#### Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Al-Omari, Basem, Frisher, Martin, Croft, Peter and Sim, Julius (2013) Using adaptive choice based conjoint (ACBC) analysis to study patients' preferences regarding pharmaceutical treatment for osteoarthritis (OA). In: Annual European Congress of Rheumatology Conference 2013, 12th - 15th June 2013, Madrid, Spain.

#### **URL**:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/30066/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl



Using Adaptive Choice Based Conjoint (ACBC) analysis to predict individual patient preferences for pharmaceutical treatment of osteoarthritis.



Basem Al-Omari

## Background

- Eliciting *individual* patient preferences is important alongside eliciting *group* preferences.
- Group results are used to understand general population preferences and develop guidelines.
- Individual patient preferences assist patientclinician shared decision- making.



#### **OA** treatment

- NICE OA guidelines (2008) recommend that treatment and care of OA should take into account patients needs and preferences.
- The NICE OA guidelines (2008) did not recommend a particular method for eliciting patients preference.
- In order to facilitate shared agreements between clinician and patient, it is important that the clinician has a clear understanding of an *individual* patient's preference for OA treatment.



## Study aim

To examine whether predicted preferences derived from individual patients through ACBC match their expressed preferences for pharmaceutical treatment of osteoarthritis.



## Method

- 3 11 participants who were over 50 years of age and suffering from OA in at least one of their joints were recruited from the Research User Group (RUG) at the Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre, Keele University.
- Participants completed a computerised interactive ACBC questionnaire involving 8 attributes selected by the RUG using evidence-based information from published articles about the varying impact and risks of OA medication.
- Data were analysed for each individual patients.
- Patients were presented with the ACBC predicted preferences and were asked if it matches their expressed preferences

### **Attributes**

- Medication availability
- Frequency
- Route of administration
- } Expected benefit
- Risk of addiction
- Risk of stomach side effects
- Risk of kidney and liver side effects
- Risk of heart attacks and strokes



#### **Your Views on Osteoarthritis Treatment**

Please think about the medication you would most like to take for your Osteoarthritis. For each feature, select your preferred level.

Feature	Select one answer for each feature	
Availability	<ul><li>Prescription drug</li><li>Over-the-counter drug</li><li>Internet purchase drug</li></ul>	
How much you would expect to benefit	Expect 25% benefit Expect 50% benefit Expect 75% benefit	
Risk of stomach side effects	<ul> <li>No risk of stomach ulcer</li> <li>Low risk of stomach ulcer</li> <li>Moderate risk of stomach ulcer</li> <li>High risk of stomach ulcer</li> </ul>	
Risk of Kidney and liver side effects	<ul> <li>No risk of kidney or liver impairment</li> <li>Low risk of kidney or liver impairment</li> <li>Moderate risk of kidney or liver impairment</li> <li>High risk of kidney or liver impairment</li> </ul>	



#### **Your Views on Osteoarthritis Treatment**


Here, each vertical column represents a drug. For EACH ONE, please indicate if you would consider taking this drug or not by ticking the relevant box at the bottom of the column.

(1 of 6)

Prescription drug	Prescription drug	Over-the-counter drug
Expect 50% benefit	Expect 50% benefit	Expect 75% benefit
High risk of stomach ulcer	No risk of stomach ulcer	No risk of stomach ulcer
No risk of kidney or liver impairment	High risk of kidney or liver impairment	No risk of kidney or liver impairment
A possibility	A possibility	A possibility
Won't work for me	Won't work for me	Won't work for me



# Your Views on Osteoarthritis Treatment We have noticed that you have avoided drugs with certain features shown below. Please tick the ONE feature that is most unacceptable. High risk of kidney or liver impairment Moderate risk of kidney or liver impairment High risk of stomach ulcer None of these is totally unacceptable.





#### **Your Views on Osteoarthritis Treatment**

Here again, each vertical column represents a drug. Among these three, please decide which **ONE** is the best option for you? (Features that are the same for each drug are in grey, so you can focus on the features that are different.)


(1 of 4)


Over-the-counter drug	Over-the-counter drug	Over-the-counter drug
Expect 75% benefit	Expect 75% benefit	Expect 75% benefit
No risk of stomach ulcer	No risk of stomach ulcer	Low risk of stomach ulcer
Low risk of kidney or liver impairment	No risk of kidney or liver impairment	No risk of kidney or liver impairment
0	0	0

Back Next



### Individual results





Participant 1001


Participant 1003



## Findings

- The results individually differed between participants,
- 3 10 participants completely agreed and one partially agreed that the predicted results matched their preferences.







## Conclusion

ACBC is a practical tool that can be used in primary care to analyse individual patient preference prior to consultation, without unduly consuming clinicians' time



#### Acknowledgments

This research work would not have been possible without the participation of the Research Users' Group at the Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre, Keele University, UK.

We are extremely grateful to Carol Rhodes and Adele Higginbottom (Patient and Public Involvement Co- ordinator and assistant at the Arthritis Research UK Primary Care Centre) for their effort to facilitate the Research Users Group involvement.

## Thank you

