

Universidade dos Açores Departamento de Ciências Agrárias

Development of decision support tools for implementing mitigation strategies of Medfly populations

> Reinaldo Macedo Soares Pimentel Doutoramento em Ciências Agrárias

Orientador Professor com Agregação Doutor David João Horta Lopes

Coorientadores

Professor Catedrático Doutor António Maria Marques Mexia (ISA/UTL) Professor Catedrático Doutor John David Mumford (Imperial College London)

Angra do Heroísmo, Janeiro de 2016



Universidade dos Açores Departamento de Ciências Agrárias

Development of decision support tools for implementing mitigation strategies of Medfly populations

> Reinaldo Macedo Soares Pimentel Doutoramento em Ciências Agrárias

Orientador Professor com Agregação Doutor David João Horta Lopes

Coorientadores

Professor Catedrático Doutor António Maria Marques Mexia (ISA/UTL) Professor Catedrático Doutor John David Mumford (Imperial College London)

Angra do Heroísmo, Janeiro de 2016

Acknowledgements

I would like to start to express my profound gratitude to Professors David Lopes, John Mumford and António Mexia for their encouragement and patient supervision. A special thanks to Professor David Lopes for the support, knowledge and partnership that provided a stimulating, productive and enjoyable work environment. I wish to thank my former colleagues Ana Santos, Clélia Sousa, Lúcia Ventura, Jorge Azevedo, Matej Zorman and Tiago Freitas for their partnership. I wish to thank Professor Paulo Fialho for his support on obtaining some weather data information. I wish also to thank Professor Paulo Borges for contributing with some insights about the Spatial Analysis in Macroecology software. A special thanks to FRUTER in the persons of Sieuve Menezes, Conceição Filipe, António Domingues and Mónica Melo for their collaboration in field work and for supplying important information about Medfly. Special thanks also to the official Agrarian Services of Terceira Island in the person of Jorge Tiago, for providing the transportation necessary for field work. Very special thanks for the land owners, fruit producers and growers that allowed us to set up traps and sample mature fruits in their orchards during the whole period of this study.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support for this research that was provided by the Portuguese Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia to my PhD grant (SFRH/BD/81079/2011).

Finally, I wish to truly thank all my family, specially my wife and daughter, Carla and Beatriz for supporting and believing in me all the way!

FCT Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia

MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR



MINISTÉRIO DA EDUCAÇÃO E CIÊNCIA

Field and laboratory work was developed within the research projects ADRESS (2009-2014) (MAC/3/A163) CABMEDMAC (2010-2014).

Governo dos Acores



Abstract

The Medfly *Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann is one of the most important threats to the trade of fresh fruits in the world due to its high potential damage to fruits and ability to survive in a wide range of geographic and climatic conditions.

Considering all the research already done in the Azores, mainly in Terceira Island, about the Medfly, it is with the perspective of addressing area-wide control program problems, related to Medfly aggregation and spatial distribution, that the main goals of the present work are to analyse the population dynamics of Medfly in Terceira and São Jorge Islands (Azores archipelago); evaluate the impact of long term attractive baits (1 year) against populations of Medfly; evaluate if there is any significant relation between the abundance of wild adults of Medfly and the spatial characteristics of a target location; and evaluate the efficiency of three geospatial methods to estimate flies trapped per day (FTD) values for non-sampled areas in Terceira Island.

The population dynamics studies revealed a sex ratio of males/females in São Jorge Island significantly lower than observed on Terceira Island and also revealed population dynamics are generally linked with host fruit availability and abundance. But the scale of population peaks or trap records are not reliable. In Terceira Island, under certain conditions, there was Medfly infestations in some fruits (e.g. Tobacco Weed fruit) while there were no trap captures at the same time.

The evaluation of long term bait stations showed a statistically significant difference between having and not having the long term attractive baits during all seasons when controlling Medfly females. Also fruit infestation levels show a significant (p-value of 0.02) difference between treated and control plots, and in general the results indicate that attractive baits are promising for wide application on Terceira Island. A challenge for areawide control in the Azores is the small size of orchard parcels and the presence of numerous wild host reservoirs near orchards.

The evaluation of a relationship between the abundance of wild adults of Medfly and the spatial characteristics revealed that the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method cannot provide a satisfactory general explanation for abundance of both genders of wild adults, yet it might generate some hypotheses about the fruit seeking behaviour of wild adult females of *C. capitata*. Only the GWR analysis provided a satisfactory general explanation for abundance of both genders of Medfly. However, both methods suggest that males are more widely scattered than females, and because of that they might play an important role in scouting the surroundings for fruit hosts.

As for the evaluation of the efficiency of three geospatial methods to estimate FTD values for non-sampled areas, results demonstrated that the GWR method is capable of predicting hotspots for the next season and can be used to identify ecological corridors over a non-sampled area. The high spatial heterogeneity and topographical conditions present on Terceira Island may explain why a more mathematically complex method is more reliable than simpler methods for use in possible future wide-area control programs for Medfly control.

Keywords: *Ceratitis capitata*; medfly; population dynamics; sex-ratio; fruit infestation levels, Lufenuron, spatial regression, Geographic Weighted Regression, Inverse Distance Weighted, Ordinary Kriging;

Resumo

A Mosca-do-Mediterrâneo (*Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann) é uma das principais ameaças para o comércio de frutas frescas por todo o mundo devido ao impacto económico negativo na qualidade dos frutos e à capacidade de adaptação desta a uma ampla variedade de condições geográficas e climáticas.

Considerando-se todos os trabalhos já realizados nos Açores, principalmente na Ilha Terceira sobre esta problemática, com a perspetiva de resolver problemas operacionais que normalmente surgem nos programas de controlo integrado da mosca-do-Mediterrâneo, nomeadamente os relacionados com a agregação desta praga e sua distribuição espacial, que os principais objetivos do presente trabalho foram: analisar a dinâmica populacional da Mosca-do-Mediterrâneo nas Ilhas Terceira e São Jorge (Açores); avaliar o impacto de estações esterilizadoras de longa duração (1 ano) contra as suas populações adultas; avaliar se existia qualquer relação significativa entre a abundância de adultos selvagens de mosca-do-Mediterrâneo e as características espaciais de um determinado local a tratar; e avaliar a eficiência de três métodos de análise geomática para estimar valores de moscas por armadilha, por dia, para áreas não fora do âmbito de amostragem e para as condições da Ilha Terceira e da ilha de S. Jorge.

Os estudos de dinâmica populacional revelaram, na Ilha de São Jorge, uma proporção sexual dos machos / fêmeas significativamente menor do que o observado na Ilha Terceira e em termos de dinâmica populacional revelou também que as dinâmicas populacionais nas duas Ilhas estudadas estão geralmente relacionadas com a disponibilidade e abundância de frutos nos seus hospedeiros. No entanto, o número de picos populacionais ou registos de capturas poderão não ser uma base fidedigna para a tomada de decisão por parte do produtor. Na Ilha Terceira, em determinadas condições, foram observadas infestações de mosca-do-Mediterrâneo em alguns frutos (por exemplo, frutos *Solanum mauritianum*), enquanto, em simultâneo neste hospedeiro não houve quaisquer registos de adultos.

A avaliação da utilização no campo das estações esterilizadoras de longa duração mostrou uma diferença estatisticamente significativa entre ter e não ter estes dispositivos

durante todo o período de estudo. O nível de infestação de frutos demonstra uma diferença significativa (valor-p de 0,02), entre as parcelas tratadas e as de controlo, sendo que, em geral, os resultados obtidos neste estudo indicaram que estes dispositivos parecem ser promissores para a sua aplicação a larga escala na ilha Terceira. No entanto, o recurso a estes dispositivos representa um desafio para o controle em larga escala, pois as parcelas de pomares são pequenas e em menor quantidade quando comparadas com os numerosos reservatórios silvestres desta praga existentes na vizinhança das parcelas frutícolas. A avaliação de uma relação entre a abundância de adultos selvagens da moscado-Mediterrâneo e as características espaciais revelou que o método de mínimos quadrados ordinários não é capaz de fornecer uma explicação geral e satisfatória para a abundância populacional dos adultos de ambos os sexos. No entanto, pode gerar algumas hipóteses sobre o comportamento de fêmeas adultas selvagens na procura de alimento. Só a análise Regressão Geograficamente Ponderada (GWR) forneceu uma explicação geral satisfatória para a abundância de ambos os sexos. No entanto, ambos os métodos sugerem que os machos se dispersam mais amplamente do que as fêmeas, e que eles poderão desempenhar um papel importante na deteção de hospedeiros.

No que diz respeito à avaliação da eficiência de três métodos geográficos utilizados neste estudo para estimar os valores mosca por armadilha por dia para áreas não incluídas na amostragem, os resultados demonstraram que o método da Regressão Geograficamente Ponderada (GWR) foi o único capaz de prever a localização de focos de elevada densidade populacional na época seguinte. Por isso, poderá mesmo ser utilizado para identificar corredores ecológicos sobre uma área onde não se realizaram quaisquer amostragens. A alta heterogeneidade espacial e condições topográficas presentes na ilha Terceira poderão estar na base da explicação pelo qual um método matematicamente mais complexo é mais confiável, do que os métodos mais simples, e como tal deverá ser utilizado em futuros programas de larga escala de controlo da mosca-do-Mediterrâneo.

Palavras-chave: *Ceratitis capitata*; mosca do Mediterrâneo; Dinâmica populacional; rácio sexual; taxas de infestação de frutos, Lufenurão, Regressão espacial, Regressão Geograficamente Ponderada, Ponderação pelo Inverso da Distância, Kriging Ordinário;

Table of Contents

Abstra	ct	i
Resum	10	iii
Table o	of Contents	v
List of	Figures	vii
List of	Tables	x
Genera	al introduction	1
1 Po	pulation dynamics of the Mediterranean fruit fly on Te	rceira and São
Jorge I	slands, Azores	7
1.1	Abstract	8
1.2	Introduction	9
1.3	Material and Methods	
1.4	Results and Discussion	
1.5	Conclusions	
2 Im	pact of 3% lufenuron in bait stations to control <i>Ceratiti</i>	is capitata
Wiede	mann (Diptera: Tephritidae) on Terceira Island, Azores	23
2.1	Abstract	24
2.2	Introduction	
2.3	Material and Methods	
2.4	Results and Discussion	
2.5	Conclusions	
3 Spa	atial regression analysis of <i>Ceratitis capitata</i> Wiedema	nn (Diptera:
Tephri	tidae) on Terceira Island, Azores	37
3.1	Abstract	
3.2	Introduction	
3.3	Material and Methods	
3.4	Results and Discussion	

3.4	1.1	Model Selection and Multi-Model Inference	43
3.4	1.2	Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR)	47
3.5	Con	clusions	50
4 Va area-v Wiede	alidat wide eman	tion of a geographic weighted regression analysis as a basis integrated pest management programs for <i>Ceratitis capitat</i> in (Diptera: Tephritidae) on Terceira Island, Azores	for ta 51
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5	Abs Intr Ma Res Con	tract oduction terial and Methods ults and Discussion oclusions	52 53 55 60 66
Gener	al di	scussion	68
Gener	al co	nclusions	75
Future	e rese	earch	79

General references	

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 – Location of the McPhail traps in São Jorge Island12
Figure 1.2 – Captures of males and females on Terceira Island expressed as numbers of
flies/trap/day (FTD) from 2011 to 201413
Figure 1.3 – Average monthly air temperature (°C) at Lajes parish on Terceira Island each
year of the study (2011–2014) (© Copyright G. Ballester Valor 2005-2015) 14
Figure 1.4 – Monthly rainfall (mm) at Lajes parish on Terceira Island each year of the study
(© Copyright G. Ballester Valor 2005-2015)15
Figure 1.5 – Captures of males and females on Sao Jorge Island expressed as numbers of
flies/trap/day (FTD) from 2011 to 201416
Figure 1.6 – Average monthly air temperature (°C) at Manadas parish on Sao Jorge Island
from 2012 to 2014. (© Copyright G. Ballester Valor 2005-2015) 17
Figure 1.7 – Monthly rainfall (mm) at Manadas parish on Sao Jorge Island from 2012 to
2014. (© Copyright G. Ballester Valor 2005-2015)
Figure 2.1 – Number of Medfly females captured by McPhail traps from August 2009 to
June 2014
Figure 2.2 – Number of Medfly males captured by Delta traps from August 2009 to June
2014
Figure 2.3 – Cumulative Number of Medfly females captured by McPhail traps from August
2009 to June 2010
Figure 2.4 – Cumulative Number of Medfly females captured by McPhail traps from July
2010 to June 2014
Figure 2.5 – Cumulative Number of Medfly females captured by McPhail traps from July
2011to June 2012

Figure 2.6 – Cumulative Number of Medfly females captured by McPhail traps from July 2012to March 2013
Figure 2.7 – Cumulative Number of Medfly females captured by McPhail traps from March 2013 to June 2014
Figure 2.8 – Cumulative Number of Medfly females captured by McPhail traps from August 2009 to June 2014
Figure 2.9 – Sampled fruit infestation levels expressed as larva per kg of fruit
Figure 3.1 – Location of the 132 monitor sites with easy trap [®] from SORYGAR baited with Biolure Med Fly [®] lure
Figure 3.2 – Graphical representation on Terceira Island Azores of the densities of <i>C. capitata</i> based on the GWR analysis results
Figure 4.1 – Location of the sampling points in Biscoitos parish
Figure 4.2 – Location of the sampling points in São Pedro parish
Figure 4.3 – Location map of sampling points for the IDW interpolation generated map in Biscoitos parish
Figure 4.4 – Location map of sampling points for the OK interpolation generated map in Biscoitos parish
Figure 4.5 – Location map of sampling points for the IDW interpolation generated map in São Pedro parish
Figure 4.6 – Location map of sampling points for the OK interpolation generated map in São Pedro parish
Figure 4.7 – Location map of sampling points for the GWR estimated map in Biscoitos parish

Figure 4.9 – Regression analysis using the FTD values from trap counts and the calculated			
values using the IDW interpolation presented in Table 4.1			
Figure 4.10 – Regression analysis using the FTD values from trap counts and the calculated			
values using the OK interpolation presented in Table 4.1			
Figure 4.11 – Regression analysis using the FTD values from trap counts and the calculated			
values using the GWR method presented in Table 4.1			
Figure 4.12 – Regression analysis using the FTD values from trap counts and the calculated			
values using the IDW interpolation presented in Table 4.2			
Figure 4.13 – Regression analysis using the FTD values from trap counts and the calculated			
values using the OK interpolation presented in Table 4.2			
Figure 4.14 – Regression analysis using the FTD values from trap counts and the calculated values using the GWR method presented in Table 4.2			

List of Tables

Table 1.1 – Seasonal C. capitata host availability and maturation period as we	ell as the
average fruit host infestation levels expressed as Larva per Kg of fru	uit in the
Terceira area of study.	18
Table 1.2 – Seasonal C. capitata host availability and maturation period as well as	s the fruit
host average infestation levels expressed as Larva per Kg of fruit in S	Sao Jorge
areas of study	21
Table 2.1 – Seasonal total catches in each plot and percentage of total catch	es in the
treated plots compared to control plots for years July-June	32
Table 2.2 – P-values from the paired Wilcoxon statistical analysis between sease	onal July-
June cumulative catches of treated and control plots	32
Table 3.1 – Explanatory variables to be tested	42
Table 3.2 – Selected predictors and parameter estimates for the best OLS mode	l to each
gender of adults of C. capitata, according to the Akaike Information	Criterion
(AICc)	44
Table 3.3 – Partial Regression Results for both gender of adults of <i>C. capitata</i>	44
Table 3.4 – GWR Diagnostic Statistics for both genders	48
Table 4.1 – FTD values of Medfly females in Biscoitos parish. * 2009 Average FTD	values of
Medfly females from Lopes et al. (2011). ** Calculated values from 2	006-2008
average FTD values of females from Lopes et al. (2009)	60
Table 4.2 – FTD values of Medfly males in Sao Pedro parish. * Captures of wild Med	Ifly males
(24 hours after sterile male insect release). ** Calculated values from 2	011-2014
average FTD values from field activities of research project (MAC	/3/A163)
CABMEDMAC (2010-2014)	61

General introduction

The family Tephritidae is best known for its status as a pest group that attacks a wide array of commercial and wild fruits and vegetables in many different plant families. But just a few species of this family are actually commercial fruit infesters (Capinera 2008).

The Mediterranean fruit fly, or Medfly, (*Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae)) is one of the major fruit fly pest species of quarantine importance. It is the most polyphagous tephritid and it infests fruits of plants belonging to more than 67 families. This condition of polyphagy makes this insect one of the most serious pests worldwide, with infestation levels reaching 100% in some of its hosts and that is why it is a quarantine pest in countries such as the United States of America and Japan (Papadopoulos 2008; Joint FAO/IAEA Programme 2013).

The Medfly adult is smaller than the domestic house fly. It measures 4–6 mm in length and 1–2 mm in width and unlike the domestic house fly, it has a very colorful appearance. The males are easily distinguished from females and by a pair of stalky, cornea like, pointed, black-gray expansions at the apex of the anterior part of their head. The wings are transparent with yellow, brown, and red stripes and each wing measures about 4.5 mm in length.

Genetic studies suggest that this insect is native to tropical Africa (Gasperi et al. 1991; Gasperi et al. 1997; Bohonak et al. 2001; Gasperi et al. 2002; Karsten et al. 2013), but due to the world fruit trade expansion, since the first ships transporting fruits worldwide, and its ability to adapt to new environments (Rossler 1986; Liquido & Shinoda 1991; Carvalho & Aguiar 1997; Pierre 2007; International Atomic Energy Agency & Joint FAO/IAEA Programme of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture 2008; Papadopoulos 2008; Sciarretta & Trematerra 2011; Radonjic et al. 2013), it has managed to spread invasively first to the Mediterranean area and then to many other parts of the world, including Australasia and North and South America (Joint FAO/IAEA Programme 2013).

Its high economic impact has made this pest one of the most studied in field and laboratory conditions around the world (Papadopoulos 2008). Because it's biological ability to adapt to new conditions, it is difficult to extrapolate the results from studies done in one country/island/locale to another, with different conditions. However, population dynamics reported in some studies can be very similar even under different conditions. For instance, Radonjic et al. (2013) studied the seasonal occurrence of *Medfly* on the island of Chios (Greece) (approximately 38° North latitude) and their results also show certain similarities with patterns presented by Pimentel (2010) for Terceira Island (38° 37′N). Both authors found that the peak populations of Medfly are normally observed from mid-September to the end of November each year and normally an absence of captures of flies is observed from February through May/June.

Until recent years, area-wide control programs used the argument that insect pests had to be controlled using an unreliable degree of broad-spectrum insecticides. However, the indiscriminate use of these chemicals as a control tactic is no longer sustainable in view of increased development of resistance, pollution of soils and surface water, residues in food and the environment, representing risks to human health and biodiversity (Hendrichs et al. 2005; Nagel & Peveling 2005; Hendrichs et al. 2007; Vreysen et al. 2007).

With the publication of the European Directive 2009/128/EC, agrochemical manufactures were stimulated to develop new products that are more environmentally friendly or alternative non-chemical approaches or techniques. One of these new approaches is the use of bait stations containing phagostimulant bait that incorporates a chemosterilant. According to laboratory tests of Casaña-Giner et al (1999), lufenuron showed good potential for the control of *C. capitata* because it caused failure of larva to hatch from eggs laid by females either from ingesting it or getting it sexually transmitted by males that have eaten this insect growth regulator agent.

The promising success of this new technique (Navarro-Llopis et al. 2004; Navarro-Llopis et al. 2007; Bachrouch et al. 2008; Di Franco et al. 2008; Navarro-Llopis et al. 2010; Moya et al. 2010) has led Navarro-Llopis et al. (2011) to try this method along with the sterile insect technique (SIT) and found that plots exposed to this combination got a significantly greater reduction of pest population compared to other plots only exposed to SIT or control plots.

The SIT is based on a Trojan-horse technique which consists of a mass release of sterile males, of the target specie, over the area to be treated, and according to Enkerlin (2005) the SIT is most widely applied against tephritid fruit flies. The concept of this

technique was first introduced by Knipling (1955) as a means of controlling and/or eradicating insect populations described as agricultural pests. Since its introduction it has been widely applied against many species of Lepidoptera and Diptera.

This technique has three obvious advantages. The first advantage has to do with the fact that no chemical or exotic species are being introduced in the ecological system, and therefore it is environmentally friendly. The second advantage has to do with the species specificity of the targeted species and the third advantage has to do with the intrinsic characteristics of the species which will make the released insects track and aggregate with the wild populations. However, the SIT is only effective when integrated on an area-wide basis, addressing the total population of the pest, irrespective of its distribution (Hendrichs et al. 2002). Also SIT depends largely on the ability of sterile flies to spread in the target area and compete with the wild males for wild females. This necessity could be well improved if the sterile insects were to be released at the locations where the wild population is most abundant. But, according to Hendrichs et al. (2007) the ecological heterogeneity within field, within farm, and at broader spatial scales profoundly affects the population dynamics of pests.

There are several geostatistical methods usable for area-wide integrated pest management (AW-IPM) programs, but entomologists frequently use spatial interpolation methods to characterize the spatial distribution of pests. Two of the most commonly used methods in insect studies are Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW) (Lopes et al. 2005; Lopes, Pimentel, Dantas, et al. 2006; Pimentel et al. 2006; Bonsignore et al. 2008; Lopes et al. 2008; Lopes, Macedo, et al. 2009; Lopes et al. 2010; Pimentel 2010; Sciarretta & Trematerra 2011; Rhodes et al. 2011) and Ordinary Kriging (OK) (Alemany et al. 2006; Sciarretta et al. 2008; Tabilio et al. 2014). Both methods rely on Tobler's first law of geography, which states that things that are close are more related than things that are further away (Tobler 1970). But both methods are limited and while the trap information is the foundation of the spatial analyses and population dynamic studies of most fruit fly programs, it is equally important to have key ecological or geographic layers of information that may explain the presence, population dynamics, and movement of fruit flies (Nestel et al. 2004). According to Midgarden et al. (2014), the specific layers that are important for a given program depend on the geography and climate of the area, the tephritid species, and the objectives of the

program. Layers potentially important for tephritid fruit flies include host presence, land use, organic waste sites, altitude, transportation, soil type, temperature, and rainfall as well as areas that might presumably preclude fly presence (e.g., deserts, bodies of water, large areas of field crops, or pastureland). For instance, by methodically analysing Medfly metadata catches in California, Carey (1996) concluded that mountain topography, valleys, rivers, and shorelines may channel dispersal of an established population through paths of least resistance.

One of the biggest challenges when trying to forecast Medfly spatial distribution, over any area, is its natural condition to aggregate in several patches over an area. This aggregation could come from numerous conditions. One of these conditions, for example, could be related to the green leaf volatiles that can enhance the attraction responses of male pheromone and therefore attract more of the females. Other example as a potential inducer of pest aggregation could be related to plant kairomones (Tan 2000; Nestel et al. 2004; Quilici et al. 2014).

Since the settlement of the Azorean Islands horticulture has been an engine of economic development for the region, enhancing its relationship with foreign markets, for example through cultivation of oranges (Lopes, Pereira, et al. 2006).

The Azorean Archipelago is composed of 9 Islands and the impact of *C. capitata* on Azorean fruit production goes back to 1829, when it was first reported in the Azorean Archipelago (Quaintance 1912). The impact of this insect, at that time, on the Azorean orange production was such that at least one third of the shipped oranges reaching the British market were in bad condition. The successive losses of the orange fruit merchandise, condemned, among other reasons, the shipment to the United Kingdom and therefore the Azorean orange production economic sustainability (Quaintance 1912; Meneses 2006).

Forjaz et al. (2003) did the first, reported, study about Medfly in the Azorean Archipelago, and it was done on Terceira Island. Afterwards, within the activities and objectives of the research projects (MAC/3.1/A1) Interfruta (2004-2006), (MAC/3.1/A4) Interfruta II (2006-2009), more elaborated studies about *C. capitata* including high density locations, dispersion and fruit infestation were carried out (Pimentel et al. 2005; Lopes, Pimentel, Nunes, et al. 2006; Lopes, Pimentel, Dantas, et al. 2006; Pimentel 2010; Pimentel

et al. 2014). The research project (MAC/3/A163) CABMEDMAC (2010-2014) was initiated as a direct result of these projects that were all developed on Terceira Island. This research project had a principal goal to get better knowledge about fruit flies throughout Macaronesia. In the Archipelago of the Azores, the CABMEDMAC project developed its activities on São Jorge and Terceira Islands.

The (MAC/3.1/A1) Interfruta (2004-2006) research project encouraged other technicians in other Islands, mainly in the biggest island of the Azores Archipelago (São Miguel) to also study (Medeiros et al. 2007; Medeiros & Oliveira 2009) and try to find biological ways to control the Medfly (Oliveira et al. 2008; Tavares 2012).

Considering all the research work already done in the Azores, mainly on Terceira Island, about the Medfly, and the perspective of addressing area-wide control program problems related to Medfly aggregation and spatial distribution, the main goals of the present work are to:

- Analyse the population dynamics of Medfly on Terceira and Sao Jorge Islands (Azores archipelago).
- 2. Evaluate the impact of long term attractive baits (1 year) as a featured protection method against populations of Medfly.
- Evaluate if there is any significant relation between the abundance of Medfly adults and the spatial characteristics of a target location.
- 4. Evaluate the efficiency of three geospatial methods to estimate FTD values for non-sampled areas in Terceira Island.