

American Journal of Men's Health http://jmh.sagepub.com/

Prevalence of Cannabis Lifetime Use in Iranian High School and College Students: A Systematic

Milad Nazarzadeh, Zeinab Bidel, Alireza Mosavi Jarahi, Keihan Esmaeelpour, Walieh Menati, Ali Asghar Shakeri, Rostam Menati, Sattar Kikhavani and Kourosh Saki Am J Mens Health published online 20 August 2014 DOI: 10.1177/1557988314546667

> The online version of this article can be found at: http://jmh.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/08/20/1557988314546667

Published by: **SAGE**

http://www.sagepublications.com

Additional services and information for American Journal of Men's Health can be found at:

Email Alerts: http://jmh.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts

Subscriptions: http://jmh.sagepub.com/subscriptions

Reprints: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav

Permissions: http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

>> OnlineFirst Version of Record - Aug 20, 2014

What is This?

Prevalence of Cannabis Lifetime Use in Iranian High School and College Students: A Systematic Review, **Meta-Analyses, and Meta-Regression**

American Journal of Men's Health |-|3|© The Author(s) 2014 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/1557988314546667 ajmh.sagepub.com



Milad Nazarzadeh (MSc)^{1,2,3}, Zeinab Bidel (MSc)¹, Alireza Mosavi Jarahi (PhD)^{4,5}, Keihan Esmaeelpour (MD)², Walieh Menati (MSc)¹, Ali Asghar Shakeri (MSc)³, Rostam Menati (MSc)¹, Sattar Kikhavani (PhD)¹, and Kourosh Saki, (PhD)⁶

Abstract

Cannabis is the most widely used substance in the world. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of cannabis lifetime use (CLU) in high school and college students of Iran and also to determine factors related to changes in prevalence. A systematic review of literature on cannabis use in Iran was conducted according to MOOSE guideline. Domestic scientific databases, PubMed/Medline, ISI Web of Knowledge, and Google Scholar, relevant reference lists, and relevant journals were searched up to April, 2014. Prevalences were calculated using the variance stabilizing double arcsine transformation and confidence intervals (CIs) estimated using the Wilson method. Heterogeneity was assessed by Cochran's Q statistic and I² index and causes of heterogeneity were evaluated using meta-regression model. In electronic database search, 4,000 citations were retrieved, producing a total of 33 studies. CLU was reported with a random effects pooled prevalence of 4.0% (95% CI = 3.0% to 5.0%). In subgroups of high school and college students, prevalences were 5.0% (95% CI = 3.0% to -7.0%) and 2.0% (95% CI = 2.0% to -3.0%), respectively. Meta-regression model indicated that prevalence is higher in college students ($\beta = 0.089, p < .001$), male gender ($\beta = 0.017, p < .001$), and is lower in studies with sampling versus census studies ($\beta = -0.096$, p < .001). This study reported that prevalence of CLU in Iranian students are lower than industrialized countries. In addition, gender, level of education, and methods of sampling are highly associated with changes in the prevalence of CLU across provinces.

Keywords

cannabis, students, meta-analyses, meta-regression, prevalence

Introduction

Cannabis (marijuana) is the most widely used substance in the world (Asbridge, Hayden, & Cartwright, 2012). Cannabis has been known to *Homo sapiens* in history and concern has been raised during the past centuries regarding its side effects (Anthony, 2012). Several serious side effects are enumerated for cannabis use such as suppression of rapid eye movement and diffuse slowing of background electroencephalographic activity (Greydanus, Hawver, Greydanus, & Merrick, 2013), chronic cough, sinusitis, conjunctivitis, pharyngitis, and bronchitis (Mallaret, Dal'Bo-Rohrer, & Dematteis, 2005). Increased mortality related to its side effects is reported in countries where the prevalence of cannabis use is high (Greydanus et al., 2013). Also, cannabis use has been associated with an increased risk of deadly motor vehicle crashes (Asbridge et al., 2012).

According to United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, the majority of consumers of cannabis were young

²Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran ³Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran ⁴Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada

⁵Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Sabzevar, Iran

⁶Lorestan University of Medical Science, Khorramabad, Iran

Corresponding Author:

Walieh Menati, Prevention of Psychosocial Injuries Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran. Email: waliehmenati@yahoo.com

¹Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran

and the prevalence has been increasing in many countries (Meimandi, Nakhaee, Divsalar, & Dabiri, 2005). Meanwhile, the geographic distribution is very diverse. In Armenia, it is estimated that the prevalence is about 3.0% and in Czech Republic, 45.0% (Greydanus et al., 2013). The distribution in countries of Middle East and western part of Asia is not well studied.

It is established that two of the major cannabis production and distribution routes are in Pakistan and Afghanistan, implicating major problems for the countries around, especially for Iran (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2003). Studies in Iran have reported different prevalences across the country. In the north and northwest of the country, a lifetime prevalence of 22.2% (Mohammad Poorasl, Vahidi, Fakhari, Rostami, & Dastghiri, 2007) and a point prevalence of 3.6% (Najafi et al., 2007) have been reported.

No systematic review or meta-analyses have been conducted in Iran to estimate a combined prevalence of cannabis use according to different administrative divisions. In addition, the causes of changes in the prevalence across provinces in a country have not been studied. The aim of this study is to systematically review all cross-sectional studies conducted during the past 30 years in order to estimate cannabis lifetime use (CLU) pooled prevalence in high school and college students of Iran as well as determining factors related to changes in prevalence across provinces using meta-regression model.

Materials and Method

Search Strategy

The search was conducted for cross-sectional studies or surveys that estimated the prevalence of CLU in each province of Iran that was published since 1979. All domestic scientific databases including Iranmedex, Scientific Information Database, Magiran, Irandoc, Medlib, and IranPsych, as well as international databases, including PubMed/Medline, ISI Web of Knowledge, and Google Scholar, relevant reference lists, and relevant journals were searched up to April 2014. Communication with authors and Iranian experts was done for any additional information or unpublished studies.

Two researchers independently scanned the titles of all retrieved citations, removed duplicates, and identified potentially relevant articles for inclusion. Abstracts from selected articles were then independently reviewed by two researchers for further relevance, and full text manuscripts retrieved when appropriate. In the case of disagreement, a third assessor acted as a mediator.

The search strategy was limited to the Persian and/or English. For the databases search, the combinations of keywords relating to CLU were used. These include the "Marijuana following: "Marijuana," Smoking," "Marijuana abuse," "Hashish," "Cannabis," "Substance," "Drug," "Illicit drug," "Adolescent," "Youth," "School students," "high school students," "student," and "Iran." The search strategy in PubMed was (Marijuana OR "Marijuana Smoking" OR "Marijuana abuse" OR Hashish OR Cannabis OR Substance OR Drug OR "Illicit drug") AND (Adolescent OR Youth OR "School students") AND (Students) AND (Iran). Also, this strategy was modified for each database. EndNote X4 software was employed to manage and scan citations.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria and Quality Assessment

The following exclusion criteria were applied: (a) irrelevant study design such as case-control or clinical trials; (b) inadequate reporting of results, that is, studies not reporting prevalence for CLU; (c) poor quality score (scores below five stars) based on the Newcastle–Ottawa scale (Wells et al., 2014; This scale assigns a maximum of ten stars to each study—five stars for representativeness of the sample, justification of sample size, nonrespondents rate, and ascertainment of the exposure; two stars for comparability [control for confounder and additional factors]; and three stars for ascertainment of the outcomes); (d) articles that were conducted before 1979, and (e) review articles or meta-analyses.

For inclusion into the systematic review, the studies had to meet the following criteria: (a) having clear definition of CLU; (b) having standardized diagnostic criteria for drug abuse/use using the International Classification of Diseases, Classification of Mental and Behavioral Disorders, or Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, or direct question in questionnaire and interview; (c) having prevalence proportion for CLU; and (d) place of study should be in Iran.

Data Extraction and Measures

Information on first author, year of study, method of sampling, sample size, average age, diagnostic instrument, diagnostic criteria, participation rate, geographical location, level of education, and prevalence during lifetime, 1 month later, 1 week later, and current use were extracted independently from every eligible study.

Average age categorized as ≥ 18 versus ≤ 18 years; level of education: college students and high school students; gender: male, female, and mixed; sampling methods: census, multistage, stratified, random, and haphazard sampling; geographical location: name of provinces. Other variables were considered as continuous in meta-regression analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Prevalence estimates were calculated using the variance stabilizing double arcsine transformation (Freeman & Tukey, 1950) because the use of the inverse variance weight is inappropriate when dealing with binary data with low prevalence. Also, with this method, studies with zero prevalence can be included in the meta-analyses and their weight considered in combined estimation. In addition, due to zero to very low prevalence of CLU in some studies, confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated using the Wilson method (Newcombe, 1998; Wilson, 1927). The presence of heterogeneity was assessed by Cochran's Q statistic (p < .1), combined with I² statistic for estimates of inconsistency within the studies. A value of 0% indicates no observed heterogeneity while 100% indicates significant heterogeneity. The I^2 values above 75% were determined as significant heterogeneity warranting analysis with a random effect model to adjust for the observed variability (Higgins, Thompson, Deeks, & Altman, 2003). Potential source of heterogeneity was further explored through subgroup analyses and meta-regression model using likelihood ratio method. In the meta-regression model, variables were examined both in univariable and in a multiple variable models at a significance level of <.05. All variables were entered in the model (Model 2), because all factors in univariable model (Model 1) were significant. To avoid model instability, only those variables that were significant in Model 2 were entered in speared multiple regression model (Model 3). Egger's test was employed to examine potential publication bias. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 11.2 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX) with "metan," "metareg," "metabias," and "propcii" commands.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

In an electronic database search, 4,000 citations were retrieved, producing a total of 33 studies from 12 provinces of Iran (Ahmadi, Alishahi, & Alavi, 2004; Ahmadi & Hasani, 2003; Ahmadi & Javadpour, 2001; Ahmadi, Javadpour, & Pridmore, 2009; Ahmadi, Maharlooy, & Alishahi, 2004; Ahmadi & Yazdanfar, 2002; Attari, Asgary, Shahrokhi, Naderi, & Shariatirad, 2012; Dehghani, Zare, Dehghani, Sedghi, & Pormovahed, 2009; Farhadinasab, Allahverdipour, Bashirian, & Mahjoub, 2008; Ghavidel et al., 2012; Jodati, Shakurie, Nazari, & Raufie, 2007; Meimandi et al., 2005; Mohamadi, Shobeiri, & Mahgoob, 2012; Mohammad Poorasl et al., 2007; Mohammadkhani, 2012; Mohammadpoorasl et al., 2012; Mohtasham-Amiri, Jafari-Shakib, & Khalili-Moosavi, 2011; Momtazi & Rawson, 2010; Mortazavi Moghadam, Madarshahian, Tabiei, Pejmankhah, & Sadeghi, 2009; Mustafa et al., 2010; Najafi et al., 2007; Najafi, Zarrabi, Shirazi, Fekri, & Mohseni, 2009; Nakhaee, Ziaaddini, & Karimzadeh, 2009; Nazarzadeh, Bidel, & Carson, 2014; Sajjadi & Sajjadi, 2012; Sarajzadeh & Feizi, 2003; Shams Alizadeh, Moghadam, Mohsenpour, & Rostami Gooran, 2008; Talaei, Mokhber, Fayyazi Bordbar, Javanbakht, & Samari, 2008; Taremian, Bolhari, Peirovi, & Tabatabaei, 2005; Zardkhaneh et al., 2011; Zarrabi et al., 2009; Ziaaddini, Zare-zadeh, & Heshmati, 2006; Ziaaddini, Ziaaddini, & Nakhaee, 2013). The characteristics of each included study are reported in Tables 1 to 3 and Figure 1 outlining the details related to the selection process of studies. The studies included a total of 30,972 adolescents and youth (12,931 high school students and 18,041 college students). Fourteen articles reported prevalence in men (n = 12,788), nine included mixed gender samples (n = 9,177), and nine investigated women (n = 9,007). The average age of men (reported in 13 reports) and women (from 7 reports) were 19.6 and 20.8 years, respectively. Average age of the mixed samples was 20.3 years (from 27 studies). Overall, quality assessment of all studies produces medium quality.

Pooled Prevalence and Subgroup Analysis

A potential publication bias was detected for CLU (Egger's test $\beta_0 = -1.4$; p < .001). CLU was reported in 33 surveys with a random effects pooled prevalence of 4.0% (95% CI = 3.0% to 5.0%; Figure 2). Estimates ranged from 0.0% to 23.0% with substantial heterogeneity ($\chi^2 =$ 1,424.5, p < .001, $I^2 = 97.8\%$). Higher prevalences were identified in smaller studies with smaller weight (Figure 3). Moreover, subgroup analyses based on gender produce evidence of significant difference between three subgroups (Figure 4). In mixed, male, and female subgroups, prevalence were 2.0% (95% CI = 1.0% to 3.0%), 6.0%(95% CI = 4.0% to 9.0%), and 3.0% (95% CI = 2.0% to)6.0%), respectively. Further exploration according to level of education and method of sampling were conducted. For high school and college students subgroups prevalences were 5.0% (95% CI = 3.0% to 7.0%), and 2.0% (95% CI = 2.0% to 3.0%), respectively (Figure 2) and in multistage, random, stratified sampling and

| | | | | | | | | Question | naire | | | Prevalen | ce (%) | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| First author | Year oi study | f Province | Age in years (M) | Students grade | Sampling methods | Sample M _t size | easurement tool | Validity (%) or DSM use | Reliability (%) | Response rate (%) | Current | l week | l month l | ifetime |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2000 | Fars | 17.2 | High school | Multistage | 197 | 0 | DSM-IV | Σ Z | 95.0 | 3.0 | | | 7.6 |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2000 | Fars | 22.8 | College | Multistage | 59 | Ø | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 93.0 | 0.0 | | 1.7 | 10.2 |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2000 | Fars | 24.3 | College | Multistage | 329 | Ø | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 90.0 | 4.5 | | | 20.9 |
| Ziaaddini, H. | 2001 | Kerman | Σ N | High school | C-A | 1,945 | Ø | ΣZ | ΣZ | 94.8 | 37 | I.I | 9.3 | I.I |
| Jodati, A. R. | 2001 | Tabriz | 21.3 | College | C-D | 173 | o | ΣZ | ΣZ | 79.0 | I | | | 6.0 |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2001 | Fars | 13.5 | High school | Multistage | 470 | 0 | DSM-IV | ΣZ | ΣZ | 0.0 | | I | 0.2 |
| Mortazavi, G. | 2003 | Khorasan | Range = 20-24 | College | Random | 361 | Ø | ΣZ | Σ Z | 87.0 | | | | 9.I |
| Najafi, K. | 2005 | Guilan | Range = 15-20 | High school | Random | 1,041 | Ø | ΣZ | 66.0 | 99.0 | | | | 3.6 |
| Nakhaee, N. | 2005 | Kerman | ΣZ | High school | Stratified | 256 | Ø | ΣZ | ΣZ | ΣZ | | | | l.6 |
| Poorasl, A. | 2005 | Tabriz | 16.3 | High school | Multistage | 1,785 | Ø | 90.0 | 85.0 | ΣZ | | | | 22.2 |
| Mohamadi, N. | 2008 | Hamedan | 20 | College | C-D | 430 | Ø | ΣZ | 95.0 | 86.0 | | | | 4. I |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2008 | Fars | 23.03 | College | Multistage | 150 | 0 | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 78.8 | | | | 2.3 |
| Zardkhaneh, S. | 2011 | Survey ^a | Range = 18-35 | College | Multistage | 3,372 | Ø | 74-90 | Σ Z | ΣZ | | | | 3.0 |
| Mohammadkhani, S. | 2012 | Survey ^a | 15.5 | High school | Multistage | 1,283 | Ø | 90.0 | ΣZ | 95.0 | | | 0.9 | 2.1 |
| Nazarzadeh, M. | 2012 | llam | 17.2 | High school | Multistage | 937 | Ø | 90.0 | 85.0 | 93.7 | | | | 3.3 |
| Note. NM = not menti (—) in prevalence colu a. Survey includes five University of Kerman. | oned; DS umn indic universiti | M-IV = Diagno ates not ment les from whol | stic and Statistical A tioned. e of Iran: Tehran L | Manual of Menta Jniversity, Razi | l Disorders, fo University of | urth edition; Kermanshah, | C-D = censı , Ferdowsi U | us of dormitory; niversity of Mas | C-A = cens hhad, Isfahar | us of all stude | ent in the ci | ty; Q = qu gy, and Sha | estionnaire thid Bahona | ; dash Ir |

Table 1. Description and Characteristics of Included Studies (Only Male).

Downloaded from jmh.sagepub.com by guest on August 23, 2014

| | | | | | | | | Questio | nnaire | | | Prevalen | ice (%) | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------|
| First author | Year of study | Province | Age in years (M) | Students grade | Sampling methods | Sample size | Measurement tool | Validity (%) or DSM | Reliability (%) | Response rate (%) | Current | l week | l month | Lifetime |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2000 | Fars | 15 | High school | Multistage | 200 | o | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 94.0 | 0.0 | | I | 0.0 |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2000 | Fars | 20 | College | Multistage | 341 | Ø | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 93.0 | 0.3 | | I | 0.9 |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2000 | Fars | 22 | College | Multistage | 172 | Ø | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 90.06 | | | | 2.3 |
| Ziaaddini, H. | 2001 | Kerman | Σ N | High school | C-A | 1373 | 0 | ΣZ | ΣZ | 95.0 | 15.4 | 23.I | 7.7 | 23.I |
| Mortazavi, G. | 2003 | Khorasan | 22 | College | Random | 509 | Ø | ΣZ | ΣZ | 87.0 | | | I | 0.4 |
| Najafi, K. | 2005 | Guilan | 8 | High school | Random | 886 | Ø | ΣZ | 66.0 | 99.0 | | | | 0.2 |
| Nakhaee, N. | 2005 | Kerman | Σ N | High school | Stratified | 396 | 0 | ΣZ | ΣZ | Σ N | | | | 2.6 |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2008 | Fars | 23 | College | Multistage | 150 | Ø | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 79.0 | 1.2 | | I | 4.7 |
| Zardkhaneh, S. | 2011 | Survey ^a | 27 | College | Multistage | 4,980 | 0 | 74.0-90.0 | Σ Z | ΣZ | | | | 0.4 |
| Note. NM = not rr indicates not men a. Survey include f University of Kern | nentioned; tioned. ive univer | DSM = Diagn sities from wh | iostic and Stati | istical Manual of Tehran Universi | ⁻ Mental Disord ty, Razi Univel | ers, fourth rsity of Ker | edition; C-A = cer manshah, Ferdosi | isus of all studer University of M | nt in the city; C ashhad, Isfahar | 2 = questionr University o | laire; dash (– f Technology | –) in preva v, and Shah | llence colum id Bahonar | E |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| (Only Female). |
|-----------------|
| Studies |
| Included |
| of |
| Characteristics |
| pu |
| Description a |
| Table 2. |

| | | | | | | | | Question | naire | | | Prevalen | ce (%) | |
|---|------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| First author | Year of study | f Province | Age in year (M) | Students Grade | Sampling methods | Sample size | Measurement tool | Validity (%) or DSM use | Reliability (%) | Response rate (%) | Current | l week | l month L | -ifetime |
| Ahmadi, J. | 2000 | Fars | 24 | College | Multistage | 346 | 0 | DSM-IV | ΣZ | 73.0 | | | | 4.6 |
| Mortazavi, G. | 2003 | Khorasan | 22 | College | Multistage | 509 | 0 | ΣZ | Σ Z | 87.0 | | | | 0.4 |
| Mohtasham-Amiri, Z. | 2005 | Guilan | 22 | College | Multistage | 1,800 | 0 | ΣZ | Σ Z | 94.0 | | | | 2.4 |
| Attari, M. A. | 2006 | Esfahan | 17 | High school | Multistage | 537 | ELISA | ΣZ | ΣZ | ΣZ | | | | 3.2 |
| Zarrabi, H. | 2006 | Guilan | 22 | College | Haphazard | 827 | 0 | ΣZ | Σ Z | 98.0 | | | 0.4 | 2.8 |
| Taremian, F. | 2006 | Tehran | ΣZ | College | Multistage | 2,999 | 0 | ΣZ | Σ Z | 99.0 | | | 0.8 | 2.2 |
| Sajjadi, S. S. | 2008 | Fars | ΣZ | High school | Multistage | 1,225 | 0 | ΣZ | 74.0-83.0 | ΣZ | | | | 4 . |
| Ghavidel, N. | 2008 | Alborz | 17 | High school | Multistage | 400 | 0 | ΣZ | 72.0 | 93.0 | | | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Dehghani, K. | 2009 | Yazd | 22 | College | Multistage | 534 | o | ΣZ | 86.0 | 99.0 | | | | 0.2 |
| Note. NM = not mention indicates not mentioned. | ed; Q = 0 | questionnaire; | DSM = Diag | nostic and Stat | istical Manual o | f Mental Di | sorders; ELISA = | Enzyme-Linked | Immunosor | bent Assay; | em dash (— | -) in preva | lence colur | E |

| ted). |
|------------|
| Separa |
| Not |
| Were |
| Women |
| Men and |
| Which |
| ⊒. |
| (Studie: |
| Studies |
| Included |
| of |
| cteristics |
| Chara |
| n and |
| Descriptio |
| °. |
| Table |



Figure 1. Flowchart of the reviewing process for prevalence of cannabis lifetime use in Iran.

census, pooled prevalences were 4.0% (95% CI = 3.0% to 5.0%), 1.0% (95% CI = 0.0% to 3.0%), 2.0% (95% CI = 0.0% to 4.0%), and 11.0% (95% CI = 3.0% to 19.0%), respectively (Figure 5).

Current, last week, and last month prevalences reported only in few surveys, consequently pooled prevalences were not calculated for them. (Tables 1-3).

Meta-Regression Model

In univariable meta-regression analyses, newer studies had higher prevalences of CLU (β for study date [year] = 0.0008, p < .001), and where the average age was ≥ 18 years (vs. ≤ 18), the prevalence was higher ($\beta = 0.007$, p < .001). The college versus high school students had higher prevalence ($\beta = 0.008$, p < .001). Also in category of $\geq 1,000$ sample size versus <1,000, prevalence was higher ($\beta =$ 0.009, p < .001), but where gender was male versus female and mixed, and in multistage, stratified, and random sampling versus census, prevalences were lower ($\beta = -0.003$, p <.001; $\beta = -0.111$, p < .001), respectively (Table 4, Model 1). In a multiple variable meta-regression model, all of the variables were significant except average age (Table 4, Model 2). Consequently, average age was excluded from the Model 3. Model 3 has identified that the prevalence is significantly higher in college students ($\beta = 0.089$, p < .001), and in male gender ($\beta = 0.017$, p < .001), and is lower in studies with sampling versus census studies ($\beta = -0.096$, p < .001).

Discussion

This systematic review of CLU prevalence in Iranian youth identified 33 surveys including 30,972 subjects. There are four main findings: (a) prevalence of CLU in young Iranian people was 4.0%, (b) higher prevalence in male compared with female and in college students versus high school students, (c) higher prevalence in census based studies versus sampling based studies, (d) little changes to prevalence during past 30 years.

Much research has been conducted to confirm high use of cannabis among adolescents and young adults across communities. The 2007 European School Survey



Figure 2. Forest plot of studies related to prevalence of cannabis lifetime use in young Iranian population. Data are presented separately for college students and high school students.

Note. Rectangles indicate point prevalence and size of the rectangles represent the weight given to each study in analysis; diamonds and the vertical dashed line indicate the combined point prevalence and horizontal lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Project on Alcohol and other Drugs had reported that CLU among students aged 15 to 16 years in Europe is 19.0% in average (among 35 countries) and ranged from 3.0% in Armenia to 45.0% in the Czech Republic (Greydanus et al., 2013). In addition, in Canadian college students, the reported prevalence of cannabis abuse was approximately 30.0% (Fischer et al., 2013). According to the United States Centers for Disease and Prevention's Youth Risk Behavioral Surveillance reports, CLU

prevalence among high school students was 31.3% in 1991, 47.2% in 1999, and 36.8% in 2009 (Eaton et al., 2010). Compared with these international reports, the prevalence of CLU was considerably less in Iran. A primary explanation for this small prevalence might be legal limitations of cannabis and other substances in Iran. In addition, because of the historical background of opium and derivatives use, the prevalence of opium use is higher than other substances such as cannabis in Iran (Siassi &



Figure 3. Forest plot of studies related to prevalence of cannabis lifetime use in young Iranian population. Studies are sorted by increasing weight in a random effects model.

Note. Rectangles indicate point prevalence and size of the rectangles represent the weight given to each study in analysis; diamonds and the vertical dashed line indicate the combined point prevalence and horizontal lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.

Fozouni, 1980). On the other hand, most of the included studies in these meta-analyses assessed CLU using questionnaires or direct questions. Consequently, false negative answers can be considered as potential low value for pooled prevalence.

This study's finding that the sampling method of studies was significantly associated with prevalences suggests that researchers need to interpret their cross-sectional findings with regard to their methodology specifically with regard to sampling method for which we reported some underestimation. One of the interesting results of this study were the differences in subgroup analyses and meta-regression results on prevalence between high school and college students. Subgroup analysis indicated that prevalence in high school students is higher than for college students but the meta-regression model had indicated contrast result. It seems possible that these contrasts are due to the confounding role of other variables that were not considered in subgroup analysis. After adjustment for all possible confounders in meta-regression, it was revealed that the prevalence is significantly higher in college students versus high school students. Consequently, the results for subgroup analysis in meta-analyses studies should be interpreted with consideration of other possible

| First author (year of study) | Point prevalence (95% CI) | Weight % |
|---|------------------------------|----------|
| Male and female subgroup | | |
| Aburnet 1 (2000) | ~ | |
| Anmadi J (2000) | | 2.64 |
| Mortazavi G (2003) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.01) | 3.45 |
| Montashaam-Amin Z (2000) | 0.02 (0.02, 0.03) | 3.42 |
| Najati K (2006) | 0.03 (0.02, 0.04) | 3.30 |
| Taromian F (2008) | 0.02 (0.02, 0.03) | 3.46 |
| Attari MA (2008) | 0.03 (0.02, 0.05) | 3.17 |
| Ghavidel N (2008) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.02) | 3.41 |
| Sajjadi SS (2008) | 0.01 (0.01, 0.02) | 3.43 |
| Dehghani Kh (2009) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.01) | 3.47 |
| Subtotal (I-souared = 90.6%, p = 0.000) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.03) | 29.95 |
| Female subgroup | 1 | |
| Ahmadi J (2000) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.02) | 3.37 |
| Ahmadi J (2000) | 0.02 (0.01, 0.05) | 2.78 |
| Ahmadi J (2000) 😽 | 0.01 (0.00, 0.02) | 3.33 |
| Ziaaddini H (2001) | 023 (021, 025) | 2.84 |
| Mortazavi G (2003) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.01) | 3.45 |
| Nakhaee N (2005) | 0.03 (0.01, 0.05) | 3.11 |
| Naiafi K (2005) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.01) | 3.48 |
| Ahmadi J (2008) | 0.05 (0.02, 0.09) | 2.26 |
| Zardkhaneh S (2011) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.01) | 3.50 |
| Subtotal (I-squared = 98,1%, p = 0,000) | 0 03 (0 02 0 05) | 28.11 |
| Male subgroup | ! | |
| Ahmadi J (2000) | 0.06 (0.04. 0.12) | 2.12 |
| Ahmadi J (2000) | 021 (0.16, 0.26) | 1.71 |
| Ahmadi J (2000) | 0.10 (0.04, 0.19) | 0.92 |
| Jodati A (2001) | 0.05 (0.03, 0.11) | 2.15 |
| Ahmadi J (2001) | 0.00 (0.00, 0.01) | 3.47 |
| Ziaaddini H (2001) | 0.11 (0.10, 0.13) | 3.21 |
| Mortazavi G (2003) | 0.02(0.01,0.04) | 3.19 |
| Poorasl A (2005) | 022 (020 024) | 2.98 |
| Nakhaee N (2005) | 0.02 (0.00 0.04) | 3.14 |
| Najafi K (2005) | 0.04 (0.03, 0.05) | 3.30 |
| Ahmadi J (2008) | 002/001 005 | 263 |
| Mohamadi N (2008) | 004/003 005 | 200 |
| Zardikhaneh S (2011) | 003(002,000) | 345 |
| Naragradeh M (2012) | 000 (000, 000) | 3.90 |
| Mohammadikhani Sh (2012) | | 3.40 |
| Subtatal (Leaused = 92 1% a = 0.000) | | 11.04 |
| Sublotar (risquared = 50.1%, p = 0.000) | | 41.94 |
| Overall (I-squared = 97.8%, p = 0.000) | 0.04 (0.03, 0.05) | 100.00 |

Figure 4. Forest plot of studies related to prevalence of cannabis lifetime use in young Iranian population. Data are presented separately for male, female, and mixed.

Note. Rectangles indicate point prevalence and size of the rectangles represent the weight given to each study in analysis; diamonds and the vertical dashed line indicate the combined point prevalence and horizontal lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.

confounders. One finding of this review is that future research in prevalence of any drug should provide clear information about study questionnaires and their validity and reliability.

This review is important for enhancing our methodological knowledge of the epidemiology of CLU in adolescents and young adults and provides a more definitive statement on the direction of prevalence changes. Also, it provides better estimation for prevalences in national studies such as the burden of diseases.

This study does have several limitations, as all pooled analyses contained significant heterogeneity and subsequently should be interpreted with caution. Most studies failed to report questionnaire validity, reliability, and response rate. These characteristics may be a significant source of heterogeneity (Fazel,



Figure 5. Forest plot of studies related to prevalence of cannabis lifetime use in young Iranian population. Data are presented separately for sampling methods.

Note. Rectangles indicate point prevalence and size of the rectangles represent the weight given to each study in analysis; diamonds and the vertical dashed line indicate the combined point prevalence and horizontal lines indicate 95% confidence intervals.

| Table 4. Meta-Regression Analysis ^a | ^t of Cannabis Prevalence H | leterogeneity Suspected Variables. |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

| | 1 | 10del I ^b | | 1 | 1odel 2 ^c | | ١ | 1odel 3 ^d | |
|--|--------|----------------------|--------|---------|----------------------|-------|---------|----------------------|--------|
| Variables | β | SE | Þ | β | SE | Р | β | SE | Þ |
| Study date (year) | 0.0008 | 0.00006 | <.001 | -0.0003 | 0.0001 | .04 | -0.0002 | 0.0001 | .17 |
| Average age (≥18 vs. ≤18 years Ref) | 0.007 | 0.0006 | <.00 I | -0.008 | 0.006 | .14 | — | — | — |
| Level of education (College students vs. high school students Ref) | 0.008 | 0.0006 | <.001 | 0.017 | 0.006 | .005 | 0.008 | 0.001 | <.001 |
| Gender (Male vs. female and mixed Ref) | -0.003 | 0.0008 | <.001 | 0.019 | 0.001 | <.001 | 0.017 | 0.001 | <.00I |
| Sampling methods (Multistage, stratified, and random sampling vs. census Ref) | -0.111 | 0.004 | <.001 | -0.019 | 0.008 | .02 | -0.096 | 0.005 | < .001 |
| Sample size | 0.009 | 0.001 | <.001 | 0.009 | 0.001 | <.001 | 0.009 | 0.001 | .09 |

Note. Significant associations ($p \le 0.05$) are indicated in bold typeface; Ref = reference group.SE = standard error

a. Likelihood ratio method.

b. Univariable model.

c. Multiple variable model.

d. Variables entered into the model if $p \le 0.05$.

Khosla, Doll, & Geddes, 2008). Moreover, a lack of comprehensive coverage for gray literature containing university databases and research projects, further limit the search.

In conclusion, the results of these meta-analyses indicate that prevalence of CLU in Iranian high school and college students are lower than for industrialized countries. In addition, gender, level of education, and methods of sampling are highly associated with variation in estimation of CLU prevalence.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was financially supported by the Ilam University of Medical Sciences, and a research grant (Grant No. 909230) from the Prevention of Psychosocial Injuries Research Center.

References

- Ahmadi, J., Alishahi, M., & Alavi, M. (2004). Substance use disorders in a sample of Iranian secondary school students. *Social Indicators Research*, 65, 355-360. doi:10.1023/ B:SOCI.0000003547.60431.d0
- Ahmadi, J., & Hasani, M. (2003). Prevalence of substance use among Iranian high school students. *Addictive Behaviors*, 28, 375-379.
- Ahmadi, J., & Javadpour, A. (2001). Assessing substance use among Iranian healthcare students. *Journal of Substance Use*, 6, 196-198. doi:10.1080/14659890152558813
- Ahmadi, J., Javadpour, A., & Pridmore, S. (2009). Epidemiology of substance use among dentistry students. *Iranian Journal* of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, 3, 25-28.
- Ahmadi, J., Maharlooy, N., & Alishahi, M. (2004). Substance abuse: Prevalence in a sample of nursing students. *Journal* of Clinical Nursing, 13, 60-64.
- Ahmadi, J., & Yazdanfar, F. (2002). Current substance abuse among Iranian university students. Addictive Disorders & Their Treatment, 1, 61-64.
- Anthony, J. C. (2012). Steppingstone and gateway ideas: A discussion of origins, research challenges, and promising lines of research for the future. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, 123(Suppl. 1), S99-S104. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.04.006
- Asbridge, M., Hayden, J. A., & Cartwright, J. L. (2012). Acute cannabis consumption and motor vehicle collision risk: Systematic review of observational studies and meta-analysis. *British Medical Journal*, 344, e536. doi:10.1136/bmj. e536bmj.e536
- Attari, M. A., Asgary, S., Shahrokhi, S., Naderi, G. A., & Shariatirad, S. (2012). Cannabis and opium abuse patterns

and their associated complications in a sample of young Iranians. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Substance Abuse*, 21, 238-246. doi:10.1080/1067828x.2012.689806

- Dehghani, K., Zare, A., Dehghani, H., Sedghi, H., & Pormovahed, Z. (2009). Prevalence and related factors of substances abuse among students of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. *Journal of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences*, 18, 164-169.
- Eaton, D. K., Kann, L., Kinchen, S., Shanklin, S., Flint, K. H., Hawkins, J., . . .Wechsler, H. (2010). *Youth risk behavior surveillance (YRBS) US 2009* (Vol. 59, No. SS-5). Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/ss/ss5905. pdf
- Farhadinasab, A., Allahverdipour, H., Bashirian, S., & Mahjoub, H. (2008). Lifetime pattern of substance abuse, parental support, religiosity, and locus of control in adolescent and young male users. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 37, 88-95.
- Fazel, S., Khosla, V., Doll, H., & Geddes, J. (2008). The prevalence of mental disorders among the homeless in western countries: Systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 5, e225. doi:10.1371/journal. pmed.005022508
- Fischer, B., Dawe, M., McGuire, F., Shuper, P. A., Capler, R., Bilsker, D., . . .Rehm, J. (2013). Feasibility and impact of brief interventions for frequent cannabis users in Canada. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*, 44(1), 132-138. doi:10.1016/j.jsat.2012.03.006
- Freeman, M., & Tukey, J. (1950). Transformations related to the angular and the square root. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 21, 607-611.
- Ghavidel, N., Samadi, M., Kharmanbiz, A., Asadi, A., Feyzi, A., Ahmadi, R., . . .Fatemeh, H. (2012). Investigation of substance use prevalence and the interrelated factors involved through third-year high school students in Nazarabad city from January 2008 to June 2008. *Razi Journal of Medical Sciences*, 19(97), 28-36.
- Greydanus, D. E., Hawver, E. K., Greydanus, M. M., & Merrick, J. (2013). Marijuana: Current concepts. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 1, 42. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2013.00042
- Higgins, J., Thompson, S., Deeks, J., & Altman, D. (2003). Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *British Medical Journal*, 327, 557-560.
- Jodati, A. R., Shakurie, S. K., Nazari, M., & Raufie, M. B. (2007). Students' attitudes and practices towards drug and alcohol use at Tabriz University of Medical Sciences. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, 13, 967-971.
- Mallaret, M., Dal'Bo-Rohrer, D., & Dematteis, M. (2005). Adverse effects of marijuana. *La Revue du Praticien*, 55(1), 41-49.
- Meimandi, M. S., Nakhaee, N., Divsalar, K., & Dabiri, S. (2005). Estimating the prevalence of cannabinoid use urine testing: A preliminary study in Kerman, Iran. *Addictive Behaviors*, 30, 1464-1467. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2005.01.016
- Mohamadi, N., Shobeiri, F., & Mahgoob, H. (2012). The prevalence of substance abuse in students of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences. *Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, 14, 86-89.

- Mohammad Poorasl, A., Vahidi, R., Fakhari, A., Rostami, F., & Dastghiri, S. (2007). Substance abuse in Iranian high school students. *Addictive Behaviors*, 32, 622-627. doi:10.1016/j. addbeh.2006.05.008
- Mohammadkhani, S. (2012). Prevalence of cigarette smoking, alcohol drinking and illegal drugs use among Iranian adolescents. *Journal of Kerman University of Medical Sciences*, 19(1), 32-48.
- Mohammadpoorasl, A., Nedjat, S., Fakhari, A., Yazdani, K., Foroushani, A. R., & Fotouhi, A. (2012). Substance abuse in high school students in association with socio-demographic variables in northwest of Iran. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 41(12), 40-46.
- Mohtasham-Amiri, Z., Jafari-Shakib, A., & Khalili-Moosavi, A. (2011). Prevalence and factors associated with ecstasy use among college undergraduates in north of Iran—2005. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 4, 31-34. doi:10.1016/j. ajp.2011.01.004
- Momtazi, S., & Rawson, R. (2010). Substance abuse among Iranian high school students. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 23, 221-226. doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e328338630d
- Mortazavi Moghadam, G. R., Madarshahian, F., Tabiei, S., Pejmankhah, S., & Sadeghi, M. H. (2009). Prevalence of drug abuse among the student of Birjand Universities (2003). *Modern Care Journal*, 6, 60-64.
- Mustafa, H., Borujerdi, A., Motalebi, N., Asheri, H., Abbasinejad, M., & Motamedi, A. (2010). The prevalence of cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, psychostimulant and cannabinoid drugs abuse among 15 to 35 years old Tehranis. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences*, 4(2), 26-30.
- Najafi, K., Fekri, F., Mohseni, R., Zarabi, H., Najafi, F., Faghirpour, M., & Shirazi, M. (2007). Survey of the prevalence of substances use among high school students in Guilan 2005-2006. *Journal of Guilan University of Medical Science*, 16(62), 67-79.
- Najafi, K., Zarrabi, H., Shirazi, M., Fekri, F., & Mohseni, R. (2009). Prevalence of substance use among Iranian high school students in 2005-2006. *Kuwait Medical Journal*, 41, 20-25.
- Nakhaee, N., Ziaaddini, H., & Karimzadeh, A. (2009). Epidemiologic study on drug abuse among first and second grade high school students in Kerman. *Addiction and Health*, 1(1), 31-38.
- Nazarzadeh, M., Bidel, Z., & Carson, K. V. (2014). The association between tramadol hydrochloride misuse and other substances use in an adolescent population: Phase I of a prospective survey. *Addictive Behaviors*, *39*, 333-337. doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.09.013
- Newcombe, R. G. (1998). Two-sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: Comparison of seven methods. *Statistics in Medicine*, 17, 857-872.

- Sajjadi, S., & Sajjadi, S. (2012). A study on prevalence of drug usage among teenagers in the Lamerd and Mohr cities. Asian Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Researches, 2, 01-04.
- Sarajzadeh, S. H., & Feizi, I. (2003). Drug and alcohol use among college students. *Social Welfare*, 6(25), 86-110.
- Shams Alizadeh, N., Moghadam, M., Mohsenpour, B., & Rostami Gooran, N. (2008). Prevalence of substance abuse in medical students of Kurdistan University. Scientific Journal of Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, 13(2), 18-26.
- Siassi, I., & Fozouni, B. (1980). Distribution of opium coupons to addicts in Iran: Policies and problems. *Chemical Dependencies*, 4, 127-133.
- Talaei, A., Mokhber, N., Fayyazi Bordbar, M. R., Javanbakht, A., & Samari, A. A. (2008). Patterns and correlates of substance use among university students in Iran. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 15.
- Taremian, F., Bolhari, J., Peirovi, H., & Tabatabaei, M. (2005). The prevalence of drug abuse among students of Tehran city. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry and Clinical Psychology*, 13, 335-342.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2003). *Global illicit drug trends: Chapter 1. Trends: Consumption cannabis.* Retrieved from https://www.unodc.org/pdf/ trends2003 www E.pdf
- Wells, G. A., Shea, B., O'Connell, D., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., & Tugwell, P. (2014). *The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses*. Retrieved from http://www.ohri. ca/programs/clinical epidemiology/oxford.asp
- Wilson, E. (1927). Probable inference, the law of succession, and statistical inference. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 29, 209-212.
- Zardkhaneh, S., Sohrabi, F., Taraghija, S., Porsharifi, H., Taremian, F., Peyrovi, H., Falsafinejad, M., Yaghubi, H., & Ramzani, V (2011). Demographic characteristics and substance use among students in national universities. *Psychology and Education Studies*, 7(12), 1-22.
- Zarrabi, H., Najafi, K., Shirazi, M., Borna, S., Sabahi, E., & Nazifi, F. (2009). Prevalence of substance use among students of Guilan University of Medical Sciences 2005-2006. *Journal of Qazvin University of Medical Sciences*, 12(4), 69-74.
- Ziaaddini, H., Zare-zadeh, A., & Heshmati, F. (2006). The prevalence rate of substance abuse and addiction and some relevant factors among junior and senior high school students in Kerman city (2000-2001). *Journal of Kerman University* of Medical Sciences, 2, 84-94.
- Ziaaddini, H., Ziaaddini, T., & Nakhaee, N. (2013). Pattern and trend of substance abuse in eastern rural Iran: A household survey in a rural community. *Journal of Addiction*, 2013, 297378. doi:10.1155/2013/297378