Revision 2

1

- 2 A petrological assessment of diamond as a recorder of the mantle nitrogen cycle
- 3 Sami Mikhail^{1,2} and Daniel Howell^{2,3}
- 4 1- Department of Earth & Environmental Sciences, The University of St. Andrews, United Kingdom
- 5 2- School of Earth Sciences, Wills Memorial Building, The University of Bristol, United Kingdom
- 6 3- Institute of Geoscience, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany

7 **Abstract:**

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Nitrogen is fundamental to the evolution of Earth and the life it supports, but for reasons poorly understood, it is cosmochemically the most depleted of the volatile elements. The largest reservoir in the bulk silicate Earth is the mantle, and knowledge of its nitrogen geochemistry is biased, because ≥90% of the mantle nitrogen database comes from diamonds. However, it is not clear to what extent diamonds record the nitrogen characteristics of the fluids/melts from which they precipitate. There is ongoing debate regarding the fundamental concept of nitrogen compatibility in diamond, and empirical global datasets reveal trends indicative of nitrogen being both compatible (fibrous diamonds) and incompatible (non-fibrous monocrystalline diamonds). A more significant and widely overlooked aspect of this assessment is that nitrogen is initially incorporated into the diamond lattice as single nitrogen atoms. However, this form of nitrogen is highly unstable in the mantle, where nitrogen occurs as molecular forms like N2, or NH4+, both of which are incompatible in the diamond lattice. A review of the available data shows that in classic terms, nitrogen is the most common substitutional impurity found in natural diamonds because it is of very similar atomic size and charge to carbon. However, the speciation of nitrogen, and how these different species disassociate during diamond formation to create transient monatomic nitrogen, are the factors governing nitrogen abundance in diamonds. This suggests the counter-intuitive notion that a nitrogen-free (Type II) diamond could grow from a N-rich media that is simply not undergoing reactions that liberate monatomic N. In contrast, a nitrogen-bearing (Type I) diamond could grow from a fluid with a lower N abundance, in which reactions are occurring to generate (unstable) N atoms during diamond formation. This implies that diamond's relevance to nitrogen abundance in the mantle is far more complicated than currently understood. Therefore, further petrological investigations are required to enable accurate interpretations of what nitrogen data from mantle diamonds can tell us about the deep nitrogen budget and cycle.

1. Introduction

The importance of the geodynamic nitrogen cycle should not be understated. Nitrogen is fundamental to the evolution of Earth and the life it supports. This is demonstrated by the Earth's atmosphere being made up of roughly 78 % nitrogen (Porcelli and Pepin, 2003), and nitrogen is a key element in the structure of molecules vital to life, including amino acids, proteins, and nucleic acids (Busigny and Bebout, 2013; references therein). It has also been suggested that oxidation of ammonic nitrogen in the mantle can generate water on the surface (Li and Keppler, 2014), and that nitrogen may have played an important role in heating Earth's surface above the freezing point of water despite a faint young sun (Sagan and Chyba, 1997; Goldblatt et al., 2009). Therefore, an understanding of the geodynamic nitrogen cycle is fundamental to understanding the development of the habitable Earth (Canfield et al., 2010).

Placing firm constraints on the flux of nitrogen between the Earth's reservoirs is fundamental for quantitative models, but also very challenging. Empirical data used to trace the behavior of nitrogen in Earth's mantle across deep time (Ga timescales) come from geochemical studies of nitrogen-bearing mantle xenoliths and xenocrysts. The mantle mineral most widely used for this purpose is diamond (>90% of the mantle nitrogen database), in which nitrogen is the most common and abundant lattice-bound impurity, with concentrations ranging from below detection to >5000 ppm (Fig.1a-c). However, there are distinctions between mantle diamond-types. The monocrystalline diamonds typically contain less nitrogen than coated diamonds (average = 200-300 ppm), polycrystalline, garnet-bearing diamondites are also known to contain higher nitrogen abundances than monocrystalline diamonds (average = 600 ppm; see Mikhail et al., 2013), and sublithospheric diamonds are typically found to contain nitrogen below detection limits (average <20 ppm; Harte, 2010). The analysis of nitrogen in diamond by non-destructive FTIR is relatively simple, which has led to the nitrogen-abundance classification system (see Howell et al., 2012; references therein). Diamonds containing nitrogen are termed Type I, while Type II diamonds contain no detectable nitrogen (the lower limit depends upon the technique used; see Mikhail et al., 2014a). Kaiser and Bond (1959) were the first to show that differences in the FTIR spectra correlated with the detection of nitrogen by mass spectrometry, leading to the concept that nitrogen is a lattice-bound substitutional

impurity in Type I diamonds. However, it was unknown if nitrogen was a primary or secondary impurity (Milledge and Meyer, 1962). Our current understanding is that single N atoms substitute for single C atoms on a growth interface, and become incorporated into the lattice as point defects. As diamond formation in the mantle spans a large temporal and spatial range (from 0.6 to 3.5 Ga and <150 to >600 km depth; Gurney et al., 2010; Shirey et al., 2013), the carbon and nitrogen geochemistry of diamonds have been used to place constraints on the nature of deep volatile cycles through the mantle (see Cartigny et al. (2014) for a review).

To use the presence of nitrogen in diamond to constrain the extent and flux of the mantle's nitrogen reservoir(s) requires an understanding of the partitioning behaviour of nitrogen into diamond as a function of P-T-X. The most common method applied to constrain nitrogen uptake into diamond is reverse modeling of empirical data. This method assigns a fluid-diamond partition coefficient for nitrogen by fitting the co-variations of carbon-isotope values and nitrogen abundances to a curve for a given temperature (using theoretical equilibrium carbon-isotope fractionation factors that assume the speciation of carbon as methane or carbonate: Javoy et al., 1984; Boyd et al., 1987, 1992; Boyd and Pillinger, 1994; Bulanova et al., 2002, 2014; Cartigny et al., 1997, 1998a,b, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2009; Klein-BenDavid et al., 2010; Harte et al., 1999; Hauri et al., 2002; Howell et al., 2013, 2015a; Gautheron et al., 2005; Hutchison et al., 1997; Mikhail et al., 2013, 2014a; Stachel and Harris, 2009; Palot et al., 2009, 2012, 2014; Thomassot et al., 2007, 2009), where T is independently determined using the degree of nitrogen aggregation and/or geothermometry on paired silicate inclusions (discussed by Stachel and Harris, 2008).

However, this approach has not explained how single atoms of nitrogen have been generated in the mantle for incorporation into the diamond lattice. As monatomic N is highly unstable, nitrogen would be expected to occur in other more stable forms (Mikhail and Sverjensky, 2014). Therefore, to interpret the meaning of the nitrogen data recorded in diamonds, and to model any possible equilibrium stable-isotope fractionation of 15 N/ 14 N to explain the large range of δ^{15} N data (Mikhail et al., 2014a) an understanding of the partitioning behaviour of nitrogen during diamond formation is required (e.g. Howell et al., 2015a). In this paper we evaluate the behavior of nitrogen during diamond formation, and show that the incorporation of nitrogen in to diamond is primarily controlled by diamond-forming reactions involving nitrogen in the growth medium.

2. Nitrogen compatibility in diamond

Considering the rules of trace element partitioning, first laid down by Goldschmidt (1937), the presence of nitrogen as a structural constituent in diamond is not unexpected, because carbon and nitrogen have similar charges and ionic radii. The first rule states that atoms of the same charge and radius will enter a crystal lattice with equal ease. This provides the basis for modern elemental substitution models, and in the simplest sense remains an accurate assumption, e.g. Ni substituting for Fe in olivine (Blundy and Wood, 2003). Any resulting charge imbalance will require balancing via a double substitution. For example, in diopside at 11.5 GPa and 750 °C, monovalent ammonium can be incorporated (up to 1000 ppm) through a double-substitution mechanism, with a trivalent ion balancing the charge: $(Ca^{2+})_{M2} + (Mg^{2+})_{M1} \Leftrightarrow (NH_4^+)_{M2} + (Al^{3+} \text{ or } Cr^{3+})_{M1}$ (Watenphul et al., 2010).

The compatibility of an element is most commonly expressed in one of two ways (for a more detailed discussions see Blundy and Wood, 2003). Assuming a two-phase system (diamond + fluid) the relative partitioning of N and C can be represented by a partition coefficient (D), which reflects the compatibility of N/C relative to fluids/melts (Eq.1). However, due to the crystal symmetry of diamond, N can be incorporated on any crystallographic site. In addition, C + N mix on the same sites, meaning a chemical control (i.e., stoichiometric constraint) will drive the partitioning behavior ($K_d - Eq.2$). Therefore, the partitioning behavior could be considered as the ratio of partition coefficients ($K_d - Eq.2$). This approach is similar to what is typically implemented for describing e.g. Fe-Mg exchange equilibrium between e.g. olivine and silicate melts (Toplis, 2005). Note that if if D_N or $K_N \ge 1$, the element is described as compatible.

$$D_N^{Diamond-Fluid} = \frac{N^{Diamond}}{N^{Fluid}}$$

100
$$\underline{\text{Eq. 2}} \qquad K_{N_{N-C}}^{Diamond-Fluid} = \frac{N^{Fluid} \div N^{Diamond}}{c^{Fluid} \div C^{Diamond}}$$

2.1. Previous models

There is no consensus in the literature regarding the overall compatibility of nitrogen in diamond. Instead, there are three contradictory arguments that are (confusingly) all supported by empirical datasets. These contradictory arguments are as follows: [1] nitrogen is incompatible in diamond (Boyd et al., 1994; Cartigny et al., 2001), [2], nitrogen is compatible in diamond (Stachel and Harris, 2009), and finally, [3] the compatibility of nitrogen in diamond is redox-sensitive (Deines et al., 1989; Thomassot et al., 2007; Smith and Kopylova, 2014).

The evidence for nitrogen being incompatible: The global dataset for the abundance of nitrogen in diamond shows a distribution skewed towards zero for non-coated monocrystalline mantle diamonds (Fig.1a-b), and this pattern is characteristic of an incompatible element (Ahrens, 1954). If N is incompatible, the incorporation of nitrogen in diamond must be governed by a kinetic process rather than by equilibrium distribution, despite the similarity in charge and ionic radius between atomic N and C. This would mean that nitrogen-rich diamonds occur as a consequence of rapid disequilibrium growth and the N/C ratio of diamond approaches that of the precipitating fluid or melt. In contrast, Type II diamonds would be produced by slow growth under near-equilibrium conditions, regardless of the nitrogen content of the growth medium (Cartigny et al 2001). In addition, a recent study by Palot et al. (2013) that determined the co-variations for δ^{13} C values and N-abundances in situ using SIMS, argued that these data are consistent with bulk-sample data (e.g. Cartigny et al., 2001), and concluded that nitrogen behaved incompatibly during diamond formation. The evidence for nitrogen being compatible: Stachel and Harris (2009) argue that nitrogen is compatible in diamond irrespective of fO2, citing the decreasing nitrogen contents from core to rim observed in high-pressure hightemperature (HPHT) synthetic diamonds grown under reducing conditions in Fe-Ni solvent catalysts (e.g. Reutsky et al 2008) and under more oxidizing conditions (de-carbonation of carbonate) in the presence of silicates (Pal'yanov et al 2002). The concept of nitrogen being compatible is also consistent with recent data from single populations of diamonds (e.g. Thomassot et al., 2007), and within single (zoned) diamonds (Smart et al., 2011; Palot et al., 2014; Petts et al., 2015). Thomassot et al. (2007) analyzed a suite of diamonds from a single peridotite xenolith (assumed to have formed in a single event) and found co-variations between the carbon-isotope values and nitrogen abundances that fit the equilibrium Rayleigh fractionation model outlined by Cartigny et al. (2001). These data result in a calculated K_N of 2 between diamond and a hypothetical methanogenic fluid; meaning nitrogen is twice as compatible in the diamond relative to the fluid. Similar studies used high spatial-resolution SIMS profiles within individual diamonds that showed pronounced oscillatory zoning and recorded co-variations of N contents vs. δ^{13} C (Smart et al., 2011; Palot et al., 2014; Petts et al., 2015). By applying the same reverse-modeling approach as Cartigny et al. (2001) and Thomassot et al. (2007) a K_N value of 5 was calculated for diamond precipitation from a carbonatitic fluid (Smart et al., 2011). Collectively, these two datasets suggest that nitrogen is compatible under both oxidizing and reducing conditions conducive to diamond-formation, assuming a simple two-phase relationship between diamond and fluid (i.e. not accounting for the possibility of ammonium partitioning into silicates or other phases).

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

The evidence for nitrogen compatibility being redox sensitive: Several studies have proposed that the compatibility of nitrogen in diamond is redox-sensitive (Deines et al., 1989; Thomassot et al., 2007). The most recent model argues that nitrogen is compatible in diamond only in the absence of Fe^{θ} or Fe-Ni alloys (Smith and Kopylova, 2014). This is largely based on the observation that the decrease in average nitrogen abundance in diamonds with increasing depth of origin can be correlated (crudely) with the predicted increasing mole fraction of Fe^{θ} in peridotitic mantle with depth (Frost et al., 2004; Rohrbach et al., 2007). Smith and Kopylova (2014) cite experimental data for diamond synthesis in a sealed capsule with a strong redox gradient where one end contained Fe-metal and the other contained carbonate (Palyanov et al. 2013). The diamonds in contact with Fe^{θ} contained 100–200 ppm N, whereas the diamonds in the carbonate-melt portion contained 1000–1500 ppm N. However, despite one potential exception (Howell et al., 2015b), there is little evidence to justify modeling diamond-formation in the mantle in equilibrium with a metallic solvent catalyst, especially considering that the theoretical metal saturation in the mantle is only 1wt % Fe^{θ} (Frost et al., 2004). Therefore, the application of the study by Smith and Kopylova (2014) to natural systems is limited. The total nitrogen abundance of the charges used in all diamond-synthesis experiments is unknown (i.e. never reported). Ergo, it is not possible to determine D_N or K_N values during experimental diamond formation using the existing published datasets.

${\bf 3.\,A\,new\,petrological\,assessment\,of\,the\,incorporation\,of\,nitrogen\,in\,mantle\,diamonds}$

The preceding review demonstrates, quite surprisingly, that the compatibility of nitrogen in diamond remains a highly debated topic. Thomassot et al. (2007) and Smart et al. (2011) show convincing data that indicate nitrogen is compatible in diamond, with K_N values of 2 and 5 during diamond precipitation from CH₄-rich and CO₃²-rich fluids respectively (at 1200°C). However, these conclusions do not explain why the global distribution of nitrogen concentrations for in non-coated monocrystalline diamonds is skewed towards zero (akin to incompatible behavior; **Fig.1a-b**), whereas the distribution for the fibrous growth of coated diamonds is Gaussian, peaking at *ca* 800 ppm (akin to compatible behavior; **Fig.1c**). Equally perplexing are the low nitrogen concentrations observed in diamonds from the deeper parts of the mantle (see Harte (2010) and references therein). Despite the question of nitrogen compatibility in diamond being contested, we propose it to be a moot point for the following reasons. According to Goldschmidt's (1937) rules for element compatibility, nitrogen is of the similar atomic size and charge to carbon, making N compatible in the diamond lattice, as is borne out by nitrogen being the most common substitutional

impurity in diamond. However, nitrogen is incorporated into diamond in a monatomic state, even though it does not occur in the mantle in this highly unstable form (Mikhail and Sverjensky, 2014; Li and Keppler, 2014). Nitrogen is stable under equilibrium conditions as a variety of molecules, where each nitrogen complex exhibits radically different chemical affinities. Therefore, the real focus of investigation should be into understanding how these various nitrogen species behave, and which potential chemical reactions can disassociate these compounds to produce (unstable) monatomic N during diamond formation.

Historically, nitrogen has been considered an atmophile element and accordingly grouped with the noble gases. Atmophile elements are defined as 'those elements that remain mostly on or above the surface because they are, or occur in, liquids and/or gases at temperatures and pressures found on the surface' (Goldschmidt, 1937). However, under conditions of high P and T, nitrogen can behave like a noble gas (N₂), a siderophile element (Fe₃N, TiN, BN), an alkali metal (NH₄*) or an organic reactant (e.g. nitrosyl; NO·). In fact, in samples from the lower crust and the upper mantle, nitrogen has been found as molecular N₂ (Andersen et al., 1995; Smith et al., 2014), ammonium (implied by Yokochi et al., 2009), metallic nitride (Fe₃N, TiN, BN; Dobrzhinetskaya *et al.* 2009), an impurity in carbide (Kaminsky & Wirth, 2011) and as a lattice-bound component within diamond (NC₄; Kaiser & Bond, 1959). Recent experimental and theoretical data have shown that pressure, temperature, redox state, pH, and the molar abundance of nitrogen can have significant effects on the speciation of nitrogen, with redox being the most important (Li and Keppler, 2014; Mysen et al., 2014; Mikhail and Sverjensky, 2014; Roskosz et al., 2006). For conditions relevant to most (by sample mass) diamond formation (1000-1300°C and 4-7 GPa across a LOGfO₂ range of QFM +2 to -4), nitrogen will be stable in diamond-forming fluids as ammonic (NH₄*/NH₃°) or molecular (N₂) forms (Fig.2 & 3).

None of the nitrogen molecules listed above are compatible in diamond, because they are far too large to fit within the diamond lattice (or neutrally charged and therefore inert, as is the case for N_2 and NH_3). Therefore, the only state in which nitrogen can be partitioned into diamond is as monatomic N^{-3} , which as noted above is not a stable form of nitrogen. This requires that nitrogen is incorporated into diamond when monatomic N is produced by coupled oxidation/reduction and acidity/basicity reactions involving N_2 or NH_4^+ and CO_2 or CH_4 . Three simplified ideal reactions are shown in equations 3-5, where CO_2 is interchangeable with CO_3^{2-} (but would require a different mass balance). Noteworthy, the " NC_4 " molecule is not an independent species, but instead represent diamond containing N as a point defect in the crystal structure:

Oxidation/reduction reactions:

192 Eq. 3 $4CH_4 + NH_4^+ + 5O_2 = (NC_4)^+ + 10H_2O$

193 Eq. 4 $8CO_2 + N_2 + 2H_2 = 2(NC_4)^+ + 2H_2O + 7O_2$

Acidity/basicity reaction:

194

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

195 Eq. 5 $4CO_2 + NH_4^+ = NC_4 + 2H_2O + 3O_2$

For the above reactions to form diamond requires that the nitrogen-bearing species in the diamond-forming medium to become unstable at upper mantle conditions. This means they will react during diamond-formation, thus enabling monatomic nitrogen to partition into diamond following oxidation of N_{4} +(Eq3), the reduction of N_{2} (Eq.4), or dehydrogenation of NH₄+ (Eq.5). Equilibrium constants for the stability of ammonium/molecular nitrogen under conditions conducive to diamond formation show that the transition between ammonic and molecular nitrogen at 1200°C occurs when $LOGfO_2$ is between $\Delta QFM = 0$ and -2 (Li and Keppler, 2014). These conditions are very similar to those under which carbonate/methane transitions to diamond (Stagno et al., 2010; Sverjensky et al., 2014; Frost and McCammon, 2008) (Fig.2). In short, diamond formation can occur under conditions where ammonium is thermodynamically stable, which would inhibit nitrogen partitioning into diamond. Our model implies that nitrogen uptake into diamond is not a kinetically driven process based upon N being compatible in the diamond lattice, but instead requires coupled oxidation/reduction (Eq.3-4) or acid/base (Eq.5) reactions to liberate monatomic N from ammonium or molecular nitrogen during diamond formation. In this model, the formation of Type II diamond can occur under a variety of conditions, and requires no single mechanism/environment for formation. From a petrological standpoint it is not necessary for Type II diamonds to precipitate in N-free domains or from N-poor fluids; it is only required that Type II diamond precipitate in the absence of monatomic nitrogen. Diamond growing in equilibrium with Fe₃N, TiN, NH₄, NH₃, or N₂ would be Type II (because these nitrogen molecules are incompatible in the diamond lattice).

Diamonds that are thought to grow in the lower parts of the upper mantle, the transition zone, and the lower mantle (based on their mineral inclusion assemblages) are commonly found to be Type II (see Harte (2010) and references therein). One possible explanation could be that nitrogen becomes more compatible in other mineral phases that are present at these reduced depths in the mantle (such as Fe-alloys, and K-bearing minerals such as K-hollandite). In a sense, this is a similar process to that used in industrial HPHT diamond synthesis of Type II diamonds; the reduction of nitrogen in the presence of native metals such as Fe, Cr, or Ti (nitrogen getting / nitriding; Stachel and Harris, 2009). Tentative evidence for this process in nature comes from an unusual suite of Fe-

carbide inclusions within a diamond from the Amazon craton (Juina, Brazil; Kaminsky and Wirth, 2011). The Fecarbide has a high N content (termed nitro-carbide; 73 000-91 000 ppm N) while the host diamond contains only 44ppm nitrogen. This would give a K_{N diamond-carbide} of only 0.0005. However, Mikhail et al., (2014c) also described several Fe-carbide inclusions from two Southern African diamonds (from Jagersfontein, Kaapvaal craton, South Africa) in which neither the diamond nor the syngenetic carbide inclusions contained detectable nitrogen. Interestingly, a recent study by Tsuno and Dasgupta (2015), demonstrating the effect of S on the stability of diamond in equilibrium with Fe-Ni alloys, undermines the argument for co-existing nitride formation. They found that the presence of S results in the assemblage diamond + sulfide, whereas in the absence of S the assemblage is Fe-carbide (cementite) + Fe-Ni alloy (Lord et al., 2009). As sulfides are the most common inclusion in diamond (Shirey et al., 2013), it is likely that the formation of sulfide precludes the formation of nitrides, as it does for carbides. Although this aspect is the subject of debate and ongoing study, it is important to note that the existence of lithospheric Type II diamonds (i.e. those formed at more typical depths of 120-200 km) and the lack of metallic inclusions in almost all sub-lithospheric diamonds demonstrate that the presence of native metals and exceedingly reduced conditions are not required to explain the formation of Type II diamonds in the sub-lithospheric mantle. In addition, there is evidence that sub-lithospheric diamond-formation occurs in equilibrium with relatively oxidizing subducted carbonate melts in the sublithospheric mantle, ergo, inhibiting carbide or nitride stability during 'deep' diamondformation (Walter et al., 2008, 2011; Thompson et al., 2014).

4. Implications for diamond-formation

Despite the similarity in charge and ionic radius between N and C, data from extensive studies of natural diamonds and HPHT experiments provide conflicting evidence regarding the compatibility of nitrogen in diamond (e.g. Deines et al., 1989; Cartigny et al., 2001; Thomassot et al., 2007; Stachel and Harris, 2009; Smart et al., 2011; Smith and Kopylova, 2014). It is clear that when the matter is considered from a petrological standpoint, the behavior of nitrogen during diamond formation is complex. For nitrogen to be in an atomic form, capable of incorporation into the diamond lattice during growth, requires coupled oxidation/reduction (Eq.3-4) or acid/base (Eq.5) reactions during diamond formation to liberate N in the monatomic state. This fundamental concept undermines our entire thinking about diamond as a recorder of mantle nitrogen. For example, it is possible to argue that a Type II diamond could have grown from a fluid with a high nitrogen concentration, where conversely a Type I

diamond can precipitate from a fluid with a much lower N content - a counter-intuitive notion. Ergo, the nitrogen abundance of mantle diamonds is potentially controlled by the fO_2 conditions during diamond formation, and not just the nitrogen concentration of the diamond-forming fluid. By inference, using the global datasets (**Fig. 1a-c**) we predict that the formation of the fibrous overgrowths of coated diamonds may require a large redox gradient that transitions across the stability of ammonium and molecular nitrogen (which is dependent upon P, T, and X), generating abundant monatomic nitrogen that is readily incorporated into the fibrous diamond. Conversely, the formation of non-fibrous monocrystalline diamond occurs across a large range of redox states, that do not necessarily cross the boundary between nitrogen species, meaning many samples may grow under conditions where ammonium or molecular nitrogen are completely stable species during diamond formation (and are dissolved in the fluid). This model explains why the distribution of nitrogen concentrations in non-fibrous monocrystalline diamonds is skewed towards zero (akin to an incompatible element; **Fig.1a-b**) and the distribution for the fibrous overgrowths of coated diamonds is Gaussian (akin to a compatible element; **Fig.1a-b**)

It is important to reiterate the point that broad statements regarding the compatibility of nitrogen in diamond are too simplistic. Atomic nitrogen is compatible, whereas molecular nitrogen, ammonium/ammonia and metallic nitrides are not. Therefore, we need to understand the effects of the mantle P-T-X-fO₂ conditions on the speciation of nitrogen over the range relevant to diamond formation. The diversity of stable nitrogen molecules under this range of mantle conditions can exhibit radically different solubility, stability, and partitioning behavior in fluids and melts, meaning a general view on nitrogen compatibility in diamond is irrelevant and it needs to be considered on a case-by-case basis. Therefore, a much more pertinent question is 'what is the behavior of nitrogen in the mantle, and how is diamond formation recording it?'

4.1 N in HPHT Synthetic Diamonds

This review of N behavior during natural diamond growth raises obvious questions when it comes to synthetic diamond growth. When diamonds are synthesized under HPHT conditions from metal solvents (e.g. FeNi alloy), they commonly contain substitutional N unless special steps are taken (i.e. the addition of N getters such as Ti^{θ}). The source of the N is assumed to be atmospheric contamination (i.e. N_2 ; Boyd et al., 1988). If we consider the redox reactions above (eq. 3-5) which affect N speciation, then it is likely that the N_2 will be subjected to very reducing conditions within the HPHT capsule (in equilibrium with C^{θ} and a Fe-Ni alloy). This means that N_2 will be converted

to iron nitride or NH₄, during which time monatomic N will be generated, which can be incorporated into the diamond lattice.

5. Broader implications and future directions

5.1 Stable isotope fractionation

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

The use of stable isotopes to trace subducted material through the mantle is widespread, and based on the thermodynamic principle that equilibrium stable isotope fractionation is large at low temperatures and decreases greatly as a function of T (Urey, 1947). Therefore, the light element stable isotope ratios (e.g. carbon and nitrogen) are fractionated in Earth's surficial reservoirs, primarily the atmosphere and hydrosphere, by much larger factors than are possible in the mantle. This makes the stable isotopes of light atmophile elements powerful tracers of material subducted through the mantle (Hilton et al., 2002). However, because carbon isotopes can be significantly fractionated in the mantle under open-system Rayleigh conditions (Cartigny et al., 2001), or reducing conditions involving Fe-carbides (Mikhail et al., 2014c), the use of diamonds' carbon isotopes alone cannot conclusively ascribe a mantle origin to the diamond-forming carbon (Cartigny et al., 1997). As nitrogen is present in most diamonds, the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios in diamond have been coupled with the ¹³C/¹²C ratios to verify or challenge the conclusions based solely on carbon-isotope data (Javoy et al., 1984; Boyd et al., 1987, 1992; Boyd and Pillinger, 1994; Cartigny et al., 1997, 1998a,b, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2009; Harte et al., 1999; Bulanova et al., 2002, 2014; Hauri et al., 2002; Howell et al., 2015a; Gautheron et al., 2005; Hutchison et al., 1997; Thomassot et al., 2007, 2009; Petts et al., 2015; Palot et al., 2009, 2012; 2014; Klein-BenDavid et al., 2010; Mikhail et al., 2013, 2014a). However, it is unclear whether the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio in diamond records the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio of the diamond-forming fluids. The model presented here implies that partitioning of nitrogen into diamond can occur via three main reactions, involving either N₂ or NH₄ (Eq.3-5). Due to significant differences in the vibrational frequencies for nitrogen bonds in reduced and oxidised species (e.g. C-N, bonds, N-H bonds, and N-N bonds; Richet et al., 1977), deviations from the typical ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios in mantlederived rocks and within single minerals (e.g. diamond) may be a consequence of redox and pH changes in uppermantle fluids (Petts et al., 2015). Instead of requiring special mechanisms such as tectonic injection of isotopically heterogeneous crustal sources (Boyd and Pillinger, 1994; Mikhail et al., 2014a) or primordial heterogeneities (Javoy et al., 1986; Cartigny et al., 1997; Palot et al., 2012), the observed variations in the nitrogen isotopic compositions of diamonds and other mantle materials might be the result of intra-mantle equilibrium (Petts et al., 2015) or kinetic (Yokochi et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009) stable-isotope fractionation associated with changes in fluid chemistry during metasomatic reactions in the mantle. Therefore, qualitative understandings of equilibrium stable-isotope fractionation factors for nitrogen isotopes during the reactions shown in Eq. 3-5 are required.

5.2 The mantle nitrogen budget

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

Mantle diamonds show a decrease in average nitrogen abundance with increasing depth of formation. This could reflect a decrease in the nitrogen content of the silicate Earth with depth, as would be predicted if nitrogen were to behave like a noble gas, or if nitrogen is more compatible in other phases with increasing depth. Several lines of direct and indirect evidence show that nitrogen does not necessarily behave like a noble gas, and that the mantle is a significant reservoir for nitrogen: [1] Nitrogen shows the largest depletion (relative to chondrites) in the bulk silicate Earth + atmosphere compared with the enrichment levels of other volatile elements, including the noble gases (H, C, N, Ne, Ar, Kr, and Xe; Marty, 2012; Halliday, 2013), [2] The calculated flux for nitrogen between the surface and interior (subduction vs. volcanism) implies that more nitrogen is being out-gassed from the interior than is being returned into the mantle (Busigny et al., 2011), and [3] the solubility of nitrogen in enstatite and forsterite demonstrates that the reduced parts of the upper mantle can store >50 times more nitrogen than the surface reservoirs (including the atmosphere; Li and Keppler, 2013; Watenphul et al., 2010). Collectively, these data strongly imply the existence of a deep reservoir. Paradoxically, diamond is the mantle mineral with the highest average nitrogen abundance, but may not be the largest reservoir of mantle nitrogen. By far the most important storage mechanism for nitrogen in the mantle is probably through the exchange equilibria between positively charged ammonium cations (NH₄+) and positively charged alkali metals (e.g. Rb+ & K+). This implies that the mantle N-H cycle could follow the same pathways as alkali metals. Thus, based on the stability of the known K-bearing phases (Harlow and Davies, 2004) it is likely that ammonium-bearing mantle phases are stable throughout the entire silicate portion of the Earth. The nature of the deep nitrogen reservoir therefore depends upon the stability of ammonium in the mantle. The mole fraction of ammonic/total nitrogen is predicted to decrease with increasing temperature (e.g. Fig.3 a & b). However, there the mole fraction of ammonic/total nitrogen should increase with increasing pressure (e.g. Fig. 3 b & d), albeit this relationship is known with a limitation of only 4 GPa for ΔP and maximum T of only 1400°C (Mikhail & Sverjensky, 2014; Li & Keppler, 2014). What is now required are data on the solubility and partitioning of ammonic nitrogen between fluids, melts, and high-pressure K-bearing phases, to address the depletion of nitrogen relative to the other volatile elements, and by inference to express (mechanically) why average nitrogen abundance in diamonds decreases with increasing depth of formation. Addressing this question will provide a more robust understanding of the fluxing of nitrogen during subduction, and the N-H storage capacity of planetary silicate mantles as a whole.

6 Summary

Nitrogen is initially incorporated into the diamond lattice as single nitrogen atoms, a form of nitrogen which is highly unstable. However, the stable forms of nitrogen in the mantle are dominated by N₂, and NH₄*, both of which are incompatible in the diamond lattice. A review of the available data shows that in classic terms, nitrogen is the most common substitutional impurity found in natural diamonds because it is of very similar atomic size and charge to carbon. However, the speciation of nitrogen, and how these different species disassociate during diamond formation to create transient monatomic nitrogen, are the factors governing nitrogen abundance in diamonds. Our model suggests a counter-intuitive notion; nitrogen-free diamond could grow from a N-rich media that is simply not undergoing reactions to liberate monatomic N from N₂, or NH₄* during coupled oxidation/reduction or acid/base reactions during diamond-formation (Eqs.3-5). In contrast, a nitrogen-bearing diamond could grow from a fluid with a lower N abundance, in which reactions are occurring to generate (unstable) N atoms during diamond formation are occurring.

The most logical conclusion we can derive is that, at present, we do not understand how diamonds are recording the mantle nitrogen cycle. However, we believe diamonds still represent our best tool for investigating numerous aspects of the mantle C-N cycle, especially when considering carbon and nitrogen stable isotope data. However, further investigations into the speciation, partitioning, and equilibrium stable-isotope fractionation of nitrogen will enable a more accurate interpretation of the nitrogen data from mantle diamonds, and lead to a better understanding of the Earth deep volatile cycles and fluxes.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to W.L Griffin for providing valuable scientific and editorial comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. Reviewers D. Petts and C.M. Breeding, and editor D. Hummer are thanked for useful comments that further improved this manuscript. We are also grateful to members of the Bristol University petrology group (M.J Walter, S.C Kohn, R.A Brooker, O.T Lord, S McMahon, L Speich, L Ziberna, C.B Smith, and G.P Bulanova) for discussing this topic as part of the internal diamond group meetings. During part of this study, SM was employed at Bristol on NERC grant NE/J008583/1 to Michael J Walter. DH is funded by a DAAD PRIME fellowship. SM is grateful to the Deep Carbon

358 Observatory for funding the fortnightly 'Deep Carbon' meeting at the Carnegie Institution of Washington (USA), where the

idea for this study first originated.

360

361

References cited

- 362 Ahrens, L.H. (1954) The log-normal distribution of the elements (a fundamental law of geochemistry and its
- subsidiary). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 5, 49–73.
- Andersen, T., Burke, E. A. J., and Neumann, E. R. (1995) Nitrogen-rich fluid in the upper mantle: fluid inclusions in
- spinel dunite form Lanzarote, Canary Islands. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 120, 20-28.
- Blundy, J., and Wood, B.J. (2003) Partitioning of trace elements between crystals and melts. Earth and Planetary
- 367 Science Letters, 210, 383-397.
- Boyd, S.R., Pillinger, C.T., Milledge, H.J., Mendelssohn, M., and Seal, M. (1988) Fractionation of nitrogen isotopes in a
- 369 synthetic diamond of mixed crystal habit. Nature 331, 604-607.
- 370 Boyd, S.R., Pillinger, C.T., Milledge, H.J., and Seal, M.J. (1992) C and N isotopic composition and the infrared
- absorption spectra of coated diamonds: evidence for the regional uniformity of CO₂-H₂O rich fluids in lithospheric
- mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 108, 139-150.
- Boyd, S.R., Mattey, D.P., Pillinger, C.T., Milledge, H.J., Mendelssohn, M., and Seal, M. (1987) Multiple growth events
- during diamond genesis: an integrated study of carbon and nitrogen isotopes and nitrogen aggregation state in
- coated stones. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 86, 341-353.
- Boyd, S.R., and Pillinger, C.T. (1994) A preliminary study of ¹⁵N/¹⁴N in octahedral growth form diamonds. Chemical
- 377 Geology, 116, 43-59.
- Bulanova, G.P., Pearson, D.G., Hauri, E.H., and Griffin, B.J. (2002) Carbon and nitrogen isotope systematics within a
- sector-growth diamond from the Mir kimberlite, Yakutia. Chemical Geology, 188, 105-123.
- Bulanova G. P., Wiggers de Vries D., Pearson D. G., Beard A., Mikhail S., Smelov A. P. and Davies G. R. (2014) Carbon
- recycling and polygenetic origin of eclogitic diamonds recorded by a single crystal from the Mir pipe (Yakutia).
- 382 Chemical Geology, 381, 40–55.
- Busigny, V., Cartigny, P., and Philippot, P. (2011) Nitrogen isotopes in ophiolitic metagabbros: A re-evaluation of

- 384 modern nitrogen fluxes in subduction zones and implication for the early Earth atmosphere. Geochimica
- 385 Cosmochimica et Acta, 75, 7502-7521.
- Busigny, V., and Bebout, G.E. (2013) Nitrogen in the silicate Earth: Speciation and isotopic behaviour during mineral-
- fluid interactions. Elements, 9, 353-358.
- 388 Canfield, D.E., Glazer, A.N., and Falkowski, P.G. (2010) The evolution and future of Earth's nitrogen cycle. Science,
- 389 330, 192-196.
- 390 Cartigny, P., Boyd, S.R., Harris, J.W., and Javoy, M. (1997) Nitrogen isotopes in peridotitic diamonds from Fuxian,
- 391 China: the mantle signature. Terra Nova, 9, 175-179.
- Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W., and Javoy, M. (1998a) Eclogitic Diamond Formation at Jwaneng: No Room for a Recycled
- 393 Component. Science, 280, 1421-1424.
- Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W., Phillips, D., Girard, M., and Javoy, M. (1998b) Subduction-related diamonds? The evidence
- for a mantle-derived origin from coupled delta δ^{13} C- δ^{15} N determinations. Chemical Geology, 147, 147-159.
- 396 Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W., and Javoy, M. (1999) Eclogitic, Peridotitic and Metamorphic diamonds and the problems of
- 397 carbon recycling—the case of Orapa (Botswana). 7th International Kimberlite Conference Extended Abstract, 117–
- 398 124.
- 399 Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W., and Javoy, M. (2001) Diamond genesis, mantle fractionations and mantle nitrogen content: a
- study of δ 13C-N concentrations in diamonds. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 185, 85-98.
- 401 Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W., Taylor, A., Davies, R., and Javoy, M. (2003) On the possibility of a kinetic fractionation of
- 402 nitrogen stable isotopes during natural diamond growth. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 67, 1571-1576.
- 403 Cartigny, P., Stachel, T., Harris, J.W., and Javoy, M. (2004) Constraining diamond metasomatic growth using C- and N-
- stable isotopes: examples from Namibia. Lithos, 77, 359-373.
- 405 Cartigny, P. (2005) Stable isotopes and the origin of diamond. Elements, 1, 79-84.
- 406 Cartigny, P., Farquhar, J., Thomassot, E., Harris, J.W., Wing, B., Masterson, A., McKeegan, K., and Stachel, T. (2009) A
- 407 mantle origin for Paleoarchean peridotitic diamonds from the Panda kimberlite, Slave Craton: Evidence from ¹³C-,
- 408 ¹⁵N- and ^{33,34}S-stable isotope systematics. Lithos, 112, 852-864.

- 409 Cartigny, P. (2010) Mantle-related carbonados? Geochemical insights from diamonds from the Dachine komatiite
- 410 (French Guiana). Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 296, 3-11.
- Cartigny, P., Palot, M., Thomassot, E., and Harris, J.W. (2014) Diamond formation: a stable isotope perspective.
- 412 Annual Reviews in Earth and Planetary Sciences, 42, 699–732.
- Deines P., Harris J. W., Spear P. M. and Gurney J. J. (1989) Nitrogen and ¹³C content of Finsch and Premier diamonds
- and their implications. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 53, 1367–1378.
- 415 Dobrzhinetskaya, L.F., Wirth, R., Yang, J., Hutcheon, I.D., Weber, P.K., and Green, H.W. (2009) High-pressure highly
- reduced nitrides and oxides from chromitite of a Tibetan ophiolite. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,
- 417 106, 19233-19238.
- 418 Frost, D.J., Liebske, C., Langenhorst, F., McCammon, C.A., Tronnes, R.G., and Rubie, D.C. (2004) Experimental evidence
- for the existence of iron-rich metal in the Earth's lower mantle. Nature, 428, 409-412.
- 420 Frost, D.J, and McCammon, C.M. (2008) The Redox State of Earth's Mantle. Annual Reviews in Earth and Planetary
- 421 Sciences, 36, 389-420.
- Gautheron, C., Cartigny, P., Moreira, M., Harris, J.W., and Allègre, C.J. (2005) Evidence for a mantle component shown
- 423 by rare gases, C and N isotopes in polycrystalline diamonds from Orapa (Botswana). Earth and Planetary Science
- 424 Letters, 240, 559-572.
- 425 Goldblatt, C., Claire, M.W., Lenton, T.M., Matthews, A.J., Watson, A.J., and Zahnle, K.J. (2009) Nitrogen-enhanced
- 426 greenhouse warming on early Earth. Nature Geoscience, 2, 891–896.
- Goldschmidt, V.S. (1937) The principles of distribution of chemical elements in minerals and rocks. Journal of the
- 428 Chemical Society, 140, 655–673.
- 429 Gurney, J.J., Helmstaedt, H.H., Richardson, S.H., and Shirey, S.B. (2010) Diamonds through Time. Economic Geology,
- 430 105, 689-712.
- Halliday, A. N. (2013) The origins of volatiles in the terrestrial planets. Geochimica Cosmochimica et Acta, 105, 146-
- 432 171.
- Harlow G.E., and Davis, R. (2004) Status report on stability of K-rich phases at mantle conditions. Lithos, 77, 647-653.

- 434 Harte, B. (2010) Diamond formation in the deep mantle: the record of mineral inclusions and their distribution in
- relation to mantle dehydration. Mineralogical Magazine, 74(2), 189-215.
- Harte, B., Fitzsimons, I.C.W., Harris, J.W., and Otter, M.L. (1999) Carbon isotope ratios and nitrogen abundances in
- 437 relation to cathodoluminescence characteristics for some diamonds from the Kaapvaal Province, S. Africa.
- 438 Mineralogical Magazine, 63, 829-829.
- 439 Hauri, E.H., Wang, J., Pearson, D.G., and Bulanova, G.P. (2002) Microanalysis of δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N, and N abundances in
- diamonds by secondary ion mass spectrometry. Chemical Geology, 185, 149-163.
- 441 Hilton, D,R., Fischer, T.P., and Marty, B. (2002) Noble gases and volatile recycling at subduction zones. In Porcelli,
- D.P., Ballentine, C.J., and Wieler, R. (Eds.). Noble Gas in Geochemistry and Cosmochemistry, Mineralogical Society of
- 443 America, Washington DC, 319–370.
- Howell, D., O'Neill, C.J., Grant, K.J., Griffin, W.L., Pearson, N.J., and O'Reilly, S.Y. (2012) μ-FTIR mapping: distribution of
- impurities in different types of diamond growth. Diamond and Related Materials, 29, 29–36.
- Howell, D., Griffin, W.L., Piazolo, S., Say, J., Stern, R.A., Stachel, T., Nasdala, L., Rabeau, J., Pearson N.J., and O'Reilly, S.Y.
- 447 (2013) A spectroscopic and carbon-isotope study of mixed-habit diamonds: Impurity characteristics and growth
- 448 environment. American Mineralogist, 98, 66-77.
- Howell, D., Stern, R.A., Griffin, W.L., Southworth, R., Mikhail, S., and Stachel, T. (2015a) Nitrogen isotope systematics
- 450 and origins of mixed-habit diamonds. Geochimica Cosmochimica et Acta, 157, 1-12.
- 451 Howell, D., Griffin, W.L., Yang, J., Gain, S., Stern, R.A., Huang, J., Jacob, D.E., Xu, X., Stokes, A.J., O'Reilly, S., Pearson, N.,
- 452 (2015b) Diamonds in ophiolites: contamination or a new diamond growth environment. Earth and Planetary Science
- 453 Letters, 430, 284-295.
- 454 Hutchison, M.T., Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W. (1997) Carbon and Nitrogen Compositions and Physical Characteristics of
- 455 Transition Zone and Lower Mantle Diamonds from Sao Luiz, Brazil, in: Gurney, J.I., Gurney, J.L., Pascoe, S.H., and
- Richardson, S.H. (Eds.), Proceedings of the VII International Kimberlite Conference. Red Roof Design, Cape Town,
- 457 372-382.
- 458 Javoy, M., Pineau, F., and Demaiffe, D., (1984) Nitrogen and carbon isotopic composition in the diamonds of Mbuji
- Mayi (Zaire). Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 68, 399-412.

- 460 Kaiser, W., and Bond, W.L. (1959) Nitrogen, A Major Impurity in Common Type I Diamond. Physical Review Letters,
- 461 115, 857-863.
- Kaminsky, F.V., and Wirth, R. (2011) Iron carbide inclusions in lower-mantle diamond from Juina, Brazil. Canadian
- 463 Mineralogist, 49, 555-572.
- 464 Klein-BenDavid, O., Pearson, D.G., Nowell, G.M., Ottley, C., McNeill, J.C.R., and Cartigny, P. (2010) Mixed fluid sources
- 465 involved in diamond growth constrained by Sr-Nd-Pb- C-N isotopes and trace elements. Earth and Planetary
- 466 Science Letters, 289, 123-133.
- Li Y. and Keppler H. (2014) Nitrogen speciation in mantle and crustal fluids. Geochimica Cosmochimica et Acta, 129,
- 468 13-32.
- 469 Li, L., Cartigny, P., and Ader, M. (2009) Kinetic nitrogen isotope fractionation associated with thermal decomposition
- of NH₃: Experimental results and potential implications to natural-gas and hydrothermal systems. Geochimica
- 471 Cosmochimica et Acta, 73, 6282-6297.
- Lord, O. T., Walter, M.J., Dasgupta, R., Walker, D., and Clark, S.M. (2009) Melting in the Fe-C system to 70 GPa, Earth
- and Planetary Science Letters, 284, 157–167.
- 474 Marty, B. (2012) The origins and concentrations of water, carbon, nitrogen and noble gases on Earth. Earth and
- 475 Planetary Science Letters, 313, 56–66
- 476 Mikhail, S. and Sverjensky, D.A. (2014) Nitrogen speciation in upper mantle fluids and the origin of Earth's nitrogen-
- rich atmosphere. Nature Geoscience, 7, 816-819.
- 478 Mikhail S., Verchovsky A.B., Howell D., Hutchison M.T., Southworth R., Thomson A.R., Warburton P., Jones A.P. and
- 479 Milledge H.J. (2014a) Constraining the internal variability of the stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen within
- 480 mantle diamonds. Chemical Geology, 366, 14–23.
- 481 Mikhail, S., McCubbin, M.F., and Howell, D. (2014b) Evidence for multiple diamondite-forming events in the mantle.
- 482 American Mineralogist, 99, 1537-1543.
- 483 Mikhail, S., Guillermier, C., Franchi, I.A., Beard, A.D., Verchovsky, A.B., Wood, I., Jones, A.P., and Milledge, H.J. (2014c)
- Empirical evidence for the fractionation of carbon isotopes between diamond and iron carbide from the Earth's
- mantle. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems, DOI: 10.1002/2013GC005138.

- 486 Mikhail, S., Dobosi, G., Verchovsky, A.B., Kurat, G., and Jones, A.P. (2013) Peridotitic and websteritic diamondites
- 487 provide new information regarding mantle melting and metasomatism induced through the subduction of crustal
- 488 volatiles. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 107, 1-11.
- 489 Milledge, H.J., and Meyer, M.O.A. (1962) Nitrogen-14 in Natural Diamonds. Nature, 195, 171-172.
- 490 Mysen, B.O., Tomita, T., Ohtani, E., Suzuki, A. (2014) Speciation of and D/H partitioning between fluids and melts in
- 491 silicate-D-O-H-C-N systems determined in-situ at upper mantle temperatures, pressures, and redox conditions.
- 492 American Mineralogist, 99, 578-588.
- 493 Palot, M., Cartigny, P., and Viljoen, F. (2009) Diamond origin and genesis: A C and N stable isotope study on diamonds
- from a single eclogitic xenolith (Kaalvallei, South Africa). Lithos, 112, 758–766.
- 495 Palot M., Cartigny P., Harris J.W., Kaminsky F.V., and Stachel T. 2012. Evidence for deep mantle convection and
- 496 primordial heterogeneity from nitrogen and carbon stable isotopes in diamond. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
- 497 357, 179–193.
- 498 Palot, M., Pearson, D.G., Stern, R.A., Stachel, T., and Harris, JW. (2014) Isotopic constraints on the nature and
- 499 circulation of deep mantle C-H-O-N fluids: Carbon and nitrogen systematics within ultra-deep diamonds from
- 500 Kankan (Guinea). Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 139, 26-46.
- Pal'yanov Y.N, Sokol A.G, Borzdov Y.M, Khokhryakov A.F and Sobolev N.V. (2002) Diamond formation through
- 502 carbonate-silicate interaction. American Mineralogist, 87 1009–1013
- 503 Pal'yanov Y.N., Bataleva Y.V., Sokol A.G., Borzdov Y.M., Kupriyanov I.N., Reutsky V.N., and Sobolev N.V. (2013) Mantle-
- slab interaction and redox mechanism of diamond formation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110,
- 505 20408-20413.
- Petts, D.C., Chacko, T., Stachel, T., Stern, R.A., Heaman, L.M. (2015) A nitrogen isotope fractionation factor between
- 507 diamond and its parental fluid derived from detailed SIMS analysis of a gem diamond and theoretical calculations.
- 508 Chemical Geology, 410, 188-200
- 509 Porcelli, D., and Pepin, R.O. (2003) The Origin of Noble Gases and Major Volatiles in the Terrestrial Planets. Treatise
- on Geochemistry, 319-347.

- Reutsky, V.N., Harte, B., EIMF, Borzdov, Y.M., Palyanov, Y.N. (2008) Monitoring diamond crystal growth, a combined
- experimental and SIMS study. European Journal of Mineralogy, 20, 365-374.
- Richet, P., Bottinga, Y., and Javoy, M. (1977) A review of hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, sulphur and chlorine
- 514 stable isotope fractionation among gaseous molecules. Annual Reviews in Earth and Planetary Sciences, 5, 65–110.
- Rohrbach, A., Ballhaus, C., Golla-Schindler, U., Ulmer, P., Kamenetsky, V.S., and Kuzmin, D.V. (2007) Metal-saturation
- 516 in the upper mantle. Nature, 449, 456-458.
- 517 Sagan, C., and Chyba, C. (1997) The early Sun paradox: organic shielding of ultraviolet-labile greenhouse gases.
- 518 Science, 276, 1217–1221.
- 519 Shirey S.B., Cartigny P., Frost D.J., Keshav S., Nestola F., Nimis P., Pearson D.G., Sobolev N.V., and Walter M.J. 2013.
- 520 Diamonds and the geology of mantle carbon. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, 75, 355-421.
- 521 Smart K.A., Chacko T., Stachel T., Muehlenbachs K., Stern R.A., and Heaman L.M. (2011) Diamond growth from
- oxidized carbon sources beneath the Northern Slave Craton, Canada: a δ^{13} C-N study of eclogite-hosted diamonds
- from the Jericho kimberlite. Geochimica Cosmochimica et Acta, 75, 6027–6047.
- 524 Smith, E.M., and Kopylova, M.A. (2014) Implications of metallic iron for diamonds and nitrogen in the sublithospheric
- 525 mantle. Canadian Journal of Earth Science, 51, 510–516.
- 526 Smith, E.M., Kopylova, M.G., Frezzotti, M.L., and Afanasiev, V.P. (2014) N-rich fluid inclusions in octahedrally-grown
- diamond. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 393, 39–48.
- 528 Stachel, T., and Harris, J.W. (2009) Formation of diamond in the Earth's mantle. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter
- 529 21, 36-26.
- 530 Stachel, T., and Harris, J.W. (2008) The origin of cratonic diamonds Constraints from mineral inclusions. Ore
- 531 Geology Reviews, 34, 5-32.
- 532 Stagno, V., and Frost, D.J. (2010) Carbon speciation in the asthenosphere: experimental measurements of the redox
- 533 conditions at which carbonate-bearing melts coexist with graphite or diamond in peridotite assemblages. Earth and
- Planetary Science Letters, 30, 72–84.
- 535 Sverjensky, D.A., Stagno, V., and Huang, F. (2014) Important role for organic carbon in subduction-zone fluids in the
- deep carbon cycle. Nature Geoscience, 7, 909–913.

- 537 Thomassot, E., Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W., and Viljoen, K.S. (2007) Methane-related diamond crystallization in the
- 538 Earth's mantle: Stable isotope evidences from a single diamond-bearing xenolith. Earth and Planetary Science
- 539 Letters, 257, 362-371.
- Thomassot, E., Cartigny, P., Harris, J.W., Lorand, J.P., Rollion-Bard, C., and Chaussidon, M. (2009) Metasomatic
- diamond growth: A multi-isotope study (13C, 15N, 33S, 34S) of sulphide inclusions and their host diamonds from
- Jwaneng (Botswana). Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 282, 79-90.
- 543 Thomson, A.R., Kohn, S.C., Bulanova. G.P., Smith, C.S., Araujo, D.A., EIMF., Walter, M.J. (2014) Origin of sub-
- 544 lithospheric diamonds from the Juina-5 kimberlite (Brazil): constraints from carbon isotopes and inclusion
- compositions. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 168, 1081-1100.
- Toplis, M.J. (2005) The thermodynamics of iron and magnesium partitioning between olivine and liquid: criteria for
- 547 assessing and predicting equilibrium in natural and experimental systems. Contributions to Mineralogy and
- 548 Petrology, 149, 22-39.
- Tsuno, K., and Dasgupta, R. (2014) Fe-Ni-Cu-C-S phase relations at high pressures and temperatures The role of
- sulfur in carbon storage and diamond stability at mid- to deep-upper mantle. Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
- 551 412, 132-142.
- Urey, HC. (1947) The thermodynamic properties of isotopic substances: Journal of the Chemical Society, 562-581.
- 553 Watenphul, A., Wunder, B., Wirth, R., and Heinrich, W. (2010) Ammonium-bearing clinopyroxene: A potential
- nitrogen reservoir in the Earth's mantle. Chemical Geology, 270, 240-248.
- Walter, M.J., Bulanova, G.P., Armstrong, L.S., Keshav, S., Blundy, J.D., Gudfinnsson, G., Lord, O.T., Lennie, A.R., Clark,
- 556 S.M., Smith, C.B., and Gobbo, L. (2008) Primary carbonatite melt from deeply subducted oceanic crust. Nature, 454,
- 557 7204-7208
- Walter, M.J., Kohn, S.C., Araujo, D., Bulanova, G.P., Smith, C.B., Gaillou, E., Wang, J., Steele, A., Shirey, S.B. (2011) Deep
- mantle cycling of oceanic crust: evidence from diamonds and their mineral inclusions. Science, 334, 54–57.
- 560 Yokochi, R., Marty, B., Chazot, G., and Burnard, P. (2009) Nitrogen in peridotite xenoliths: Lithophile behavior and
- magmatic isotope fractionation. Geochimica Cosmochimica et Acta, 73, 4843-4861.

Figure 1. Histogram showing the distribution of nitrogen contents (ppm) of mantle diamonds. Data from multiple sources (Boyd et al., 1987, 1992; Bulanova et al., 2002, 2014; Cartigny et al., 1997, 1998a, 1998b, 2001, 2003, 2004; 2009; Gautheron et al., 2005; Harte et al., 1999; Howell et al., 2015a; Hauri et al., 2002; Javoy et al., 1984; Klein-BenDavid et al., 2010; Mikhail et al., 2013, 2014a, 2014b; Palot et al., 2009; 2012, 2014; Smart et al., 2011; Thomassot et al., 2007, 2009)

Figure 2. A schematic representation of the aqueous speciation of nitrogen and carbon and the solid state of carbon as a function of redox state at 5 GPa and 1200 °C (*denotes calculations are in equilibrium with diamond). Data for aqueous nitrogen speciation from Mikhail and Sverjensky (2014), Mysen et al., (2014), and Li and Keppler (2014), data for aqueous carbon speciation from Sverjensky et al. (2014) and Frost and McCammon (2008), and the data for solid carbon speciation are from Stagno et al. (2010) and Rohrbach and Schmidt (2011).

Figure 3. Diagrams showing the aqueous speciation of a fluid with 1 wt.% nitrogen as a function of the fluid P, T, fO₂, and pH (a-d) using data from Mikhail and Sverjensky (2014).