

MODULI SPACES OF HIGHER SPIN KLEIN SURFACES

SERGEY NATANZON AND ANNA PRATOUSSEVITCH

ABSTRACT. We study m -spin bundles on hyperbolic Klein surfaces, i.e. m -spin bundles on hyperbolic Riemann surfaces with an anti-holomorphic involution. We describe topological invariants of such bundles and determine the conditions under which such bundles exist. We describe all connected components of the space of higher spin bundles on Klein surfaces. We prove that any connected component is homeomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{R}^d by a discrete group.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Higher Spin Structures on Klein Surfaces	4
2.1. Higher Spin Structures	4
2.2. Klein Surfaces	7
2.3. Symmetric Generating Sets	8
2.4. Real Arf Functions	9
3. Topological Types of Arf Functions on Klein Surfaces	12
3.1. Topological Invariants	12
3.2. Canonical Symmetric Generating Sets	14
4. Moduli Spaces	19
4.1. Moduli Spaces of Klein Surfaces	19
4.2. Moduli Spaces of Higher Spin Bundles on Klein Surfaces	20
4.3. Branching Indices of Moduli Spaces	21
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

A complex line bundle $e : L \rightarrow P$ on a Riemann surface P , denoted (e, P) , is an m -spin bundle if the m -th tensor power $e^{\otimes m} : L^{\otimes m} \rightarrow P$ is isomorphic to the cotangent bundle of P . The classical 2-spin structures on compact Riemann surfaces of genus $g = g(P)$ were introduced by Riemann [R] (as theta characteristics) and play an important role in mathematics. Their modern interpretation as complex line bundles and classification was given by Atiyah [Ati] and Mumford [Mum].

Date: June 10, 2015.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30F50, 14H60, 30F35; Secondary 30F60.

Key words and phrases. Higher spin bundles, real forms, Riemann surfaces, Klein surfaces, Arf functions, lifts of Fuchsian groups.

The research of the first author carried out in 2015–2016 was supported by "The National Research University "Higher School of Economics" Academic Fond Program" grant 15-01-0052. The work of the second author was partially supported by the Leverhulme Trust grant RPG-057.

It was shown that 2-spin bundles have a topological invariant $\delta = \delta(e, P)$ in $\{0, 1\}$, the *Arf invariant*, which is determined by the parity of the dimension of the space of sections of the bundle. Moreover, the space $S_{g, \delta}^2$ of 2-spin bundles on Riemann surfaces of genus g with Arf invariant δ , i.e. the space of such pairs (e, P) , is homeomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{R}^{6g-6} by a discrete group of autohomeomorphisms, see [Nat89].

The study of spaces of m -spin bundles for arbitrary m started more recently because of their applications in singularity theory [Dol], [NP11], [NP13], and the remarkable connection of the compactified moduli space of m -spin bundles with the theory of integrable systems [Wit], [FSZ]. It was shown that for odd m the space of m -spin bundles is connected, while for even m (and $g > 1$) there are two connected components, distinguished by an invariant which generalises the Arf invariant [Jar]. In all cases each connected components of the space of m -spin bundles on Riemann surfaces of genus g is homeomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{R}^{6g-6} by a discrete group of autohomeomorphisms, see [NP05], [NP09].

The aim of this paper is to determine the topological structure of the space of m -spin bundles on hyperbolic Klein surfaces. A *Klein surface* is a non-orientable topological surface with a maximal atlas whose transition maps are *dianalytic*, i.e. either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic, see [AG]. Klein surfaces can be described as quotients $P/\langle\tau\rangle$, where P is a compact Riemann surface and $\tau : P \rightarrow P$ is an anti-holomorphic involution on P . The category of such pairs is isomorphic to the category of Klein surfaces via $(P, \tau) \mapsto P/\langle\tau\rangle$. Under this correspondence the fixed points of τ correspond to the boundary points of the Klein surface. In this paper a Klein surface will be understood as an isomorphy class of such pairs (P, τ) . We will only consider connected compact Klein surfaces. The category of connected compact Klein surfaces is isomorphic to the category of irreducible real algebraic curves (see [AG]).

The boundary of the surface $P/\langle\tau\rangle$, if not empty, decomposes into k pairwise disjoint simple closed smooth contours. These contours correspond to connected components of the set of fixed points P^τ of the involution $\tau : P \rightarrow P$. They are called *ovals* and correspond to connected components of the set of real points of the corresponding real algebraic curve.

The *topological type* of the surface $P/\langle\tau\rangle$ is determined by the triple (g, k, ε) , where g is the genus of P , k is the number of connected components of the boundary of $P/\langle\tau\rangle$ and $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ with $\varepsilon = 1$ if the surface is orientable and $\varepsilon = 0$ otherwise. In the case $\varepsilon = 1$ the following conditions are satisfied: $1 \leq k \leq g + 1$ and $k \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}$. In the case $\varepsilon = 0$ the following conditions are satisfied: $0 \leq k \leq g$. These classification results were obtained by Weichold [Wei]. It was shown that the topological type completely determines the connected component of the space of Klein surfaces. Moreover, the space $M_{g, k, \varepsilon}$ of Klein surfaces of topological type (g, k, ε) is homeomorphic to the quotient of \mathbb{R}^{3g-3} by a discrete subgroup of automorphism. In addition to the invariants (g, k, ε) , it is useful to consider an invariant that we will call the geometric genus of (P, τ) . In the case $\varepsilon = 1$ the geometric genus $(g + 1 - k)/2$ is the number of handles that need to be attached to a sphere with holes to obtain a surface homeomorphic to $P/\langle\tau\rangle$. In the case $\varepsilon = 0$ the geometric genus $[(g - k)/2]$ is half of the number of Möbius bands that need to be attached to a sphere with holes to obtain a surface homeomorphic to $P/\langle\tau\rangle$.

An m -spin bundle on a Klein surface (P, τ) is a pair $(e : L \rightarrow P, \beta)$, where $e : L \rightarrow P$ is an m -spin bundle on P and $\beta : L \rightarrow L$ is an anti-holomorphic involution on L such that $e \circ \beta = \tau \circ e$.

In this paper we determine the connected components of the space of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces, i.e. equivalence classes of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces up to topological equivalence as defined in section 3.6. We find the topological invariants that determine such an equivalence class and determine all possible values of these invariants. We also show that every equivalence class is a connected set homeomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{R}^n by a discrete group, where the dimension n and the group depend on the class. For $m = 2$ these results were obtained in [Nat90], [Nat99], [Nat04].

We will now explain the results in more detail. Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) . In this paper we will consider hyperbolic Klein surfaces (P, τ) , i.e. we assume that the underlying Riemann surface P is hyperbolic, $g \geq 2$. We will also assume that the geometric genus of (P, τ) is positive, i.e. $k \leq g - 2$ if $\varepsilon = 0$ and $k \leq g - 1$ if $\varepsilon = 1$.

Let m be odd. In this case we show that $g \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Moreover, assuming that m is odd and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, the space of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces of type (g, k, ε) is not empty and is connected.

Now let m be even. A restriction of the bundle e gives a bundle on the ovals. Let K_0 and K_1 be the sets of ovals on which the bundle is trivial and non-trivial respectively. We show that $|K_1| \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$.

If m is even and $\varepsilon = 0$, the Arf invariant δ of the bundle e and the cardinalities $k_i = |K_i|$ for $i = 0, 1$ determine a (non-empty) connected component of the space of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces of type $(g, k_0 + k_1, 0)$ if and only if

$$k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}.$$

If m is even and $\varepsilon = 1$, the bundle e determines a decomposition of the set of ovals in two disjoint sets, K^0 and K^1 , of *similar* ovals (for details see section 3.1). The bundle e induces m -spin bundles on connected components of $P \setminus P^\tau$. The Arf invariant $\tilde{\delta}$ of these induced bundles does not depend on the choice of the connected component of $P \setminus P^\tau$. This invariant $\tilde{\delta}$ and the cardinalities $k_i^j = |K_i \cap K^j|$ for $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ determine a connected component of the space of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces of type $(g, k_0^0 + k_0^1 + k_1^0 + k_1^1, 1)$ if and only if

- (a) If $g > k + 1$ and $k_0^0 + k_0^1 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.
- (b) If $g > k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.
- (c) If $g = k + 1$ and $k_0^0 + k_0^1 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 1$.
- (d) If $g = k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 1$.
- (e) If $g = k + 1$ and $k_0^0 + k_0^1 = 0$ and $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta} \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (f) $(k_1^0 + k_1^1) \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$.

We also show that every connected component of the space of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces of genus g is homeomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{R}^{3g-3} by a discrete subgroup of automorphisms which depends on the component (see Theorem 4.3).

The paper is organised as follows:

In section 2 we recall the classification results from [NP15]. We assign to every m -spin bundle on a Klein surface (P, τ) a function on the set of simple contours in P with values in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, called m -Arf function. Moreover, we determine the conditions for an m -Arf function to correspond to an m -spin bundle on a Klein surface. We call such functions real m -Arf functions. Thus the problem of topological classification of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces is reduced to topological classification of real m -Arf functions.

We determine the topological invariants of real m -Arf functions in section 3. In section 4 we use these topological invariants to describe connected components of the space of m -spin bundles on Klein surfaces.

The second author is grateful to the Isaac Newton Institute in Cambridge, where part of this work was done, for its hospitality and support.

2. HIGHER SPIN STRUCTURES ON KLEIN SURFACES

2.1. Higher Spin Structures. A Riemann surface P of genus $g \geq 2$ can be described as a quotient $P = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ of the hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H} by the action of a Fuchsian group Γ .

Definition 2.1. Let P be a compact Riemann surface. A line bundle $e : L \rightarrow P$ is an m -spin bundle (of rank 1) if the m -fold tensor power $L \otimes \cdots \otimes L$ coincides with the cotangent bundle of P . (For $m = 2$ we obtain the classical notion of a spin bundle.)

Higher spin bundles on a Riemann surface P can be described by means of associated higher Arf functions, certain functions on the space of homotopy classes of simple contours on P with values in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ described by simple geometric properties.

Definition 2.2. Let Γ be a Fuchsian group that consists of hyperbolic elements. Let the corresponding Riemann surface $P = \mathbb{H}/\Gamma$ be a compact surface with finitely many holes. Let $p \in P$. Let $\pi_1(P) = \pi_1(P, p)$ be the fundamental group of P . We denote by $\pi_1^0(P)$ the set of all non-trivial elements of $\pi_1(P)$ that can be represented by simple contours. An m -Arf function is a function

$$\sigma : \pi_1^0(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$$

satisfying the following conditions

1. $\sigma(bab^{-1}) = \sigma(a)$ for any elements $a, b \in \pi_1^0(P)$,
2. $\sigma(a^{-1}) = -\sigma(a)$ for any element $a \in \pi_1^0(P)$,
3. $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a) + \sigma(b)$ for any elements a and b which can be represented by a pair of simple contours in P intersecting in exactly one point p with $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 0$,
4. $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a) + \sigma(b) - 1$ for any elements $a, b \in \pi_1^0(P)$ such that the element ab is in $\pi_1^0(P)$ and the elements a and b can be represented by a pair of simple contours in P intersecting in exactly one point p with $\langle a, b \rangle = 0$ and placed in a neighbourhood of the point p as shown in Figure 1.

Remark. In the case $m = 2$ there is a 1-1-correspondence between the 2-Arf functions in the sense of Definition 2.2 and Arf functions in the sense of [Nat04], Chapter 1, Section 7 and [Nat91]. Namely, a function $\sigma : \pi_1^0(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a 2-Arf function if and only if $\omega = 1 - \sigma$ is an Arf function in the sense of [Nat04].




Figure 1: $\sigma(ab) = \sigma(a) + \sigma(b) - 1$




Figure 2: Canonical system of curves

Higher Arf functions were introduced in [NP05, NP09], where the following result was shown:

Theorem 2.1. *There is a 1-1-correspondence between the m -spin structures and m -Arf functions on a given Riemann surface.*

We will denote an m -spin structure and its corresponding m -Arf function by the same letter.

We recall the topological invariants of m -Arf functions as described in [NP05, NP09].

Definition 2.3. A *canonical system of curves* on a compact Riemann surface P of genus g with n holes is a set of simply closed curves $\{\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_g, \tilde{b}_g, \tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n\}$ based at a point $p \in P$ with the following properties:

- 1) The contour \tilde{c}_i encloses a hole in P for $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- 2) Any two curves only intersect at the point p .
- 3) A neighbourhood of the point p with the curves is homeomorphic to the one shown in Figure 2.
- 4) The system of curves cuts the surface P into $n + 1$ connected components of which n are homeomorphic to a ring and one is homeomorphic to a disc and has boundary

$$\tilde{a}_1 \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{a}_1^{-1} \tilde{b}_1^{-1} \dots \tilde{a}_g \tilde{b}_g \tilde{a}_g^{-1} \tilde{b}_g^{-1} \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n.$$

If $\{\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_g, \tilde{b}_g, \tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n\}$ is a canonical system of curves, then we call the corresponding set $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ of elements in the fundamental group $\pi_1(P)$ a *standard generating set* or a *standard basis* of $\pi_1(P)$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\sigma : \pi_1^0(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ be an m -Arf function. For $g \geq 2$ and even m we define the *Arf invariant* $\delta = \delta(P, \sigma)$ as $\delta = 0$ if there is a standard generating set

$$\{a_i, b_i \ (i = 1, \dots, g), c_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$$

of the fundamental group $\pi_1(P)$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^g (1 - \sigma(a_i))(1 - \sigma(b_i)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

and as $\delta = 1$ otherwise. For $g \geq 2$ and odd m we set $\delta = 0$. For $g \geq 2$ we say that the m -Arf function is *even* if $\delta = 0$ and *odd* if $\delta = 1$. For $g = 1$ we define the *Arf invariant* $\delta = \delta(P, \sigma)$ as

$$\delta = \gcd(m, \sigma(a_1), \sigma(b_1), \sigma(c_1) + 1, \dots, \sigma(c_n) + 1),$$

where

$$\{a_1, b_1, c_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n)\}$$

is a standard generating set of the fundamental group $\pi_1(P)$.

Remark. The Arf invariant δ is a topological invariant of the Arf function σ , i.e. it does not change under self-homeomorphisms of the Riemann surface P .

The following are special cases of the earlier classification results in [NP09], compare with Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 in [NP15].

Theorem 2.2. *Let P be a hyperbolic Riemann surface of genus g with n holes. Let c_1, \dots, c_n be contours around the holes as in Definition 2.3. Let σ be an m -Arf function on P . Let δ be the m -Arf invariant of σ . Then*

- (a) *If $g \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then $\delta = 0$.*
- (b) *If $g \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\sigma(c_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for some i then $\delta = 0$.*
- (c) *If $g = 1$ then δ is a divisor of $\gcd(m, \sigma(c_1) + 1, \dots, \sigma(c_n) + 1)$.*
- (d) *$\sigma(c_1) + \dots + \sigma(c_n) \equiv (2 - 2g) - n \pmod{m}$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let P be a hyperbolic Riemann surface of genus g with n holes. Then for any standard generating set*

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

of $\pi_1(P)$ and any choice of values

$$\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_g, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$$

in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ with

$$\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n \equiv (2 - 2g) - n \pmod{m},$$

there exists an m -Arf function σ on P such that $\sigma(a_i) = \alpha_i$, $\sigma(b_i) = \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g$ and $\sigma(c_i) = \gamma_i$ if $i = 1, \dots, n$. The Arf invariant δ of this m -Arf function σ satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) *If $g \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then $\delta = 0$.*
- (b) *If $g \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\gamma_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for some i then $\delta = 0$.*
- (c) *If $g \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $\gamma_1 \equiv \dots \equiv \gamma_n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and*

$$\delta \equiv \sum_{i=1}^g (1 - \alpha_i)(1 - \beta_i) \pmod{2}.$$

- (d) *If $g = 1$ then $\delta = \gcd(m, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1 + 1, \dots, \gamma_n + 1)$.*

2.2. Klein Surfaces.

Definition 2.5. *Klein surface* (or *non-singular real algebraic curve*) is a topological surface with a maximal atlas whose transition maps are *dianalytic*, i.e. either holomorphic or anti-holomorphic. A *homomorphism* between Klein surfaces is a continuous mapping which is dianalytic in local charts.

For more information on Klein surfaces, see [AG, Nat90].

Let us consider pairs (P, τ) , where P is a compact Riemann surface and $\tau : P \rightarrow P$ is an anti-holomorphic involution on P . For each such pair (P, τ) the quotient $P/\langle\tau\rangle$ is a Klein surface. Each isomorphism class of Klein surfaces contains a surface of the form $P/\langle\tau\rangle$. Moreover, two such quotients $P_1/\langle\tau_1\rangle$ and $P_2/\langle\tau_2\rangle$ are isomorphic as Klein surfaces if and only if there exists a biholomorphic map $\psi : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ such that $\psi \circ \tau_1 = \tau_2 \circ \psi$, in which case we say that the pairs (P_1, τ_1) and (P_2, τ_2) are *isomorphic*. Hence from now on we will consider pairs (P, τ) up to isomorphism instead of Klein surfaces.

The category of such pairs (P, τ) is isomorphic to the category of real algebraic curves (see [AG]), where fixed points of τ (i.e. boundary points of the corresponding Klein surface) correspond to real points of the real algebraic curve.

For example a non-singular plane real algebraic curve given by the equation $F(x, y) = 0$ is the set of real points of such a pair (P, τ) , where P is the normalisation and compactification of the surface $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid F(x, y) = 0\}$ and τ is given by the complex conjugation, $\tau(x, y) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.

Definition 2.6. Given two Klein surfaces (P_1, τ_1) and (P_2, τ_2) , we say that they are *topologically equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ such that $\phi \circ \tau_1 = \tau_2 \circ \phi$.

Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface. We say that (P, τ) is *separating* or *of type I* if the set $P \setminus P^\tau$ is not connected, otherwise we say that it is *non-separating* or *of type II*. The *topological type* of (P, τ) is the triple (g, k, ε) , where g is the genus of the Riemann surface P , k is the number of connected components of the fixed point set P^τ of τ , $\varepsilon = 0$ if (P, τ) is non-separating and $\varepsilon = 1$ otherwise. In this paper we consider hyperbolic surfaces, hence $g \geq 2$.

The following result of Weichold [Wei] gives a classification of Klein surfaces up to topological equivalence:

Theorem 2.4. *Two Klein surfaces are topologically equivalent if and only if they are of the same topological type. A triple (g, k, ε) is a topological type of some Klein surface if and only if either $\varepsilon = 1$, $1 \leq k \leq g + 1$, $k \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}$ or $\varepsilon = 0$, $0 \leq k \leq g$.*

Remark. The inequality $k \leq g + 1$ for plane real algebraic curves is known as the *Harnack inequality* [Har].

To understand the structure of a Klein surface (P, τ) , we look at the contours which are invariant under the involution τ . There are two kinds of invariant contours, depending on whether the restriction of τ to the invariant contour is identity or a "half-turn".

Definition 2.7. Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface. The set of fixed points of the involution τ is called the *set of real points* of (P, τ) and denoted by P^τ . The set P^τ decomposes into pairwise disjoint simple closed smooth contours, called *ovals*.

Definition 2.8. A *twist* (or *twisted oval*) is a simple contour in P which is invariant under the involution τ but does not contain any fixed points of τ .

Remark. A twisted oval is not an oval, however the corresponding element of $H_1(P)$ is a fixed point of the induced involution and the corresponding element of $\pi_1(P)$ is preserved up to conjugation by the induced involution.

2.3. Symmetric Generating Sets. Any separating Klein surface can be obtained by gluing together a Riemann surface with boundary with its copy via the identity map along the boundary components. If we replace the identity map with a half-turn on some of the boundary components, we obtain a non-separating Klein surface. Moreover, all non-separating Klein surfaces are obtained in this way. More precise statement is given by the following description of generating sets of real Fuchsian groups from [Nat04, Nat75, Nat78]:

Theorem 2.5. *Recall that an orientation-preserving isometry of \mathbb{H} is hyperbolic if it has two fixed points, which lie on the boundary of \mathbb{H} . One of the fixed points of a hyperbolic element is attracting, the other fixed point is repelling. The axis of a hyperbolic element is the geodesic between its fixed points, oriented from the repelling fixed point to the attracting fixed point. For a hyperbolic isometry c , let \bar{c} be the reflection whose mirror coincides with the axis of c , let \sqrt{c} be the hyperbolic isometry such that $(\sqrt{c})^2 = c$ and let $\bar{c} = \bar{c}\sqrt{c}$.*

- 1) *Let $(g, k, 1)$ be a topological type of a Klein surface, i.e. $1 \leq k \leq g + 1$ and $k \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}$. Let $n = k$. Let $\tilde{g} = (g + 1 - n)/2$. Let*

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

be a generating set of a Fuchsian group of signature (\tilde{g}, k) , then

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_n, \bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_n)$$

is a generating set of a real Fuchsian group $\hat{\Gamma}$ of topological type $(g, k, 1)$. Any real Fuchsian group of topological type $(g, k, 1)$ is obtained in this way.

- 2) *Let $(g, k, 0)$ be a topological type of a Klein surface, i.e. $0 \leq k \leq g$. Let us choose $n \in \{k + 1, \dots, g + 1\}$ such that $n \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}$. Let $\tilde{g} = (g + 1 - n)/2$. Let*

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

be a generating set of a Fuchsian group of signature (\tilde{g}, n) , then

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_n, \bar{c}_1, \dots, \bar{c}_k, \tilde{c}_{k+1}, \dots, \tilde{c}_n)$$

is a generating set of a real Fuchsian group of topological type $(g, k, 0)$. Any real Fuchsian group of topological type $(g, k, 0)$ is obtained in this way.

- 3) *Let $\hat{\Gamma}$ be a real Fuchsian group as in part 1 or 2 and let (P, τ) be the corresponding Klein surface. We now think of the elements*

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

as contours in $\pi_1(P)$ rather than generators of $\hat{\Gamma}$. We have $P^\tau = c_1 \cup \dots \cup c_k$. The contours c_1, \dots, c_k correspond to ovals, the contours c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n correspond to twists. Let P_1 and P_2 be the connected components of the complement of the contours c_1, \dots, c_n in P . Each of these components is a surface of genus

$\tilde{g} = (g + 1 - n)/2$ with n holes. We have $\tau(P_1) = P_2$. We will refer to P_1 and P_2 as a decomposition of (P, τ) into two halves. (Note that such a decomposition is unique if (P, τ) is separating, but is not unique if (P, τ) is non-separating since the twists c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n can be chosen in different ways.) Then

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

is a generating set of $\pi_1(P_1)$, while its image under τ gives a generating set of $\pi_1(P_2)$. For two invariant contours c_i and c_j , we say that a contour of the form

$$r_i \cup (\tau\ell)^{-1} \cup r_j \cup \ell,$$

where ℓ is a simple path in P_1 starting on c_j and ending on c_i , r_i is the path along c_i from the end point of ℓ to the end point of $\tau(\ell)$ and r_j is the path along c_j from the starting point of $\tau(\ell)$ to the starting point of ℓ , is a bridge between c_i and c_j . (If c_i or c_j is an oval, the path r_i or r_j respectively consists of just one point.) Let d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} be contours which only intersect at the base point, such that d_i is a bridge between c_i and c_n . Let $a'_i = (\tau a_i)^{-1}$ and $b'_i = (\tau b_i)^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{g}$. Then

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_{\tilde{g}}, b'_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$$

is a generating set of $\pi_1(P)$. Note that $\tau(c_i) = c_i$ and $\tau(d_i) = c_i^{|c_i|} d_i^{-1} c_n^{|c_n|}$, where $|c_j| = 0$ if c_j is an oval and $|c_j| = 1$ if c_j is a twist. We will refer to such a generating set as a symmetric generating set of type (\tilde{g}, k, n) .

Remark. Note that a symmetric generating set is not a standard generating set in the sense of Definition 2.3, however it is free homotopic to a standard one, hence it can be used in the same way as a standard set for computations, for example of the Arf invariant.

2.4. Real Arf Functions. In this section we recall the results from [NP15] on the classification of those Arf functions that correspond to m -spin structures on a Klein surface that are invariant under the anti-holomorphic involution.

Definition 2.9. A real m -Arf function on a Klein surface (P, τ) is an m -Arf function on P such that

- (i) σ is compatible with τ , i.e. $\sigma(\tau c) = -\sigma(c)$ for any $c \in \pi_1^0(P)$.
- (ii) σ vanishes on all twists.

Theorem 2.6. Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface. An m -spin bundle on P is invariant under τ if and only if the corresponding m -Arf function is real. The mapping that assigns to an m -spin bundle on P the corresponding m -Arf function establishes a 1-1-correspondence between m -spin bundles invariant under τ and real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) .

Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) , $g \geq 2$. Let c_1, \dots, c_n be invariant contours and

$$\mathcal{B} = (a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_{\tilde{g}}, b'_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$$

a symmetric generating set of $\pi_1(P)$ as in Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\varepsilon = 0$ and let m be even. Recall that in this case $n > k$, the contours c_1, \dots, c_k correspond to ovals, the contours c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n correspond to twists.

1) Let σ be a real m -Arf function on (P, τ) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a_i) &= \sigma(a'_i) \text{ and } \sigma(b_i) = \sigma(b'_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \tilde{g}, \\ \sigma(c_1), \dots, \sigma(c_k) &\in \{0, m/2\}, \quad \sigma(c_{k+1}) = \dots = \sigma(c_n) = 0, \\ \sigma(c_1) + \dots + \sigma(c_k) &\equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}, \\ g &\equiv 1 \pmod{m/2}. \end{aligned}$$

2) Let the set of values \mathcal{V} in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{4\tilde{g}+2n-2}$ be

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\tilde{g}}, \beta_{\tilde{g}}, \alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{\tilde{g}}, \beta'_{\tilde{g}}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}).$$

Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \alpha'_i \text{ and } \beta_i = \beta'_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \tilde{g}, \\ \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k &\in \{0, m/2\}, \quad \gamma_{k+1} = \dots = \gamma_{n-1} = 0, \\ \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_k &\equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) with values \mathcal{V} on the generating set \mathcal{B} . For this m -Arf function we have $\sigma(c_n) = 0$.

3) The number of real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) is m^g for $k = 0$ and $m^g \cdot 2^{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$.

4) The Arf invariant $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ of a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) is given by

$$\delta \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \sigma(c_i))(1 - \sigma(d_i)) \pmod{2}.$$

5) Consider $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$ as above. Let

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \gamma_i)(1 - \delta_i).$$

Out of m^{n-1} possible choices for $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ there are $m^{n-1}/2$ which give $\Sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $m^{n-1}/2$ which give $\Sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

6) The number of even and odd real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) respectively is equal to $m^g/2$ for $k = 0$ and $m^g \cdot 2^{k-2}$ for $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $\varepsilon = 1$ and let m be even. Recall that in this case $n = k$ and the contours c_1, \dots, c_k correspond to ovals.

1) Let σ be a real m -Arf function on (P, τ) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a_i) &= \sigma(a'_i) \text{ and } \sigma(b_i) = \sigma(b'_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \tilde{g}, \\ \sigma(c_1), \dots, \sigma(c_k) &\in \{0, m/2\}, \\ \sigma(c_1) + \dots + \sigma(c_k) &\equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}, \\ g &\equiv 1 \pmod{m/2}. \end{aligned}$$

2) Assume that $g \equiv 1 \pmod{m/2}$. Let the set of values \mathcal{V} in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{4\tilde{g}+2k-2}$ be

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\tilde{g}}, \beta_{\tilde{g}}, \alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{\tilde{g}}, \beta'_{\tilde{g}}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}).$$

Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \alpha'_i \text{ and } \beta_i = \beta'_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, \tilde{g}, \\ \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1} &\in \{0, m/2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) with

$$\sigma(a_i) = \alpha_1, \sigma(b_i) = \beta_i, \sigma(a'_i) = \alpha'_i, \sigma(b'_i) = \beta'_i, \sigma(c_i) = \gamma_i, \sigma(d_i) = \delta_i.$$

For this m -Arf function we have $\sigma(c_k) \equiv (1 - g) - \gamma_1 - \cdots - \gamma_{k-1} \pmod{m}$.

- 3) The number of real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) is $m^g \cdot 2^{k-1}$.
 4) The Arf invariant $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ of a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) is given by

$$\delta \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \sigma(c_i))(1 - \sigma(d_i)) \pmod{2}.$$

- 5) Consider $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{k-1}$ as above. Let

$$\Sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \gamma_i)(1 - \delta_i).$$

In the case $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_{k-1} = m/2$, any choice of $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{k-1}$ gives $\Sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. In all other cases, out of m^{k-1} possible choices for $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{k-1}$ there are $m^{k-1}/2$ which give $\Sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $m^{k-1}/2$ which give $\Sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

- 6) In the case $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ the number of even and odd real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) respectively is

$$m^g \cdot 2^{k-2}.$$

In the case $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ the numbers of even and odd real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) respectively are

$$m^g \cdot \frac{2^{k-1} + 1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad m^g \cdot \frac{2^{k-1} - 1}{2}.$$

Theorem 2.9. Let m be odd.

- 1) Let σ be a real m -Arf function on (P, τ) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a_i) &= \sigma(a'_i) \text{ and } \sigma(b_i) = \sigma(b'_i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \tilde{g}, \\ \sigma(c_1) &= \cdots = \sigma(c_n) = 0, \\ g &\equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

- 2) Assume that $g \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Let the set of values \mathcal{V} in $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^{4\tilde{g}+2n-2}$ be

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\tilde{g}}, \beta_{\tilde{g}}, \alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{\tilde{g}}, \beta'_{\tilde{g}}, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}).$$

Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= \alpha'_i \text{ and } \beta_i = \beta'_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \tilde{g}, \\ \gamma_1 &= \cdots = \gamma_{n-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) with

$$\sigma(a_i) = \alpha_1, \sigma(b_i) = \beta_i, \sigma(a'_i) = \alpha'_i, \sigma(b'_i) = \beta'_i, \sigma(c_i) = \gamma_i, \sigma(d_i) = \delta_i.$$

For this Arf function we have $\sigma(c_n) = 0$.

- 3) The number of real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) is m^g .

3. TOPOLOGICAL TYPES OF ARF FUNCTIONS ON KLEIN SURFACES

3.1. Topological Invariants.

Definition 3.1. Let (P, τ) be a non-separating Klein surface of type $(g, k, 0)$. Let m be even. The *topological type* of a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) is a tuple (g, δ, k_0, k_1) , where g is the genus of P , δ is the m -Arf invariant of σ and k_j is the number of ovals of (P, τ) with value of σ equal to $j \cdot m/2$.

Real m -Arf functions with even m on separating Klein surfaces have additional topological invariants:

Definition 3.2. Let (P, τ) be a separating Klein surface of type $(g, k, 1)$. Let P_1 and P_2 be the connected components of $P \setminus P^\tau$. Let m be even. Let σ be an m -Arf function on (P, τ) . We say that two ovals c_1 and c_2 are *similar* with respect to σ , $c_1 \sim c_2$, if $\sigma(\ell \cup (\tau\ell)^{-1})$ is odd, where ℓ is a simple path in P_1 connecting c_1 and c_2 .

From Definition 2.2 it is clear that if $\sigma : \pi_1^0(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is a real m -Arf function on (P, τ) and m is even, then $(\sigma \bmod 2) : \pi_1^0(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a real 2-Arf function on (P, τ) . Note that two ovals are similar with respect to the m -Arf function σ if and only if they are similar with respect to the 2-Arf function $(\sigma \bmod 2)$, hence we obtain using [Nat04], Theorem 3.3:

Proposition 3.1. *Similarity of ovals is well-defined. Similarity is an equivalence relation on the set of all ovals with at most two equivalence classes.*

Definition 3.3. Let (P, τ) be a separating Klein surface of type $(g, k, 1)$. Let P_1 and P_2 be the connected components of $P \setminus P^\tau$. Let m be even. Let us choose one similarity class of ovals. The *topological type* of a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) is a tuple

$$(g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1),$$

where g is the genus of P , $\tilde{\delta}$ is the m -Arf invariant of $\sigma|_{P_1}$, k_j^0 is the number of ovals in the chosen similarity class with value of σ equal to $j \cdot m/2$ and $k_j^1 = k_j - k_j^0$ is the number of ovals in the other similarity class with value of σ equal to $j \cdot m/2$. (The invariants k_j^i are defined up to the swap $k_j^i \leftrightarrow k_j^{1-i}$.)

Definition 3.4. Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) . Let m be odd. The *topological type* of a real m -Arf function σ on (P, τ) is a tuple (g, k) , where g is the genus of P and k is the number of ovals of (P, τ) .

Proposition 3.2. *If there exists a real m -Arf function of topological type t on a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) , $g \geq 2$, then t satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1) Case $\varepsilon = 0$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \delta, k_0, k_1)$: $k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$.
- 2) Case $\varepsilon = 1$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$: Let $k_j = k_j^0 + k_j^1$, $j = 0, 1$.
 - (a) If $g > k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.
 - (b) If $g > k + 1$ and $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.
 - (c) If $g = k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 1$.
 - (d) If $g = k + 1$ and $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 1$.
 - (e) If $g = k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $k_0 = 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} \in \{1, 2\}$.
 - (f) $k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$.
- 3) Case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, k)$: $g \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Proof. Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) , $g \geq 2$. Let σ be a real m -Arf function of topological type t on (P, τ) . Let c_1, \dots, c_k be the ovals of (P, τ) .

- 1) Case $\varepsilon = 0$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \delta, k_0, k_1)$: By definition of k_j , the tuple $(\sigma(c_1), \dots, \sigma(c_k))$ is a permutation of zero repeated k_0 times and $m/2$ repeated k_1 times, hence

$$\sigma(c_1) + \dots + \sigma(c_k) \equiv k_1 \cdot m/2 \pmod{m}.$$

On the other hand, according to Theorem 2.7,

$$\sigma(c_1) + \dots + \sigma(c_k) \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}.$$

Hence

$$k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}.$$

- 2) Case $\varepsilon = 1$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$: Let P_1 and P_2 be the connected components of $P \setminus P^\tau$. Each of these components is a surface of genus $\tilde{g} = (g + 1 - k)/2$ with k holes. If σ is a real m -Arf function of topological type $(g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$ on (P, τ) , then $\sigma|_{P_1}$ is an m -Arf function on a surface of genus \tilde{g} with k holes with values on the holes equal to zero repeated k_0 times and $m/2$ repeated k_1 times. Theorem 2.2 implies that

- If $\tilde{g} > 1$ and $\sigma(c_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ for some i then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$: Note that $\tilde{g} > 1$ if and only if $g > k + 1$. If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then all $\sigma(c_i)$ are even since both 0 and $m/2$ are even, therefore $\tilde{\delta} = 0$. If $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\sigma(c_i) = 0$ for some i , hence $\sigma(c_i)$ is even for some i , therefore $\tilde{\delta} = 0$. However, if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $k_0 = 0$ then all $\sigma(c_i) = m/2$ are odd, hence no conclusion can be made about $\tilde{\delta}$. Thus we can rewrite the condition as follows: If $g > k + 1$ and $(m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k_0 \neq 0$) then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.
- If $\tilde{g} = 1$ then $\tilde{\delta}$ is a divisor of $\gcd(m, \sigma(c_1) + 1, \dots, \sigma(c_k) + 1)$: Note that $\tilde{g} = 1$ if and only if $g = k + 1$. If $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\sigma(c_i) = 0$ for some i , hence $\tilde{\delta}$ is a divisor of $\gcd(m, 1, \dots)$, therefore $\tilde{\delta} = 1$. If $k_0 = 0$ then $\sigma(c_i) = m/2$ for all i , hence $\tilde{\delta}$ is a divisor of $\gcd(m, \frac{m}{2} + 1)$. For $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we have $\gcd(m, \frac{m}{2} + 1) = 1$, hence $\tilde{\delta} = 1$. For $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we have $\gcd(m, \frac{m}{2} + 1) = 2$, hence $\tilde{\delta} \in \{1, 2\}$. Therefore we can rewrite the condition as follows: If $g = k + 1$ and $(m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k_0 \neq 0$) then $\tilde{\delta} = 1$. If $g = k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $k_0 = 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} \in \{1, 2\}$.
- $\sigma(c_1) + \dots + \sigma(c_k) \equiv (2 - 2\tilde{g}) - k \pmod{m}$: Note that $\sigma(c_1) + \dots + \sigma(c_k) = k_1 \cdot m/2$ and $(2 - 2\tilde{g}) - k = 1 - g$. Hence we can rewrite the condition as follows: $k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$. (This condition also follows from Theorem 2.8.)

- 3) Case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, k)$: Theorem 2.9 implies $g \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

□

Proposition 3.3. *Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of type $(g, k, 1)$, $g \geq 2$, and let m be even. Let σ be an m -Arf function of type $(g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$ on (P, τ) . Then the Arf invariant $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ of σ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\equiv k_0^0 \equiv k_0^1 \pmod{2} && \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \delta &\equiv k_0^0 + k_1^0 \equiv k_0^1 + k_1^1 \pmod{2} && \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let σ be an m -Arf function of type $(g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$ on (P, τ) . Let c_1, \dots, c_k be the ovals and

$$\mathcal{B} = (a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_{\tilde{g}}, b'_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{k-1})$$

be a symmetric generating set of $\pi_1(P)$. Let $\gamma_i = \sigma(c_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\delta_i = \sigma(d_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$. We can assume without loss of generality that the oval c_k is in the chosen similarity class (see Definition 3.2). Let $\delta_k = 1$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1\}$ let A_α^β be the subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ given by

$$A_\alpha^\beta = \{i \mid \gamma_i = \alpha \cdot m/2, \delta_i \equiv 1 - \beta \pmod{2}\}.$$

Then $k \in A_0^0 \cup A_1^0$. Note that $|A_\alpha^\beta| = k_\alpha^\beta$. According to Theorem 2.8, the Arf invariant δ of σ is given by

$$\delta \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \gamma_i)(1 - \delta_i) \pmod{2}.$$

If $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \gamma_i)(1 - \delta_i) \equiv |A_0^1 \cap \{1, \dots, k-1\}| \equiv |A_0^1| \equiv k_0^1 \pmod{2}.$$

In this case $m/2$ is odd, hence condition $k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$ can be reduced modulo 2 to $k_1 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{2}$. On the other hand Theorem 2.4 implies that $k \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence

$$k_0 = k - k_1 \equiv (g + 1) - (1 - g) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

i.e.

$$k_0^1 = k_0 - k_0^0 \equiv k_0^0 \pmod{2}.$$

If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1 - \gamma_i)(1 - \delta_i) \equiv |(A_0^1 \cup A_1^1) \cap \{1, \dots, k-1\}| \equiv |A_0^1 \cup A_1^1| \equiv k_0^1 + k_1^1 \pmod{2}.$$

In this case $m/2$ is even, hence condition $k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$ can be reduced modulo 2 to $0 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{2}$. On the other hand Theorem 2.4 implies that $k \equiv g + 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence k is even, i.e.

$$k_0^1 + k_1^1 = k - (k_0^0 + k_1^0) \equiv k_0^0 + k_1^0 \pmod{2}.$$

□

3.2. Canonical Symmetric Generating Sets.

Definition 3.5. Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) , $g \geq 2$. Let

$$(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_{\tilde{g}}, b'_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$$

be a symmetric generating set of $\pi_1(P)$. Let σ be a real m -Arf function σ of topological type t on (P, τ) . Let

$$\alpha_i = \sigma(a_i), \beta_i = \sigma(b_i), \alpha'_i = \sigma(a'_i), \beta'_i = \sigma(b'_i), \gamma_i = \sigma(c_i), \delta_i = \sigma(d_i).$$

The symmetric generating set \mathcal{B} of $\pi_1(P)$ is *canonical* for the m -Arf function σ if

- Case $\varepsilon = 0$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \delta, k_0, k_1)$:

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\tilde{g}}, \beta_{\tilde{g}}) = (\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{\tilde{g}}, \beta'_{\tilde{g}}) = (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ if } \tilde{g} \geq 2,$$

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (\alpha'_1, \beta'_1) = (1, 0) \text{ if } \tilde{g} = 1,$$

$$\gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_{k_0} = 0, \quad \gamma_{k_0+1} = \dots = \gamma_k = m/2, \quad \gamma_{k+1} = \dots = \gamma_{n-1} = 0,$$

$$\delta_1 = \dots = \delta_{n-1} = 1 - \delta.$$
- Case $\varepsilon = 1$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$:

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\tilde{g}}, \beta_{\tilde{g}}) = (\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{\tilde{g}}, \beta'_{\tilde{g}}) = (0, 1 - \tilde{\delta}, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ if } \tilde{g} \geq 2;$$

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (\alpha'_1, \beta'_1) = (\tilde{\delta}, 0) \text{ if } \tilde{g} = 1;$$

$$\gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_{k_0} = 0, \quad \gamma_{k_0+1} = \dots = \gamma_{k-1} = m/2;$$

The oval c_k is in the chosen similarity class;

$$\delta_1 = \dots = \delta_{k_0^1} = 0, \quad \delta_{k_0^1+1} = \dots = \delta_{k_0} = 1,$$

$$\delta_{k_0+1} = \dots = \delta_{k_0+k_1^1} = 0, \quad \delta_{k_0+k_1^1+1} = \dots = \delta_{k-1} = 1 \text{ if } k_1 \geq 1;$$

$$\delta_1 = \dots = \delta_{k_0^1} = 0, \quad \delta_{k_0^1+1} = \dots = \delta_{k-1} = 1 \text{ if } k_1 = 0.$$
- Case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, k)$:

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\tilde{g}}, \beta_{\tilde{g}}) = (\alpha'_1, \beta'_1, \dots, \alpha'_{\tilde{g}}, \beta'_{\tilde{g}}) = (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \text{ if } \tilde{g} \geq 2,$$

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (\alpha'_1, \beta'_1) = (1, 0) \text{ if } \tilde{g} = 1,$$

$$\gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_{n-1} = 0,$$

$$\delta_1 = \dots = \delta_{n-1} = 0.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) , $g \geq 2$. Let the geometric genus of (P, τ) be positive, i.e. $k \leq g - 1$ if $\varepsilon = 1$ and $k \leq g - 2$ if $\varepsilon = 0$. In the case $\varepsilon = 1$ let $n = k$. In the case $\varepsilon = 0$ we choose $n \in \{k + 1, \dots, g - 1\}$ such that $n \equiv g - 1 \pmod{2}$. (The assumption that the geometric genus is positive implies $k + 1 \leq g - 1$, hence $\{k + 1, \dots, g - 1\} \neq \emptyset$.) Let c_1, \dots, c_n be invariant contours as in Theorem 2.5, then bridges d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} as in Theorem 2.5 can be chosen in such a way that*

- (i) *If m is odd, then $\sigma(d_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*
- (ii) *If m is even and (P, τ) is separating, then $\sigma(d_i) \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*
- (iii) *If m is even and (P, τ) is non-separating, then $\sigma(d_1) = \dots = \sigma(d_{n-1}) \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Proof. Let P_1 and P_2 be the connected components of the complement of the contours c_1, \dots, c_n in P . Each of these components is a surface of genus $\tilde{g} = (g+1-n)/2$ with n holes. The assumption $n \leq g - 1$ implies $\tilde{g} \geq 1$.

- Consider the real 2-Arf function $(\sigma \pmod{2}) : \pi_1^0(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If m is even and (P, τ) is non-separating, then, according to Lemma 11.2 in [Nat04], we can choose the bridges d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} in such a way that

$$(\sigma \pmod{2})(d_1) = \dots = (\sigma \pmod{2})(d_{n-1}).$$

This means for the original m -Arf function σ that

$$\sigma(d_1) \equiv \dots \equiv \sigma(d_{n-1}) \pmod{2}.$$

- Let Q_1 be the compact surface of genus \tilde{g} with one hole obtained from P_1 after removing all bridges d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} . Let $\tilde{\delta}$ be the Arf invariant of $\sigma|_{Q_1}$. In the case $\tilde{g} \geq 2$, Lemma 5.1 in [NP09] implies that we can choose a standard generating

set $(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, \tilde{c})$ of $\pi_1(Q_1)$ in such a way that $\sigma(a_1) = 0$. In the case $\tilde{g} = 1$, Lemma 5.2 in [NP09] implies that we can choose a standard generating set (a_1, b_1, \tilde{c}) of $\pi_1(Q_1)$ in such a way that $\sigma(b_1) = 0$. Thus for $\tilde{g} \geq 1$ there always exists a non-trivial contour a in P_1 with $\sigma(a) = 0$, which does not intersect any of the bridges d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} . If we replace d_i by $(\tau a)^{-1}d_i a$, then

$$\sigma((\tau a)^{-1}d_i a) = \sigma((\tau a)^{-1}) + \sigma(d_i) + \sigma(a) - 2.$$

Taking into account the fact that $\sigma(a) = 0$ we obtain

$$\sigma((\tau a)^{-1}d_i a) = \sigma(d_i) - 2.$$

Repeating this operation we can obtain $\sigma(d_i) = 0$ for odd m and $\sigma(d_i) \in \{0, 1\}$ for even m .

- Note that the property $\sigma(d_1) \equiv \dots \equiv \sigma(d_{n-1}) \pmod{2}$ (if m is even and (P, τ) is non-separating) is preserved during this process, hence $\sigma(d_1) = \dots = \sigma(d_{n-1})$ at the end of the process.

□

Proposition 3.5. *Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of positive geometric genus. For any real m -Arf function on (P, τ) there exists a canonical symmetric generating set of $\pi_1(P)$.*

Proof. Let (g, k, ε) be the topological type of the Klein surface (P, τ) . Let σ be a real m -Arf function on (P, τ) . Let c_1, \dots, c_n be invariant contours as in Theorem 2.5.

- If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ then $\sigma(c_{k+1}) = \dots = \sigma(c_n) = 0$.
- If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ then $\sigma(c_1), \dots, \sigma(c_k) \in \{0, m/2\}$. We can reorder the ovals c_1, \dots, c_k in such a way that

$$\sigma(c_1) = \dots = \sigma(c_{k_0}) = 0, \quad \sigma(c_{k_0+1}) = \dots = \sigma(c_k) = m/2,$$

where k_0 is the numbers of ovals of (P, τ) with the value of σ equal to 0.

- If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ then $\sigma(c_1) = \dots = \sigma(c_n) = 0$.
- We can choose bridges d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} with values $\sigma(d_i)$ as described in Lemma 3.4 since the assumptions of the Lemma are satisfied.
- If $\varepsilon = 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, we can change the order of c_1, \dots, c_{k_0} and c_{k_0+1}, \dots, c_k to obtain the required values $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{k-1}$.
- If $\varepsilon = 0$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, there exists $\xi \in \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\sigma(d_1) = \dots = \sigma(d_{n-1}) = \xi.$$

According to Theorem 2.3 the Arf invariant of σ is

$$\delta \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \sigma(c_i))(1 - \sigma(d_i)) \pmod{2}.$$

Using $\sigma(d_i) = \xi$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \sigma(c_i))(1 - \sigma(d_i)) \\ &\equiv (1 - \xi) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \sigma(c_i)) \\ &\equiv (1 - \xi) \cdot \left((n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma(c_i) \right) \\ &\equiv (1 - \xi) \cdot \left((n-1) - k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \right) \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$ by Proposition 3.2 and $n \equiv g - 1 \pmod{2}$, hence

$$(n-1) - k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \equiv (g-2) - (1-g) \equiv 2g-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

and

$$\delta \equiv (1 - \xi) \cdot \left((n-1) - k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \right) \equiv 1 - \xi \pmod{2}.$$

Therefore

$$\sigma(d_1) = \cdots = \sigma(d_{n-1}) = \xi = 1 - \delta.$$

- For $\tilde{g} \geq 2$, Lemma 5.1 in [NP09] implies that we can choose a standard generating set $(a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ of $\pi_1(P_1)$ in such a way that

$$(\sigma(a_1), \sigma(b_1), \dots, \sigma(a_{\tilde{g}}), \sigma(b_{\tilde{g}})) = (0, 1 - \tilde{\delta}, 1, \dots, 1),$$

where $\tilde{\delta}$ is the Arf invariant of $\sigma|_{P_1}$. Moreover, if m is odd then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$. If m is even and $\varepsilon = 0$ then there are contours around holes in P_1 such that the values of σ on these contours are even, namely $\sigma(c_{k+1}) = \cdots = \sigma(c_n) = 0$, hence $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.

- If $\tilde{g} = 1$, Lemma 5.2 in [NP09] implies that we can choose a standard generating set $(a_1, b_1, c_1, \dots, c_n)$ of $\pi_1(P_1)$ in such a way that

$$(\sigma(a_1), \sigma(b_1)) = (\tilde{\delta}, 0),$$

where $\tilde{\delta} = \gcd(m, \sigma(a_1), \sigma(b_1), \sigma(c_1)+1, \dots, \sigma(c_n)+1)$ is the Arf invariant of $\sigma|_{P_1}$. If m is odd then $\sigma(c_1) = \cdots = \sigma(c_n) = 0$, hence $\tilde{\delta} = 1$. If $\varepsilon = 0$ then $\sigma(c_{k+1}) = \cdots = \sigma(c_n) = 0$, hence $\tilde{\delta} = 1$. □

Proposition 3.6. *For any Klein surface (P, τ) and any symmetric generating set \mathcal{B} of $\pi_1(P)$ and any tuple t that satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2 there exists a real m -Arf function of topological type t on (P, τ) for which \mathcal{B} is canonical.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} = (\alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i)$ satisfy the conditions in Definition 3.5.

- Case $\varepsilon = 0$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \delta, k_0, k_1)$: We have $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_{k_0} = 0$, $\gamma_{k_0+1} = \cdots = \gamma_{k_0+k_1} = m/2$, hence

$$\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_k = k_1 \cdot m/2.$$

The tuple t satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2, hence

$$k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}.$$

Therefore

$$\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_k \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}.$$

Other conditions of Proposition 2.7 are clearly satisfied. Hence there exists a real m -Arf function σ on P with the values \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{B} . Let δ' be the Arf invariant of σ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta' &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \gamma_i)(1 - \delta_i) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \gamma_i)(1 - (1 - \delta)) \\ &\equiv \delta \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \gamma_i) \equiv \delta \cdot \left((n-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \gamma_i \right) \equiv \delta \cdot \left((n-1) - k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \right) \pmod{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $k_1 \cdot m/2 \equiv 1 - g \pmod{m}$ and $n \equiv g - 1 \pmod{2}$, hence

$$(n-1) - k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \equiv (g-2) - (1-g) \equiv 2g-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

and

$$\delta' \equiv \delta \cdot \left((n-1) - k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \right) \equiv \delta \pmod{2}.$$

Hence σ is a real m -Arf function on P of type t and \mathcal{B} is canonical for σ .

- Case $\varepsilon = 1$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$: The tuple t satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2, hence

$$1 - g \equiv k_1 \cdot \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m}$$

and therefore

$$1 - g \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{m}{2}}.$$

Other conditions of Proposition 2.8 are clearly satisfied. Hence there exists a real m -Arf function σ on P with the values \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{B} . Let $\tilde{\delta}'$ be the Arf invariant of $\sigma|_{P_1}$. The m -Arf function σ is real, hence according to Proposition 3.2, we have

- If $g > k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta}' = 0$.
- If $g > k + 1$ and $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta}' = 0$.
- If $g = k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta}' = 1$.
- If $g = k + 1$ and $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta}' = 1$.
- If $g = k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $k_0 = 0$ then $\tilde{\delta}' \in \{1, 2\}$.

On the other hand $t = (g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2, hence

- If $g > k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.
- If $g > k + 1$ and $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 0$.
- If $g = k + 1$ and $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 1$.
- If $g = k + 1$ and $k_0 \neq 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} = 1$.
- If $g = k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $k_0 = 0$ then $\tilde{\delta} \in \{1, 2\}$.

Hence if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k_0 \neq 0$ we have $\tilde{\delta}' = \tilde{\delta}$. It remains to consider the case $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_0 = 0$. In the case $g > k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_0 = 0$, we have $\tilde{g} \geq 2$ and the values of the Arf function $\sigma|_{P_1}$ on the boundary contours $\sigma(c_i)$ are all equal to $m/2$ and hence odd. Then, according to Theorem 2.3, the Arf invariant $\tilde{\delta}'$ is given by

$$\tilde{\delta}' \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{g}} (1 - \alpha_i)(1 - \beta_i) \pmod{2}.$$

We have $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{\tilde{g}}, \beta_{\tilde{g}}) = (0, 1 - \tilde{\delta}, 1, \dots, 1)$, hence

$$\tilde{\delta}' \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{g}} (1 - \alpha_i)(1 - \beta_i) \equiv 1 \cdot \tilde{\delta} + 0 + \dots + 0 \equiv \tilde{\delta} \pmod{2}$$

and therefore $\tilde{\delta}' = \tilde{\delta}$. In the case $g = k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_0 = 0$, we have $\tilde{g} = 1$ and the values of the Arf function $\sigma|_{P_1}$ on the boundary contours $\sigma(c_i)$ are all equal to $m/2$. Then, according to Theorem 2.3, the Arf invariant $\tilde{\delta}' \in \{1, 2\}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\delta}' = \gcd\left(m, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \frac{m}{2} + 1\right).$$

We have $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (\tilde{\delta}, 0)$, hence $\gcd(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = \tilde{\delta} \in \{1, 2\}$. For $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we have $\gcd(m, \frac{m}{2} + 1) = 2$. Therefore

$$\tilde{\delta}' = \gcd\left(m, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \frac{m}{2} + 1\right) = \gcd(\tilde{\delta}, 2) = \tilde{\delta}.$$

Hence σ is a real m -Arf function on P of type t and \mathcal{B} is canonical for σ .

- Case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, k)$: The tuple t satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2, hence $g \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Other conditions of Proposition 2.9 are clearly satisfied. Hence there exists a real m -Arf function σ on P with the values \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{B} . The topological type of σ is t and \mathcal{B} is canonical for σ .

□

Proposition 3.7. *The conditions in Proposition 3.2 are necessary and sufficient for a tuple to be a topological type of a real m -Arf function.*

Proof. Proposition 3.2 shows that the conditions are necessary. Proposition 3.6 shows that the conditions are sufficient as we constructed an m -Arf function of type t for any tuple t that satisfies the conditions. □

Definition 3.6. m -Arf functions σ_1 and σ_2 on a Klein surface (P, τ) are *topologically equivalent* if there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : P \rightarrow P$ such that $\varphi \circ \tau = \tau \circ \varphi$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ \varphi_*$ for the induced automorphism φ_* of $\pi_1(P)$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let (P, τ) be a Klein surface of positive geometric genus. Two m -Arf functions on (P, τ) are topologically equivalent if and only if they have the same topological type.*

Proof. Let (g, k, ε) be the topological type of the Klein surface (P, τ) . Proposition 3.5 shows that for any real m -Arf function σ of topological type t we can choose a symmetric generating set \mathcal{B} (the canonical generating set for σ) with the values of σ on \mathcal{B} determined completely by t . Hence any two real m -Arf functions of topological type t are topologically equivalent. □

4. MODULI SPACES

4.1. Moduli Spaces of Klein Surfaces. We will use the results on the moduli spaces of real Fuchsian groups and of Klein surfaces described in [Nat75, Nat78]: We consider hyperbolic Klein surfaces, i.e. we assume that the genus is $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$ be the moduli space of Klein surfaces of topological type (g, k, ε) . Let $\Gamma_{g,n}$ be the group generated by the elements

$$v = \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g, c_1, \dots, c_n\}$$

with a single defining relation

$$\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] \prod_{i=1}^n c_i = 1.$$

Let $\text{Aut}_+(\mathbb{H})$ be the group of all orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{H} . The Fricke space $\tilde{T}_{g,n}$ is the set of all monomorphisms $\psi : \Gamma_{g,n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_+(\mathbb{H})$ such that

$$\{\psi(a_1), \psi(b_1), \dots, \psi(a_g), \psi(b_g), \psi(c_1), \dots, \psi(c_n)\}$$

is a generating set of a Fuchsian group of signature (g, n) . The Fricke space $\tilde{T}_{g,n}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{6g-3+3n}$. The group $\text{Aut}_+(\mathbb{H})$ acts on $\tilde{T}_{g,n}$ by conjugation. The Teichmüller space is $T_{g,n} = \tilde{T}_{g,n} / \text{Aut}_+(\mathbb{H})$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (g, k, ε) be a topological type of a Klein surface. In the case $\varepsilon = 1$ let $n = k$. In the case $\varepsilon = 0$ we choose $n \in \{k+1, \dots, g+1\}$ such that $n \equiv g+1 \pmod{2}$. Let $\tilde{g} = (g+1-n)/2$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$ of Klein surfaces of topological type (g, k, ε) is the quotient of the Teichmüller space $T_{\tilde{g},n}$ by a discrete group of autohomeomorphisms $\text{Mod}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$. The space $T_{\tilde{g},n}$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{3g-3} .*

Theorem 4.2. *The moduli space of Klein surfaces of genus g decomposes into connected components $\mathcal{M}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$. Each connected component is homeomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{R}^{3g-3} by a discrete group action.*

4.2. Moduli Spaces of Higher Spin Bundles on Klein Surfaces.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (g, k, ε) be a topological type of a Klein surface. Assume that the geometric genus of such Klein surfaces is positive, i.e. $k \leq g-2$ if $\varepsilon = 0$ and $k \leq g-1$ if $\varepsilon = 1$. Let t be a tuple that satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2. The space $S(t)$ of all m -spin bundles of type t on a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) is connected and diffeomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{R}^{3g-3} / \text{Mod}_t,$$

where Mod_t is a discrete group of diffeomorphisms.

Proof. In the case $\varepsilon = 1$ let $n = k$. In the case $\varepsilon = 0$ we choose $n \in \{k+1, \dots, g-1\}$ such that $n \equiv g-1 \pmod{2}$. Let $\tilde{g} = (g+1-n)/2$. By definition, to any $\psi \in \tilde{T}_{\tilde{g},n}$ corresponds a generating set

$$V = \{\psi(a_1), \psi(b_1), \dots, \psi(a_{\tilde{g}}), \psi(b_{\tilde{g}}), \psi(c_1), \dots, \psi(c_n)\}$$

of a Fuchsian group of signature (\tilde{g}, n) . The generating set V together with

$$\{\overline{\psi(c_1)}, \dots, \overline{\psi(c_k)}, \widetilde{\psi(c_{k+1})}, \dots, \widetilde{\psi(c_n)}\}$$

generates a real Fuchsian group Γ_ψ . On the Klein surface $(P, \tau) = [\Gamma_\psi]$, we consider the corresponding symmetric generating set

$$\mathcal{B}_\psi = (a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\tilde{g}}, b_{\tilde{g}}, a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_{\tilde{g}}, b'_{\tilde{g}}, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}).$$

Proposition 3.6 implies that there exists a real m -Arf function $\sigma = \sigma_\psi$ of type t for which \mathcal{B}_ψ is canonical. According to Theorem 2.6, an m -spin bundle $\Omega(\psi) \in S(t)$ is associated with this Arf function. The correspondence $\psi \mapsto \Omega(\psi)$ induces a map $\Omega : T_{\tilde{g},n} \rightarrow S(t)$. Let us prove that $\Omega(T_{\tilde{g},n}) = S(t)$. Indeed, by Theorem 4.1, the map

$$\Psi = \Phi \circ \Omega : T_{\tilde{g},n} \rightarrow S(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,k,\varepsilon},$$

where Φ is the natural projection, satisfies the condition

$$\Psi(T_{\bar{g},n}) = \mathcal{M}_{g,k,\varepsilon}.$$

The fibre of the map Ψ is represented by the group $\text{Mod}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$ of all self-homeomorphisms of the Klein surface (P, τ) . By Proposition 3.8, this group acts transitively on the set of all real Arf functions of type t and hence, by Theorem 2.6, transitively on the fibres $\Phi^{-1}((P, \tau))$. Thus

$$\Omega(T_{\bar{g},n}) = S(t) = T_{\bar{g},n}/\text{Mod}_t, \quad \text{where } \text{Mod}_t \subset \text{Mod}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$$

According to Theorem 4.1, the space $T_{\bar{g},n}$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{3g-3} . \square

4.3. Branching Indices of Moduli Spaces.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (g, k, ε) be a topological type of a Klein surface. Assume that the geometric genus of such Klein surfaces is positive, i.e. $k \leq g - 2$ if $\varepsilon = 0$ and $k \leq g - 1$ if $\varepsilon = 1$. Let t be a tuple that satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.2. The space $S(t)$ of all real m -spin bundles of type t on a Klein surface of type (g, k, ε) is an $N(t)$ -fold covering of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$, where $N(t)$ is the number of real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) of topological type t . The number $N(t)$ is equal to*

1) Case $\varepsilon = 0$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \delta, k_0, k_1)$:

$$N(t) = \binom{k}{k_1} \cdot \frac{m^g}{2}.$$

2) Case $\varepsilon = 1$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$: Let

$$M = \binom{k}{k_0} \cdot \binom{k_0}{k_0^0} \cdot \binom{k_1}{k_1^0}.$$

- Case $g > k + 1$, ($m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k_0 \neq 0$):

$$N(t) = 2^{1-k} \cdot m^g \cdot M \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 0 \text{ and } N(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 1.$$

- Case $g > k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_0 = 0$:

$$N(t) = \left(2^{-k} + 2^{-\frac{g+k+1}{2}}\right) \cdot m^g \cdot M \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 0,$$

$$N(t) = \left(2^{-k} - 2^{-\frac{g+k+1}{2}}\right) \cdot m^g \cdot M \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 1.$$

- Case $g = k + 1$, ($m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k_0 \neq 0$):

$$N(t) = 2^{-(k-1)} \cdot m^{k+1} \cdot M \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 1 \text{ and } N(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 2.$$

- Case $g = k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_0 = 0$:

$$N(t) = 3 \cdot 2^{-(k+1)} \cdot m^{k+1} \cdot M \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 1,$$

$$N(t) = 2^{-(k+1)} \cdot m^{k+1} \cdot M \quad \text{for } \tilde{\delta} = 2.$$

3) Case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, k)$:

$$N(t) = m^g.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 4.3, $S(t) \cong T_{\bar{g},n}/\text{Mod}_t$, where $\text{Mod}_t \subset \text{Mod}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$, hence $S(t)$ is a branched covering of $\mathcal{M}_{g,k,\varepsilon} = T_{\bar{g},n}/\text{Mod}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$ and the branching index is equal to the index of the subgroup Mod_t in $\text{Mod}_{g,k,\varepsilon}$, i.e. is equal to the number $N(t)$ of real m -Arf functions on (P, τ) of topological type t . Let

$$\mathcal{B} = (a_1, b_1, \dots, a_{\bar{g}}, b_{\bar{g}}, a'_1, b'_1, \dots, a'_{\bar{g}}, b'_{\bar{g}}, c_1, d_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d_{n-1})$$

be a symmetric generating set of $\pi_1(P)$. Let $\mathcal{V} = (\alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha'_i, \beta'_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i)$ denote the set of values of an m -Arf function on \mathcal{B} .

- 1) Case $\varepsilon = 0$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \delta, k_0, k_1)$: There are $\binom{k}{k_1}$ ways to choose the values γ_i . There are $m^{2\tilde{g}}$ ways to choose $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i$ and $\beta_i = \beta'_i$. According to Theorem 2.7, out of m^{n-1} ways to choose $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n-1}$ there are $m^{n-1}/2$ which give $\Sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ and $m^{n-1}/2$ which give $\Sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Thus the number of real m -Arf functions of type (g, δ, k_0, k_1) is

$$\binom{k}{k_1} \cdot m^{2\tilde{g}} \cdot \frac{m^{n-1}}{2} = \binom{k}{k_1} \cdot \frac{m^{2\tilde{g}+n-1}}{2} = \binom{k}{k_1} \cdot \frac{m^g}{2}.$$

- 2) Case $\varepsilon = 1$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, \tilde{\delta}, k_0^0, k_1^0, k_0^1, k_1^1)$: There are $M = \binom{k}{k_0} \cdot \binom{k_0}{k_0^0} \cdot \binom{k_1}{k_1^0}$ ways to choose the values γ_i . Furthermore having fixed the parity of δ_i , there are $(m/2)^{k-1}$ ways to choose the values of δ_i . Hence the number of such real m -Arf functions on P is equal to

$$m^{2\tilde{g}} \cdot \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{k-1} \cdot M = \frac{m^{2\tilde{g}+k-1}}{2^{k-1}} \cdot M = m^g \cdot 2^{1-k} \cdot M.$$

- In the case $g > k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_0 = 0$, the resulting invariant $\tilde{\delta}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\delta} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{g}} (1 - \alpha_i)(1 - \beta_i) \pmod{2}.$$

It can be shown by induction that out of $m^{2\tilde{g}}$ ways to choose the values α_i, β_i we get the Arf invariant $\tilde{\delta} = 0$ in $2^{\tilde{g}-1}(2^{\tilde{g}} + 1)(m/2)^{2\tilde{g}}$ cases and $\tilde{\delta} = 1$ in $2^{\tilde{g}-1}(2^{\tilde{g}} - 1)(m/2)^{2\tilde{g}}$ cases. Hence the number $N(t)$ with $\tilde{\delta}$ equal to 0 and 1 respectively is

$$2^{\tilde{g}-1}(2^{\tilde{g}} \pm 1) \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{2\tilde{g}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{k-1} \cdot M.$$

We simplify

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\tilde{g}-1}(2^{\tilde{g}} \pm 1) \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{2\tilde{g}} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{k-1} &= (2^{2\tilde{g}-1} \pm 2^{\tilde{g}-1}) \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{2\tilde{g}+k-1} \\ &= \left(2^{g-k} \pm 2^{\frac{g-k-1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^g = \left(2^{g-k} \pm 2^{\frac{g-k-1}{2}}\right) 2^{-g} \cdot m^g \\ &= \left(2^{-k} \pm 2^{\frac{-g-k-1}{2}}\right) m^g = \left(2^{-k} \pm 2^{-\frac{g+k+1}{2}}\right) m^g \end{aligned}$$

to obtain $N(t)$ as stated.

- In the case $g > k + 1$, ($m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k_0 \neq 0$), the Arf invariant of all m -Arf functions we construct is $\tilde{\delta} = 0$, hence $N(t)$ is as stated.
- In the case $g = k + 1$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $k_0 = 0$, the Arf invariant of the resulting m -Arf function is given by

$$\tilde{\delta} = \gcd\left(m, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \frac{m}{2} + 1\right).$$

Note that for $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we have $\gcd(m, m/2 + 1) = 2$, hence $\tilde{\delta} = 2$ if α_1 and β_1 are both even and $\tilde{\delta} = 1$ otherwise. Out of m^2 ways to choose the values α_1, β_1 we get $\tilde{\delta} = 1$ in $3m^2/4$ cases and $\tilde{\delta} = 2$ in $m^2/4$ cases. Hence the number $N(t)$ with $\tilde{\delta}$ equal to 1 and 2 respectively is

$$\frac{2 \pm 1}{4} \cdot m^2 \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{k-1} \cdot M = (2 \pm 1) \cdot \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{k+1} \cdot M.$$

- In the case $g = k + 1$, ($m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ or $k_0 \neq 0$), the Arf invariant of all m -Arf functions we construct is $\tilde{\delta} = 1$, hence $N(t)$ is as stated.
- 3) Case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $t = (g, k)$: The statement follows from Theorem 2.9. □

REFERENCES

- [AG] N.L. Alling and N. Greenleaf, *Foundations of the Theory of Klein Surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 219, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [Ati] Michael F. Atiyah, *Riemann surfaces and spin structures*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **4**(4) (1971), 47–62.
- [Dol] Igor V. Dolgachev, *On the Link Space of a Gorenstein Quasihomogeneous Surface Singularity*, Math. Ann. **265** (1983), 529–540.
- [FSZ] Carel Faber, Sergey Shadrin and Dimitri Zvonkine, *Tautological relations and the r -spin Witten conjecture*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. **43** (2010), 621–658.
- [Har] A. Harnack, *Über die Vieltheiligkeit der ebenen algebraischen Kurven*, Math. Annalen **10** (1876), 189–199.
- [JN] Mark Jankins and Walter Neumann, *Homomorphisms of Fuchsian groups to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$* , Comment. Math. Helv. **60** (1985), no. 3, 480–495.
- [Jar] Tyler J. Jarvis, *Geometry of the moduli of higher spin curves*, Internat. J. Math. **11**(5) (2000), 637–663.
- [Macb] A.M. Macbeath, *The classification of non-Euclidean plane crystallographic groups*, Canad. J. Math. **19**(6) (1967), 1192–1205.
- [Mum] David Mumford, *Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **4**(4) (1971), 181–192.
- [Nat75] Sergey Natanzon, *Moduli of Real Algebraic Curves*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **30** (1975), 251–252
- [Nat78] ———, *Spaces of moduli of real curves (Russian)*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. **37** (1978), 219–253, 270, translation in Trans. MMS (1980).
- [Nat89] ———, *Spin bundles on real algebraic curves*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **44** (1989), 165–166, translation in Russian Mathematical Surveys **44** (1989), 208–209.
- [Nat90] ———, *Klein Surfaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **45** (1990), 47–90, 189, translation in Russian Mathematical Surveys **45** (1990), 53–108.
- [Nat91] ———, *Discrete subgroups of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and spinor bundles on Riemann and Klein surfaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **25** (1991), 76–78.
- [Nat94] ———, *Classification of pairs of Arf functions on orientable and nonorientable surfaces*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **28** (1994), 178–186.
- [Nat96] ———, *Spinors and differentials of real algebraic curves*, Topology of real algebraic varieties and related topics, AMS Transl., ser. 2, **173** (1996), 179–186.
- [Nat99] ———, *Moduli of real algebraic curves and their superanalogues. Spinors and Jacobians of real curves*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **54** (1999), 3–60, translation in Russian Mathematical Surveys **54** (1999), 1091–1147.
- [Nat04] ———, *Moduli of Riemann surfaces, real algebraic curves, and their superanalogues*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 225, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [NP05] Sergey Natanzon and Anna Pratoussevitch, *The Topology of m -Spinor Structures on Riemann Surfaces*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **60** (2005), 363–364.
- [NP09] ———, *Higher Arf Functions and Moduli Space of Higher Spin Surfaces*, Journal of Lie Theory **19** (2009), 107–148.
- [NP11] ———, *Moduli Spaces of Gorenstein Quasi-Homogeneous Surface Singularities*, Russian Mathematical Surveys **66** (2011), 1009–1011.
- [NP13] ———, *Topological invariants and moduli of Gorenstein singularities*, Journal of Singularities **7** (2013), 61–87.
- [NP15] ———, *Higher Spin Klein Surfaces*, math.AG/1502.06546.
- [R] B. Riemann, *Collected works*, Dover edition 1953.
- [Wei] G. Weichold, *Über symmetrische Riemann’sche Flächen und die Periodizitätsmoduln der zugehörigen Abelschen Normalintegrale erster Gattung*, Zeitschrift für Math. und Physik **28** (1883), 321–351.

- [Wit] Edward Witten, *Algebraic geometry associated with matrix models of two-dimensional gravity*, Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991), Publish or Perish, Houston, TX (1993), 235–269.

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, VAVILOVA STREET 7, 117312 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

INSTITUTE OF THEORETICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL PHYSICS (ITEP), MOSCOW, RUSSIA
E-mail address: `natanzon@mccme.ru`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, LIVERPOOL L69 7ZL
E-mail address: `annap@liv.ac.uk`