

Synthesis of GAP and PAMMO homopolymers from mesylate polymeric precursors

Journal:	<i>Journal of Energetic Materials</i>
Manuscript ID:	UEGM-2015-1356.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Mura, Claudio; University of Pisa, civil and industrial engineering Fruci, Stefania; University of Pisa, civil and industrial engineering Lamia, Pietro; University of Pisa, civil and industrial engineering Cappello, Miriam; University of Pisa, civil and industrial engineering Filippi, Sara; University of Pisa, civil and industrial engineering Polacco, Giovanni; University of Pisa, civil and industrial engineering
Keywords:	Binder, Azides, GAP, AMMO, mesyl

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Synthesis of GAP and PAMMO homopolymers from mesylate polymeric precursorsShort title: **Azidic binders from mesylate precursors**

Claudio Mura, Stefania Fruci, Pietro Lamia, Miriam Cappello, Sara Filippi, Giovanni Polacco *

Department of Civil and Industrial Engineering

University of Pisa

Largo Lucio Lazzarino, 2 – 56126 Pisa, ITALY

* Corresponding author

e-mail: giovanni.polacco@unipi.it

phone: +39 050 2217820

fax: +39 050 2217866

Abstract

In azidic binders for solid propellants, the N₃ functionality is introduced by substitution of a halogen or tosyl group, but recently the mesyl group has been suggested as an alternative. The mesylate group has two advantages, mainly related to its small dimension and low cost. Poly(glycidyl azide) and poly 3-azidomethyl-3-methyl oxetane were prepared by using both tosylate and mesylate precursors. The azidation kinetics were studied at three different temperatures while keeping equal all the other operating parameters. The results confirmed the good potential of the mesylate precursors for the production of azidic binders.

1. Introduction

In solid propellant formulations, a reducer and an oxidizer in form of dry powder are mixed with a liquid pre-polymer that allows the formulation to be cast into large and irregular cases [1]. The polymer is then cross-linked to form an elastomeric network that provides mechanical integrity and safety during storage and transportation [2]. The most commonly used binder is hydroxy-terminated polybutadiene (HTPB), which, after cross-linking with isocyanates, provides optimal mechanical properties. However, HTPB do not contributes to the overall energy output and therefore the research is focused on alternative binders that could improve the propellant performance. During the last decades, several energetic binders have been developed and the interested reader may find detailed information in the reviews by Agrawal [3], Provatas [2], Badgular et al. [4], Sikder and Sikder [5] and Gaur et al. [6]. Among the proposed binders, polyethers containing azidic functionalities are very promising and glycidyl azide polymer (GAP) is the most studied one. Several publications may be found concerning the synthesis as well as the thermal behavior and explosive properties of GAP [7-22]. The GAP synthesis was first described in a patent filed in 1972 by Vandenburg [23] who did azidation of polyepichlorohydrin (PECH) by using sodium azide in dimethylformamide. Twenty years later, Frankel et al. described a semi-industrial production of GAP in USA [24]. Other suggested polymeric azides are poly(3,3 bis(azidomethyl)oxetane-co- ϵ -caprolactone) [25], 3,3 bis(azidomethyl)oxetane-tetrahydrofuran [26, 27], polyglycidylazide-*b*-poly(azidoethyl methacrylate) [28] and polyoxetanes [6, 29-35], first synthesised by Manser [36-38], such as 3-nitratomethyl-3-methyl oxetane (NIMMO), 3,3-bis-(azidomethyl)oxetane (BAMO) and its analog monofunctional 3-azidomethyl-3-methyl oxetane (AMMO). In the first synthesis, the chlorinated or tosylate monomer was azidated and then subjected to cationic ring-opening polymerization by using a diol and a Lewis acid as catalyst. The approach is now changed, since the azidated ring-monomers are highly dangerous and may

1
2
3 easily explode during processing and handling. Therefore, it is better if the azidation step
4 follows the polymerization of non-energetic monomers [34]. This is a low technology, low-
5 risk approach, but gives less opportunity to tailor the final properties of the polymer and
6 suffers from the usual complications of modifying a macromolecule [39]. This means that
7 azidation became the critical step: it may be time demanding and often does not proceed to
8 complete substitution of the functional groups into azidic ones. Sodium azide is the preferred
9 reagent for azidation, which has been performed in several organic solvents as well as in
10 water [24, 40]. A procedure involving molten azidic salts has also been described [41]. In the
11 first case, the reaction is much faster and proceeds in a homogeneous reaction medium, while
12 in water, the use of a phase transfer catalyst is necessary.

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 The research in the synthesis of azidated polyethers is therefore mainly focused on both the
26 tailoring of the binder properties and, in view of the industrial process, the optimization of the
27 azidation step. The goal is to overcome the production difficulties and make the process
28 competitive with that of HTPB, while keeping the advantages related to the higher energy
29 input of the final product.

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The thermodynamics and kinetics of the azidation reaction depend on two main factors: the
chemical nature of the leaving group and the operating conditions. With regard to the first
point, many different functionalities may be used as leaving group to facilitate the introduction
of the azidic group through a SN2 type mechanism. The most used ones are halogens, which
however present a few disadvantages mainly related to the difficulty of obtaining their
complete substitution that may require very long reaction times. Moreover, considering the
well-known flame-retardant effect of halogens, it may be supposed that an incomplete
substitution, could considerably limit the efficacy of the energetic binder. The tosyl group is
an interesting alternative to halogens. This is a very good leaving group, but its steric
hindrance may represent a problem. In other words, it may be difficult to remove the tosyl

1
2
3 group from a polymeric chain. This is because, even if the macromolecules are dissolved in a
4
5 solvent, the presence of many neighboring tosyl groups may considerably limit the access of
6
7 N_3 groups to the reactive site and thus also the overall kinetic of azidation. This problem has
8
9 been recently discussed by Cappello et al. [42] with respect to the synthesis of ether-HTPB-
10
11 ether tri-block copolymers. Moreover, the steric hindrance may also play a role during
12
13 polymerization and influence i.e. the molecular weight of the polymeric precursor.

14
15
16 With regard to the operating conditions, these include the appropriate choice of the solvent (or
17
18 mixture of solvents) and temperature. The solvent must be a good one for monomers and the
19
20 forming polymers and, of course, it has to solubilize at least partially the sodium azide.

21
22
23 Another important aspect is the solvent boiling point. Higher boiling points allow higher
24
25 reaction temperatures and thus shorter reaction times, but may complicate the purification of
26
27 the final product. Moreover, too high temperatures may lead to partial decomposition of the
28
29 azide groups [34]. Polymeric precursor and operating condition must be chosen in order to
30
31 balance and optimize the above-mentioned factors. A partial list of azidation conditions
32
33 described in the scientific and patent literature is reported in Table 1. DMF

34
35 (dimethylformamide) and DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) are by far the preferred solvents.

36
37
38 To better understand the role of the leaving group in the synthesis of azidic binders, we
39
40 prepared two different polyethers starting from tosylate and mesylate precursors. While the
41
42 tosyl (Ts) group is well known and already adopted in the synthesis of energetic binders, the
43
44 mesyl (Ms) one has not yet been used in this field. Therefore, on one side we have a bulky
45
46 and heavy aromatic group, which is potentially one of the better leaving groups, while on the
47
48 other one there is a smaller and lighter functional group, theoretically less suitable for
49
50 azidation. The synthesized polymers are GAP and poly 3-azidomethyl-3-methyl oxetane
51
52 (PAMMO). Thus, we compared tosyl and mesyl groups with both oxirane and oxetane
53
54 starting monomers. This corresponds to four synthesis, as summarized in Figure 1. All the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 monomers, polymeric precursors and azidic binders were characterized by Fourier-Transform
4
5 Infra-Red (FTIR) analysis and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR); the molecular weight of
6
7 the polymers were evaluated by Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC); their thermal
8
9 behavior by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA). Moreover, the azidation reactions were
10
11 performed at different temperatures, with time/conversion curves obtained by FTIR and used
12
13 to evaluate the kinetic parameters.
14
15

16 17 18 **2. Materials and methods**

19 20 **2.1. Materials**

21
22 All the chemicals, unless differently stated, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Toluene
23
24 (99,8%), dimethylformamide (DMF) (99.8%), methanol (99.9%), sodium azide (>99.5%),
25
26 boron trifluoride tetrahydrofuranate (BTF·THF), triethylamine (TEA) ($\geq 99\%$), 3-hydroxy-
27
28 methyl-3-methyloxetane (HMMO) (98%), glycidol (G) (96%), toluene-4-sulfonyl chloride
29
30 (TsCl) ($\geq 99\%$), methanesulfonyl chloride (MsCl) (>99.7), sodium chloride (>99%), sodium
31
32 hydroxide (>98%), sodium carbonate anhydrous (>99.7), magnesium sulfate (>99.5),
33
34 phosphorous pentoxide, hydrochloric acid, potassium hydroxide were used as received.
35
36 Diethyl-ether and dichloromethane (DCM) were dried with P_2O_5 and distilled at 1 atm.
37
38 Epichlorohydrin (ECH) and butanediol (99.9%) (BDO) were distilled under the reduced
39
40 pressure.
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 48 **2.2. Synthesis of the monomers**

49 50 **2.2.1. Glycidyl tosylate (GT)**

51
52 The synthesis was performed according to a procedure described by Nakabayashi et al [46]. A
53
54 250 mL two-necked round bottom flask, fitted with a nitrogen inlet and a mechanical stirrer
55
56 set at 180 rpm was fed with 50 mL of anhydrous toluene and 4.2 mL of TEA (corresponding
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to a G/TEA=1/1 molar ratio). In a beaker a solution of 5.42 g TsCl (corresponding to a
4
5 TsCl/G=0.95/1.0 molar ratio) in 12 mL of toluene was also prepared. The round bottom flask
6
7 and the beaker were then conditioned at -15°C for 1.5 h. At the end of conditioning time, the
8
9 round bottom flask was fed with 2 mL of distilled G (also cooled to -15°C) and then drop-
10
11 wise with the TsCl solution while maintaining the system under gentle stirring. At the end of
12
13 the TsCl feeding, the stirrer was stopped and the system maintained at -15 °C for 24 h. Then,
14
15 the solution was filtered under vacuum to remove the solid TEA·HCl complex and distilled at
16
17 65 °C and 2000 Pa to remove the toluene. The remaining liquid was dropped slowly into cold
18
19 petroleum-ether (containing a few GT crystals previously prepared with the same procedure,
20
21 in order to favor the crystallization process) thus forming a white suspension that crystallizes
22
23 while cooled at -15°C. The petroleum ether was finally removed by distillation under vacuum.
24
25 The GT was obtained with a 98.6% yield, as white anhydrous crystals.
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 2.2.2. Glycidyl mesylate (GM)

33
34 The synthesis was performed according to a procedure described by Nakabayashi et al [46]. A
35
36 500 mL two-necked round bottom flask, fitted with a nitrogen inlet and a mechanical stirrer
37
38 set at 180 rpm was fed with 100 mL of anhydrous toluene and 22.2 mL of TEA. In a 250 mL
39
40 beaker a solution of 11.7 mL MsCl in 20 mL of toluene was also prepared. The round bottom
41
42 flask and the beaker were then conditioned at -15°C for 1.5 h. At the end of conditioning time,
43
44 the round bottom flask was fed with 11 mL of distilled G (also cooled to -15°C) and then
45
46 drop-wise with the MsCl solution while maintaining the system under gentle stirring. At the
47
48 end of the MsCl feeding, the stirrer was stopped and the system maintained at -15 °C for 24 h.
49
50 Then, the solution was filtered under vacuum and distilled at 65 °C and 2000 Pa to remove the
51
52 toluene and obtain GM as a yellowish liquid with a 84% yield.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2.2.3. 3-tosyloxymethyl-3-methyl oxetane (TMMO)

The synthesis was performed in the solid state, according to a procedure described by Kazemi et al [47]. A mortar was fed with 31.8 g of anhydrous Na_2CO_3 , 10.2 g of HMMO ($\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{HMMO}=3/1$ molar ratio) and manually milled with a pestle for about 7 min. Then 28.7 g of TsCl ($\text{TsCl}/\text{HMMO}=1.5/1$ molar ratio) were added and milled for further 23 min. Finally, 28.05 g of KOH were added ($\text{KOH}/\text{HMMO}=5/1$ molar ratio) and milled for about 50 min to remove the unreacted TsCl. The whole reaction was conducted in a glove box under nitrogen atmosphere. The obtained solid was added to 200 mL of diethyl-ether and filtered several times. The obtained clear liquid phase was finally subjected to distillation at 48°C and 8500 Pa thus inducing the crystallization of TMMO that was obtained with a 40 % yield.

2.2.4 3-mesyloxymethyl-3-methyl oxetane (MMMO)

The synthesis was performed according to a procedure described by Nakabayashi et al [46]. A 500 mL two-necked round bottom flask, fitted with a nitrogen inlet and a mechanical stirrer set at 180 rpm was fed with 150 mL of anhydrous toluene and 13.7 mL of TEA. In a 250 mL beaker, a solution of 7.22 mL MsCl in 30 mL of toluene was also prepared. The round bottom flask and the beaker were then conditioned at -15°C for 1.5 h. At the end of conditioning time, the round bottom flask was fed with 10 mL of distilled HMMO (also cooled to -15°C) and then drop-wise with the MsCl solution while maintaining the system under gentle stirring. At the end of the MsCl feeding, the stirrer was stopped and the system maintained at 4°C for 24 h and distilled at 65°C and 2000 Pa to remove the toluene. The remaining liquid was then placed in a fridge to crystallize and recover the MMMO.

2.3. Polymerizations

1
2
3 The polymerization may proceed either by: the Active Chain End (ACE) mechanism, or the
4
5 Activated Monomer Mechanism (AMM). The first one involves protonation of the oxetane
6
7 and subsequent propagation until the chain is terminated either with water or alcohol to give
8
9 the hydroxy-terminated polymer. ACE allows a reasonable molecular weight control, but may
10
11 lead to the formation of cyclic oligomers [39]. In AMM, which has considerable similarities
12
13 with the living polymerization, the growing chain is OH terminated and the “active” role is
14
15 played by the monomer. In this case the side reactions, including cyclization, should be
16
17 minimized [6]. However, it must be underlined that it is not easy to drive the reaction toward
18
19 the desired mechanism and often both mechanisms may be present at the same time [31, 35].
20
21 For our synthesis, the catalyst and operating conditions were set in order to favor the AMM.
22
23 BTF·THF and butanediol were used to initiate the polymerization and the quantities were
24
25 chosen to have a molar ratio between BTF·THF and OH functionalities equal to 2/1, while the
26
27 molar ratio between monomers and OH functionalities was equal to 50/1. The polymerization
28
29 procedure was as follows. A 1L three-necked round bottom flask, fitted with a reflux
30
31 condenser, a nitrogen inlet and a mechanical stirrer set at 120 rpm was fed with 80 ml of
32
33 DCM, 0.15 mL of BTF·THF, about 0.031 g of BDO and maintained for 2 h under stirring at
34
35 room temperature. Then, the reactor was covered with aluminum foil, immersed in a water
36
37 bath at 20 ± 0.5 °C and the monomer (0.0345 mole), previously dissolved in 30 mL of DCM,
38
39 was added drop-wise to the reactor through a dropping funnel. From the end of the monomer
40
41 feeding, the reaction mixture was kept in the same conditions for 20 or 120 h (mesylate or
42
43 tosylate monomers respectively) and then hydrolyzed with 400 mL of an aqueous solution of
44
45 NaCl (10% w). The mixture was maintained under vigorous stirring for further 2 h and then
46
47 the organic and aqueous phase were separated. The aqueous phase was washed with DCM,
48
49 subsequently recovered and added to the organic phase. The organic phase was washed once
50
51 with a water/methanol = 50/50 v/v solution to remove unreacted monomers and catalyst, then
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 again several times with the aqueous solution of NaCl, dried with MgSO₄, filtered and
4
5 distilled at 45 °C, under vacuum (6500 Pa) in order to remove all the DCM.
6
7

8 9 2.4 Azidation

10
11 The azidation is a second-order reaction with SN₂ type mechanism performed using sodium
12
13 azide in DMF. As already underlined (see Table 1) these azidation conditions are well known
14
15 and have been already used by our research group [30, 31, 33-35].
16
17

18
19 A typical azidation procedure was as follows. About 2 g of polymeric precursor were
20
21 dissolved in 100 ml of DMF and fed in a 250 mL three-necked round bottom flask, fitted with
22
23 a reflux condenser, a nitrogen inlet and a magnetic stirrer. The flask was immersed in an oil
24
25 bath set at 85±1 °C and conditioned for 30 minutes. Then, NaN₃ was added in 20% molar
26
27 excess with respect to the stoichiometric quantity and the temperature of the bath raised to the
28
29 desired temperature while maintaining the system under constant stirring. Periodically,
30
31 samples were taken from the reactor and subjected to FTIR analysis to evaluate the degree of
32
33 azidation. Once the IR spectra were stationary, the reaction medium was filtered under
34
35 vacuum in order to remove the formed sodium salts and the unreacted sodium azide.
36
37

38
39 The solution was then washed several times with an aqueous solution of NaCl (10 % w) in
40
41 order to complete the salts removal. The aqueous phases resulting from the washings were
42
43 mixed together and washed with DCM to remove possible traces of polymer, while the
44
45 organic phase was dried with MgSO₄, filtered again and distilled at 45 °C, under vacuum
46
47 (1000 Pa) in order to remove all the organic solvents.
48

49
50 The structure and purity of all synthesized polymers (before and after azidation) were checked
51
52 by FTIR and NMR, while their thermal properties were determined by TGA.
53
54

55 56 2.5. Characterization of the monomers and polymers

57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy was performed on a Bruker Tensor 27 and nuclear
4 magnetic resonance ($^1\text{H-NMR}$, $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$) on a VXR300 and INOVA600 instruments.

5
6
7 Thermogravimetric analysis was done by using a TA Q500 apparatus, under nitrogen
8 atmosphere, with a heating rate of $10^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ until 600°C and using samples of about 5 mg.

9
10
11 The molecular weight of the polymers was measured from solution in CHCl_3 (2 mg/mL) by
12 using a Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC) apparatus Jasco PU-1580, equipped with PL
13 Mesopore column, calibrated with low polydispersity polystyrene standards.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 21 **3. Results and discussion**

22 23 3.1. Chemical characterization (IR – NMR)

24
25 The FTIR spectra of all monomers and polymers are reported in Figure 2. The main peaks
26 corresponding to the tosyl, mesyl and azidic functionalities are: $1174\text{-}1176\text{ cm}^{-1} = \nu_s \text{SO}_2$,
27
28 $1280\text{ cm}^{-1} = \nu_s \text{N}_3$, $1350\text{-}1360\text{ cm}^{-1} = \nu_{as} \text{SO}_2$, $1600\text{ cm}^{-1} = \nu \text{C}=\text{C}$ aromatic ring, $2100\text{ cm}^{-1} =$
29
30 $\nu_{as} \text{N}_3$. Some of these peaks have been chosen for the kinetics study, as reported in section
31
32
33
34
35 3.3.

36
37 For the sake of brevity, the NMR spectra are reported only for the mesylate compounds
38 (Figure 3), which are not common in the binder literature. Nevertheless, all the NMR spectra
39 were recorded and confirmed the chemical composition and high purity of the synthesized
40 compounds. Moreover, the NMR spectra were useful to confirm the absence of tosyl or mesyl
41 groups in the energetic polymers, so that a final conversion equal to 1 was assumed for the
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 azidation reactions.
49

50 51 52 3.2. Molecular weights

53
54 The GPC analysis was performed on the polymeric precursors. As an example, Figure 4
55 shows the spectrum of PGT (a) and the corresponding fit after deconvolution into two
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 separate peaks (b). The spectra of the other polymeric precursors are qualitatively similar and
4
5 in all cases showed a small peak corresponding to the formation of oligomers. A
6
7 deconvolution procedure was then used to calculate separately the mean average molecular
8
9 weights of polymer and oligomers.
10

11 Table 2 reports the number (M_n) and weight (M_w) average molecular weights, the average
12
13 degree of polymerization ($X_n = M_n/M_0$, where M_0 is the molecular weight of the repeating
14
15 unit) as well as the polymer dispersion ($PD = M_w/M_n$) and the X_n and weight fraction of the
16
17 oligomers. A first observation was that the molecular weights were relatively low. The higher
18
19 X_n was found for the case of PMMO and it is about half that of the HTPB used as binder for
20
21 propellants. However, it should be emphasized that the operating conditions were fixed a
22
23 priori and then applied to all polymerizations. The molecular weight optimization was not an
24
25 objective of this work and may be modulated by changing many operating parameters as
26
27 temperature, BDO concentration, catalyst, solvent etc. Nevertheless, these values are
28
29 comparable with previous results reported for energetic binders (see e.g. [30]). Another
30
31 interesting point is that in both cases the mesylate monomers gave longer polymeric chains.
32
33 Since the four polymerization reactors were fed with the same monomer/initiator ratio and
34
35 molar concentrations, in case of similar yield the polymers should also have similar X_n .
36
37 Therefore, the X_n values can be somehow read as an indicator of the reaction yield.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 3.3. Azidation kinetics

46
47 In order to quantify the azidation kinetics of the four polymeric precursors, the time-
48
49 conversion curves were obtained at three different temperatures. For each precursor, the
50
51 temperatures were chosen in order to obtain reasonable reaction times. A too fast reaction
52
53 strongly complicates the sampling procedure and may induce errors in the estimation of the
54
55 time-conversion curve. A too slow reaction is not advisable for future potentially large scale
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 productions. As described in section 2.4., samples were taken from the reaction medium at
4
5 different times and their IR spectra recorded and analyzed. Of course, the peaks available to
6
7 follow the azidation are those corresponding to both the incoming N_3 and the leaving tosyl or
8
9 mesyl groups. The N_3 asymmetric stretching at 2100 cm^{-1} was chosen among the N_3 peaks
10
11 because it falls on a clean zone (for both azidated polymers), where it can be easily detected
12
13 and integrated. This peak has the disadvantage that it tends to increase in intensity at low
14
15 conversion and broaden at high conversions. This may lead to a partial superposition with the
16
17 CO_2 peak, which never completely disappears even though the base line was recorded and
18
19 subtracted each time. As a consequence, the integration was a bit noisy at high conversions.
20
21 With regard to the tosyl and mesyl groups, the symmetric stretching of SO_2 at $1174\text{-}1176\text{ cm}^{-1}$
22
23 was chosen. In this case the disadvantage is that the peaks are in the fingerprint region, where
24
25 1) the superposition with neighbor peaks may happen and 2) it may be difficult to recognize
26
27 the base line.
28
29

30
31 In order to find the best way to evaluate the peak area, we compared the data obtained with or
32
33 without a deconvolution analysis of the peaks and we did not found significant differences
34
35 between the two procedures. The presence of the above-mentioned uncertainties (peak
36
37 overlapping, ambiguous base line) is the reason why the time-conversion curves were
38
39 evaluated by using both N_3 and tosyl (mesyl) peaks: since the azidic one substituted the tosyl
40
41 (mesyl) groups, the two conversions are supposed to coincide and can be used to crosscheck
42
43 one each other. As the reference peak, the CH_2 scissoring at 1456 cm^{-1} was chosen (see Figure
44
45 2).
46
47

48
49 As an example, Figure 5 show the time dependence of the FT-IR spectra during azidation of
50
51 PGM at $85\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.
52

53
54 Since the NMR analysis of the final products in all cases indicated a complete azidation, the
55
56 conversions were evaluated as:
57
58
59
60

$$x_{N(t)} = \frac{A_N(t)/A_N(t_f)}{A_R(t)/A_R(t_f)} \quad (1)$$

$$x_{T(t)} = 1 - \frac{A_T(t)/A_T(t_0)}{A_R(t)/A_R(t_0)} \quad (2)$$

$$x_{M(t)} = 1 - \frac{A_M(t)/A_M(t_0)}{A_R(t)/A_R(t_0)} \quad (3)$$

where the N, R, T, M subscripts indicate azide, reference, tosyl and mesyl groups respectively, t is time, t_0 and t_f are start and end of the reaction and A indicates the area of the peak (evaluated in absorbance and expressed in arbitrary units).

All azidations were performed with a starting quantity of sodium azide corresponding to a 20% excess with respect to the stoichiometry. In the chosen operating conditions, the sodium azide fed to the reactor corresponds to a quantity lower than its solubility limits. Assuming that the substitution is an elementary reaction, we have a second order kinetic law. Moreover, if we disregard volume changes, the following kinetic expression can be written [34]:

$$v = \frac{d[N_3]}{dt} = -\frac{d[T]}{dt} = -k[N_3][T] \quad (4)$$

where v is the reaction rate, $[T]$ and $[N_3]$ are the concentrations of unreacted tosyl and azido groups, respectively, t is the time and k the kinetic constant. Of course, equation (4) is valid also for the mesyl precursor, simply substituting $[T]$ with $[M]$ = concentration of the mesyl groups. Since:

$$[N_3]_0 - [N_3]_t = [T]_t - [T]_0 \quad (5)$$

where the subscripts refer to time. Equation (5) has solution:

$$[T] = \frac{(r-1)[T]_0}{r \exp[kt[T]_0(r-1)]-1} \quad (6)$$

where $r = [N_3]_0/[T]_0 = 1.2$. Equation (6) can be also written as:

$$x_T = \frac{\exp[kt[T]_0(r-1)]-1}{\exp[kt[T]_0(r-1)]-1/r} \quad (7)$$

Again, the same equation applies to the azidic and mesyl functionalities, therefore equation (7) can be “generalized” simply including the initial concentration in the kinetic constant:

$k' = k[T]_0$:

$$x = x_T = x_N = x_M = \frac{\exp[k't(r-1)]-1}{\exp[k't(r-1)]-1/r} \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) can be used to fit the experimental data of x_T , x_S and x_N and obtain an estimation of k' .

As already underlined, the experimental time-conversion curves were obtained for each reaction by using both the azide and mesyl (or tosyl) signals from the FTIR spectra. These values should theoretically coincide, but of course the inevitable uncertainties correlated with the use of IR spectra for quantitative analysis lead to small discrepancies. As an example, the

1
2
3 comparison of conversion obtained with azide and mesyl signals in the case of PGM at 85°C
4
5 is reported in Figure 6. The image clearly shows that x_N has an irregular trend between 30 and
6
7 60 minutes, while x_M gives a more reliable and smooth behavior. Nevertheless, the two sets of
8
9 data are in good accordance and the reported example was chosen because it is the one where
10
11 the noise in x_N is more pronounced.
12

13
14 As a second example, the PMMMO azidation kinetics at different temperatures, obtained
15
16 from the mesyl peaks, are reported in Figure 7, together with the best fit obtained from
17
18 equation (8) with k' as unique fitting parameter. The whole list of estimated k' values for the
19
20 four polymers is reported in Table 3, together with the activation energy (E_a) and the pre-
21
22 exponential factor (A) evaluated by a linear fit in the plot of the logarithmic k' values against
23
24 the inverse of temperature, expressed in Kelvin degrees.
25
26

27 Independently on the use of mesyl or tosyl groups, the azidation reaction was faster for GAP
28
29 than for PAMMO. This is why in the first case the adopted temperatures were 75, 85, and 95
30
31 °C, while they were raised to 85, 105 and 125 for PAMMO. As expected, in both cases the
32
33 temperature strongly influences the rate of reaction and the time needed for a quantitative
34
35 azidation may vary from approximately one week to about one day while comparing the
36
37 lower and higher tested temperatures. The k' values reported in Table 3 confirms that the tosyl
38
39 group is a better leaving group in the case of GAP precursors. In contrast, in the case of
40
41 PAMMO the difference between the two precursors is less pronounced and the mesyl group is
42
43 the one with the highest azidation kinetic. Moreover, it is interesting to observe that for both
44
45 polymers the azidation reaction from the mesylate precursors has a higher activation energy
46
47 (thus indicating a higher dependence on temperature) and a higher pre-exponential factor
48
49 (thus indicating a higher frequency of collisions between reactant molecules). A simple
50
51 theoretical calculation indicates that in the case of GAP the azidation kinetics from the two
52
53 different precursors should coincide at about 110 °C which is still in a feasible range of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 operating temperature (even lower than those already adopted for PAMMO in the present
4
5 study).

6
7 Finally, it is interesting to report the thermogravimetric analysis of the polymers and
8
9 corresponding precursors (Figure 8). As it has been already observed and discussed in many
10
11 other works, the azidic polymers shows a two-step decomposition behaviour [7, 11, 16, 21,
12
13 48]. The nitrogen release from the N_3 group is responsible for the first step, while during the
14
15 second step the main chain decomposition occurs. It is not the aim of this work to discuss this
16
17 well-known behaviour, which has been already showed for both GAP and PAMMO
18
19 polymers. The curves presented here because they allow the comparison between behavior of
20
21 polymers prepared from tosyl and mesyl precursors. It can be clearly seen that the thermal
22
23 behaviour of the azidated polymers are almost identical, with the differences comparable to
24
25 the experimental uncertainty always present while observing fast and uncontrollable
26
27 decomposition phenomena.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 **4. Conclusions**

35
36 Azidic binders are probably the most interesting and promising alternative to HTPB and the
37
38 scientific research was focused on their synthesis for many years. Usually, the N_3
39
40 functionality is added to a polymeric precursor by SN_2 type substitution of a halogen or tosyl
41
42 group. The use of chlorine and bromine, as groups have some disadvantages, mainly related
43
44 to the possibility of incomplete substitution during azidation. The residual halogen atoms may
45
46 impart a sort of anti-flame character to the binder and significantly reduce its efficiency.
47
48

49 Analogously, the tosyl group has disadvantages related to its very high molecular weight,
50
51 which strongly increases the weight of reagents and by-product to be processed. This is
52
53 negligible on the lab scale, but may represent a problem to scale up the process for industrial
54
55 production. Moreover, the introduction of several tosyl groups in a polymeric chain may
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 result in a steric hindrance that can limit both the molecular weight of the polymer and its
4
5 reactivity during azidation. This is the reason why the methane-sulfonyl chloride has been
6
7 suggested as a potential alternative to halogen and tosyl groups. On a theoretical basis, the
8
9 mesyl group should be comparable to the tosyl one in leaving the polymeric chain during
10
11 azidation. However, there are also some potential advantages connected with the use of such
12
13 molecule. First of all, it is convenient from an economic point of view, since it is a low cost
14
15 compound that can be easily introduced in the oxetane and oxirane monomers. Secondly, the
16
17 reduced dimension, when compared to the tosyl group, allows a greater mobility of the
18
19 polymeric chains. The synthesis and azidation of GAP and PAMMO starting from tosyl and
20
21 mesyl precursors confirmed the high potential of the latter. The mesylate precursors were
22
23 prepared in form of oxetanic and oxiranic monomers, subsequently polymerized and azidated.
24
25 From the operating point of view, all the synthetic steps were comparable with those adopted
26
27 for the case of conventional precursors. The azidation reaction led to fully substituted
28
29 polymers, with final properties almost identical to those prepared by using the tosyl groups.
30
31 Finally, the kinetic of azidation at high temperatures showed to be perfectly comparable with
32
33 that of the other precursors. This is not surprising if we consider that: 1) the tosyl
34
35 functionality, as a better (more stable) leaving group, simply lowers the transition state
36
37 energy, relative to that of the mesyl analogue; 2) the higher mobility of the mesyl groups
38
39 leads to a pre-exponential factor two or three order of magnitude higher than that of the
40
41 tosylated reactant. We can thus conclude that methane-sulfonyl (mesyl) group is a good
42
43 candidate for the production of azidic binders for solid rocket propellants.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **References**

52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [1] Colclough, M. E., H. Desai, R. W. Millar, N. C. Paul, M. J. Stewart, and P. Golding.
4
5 1993. Energetic polymers as binders in composite propellants and explosives. *Polymers for*
6
7 *Advanced Technologies*, 5:554-560.
8
- 9 [2] Provatas, A. 2000. Energetic polymers and plasticisers for explosive formulations - A
10
11 review of recent advances, DSTO-TR-0966.
12
- 13 [3] Agrawal, J. P. 1998. Recent trends in high-energy materials. *Progress in energy and*
14
15 *combustion science*, 24:1-30.
16
- 17 [4] Badgajar, D. M., M. B. Talawar, S. N. Asthana, and P. P. Mahulikar. 2008. Advances
18
19 in science and technology of modern energetic materials: An overview. *Journal of Hazardous*
20
21 *Materials*, 151:289-305.
22
- 23 [5] Sikder, A. K., and N. Sikder. 2004. A review of advanced high performance,
24
25 insensitive and thermally stable energetic materials emerging for military and space
26
27 applications. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, A112:1-15.
28
- 29 [6] Gaur, B., B. Lochab, V. Choudhary, and I. K. Varma. 2003. Azido polymers-energetic
30
31 binders for solid rocket propellants. *Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part C- Polymer*
32
33 *Reviews*, C43(4):505- 545.
34
- 35 [7] Korobeinichev, O.P., L.V.Kuibida, E. N. Volkov, and A. G. Shmakov. 2002. Mass
36
37 spectrometric study of combustion and thermal decomposition of GAP. *Combustion and*
38
39 *Flame*, 129(1-2):136-150.
40
- 41 [8] Sahu, S.K., S. P. Panda, D. S. Sadafule, C. G. Kumbhar, S. G. Kulkarni, and J. V.
42
43 Thakur. 1998. Thermal and photodegradation of glycidyl azide polymers. *Polymer*
44
45 *Degradation and Stability*, 62:495-500.
46
- 47 [9] You, J. S., and S. T. Noh. 2013. Rheological and thermal properties of glycidyl azide
48
49 polyol-based energetic thermoplastic polyurethane elastomers. *Polym. Int.*, 62:158-164.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [10] Manu, S. K., V. Sekkar, K. J. Scariah, T. L. Varghese, and S. J. Mathew. 2008.
4
5 Kinetics of glycidyl azide polymer-based urethane network formation. *Appl. Polym.*
6
7 *Sci*110:908-914.
8
- 9
10 [11] Eroğlu, M. S., and O. Güven. 1996. Thermal decomposition of poly(glycidyl azide) as
11
12 studied by high-temperature FTIR and thermogravimetry. *Journal of Applied Polymer*
13
14 *Science*, 61:201-206.
15
- 16 [12] Selim, K., S. ÖZkar, and L. Yilmaz. 2000. Thermal characterization of glycidyl azide
17
18 polymer (GAP) and GAP-based binders for composite propellants. *Journal of Applied*
19
20 *Polymer Science*, 77:538-546.
21
- 22 [13] Sahu, S. K., S. P. Panda, D. S. Sadafule, C. G. Kumbhar, S. G. Kulkarni, and J. V.
23
24 Thakur. 1998. Thermal and photodegradation of glycidyl azide polymers. *Polymer*
25
26 *Degradation and Stability*, 62:495-500.
27
- 28 [14] Menke, K., J. Bohnlein-Mauß, and H. Schubert. 1996. Characteristic properties of AN
29
30 / GAP-Propellants. *Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics*, 21:139-145.
31
- 32 [15] Sun, Y., and S. Li. 2008. The effect of nitrate esters on the thermal decomposition
33
34 mechanism of GAP. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 154:112-117.
35
36
- 37 [16] Fazlıoğlu, H., and J. Hacaloğlu. 2002. Thermal decomposition of glycidyl azide
38
39 polymer by direct insertion probe mass spectrometry. *Journal of Analytical and Applied*
40
41 *Pyrolysis*, 63:327-338.
42
- 43 [17] Wang, T., S. Li, B. Yang, C. Huang, and Y. J. Li. 2007. Thermal decomposition of
44
45 glycidyl azide polymer studied by synchrotron photoionization mass spectrometry. *Phys.*
46
47 *Chem. B*, 111:2449-2455.
48
- 49 [18] Arisawa, H., and T. B. Brill. 1998. Thermal decomposition of energetic materials 71:
50
51 Structure- decomposition and kinetic relationships in flash pyrolysis of glycidyl azide
52
53 polymer (GAP). *Combustion and Flame*, 112:533-544.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [19] Feng, H. T., K. J. Mintz, R. A. Augsten, and D. E. G. Jones. 1998. Thermal analysis of
4 branched GAP. *Thermochimica Acta*, 311:105-111.
5
6
7 [20] Tamura G., M. Tanabe, and T. Kuwahara. 2012. Decomposition of GAP single
8 droplets used as liquid monopropellants. *Propellants Explosives and Pyrotechnics*, 37:302-
9 307.
10
11
12 [21] Tang, C. J., Y. Lee, and T. A. Litzinger. 1999. Simultaneous temperature and species
13 measurements of the glycidyl azide polymer (GAP) propellant during laser-induced
14 decomposition. *Combustion and Flame*, 117:244-256.
15
16
17 [22] Laviolette, M., and M. Auger. 1999. Monitoring the aging dynamics of glycidyl azide
18 polyurethane by NMR relaxation times. *Macromolecules*, 32:1602-1610.
19
20
21 [23] Vandenburg, E. J. (Hercules Inc.). 1972. U.S. Patent 3,645,917.
22
23
24 [24] Frankel, M. B., L. R. Grant, and J. E. Flanagan. 1992. Historical development of
25 glycidyl azide polymer *Journal of Propulsion and Power*, 8(3):560-563.
26
27
28 [25] Jutier, J-J., A. De Gunzburg, and R. E. Prud'Homme. 1999. Synthesis and
29 characterization of poly(3,3 bis(azidomethyl)oxetane-co- ϵ -caprolactone)s. *Journal of*
30 *Polymer Science: Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 37:1027-1039.
31
32
33 [26] Zhai, J., R. Yang, and J. Li. 2008. Catalytic thermal decomposition and combustion of
34 composite BAMO-THF propellants. *Combustion and Flame*, 154:473-477.
35
36
37 [27] Luo, Y., P. Chen, F-Q. Zhao, R-Z. Hu, S-W. Li, and Y. Gao. 2004. Kinetics and
38 mechanism of the thermal decomposition reaction of 3,3-
39 bis(azidomethyl)oxetane/tetrahydrofuran copolymer. *Chinese Journal of Chemistry*, 22:1219-
40 1224.
41
42
43 [28] Zhang, Y., J. Zhao, P. Yang, S. He, and H. Huang. 2012. Synthesis and
44 characterization of Energetic GAP-*b*-PAEMA block copolymer. *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 52:768-
45 773.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [29] Pisharath, S., and H. G. Ang. 2007. Synthesis and thermal decomposition of
4 GAP/Poly(BAMO) copolymer. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 92:1365-1377.
5
6
7 [30] Barbieri, U., G. Polacco, and R. Massimi. 2006. Synthesis of energetic polyethers
8 from halogenated precursors. *Macromolecular Symposia*, 234(1):51-58.
9
10
11 [31] Kawamoto, A. M., U. Barbieri, T. Keicher, H. Krause, J. A. S. Holanda, M. Kaiser,
12 and G. Polacco. 2008. Synthesis and characterization of glycidyl azide-r-(3,3-
13 bis(azidomethyl)oxetane) copolymers. *Propellant Explosives and Pyrotechnics*, 33:365-372.
14
15
16 [32] Barbieri, U., T. Keicher, R. Massimi, and G. Polacco. 2009. Preliminary
17 Characterization of Propellants Based on GA/BAMO and pAMMO binders. *Propellant*
18 *Explosives and Pyrotechnics*, 34(5):427-435.
19
20
21 [33] Kawamoto, A. M., M. F. Diniz, V. L. Lourenço, M. F. K. Takahashi, T. Keicher, H.
22 Krause, K. Menke, and P. B. J. Kempa. 2010. Synthesis and characterization of GAP/BAMO
23 copolymers applied at high energetic composite propellants. *Aerosp. Technol. Manag.*,
24 2(3):307-322.
25
26
27 [34] Barbieri, U., G. Polacco, E. Paesano, and R. Massimi. 2006. Low risk synthesis of
28 energetic poly(3-azidomethyl-3-methyloxetane) from tosylate precursors. *Propellant*
29 *Explosives and Pyrotechnics*, 31(5):369-375.
30
31
32 [35] Barbieri, U., T. Keicher, and G. Polacco. 2009. Homo and copolymers of 3-
33 tosyloxymethyl-3-methyl oxetane (TMMO) as precursors of energetic azide polymers. *e-
34 Polymers*, 9(1):565-575.
35
36
37 [36] Manser, G. E., R. W. Fletcher, and G. C. Shaw. 1983. High Energy Binders. Contract
38 No. N00014-82-C-0800, Morton Thiokol, Inc. Project JM101.
39
40
41 [37] Hardenstine, K. E., G. V. S. Henderson Jr, L. H. Sperling, C. J. Murphy, and G. E.
42 Manser. 1985. Crystallization behavior of poly(3,3-bisethoxymethyl oxetane) and poly(3,3-
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 bisazidomethyl oxetane)Journal of Polymer Science: Polymer Physics Edition, 23(8):1597-
4
5 1609.

6
7 [38] Jones, R. B., C. J. Murphy, L. H. Sperling, M. Farber, S. P. Harris, and G. E. Manser.
8
9 1985. Thermal decomposition behavior of poly[3,3-bis(ethoxymethyl) oxetane] and related
10 polyethers. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 30(1):95-109.

11
12 [39] Bouchékif, H., M. I. Philbin, M. E. Colclough, and A. J. Amass. 2008. Cationic ring-
13 opening polymerization of oxetane via a non-steady-state controlled polymerization process: a
14 comparison of initiators yielding living and nonliving polymers. Macromolecules, 41:1989-
15 1995.

16
17 [40] Frankel, M. B., E. F. Witucki, and D.O. Woolery. 1983. U.S. Patent 4,379,894.

18
19 [41] Wagner, R. I. 1991. U.S. Patent 5,055,600.

20
21 [42] Cappello, M., P. Lamia, C. Mura, G. Polacco, and S. Filippi. 2015. Azidated ether-
22 butadiene-ether block copolymers as binders for solid propellants. Journal of Energetic
23 Materials, submitted.

24
25 [43] Wilson, E. ., M. B. Frankel, U. S. Patent 4,781,861.

26
27 [44] Vasudevan, V., and G. Sundararajan 1999. Synthesis of GAP-PB-GAP triblock
28 copolymer and application as modifier in AP/HTPB composite propellant. Propellants,
29 Explosives, Pyrotechnics, 24:295-300.

30
31 [45] Nair, J. K., R. S. Satpute, B. G. Polke, T. Mukundan, S. N. Asthana, and Haridwar
32 Singh. 2002. Synthesis and characterisation of bis-azido methyl oxetane and its polymer and
33 copolymer with tetrahydrofuran. Defence Science Journal, 52(2):147-156.

34
35 [46] Nakabayashi, N., E. Masuhara, Y. Iwakura. 1966. Some reactions of the glycidyl
36 esters of sulfonic acids. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 39:413-417.

37
38 [47] Kazemi, F., A. R. Massah, M. Javaherian. 2007. Chemoselective and scalable
39 preparation of alkyl tosylates under solvent-free conditions. Tetrahedron, 63:5083-5087.

1
2
3 [48] Reshmia, S., K. P. Vijayalakshmi, Deepthi Thomas, B. K. George, and C. P.
4
5 Reghunadhan Nair. 2013. Thermal decomposition of a diazido ester: Pyrolysis GC–MS and
6
7 DFT study. Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis, 104:603-608.
8
9
10

11 **Figure captions**

12 Figure 1 - Synthesis and azidation of GAP and PAMMO.

13
14 Figure 2 – FTIR spectra of monomers, precursors and azidated polymers.

15
16 Figure 3 – H-NMR spectra of the mesylate monomers and polymers.

17
18 Figure 4 – GPC curve of PGT (a) and the resultant fitting obtained by deconvolution into two
19
20 peaks corresponding to polymer and oligomers (b).
21
22

23
24 Figure 5 – FT-IR spectra of PGM during azidation at 85 °C.

25
26 Figure 6 - Comparison between x_N and x_M for PGM azidation at 85 °C.

27
28 Figure 7 – x_M for PTMMO azidation at different temperatures: ○ 85 °C; □ 105 °C; ◇ 125 °C.

29
30 Solid lines represent the curves calculated with eq. (8).
31
32

33
34 Figure 8 – TGA curves of the synthesized polymers.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Azidation reactions of polyether precursors: exiting group, reaction medium and operating temperatures, as reported in literature.

Exiting group	medium	Temperature (°C)	reference
Tosyl, Br	DMSO, DMF	95, 120, 150	[34]
Cl, Br	DMF	90-95	[30]
Cl	DMF	120	[29]
Cl, Br	DMSO	95	[31]
Tosyl, Br	DMSO	120	[35]
Cl, Br	DMSO	120	[33]
Cl	DMSO	100	[8]
Cl	DMF	100	[11]
Cl	DMSO	105	[25]
Cl	DMF	120	[28]
Cl	DMSO	100	[13]
Cl	DMSO	90-95	[24]
Cl	Water	95	[40]
Cl	tetrabutyl ammonium azide (molten salt)	105	[41]
NO ₂	DMSO	100	[43]
Cl	DMSO	105	[44]
Cl	Water	95-100	[45]

Table 2 – Molecular weights of the polymeric precursors calculated by GPC analysis.

Polymer	Mn	Mw	PD	Xn	Oligomer Xn	Oligomer content (w%)
PGT	2300	3200	1.4	14	683	10
PGM	2150	3380	1.6	22	500	3
PTMMO	2400	6650	2.8	26	450	6
PMMMO	3000	5900	2.0	33	700	9

Table 3 - Comparison between the kinetic constants evaluated by using the azide (N), tosyl (Ts) and mesyl (Ms) signals in the FT-IR spectra.

polymer	T	PGM		PGT		T	PMMMO		PTMMO	
group	(°C)	N	Ms	N	Ts	(°C)	N	Ms	N	Ts
k' (s ⁻¹)	75	0.041	0.0457	0.1168	0.1334	85	0.0313	0.03321	0.046	0.0445
	85	0.118	0.1064	0.1807	0.1933	105	0.17445	0.14741	0.15097	0.14771
	95	0.172	0.1747	0.2727	0.3288	125	0.49413	0.41202	0.31482	0.35203
A (s ⁻¹)		2.4*10 ⁸	4.3*10 ⁷	3.4*10 ⁴	1.1*10 ⁴		5.2*10 ⁸	4.7*10 ⁷	1.8*10 ⁵	6.8*10 ⁵
Ea (KJ/mol K)		76.6	71.5	47.9	45.1		82.0	74.8	57.1	61.4




Figure 1 - Synthesis and azidation of GAP and PAMMO.
136x92mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 2 - FTIR spectra of monomers, precursors and azidated polymers.
151x121mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 3 – H-NMR spectra of the mesylate monomers and polymers.
165x142mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 4 – GPC curve of PGT (a) and the resultant fitting obtained by deconvolution into two peaks corresponding to polymer and oligomers (b).
666x499mm (72 x 72 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5 – FT-IR spectra of PGM during azidation at 85 °C.
199x139mm (300 x 300 DPI)




Figure 6 - Comparison between x_N and x_M for PGM azidation at 85 °C.




Figure 7 - x_T for PTMMO azidation at different temperatures: \circ 85 °C; \square 105 °C; \diamond 125 °C. Solid lines represent the curves calculated with eq. (8).




Figure 8 – TGA curves of the synthesized polymers.
426x612mm (300 x 300 DPI)