PROBLEMS IN STRONG UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION

KWO CHAN — RADHAKRISHNAN NAIR

ABSTRACT. In 1923 A. Khinchin asked if given any $B \subseteq [0,1)$ of positive Lebesgue measure, we have $\frac{1}{N}\#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq N : \{nx\} \in B\} \to |B|$ for almost all x with respect to Lebesgue measure. Here $\{y\}$ denotes the fractional part of the real number y and $|A|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set A in $[0,1)$. In 1970 J. Marstrand showed the answer is no. In this paper the authors survey contributions to this subject since then.

1. Introduction

The fact that for an irrational number α the sequence $(\{n\alpha\})_{n \geq 1}$ is dense in $[0,1)$ is ascribed to L. K r o n e c k e r, though essentially the same observation was made by N. O r e s m e in the fourteenth century.

Following H. W e y l [27] we say that a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly distributed modulo 1 (u.d. mod 1) if for each interval I (closed on the left and open on the right) of length $|I|$, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_I(\{x_n\}) = |I|.$$

Here $\chi_I(x) = 1$ if $x \in I$ and $\chi_I(x) = 0$ if $x \notin I$. We call χ_I the characteristic function of I.

It was proved by P. B o h l [3], W. S i e r p i n s k i [24] and H. W e y l [26] that if α is irrational and if $x_n = n\alpha$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$, then the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1. In 1914 and 1916 H. W e y l [26], [27] gave the following famous and extremely useful characterization of uniform distribution.

THEOREM 1. *The following are equivalent*

(i) *$(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1;*

(ii) *$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\{x_n\}) \to \int_0^1 f(t)dt$ for $f \in C([0,1))$ (continuous functions on $[0,1)$);*

(iii) $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\{x_n\}) \to \int_0^1 f(t)dt$ *for* $f \in R([0,1))$
   (Riemann integrable functions on $[0,1)$*);*

   and

(iv) $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i h x_n} \to 0$ *for all* $h \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$
   (Weyl's criterion).

Some applications due to H. W e y l [27]:

1) $\frac{1}{N}|\sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i h n \alpha}| \leq 2\frac{1}{N}|e^{2\pi i h \alpha} - 1|^{-1}$, hence if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and $x_n = n\alpha$, then $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1.

2) More generally using "differencing", if $p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \cdots + \alpha_k x^x$ and $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \notin \mathbb{Q}^k$, then for $x_n = p(n)$ the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1.

3) If $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of distinct natural numbers, then $(a_n x)_{n \geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1 for almost all x with respect to Lebesgue measure.

In light of application 1) in 1923 A. K h i n c h i n [8] asked if given any $B \subseteq [0,1)$ of positive Lebesgue measure, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq N : \{nx\} \in B\} \to |B| \quad \text{for almost all } x$$

with respect to Lebesgue measure. This was disproved by J. M. M a r s t r a n d [10] in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *There exists a* G_δ *set* $B \subseteq [0,1)$ *such that*

$$\overline{\lim} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_B(\{nx\}) = 1,$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure and

$$\underline{\lim} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_B(\{nx\}) = 0,$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

In light of application 3) one might now wonder if there is any strictly increasing sequence of integers $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that given any $B \subseteq [0,1)$ of positive Lebesgue measure, we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq N : \{a_n x\} \in B\} \to |B|$$

for almost all x with respect to Lebesgue measure. To go further we need to discuss ergodic theory [25]. Let (X, β, μ) denote a probability space and let $T : X \to X$ denote a measurable (i.e., $T^{-1}A = \{x : Tx \in A\}$ for all $A \in \beta$)

2

measure preserving transformation (i.e., $\mu\big(T^{-1}(A)\big) = \mu(A)$ for all $A \in \beta$) of a measure space. We say (X, β, μ, T) is ergodic if $\mu(A\Delta T^{-1}A) = 0$ means $\mu(A) \in \{0, 1\}$. Here for two sets A and B we have used $A\Delta B$ to denote their symmetric difference. We refer to the quadriple (X, β, μ, T) as a dynamical system. We first recall Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem [25].

THEOREM 3. *Suppose the dynamical system* (X, β, μ, T) *is measurable and measure preserving. Then if*

$$f \in L^1(X, \beta, \mu),$$

the limit

$$\overline{f}(x) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(T^n x),$$

exists μ *almost everywhere. Also* $\overline{f}(Tx) = \overline{f}(x)$ μ *almost everywhere. Further if* (X, β, μ, T) *is ergodic, then* $\overline{f}(x) = \int_X f(t)d\mu$ μ *almost everywhere.*

If $X = [0, 1)$, β is the Lebesgue σ-algebra, μ is Lebesgue measure and $Tx = \{px\}$, where $p \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}$, we get the following classical special case called the Riesz-Raikov theorem [18], [20].

THEOREM 4. *If*

$$p \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\} \quad and \quad f \in L^1([0, 1)),$$

then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(\{p^n x\}) \to \int_0^1 f(t)\,dt \ a.e.,$$

w.r.t. Lebesgue measure.

If $X = [0, 1)$, β is the Lebesgue σ-algebra, μ is Lebesgue measure and $Tx = \{x + \alpha\}$, where α is irrational, then T is Lebesgue measure preserving and we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. *If*

$$\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q} \quad and \quad f \in L^1([0, 1)),$$

then

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} f(\{x + n\alpha\}) \to \int_0^1 f(t)\,dt \ a.e.,$$

w.r.t. Lebesgue measure.

A natural question addressed by J. F. K o k s m a and R. S a l e m [9] is whether in light of application 3) of Weyl's criteria above the term $n\alpha$ in Theorem 5 can be replaced by $p(n)$. Some progress here is discussed in the next section.

3

Using Theorem 4 J. M. M a r s t r a n d [10], proved the following.

THEOREM 6. *If $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is a semi-group of integers generated multiplicatively by a finite set of co-prime natural numbers $\{p_1, \ldots, p_l\}$ all different from one and $f \in L^\infty([0,1))$, then*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N f(\{m_k x\}) \to \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt \ \ a.e.,$$

w.r.t. Lebesgue measure.

We call $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ the l-Hardy-Littlewood-Polya sequence (l-HLP sequence). Answering a question of R. C. B a k e r [1], using the general ergodic theorem described in Section 3, the second author [11] showed that L^∞ can be replaced by L^1 in the above theorem. A much more complete resolution is the following.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and let $(m_k)_{k\geq 1} = \pi(A)$ denote the set of products of elements of A ordered by absolute value.*

(i) *Then $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is $(L^1)^*$ if and only if $\pi(A) \subseteq \pi(F)$ for a finite set F.*

(ii) *If $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is not in $\pi(F)$ for any finite F, one can find a counter example of the form $f = \chi_B$, where B is a G_δ set.*

Theorem 7 (i) was proved by A. Q u a s and M. W i e r d l using the same idea, which was used by the second author to answer Baker's question—namely using a general ergodic theorem. Theorem 7 (ii) appears in [6] as an application of Marstrand's method. A similar observation was made by G. K o z m a in unpublished work.

2. The Koksma-Salem Problem

Using harmonic analysis, J. F. K o k s m a and R. S a l e m [9] showed the following

THEOREM 8. *Suppose*

$$f \sim \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n e^{2\pi i n x} \in L^2([0,1)).$$

Also suppose

$$\sum_{|n| \geq N} |c_n|^2 = O\big((\log N)^{-\gamma}\big) \quad \text{for } \gamma > 0.$$

Then if

$$p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \cdots + \alpha_k x^k \quad \text{with} \quad (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k) \notin \mathbb{Q}^k,$$

we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f\Big(\big\{x + p(n)\big\}\Big) = \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt,$$

almost everywhere w.r.t Lebesgue measure.

4

In conversation with the second author of this paper, independently, both R. C. B a k e r and M. W e b e r asked if the decay condition on the coefficients $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ could be removed or weakened. The following progress is possible. Let (X, β, μ) be a probability space and let $T_1, \ldots, T_l$ denote commuting measurable, measure preserving transformation of (X, β, μ). J. B o u r g a i n [4] claimed that if

$$f \in L^2(X, \beta, \mu) \quad \text{for} \quad l(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(T_1^{n^1} \ldots T_l^{n^l} x\right) \quad \text{exists a.e. w.r.t. } \mu.$$

There seems, however, to the authors to be a non-trivial gap in the proof of this theorem. This gap has been filled in [17]. Further B o u r g a i n [5] claims that L^2 can be replaced by L^p for $p > 1$. A detailed proof of this has never been published however. Using this assumption however, one can prove the result below [12].

Let

$$X = [0, 1), \quad T_1(x) = \{x + \alpha_1\}, \ldots, T_l(x) = \{x + \alpha_l\}.$$

Then if

$$p(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \cdots + \alpha_l x^l \quad \text{with} \quad (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l) \notin \left(\mathbb{Q}^k\right)^c,$$

note

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(\{x + p(n)\}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(T_1^{n^1} \ldots T_l^{n^l} (x + \alpha_0)\right).$$

Applying the above theorem and noting that $\left(p(n)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is u.d. mod 1, we must have $l(x) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$, i.e., if $f \in L^p([0, 1))$ for $p > 1$ we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(\{x + p(n)\}\right) = \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt,$$

almost everywhere w.r.t. Lebesgue measure.

3. Remarks about the proof of Theorem 7 (i)

We begin by describing a general framework. Let S be a countable abelian semigroup acting in a measure preserving fashion on a measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. That is, to each $g \in S$ there exists a measurable map T_g of Ω such that $T_{g_1 + g_2} = T_{g_1}(T_{g_2})$ and for each A in the σ-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we have

$$T_g^{-1} A = \{x \in \Omega : T_g x \in A\} \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in S \quad \text{with} \quad \mu\left(T_g^{-1} A\right) = \mu(A).$$

Also let $(A_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a collection of subsets of S such that the following conditions are true:

(B1) $0 < \#A_k < \infty$;

(B2) $A_k \subset A_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$;

(B3) there exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$\#\{A_k - A_k\} \leq M_2 \#A_k, \quad \text{for all} \quad k \in \mathbf{N};$$

(B4) (amenability)

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\#\{(g + A_k) \triangle A_k\}}{\#A_k} = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in S,$$

where we have used $A \triangle B$ to denote the symmetric difference of the sets A and B.

Here for a finite set A we have used $\#A$ to denote its cardinality, and $A - A$ to denote $\{x \in S : y + x \in A \text{ for some } y \in A\}$.

From the above data we construct, the averages

$$\pi_k(f)(x) = \frac{1}{\#A_k} \sum_{s \in A_k} T_s f(x) \quad \text{for} \quad k \in \mathbf{N},$$

where $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$. We have a special case of T. B e w l e y's theorem [2].

THEOREM 9. *Suppose* (B1), (B2), (B3) *and* (B4) *are true. Then*

$$\pi(f)(x) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \pi_k(f)(x)$$

exists μ almost everywhere, with

$$\int_\Omega \pi(f) \, d\mu = \int_\Omega f \, d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad T_s\big(\pi(f)\big)(x) = \pi(f)(x)$$

for almost all x, for each $s \in S$.

We further specialize this theorem as follows. Let $S = \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{N}$, i.e., the direct product of the natural numbers with themselves countably many times. The set S may also be described as the space of sequences of elements of $\mathbb{N}$, all but finitely many of whose elements are non zero. To this semi-group S we can associate an action of S as follows. For a given sequence of integers $\{n_1, n_2, \ldots\}$ via the map $s \to T_s$ $(s \in S)$ for $T_s : [0, 1) \to [0, 1)$, where if $s = (s_1, s_2, \ldots)$ we have $T_s(x) = \{n_1^{s_1} n_2^{s_2} \ldots x\}$. Notice that each non-identity element T_s is ergodic and so $\pi(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt$ almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure [25]. We set

$$A_k = \big\{n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_l^{s_l} \ldots \leq k : s = (s_i)_{i \geq 1} \in S\big\}.$$

Clearly all the indefinite products $n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_l^{s_l} \ldots$ are actually finite and correspond to the individual natural numbers in the sequence $(m_l)_{l \geq 1}$.

It is immediate that (B1), (B2) and (B3) are satisfied by $(A_k)_{k\geq 1}$. To prove (B4) we have to show for each fixed $g \in S$, that

$$\#\{(g + A_k)\backslash A_k\}, \ \#\{A_k\backslash(g + A_k)\} \ = \ o(\#A_k),$$

as k tends to infinity. Clearly this involves getting estimates for the numbers

$$\#\{(g + A_k)\backslash A_k\}, \ \#\{A_k\backslash(g + A_k)\} \ \text{ and } \ \#A_k.$$

The authors know two methods for doing this. The first is the geometric approach of comparing the number of lattice points we are counting to the volumes of the regions they are in and estimating these volumes. The second is an inductive counting argument based on the number of generators at issue. In the case of $\mathbb{N}^r$ for finite $r \geq 1$ instead of S, these estimates are carried out using the first method in [11] and the second, in the second author's 1986 University Warwick PhD. Extending these arguments to S, in the case, where $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ is contained in a finitely generated semigroup, is a very routine exercise using the fundamental theorem of arithmetic and so we forgo the details. The property (B4) follows. This proves Theorem 7 (i) once we observe that because $\pi(f)$.

4. Remarks on the proof of Theorem 7 (ii)

Our main tool is the following [10].

LEMMA 10. *Let $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ denote a strictly increasing sequence of integers. Suppose for each pair of integers $q, v > 1$ that there exist pairs of sets of integers G, H such that* (A) $\#G > v\#H$, *and* (B) *for every $g \in G$ there exists $\eta \geq 1$ such that $gm_k^{-1} \in H$ for all $k \in [\eta, \eta q]$. Then there exists a G_δ set B such that if $f = \chi_B$, the limit*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(\{m_k\theta\}),$$

fails to exist almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Using this lemma it is possible to prove that any sequence of natural numbers that is multiplicatively generated but not contained in a set of integers multiplicatively generated by any finite set must have the properties claimed for $(m_k)_{k\geq 1}$ by Lemma 10. This is now a well understood classical topic. See [10] for similar computations. Because of this rather than provide a detailed verification of this, we content ourselves with the following brief remark.

Suppose R is a large positive integer to be chosen at our convenience. Let

$$M = \prod_{k=1}^{qR} m_k, \quad \text{and} \quad D = D(R, q) = p \max_{k \leq R} \frac{m_{qk}}{m_k},$$

where p is one of the primes dividing an element of $(m_k)_{k \geq 1}^{R}$ and let P_l denote the semigroup of integers generated by the the first l primes. Here l is the smallest possible chosen so P_l contains all the products in the set $(m_k)_{k=1}^{R}$. Now let x be large and set

$$H = [x, Dx] \cap P_l \quad \text{with} \quad G = [m_q x, Dm_R] \cap MP_l,$$

where MP_l denotes the set of elements of P_l multiplied by M. Following [10] we observe that

$$\#H \sim K \log D \, (\log x)^{l-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \#G \sim \frac{Dm_R}{m_q} \left(\log \frac{x}{M} \right)^{s-1}.$$

These two observations readily imply condition (A) of Lemma 10. To demonstrate (B) of Lemma 10 set $G_\eta = \left\{ g \in MP_l : \frac{g}{m_k} \in H \text{ for all } r \in [\eta, q\eta] \right\}$ and note that $G_\eta = [m_{q\eta} x, m_\eta Dx] \cap MP_l$. We can check that $[m_{q\eta} x, m_\eta Dx]$ and $[m_{q(\eta+1)} x, m_{(\eta+1)} Dx]$ intersect. Thus $G = \cup_{\eta=1}^{R} G_\eta$ as required. We summarise this in the following lemma.

5. Marstrand's Lemma and its refinements

To prove Theorem 6 M a r s t r a n d proved the following important lemma.

THEOREM 11. *Suppose strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers $a = (a_r)_{r=1}^{\infty}$ and $b = (b_s)_{s=1}^{\infty}$, are both $(L^\infty)^*$ sequences. Then the sequence generated multiplicatively by a and b once ordered by size is also an $(L^\infty)^*$ sequence. That is, for f in $L^\infty([0, 1))$ if*

$$G(u) = \left\{ (r, s) : a_r b_s \leq u \right\}$$

we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{|G(u)|} \sum_{(r,s) \in G(u)} f(\{a_r b_s x\}) = \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Application: By the Riesz-Raikov theorem, a 1-HLP sequence is in $(L^\infty)^*$. Assume for the sake of induction that a is an $(l-1)$-HLP sequences is in $(L^\infty)^*$. Also let b be a 1-HLP sequence. Then $a \circ b = (a_r b_s)_{r,s \geq 1}$ ordered by absolute value is an l-HLP sequence and by Marstrand's lemma an $(L^\infty)^*$ sequence. This of course implies Theorem 6.

Now we state an analogue of this Lemma for L^p with finite $p > 1$ due to the second author [13].

THEOREM 12. *Suppose strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers*

$$a = (a_r)_{r=1}^{\infty} \quad and \quad b = (b_s)_{s=1}^{\infty},$$

are both $(L^p)^*$ sequences for all $p > 1$. Suppose also that there exists C such that for each $u = 1, 2, \ldots$ we have

$$|\{r : a_r \leq u\}||\{s : b_s \leq u\}| \leq C \big|\{(r, s) : a_r b_s \leq u\}\big|. \tag{1}$$

Then the sequence generated multiplicatively by a and b once ordered by size is also an $(L^p)^*$ sequence for every $p > 1$. That is, for f in $L^p([0, 1))$ for all $p > 1$ if

$$G(u) = \big\{(r, s) : a_r b_s \leq u\big\},$$

we have

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{|G(u)|} \sum_{(r,s) \in G(u)} f(\{a_r b_s x\}) = \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Let

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{N \geq 1} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(\{a_n x\}) \right|.$$

The idea is to use a theorem of S. S a w y e r [23]. This implies that a being an $(L^p)^*$ sequence for all $p > 1$ is equivalent to the fact that for each p there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\big|\{x \in [0, 1) : Mf(x) \geq \lambda\}\big| \leq \frac{C_q}{\lambda^p} \int_0^1 |f|\, dt.$$

Inequalities like this are called maximal equalities. The L^p version of Marstrand's Lemma follows from iterating maximal inequalities. The following is a special case of the multi-parameter ergodic theorem used to prove Theorem 9, though it can be proved directly.

Suppose (X, β, μ, T) is a measurable, measure preserving dynamical system, $\phi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is a polynomial and $f \in L^p(X, \beta, \mu)$, then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{N=1}^{N} f\left(T^{\phi(n)} x\right), \quad \text{a.e. w.r.t. } \mu.$$

The following is a special case.

Take $Tx = \{px\}$ $(p > 1)$ on $[0, 1)$. Then $\left(p^{\phi(n)}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is $(L^p)^*$. Using the L^p-Marstrand lemma, for $p_1, \ldots, p_l \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{1\}$ and $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_l$ all mapping $\mathbb{N}$ to itself, then $(m_k)_{k \geq 1} = \left(p_1^{\phi_i(n_1)} \ldots p_l^{\phi_l(n_l)}\right)_{(n_1, \ldots, n_l) \in \mathbb{N}^l}$ ordered by absolute value is in $(L^p)^*$ for $p \geq 1$. We have a further refinement [15].

KWO CHAN — RADHAKRISHNAN NAIR

THEOREM 13. *Suppose $a_1 = (a_{1,i})_{i=1}^\infty, \ldots, (a_{k,i})_{i=1}^\infty$ are $(L^1)^*$ sequences. Then if (1) holds with $a = a_1$ and $b = a_2 \circ \ldots \circ a_k$ and f belongs to $L(\log_+ L)^{k-1}$,*

$$\lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{1}{|G(u)|} \sum_{c \in G(u)} f(\{cx\}) = \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt,$$

almost everwhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

6. Another problem of R. C. Baker

Another question of R. C. B a k e r's [1] is whether there exists a sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ which is not $(L^\infty)^*$ but for which it is true that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=0}^{a_N - 1} f\left(\left\{x + \frac{j}{a_N}\right\}\right) = \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt,$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure holds.

In 1929 B. J e s s e n proved the following theorem [7].

THEOREM 14. *For a strictly increasing sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ if a_n divides a_{n+1} and if $f \in L^1([0,1))$ we have*

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{a_N} \sum_{j=0}^{a_N - 1} f\left(\left\{x + \frac{j}{a_N}\right\}\right) = \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt, \tag{2}$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure.

E.g., $a_n = 2^{2^n}$.

In 1989 J. R o s e n b l a t t proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 15. *If $\inf_{n \geq 1} \frac{b_{n+1}}{b_n} > 1$, then given $\delta, \epsilon > 0$, and a dynamical system (X, β, μ, T) with μ non-atomic, there exist $E \in \beta$ with $0 < \mu(E) < \epsilon$ such that*

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \chi_E(T^{b_n} x) \geq \delta.$$

Take $T(x) = \{2x\} \bmod 1$ on $[0,1)$ with μ equal to Lebesgue measure and $b_n = 2^n$ and we see that $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with $a_n = 2^{2^n}$ is not in $(L^\infty)^*$ but satisfies (2) answering Baker's theorem.

In this context we also have the following obsevation.

Given p in $[1, \infty)$ it is possible to give strictly increasing sequences of integers $(c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $a_k = 2^{c_k}$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots)$ is in $(L^p)^*$ but not in $(L^q)^*$ for any $q < p$. Here L^p denotes the space of Lebesgue measurable functions on $[0, 1)$ whose p^{th} powers are Lebesgue integrable. This observation relies on a result of K. R e i n h o l d--- L a r s s o n [19].

THEOREM 16. *Given p in $[1, \infty)$ there exists a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $(c_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that for every dynamical system (X, β, μ, T) and every function in $L^p(X, \beta, \mu)$ there exists $C_p > 0$ such that if*

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{N \geq 1} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(T^{c_k} x) \right|,$$

then

$$\mu\left(\{x \in X : Mf(x) > \alpha \} \right) \leq \frac{C_p}{\alpha^p} ||f||_p,$$

where

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_X |f|^p(x) \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Also if $1 < p$, then there exists f in $L^q(X, \beta, \mu)$ such that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(T^{c_k} x)$$

does not have a finite limit for almost all x, with respect to μ.

Choosing $X = [0, 1)$, β to be the Lebesgue σ-algebra, μ to be Lebesgue measure and $Tx = \{2x\}$ and using Theorem 16 as before shows that $a_k = 2^{c_k}$ $(k = 1, 2, \ldots)$ does not belong to $(L^q)^*$. To show that $(2^{c_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is in $(L^p)^*$ we need to show that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f(\{2^{c_k} x\}) = \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt,$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure. By a classical theorem of H. W e y l [27] this is known for continuous functions on $[0, 1)$. Suppose that $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of continuous functions on $[0, 1)$ converging to f in L^p norm. This means that there exists a subsequence $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |f - f_{n_k}|^p(x) \, dx < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f - f_{n_k}|^p(x) < \infty,$$

almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure on $[0,1)$. Thus for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a sequence of functions $(f_{\epsilon,k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$||f - f_{\epsilon,k}||_p^p \leq \epsilon^{2k}$$

and $f_{\epsilon,k}$ tends to f as k tends to infinity almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure on $[0,1)$. Notice that

$$M(f + g) \leq M(f) + M(g).$$

Let

$$E_{\epsilon,k} := \left\{ x \in [0,1) : M(f - f_{\epsilon,k})(x) > \epsilon^{\frac{k}{p}} \right\}$$

and note from Theorem 16 that

$$\mu(E_{\epsilon,k}) \leq C_p \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^k \int_{E_{\epsilon,k}} |f - f_{\epsilon,k}|^p(x)\, dx$$

$$\leq C_p \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^k \epsilon^{2k} = C_p \epsilon^k.$$

Let $a_N(f,x)$ denote $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} f(\{2^{c_l} x\})$. Now

$$a_N(f,x) = a_N(f - f_{\epsilon,k}x) + a_N(f_{\epsilon,k}, x).$$

This means that

$$\left| a_N(f,x) - \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt \right| \leq |a_N(f - f_{\epsilon,k}, x)| + \left| a_N(f_{\epsilon,k}, x) - \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt \right|$$

leftlinealmost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure on $[0,1)$. Thus

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \left| a_N(f,x) - \int_0^1 f(t)\, dt \right| \leq \limsup_{N\to\infty} |a_N(f - f_{\epsilon,k}, x)| + \left| \int_0^1 (f - f_{\epsilon,k})(t)\, dt \right|,$$

which is

$$\leq M(f - f_{\epsilon,k})(x) + \int_0^1 |f - f_{\epsilon,k}|(t)\, dt.$$

Therefore as N tends to infinity we know that $a_N(f,x)$ tends to $\int_0^1 f(t)dt$, for all x in $E_\epsilon = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\epsilon,n}$. Let B_ϵ be the null set off which $f_{\epsilon,k}$ tends to f as k tends to infinity. This means, that

$$\lambda(E_\epsilon \cup B_\epsilon) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_{\epsilon,k}) \leq C_p \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon^k = \frac{C_p \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}.$$

Letting ϵ tend to zero shows that $(2^{c_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is $(L^p)^*$ for finite p.

REFERENCES

[1] BAKER, R. C.: *Riemann sums and Lebesgue integrals,* Q. J. Math. Oxf. II. Ser. **27** (1976), 191–198.

[2] BEWLEY, T.: *Extension of the Birkhoff and von Neumann ergodic theorems to semigroup actions,* Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Nouv. Sér., Sect. B **7** (1971), 283–291.

[3] BOHL, P.: *Über ein der Theorie der säkuären Störungen vorkommendes Problem,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **135** (1909), 189–289.

[4] BOURGAIN, J.: *On maximal ergodic theory for certain subsets of the integers,* Isr. J. Math. **61** (1988), 39–72.

[5] BOURGAIN, J.: *Almost sure convergence in ergodic theory,* in: Proc. Int. Conf., Almost Everywhere Convergence, Columbus, OH, 1988, Acadamic, Boston, 1989, pp. 145–151.

[6] CHAN, K.—NAIR, R.: *A remark on the distribution of Chebychev polynomials on [-1,1],* Unif. Distrib. Theory (to appear).

[7] JESSEN, B.: *On the approximation of Lebesgue integrals by Riemann sums,* Ann. Math. (2) **35** (1934), 248–251.

[8] KHINCHIN, A.: *Ein Satz über kettenbrüche arithmetichen anwendungen,* Math. Z. **18** (1923), 289–306.

[9] KOKSMA, J. F.—SALEM, R.: *Uniform distribution and Lebesgue integration,* Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **12** (1950); Actual. Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, Rapport ZW 1949, 004, 9 p.

[10] MARSTRAND, J. M.: *On Khinchine's conjecture about strong uniform distribution,* Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **21** (1970), 540–556.

[11] NAIR, R.: *On strong uniform distribution,* Acta Arith. **56** (1990), 183–193.

[12] NAIR, R.: *On some arithmetic properties of Lp summable functions,* Q. J. Math. Oxf. II. Ser. **47** (1996), 101–105.

[13] NAIR, R.: *On strong uniform distribution. II.,* Monatsh. Math. **132** (2001), 341–348.

[14] NAIR, R.: *On a problem of R. C. Baker,* Acta Arith. **109** (2003), 343–348.

[15] NAIR, R.: *On strong uniform distribution III.,* Indag. Math. (N.S.) **14** (2003), 233–240.

[16] NAIR, R.: *On strong uniform distribution IV.,* J. Inequal. Appl. **2005**, no. 3, 319–327.

[17] NAIR, R.: *On polynomial ergodic averages and square functions,* in: Number Theory and Polynomials. Proc. of the Workshop (J. McKee et al., eds.), Bristol, UK, 2006, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 352, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008, pp. 241–254.

[18] RAIKOV, D. A.: *On some arithmetic properties of summable functions,* Mat. Sb. **1** (43), (1936), 377–384. (In Russian)

[19] REINHOLD-LARSSON, K.: *Discrepancy of behaviour of perturbed sequences in L^p spaces,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 865–874.

[20] REISZ, F.: *Sur la théorie ergodique,* Comment. Math. Helv. **17** (1944/45), 221–239.

[21] ROSENBLATT, J.: *Universally bad sequences in ergodic theory,* in: Almost everywhere convergence II, Proc. 2nd Int. Conf., Evanston/IL (USA) 1989, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1991, pp. 227–245.

[22] QUAS, A.—WIERDL, M.: *Rates of divergence of non-conventional ergodic averages,* Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **30** (2010), 233–262.

[23] SAWYER, S.: *Maximal inequalities of weak type,* Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 157–174.

[24] SIERPIŃSKI, W.: *On the asymptotic value of a certain sum,* Rozprawy Wydz. Mat. Pryzr. Akad. Um. **50** (1910), 1–10. (In Polish)

[25] WALTERS, P.: *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory,* in: Grad. Texts in Math. Vol. 79, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.

[26] WEYL, H.: *Über der Gibbssche Erscheinung und verwandte Konvergenzphänomenene,* Rend. Mat. Palermo **30** (1910), 377–407.

[27] WEYL, H.: *Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins,* Math. Ann. **77** (1916), 313–352.

Mathematical Sciences
The University of Liverpool
Peach Street
Liverpool L69 7ZL
UNITED KINGDOM
E-mail: nair@liverpool.ac.uk
        sgkchan@liverpool.ac.uk