

Evidence for an optimal level of connectivity for establishment and colonisation

Thibaut Morel-Journel, Camille Piponiot, Elodie Vercken, Ludovic Mailleret

▶ To cite this version:

Thibaut Morel-Journel, Camille Piponiot, Elodie Vercken, Ludovic Mailleret. Evidence for an optimal level of connectivity for establishment and colonisation. Biology Letters, Royal Society, The, 2016, 12 (11), 10.1098/rsbl.2016.0704. hal-01406406

HAL Id: hal-01406406 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01406406

Submitted on 1 Dec 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Evidence for an optimal level of connectivity for establishment and colonisation
2	
3	Thibaut Morel-Journel ^{1*} , Camille Piponiot ¹ , Elodie Vercken ¹ ,Ludovic Mailleret ^{1,2}
4	
5	¹ Université Côte d'Azur, INRA, CNRS, ISA, 06900 Sophia Antipolis, France
6	² Université Côte d'Azur, Inria, INRA, CNRS, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, 06900 Sophia
7	Antipolis, France
8	

9 *Corresponding author: <u>thibaut.moreljournel@laposte.net</u>

10 ABSTRACT

11 Dispersal is usually associated with the spread of invasive species, but it also has two 12 opposing effects, one decreasing and the other increasing the probability of establishment. Indeed, dispersal both slows population growth at the site of introduction and increases the 13 14 likelihood of surrounding habitat being colonised. The connectivity of the introduction site is 15 likely to affect dispersal, and, thus, establishment, according to the dispersal behaviour of individuals. Using individual-based models and microcosm experiments on minute wasps, we 16 17 demonstrated the existence of a hump-shaped relationship between connectivity and 18 establishment in situations in which individual dispersal resembled a diffusion process. These 19 results suggest that there is an optimal level of connectivity for the establishment of introduced populations locally at the site of introduction, and regionally over the whole 20 21 landscape.

22

23 KEY WORDS

24 connectivity; establishment; individual-based model; introduction; microcosm

25 INTRODUCTION

26 Understanding the mechanisms underlying the establishment and spread of introduced species is critical to prevent biological invasions and maximize the success of planned 27 28 introductions, such as the release of biocontrol agents. Dispersal is often associated with the 29 spread of the introduced individuals across their new environment [1,2], but it can also play a 30 key role earlier in the invasion process. Indeed, early emigration slows the growth of the already small introduced population [3], and this can lead to establishment failure [4,5]. 31 32 However, the emigrating individuals can also colonise other habitats, thereby potentially 33 increasing the persistence of the introduction site [6], or facilitating its recolonization after an 34 extinction event [7]. As individuals are susceptible to disperse as soon as they are introduced, 35 a knowledge of the interaction between these two phenomena in the few first generations after introduction is crucial for the accurate estimation of establishment probabilities [8,9]. To 36 37 initiate dispersal, some species rely on biological signals, such as physiological condition 38 [10,11] or quorum sensing [12]. For other species with movement patterns more closely 39 resembling diffusion processes, landscape features have a much greater effect on dispersal 40 propensity [e.g. 13–15]. We investigated the impact of introduction site connectivity — i.e. the number of connections to other patches [9,16] — on establishment success for these two 41 types of dispersal. 42

We developed an individual-based model describing population dynamics in discrete space, and simulated invasions at introduction sites with various levels of connectivity. We also evaluated the impact of two mechanisms hampering colonisation success: dispersal mortality and Allee effects [17,18]. Dispersal mortality eliminates dispersing individuals, and Allee effects reduce the persistence of the newly formed colonies during spread. We then tested the predictions of the model through the artificial introduction of minute parasitoid wasps (*Trichogramma chilonis*) into artificial laboratory landscapes. We found a humpshaped relationship between connectivity and establishment for species displaying diffusionlike dispersal. This suggests that there is an optimal level of connectivity for maximal success
in the establishment of introduced populations at the local and landscape scales.

53

54 MATERIALS AND METHODS

55 Model

56 We simulated invasions in landscapes consisting of one introduction site, connected to 57 k peripheral patches. Each peripheral patch had two connections: one to the introduction site, 58 and outside the landscape. Individuals in peripheral patches could therefore exit the landscape, 59 with no possibility of return. The individual-based model used is described in Electronic 60 Supplementary Material 1. We considered two extreme patterns of dispersal behaviour: 61 random and predetermined movements. The individuals with random dispersal behaviour 62 were considered to move randomly within patches, in a diffusion-like manner [19]. Their 63 probability of emigrating, p, increased with the number of connections, n:

$$64 p = 1 - (1 - p_1)^n, (1)$$

with p_1 the probability that an individual emigrated when n = 1. Individuals with a predetermined dispersal behaviour emigrated with a constant probability, regardless of n. Individuals surviving dispersal (with a probability 1 - m) were distributed evenly between the neighbouring patches. The reproduction of individuals was affected by a parameter γ describing the intensity of Allee effects. Other parameters controlled the probability of being able to reproduce (r), intraspecific competition (α) fecundity (β) and juvenile survival (s).

Model simulations were performed with R [20], for r = 0.4, s = 0.1, $\alpha = 0.01$, $\beta = 30$, $p_1 = 0.1$ for random dispersal behaviour, and with p = 0.19 for predetermined dispersal behaviour. For these values, the probability of emigration from peripheral patches (n = 2) was the same for both types of dispersal behaviour. We tested values of k between 1 and 30,

combined with Allee effects, dispersal mortality or neither of these mechanisms. Each parameter combination was simulated 5000 times, because of the stochastic nature of the model. After three generations, we calculated the proportion of simulations for which there were individuals (i) at the introduction site, (ii) in at least one of the peripheral patches, (iii) in both the introduction site and peripheral patches. We calculated the proportion of the deviance explained by logistic regressions including the number of peripheral patches *k*, the strength of the Allee effect and the strength of dispersal mortality as explanatory factors.

82

83 Experiment

84 We introduced Trichogramma chilonis into laboratory microcosms and monitored 85 population dynamics for three generations. The experimental setup is described in Electronic Supplementary Material 1. The landscapes used were similar to those in the simulations, with 86 87 one, seven or 15 peripheral patches. The experiment was replicated 15 times for each 88 treatment, and each treatment was split into three balanced blocks. We determined two 89 variables: the extinction rate at the introduction site and the rate of colonisation of the 90 peripheral patches. The extinction rate was calculated as the proportion of replicates for which 91 extinction occurred at least once at the introduction site over the course of the experiment. 92 The rate of colonisation was calculated as the proportion of replicates for which at least one 93 colonisation event occurred outside the introduction site. These variables were analysed with 94 binomial generalised linear mixed models, with experimental block as a random effect. We 95 checked for potential non-linear relationships, by testing a linear and a quadratic relationship 96 to the number of connections, and selected the best model according to lowest $AIC_{C}[21]$.

97

98 **RESULTS**

99

Simulations confirmed that introduction site connectivity had no impact on

100 colonisation or extinction when the dispersal behaviour of individuals was predetermined 101 (Table 1, Figure 1A,B,C). However, when dispersal behaviour was random, connectivity 102 increased the extinction risks at the introduction site, and the occupancy of peripheral patches 103 (Table 1, Figure 1D). The proportion of simulations for which the introduction site and the peripheral patches were colonised was therefore hump-shaped, with an optimum for 104 105 intermediate connectivity levels (Figure 1D, E, F). Sensitivity analyses (Electronic 106 Supplementary Material 2) indicated that the existence of an optimum was mostly robust to 107 variation in population growth parameters. Similarly, changes in the value of the dispersal 108 parameter p_1 only shifted the optimal connectivity value. Overall, the inclusion of dispersal 109 mortality or Allee effects consistently decreased the rate of peripheral patch colonisation 110 (Figure 1B, C, E, F). However, it had no qualitative effect on the relationship between 111 connectivity and persistence or colonisation, with the exception of a negative impact of Allee 112 effects on the colonisation rate for k > 5 and predetermined dispersal behaviour (Figure 1F).

The extinction rate at the introduction site increased with the number of peripheral patches to which this site was connected (Wald test, z = -2.087, p = 0.037). Colonisation of peripheral patches was well explained by a model accounting for both linear (Wald test, z = 2.759, p = 0.0058) and quadratic (Wald test, z = -2.825, p = 0.0047) effects of connectivity, with an optimum for seven connections. Therefore, the proportion of replicates in which the introduction site persisted and peripheral patches were colonized was also maximal for intermediate values (Figure 2).

120

121 **DISCUSSION**

We considered two threats faced by introduced populations early in the invasion process: a failure to form a persistent population at the introduction site, and a failure to colonise other habitats. Simulations and experiments confirmed the impact of introduction site

125 connectivity on these two risks, when connectivity had an impact on the likelihood of 126 individual dispersal. At high levels of connectivity, emigration from the introduction site was 127 higher during the first few generations, resulting in a risk of extinction of the introduced 128 population. Previous studies found a negative impact of dispersal on establishment, linked to 129 Allee effects [4,5,22]. In our simulations, we observed a similar effect when only 130 demographic stochasticity was taken into account. At low levels of connectivity, the 131 introduced populations did not send out enough dispersing individuals to colonise other 132 patches, resulting in a lower probability of establishment. The positive effects of multiple 133 colonies are well known in the framework of metapopulations [7]. Most metapopulations are 134 studied at near-equilibrium, but the notion of a minimal number of local populations to ensure 135 long-term persistence has been considered through the concepts of minimum viable 136 metapopulation size [23] or metapopulation invasion capacity [24].

137 This study highlights the major role played by landscape features in the establishment 138 of introduced populations. We demonstrated, both experimentally and by simulation, the 139 existence of optimal connectivity levels for invasion, at which the introduced population can 140 persist locally and colonise other patches in the landscape. Given the generality of our 141 conclusions, similar results are expected among species with diffusion-like dispersal. Our 142 results provide further support to the "Goldilocks effect" theorized by Heimpel and Asplen 143 [25]. They proposed that biocontrol agents with intermediate dispersal capabilities will be the 144 most efficient. Since dispersal is determined by organisms' abilities and environmental characteristics, we also advocate for choosing introduction sites with intermediate levels of 145 146 connectivity to maximize establishment.

147 ETHICS STATEMENT

148 The authors have no ethics to declare.

149

150 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

151 The data and code used to perform this study will be made available on Dryad upon152 acceptation of the article.

153

154 FUNDING STATEMENT

155 This study was funded by the Plant Health and Environment Department of the INRA 156 and the University of Nice Sophia Antipolis. The funders had no role in study design, data 157 collection and analysis, the decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

158

159 **CONTRIBUTIONS**

160 TMJ, EV and LM designed the models and experiments; TMJ and CP carried out the 161 simulations, experiments and data analyses; all authors participated in the writing of the 162 manuscript and gave their final approval for publication, and agree to be accountable for the 163 content therein.

164

165 **COMPETING INTERRESTS**

166 The authors have no competing interests to declare.

167

168 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

169 The authors would like to thank the contribution of the anonymous handling editor and170 the reviewers who helped improve this article.

171 **REFERENCES**

- With, K. A. 2002 The Landscape Ecology of Invasive Spread. *Conserv. Biol.*16, 1192–1203. (doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.01064.x)
- Blackburn, T. M., Pyšek, P., Bacher, S., Carlton, J. T., Duncan, R. P., Jarošík, V., Wilson,
 J. R. U. & Richardson, D. M. 2011 A proposed unified framework for biological invasions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 26, 333–339. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.03.023)
- 177 3. Kean, J. m. & Barlow, N. d. 2000 Effects of dispersal on local population increase. *Ecol.* 178 *Lett.*3, 479–482. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2000.00183.x)
- 4. Robinet, C., Lance, D. R., Thorpe, K. W., Onufrieva, K. S., Tobin, P. C. & Liebhold, A.
 M. 2008 Dispersion in time and space affect mating success and Allee effects in invading
 gypsy moth populations. *J. Anim. Ecol.***77**, 966–973. (doi:10.1111/j.13652656.2008.01417.x)
- 183 5. Kanarek, A. R., Webb, C. T., Barfield, M. & Holt, R. D. 2013 Allee effects, aggregation, and invasion success. *Theor. Ecol.*6, 153–164. (doi:10.1007/s12080-012-0167-z)
- Brown, J. H. & Kodric-Brown, A. 1977 Turnover Rates in Insular Biogeography: Effect of Immigration on Extinction. *Ecology*58, 445. (doi:10.2307/1935620)
- 187 7. Hanski, I. & Gaggiotti, O. 2004 *Ecology, Genetics and Evolution of Metapopulations*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Taylor, P. D., Fahrig, L., Henein, K. & Merriam, G. 1993 Connectivity Is a Vital Element of Landscape Structure. *Oikos*68, 571. (doi:10.2307/3544927)
- 191 9. Tischendorf, L. & Fahrig, L. 2000 On the usage and measurement of landscape connectivity. *Oikos*90, 7–19. (doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.900102.x)
- 10. Nunes, S., Duniec, T. R., Schweppe, S. A. & Holekamp, K. E. 1999 Energetic and endocrine mediation of natal dispersal behavior in Belding's ground squirrels. *Horm. Behav.*35, 113–124. (doi:10.1006/hbeh.1998.1504)
- 11. Edeline, E., Lambert, P., Rigaud, C. & Elie, P. 2006 Effects of body condition and water
 temperature on Anguilla anguilla glass eel migratory behavior. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. *Ecol.*331, 217–225. (doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2005.10.011)
- 12. Pratt, S., Mallon, E., Sumpter, D. & Franks, N. 2002 Quorum sensing, recruitment, and collective decision-making during colony emigration by the ant Leptothorax albipennis.
 *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.*52, 117–127. (doi:10.1007/s00265-002-0487-x)
- 202 13. Gustafson, E. J. & Gardner, R. H. 1996 The Effect of Landscape Heterogeneity on the
 203 Probability of Patch Colonization. *Ecology***77**, 94–107. (doi:10.2307/2265659)
- 14. Haddad, N. M., Bowne, D. R., Cunningham, A., Danielson, B. J., Levey, D. J., Sargent, S.
 & Spira, T. 2003 Corridor Use by Diverse Taxa. *Ecology*84, 609–615.

- 206 15. Schtickzelle, N., Mennechez, G., Baguette, M. & Mennechez, G. 2006 Dispersal
 207 Depression with Habitat Fragmentation in the Bog Fritillary Butterfly. *Ecology*87, 1057–
 208 1065.
- 209 16. Moilanen, A. & Hanski, I. 2001 On the Use of Connectivity Measures in Spatial Ecology.
 210 *Oikos*95, 147–151.
- 211 17. Allee, W. C. 1949 *Principles of Animal Ecology*. Philadelphia: Saunders.
- 212 18. Courchamp, F., Berec, L. & Gascoigne, J. 2008 Allee Effects in Ecology and
 213 Conservation. Oxford University Press. [cited 2016 Jan. 10].
- 19. Okubo, A. & Levin, S. A. 2001 *Diffusion and Ecological Problems: Modern Perspectives*.
 New York, NY: Springer New York. [cited 2016 Jan. 10].
- 20. R Core Team 2015 *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna,
 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 21. Burnham, K. P. & Anderson, D. R. 2004 Multimodel Inference Understanding AIC and
 BIC in Model Selection. Sociol. Methods Res.33, 261–304.
 (doi:10.1177/0049124104268644)
- 221 22. Lewis, M. A. & Kareiva, P. 1993 Allee Dynamics and the Spread of Invading Organisms.
 222 *Theor. Popul. Biol.*43, 141–158. (doi:10.1006/tpbi.1993.1007)
- 223 23. Hanski, I., Moilanen, A. & Gyllenberg, M. 1996 Minimum Viable Metapopulation Size.
 224 Am. Nat. 147, 527–541.
- 24. Ovaskainen, O. & Hanski, I. 2001 Spatially Structured Metapopulation Models: Global
 and Local Assessment of Metapopulation Capacity. *Theor. Popul. Biol.*60, 281–302.
 (doi:10.1006/tpbi.2001.1548)
- 228 25. Heimpel, G. E. & Asplen, M. K. 2011 A 'Goldilocks' hypothesis for dispersal of 229 biological control agents. *BioControl***56**, 441–450. (doi:10.1007/s10526-011-9381-7)

230 TABLE LEGENDS

231

Table 1: Proportion of the deviance in the simulated data explained by the variables

233

234 FIGURE LEGENDS

235

Figure 1: Proportion of the 5000 simulations for which there was no extinction event at the introduction site (grey), peripheral patches were colonised (dashed line) or both (solid line), for predetermined dispersal and A: m = 0 and $\gamma = 0$; B: m = 0.7 and $\gamma = 0$; C: m = 0 and $\gamma = 0.3$; for random dispersal and D: m = 0 and $\gamma = 0$; E: m = 0.7 and $\gamma = 0$; F: m = 0 and $\gamma = 0.3$.

241

Figure 2: Proportion of experimental replicates for which there was no extinction event at the introduction site and peripheral patches were colonised (dots), with estimated 95% confidence intervals, and the proportion of the 5000 simulations for which there was no extinction event at the introduction site and peripheral patches were colonised, from figure 2D (line).