

325
326 To assess changes in publication rates with time in more detail for the nine key
327 diseases, the numbers of papers identified using TI searches by year were examined
328 (Fig 3). The analysis showed statistically significant declines in publication rates for
329 two of the three bacterial diseases (vibriosis and furunculosis, Fig. 3a) and significant
330 increases in publication rates for all three viral diseases (IPN, ISA and PD, Fig 3b).
331 There was a significant increase in publications concerning sea lice, while the other
332 parasitic conditions (AGD and Gs) showed peaks in publications in the middle of the
333 2000-2010 decade, and did not have significant trends over the whole period (Fig.
334 3c).

337 4. Discussion

339 4.1. Optimising search methods: TS versus TI

340 For the analysis of the H-index score, we used H-indices calculated from the results
341 of papers searched by their titles only, TI, as opposed to more general searches
342 using the entire contents of the paper, TS, to identify publications containing
343 pathogen and host search terms.

344
345 The TS search identified more papers in which salmon was mentioned in a general
346 way, as opposed to being the host in specific host-pathogen interactions. The
347 bacterial diseases furunculosis and vibriosis were above the 95% confidence range
348 of the regression line of H-indices obtained using TI and TS searches and BKD lay
349 on this upper confidence limit (Fig. 1). This may be because these pathogens affect

350 a broad range of hosts and many papers identified under TS searches describe
351 infections occurring in non-salmonids or in terms of their general mechanisms of
352 infection. In these cases, terms relating to salmon are not likely to appear in the title
353 but, since they are key diseases of salmon, they are likely to be discussed within the
354 text. The TS search thus over-estimates the significance of these bacterial diseases
355 as specific conditions of salmon. Similarly VHS, IHN and ERM which are serious
356 diseases of trout, lie above the regression line, perhaps as the focus of most papers
357 is on trout (mention of salmon may occur in the discussion or as keywords) (Fig 1).

358

359 Conversely, the diseases below the regression line's 95% confidence range were
360 PD, AGD, HSMI and CMS, with IPN on the lower confidence bound (Fig. 1). These
361 are likely to be closely linked with the species affected and so appear in the paper's
362 title. CMS and HSMI are restricted to salmon and although AGD and IPN affect
363 other species, they are only of major concern when infecting salmon. Therefore the
364 TI searches identified a relatively high proportion of the papers that TS searches
365 identified, and as these pathogens are of most concern to salmon, this is desirable.

366

367 ISA lies almost exactly on the regression line, however ISA publications could be
368 underestimated in a title search, as authors may not explicitly specify salmon as the
369 host for infectious salmon anaemia (Mardones et al. 2011, Murray et al. 2010). This
370 may mean that the H-index score of ISA in salmon calculated using a TI search is an
371 under-estimate of its significance. However the position on the regression line
372 means TI and TS searches would give this pathogen similar rank, so use of TS
373 would not correct any bias in publications.

374

375 In the citation index there are several papers associated with the key words of
376 "Atlantic Salmon" but whose content makes no or negligible reference to these
377 species. For example Metzger et al. (2010) is a paper on *R. salmoniarum* in
378 Chinook salmon; this paper contains no mention of Atlantic Salmon, except within
379 the titles of two papers listed in its bibliography, however "Atlantic Salmon" is listed
380 as a "keyword plus" in Web of Science. These papers are detected using a TS
381 search, but excluded by a TI search; the TI search is thus more appropriate.

382

383 In conclusion, the use of title searches (TI) is more specific than full text searches
384 (TS), which may include papers on pathogens that are not relevant to salmon. The
385 narrow TI search focuses on papers that concern the pathogen's interaction with
386 salmon, rather than general papers on the pathogen, ensuring that publications are
387 relevant. The TI search excludes some relevant papers, but as the aim is to use the
388 H-index method as a tool for comparison rather than to calculate it for its own sake,
389 this is not relevant except where the exclusion is biased.

390

391 4.2. Key Diseases identified from the H-index analysis

392 The highest H-index scores were used to identify a list of key diseases or parasites
393 of salmon: sea lice, furunculosis, ISA, IPN, PD, AGD, *G. salaris*, Vibrio and BKD. All
394 had TI H-indices of >10. This is a taxonomically diverse range of pathogens
395 including viral diseases (ISA, IPN and PD), bacterial diseases (furunculosis, vibriosis
396 and BKD) and parasites (AGD, *G. salaris* and sea lice).

397

398 4.2.1. Variation between countries and relationship to salmon production

399 H-indices for most countries relate to the national level of salmon production; they do
400 not relate to a countries' population or gross national product (GNP) (regressions of
401 H-index scores against these variables gave insignificant results). This indicates that
402 the H-index method is identifying relevant research related to national salmon
403 production activity rather than just to a nation's economic or scientific resources, and
404 it can therefore justly be used as an indicator of the scale of different disease
405 problems.

406

407 There are two notable exceptions, Chile and Denmark, for which H-indices lay below
408 the lower 95% confidence limit of the regression (Fig. 2). Chile is a developing
409 country with fewer resources to pay for research (Hunter 2009), however investment
410 in Chilean aquaculture science has increased substantially in recent years, leading
411 to increased activity. The annual number of Chilean publications on salmon (TI =
412 Salmon AND cu = Chile) shows a significant increase with time and in recent years
413 the numbers of publications have approached those of Scotland (Fig. 4). The
414 salmon production of Denmark actually occurs in the self-governing Faeroes. The
415 small population of these islands (50,000) limits resources for research and
416 collaboration may consequently occur with Norway or Scotland as much as Denmark

417 proper. Therefore the H-index scores for Denmark are smaller than expected given
418 the level of production. It is perhaps worth noting that both the Faeroes and Chile
419 have experienced major collapses in their salmon production with falls of,
420 respectively, 40 thousand tonnes (kt) to 13 kt (2004-6) and 388 kt to 120 kt (2008-
421 10) (FAO 2012); both drops of approximately 70% and both associated with ISA
422 epidemics.

423

424 Non-zero H-indices are statistically significantly associated with the presence of
425 disease in a country, suggesting that H-index scores are more related to a country's
426 Atlantic salmon production than to, for example, its population or GNP, and further
427 endorsing the use of this method to characterise national pathogen problems.

428

429

430 4.2.2. Changes in disease publications with time

431

432 Diseases emerge and decline as aquaculture and disease control methods develop,
433 and so publication patterns and H-index scores would also be expected to change
434 with time.

435

436 4.2.2.1 *Bacterial diseases*

437 There has been a major decline in numbers of publications describing the key
438 bacterial diseases furunculosis and vibriosis, although not BKD (Fig. 4a).
439 Historically, control of bacterial diseases has been a major concern since the early
440 20th Century (Mackie 1935). The decline follows the introduction of effective
441 vaccines for these diseases (Håstein et al. 2005) and is also reflected in a major
442 drop in antibiotic use (Alderman and Hasting 1998). Effective vaccines do not yet
443 exist for BKD and this pathogen is controlled in salmon in Scotland using movement
444 restrictions (Murray et al. 2012).

445

446 The rickettsial disease SRS is a relatively minor issue in most salmon farming
447 countries and so does not rank by H-index as a key disease (H-index = 8), but it is
448 an extremely serious problem in Chile, with direct losses estimated at over \$100M
449 (Rozas and Henriquez 2014). The H-index score for SRS publications from Chile is 3
450 (not shown), which would make it the second highest for that country. Chile cannot

451 rely on research occurring in the northern countries to control this disease and has
452 continued to use more antibiotics than other salmon producers. Increasing Chilean
453 research (Fig. 4) into SRS would be expected to provide nationally-specific answers.

454

455 4.2.2.2 *Viral diseases*

456 Research output on the key viral diseases, ISA, IPN and PD, has increased over the
457 last 25 years (Fig 3b) although with some oscillation, such as peaks of PD
458 publications in 1995-8 and again in recent years. In Scotland, PD was a major issue
459 in the 1990s, and re-emerged to a new peak of losses in 2006-7 (Kilburn et al. 2012).
460 It is currently of considerable interest in Norway, owing to large-scale regional control
461 policies (Tavornpanich et al. 2012). Infectious salmon anaemia has had peaks of
462 publication in the late 1990s with its emergence in Norway, in 2000 following a major
463 outbreak in Scotland, after 2007 with subsequent worldwide spread including
464 recurrence in Scotland (Murray et al. 2010), and a very large outbreak in Chile
465 (Mardones et al 2011). All these events have contributed to research interest and
466 hence publications that increase with disease episodes. Publications on IPN have
467 also increased over the 1990-2014 period as the pathogen has become widespread
468 (Murray 2006) and caused substantial mortality in salmon smolts (Kilburn et al.
469 2012), although vaccines are now reducing impacts.

470

471 4.2.2.3 *Parasites*

472 The rise of published sea lice research has been spectacular (Fig 3c), with numbers
473 of publications comparable to the sum of those for all viral diseases. However year-
474 on-year changes have been extremely variable; this is in spite of the large numbers
475 that would be expected to dampen stochastic variation with a normal distribution.
476 Inter-annual variation reflects the nature of the sea lice research community, which
477 hold dedicated international sea lice conferences at which much of their work is
478 presented. This differs substantially from viral or bacterial research, which is
479 presented at a range of general virology (or bacteriology), fish pathology,
480 aquaculture or epidemiology conferences. As a result of dedicated conferences, the
481 sea lice topic has focussed years of publication, with 2000 being exceptional
482 because the conference journals for both a 3rd and 4th international conference were
483 published in that same year. Other peaks, such as 2002, 2009 and 2011 also reflect
484 publication of conference special issues of journals. The spikes in publication

485 therefore reflect the sociology of scientists rather than the epidemiology of lice.
486 However, the trend mirrors a clearly increasing lice problem associated with
487 increasing aquaculture density and reducing efficacy of medicines. Sea lice are
488 currently the most serious limitation to expansion of salmon production almost
489 wherever salmon are farmed (Jones and Beamish 2011), with the exception of
490 Australia. Sea lice are also the subject of a growing controversy concerning their
491 impact on wild salmonids (Costello 2009b).

492

493 Publications on Amoebic Gill Disease and *Gyrodactylus salaris* both peaked around
494 2005 and research has since declined (Fig 3c). However AGD publication rate
495 increased significantly over the period of 1990-2014, partly because there were no
496 publications before 1998; there was no significant trend overall from 1998-2014. The
497 recent emergence of AGD in Norway and Scotland may be expected to increase
498 scientific interest in the disease. Although *G. salaris* remains a serious concern, its
499 spread appears to have been contained, and at great economic and ecological cost,
500 the pathogen has been eradicated from some infected river systems (Mo et al.
501 2008). This may be the reason the publication rate has declined.

502

503 4.2.2.4 Fungal diseases

504 No fungal diseases are amongst the high H-indices pathogens for salmon, which
505 corroborates the low ranking results found in human and domestic animals (McIntyre
506 et al., 2014a). The fungal and oomycetes diseases, which are most important in
507 freshwater (van den Berg et al. 2013), have historically been controlled using
508 malachite green and formaldehyde. However these substances are toxic; malachite
509 green has been banned worldwide and formaldehyde may well soon be banned in
510 the EU. In the absence of these control substances, fungal problems could increase
511 in significance and this would require scientific work to investigate both the
512 epidemiology of outbreaks and the development of new medicines and treatment
513 practices. Losses of 10% in hatcheries are already commonplace with a £5M cost in
514 Scotland alone (van den Berg et al. 2013). Emerging fungal problems may be a
515 threat to future food security. Searches of data on existing publications or
516 assessments of impacts cannot identify future problems unless they have at least
517 partially emerged (Cox et al.2016).

518

519 4.3 Limitations of the H-index

520 The calculated H-indices relate to salmon production and disease presence at the
521 national level. They reflect our understanding of the importance and history of
522 different diseases. The H-index method is a useful indicator for ranking salmon
523 diseases, however, it has limitations. For example, it is conservative, may be biased
524 against countries with limited resources, and there is limited independent data to
525 validate its use in prioritisation.

526

527 The H-index method is conservative in that diseases of historic importance may
528 continue to have high scores, although they are no longer of significance in terms of
529 their impact. Conversely, emerging diseases take a few years to establish a score.
530 Research itself can be conservative, with work building on increasing details of the
531 properties of pathogens beyond specific applications to applied problems. The TI
532 search helps reduce this bias because papers on general properties of pathogens
533 are less likely to be selected. Historical change has been analysed using H-indices
534 calculated on publications for different time periods and also by analysis of
535 publication rates for key diseases. These identify expected trends of a reduction in
536 impact of bacterial diseases and an increase in impact for viral diseases and sea
537 lice.

538

539 H-indices relate overall to national salmon production, but exceptions occur for areas
540 that lack the resources to invest (Hunter 2009). This may have been particularly
541 important for Chile which, as a developing country, has had less financial resources.
542 This may lead to lower scores for diseases that are of more concern to Chile than
543 other countries, notably SRS, reflecting an under-investment in relevant science.
544 Recent increases in Chilean scientific publication, however, indicate that this
545 problem of resources may be being addressed.

546

547 The most serious limitation with the use of the H-index method is the lack of
548 measures to assess its validity as a rank of disease priority (Brooks et al. 2015).
549 This is not the case with diseases of humans or terrestrial animals for which
550 extensive work on assessing impacts to allow ranking (del Rio Vilas et al. 2013,
551 Brooks et al. 2015) and measures such as DALY have allowed the H-index to be

552 validated in these contexts (McIntyre et al. 2011). There is a need for such analytical
553 methods to be developed more extensively for aquaculture and for data to be more
554 widely available and internationally comparable. Here validation of the use of the H-
555 index method for ranking salmon diseases and parasites is limited to consistency
556 with expectation for relative impact, trends in publication rates with time, and an
557 assessment of presence/absence with non-zero H-indices. This is, however, also
558 the reason that this method is useful; there is no alternative measure that can be
559 widely applied in a consistent manner between diseases and countries for diseases
560 of aquatic animals.

561 Funding of activity on disease control requires that these diseases be prioritised
562 (Brooks et al. 2015). For example analyses of activities that risk spreading disease
563 may require a list of pathogens for which risks are calculated (Murray 2015).
564 Assessment of the general susceptibility and vulnerability of the system to
565 emergence of diseases requires a list of key pathogens to be identified, before
566 scenarios with specific properties can be investigated, since effectiveness of controls
567 depends on pathogen transmission properties (Werkman et al. 2011). Research
568 budgets need to be targeted to diseases that are of national importance. The H-
569 index method is a useful guide for targeting priorities for action on current disease
570 problems, but novel emerging diseases require assessment based on basic
571 epidemiological principles of aquatic disease transmission (Murray and Peeler 2005)
572 to identify likely future priorities.

573

574 Acknowledgements: This work was funded by the Scottish Government under

575 AQ0050 and BBSRC Tools and Resources Development Fund (award

576 BB/K003798/1)

577

578

579

580 Alderman D., Hastings T. 1998. Antibiotic use in aquaculture: developments of
581 antibiotic resistance – potential for consumer health risks Food Sci. Technol. 33,
582 139-155

583 Anon. 2015. Overview - Web of Science. Thomson Reuters. URL:
584 <http://wok.mimas.ac.uk/>. Accessed: 6/5/15

585 Aunsmo A., Valle P.S., Sandberg M., Midtlying P.J., Bruheim T. 2010. Stochastic
586 modelling of direct costs of pancreas disease (PD) in Norwegian farmed Atlantic
587 salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) Prev. Vet. Med. 93, 233-241

588 Brooks V.J., Hernández-Jover, M., Cowled, B., Holyoake, P.K., Ward, M.P. 2014.
589 Building a picture prioritisation of exotic diseases for the pig industry in Australia
590 using multi-criteria decision analysis. Prev. Vet. Med. 113, 103-117

591 Brooks V.J., del Rio Vilas V.J., Ward M.P. 2015 Disease prioritisation: what is the
592 state of the art? Epidemiol. Infect. 143, 2911-2922

593 Costello M.J. 2009a. The global economic cost of sea lice to the salmonid farming
594 industry. J. Fish Dis. 32, 115-118

595 Costello M.J. 2009b. How sea lice from salmon farms may cause wild salmonid
596 declines in Europe and North America and be a threat to wild fish elsewhere. Proc.
597 Roy. Soc. B, 275, 3385-3394

598 Cox R., McIntyre K.M., Sanchez J., Setzkorn C., Baylis M., Revie C.W. 2016.
599 Comparison of the h-index scores for pathogens identified as emerging hazards in
600 North America. Transb. Emerg. Dis. 63, 79-91

601 Crawley M.J. 2013. The R Book. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK

602 Del Rio Vilas V.J., Voller F., Montibeller G., Franco, L.A., Sribhashyam S., Watson
603 E., Hartley M., Gibbens J.C. 2013 An integrated process and management tool for
604 ranking multiple emerging threats to animal health. Prev. Vet. Med. 108, 94-102

605 FAO 2014. FAO Global Aquaculture Production Volume and Value Statistics
606 Database Updated to 2012. Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United
607 Nations, Rome <ftp://ftp.fao.org/FI/STAT/Overviews/AquacultureStatistics2012.pdf>

608 Fofana A., Baulcomb C. 2012. Counting the costs of farmed salmonids disease. J.
609 Appl. Aquacult. 24, 118-136

610 Hall M., Soje J., Kilburn R., Maquire S., Murray A.G. 2014. Cost-effectiveness of
611 alternative disease management policies for bacterial kidney disease in Atlantic
612 salmon aquaculture. Aquaculture 434, 88-92

613 Håstein T, Gudding, R., Evensen O. 2005. Bacterial vaccines for fish – an update on
614 the current situation worldwide. Dev. Biol. 121, 55-74

615 Hastings T., Olivier G., Cusack R., Bricknell I., Nylund A., Binde M., Munro P., Allan
616 C. 1999. Infectious salmon anaemia. Bull. Eur. Assoc. Fish Pathol. 16, 286-288

617 Hunter P.R. 2009. Bibliometrics, research quality, and neglected tropical diseases.
618 Lancet 373, 630-631

619 International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) 2015. URL:
620 <http://www.ictvonline.org/>. Accessed: 2/9/15.

621 Jones S., Beamish R. 2011. Salmon lice: an integrated approach to understanding
622 parasite abundance and distribution. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, UK

623 Kilburn R., Murray A.G., Hall M., Bruno D.W., Cockerill D., Raynard R.S. 2012.
624 Analysis of a company's production data to describe the epidemiology and
625 persistence of pancreas disease in Atlantic salmon (*Salmon salar* L.) farms of
626 Western Scotland. Aquaculture 368-369, 89-94

627 King D.A. 2004. The scientific impact of nations. Nature 430, 311-316

628 Mackie T.J. 1935. Final report of the furunculosis committee. His Majesty's
629 Stationary Office, Edinburgh UK

630 Mardones F.O., Perez, A.M., Vades-Donoso P., Carpenter T.E. 2011. Farm-level
631 reproduction number during an epidemic of infectious salmon anaemia virus in
632 southern Chile in 2007-2009. Prev. Vet. Med. 102, 175-184

633 McIntyre K.M., Hawkes, I., Waret-Szkuta, A., Morand, S., Baylis, M. 2011. The H-
634 index as a quantitative indicator of the relative impact of human diseases. PLOS One

635 6, e19558 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019558
636 <http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0019558>

637 McIntyre K.M., Setzkorn, C., Hepworth, P.J., Morand, S., Morse, A.P., Baylis, M.
638 2014a. A quantitative prioritisation of human and domestic animal pathogens in
639 Europe PLOS One 9, e103529 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0103529
640 <http://www.plosone.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0103529>

641 McIntyre K.M., Setzkorn C., Wardeh M., Hepworth P.J., Radford A.D., Baylis M.
642 2014b Using open-access taxonomic and spatial information to create a
643 comprehensive database for the study of mammalian and avian livestock and pet
644 infections. Prev. Vet. Med. 116, 325-335

645 Metselaar M., Thompson K.D., Gratacap R.M.L., Kik M.J.L., LaPatra S.E., Llyod S.J.,
646 Call D.R., Smith P.D., Adams A. 2010. Association of red-mark syndrome with a
647 Rickettsia-like organism and its connection with strawberry disease in the USA. J.
648 Fish Dis. 33, 849-858

649 Metzger D.C., Elliot D.G., Wargo A., Park L.K., Purcell M.K. 2010. Pathological and
650 immunological responses associated with differential survival of Chinook salmon
651 following *Renibacterium salmoninarum* challenge. Dis. Aquat. Org. 90, 31-41

652 Middlemas, S.J., Fryer, R.J., Tulett D. and Armstrong J.D. 2012. Relationship
653 between sea lice levels on sea trout and fish farm activity in western Scotland. Fish.
654 Manag. Ecol. 20, 68-74

655 Mo T.A., Norheim K., Jansen P.A. 2008 The surveillance and control programme for
656 *Gyrodactylus salaris* in Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout in Norway. In Brun E.,
657 Jordsmyr H.M., Hellberg H, Mørk T. (Eds) Surveillance and control programmes for
658 terrestrial and aquatic animals in Norway. Annual Report 2007, Oslo National
659 Veterinary Institute 2008 p145-148

660 Munday B.L., Carson J., Whittington R., Alexander J. 1992 Serological responses
661 and immunity produced in salmonids by vaccination with Australian strains of *Vibrio*
662 *anguillarum* Immunol. Cell Biol. 70, 391–395

663 Murray A.G. 2006. A model of the spread of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus in
664 Scottish salmon farms 1996-2003. *Ecol. Modell.* 199, 64-72

665 Murray A.G. 2015. Does the use of salmon frames as bait for lobster/crab creels
666 significantly increase the risk of disease in farmed salmon in Scotland? *Prev. Vet.
667 Med.* 120, 357-366

668 Murray A.G., Peeler E.J. 2005. A framework for understanding the potential for
669 emerging diseases in aquaculture *Prev. Vet. Med.* 67, 223-235

670 Murray A.G, Munro L.A., Wallace I.S., Berx B., Pendrey D., Fraser D., Raynard R.S.
671 2010. Epidemiological factors in the re-emergence and control of an outbreak of
672 infectious salmon anaemia in the Shetland Islands, Scotland. *Dis. Aquat. Org.* 91,
673 189-200

674 Murray A.G., Munro L.A., Wallace I.S., Allan C.E.T., Peeler E.J., Thrush M.A. 2012.
675 Epidemiology of *Renibacterium salmoninarum* in Scotland and the potential for
676 compartmentalised management of salmon and trout farming areas. *Aquaculture*
677 324-325, 1-13

678 National Center for Biotechnology Information 2015. U.S. National Library of
679 Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland, US. The NCBI Taxonomy database homepage. URL:
680 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/>. Accessed: 2/9/2015.

681 OIE 2015. Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals 2015. World Organisation
682 for Animal Health, Paris. [http://www.oie.int/international-standard-setting/aquatic-
683 manual/access-online/](http://www.oie.int/international-standard-setting/aquatic-manual/access-online/)

684 Rozas M., Enriquez R. 2014. Piscirickettsiosis and *Piscirickettsia salmonis* in fish: a
685 review. *J. Fish Dis.* 37, 163-188

686 Soares S., Turnbull J.F., Green D.M., Crumlish M., Murray A.G. 2011. A baseline
687 method for benchmarking mortality losses in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)
688 production. *Aquaculture* 314, 7-12

689 Tavoranpanich S., Paul M., Viljugrein H., Abrial D., Jimenez D., Brun E. 2012. Risk
690 map and spatial determinants of pancreas disease in the marine phase of Norwegian
691 Atlantic salmon farming sites BMC Vet. Res. 8, 172 DOI:10.1186/1746-6148-8-172

692 van den Berg, A.H., McLaggan, D., Diéguez-Uribeondo J., van West P. 2013. The
693 impacts of the water molds *Saprolegnia diclina* and *Saprolegnia parasitica* on natural
694 ecosystems and the aquaculture industry. Fungal Biol. Rev. 27, 33-42

695 Venkatachalam L. 2004. The contingent valuation method: a review. Environ. Impact
696 Assess. Rev. 24, 89-124

697 Wardeh M., Risley C., McIntyre M.K., Setzkorn C., Baylis M. 2015. Database of host-
698 pathogen and related species interactions, and their global distribution. Sci. Data
699 2:150049

700 Werkman M., Green, D.M., Murray, A.G., Turnbull J.F. 2011. The effectiveness of
701 following strategies in disease control in salmon aquaculture assessed with an SIS
702 model. Prev. Vet. Med. 98, 64-73

703

704

705

706

707

708

709 Figure 1 H-index scores for diseases or pathogens calculated using searches of
710 paper titles (TI) versus using full text in papers (TS) ($r^2 = 0.72$). Diseases at or
711 beyond the 95% confidence range for the regression line with a TI H-index score > 0
712 are identified on the figure (see Table 1 for more detail), as are the diseases lice and
713 ISA, as they are further discussed.

714

715

716

717 Figure 2. Mean H-index scores for the top nine salmon pathogens (see Table 2) by
718 country versus natural logarithm of salmon production for 2012. The solid line
719 illustrates a log regression of H-indices averaged for the different pathogens against
720 national production, calculated excluding Chile ($2.72 \times \ln(\text{production}) - 5.28$ with
721 95% confidence intervals; $r^2 = 0.721$).

722

723

724

725 Figure 3. Number of publications describing diseases or pathogens of the Atlantic
726 salmon (*Salmo salar*) by year for title (TI) searches. Publication rates for papers
727 alluding to bacterial diseases (3a) showed significant declines for vibriosis (-0.147 y^{-1} , $p = 0.005$) and
728 furunculosis (-0.318 y^{-1} , $p = 0.003$). Viral diseases (3b) showed
729 significant increases: ISA 0.21 y^{-1} , $p = 0.009$; IPN 0.20 y^{-1} , $p = 0.001$; PD 0.19 y^{-1} , $p = 0.015$.
730 Publications increased significantly for sea lice (0.70 y^{-1} , $p = 0.0005$ and
731 AGD (0.028 y^{-1} , $p = 0.001$) but for *G. salaris* they had peaked and showed no significant
732 changes (3c).

733

734

735 Figure 4. Number of publications from 2000-2014 by year describing salmon,
736 published in Chile or Scotland); this demonstrates stable numbers in Scotland and a
737 rapid increase in publication rates in Chile.

738

739

740

741

742

743 Table 1. Diseases and pathogens included in analyses, incorporating synonyms for
744 both disease and pathogen

745 **AGD:** (“Amoebic Gill Disease” OR “*Neoparamoeba perurans*” OR “*Paramoeba*
746 *perurans*” OR “*Paramoeba pemaquidensis*”)

747 **BKD:** (“Bacterial Kidney Disease” OR “*Renibacterium salmoninarum*”)

748 **CMS:** (“Cardiomyopathy syndrome” OR “*Piscine myocarditis virus*”)

749 **D. spath.:** (“*Diplostomum spathaceum*”)

750 **EHN:** (“Epizootic haematopoietic necrosis” OR “Epizootic hematopoietic necrosis”)

751 **ERM:** (“Enteric redmouth” OR “*Yersinia ruckeri*”)

752 **Epitheliocystis:** (Epitheliocystis OR “*Candidatus Piscichlamydia salmonis*” OR
753 *Candidatus Clavochlamydia salmonicola*)

754 **Furunculosis:** ((*Furunculosis* NOT *atypical*) OR (“*Aeromonas salmonicida*” NOT
755 *atypical*))

756 **G.salaris:** (*Gyrodactylosis* OR “*Gyrodactylus salaris*”)

757 **HSMI:** (“Heart and skeletal muscle inflammation” OR “Piscine reovirus”)

758 **IHN:** (“Infectious haematopoietic necrosis” OR “Infectious hematopoietic necrosis”)

759 **ISA:** (“*infectious salmon anaemia*” OR “*infectious salmon anemia*”)

760 **IPN:** (“Infectious pancreatic necrosis”)

761 **PD:** (“Pancreas disease” OR “sleeping disease” or “salmonid alphavirus”)

762 **PKD:** (“Proliferative Kidney Disease” OR “*Tetracapsuloides bryosalmonae*”)

763 **RMS;** (“Red mark syndrome”)

764 **Red vent:** (“red vent” OR “*Anisakis simplex*”)

765 **Saprolegnia:** (*Saprolegnia*)

766 **Sarcocystis:** (*sarcocystis*)

767 **Sea lice:** (“sea lice” OR “*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*” OR “*Caligus elongatus*” OR
768 “*Caligus rogercresseyi*” OR “*Caligus clemensi*”)

769 **SRS:** (*Piscirickettsiosis* OR “Salmon rickettsial syndrome” OR “*Piscirickettsia*
770 *salmonis*”)

771 **Vibriosis:** (“Vibriosis” OR “*Listonella anguillarum*” OR “*Listonella anguillara*” OR
772 “*Achromobacter ichthyodermis*” OR “*Pseudomonas ichthyodermis*” OR “*Vibrio*”)

773 ichthyodermis” OR “Vibrio piscium” OR “Vibrio anguillarum” OR “Vibrio
774 salmonicida”)

775 **VHS:** (“Viral haemorrhagic septicaemia” OR “Viral hemorrhagic septicemia”)

776

777

778 Table 2. H-index scores for diseases or pathogens, calculated using title (TI = **bold**)
779 or full text (TS = normal text), including synonyms or shortened names. For further
780 details of diseases and pathogens see Table 1.

Disease or pathogen	Synonym/short name	TI	TS
Amoebic Gill Disease	AGD	23	28
Bacterial Kidney Disease	BKD	12	29
CardioMyopathy Syndrome	CMS	9	13
<i>Diplostomum spathaceum</i>	D. spath	0	9
Epizootic haematopoietic necrosis	EHN	0	5
Enteric Redmouth	ERM	5	19
Epitheliocystis	Epitheliocystis	5	15
Furunculosis	Furunc	32	67
<i>Gyrodactylus salaris</i>	G.salaris	22	36
Heart and Skeletal Muscle Inflammation	HSMI	10	12
Infectious haematopoietic necrosis	IHN	8	33
Infectious Salmon Anaemia	ISA	28	43
Infectious Pancreatic Necrosis	IPN	24	35
Pancreas Disease	PD	22	29
Proliferative Kidney Disease	PKD	3	20
Red Mark Syndrome	RMS	0	0
Red vent	Red Vent	3	12
Saprolegnia	Saprolegnia	4	12
Sarcocystis	Sarcocystis	0	1
Sea lice	Sea lice	41	54
Salmon rickettsial syndrome	SRS	8	22
Vibriosis	Vibriosis	17	53
Viral haemorrhagic septicaemia	VHS	2	28

781

782

783 Table 3. H-index scores calculated using paper titles (TI) for the top nine diseases
784 and pathogens of Atlantic salmon, using bibliometric searches excluding any
785 restriction to a specific country (All) or including publications for individual countries
786 (Scotland-Scot, Norway including Faeroe Islands-Nor, Chile, Canada-Can, Denmark-
787 Den, Australia-Aus, USA, Ireland-Ire).

788

Synonym/short name for disease/pathogen	All	Scot	Nor	Chile	Can	Den	Aus	USA	Ire
BKD	12	3	2	0	7	0	0	2	0
Vibriosis	17	2	14	1	0	0	2	2	0
<i>G. salaris</i>	22	3	19	0	0	6	0	1	0
AGD	23	1	3	1	5	0	22	1	2
PD	22	11	12	0	0	2	0	0	18
IPN	24	16	16	0	1	1	0	1	1
ISA	28	9	25	3	14	4	0	5	1
Furunc	32	13	28	0	10	3	0	9	6
Sea lice	41	30	25	6	24	1	2	16	11

789

790

791