





This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

- Author(s): Andresen, S. E. S. & Wu, F. & Danneau, R. & Gunnarsson, D. & Hakonen, Pertti J.
- Title: Highly sensitive and broadband carbon nanotube radio-frequency single-electron transistor
- Year: 2008
- Version: Final published version

Please cite the original version:

Andresen, S. E. S. & Wu, F. & Danneau, R. & Gunnarsson, D. & Hakonen, Pertti J.. 2008. Highly sensitive and broadband carbon nanotube radio-frequency single-electron transistor. Journal of Applied Physics. Volume 104, Issue 3. 033715/1-4. ISSN 0021-8979 (printed). DOI: 10.1063/1.2968123

Rights: © 2008 AIP Publishing. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the authors and the American Institute of Physics. The following article appeared in Journal of Applied Physics, Volume 104, Issue 3 and may be found at http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jap/104/3/10.1063/1.2968123.

All material supplied via Aaltodoc is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.





Highly sensitive and broadband carbon nanotube radio-frequency single-electron transistor

S. E. S. Andresen, F. Wu, R. Danneau, D. Gunnarsson, and P. J. Hakonen

Citation: Journal of Applied Physics **104**, 033715 (2008); doi: 10.1063/1.2968123 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2968123 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jap/104/3?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Low temperature electron transport spectroscopy of mechanically templated carbon nanotube single electron transistors

J. Appl. Phys. 114, 084311 (2013); 10.1063/1.4819803

Single charge detection in capacitively coupled integrated single electron transistors based on single-walled carbon nanotubes Appl. Phys. Lett. **101**, 123506 (2012); 10.1063/1.4752240

Noise performance of the radio-frequency single-electron transistor J. Appl. Phys. **95**, 1274 (2004); 10.1063/1.1635972

Sensitivity of a piezoelectric micromechanical displacement detector based on the radio-frequency singleelectron transistor

J. Appl. Phys. 92, 7550 (2002); 10.1063/1.1521790

Impedance analysis of a radio-frequency single-electron transistor Appl. Phys. Lett. **81**, 3257 (2002); 10.1063/1.1515883

APL Photonics

APL Photonics is pleased to announce **Benjamin Eggleton** as its Editor-in-Chief



Highly sensitive and broadband carbon nanotube radio-frequency single-electron transistor

S. E. S. Andresen,^{1,a)} F. Wu,² R. Danneau,² D. Gunnarsson,² and P. J. Hakonen^{2,b)} ¹Niels Bohr Institute, Nano-Science Center, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, DK-2100 København Ø, Denmark ²Low Temperature Laboratory, Helsinki University of Technology, P.O. Box 5100, FIN-02015 HUT, Finland

(Received 15 April 2008; accepted 11 June 2008; published online 14 August 2008)

We have investigated radio-frequency single-electron transistor operation of single-walled carbon nanotube quantum dots in the strong tunneling regime. At a temperature of 4.2 K and with a carrier frequency of 754.2 MHz, we reach a charge sensitivity of $2.3 \times 10^{-6} e / \sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ over a bandwidth of 85 MHz. Our results indicate a gain-bandwidth product of $3.7 \times 10^{13} \text{ Hz}^{(3/2)}/e$, which is by one order of magnitude better than those for typical radio-frequency single-electron transistors. © 2008 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2968123]

I. INTRODUCTION

The single-electron transistor (SET) is a highly sensitive electrometer, conventionally based on sequential tunneling of electrons in the Coulomb blockade regime.¹ Unfortunately, the *RC*-time due to cable capacitance ($C \sim 1$ nF) and device resistance ($R \sim 100 \text{ k}\Omega$) limits the bandwidth to a few kilohertz. This is a major drawback for the direct application of SETs as it limits the operation to a regime where 1/f-noise is strong either due to the background charge noise² or to the variations in the tunneling resistance.³

The limitation on the frequency bandwidth can be bypassed using microwave techniques for reading out the real part of the SET impedance. In these so-called radiofrequency SETs (rf-SETs),⁴ an *LC*-circuit is used to transform the high impedance of the SET to a high-frequency setup with a characteristic impedance of $Z_0=50 \ \Omega$. The transformation is frequency selective, and a good match is obtained only over a frequency range $f_0 \pm f_0/Q$, where f_0 =1/($2\pi\sqrt{LC}$) is the resonant frequency of the matching circuit and $Q=\sqrt{L/C/Z_0}$ under fully matched conditions. Typical charge sensitivities of rf-SETs amount to $10^{-5}e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ over a signal bandwidth of 20 MHz.⁴

In order to improve the performance of rf-SETs, the operating regime has to be brought from the sequential tunneling to cotunneling, i.e., from the weak to the strong tunneling regime.⁵ The energy sensitivity including back action noise has been estimated to be $\sim 2\hbar$ in the sequential tunneling regime.⁶ In the cotunneling regime, the sensitivity is expected to approach $0.5\hbar$.⁵ Another benefit of the strong tunneling regime is that a wider bandwidth can be obtained as the *Q*-factor can be made smaller. However, the effective Coulomb energy diminishes rapidly with lowering resistance R_T of the tunnel barriers. This behavior has been summarized for single junctions by Wang *et al.*⁷ They found that $E_C^{\text{eff}} \ge k_B T$, the strong tunneling SETs are expected to operate well as rf-SETs.⁸ This regime of operation has been strived for by Brenning *et al.*⁹ They managed to fabricate Al/AlO_x tunnel junction SETs with $E_C/k_B=18$ K and a total resistance of $R_{\Sigma}=25$ k Ω . For the charge sensitivity, they reached $\delta q=1.9 \times 10^{-6} e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at 4.2 K, which is the best liquid helium result obtained so far.

Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) provide an alternative approach to the metallic SETs in the strong tunneling regime. The first reports on single-electron charging effects in individual tubes and bundles were published in 1997.^{10,11} Charging energies of about 30 meV were quickly observed.¹² Since then, contacting techniques have greatly improved, and impedances of 10–20 k Ω can be rather routinely obtained, e.g., using Pd contacts.¹³ SWCNTs with large E_C are very promising for rf-SETs, especially since their shot noise has been found to be well below the Schottky value.¹⁴

In this article, we report on rf-SETs made from SWCNTs in the strong tunneling_regime. We find a charge sensitivity of $\delta q = 2.3 \times 10^{-6} e / \sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ at 4.2 K, which nearly equals that obtained by Brenning *et al.*⁹ Compared to previous carbon nanotube rf-SETs,^{15–17} the improvement is by a factor ranging from 7 to 200. In combination with a bandwidth of 85 MHz, our results represent a considerable improvement for broadband charge sensing, e.g., for fast readout of singleelectron devices such as quantum dots.

II. DEVICE FABRICATION AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Our SWCNTs are grown from patterned catalyst islands following the approaches of Kong *et al.*¹⁸ and Grove-Rasmussen *et al.*¹⁹ rf-SET operation necessitates the use of insulating substrates in order to minimize the shunt capacitance. We use sapphire for lower losses and charge noise compared to conventional Si/SiO₂. The chemical vapor deposition (CVD) takes place in a ceramic tube furnace from a gas mixture of Ar, H₂, and CH₄ at ~900 °C. After growth, pairs of 25/15 nm Ti/Au contacts, 0.3 μ m apart, are defined between the catalyst islands by electron beam lithography. A

[I his article is copyrighted as indicated in the article. Reuse of AIP content is subject to the terms at: http://scitation.aip.org/termsconditions. Downloaded to] IP 130.233.216.22 On: Fri. 25 Sep 2015 07:02:16

^{a)}Electronic mail: erfurt@nano.ku.dk.

^{b)}Electronic mail: pjh@boojum.hut.fi.



FIG. 1. (a) Layout side view of the biasing leads and gate electrode connected to the SWCNT. (b) Experimental setup for rf-SET measurements, all immersed in liquid helium for cooling at 4.2 K.

central top gate, 0.1 μ m wide, is deposited between the contacts. Under the actual 25 nm Ti gate, an insulating barrier is formed by five 2 nm Al layers, each oxidized for 2 min in the atmosphere. Finally, we deposit a Cr/Au mask with 230 μ m bond pads. The final device layout is illustrated in Fig. 1(a).

A schematic of the low-temperature measurement setup is shown in Fig. 1(b)²⁰ The sample connects to an *LC*-circuit formed by the inductance L=150 nH of a surface mount inductor and the bond wires and the parasitic capacitance C=0.3 pF of the bond pads. The circuit is connected to a coplanar transmission line with a surface mount bias tee that couples the dc bias and rf signal. The top gate is connected to a separate coaxial line for high-bandwidth modulation. We use a homemade low-noise amplifier with a frequency range of 600-950 MHz.²¹ The rf-input is coupled to the coaxial line through a 13 dB directional coupler and a 30 dB attenuator that reduces the noise from room temperature. The rf output is detected in a fashion dependent on the goal of the measurement: (1) by a spectrum analyzer to investigate the carrier modulation spectra or (2) by a mixer demodulation for homodyne detection at a particular frequency.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2(a) shows the differential conductance (dI/dV_{bias}) versus the gate and bias voltages (V_G, V_{bias}) . For $V_{\text{bias}} > 6 \text{ mV}, \quad dI/dV_{\text{bias}} = 3.0 - 3.5 \ e^2/h, \text{ indicating a}$ SWCNT with highly transparent contacts. Such high conductance values could also arise from a multiwalled sample or from a sample with more than one SWCNT. However, the regularity of our data suggests a high-quality SWCNT, which is also favored by our CVD process. At $V_{\text{bias}}=0$, there is a clear but smooth Coulomb modulation pattern that evolves into diamonds at finite bias. The smooth and continuously nonzero modulation together with the high-bias conductance of nearly $4e^2/h$ leads to the conclusion that the sample behaves as a SET in the strong tunneling regime. By tracing the Coulomb diamonds, we find the addition energies E_{add} =2 E_C + ΔE_N , with Coulomb energy E_C = $e^2/(2C_{\Sigma})$ and level spacing $\Delta E_N = E_N - E_{N-1}$. The values in Fig. 2(b) cover the range of 2.2–3.4 meV, which sets an upper bound of E_C/k_B



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Two-dimensional map of the differential conductance dI/dV_{bias} in units of e^2/h as a function of gate voltage V_G and bias voltage V_{bias} . The lines mark the charge degeneracies. (b) Values of the addition energy E_{add} deduced from (a) as the degeneracy crossings in V_{bias} .

=12.8 K, corresponding to a total capacitance of the SET island of $C_{\Sigma} \sim 73$ aF. From the gate modulation and slopes of the diamonds in Fig. 2(a), we estimate the gate capacitance as $C_{p} \sim 2.9$ aF.

For rf-SET operation, the optimum operating point was found by searching for points of perfect matching, i.e., a vanishing reflection at the resonance frequency f_0 =754.2 MHz. The signal was homodyne detected by mixer, and the phase was tuned to be sensitive only to the real part of the SET impedance. We found three points around V_G =0.63-0.72 V with maximum differential response, coinciding with perfect matching [Fig. 3(a)]. Using a spectrum analyzer, the input carrier power was tuned to obtain maximum signal-to-noise ratio of the sidebands at $f_0 \pm f_{mod}$ while keeping a small gate-charge modulation of $q_{\rm rms}$ =0.006*e* at $f_{\text{mod}}=10$ MHz [Fig. 3(b)]. The signal-to-noise ratio of both sidebands yields a charge sensitivity of $\delta q = 2.3$ $\times 10^{-6} e / \sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, corresponding to an uncoupled energy sensitivity of $\varepsilon = \delta q^2 / (2C_{\Sigma}) \sim 9\hbar$. The frequency response was mapped out by repeating the sensitivity measurement over a range of modulation frequencies of 0.5–150 MHz [Fig. 3(c)]. We found a bandwidth of 85 MHz and observed that 1/f-noise only contributes significantly below 1–2 MHz.

Optimization of rf-SET sensitivity has been treated in several papers.^{22–25} The ultimate shot noise limited sensitivity was found in Ref. 20 to be

$$\delta q = 2.65e \sqrt{R_{\Sigma} C_{\Sigma} \frac{k_B T}{e^2 / C_{\Sigma}}},\tag{1}$$

which in our case $(R_{\Sigma}=10 \text{ k}\Omega, C_{\Sigma}=73 \text{ aF})$ amounts to $0.9 \times 10^{-6} e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$. When the noise of the amplifier dominates the performance, the sensitivity scales with the noise amplitude and the differential response of the rf reflectance $(\partial\Gamma/\partial q)$ as

$$\delta q = \frac{\sqrt{2k_B T_N Z_0}}{\nu_0 \times |\partial \Gamma / \partial q|},\tag{2}$$

where T_N denotes the noise temperature of the amplifier and ν_0 denotes the amplitude of the rf carrier signal. In our case,



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Demodulated signal averaged over 5000 gate voltage sweeps of each 2 μ s. The circles mark the points of perfect matching used in (b) and (c). (b) Power spectrum (0.3 MHz spectral resolution) under 754.2 MHz carrier excitation (-65 dBm) and 10 MHz gate modulation of 0.006*e*. (c) Charge sensitivity vs modulation frequency $f_{\rm mod}$ with/without a 100 MHz low-pass filter on the gate line (open/filled symbols). The lines indicate the roll-off limited by the bandwidth and 1/*f*-noise at low frequency.

the amplitude over the SET is $\nu_S = \nu_0 \sqrt{R_{\Sigma}/Z_0} \sim 2$ mV at perfect matching. In Ref. 23, an approximation of Eq. (2) was found from the orthodox theory as

$$\frac{\delta q}{e} = 1.46 \times 10^{-6} \times \left(\frac{k_B T}{E_C}\right)^{0.59} E_C(\mathbf{K})^{-1.01} \times \left(\frac{R_{\Sigma}}{Z_T}\right)^{0.91} T_N(\mathbf{K})^{0.5},$$
(3)

with E_C and T_N in units of K and $Z_T = \sqrt{L/C}$. Assuming that heating does not play a significant role, we estimate from Eq. (3) that $\delta q = 1.3 \times 10^{-6} e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, taking $E_C/k_B = 12.8$ K, $R_{\Sigma} = 10 \text{ k}\Omega$, $Z_T = 0.71 \text{ k}\Omega$, and $T_N = 4.2$ K. All these estimates are based on the classical orthodox theory for Coulomb blockade,¹ which is only valid in the weak tunneling regime. However, as discussed above, we are in the strong tunneling regime. One way around this issue is simply to adopt the effective charging energy $E_C^{\text{eff}} = 0.75E_C$, as calculated by Wang *et al.*⁷ Then the estimate for the charge sensitivity becomes $\delta q = 2.1 \times 10^{-6} e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, which agrees well with the measured value. In making our estimates, we have neglected the effects of quantum level spacing (see discussion in Ref. 26).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that a SWCNT quantum dot in the strong tunneling regime can be operated as an excellent rf-SET at liquid helium temperature (4.2 K). We obtain a charge sensitivity of $\delta q = 2.3 \times 10^{-6} e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, which is nearly as good as the best Al/AlO_x results.⁹ It represents an enhancement of at least a factor of 7 compared to previous carbon nanotube rf-SETs.^{15–17} Very recently, comparable sensitivities were achieved with other types of SETs: (1) InAs/InP heterostructured nanowires²⁷ and (2) electrostatically defined Si quantum dots.²⁸

With a bandwidth of 85 MHz, our gain-bandwidth product amounts to 3.7×10^{13} Hz^{3/2}/*e*, where gain is defined as $1/\delta q$. That is by more than one order of magnitude better than for typical rf-SETs.⁴ Therefore, SWCNT based rf-SETs may have value in applications where high speed is needed. One possible application is for charge sensing on quantum dots in the context of quantum computing. Here, the coupling to a nearby quantum dot structure, e.g., another nanotube, could be achieved via an antenna gate as it was recently demonstrated with SiGe nanowires.²⁹

The best way to enhance the sensitivity further would be to lower the temperature so that the Coulomb modulation is fully developed.³⁰ For Al/AlO_x junction SETs, the improvements at 40 mK were $\delta q = 0.9e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ in the superconducting state and $\delta q = 1.0e/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ in the normal state.⁹ To improve the bandwidth, the only option is to increase the resonance frequency of the *LC*-circuit. The limit set by the Bode–Fano criterium states that the maximum achievable bandwidth is $(2R_{\Sigma}C)^{-1}$, which in our case amounts to ~170 MHz.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to acknowledge H. I. Jørgensen, K. Grove-Rasmussen, T. Heikkilä, M. Paalanen, P. E. Lindelof, and B. Placais for the fruitful discussions. This work was supported by the Academy of Finland (Grant No. 213496) and by the European Union (EU) under Contract No. FP6-IST-021285-2.

- ¹See, e.g., K. K. Likharev, Proc. IEEE 87, 606 (1999).
- ²B. Starmark, T. Henning, T. Claeson, P. Delsing, and A. N. Korotkov, J. Appl. Phys. **86**, 2132 (1999).
- ³V. A. Krupenin, D. E. Presnov, M. N. Savvateev, H. Scherer, A. B. Zorin, and J. Niemeyer, J. Appl. Phys. 84, 3212 (1998).
- ⁴R. J. Schoelkopf, P. Wahlgren, A. A. Kozhevnikov, P. Delsing, and D. E. Prober, Science **280**, 1238 (1998).
- ⁵D. V. Averin, in *Macroscopic Quantum Coherence and Quantum Computing*, edited by D. V. Averin, B. Ruggiero, and P. Silvestrini (Kluwer, New York, 2001), pp. 399–408; e-print arXiv:cond-mat/0010052.
- ⁶M. H. Devoret and R. J. Schoelkopf, Nature (London) 406, 1039 (2000).
- ⁷X. Wang, R. Egger, and H. Grabert, Europhys. Lett. **38**, 545 (1997).
- ⁸P. Wahlgren, Ph.D. thesis, Chalmers University of Technology, 1998.

- ¹⁰S. J. Tans, M. H. Devoret, H. Dai, A. Thess, R. E. Smalley, L. J. Geerligs, and C. Dekker, Nature (London) 386, 474 (1997).
- ¹¹M. Bockrath, D. H. Cobden, P. L. McEuen, N. G. Chopra, A. Zettl, A. Thess, and R. E. Smalley, Science 275, 1922 (1997).

¹⁴F. Wu, P. Queipo, A. Nasibulin, T. Tsuneta, T. H. Wang, E. Kauppinen,

⁹H. Brenning, S. Kafanov, T. Duty, S. Kubatkin, and P. Delsing, J. Appl. Phys. **100**, 114321 (2006).

¹²J. Nygård, D. H. Cobden, M. Bockrath, P. L. McEuen, and P. E. Lindelof, Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process. **69**, 297 (1999).

¹³A. Javey, J. Guo, Q. Wang, M. Lundstrom, and H. Dai, Nature (London) 424, 654 (2003).

- and P. J. Hakonen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 156803 (2007).
- ¹⁵L. Roschier, M. Sillanpää, W. Taihong, M. Ahlskog, S. Iijima, and P. Hakonen, J. Low Temp. Phys. **136**, 465 (2004).
- ¹⁶M. J. Biercuk, D. J. Reilly, T. M. Buehler, V. C. Chan, J. M. Chow, R. G. Clark, and C. M. Marcus, Phys. Rev. B 73, 201402 (2006).
- ¹⁷Y. Tang, I. Amlani, A. O. Orlov, G. L. Snider, and P. J. Fay, Nanotechnology **18**, 445203 (2007).
- ¹⁸J. Kong, H. T. Soh, A. M. Cassell, C. F. Quate, and H. Dai, Nature (London) **395**, 878 (1998).
- ¹⁹K. Grove-Rasmussen, H. I. Jørgensen, and P. E. Lindelof, in *Controllable Quantum States, Mesoscopic Superconductivity and Spintronics*, edited by H. Takayanagi, J. Nitta, and H. Nakano (World Scientific, Singapore, 2007); e-print arXiv:cond-mat/0703338.
- ²⁰F. Wu, L. Roschier, T. Tsuneta, M. Paalanen, T. Wang, and P. Hakonen, AIP Conf. Proc. 850, 1482 (2006).
- ²¹L. Roschier and P. Hakonen, Cryogenics **44**, 783 (2004).
- ²²A. N. Korotkov and M. A. Paalanen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 74, 4052 (1999).
- ²³L. Roschier, P. Hakonen, K. Bladh, P. Delsing, K. W. Lehnert, L. Spietz, and R. J. Schoelkopf, J. Appl. Phys. **95**, 1274 (2004).
- ²⁴V. O. Turin and A. N. Korotkov, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 2898 (2003).
- ²⁵V. O. Turin and A. N. Korotkov, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 195310 (2004).
- ²⁶By studying the Coulomb diamond pattern around the optimum sensitivity, we deduce that $E_C \sim \Delta E_N$ and $\Delta E_N \sim 3k_B T$. This suggests that we are in the

- quantum Coulomb blockade (QCB) regime, where $k_BT \ll \Delta E_N < 2E_C$ and only few levels are involved in transport. However, comparing the conductance peak line shapes around optimum sensitivity with theory (Ref. 30), we find deviations between the experimental data and QCB predictions. From the experimental data we get $G_N = G/G_{\infty} \sim 0.45$ at peak maximum and $dG_N/dV_G \sim 10$ V⁻¹ as optimum transconductance. These values should be compared to $G_N \sim 0.75$ and $dG_N/dV_G \sim 40$ V⁻¹ as predicted by theory. Also the predictions from the metallic Coulomb blockade regime with $G_N \sim 0.5$ and $dG_N/dV_G \sim 20$ V⁻¹ are deviating, but the peak maximum is close to the measured. This discrepancy is explained by the fact that we are in the strong tunneling regime with $R_Q/R_{\Sigma} \sim 2.5$, which broadens the energy levels. Since we still have strong Coulomb blockade, we are not quite in the Breit–Wigner limit but rather in an intermediate regime $k_BT < h\Gamma \sim \Delta E_N < 2E_C$, which behaves closest to the strong tunneling description.
- ²⁷H. A. Nilsson, T. Duty, S. Abay, C. Wilson, J. B. Wagner, C. Thelander, P. Delsing, and L. Samuelson, Nano Lett. 8, 872 (2008).
- ²⁸S. J. Angus, A. J. Ferguson, A. S. Dzurak, and R. G. Clark, Appl. Phys. Lett. **92**, 112103 (2008).
- ²⁹Y. Hu, H. O. H. Churchill, D. J. Reilly, J. Xiang, C. M. Lieber, and C. M. Marcus, Nat. Nanotechnol. 2, 622 (2007).
- ³⁰C. W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B 44, 1646 (1991).