



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: July 30, 2015  
ACCEPTED: August 6, 2015  
PUBLISHED: August 27, 2015

## Totally asymptotically free trinification

---

**Giulio Maria Pelaggi, Alessandro Strumia and Saverio Vignali**

*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Pisa and INFN,  
Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 3, Pisa, Italy*

*E-mail:* [g.pelaggi@for.unipi.it](mailto:g.pelaggi@for.unipi.it), [alessandro.strumia@unipi.it](mailto:alessandro.strumia@unipi.it),  
[saverio.vignali@gmail.com](mailto:saverio.vignali@gmail.com)

**ABSTRACT:** Motivated by new ideas about the Higgs mass naturalness problem, we present realistic TeV-scale extensions of the Standard Model, into the gauge group  $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R \otimes SU(3)_c$ , such that all gauge, Yukawa and quartic couplings can be extrapolated up to infinite energy. Three generations of chiral fermions and Higgses are needed, as well as some extra fermion.

**KEYWORDS:** Beyond Standard Model, Higgs Physics

**ARXIV EPRINT:** [1507.06848](https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.06848)

---

**Contents**

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Weak-scale trinification</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Scalars	2
2.2	Vectors	3
2.3	Fermions	4
2.4	The extra fermions	5
2.5	Neutrinos	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Totally Asymptotically Free trinification</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	TAF models with extra stable fermions	7
3.2	TAF models with extra unstable fermions	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Conclusions</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>The trinification TAF model with extra <math>Q_L</math> and <math>Q_R</math></b>	<b>12</b>

---

**1 Introduction**

The new physics predicted by the Higgs mass naturalness problem so far did not show up at the Large Hadron Collider nor in any other experiment. The fine-tuning level implied by present bounds is so uncomfortably high that the whole issue is being reconsidered. One possible alternative approach consists in maintaining the view that nature is natural, but accepting the possibility that we misunderstood Higgs mass naturalness, attributing physical meaning to quadratically divergent corrections and insisting on extensions of the SM that cancel them, such as supersymmetry or composite Higgs.

In this work, we re-interpret naturalness demanding that it is satisfied only by those corrections to the Higgs mass  $M_h$  which are physical i.e. in principle observable. Then the SM becomes natural and it is possible to devise natural extensions that include neutrino masses, Dark Matter [1], gravity [2] and inflation [3], as demanded by data. In general, new physics much above the weak scale is natural provided that it is coupled weakly enough to the SM: then the RGE running is dominated by the SM couplings. In particular, gravity can be a low-energy manifestation of small dimensionless couplings [2, 4].

In this context, one would like to have a theory that can hold up to infinite energy [4–11], without any cut-off that could give physical meaning to power divergences. However, in the SM, the hypercharge gauge coupling  $g_Y$  hits a Landau pole around  $10^{43}$  GeV. It is not clear if this implies a correction to the Higgs mass of the same order:  $g_Y$  could reach a non-perturbative interacting fixed point [21–25]. Given that we do not know how to

compute this kind of possibility, we here assume that all couplings must be asymptotically free. Then, the requirement that the SM can be extrapolated up to infinite energy without hitting any Landau pole implies the trivial wrong prediction  $g_Y = 0$  and non-trivial predictions for  $y_t, y_\tau$  and for the Higgs quartic [4].

In order to have a realistic natural model that satisfies Total Asymptotic Freedom (TAF), the SM must be extended around the weak scale into a theory without abelian U(1) factors. The specific hypercharges of SM fermions suggest two possibilities [4, 11]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pati-Salam: } G_{224} &= \text{SU}(2)_L \otimes \text{SU}(2)_R \otimes \text{SU}(4)_{\text{PS}} \\ \text{Trinification: } G_{333} &= \text{SU}(3)_L \otimes \text{SU}(3)_R \otimes \text{SU}(3)_c \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Asymptotically free gauge couplings are only a first step: all Yukawa and quartic couplings must also satisfy TAF conditions described in [4], where a Pati-Salam TAF model was found. However the TAF conditions for the quartics did not allow to realize Pati-Salam models that avoid quark-lepton unification. As a consequence, in the TAF Pati-Salam model, flavor bounds force the masses of gauge vectors of  $\text{SU}(4)_{\text{PS}}/\text{SU}(3)_c$  to be heavier than 100 TeV, which is unnaturally above the weak scale.

Trinification [12–20] does not predict quark-lepton unification and thereby is safer than Pati-Salam from the point of view of flavour bounds. Thereby trinification could give rise to simple natural TAF models. However [4] did not find any realistic trinification model that satisfies the TAF conditions.

Since analytic understanding does not offer enough guidance to TAF searches, in order to perform an extensive brute-force scan, we developed a code that, given the gauge group and the field content, finds the Yukawa and quartic couplings, computes their one-loop RGE and checks if it admits TAF solutions.

In section 2 we discuss minimal weak-scale trinification, discussing why 3 generations of Higgses are needed. In section 3 we find and systematically classify TAF extensions of minimal trinification that only involve extra vector-like fermions. Results are summarised in the conclusion in section 4.

## 2 Weak-scale trinification

Table 1 summarises the field content of minimal trinification.<sup>1</sup>

### 2.1 Scalars

One bi-triplet scalar  $H$  in the  $(3_L, \bar{3}_R)$  representation contains 3 Higgs doublets. At least two bi-triplets,  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , are needed in order to break  $G_{333}$  to the SM gauge group. Indeed, the most generic vacuum expectation values that give the desired pattern of symmetry breaking are

$$\langle H_n \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_{un} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_{dn} & v_{Ln} \\ 0 & V_{Rn} & V_n \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In most of the literature [12–19], trinification models include a permutation symmetry among the three SU(3) factors that forces the trinification scale to be very large. We do not impose such extra symmetry, partially broken by the scalar field content and totally broken by the numerical values of the gauge couplings.

Field	spin	generations	SU(3) <sub>L</sub>	SU(3) <sub>R</sub>	SU(3) <sub>c</sub>	Δb <sub>L</sub>	Δb <sub>R</sub>	Δb <sub>c</sub>
$Q_R = \begin{pmatrix} u_R^1 & u_R^2 & u_R^3 \\ d_R^1 & d_R^2 & d_R^3 \\ d_R^{\prime 1} & d_R^{\prime 2} & d_R^{\prime 3} \end{pmatrix}$	1/2	3	1	3	$\bar{3}$	0	1	1
$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} u_L^1 & d_L^1 & \bar{d}_R^1 \\ u_L^2 & d_L^2 & \bar{d}_R^1 \\ u_L^3 & d_L^3 & \bar{d}_R^3 \end{pmatrix}$	1/2	3	$\bar{3}$	1	3	1	0	1
$L = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}'_L & e'_L & e_L \\ \bar{e}'_L & \nu'_L & \nu_L \\ e_R & \nu_R & \nu' \end{pmatrix}$	1/2	3	3	$\bar{3}$	1	1	1	0
H	0	3	3	$\bar{3}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0

**Table 1.** Field content of minimal weak-scale trinification.

The vacuum expectation values denoted with a capital  $V$  break  $G_{333}$  to the SM gauge group  $G_{123} = U(1)_Y \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes SU(3)_c$ , and must be larger than the vevs denoted with a lower-case  $v$ , that break  $G_{123} \xrightarrow{v} U(1)_{\text{em}} \otimes SU(3)_c$ . Notice that  $V_{R1}$  and  $v_{L1}$  can be set to zero, by redefining the field  $H_1$ .

The most generic quartic scalar potential is:

1.  $V(H_1) = V_{1111}$  for a single Higgs field  $H_1$ . It contains two quartic couplings.
2.  $V(H_1, H_2) = (V_{1111} + V_{2222}) + V_{1122} + (V_{1222} + V_{1222})$  for two Higgs fields  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . It contains 14 real quartics plus 6 phases.
3.  $V(H_1, H_2, H_3) = (V_{1111} + V_{2222} + V_{3333}) + (V_{1122} + V_{2233} + V_{1133}) + (V_{1222} + V_{1333} + V_{2333} + V_{2111} + V_{3111} + V_{3222}) + (V_{1123} + V_{2213} + V_{3312})$  for three Higgs fields  $H_1, H_2$  and  $H_3$ . It contains 54 real quartics plus 36 phases.

We defined:

$$V_{iiii} = \lambda_{ai} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_i)^2 + \lambda_{bi} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_i H_i^\dagger H_i), \quad (2.2a)$$

$$V_{iiij} = \text{Re} [\lambda_{aiij} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_j) \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_i) + \lambda_{biiij} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_i H_i^\dagger H_j)], \quad (2.2b)$$

$$V_{iijj} = \lambda_{aij} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_i) \text{Tr}(H_j^\dagger H_j) + \lambda_{bij} |\text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_j)|^2 + \lambda_{cij} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_i H_j^\dagger H_j) + \lambda_{dij} \text{Tr}(H_i H_i^\dagger H_j H_j^\dagger) + \text{Re} [\lambda_{eij} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_j)^2 + \lambda_{fij} \text{Tr}(H_i^\dagger H_j H_i^\dagger H_j)], \quad (2.2c)$$

$$V_{iijk} = \text{Re} [\lambda_{aijk} \text{Tr}(H_i H_k^\dagger H_i H_j^\dagger) + \lambda_{bijk} \text{Tr}(H_i H_k^\dagger H_j H_i^\dagger) + \lambda_{cijk} \text{Tr}(H_i H_i^\dagger H_j H_k^\dagger) + \lambda_{dijk} \text{Tr}(H_i H_j^\dagger) \text{Tr}(H_i H_k^\dagger) + \lambda_{eijk} \text{Tr}(H_j H_i^\dagger) \text{Tr}(H_i H_k^\dagger) + \lambda_{fijk} \text{Tr}(H_i H_i^\dagger) \text{Tr}(H_j H_k^\dagger)]. \quad (2.2d)$$

## 2.2 Vectors

The three trinification gauge coupling constants ( $g_L, g_R, g_c$ ) allow to reproduce those of the SM ( $g_3, g_2, g_Y = \sqrt{3/5} g_1$ ) as

$$g_L = g_2, \quad g_R = \frac{2g_2 g_Y}{\sqrt{3g_2^2 - g_Y^2}}, \quad g_c = g_3. \quad (2.3)$$

The vev  $V_1$  alone breaks  $G_{333} \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)_L \otimes \text{SU}(2)_R \otimes \text{U}(1)_{B-L} \otimes \text{SU}(3)_c$ . At this stage, a left-handed Higgs doublet, a right-handed doublet and one singlet get eaten by the  $4 + 4 + 1$  vector bosons that acquire masses: a  $\text{SU}(2)_L$  doublet  $H_L$ , a  $\text{SU}(2)_R$  doublet  $H_R$  and a  $Z'$  singlet:

$$M_{H_L} = g_L V_1, \quad M_{H_R} = g_R V_1, \quad M_{Z'} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}(g_L^2 + g_R^2)} V_1. \quad (2.4)$$

The massive  $Z'$  corresponds to the combination of gauge bosons  $g_L A_{L\mu}^8 - g_R A_{R\mu}^8$ . Precision data imply  $M_{Z'} > 2 - 6 \text{ TeV}$ , depending on the  $Z'$  charge of the light SM Higgs.

Taking into account the  $n$  scalars with generic vacuum expectation values  $V_n$  and  $V_{Rn}$  as in eq. (2.1) and defining  $V^2 \equiv \sum_n (V_n^2 + V_{Rn}^2)$  and the dimension-less ratios  $\alpha \equiv \sum_n V_{Rn}^2 / V^2$  and  $\beta \equiv \sum_n V_n V_{Rn} / V^2$ , the gauge bosons form:

- A left-handed weak doublet with 4 components and mass  $M_{H_L} = g_L V$ ;
- The  $\text{SU}(2)_R$  vector doublet  $H_R$  splits into two charged component with mass  $M_{H_R^\pm} = g_R V$  and into 2 neutral components with mass

$$M_{H_R^0}^2 = \frac{g_R^2 V^2}{2} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{(1 - 2\alpha^2)^2 + 4\beta^2} \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

- The breaking of  $\text{SU}(2)_R$  gives rise to right-handed  $W_R^\pm$  vectors with mass

$$M_{W_R^\pm}^2 = \frac{g_R^2 V^2}{2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{(1 - 2\alpha^2)^2 + 4\beta^2} \right] \quad (2.6)$$

and to a  $Z_R$  vector.

- The  $Z_R$  and the  $Z_{B-L}$  vectors mix forming eigenstates with masses:

$$M_{Z', Z''}^2 = \frac{2V^2}{3} \left[ (g_L^2 + g_R^2) \pm \sqrt{(g_L^2 + g_R^2)^2 + 3g_R^2(4g_L^2 + g_R^2)(\alpha^4 - \alpha^2 + \beta^2)} \right]. \quad (2.7)$$

In the limit  $V_{Rn} \ll V_n$  these reduce to

$$M_{Z'} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}(g_L^2 + g_R^2)} V, \quad M_{B-L} \simeq |\beta| g_R V_R \sqrt{\frac{g_R^2 + 4g_L^2}{g_R^2 + g_L^2}}. \quad (2.8)$$

- The 12 SM vectors remain massless.

The gauge boson of  $B-L$  corresponds to  $g_R A_{L\mu}^8 + g_L A_{R\mu}^8$  with  $g_{B-L} = (\sqrt{3}/2) g_R g_L / \sqrt{g_R^2 + g_L^2}$  and is subjected to the bound  $M_{B-L} \gtrsim 2.6 \text{ TeV}$  from ATLAS [4].

### 2.3 Fermions

The SM chiral fermions are contained in a  $Q_R \oplus Q_L \oplus L$  multiplet as described in table 1. Each generation of  $Q_R \oplus Q_L \oplus L$  contains 27 fermions that decompose under the SM gauge group as the usual 15 SM chiral fermions, plus a vector-like lepton doublet  $L' \oplus \bar{L}'$ , a

vector-like right-handed down quark  $d'_R \oplus \bar{d}'_R$ , and two neutral singlets, denoted as  $\nu_R$  and  $\nu'$  in table 1.

The observed pattern of quark masses is an independent reason why two bi-triplet Higgses  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are needed in order to achieve a realistic model. In the trinification model with the minimal content of chiral fermions, the SM Yukawa couplings are obtained from the  $G_{333}$ -invariant interactions

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = y_{Qn}^{ij} Q_{Li} Q_{Rj} H_n + \frac{y_{Ln}^{ij}}{2} L_i L_j H_n + \text{h.c.} \quad (2.9)$$

where summation over  $n$  and  $i, j = \{1, 2, 3\}$  is implicit and with  $y_L$  symmetric under  $i \leftrightarrow j$ . The Yukawa couplings satisfy an accidental global  $U(1)$  symmetry under which  $Q_L$  and  $Q_R$  have opposite charges, such that the proton is stable like in the SM.

Expanding in components, and omitting flavor indexes, we find

$$m_u = v_{un} y_{Qn}, \quad \begin{matrix} d_R & d'_R \\ d_L \left( \begin{matrix} v_{dn} y_{Qn} & V_{Rn} y_{Qn} \\ v_{Ln} y_{Qn} & V_n y_{Qn} \end{matrix} \right) \\ \bar{d}'_R \end{matrix} \quad (2.10)$$

for the mass matrices of up-type and down-type quarks,

$$\begin{matrix} e_R & \bar{e}'_L \\ e_L \left( \begin{matrix} -v_{dn} y_{Ln} & V_{Rn} y_{Ln} \\ v_{Ln} y_{Ln} & -V_n y_{Ln} \end{matrix} \right) \\ e'_L \end{matrix} \quad (2.11)$$

for the charged lepton mass matrix, and

$$\begin{matrix} \nu_L & \nu_R & \nu'_L & \bar{\nu}'_L & \nu' \\ \nu_L \left( \begin{matrix} 0 & -v_{un} y_{Ln} & 0 & -V_{Rn} y_{Ln} & 0 \\ \nu_R \left( \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -v_{Ln} y_{Ln} & 0 \\ \nu'_L \left( \begin{matrix} 0 & V_n y_{Ln} & v_{un} y_{Ln} \\ \bar{\nu}'_L \left( \begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & v_{dn} y_{Ln} \\ \nu' \left( \begin{matrix} 0 \end{matrix} \right) \end{matrix} \right) \end{matrix} \right) \end{matrix} \right) \end{matrix} \right) \quad (2.12)$$

for the symmetric mass matrix of neutral leptons ('neutrinos') at tree level. Minimal trinification predicts an odd number of neutrinos per generation: the usual  $\nu_L$  with  $B-L = -1$ ;  $\nu_R$  with  $B-L = +1$ , and  $\nu', \nu'_L, \bar{\nu}'_L$  with vanishing  $B-L$ .

## 2.4 The extra fermions

The model with  $n = 2$  Higgs fields is usually considered as 'minimal trinification'; however it has the following problem: the extra fermions tend to be too light.

Indeed, the extra primed fermions  $d'_R$  and  $e'_R$  (chiral under  $G_{333}$  but not under  $G_{SM}$ ) get masses of order  $M' \sim yV$  when  $G_{333}$  gets broken by the vev  $V$ , and the chiral SM fermions get masses of order  $m \sim yv$  such that  $M'/m \sim V/v$ . More precisely, from the mass matrices of eq. (2.10) and eq. (2.11), one finds the masses of the heavy extra fermions

$$M_{d'_R} \approx \sqrt{(V_n y_{Qn})^2 + (V_{Rn} y_{Qn})^2}, \quad M_{e'_R} \approx \sqrt{(V_n y_{Ln})^2 + (V_{Rn} y_{Ln})^2} \quad (2.13)$$

and of the light SM fermions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_d &\approx \frac{(v_{dn}y_{Qn})(V_n y_{Qn}) - (v_{Ln}y_{Qn})(V_{Rn}y_{Qn})}{M_{d'_R}}, \\
 m_e &\approx \frac{(v_{dn}y_{Ln})(V_n y_{Ln}) - (v_{Ln}y_{Ln})(V_{Rn}y_{Ln})}{M_{e'_R}}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.14}$$

Thereby, the masses of first generation quarks and leptons are naturally reproduced for Yukawa couplings of order  $y \lesssim 10^{-5}$ , like in the SM. Notice that the two Yukawa couplings  $y_{Q1}$  and  $y_{Q2}$  allow to reproduce the two masses of the SM up and down quarks.

The problem is that TeV-scale minimal trinification with  $V \sim \text{few TeV}$  naturally implies extra fermions with masses  $M' \lesssim 0.1 \text{ GeV}$ , in sharp contradiction with data. In particular,  $M_{d'_R}$  is a few thousand times below its LHC bound  $M_{d'_R} \gtrsim 700 \text{ GeV}$  [26, 27]. Second and third generation quarks are heavier, giving rise to a qualitatively similar but quantitatively smaller problems with  $s'_R, b'_R$ .

Comparing the number of experimental constraints to the number of free parameters (and including the vevs  $v$  among them) shows the existence of experimentally allowed but fine-tuned choices of parameters, such that  $M'$  is heavy enough. However, the fine-tuning needed to avoid all problems in all generations is at the  $10^8$  level: we do not want to pursue this road.

A simple way out is considering weak-scale trinification models with 3 Higgses  $H_{1,2,3}$ . The three Yukawa couplings  $y_{Q1}, y_{Q2}, y_{Q3}$  then allow to naturally adjust the three masses  $m_u, m_d$  and  $M_{d'_R}$  to values compatible with experiments.

For example, a natural configuration is obtained assuming that  $H_1$  breaks  $G_{333}$  but preserves  $G_{\text{SM}}$  (i.e.  $V_1 \neq 0$  and  $v_{d1} = v_{u1} = v_{L1} = 0$ ). Then, the Yukawa couplings  $y_{Q1}$  and  $y_{L1}$  allow to give large enough masses  $M_{d'_R} = V_1 y_{Q1} \gtrsim 700 \text{ GeV}$  and  $M_{e'_R} = V_1 y_{L1} \gtrsim 200 \text{ GeV}$  to the extra primed fermions, without also giving too large masses to the SM fermions. The other Higgses  $H_2$  and  $H_3$  can have the small Yukawa couplings needed to reproduce the light SM fermion masses,

$$m_e \sim \sum_{n=2}^3 v_{dn} y_{Ln}, \quad m_u \sim \sum_{n=2}^3 v_{un} y_{Qn}, \quad m_d \sim \sum_{n=2}^3 v_{dn} y_{Qn}.
 \tag{2.15}$$

## 2.5 Neutrinos

So far we ignored neutrinos, which deserve a special discussion. Finite naturalness demands that neutrino masses be generated at relatively low energy [1], as in trinification models where  $U(1)_{B-L}$  is gauged and gets spontaneously broken at  $V_R \sim \text{few TeV}$ . The neutrino mass matrix of eq. (2.12) contains, in its 12 component, the Dirac entry  $\sum_{n=2}^3 v_{un} y_{Ln}$ , which can naturally be much smaller than  $m_u$  (which involves the same  $v_{un}$ ) and than  $m_e$  (which involves the same  $y_{Ln}$ ) if one assumes that  $y_{L3}$  is small and that  $v_{u2} = 0$ . Notice however that the one-loop RGE running of  $y_{L3}$  implies that it cannot be arbitrarily small:

$$(4\pi)^2 \frac{dy_{L3}}{d \ln \mu} = 6y_{L3}^3 + y_{L3}(-8g_c^2 - 4g_L^2 - 4g_R^2 + 6y_{L1}^2 + 6y_{L2}^2) + 3y_{Q3}(y_{Q1}y_{L1} + y_{Q2}y_{L2}).
 \tag{2.16}$$

The tree-level neutrino mass matrix of eq. (2.12), in the  $G_{\text{SM}}$ -preserving limit  $v = 0$ , gives rise to 3 massless eigenstates (1 active, 2 sterile) per generation. So, at tree level, extra sterile neutrinos remain light despite not being chiral under the SM. This is no longer true at one loop level: the extra sterile neutrinos acquire Majorana masses of order  $M \sim Vy_L^3/(4\pi)^2$ , leaving light active neutrinos with masses  $m_\nu \sim (v_{un}y_{Ln})^2/M$ . Ref. [20] presented regions of parameters space where neutrinos are naturally light.

Majorana mass terms can also be obtained at tree level adding extra fields with mass  $M \sim V$ , such that the observed neutrino masses are reproduced for  $y_{Ln} \sim 10^{-6}$ . One of the two extra sterile neutrinos per generation becomes massive adding one extra fermion singlet  $N_i$  per generation, with Majorana mass  $M_N$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{extra}} = \bar{N}_i i \not{\partial} N_i - y_{Nn}^{ij} N_i L_j H_n^* - \frac{M_N^{ij}}{2} N_i N_j. \quad (2.17)$$

Indeed, integrating out  $N$  generates the operator  $\text{Tr}(LH^\dagger)^2$ , which gives a Majorana mass to one combination of light sterile neutrinos. Both extra sterile neutrinos become massive adding Majorana fermions  $8_L$  in the adjoint of  $SU(3)_L$  and/or  $8_R$  in the adjoint of  $SU(3)_R$ : integrating them out generates  $\text{Tr}(LT^a H^\dagger)^2$  operators. The addition of these fields is not only compatible with Total Asymptotic Freedom but (in some models) also necessary, as discussed below.

### 3 Totally Asymptotically Free trification

A first search for TAF trification models was conducted in [4], finding only TAF models with a single Higgs  $H_1$ . As discussed in the previous section  $n = 2$  Higgses  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are needed for a fine-tuned trification weak-scale model, and  $n = 3$  Higgses  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  are needed for a natural weak-scale trification model.

The purpose of this section is finding trification TAF models with  $n > 1$  Higgses, despite that, as discussed in section 2.1, the number of quartic couplings that must satisfy TAF conditions grows from 2 ( $n = 1$ ) to 20 ( $n = 2$ ) to 90 ( $n = 3$ ).

First, we consider minimal trification. It has asymptotically free gauge one-loop  $\beta$ -functions

$$\frac{dg_i}{d \ln \mu} = b_i \frac{g_i^3}{(4\pi)^2}, \quad b_L = b_R = -5 + \frac{n}{3}, \quad b_c = -5. \quad (3.1)$$

However, we find that the quartics of minimal trification do not satisfy the TAF conditions when  $n > 1$  Higgses are present.

We then perform a systematic analysis of all vector-like fermion multiplets that can be added to minimal trification keeping all gauge couplings  $g_L, g_R, g_c$  asymptotically free. We do not explore the possibility of adding extra scalars beyond the  $n$  Higgses. We find 3035 possible combinations of extra fermions for  $n = 2$  (and slightly less for  $n = 3$ ), to which one can add any number of singlets under  $G_{333}$ .

#### 3.1 TAF models with extra stable fermions

In order to analyse in a systematic way this large number of possibilities, we list in table 2 the allowed extra fermions: the models are obtained adding combinations of them. There



	name	representation	$\Delta b_i$			Yukawas	
unstable	1	(1, 1, 1)	0	0	0	$1LH^*$	–
	$8_L$	(8, 1, 1)	2	0	0	$8_L LH^*$	–
	$8_R$	(1, 8, 1)	0	2	0	$8_R LH^*$	–
	$L' \oplus \bar{L}'$	$(3, \bar{3}, 1) \oplus (\bar{3}, 3, 1)$	2	2	0	$L' LH$	$L' L' H + \bar{L}' \bar{L}' H^*$
	$Q'_L \oplus \bar{Q}'_L$	$(\bar{3}, 1, 3) \oplus (3, 1, \bar{3})$	2	0	2	$Q'_L Q_R H$	–
	$Q'_R \oplus \bar{Q}'_R$	$(1, 3, \bar{3}) \oplus (1, \bar{3}, 3)$	0	2	2	$Q'_R Q_L H$	–
stable	$3_L \oplus \bar{3}_L$	$(3, 1, 1) \oplus (\bar{3}, 1, 1)$	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	0	–	–
	$3_R \oplus \bar{3}_R$	$(1, 3, 1) \oplus (1, \bar{3}, 1)$	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	0	–	–
	$3_c \oplus \bar{3}_c$	$(1, 1, 3) \oplus (1, 1, \bar{3})$	0	0	$\frac{2}{3}$	–	–
	$8_c$	(1, 1, 8)	0	0	2	–	–
	$6_L \oplus \bar{6}_L$	$(6, 1, 1) \oplus (\bar{6}, 1, 1)$	$\frac{10}{3}$	0	0	–	–
	$6_R \oplus \bar{6}_R$	$(1, 6, 1) \oplus (1, \bar{6}, 1)$	0	$\frac{10}{3}$	0	–	–
	$6_c \oplus \bar{6}_c$	$(1, 1, 6) \oplus (1, 1, \bar{6})$	0	0	$\frac{10}{3}$	–	–
	$\tilde{L} \oplus \bar{\tilde{L}}$	$(3, 3, 1) \oplus (\bar{3}, \bar{3}, 1)$	2	2	0	–	–
	$\tilde{Q}_L \oplus \bar{\tilde{Q}}_L$	$(3, 1, 3) \oplus (\bar{3}, 1, \bar{3})$	2	0	2	–	–
	$\tilde{Q}_R \oplus \bar{\tilde{Q}}_R$	$(1, 3, 3) \oplus (1, \bar{3}, \bar{3})$	0	2	2	–	–

**Table 2.** Fermionic multiplets that can be added to minimal trinification while keeping gauge couplings asymptotically free.

are 16 possible kinds extra fermions, that split into two categories: stable and unstable. The first 6 fermions are unstable because they can have Yukawa couplings with the SM chiral fermions that induce their decays. The latter 10 fermions cannot have such Yukawa couplings, because of group theory and renormalizability. In some combinations, such ‘stable’ fermions can have Yukawa couplings among themselves, but the Lagrangian still accidentally satisfies a  $Z_2$  symmetry under which their sign is reversed. Thereby, at least the lightest component of the fermions belonging to the ‘stable’ category is stable.

All components of the extra stable fermions are either charged or colored. For example, fermions in the  $3_L$  and  $3_R$  representations have the same fractional charge as the quarks in  $Q_L$  and  $Q_R$ , but without color. This means that they are not good Dark Matter candidates, and that the bounds on their cosmological abundance are so strong that the temperature of the universe must have always been well below their masses, that must be lighter than a few TeV for naturalness reasons [1]. While this is not excluded, we will not pursue this possibility, apart from showing which combinations of the stable 10 multiplets provide TAF solutions.

Given that the extra fermions do not have Yukawa couplings with the SM fermions (and neglecting possible Yukawa couplings among pairs of extra fermions), TAF solutions can only appear as long as the addition of the extra fermions makes the gauge  $\beta$  functions

$b_L \backslash b_R$	-4	-10/3	-8/3	-2	-4/3	-2/3
-4	-3	-7/3	-7/3	-7/3	-5	-5
-10/3	-7/3	-7/3	-7/3	-5/3	-5	-5
-8/3	-7/3	-7/3	-7/3	-5/3	-5	-5
-2	-7/3	-5/3	-5/3	-5/3	-5	-5
-4/3	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5
-2/3	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5

$b_L \backslash b_R$	-7/2	-17/6	-13/6	-3/2	-5/6	-1/6
-7/2	-5/3	-5/3	-5/3	-1	-5	-5
-17/6	-5/3	-5/3	-1	-1	-5	-5
-13/6	-5/3	-1	-1	-1	-5	-5
-3/2	-1	-1	-1	-5	-5	-5
-5/6	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5
-1/6	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5

**Table 3.** Most negative value of the  $SU(3)_c$  one-loop  $\beta$ -function coefficient  $b_c$  as function of  $b_L$  and  $b_R$  (analogous coefficients for  $SU(3)_L$  and  $SU(3)_R$ ) such that minimal trinification plus extra fermions without Yukawa couplings satisfies Total Asymptotical Freedom. The upper (lower) table applies to  $n = 2$  ( $n = 3$ ) Higgses, considering in each case the discrete grid of allowed values of  $b_L$  and  $b_R$ .

closer enough to 0. Table 3 shows, as function of  $b_L$  and of  $b_R$ , the lowest value of  $b_c$  such that TAF solutions are found.<sup>2</sup> Table 3 dictates which combinations of extra stable fermions lead to TAF models: for example, its upper-left entry ( $b_c = -3$  for the minimal values of  $b_L = b_R = -4$  and for  $n = 2$ ) means that TAF solutions are obtained increasing  $b_c^{\text{minimal}} = -5$  by  $\Delta b_c = 2$  (as realised adding, for example, a gluino-like fermion in the adjoint of  $SU(3)_c$ ). The results for  $n = 3$  Higgses are similar to those for  $n = 2$ .

### 3.2 TAF models with extra unstable fermions

We focus on models containing only combinations of the 6 unstable extra fermionic multiplets listed in table 2. By grouping them in all possible ways, we find only 9 combinations that keep  $b_L, b_R, b_c < 0$ : such 9 candidate TAF models are listed in table 4.

We find that most models satisfy all TAF conditions, as described in the last row of table 4. In a Mathematica file attached to this paper we show the RGE and some TAF solutions for the first model. The fixed point solutions form a complicated continuum, as

<sup>2</sup>Such TAF solutions were not found in [4], because there all Yukawa couplings of the 1st and 2nd generations were neglected, a simplifying assumption relaxed in the present study.

Extra fermions	$b_L$	$b_R$	$b_c$	Extra Yukawas	TAF
$8_L$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-5 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-5$	$8_L LH^*$	yes*
$8_R$	$-5 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-5$	$8_R LH^*$	yes*
$8_L \oplus 8_R$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-5$	$8_L LH^* + 8_R LH^*$	yes*
$L' \oplus \bar{L}'$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-5$	$L' LH$ $L' L' H + \bar{L}' \bar{L}' H^*$	yes*
$Q'_L \oplus \bar{Q}'_L$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-5 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3$	$Q'_L Q_R H$	no
$Q'_R \oplus \bar{Q}'_R$	$-5 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3$	$Q'_R Q_L H$	no
$Q'_L \oplus \bar{Q}'_L \oplus 8_R$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3$	$Q'_L Q_R H + 8_R LH^*$	yes
$Q'_R \oplus \bar{Q}'_R \oplus 8_L$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3$	$Q'_R Q_L H + 8_L LH^*$	yes
$Q'_L \oplus Q'_R \oplus \bar{Q}'_L \oplus \bar{Q}'_R$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-3 + \frac{n}{3}$	$-1$	$Q'_L Q_R H + Q'_R Q_L H$ $Q'_L Q'_R H + \bar{Q}'_L \bar{Q}'_R H^*$	yes

**Table 4.** Candidate TAF trification models with extra unstable fermions. Any number of singlets can be added to any model. “Yes\*” means that TAF solutions need non-vanishing asymptotic fixed-points for the Yukawa couplings of lighter generations. This table holds for both  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  Higgses.

dictated by the  $U(3)$  global flavor rotations acting on fermions, by the  $U(n)$  ‘slavor’ (scalar flavor) global rotations acting on scalars, and by their subgroups.

A potential problem<sup>3</sup> is that the two-loop gauge  $\beta$  functions could become dominant at large gauge coupling and have opposite sign to the accidentally small one-loop gauge  $\beta$  functions, making impossible to run reaching the observed value of the strong gauge coupling  $g_c$ , which is the biggest gauge coupling. The two-loop RGE for the strong gauge coupling in presence of  $n_F$  fermionic fundamentals of  $SU(3)_c$  is

$$\frac{dg_c}{d \ln \mu} = \left(-11 + \frac{n_F}{3}\right) \frac{g_c^3}{(4\pi)^2} + \left(-102 + \frac{19}{3}n_F\right) \frac{g_c^5}{(4\pi)^2} + \dots \quad (3.2)$$

We found TAF models for  $18 \leq n_F \leq 30$ : in all this range the two loop term is subdominant enough that the physical value,  $g_c(\bar{\mu} = 3 \text{ TeV}) = 1$ , can be reached.

Another potential problem is bounds from flavor-violating experiments. Such bounds are satisfied for new particles in the few TeV mass range, provided that the various mixing matrices have off-diagonal entries as small as the CKM mixing matrix [4]. This level of smallness is respected by quantum corrections such as RGE evolution, and is thereby natural. Lepton-flavor violating processes can be similarly confined to the small Yukawa couplings needed to generate neutrino masses.

The collider phenomenology of TAF models involving only extra unstable leptons in the  $8_L$  and/or  $8_R$  representations is very similar to minimal trification. The addition of these extra leptons makes easier to reproduce the observed neutrino masses, as described in section 2.5. The addition of one extra  $Q_L \oplus \bar{Q}_L$  and/or  $Q_R \oplus \bar{Q}_R$  gives rise to larger quark mass matrices. One would expect that their addition allows to reduce the fine-tuning

<sup>3</sup>We thank Marco Nardecchia and Luca di Luzio for having raised this issue.

needed to have  $M_{d'_R} \gg m_d$  present in models with only  $n = 2$  Higgses. However the explicit form of the down-quark mass matrix, written in appendix A, shows that this is not the case.

The number of Yukawa and quartic couplings univocally predicted by the TAF conditions because their flows are IR-attractive can be easily computed for each fixed point following the procedure in [4] and typically is half of all the couplings. However, in order to extract the resulting physical predictions, a numerical study of RGE running down to the weak scale and of the minimisation of the potential is needed. This goes beyond the scope of the present paper.

Finally, we comment about naturalness. The squared mass of the SM Higgs doublet receives quantum corrections proportional to the squared masses of the heavy vectors. The order one factors depend on how the SM Higgs doublet lies inside the trinification multiplets  $H_i$ . For example, assuming that it lies in a doublet under  $SU(2)_R$ , one has the contribution due to  $SU(2)_R$  heavy vectors

$$\delta M_h^2 = -\frac{3g_R^2 M_{W_R}^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[ 3 \ln \left( \frac{M_{W_R}^2}{\bar{\mu}^2} \right) + c \right] \quad (3.3)$$

where  $c$  is an order-one scheme dependent factor. Approximating the factor in square brackets as unity, naturalness demands  $M_{W_R} \lesssim 2 \text{ TeV} \times \sqrt{\Delta}$  where  $\Delta$  is the usual fine-tuning factor. Furthermore, TAF conditions typically give quartic couplings of order  $g^2$ , such that all Higgses typically have comparable masses and (barring special structures) a tree-level fine-tuning of order  $\Delta \sim (V/v)^2$  is needed to have  $v \ll V$ .

## 4 Conclusions

Motivated by new ideas about the Higgs mass hierarchy problem, we searched for realistic weak-scale extensions of the Standard Model that can be extrapolated up to infinite energy i.e. models where all gauge and all Yukawa and quartic couplings can run up to infinite energy without hitting any Landau pole, realising Total Asymptotic Freedom (TAF).

A promising candidate is trinification models, based on the gauge group  $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R \otimes SU(3)_c$  broken to the SM at the scale  $V$ . In section 2 we discussed trinification models, confirming that at least  $n = 2$  generations of Higgses are needed to reproduce all observed lepton and quark masses  $m$ . However, trinification predicts extra fermions with mass  $M' \sim mV/v$  (where  $v = 174 \text{ GeV}$  is SM Higgs vev), which are too light for  $V \approx \text{few TeV}$ , unless fine-tunings are introduced to make them heavier. We found that these fine-tunings are avoided in the presence of  $n = 3$  generations of Higgses.

In section 3 we performed a systematic search of TAF trinification models obtained adding extra vector-like fermions to Minimal Trinification models with  $n = 2$  or  $n = 3$  Higgses. Previous searches [4] only found TAF solutions for unrealistic trinification models with  $n = 1$ : the number of quartic couplings that must satisfy TAF conditions is 2 for  $n = 1$ , 20 for  $n = 2$ , 90 for  $n = 3$ .

We succeeded in finding trinification TAF models for both  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$ . We found many models that predict extra charged or colored stable particles, as well as various models, listed in table 4, that do not predict any exotic nor stable extra particles. About half

of the Yukawa and quartic couplings are univocally predicted, because their corresponding fixed flows are IR-attractive.

These models are interesting also from other points of view: trinification explains the observed quantised hypercharges; the extra  $W_R$  vectors can fit the di-boson anomaly present in LHC run I data.<sup>4</sup>

## A The trinification TAF model with extra $Q_L$ and $Q_R$

Trinification models that satisfy all TAF conditions are obtained adding to the minimal trinification model (containing the chiral fermions  $Q_L^i, Q_R^i$  and  $L^i$ ) one extra vector-like family of quarks  $Q_L \oplus \bar{Q}_L$  and/or  $Q_R \oplus \bar{Q}_R$ . The most generic fermion Lagrangian now contains fermion mass terms  $M_L$  and  $M_R$  and extra Yukawa couplings:

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = M_L^{i'} Q_{Li'} \bar{Q}_L + M_R^{j'} Q_{Rj'} \bar{Q}_R + y_Q^{ni'j'} Q_{Li'} Q_{Rj'} H_n + y_Q^n \bar{Q}_L \bar{Q}_R H_n^* + \frac{y_L^{nij}}{2} L_i L_j H_n^* + \text{h.c.} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where now  $i', j' = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . The scalar quartic potential remains as in section 2.1. The quark Yukawa matrix is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Q_{Rj} & Q_{R4} & \bar{Q}_L \\ \begin{array}{l} Q_{Li} \\ Q_{L4} \\ \bar{Q}_R \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} y_Q^{nij} H_n & y_Q^{ni4} H_n & M_L^i \\ y_Q^{n4j} H_n & y_Q^{n44} H_n & M_L^4 \\ M_R^j & M_R^4 & y_Q^n H_n^* \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

One can always choose a basis where  $M_L^i = M_R^j = 0$ , such that  $M_L^4 = M_L$  and  $M_R^4 = M_R$ . Inserting the vacuum expectation values, and writing  $Q_{Li} = (u_{Li}, d_{Li}, \bar{d}'_{Ri})$ ,  $Q_{L4} = (U_L, D_L, \bar{D}'_R)$ ,  $\bar{Q}_{L4} = (\bar{U}_L, \bar{D}_L, D'_L)$ ,  $Q_{Rj} = (u_{Rj}, d_{Rj}, d'_{Rj})$ ,  $Q_{R4} = (U_R, D_R, D'_R)$ ,  $\bar{Q}_{R4} = (\bar{U}_R, \bar{D}_R, \bar{D}'_L)$ , the mass matrices are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & u_{Rj} & U_R & \bar{U}_L \\ \begin{array}{l} u_{Li} \\ U_L \\ \bar{U}_R \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{un} y_Q^{nij} & v_{un} y_Q^{ni4} & 0 \\ v_{un} y_Q^{n4j} & v_{un} y_Q^{n44} & M_L \\ 0 & M_R & v_{un} y_Q^n \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for the up-quarks, and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & d_R^j & d_R^{j'} & D'_R & D_R & \bar{D}'_L & \bar{D}_L \\ \begin{array}{l} d_L^i \\ \bar{d}'_R \\ \bar{D}'_R \\ D_L \\ D'_L \\ \bar{D}_R \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} v_{dn} y_Q^{nij} & v_{LY} y_Q^{2ij} & v_{LY} y_Q^{2i4} & v_{dn} y_Q^{ni4} & 0 & 0 \\ V_{RY} y_Q^{2ij} & V_n y_Q^{nij} & V_n y_Q^{ni4} & V_{RY} y_Q^{2i4} & 0 & 0 \\ V_{RY} y_Q^{24j} & V_n y_Q^{n4j} & V_n y_Q^{n44} & V_{RY} y_Q^{244} & M_L & 0 \\ v_{dn} y_Q^{n4j} & v_{LY} y_Q^{24j} & v_{LY} y_Q^{24j} & v_{dn} y_Q^{n44} & 0 & M_L \\ 0 & 0 & M_R & 0 & v_{un} y_Q^n & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & M_R & 0 & v_{un} y_Q^n \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

<sup>4</sup>Refs. [28] and [29] claim a hint for extra particles with 1.8 – 2 TeV mass. The hint seems compatible with the extra vectors predicted by trinification, see e.g. [30–34].

for the down quarks. A non-trivial feature of this tree-level mass matrix is that the Yukawa couplings of the extra vector-like fermions do not provide masses of order  $V$  for the extra  $d'_R$  quarks, such that  $n = 3$  Higgses remain necessary in order to obtain a model that naturally satisfies experimental constraints on their masses while reproducing observed quark masses.

**Open Access.** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

## References

- [1] M. Farina, D. Pappadopulo and A. Strumia, *A modified naturalness principle and its experimental tests*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 022 [[arXiv:1303.7244](https://arxiv.org/abs/1303.7244)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] A. Salvio and A. Strumia, *Agravity*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 080 [[arXiv:1403.4226](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.4226)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [3] K. Kannike et al., *Dynamically Induced Planck Scale and Inflation*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 065 [[arXiv:1502.01334](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.01334)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] G.F. Giudice, G. Isidori, A. Salvio and A. Strumia, *Softened Gravity and the Extension of the Standard Model up to Infinite Energy*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 137 [[arXiv:1412.2769](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.2769)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] T.P. Cheng, E. Eichten and L.-F. Li, *Higgs Phenomena in Asymptotically Free Gauge Theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **9** (1974) 2259 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] R. Oehme and W. Zimmermann, *Relation Between Effective Couplings for Asymptotically Free Models*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **97** (1985) 569 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] B. Pendleton and G.G. Ross, *Mass and Mixing Angle Predictions from Infrared Fixed Points*, *Phys. Lett. B* **98** (1981) 291 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] J. Kubo, K. Sibold and W. Zimmermann, *Higgs and Top Mass from Reduction of Couplings*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **259** (1985) 331 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] J. Kubo, K. Sibold and W. Zimmermann, *New Results in the Reduction of the Standard Model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **220** (1989) 185 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] W. Zimmermann, *Scheme independence of the reduction principle and asymptotic freedom in several couplings*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001) 221 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] B. Holdom, J. Ren and C. Zhang, *Stable Asymptotically Free Extensions (SAFEs) of the Standard Model*, *JHEP* **03** (2015) 028 [[arXiv:1412.5540](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.5540)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] Y. Achiman and B. Stech, *New Phenomena in Lepton-Hadron Physics*, D.E.C. Fries and J. Wess eds., Plenum, New York, U.S.A. (1979), pg. 303.
- [13] A. de Rujula, H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, *Fifth Workshop on Grand Unification*, K. Kang, H. Fried and P. Frampton eds., World Scientific, Singapore (1984), pg. 88.
- [14] K.S. Babu, X.-G. He and S. Pakvasa, *Neutrino Masses and Proton Decay Modes in  $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$  Trinification*, *Phys. Rev. D* **33** (1986) 763 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] S. Willenbrock, *Triplicated trinification*, *Phys. Lett. B* **561** (2003) 130 [[hep-ph/0302168](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0302168)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] J.E. Kim, *Trinification with  $\sin^2 \theta(W) = 3/8$  and seesaw neutrino mass*, *Phys. Lett. B* **591** (2004) 119 [[hep-ph/0403196](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0403196)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [17] J. Sayre, S. Wiesenfeldt and S. Willenbrock, *Minimal trinification*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 035013 [[hep-ph/0601040](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] J. Hetzel and B. Stech, *Low-energy phenomenology of trinification: an effective left-right-symmetric model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 055026 [[arXiv:1502.00919](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] J. Hetzel, *Phenomenology of a left-right-symmetric model inspired by the trinification model*, [arXiv:1504.06739](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] C. Cauet, H. Pas, S. Wiesenfeldt, C. Cauet, H. Pas and S. Wiesenfeldt, *Trinification, the Hierarchy Problem and Inverse Seesaw Neutrino Masses*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 093008 [[arXiv:1012.4083](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] P.J. Redmond and J.L. Uretsky, *Conjecture concerning the properties of nonrenormalizable field theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1** (1958) 147 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] N.N. Bogolyubov, A.A. Logunov and D.V. Shirkov, *The method of dispersion relations and perturbation theory*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **37** (1960) 574.
- [23] I.M. Suslov, *Renormalization Group Functions of the  $\phi^4$  Theory in the Strong Coupling Limit: Analytical Results*, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **107** (2008) 413 [[arXiv:1010.4081](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] I.M. Suslov, *Exact asymptotics for  $\beta$ -function in QED*, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **108** (2009) 980 [[arXiv:0804.2650](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] D.F. Litim and F. Sannino, *Asymptotic safety guaranteed*, *JHEP* **12** (2014) 178 [[arXiv:1406.2337](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] CMS collaboration, *Search for heavy bottom-like quarks in 4.9 inverse femtobarns of pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 123 [[arXiv:1204.1088](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for pair and single production of new heavy quarks that decay to a Z boson and a third-generation quark in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **11** (2014) 104 [[arXiv:1409.5500](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] CMS collaboration, *Search for heavy neutrinos and W bosons with right-handed couplings in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3149 [[arXiv:1407.3683](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] ATLAS collaboration, *Search for high-mass diboson resonances with boson-tagged jets in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV with the ATLAS detector*, [arXiv:1506.00962](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] J. Hisano, N. Nagata and Y. Omura, *Interpretations of the ATLAS Diboson Resonances*, [arXiv:1506.03931](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] B.A. Dobrescu and Z. Liu, *A  $W'$  Boson near 2 TeV: Predictions for Run 2 of the LHC*, [arXiv:1506.06736](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] Y. Gao, T. Ghosh, K. Sinha and J.-H. Yu, *G221 Interpretations of the Diboson and Wh Excesses*, [arXiv:1506.07511](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] B.C. Allanach, B. Gripaios and D. Sutherland, *Anatomy of the ATLAS diboson anomaly*, [arXiv:1507.01638](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] B.A. Dobrescu and Z. Liu, *Heavy Higgs bosons and the 2 TeV  $W'$  boson*, [arXiv:1507.01923](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].