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#### Abstract

Activated Leukocyte Cell Adhesion Molecule (ALCAM) is expressed at the surface of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) cells and is released in a soluble form (sALCAM) by ADAM-17-mediated shedding. This process is relevant to EOC cell motility and invasiveness, which is reduced by inhibitors of ADAM-17. In addition, ADAM-17 plays a key role in EGFR signalling and thus may represent a useful target in anticancer therapy. Herein we report our hit optimization effort to


identify potent and selective ADAM-17 inhibitors, starting with previously identified inhibitor $\mathbf{1}$. A new series of secondary sulfonamido-based hydroxamates was designed and synthesized. The biological activity of the newly synthesized compounds was tested in vitro on isolated enzymes and human EOC cell lines. The optimization process led to compound 21, which showed an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of 1.9 nM on ADAM-17 with greatly increased selectivity. This compound maintained good inhibitory properties on sALCAM shedding in several in vitro assays.

## 1. Introduction

ADAM-17 or Tumor Necrosis Factor- $\alpha$ Converting Enzyme (TACE) is an integral plasma membrane zinc-dependent metalloprotease and member of the A Disintegrin And Metalloprotease (ADAM) family of molecules. ADAM-17 is produced as an inactive proenzyme of 120 kDa , which is physiologically activated via stimulation of G protein-coupled receptors and furin-like enzyme cleavage of the ADAM-17 prodomain leading to generation of the 100 kDa active enzyme. ADAM17 is a sheddase and/or activator of several biologically important membrane-anchored proteins, including TNF, epithelial cell growth factors, and cell adhesion molecules. ${ }^{1}$ In recent years, we focused our attention on studying interaction between ADAM-17 and cell adhesion molecules (CAMs) of the immunoglobulin superfamily. In particular, we have shown that Activated Leukocyte Cell Adhesion Molecule (ALCAM or CD166) is expressed at the surface of epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) cells, can be internalized following soluble ligand engagement ${ }^{2}$ and is released in a soluble form (sALCAM) by ADAM-17-mediated shedding. ${ }^{3}$ This process is relevant to EOC cell motility, which is reduced by inhibitors of ADAM-17. In addition, ADAM-17 plays a key role in Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (EGFR) signalling ${ }^{4}$ and thus may represent a useful target in anticancer therapy in EGF-dependent tumours. ${ }^{5}$ Recently, we have reported the synthesis and biological evaluation of a series of arylsulfonamide hydroxamates as potent ADAM-17 inhibitors. ${ }^{6}$ Among these, compound 1 (Figure 1) showed a nanomolar activity for ADAM-17 isolated enzyme. This compound proved to be also potent in inhibiting soluble ALCAM release in
cancer cells, showing a nanomolar activity on A2774 and SKOV3 cell lines. No toxicity for this compound was detected on parallel cultures by MTT assay. In addition, it inhibited the EGFtriggered invasive properties of ovarian cancer cells in vitro. ${ }^{7}$ These preliminary results allowed us to validate ADAM-17/ALCAM pathway as new target in anticancer therapy and prompted us to undertake a structural optimization of $\mathbf{1}$ in order to find out more selective ADAM-17 inhibitors. In fact, compound $\mathbf{1}$ also inhibited other metalloproteases such as MMP-2, MMP-9 and MMP-14 and it is widely believed that some side effects that have been clinically observed with the use of broad spectrum MMP inhibitors, such as musculoskeletal syndrome (MSS), could be related to MMP-1/MMP-14 inhibition. ${ }^{8}$ Moreover, a more target-directed inhibition could be helpful to discern the actual ADAM-17 biological activity from overlapping effects due to other metalloproteases and to reduce toxicity.

FIGURE 1 HERE

In the present paper, based on recent SAR data from our lab and others, ${ }^{9}$ we designed and synthesized a series of secondary sulfonamido-based inhibitors, compounds $\mathbf{2 - 1 3}{ }^{10}$ (Table 1), with bended P1' substituents different from the highly exploited 4-(but-2-ynyloxy)benzene group. In fact, as revealed by X-ray crystal structure of catalytic domain ${ }^{11}$, ADAM-17 is characterized by an "L-shaped" pocket with a polar access between S1" and S3', and this singular shape of the specificity pocket has been largely used to design specific ADAM-17 inhibitors by insertion of bended substituents. Two examples of these selective inhibitors reported in literature for the treatment of inflammatory diseases are DPC-333 ${ }^{12}$ from Bristol-Myers Squibb (which entered clinical trials for rheumatoid arthritis) and WAY-281418, ${ }^{13}$ developed by Wyeth researchers (Figure 2).

Hydroxamates 2-13 were screened against recombinant human ADAM-17 in order to select the P1' substituent able to give the best results of selectivity for ADAM-17 over MMPs. After the most suitable and innovative P1' substituent has been found, we sought to improve activity against ADAM-17 by introducing an amidic chain in the $\alpha$ position relative to the hydroxamate (P1), compounds $19-2{ }^{10}$ (Table 3). In fact, as previously shown, ${ }^{6}$ an $\alpha$ substituent in this arylsulfonamidic scaffold could highly increase potency against ADAM-17. The compounds which gave the best results on isolated enzymes were finally tested on human EOC cell lines (A2774, SKOV3-luc and A2780), which express ADAM-17 and its substrate ALCAM.

## 2. Chemistry.

Compound 2 (Table 1) has been synthesized as previously described. ${ }^{14}$ Benzyloxy-benzene derivatives 3-13 (Table 1) and $\mathbf{1 6}$ (Table 2) have been prepared as reported in Scheme 1.

## SCHEME 1 HERE

Sulfonyl chlorides 33-43 were synthesized starting from sodium 4-hydroxybenzenesulfonate dihydrate, which was alkylated with the appropriate aryl bromides using sodium hydroxide as base. Reaction of sodium salts $\mathbf{2 2 - 3 2}$ with oxalyl chloride in the presence of DMF in dichloromethane afforded sulfonyl chlorides $\mathbf{3 3}-\mathbf{4 3}$, which were coupled with glycine to give carboxylic acids 44-53 and 16. Carboxylates 44-46 and 49-53 were condensed with $O$-(tertbutyldimethylsilyl)hydroxylamine in presence of 1-[3-(Dimethylamino)propyl]-3-ethyl carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) to give silyl intermediates 54-61, which afforded hydroxamates 3-5 and 8-12 after acid cleavage with TFA. Alternatively, carboxylates $\mathbf{4 7}, 48$ and $\mathbf{1 6}$ were first converted into protected hydroxamates 62-64 by condensation with $O$-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-
yl)hydroxylamine (THP-hydroxylamine) in the presence of EDC and then hydrolyzed with 4 N HCl to hydroxamates 6, 7 and 13, respectively.

Compounds 17 and $\mathbf{1 8}$ (Table 2), close analogues of 13 , were synthesized according to the route described in Scheme 2. 4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride 43 was converted into the sulfonamide $\mathbf{6 5}$ by reaction with glycine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dioxane in the presence of TEA. This ester was successively converted by Pd-catalyzed Suzuki coupling to mono-substituted biaryl derivatives 66 and 67 , which were hydrolyzed to carboxylic acids $\mathbf{6 8}$ and 69 respectively. These carboxylates were finally converted to their corresponding hydroxamates $\mathbf{1 7}$ and 18 by condensation with $O$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)hydroxylamine, followed by acid hydrolysis with TFA.

## SCHEME 2 HERE

$N$-Methyl derivative 15 (Table 2) and the $\alpha$-substituted analogues of 13, compounds 19-21 (Table 3), were prepared as reported in Scheme 3. All these compounds were synthesized starting from 4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride 43, obtained as described above. 43 was converted into the corresponding Boc-sulfonamide 76 by reaction with ammonia, followed by treatment with di-(tert-butyl)dicarbonate. Sulfonamide 76 underwent Mitsunobu reaction in the presence of diisopropylazodicarboxylate (DIAD) and triphenylphophine with optically active alcohol $\mathbf{7 7}^{6}$ to give ester $\mathbf{7 8}$ of $(R)$ configuration. Acid hydrolysis of $\mathbf{7 8}$ yielded the $N H$-sulfonamide carboxylate 79, which was finally converted into the corresponding hydroxamate 19 by condensation with THP-hydroxylamine in DMF and acid cleavage with 4 N HCl . The sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{4 3}$ was also made reacting with non-natural aminoacids such as sarcosine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride or D-Cbz-ornithine or D-carbamoyl-ornithine in the presence of TEA to give sulfonamides 70, 72 and 73, respectively. Acid hydrolysis with TFA of tert-butyl ester $\mathbf{7 0}$ afforded carboxylate 71. Condensation of 71 and 72 with THP-hydroxylamine in the presence of EDC
yielded tetrahydropyranyl intermediates $\mathbf{7 4}$ and $\mathbf{7 5}$ which, after mild hydrolysis with HCl 4 N , gave the corresponding hydroxamates 15 and 20. Finally, hydroxamic acid 21 was obtained from carboxylate $\mathbf{7 3}$ by condensation with $O$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)hydroxylamine, followed by acid hydrolysis with TFA.

## SCHEME 3 HERE

## 3. Results and Discussion

## Biological Activity on Isolated Enzymes.

The first series of hydroxamates, compounds $\mathbf{2 - 1 3}$, were tested in vitro for their ability to inhibit human recombinant ADAM-17, MMP-1, $-2,-9$ and -14 by a fluorimetric assay, which uses a fluorogenic peptide ${ }^{15}$ as the substrate (Table 1). Compound $\mathbf{1 4}^{16}$, previously reported by Wyeth researchers as ADAM-17 inhibitor and bearing the highly exploited 4-(but-2-ynyloxy)benzene group in P1', was taken as reference compound to evaluate the effect of the different R groups on the simple glycine-like scaffold. On the basis of our previous results ${ }^{6}$, we focused our attention on secondary sulfonamides because the substitution of sulfonamide NH was seen to be detrimental to ADAM-17 inhibitory activity.

Among the substituted benzyloxy derivatives, the best results of activity were achieved by compound 3 , which resulted as potent as the reference compound 14 on ADAM-17 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=16 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Unfortunately, this 4-bromobenzyloxy derivative was poorly selective, showing nanomolar activity also against MMP-2 and MMP-9. The replacement of the bromine atom with a fluorine or a trifluoromethoxy group in the para-position led to a drop of activity for ADAM-17, with the 4phenyl derivative 6 being the worst of the series $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=640 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The introduction of the same substituents in the meta-position of the benzyl moiety resulted in a general improvement of ADAM17 inhibitory activity with respect to the unsubstituted analogue 2 , without any significant
improvement in selectivity. Finally, the presence of a 4-quinoline group as in $\mathbf{1 1}$ led to a decrease of activity against all tested enzymes, probably due to an excessive bulkiness of the substituent.

At this point the introduction of a second halogen atom on the benzyl moiety was considered and the 3,5 -di-halo substituted compounds $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$ were synthesized. Both compounds had the same activity towards ADAM-17 with respect to their 3-mono substituted analogues (7 and 8) but presented an increased selectivity over the MMPs tested. In particular, the 3,5-dibromobenzyloxy derivative $\mathbf{1 3}$ resulted the most promising of this first series, with a good activity for ADAM-17 $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=105 \mathrm{nM}\right.$ ) and the best selectivity profile obtained so far over MMPs (a>500-fold selectivity over MMP-9, -14 and -1), even compared to the reference compound 14. These data suggested that the 3,5-dibromobenzyloxybenzene group could be a valid alternative to the use of the 4-(but-2ynyloxy)benzene group as P1' substituent, and therefore $\mathbf{1 3}$ became the focus of additional efforts to improve activity towards ADAM-17.

## TABLE 1 HERE

Compounds 15-18 (Table 2) were subsequently synthesized as close analogues of 13, introducing some modifications on this promising scaffold. We first synthesized the $N$-methyl analogue of $\mathbf{1 3}$ (15), which showed a decrease of ADAM-17 inhibitory activity compared to $\mathbf{1 3}$. Then we tested the carboxylate analogue of $\mathbf{1 3}$, compound $\mathbf{1 6}$, in order to evaluate the effect of a different zinc-binding group (ZBG) on this scaffold but this compound was completely devoid of activity for ADAM-17. This disappointing result discouraged us to change the ZBG at least at this point of the optimization process. Finally, we replaced a bromine atom of $\mathbf{1 3}$ with a pyridin-3-yl moiety (18) or a 4methoxyphenyl group (17) in order to better explore the S1'-S3' pocket space. Both compounds showed a reduced inhibitory activity against ADAM-17, with $\mathbf{1 8}$ being 5 -fold less active than $\mathbf{1 3}$.

On the basis of these findings, in order to further increase activity on ADAM-17 of this class of inhibitors, we decided to keep the compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ scaffold unchanged and to introduce different amidic chains in P1. In fact, as previously shown, ${ }^{6}$ an $\alpha$ substituent in this arylsulfonamidic scaffold could highly increase potency against ADAM-17. First, we chose to insert a benzyloxycarbonylamino-ethyl chain in P1 because this was the group originally present in our previous compound 1. As expected, compound 19 (Table 3) resulted 2.5 -fold more active than its unsubstituted analogue 13, showing an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=43 \mathrm{nM}$ on ADAM-17, without any loss in selectivity. Encouraged by this data, we put a longer chain in P1 and synthesized compound 20 (Table 3) with a benzyloxycarbonylamino-propyl chain. We thus obtained a further increase of ADAM-17 inhibitory potency ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=11 \mathrm{nM}$ ) still maintaining a strong selectivity over MMPs, even compared to $\mathbf{1 9}$. In particular, the selectivity for ADAM-17 over MMP-2 progressively increased from 16 -fold for compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ to 130 -fold for $\mathbf{2 0}$. Finally, as last step of our optimization process we tried to improve the hydrophilicity of our inhibitor by replacing the benzyloxycarbonylamino (Cbz) moiety of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with an ureidic group (compound 21, Table 3).

## TABLE 3 HERE

For 21 and its analogue 20, the physico-chemical properties influencing metabolism, cell permeation, and bioavailability were evaluated with QikProp software (Schrödinger, LLC New York). As shown in Table 4, while 20 possesses an high MW, high number of H -bond acceptors and a $\log \mathrm{S}$ which is quite far from ideal values, $\mathbf{2 1}$ has a lower MW, appropriate number of H -bond donors and acceptors, and a good $\log S$. Thus, the replacement of the Cbz moiety in $\mathbf{2 0}$ with an ureidic group (21) should lead to an improvement of the physico-chemical properties of the inhibitor.

## TABLE 4 HERE

Unpredictably, this ureidic derivative was optimal for this series exhibiting a 6 -fold increase in inhibitory potency against ADAM-17 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.9 \mathrm{nM}$ ) with respect to 20 and a comparable selectivity profile. In fact, 21 displayed a 126-fold selectivity for ADAM-17 over MMP-2, a 860fold selectivity over MMP-9, a 10000-fold selectivity over MMP-14 and no measurable inhibitory activity toward MMP-1 ( $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{50}>500 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Table 5 shows a direct comparison between the selectivity profiles of $\mathbf{2 1}$ and of our previous compound 1. 21 resulted as potent as $\mathbf{1}$ on ADAM-17 (with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.9 \mathrm{nM}$ and 1.6 , respectively) but showed a greatly improved selectivity over the tested MMPs.

## TABLE 5 HERE

All these P1-substituted compounds (19-21) and their unsubstituted analogue $\mathbf{1 3}$ were also screened against human recombinant ADAM-10, which has the highest aminoacid sequence homology as compared to ADAM-17 among all known MMPs. ${ }^{17}$ ADAM-10 is not implicated in ALCAM ectodomain shedding but is able to cleave another cell-cell adhesion molecule, desmoglein 2 (Dsg2), together with ADAM-17. ${ }^{18}$ Moreover, ADAM-10 is considered a target in anticancer therapy for its ability to shed the human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER-2), a tyrosine kinase receptor that is associated with cancer proliferation. ${ }^{19}$ Among the tested compounds, 21 was found to be the most active on this enzyme, with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=150 \mathrm{nM}$.

## Biological Activity on Ovarian Cancer Cell Lines.

The activity of compounds $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 9 - 2 1}$ was then evaluated in living cancer cells. As described before, ${ }^{6}$ modulation of soluble sALCAM release can be used as read-out test to score the inhibition of ADAM-17 activity in cells. Ovarian cancer cells (A2774, SKOV3-luc and A2780) express high cell surface levels of ALCAM, which is shed in soluble form constitutively and even more
following tyrosine kinase receptor (e.g. EGFR) activation. sALCAM can be easily assessed by ELISA of cell culture conditioned media. ${ }^{3}$ When assayed for inhibition of constitutive or EGFinduced sALCAM release, each compound was tested at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}, 1 \mu \mathrm{M}, 100 \mathrm{nM}$ and 10 nM concentrations with overnight incubation. Multiple tyrosine kinase activation can be mimicked by treatment with pervanadate (PV), which is a general phosphotyrosine phosphatase inhibitor that leads to metalloproteases activation. As controls, cultures treated with the corresponding amounts of solvent (DMSO) were used. Cell morphology was monitored by microscopy and cell viability was assed on parallel cultures by MTT assay (data not shown), which showed no significant toxic effects on cells. As shown in Table 6, among the new derivatives with greatly increased selectivity on isolated enzymes, compound 21 maintained good inhibitory properties on sALCAM shedding in any condition, displaying a similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the nanomolar range when PV was used as stimulus in the three cell lines (a representative dose/response experiment is shown in Figure 3). Consistent with data obtained on the ADAM-17 isolated enzyme, compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 21 showed comparable $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, while compounds 13, 19 and 20 showed higher $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$. Similar results were obtained using EGF as stimulus, although the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ observed were in general higher. The different sensitivity of PVversus EGF-induced sALCAM release to the compounds studied may reflect the different levels of tyrosine phosphorylation achieved with the two stimuli and the resulting levels of ADAM-17 activation. Indeed, PV behaves as a stronger stimulus, achieved by its broad ability to block phosphotyrosine-phosphatases, resulting in a maximal activation of ADAM-17 and sALCAM shedding in a short time frame ( 90 min of test). On the other hand, EGF is a more specific stimulus acting on a single TK-receptor, which requires a longer incubation time ( 18 h ), during which redundant and ADAM-17-independent mechanisms of shedding may be operative.

## TABLE 6 HERE

## 4. Molecular Modeling

Docking Studies. To get an insight into the binding mode of 21 into the ADAM-17 active site, ensemble-docking studies were performed by means of Glide 5.5 program ${ }^{20}$. Five X-ray structures representative for the enzyme flexibility (PDB codes: 2DDF, 2I47, 2OI0, 3EDZ, 3EWJ) ${ }^{6}$ were used. Docking of 21 in the 2DDF and 2I47 structures resulted in poorly ranked and not fully convincing poses as the 3,5 -dibromobenzyloxy P1' group did not occupy the S1' pocket. This is not surprising because in these two structures the $\mathrm{S} 1^{\prime}$ loop is in a closed conformation with not enough room to permit the allocation of such a bulky moiety. Otherwise, docking of 21 using 2OI0, 3EDZ and 3EWJ structures converged on similar and more convincing binding poses, where the P1' substituent properly fits in the S1' cavity. Particularly, as shown in Figure 4 (PDB code 2OIO), the hydroxamate group chelates the zinc ion in a bidentate fashion, the phenyl ring stacks with the H405 and interacts with V402 and L348 side chains, while the 3,5-dibromobenzyloxy group is well inserted in the S1' pocket where multiple hydrophobic contacts are detected with V434, L401, A439, V440, L401, N447 side chains and with E398 $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-carbons. Moreover, one sulfonamide oxygen establishes the commonly found bifurcated H-bond with L348 and Gly349 backbone NH. The propyl-urea moiety lies along the S1 pocket establishing hydrophobic contacts with the L350 and V314 side chains, while multiple H-bonds are detected between the two urea NH and T347 hydroxyl group and between the urea carbonyl oxygen and the K315 side chain. Clearly, all these interactions endowed 21 with a low nanomolar inhibitory activity toward ADAM-17.

## FIGURE 4 HERE

As regards the activity of $\mathbf{2 1}$ towards MMPs, it is well known that differential substitutions on the P1' group can be successfully used to design selective inhibitors for MMPs. Indeed, the analysis of the MMPs X-ray structures reveals that the length of the S1' pocket increases in the following
order: MMP-1 < MMP-14 < MMP-2 $\approx$ MMP-9. The SAR herein presented (Table 1) corroborates this consideration, in fact the activity on MMP-1 drops abruptly by just introducing a bended P1' group (2), while MMP-14 can tolerate para and meta halogenated phenyl decorations (2, 3, 4, 8, 12). On the contrary, MMP-9 and -2 are able to allocate almost all the inhibitors synthesized except for $\mathbf{1 3}$ and its derivatives (15-21). Thus, docking studies of $\mathbf{2 1}$ into the aforementioned MMPs were performed with the aim to better clarify the structural features responsible for the observed inactivity towards these enzymes. Unsurprisingly, as regards MMP-1 and -14 ( $1 \mathrm{HFC}^{21}$ and $3 \mathrm{MA} 2,{ }^{22}$ respectively), the docking program is incapable to find a pose where the P 1 ' group is inserted into the S1' pocket, thus leaving the majority of $\mathbf{2 1}$ poses largely solvent exposed. Conversely, in the lowest energy binding poses of 21 into the active sites of MMP-2 and $-9\left(3 \mathrm{AYU},{ }^{23} 4 \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{X},{ }^{24}\right.$ respectively), the 3,5-dibromobenzyloxy group fits in the S1' pocket and the hydroxamate group chelates the zinc ion, although the sulfonamide geometry is gravely distorted. However, the bottom part of the S1' pocket of both enzymes is open and solvent exposed, with a predominance of hydrophilic residues (T143, T145, K146, N147, R149 and S151 in MMP-2; E132, R140, T142, E143 and D150 in MMP-9), consequently, it can be assumed that the large and hydrophobic 3,5dibromobenzyloxy moiety is not well tolerated in such a region thus accounting for the higher IC 50 on MMP-2 and -9 found for 21.

## 5. Conclusions

Starting with our previously published molecule 1, we carried out a systematic SAR investigation of the P1' substituent in order to find out a moiety different from the highly exploited 4-(but-2ynyloxy)benzene group able to improve the selectivity for ADAM-17 over MMPs. Optimization of the benzyloxy-phenyl portion led to the identification of the 3,5-dibromobenzyloxy-phenyl group, which afforded improved ADAM-17 selectivity (compound 13). Furthermore, introduction of an amidic chain in the $\alpha$ position relative to the hydroxamate ( P 1 group) greatly increased potency against ADAM-17 (compounds 19-21). In particular, the ureidic derivative 21 resulted the best of
the series being as potent as $\mathbf{1}$ on $\mathrm{ADAM}-17\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and showing a greatly improved selectivity over the tested MMPs. In fact, 21 displayed a 126 -fold selectivity for ADAM-17 over MMP-2, a 860-fold selectivity over MMP-9, a 10000-fold selectivity over MMP-14 and no measurable inhibitory activity toward MMP-1 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}>500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Finally, the compounds, which gave the best results on isolated enzymes (13, 19-21), were tested on human EOC cell lines (A2774, SKOV3-luc and A2780), which express ADAM-17 and its substrate ALCAM. 21 maintained good inhibitory properties on sALCAM shedding in any condition, displaying a similar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the nanomolar range when PV was used as stimulus in the three cell lines. Consistent with data obtained on the ADAM-17 isolated enzyme, compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$ showed comparable $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ also on living cancer cells, suggesting that selective inhibition of ADAM-17 activity might be sufficient to block sALCAM release in cells. Further investigations are necessary to assess the effect of the improved ADAM-17 selectivity in ovarian cancer models in vivo.

## 6. Experimental Section

Chemistry. Melting points were determined on a Kofler hotstage apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were determined with a Varian Gemini 200 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in parts per million downfield from tetramethylsilane and referenced from solvent references. Coupling constants $J$ are reported in hertz; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were fully decoupled. The following abbreviations are used: singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet ( t ), double-doublet (dd), broad (br) and multiplet (m). Where indicated, the elemental compositions of the compounds agreed to within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the calculated value. Chromatographic separations were performed on silica gel columns by flash column chromatography (Kieselgel 40, 0.040-0.063 mm; Merck) or using ISOLUTE Flash Si II cartridges (Biotage). Reactions were followed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on Merck aluminum silica gel ( 60 F254) sheets that were visualized under a UV lamp and hydroxamic acids were visualized with $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ aqueous solution. Evaporation was performed in vacuo (rotating evaporator). Sodium sulfate was always used as the drying agent. D-

Cbz-ornithine (H-D-Orn(Z)-OH) and D-carbamoyl-ornithine (H-D-Cit-OH) were purchased from Bachem (Switzerland). 3,5-Dibromobenzyl bromide and 4-bromomethylbiphenyl were purchased from ABCR (Germany). 1-(bromomethyl)-3-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene, 1-(bromomethyl)-4fluorobenzene, 1-(bromomethyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene, 1-(bromomethyl)-3,5difluorobenzene, and 8-(bromomethyl)quinoline were purchased from Maybridge (UK). All other commercially available chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

The purity of the final compounds was determined by reverse-phase HPLC on a Merck Hitachi D7000 liquid chromatograph. HPLC purity was determined to be $>95 \%$ for all final products using a Discovery C18 column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, Supelco), with a mobile phase of $40 \%$ water $/ 60 \%$ methanol at a flow rate of $1.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, with UV monitoring at the fixed wavelength of 256 nm . See the Supporting Information for compound purity analysis data for final compounds.

General procedure for the synthesis of benzyloxy-benzene sodium salts 22-32. To a suspension of sodium 4-hydroxybenzenesulfonate dihydrate ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in isopropanol ( 25 mL ), containing 5.6 mL of NaOH 1 N freshly prepared, the appropriate substituted benzylbromide (11.2 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction was refluxed $\left(70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ overnight. Isopropanol was evaporated and the precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with isopropanol. The solid was dried in vacuo.

Sodium 4-(4-bromobenzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (22). The title compound was prepared from 4bromobenzyl bromide following the general procedure. White solid ( $79 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta: 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.38-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.49-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

Sodium 4-(4-fluorobenzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (23). The title compound was prepared from 1-(bromomethyl)-4-fluorobenzene following the general procedure. White solid ( $74 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 6.90-6.96 (m, 2H); 7.18-7.26 (m, 2H); 7.37-7.52 (m, 4H).

Sodium 4-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (24). The title compound was prepared from 1-(bromomethyl)-4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene following the general procedure.

White solid ( $69 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.36-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.50-7.59$ (m, 4H).

Sodium 4-(biphenyl-4-ylmethoxy)benzenesulfonate (25). The title compound was prepared from 4-bromomethylbiphenyl following the general procedure. White solid ( $70 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 6.94-6.98 (m, 2H); 7.38-7.47 (m, 6H); 7.51-7.55 (m, 5H).

Sodium 4-(3-bromobenzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (26). The title compound was prepared from 1-bromo-3-(bromomethyl)benzene following the general procedure. White solid ( $76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 6.91-6.96 (m, 2H); 7.35-7.39 (m, 1H); 7.42-7.43 (m, 1H); 7.47$7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.65-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Sodium 4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (27). The title compound was prepared from 1-(bromomethyl)-3-fluorobenzene following the general procedure. White solid (65\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 6.92-6.96 (m, 2H); 7.11-7.20 (m, 1H); 7.26-7.30 (m, 2H); 7.38$7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.50-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Sodium 4-(3-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (28). The title compound was prepared from 1-(bromomethyl)-3-(trifluoromethoxy)benzene following the general procedure. White solid ( $61 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.92-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.31-7.34$ (m, 1H); 7.44-7.54 (m, 5H).

Sodium 4-(biphenyl-3-ylmethoxy)benzenesulfonate (29). The title compound was prepared from 3-(bromomethyl)biphenyl following the general procedure. White solid ( $62 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 5.19$ (s, 2H); 6.95-6.99 (m, 2H); 7.34-7.55 (m, 7H); 7.61-7.74 (m, 4H).

Sodium 4-(quinolin-8-ylmethoxy)benzenesulfonate (30). The title compound was prepared from 8-(bromomethyl)quinoline following the general procedure. Yellow solid ( $80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (MeOD- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.75-8.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.88-8.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 9.01-9.09 (m, 1H).

Sodium 4-(3,5-difluorobenzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (31). The title compound was prepared from 1-(bromomethyl)-3,5-difluorobenzene following the general procedure. White solid ( $69 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.92-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.15-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.50-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Sodium 4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)benzenesulfonate (32). The title compound was prepared from 3,5-dibromobenzyl bromide following the general procedure. White solid ( $84 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.92-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.50-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.67-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.80-7.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of benzyloxy-benzene sulfonyl chlorides 33-43. To a $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of oxalyl chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar atmosphere, DMF was added dropwise ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by the appropriate benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt 22-32 $(5.11 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . and then at rt for 2 days. The crude was poured into ice and the product was extracted with EtOAc. Organic layers were washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give sulfonyl chlorides 33-43.

4-(4-Bromobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (33). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{2 2}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 14:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give $\mathbf{3 3}$ as a white solid ( $32 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.06-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.26-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 7.51-7.55 (m, 2H); 7.94-7.98 (m, 2H).

4-(4-Fluorobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (34). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{2 3}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 3:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 34 as a white solid ( $68 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.07-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.37-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 7.95-8.02 (m, 2H).

4-(4-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (35). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{2 4}$ following the general procedure. White solid (65\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.09-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.26-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.45-7.49(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H); 7.98-8.02 (m, 2H).

4-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethoxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (36). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{2 5}$ following the general procedure. White Solid (70\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.98-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.12-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.37-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.58-$ 7.67 (m, 3H); 7.93-8.02 (m, 2H).

4-(3-Bromobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (37). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt 26 following the general procedure. Yellow oil ( $76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.09-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.28-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.49-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.59-7.60$ (m, 1H); 7.97-8.01 (m, 2H).

4-(3-Fluorobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (38). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt 27 following the general procedure. White solid ( $92 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 6.97-7.25 (m, 5H); 7.34-7.44 (m, 1H); 7.91-8.01 (m, 2H).

4-(3-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (39). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{2 8}$ following the general procedure. White solid ( $86 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.10-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.22-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.98-8.02(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ).

4-(Biphenyl-3-ylmethoxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (40). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt 29 following the general procedure. Yellow oil ( $98 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.97-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.11-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.37-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.91-$ $8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(Quinolin-8-ylmethoxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (41). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{3 0}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 14:1) to give 41 as a white solid ( $15 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.22-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.46-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.83-7.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H) ; 7.95-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.20-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.95-8.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(3,5-Difluorobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (42). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{3 1}$ following the general procedure. White solid ( $68 \%$ yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.77-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.94-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.08-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.97-$ $8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride (43). The title compound was prepared from benzyloxy-benzene sodium salt $\mathbf{3 2}$ following the general procedure. Yellow oil ( $82 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.08-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.51-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.67-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.98-$ $8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of carboxylic acids 44-53 and 16. To a solution of glycine ( $68.61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dioxane ( 0.9 mL ) containing TEA ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.828$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, the appropriate sulfonyl chloride ( 1.83 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at RT overnight, dioxane was evaporated and the residue was treated with EtOAc, washed with HCl 1 N , brine and with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. Aqueous basic phases were then acidified with HCl 1 N until $\mathrm{pH}=4$ and the product was extracted with EtOAc. Organic layers were collected, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo.

2-(4-(4-Bromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (44). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{3 3}$ following the general procedure. White solid ( $88 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.53$ (d, $\left.J=5.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.18$ (s, 2H); 7.15-7.19 (m, 2H); 7.41-7.45 (m, 2H); 7.607.64 (m, 2H); 7.71-7.75 (m, 2H); 7.89 (t, $J=5.86 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(4-Fluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (45). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride 34 following the general procedure. White solid ( $73 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.53$ (d, $\left.J=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.16$ (s, 2H); 7.14-7.18 (m, 2H); 7.24-7.28 (m, 2H); 7.497.56 (m, 2H); 7.70-7.74 (m, 2H); 7.89 (t, $J=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(4-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (46). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{3 5}$ following the general procedure. White solid ( $80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.15-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.39-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H); 7.58-7.62 (m, 2H); 7.71-7.75 (m, 2H); $7.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.67 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (47). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{3 6}$ following the general procedure. Pinkish solid ( $45 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.18-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.37-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; 7.66-7.76 (m, 6H); $7.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3-Bromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (48). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride 37 following the general procedure. White solid ( $81 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.16-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.33-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.68-$ $7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.75-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3-Fluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (49). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride 38 following the general procedure. White solid ( $76 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (MeOD-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.67$ (s, 2H); 5.1 (s, 2H); 7.03-7.29 (m, 5H); 7.35-7.46 (m, 1H); 7.78-7.82 (m, 2H).

2-(4-(3-(Trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (50). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride 39 following the general procedure. White solid (75\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.16-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.34-7.37$ (m, 1H); 7.49-7.60 (m, 3H); 7.71-7.75 (m, 2H); $7.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(Biphenyl-3-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (51). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{4 0}$ following the general procedure. White solid ( $60 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (MeOD- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.15-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.29-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.58-7.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.79-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(Quinolin-8-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (52). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{4 1}$ following the general procedure. White solid ( $72 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (Acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.18-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.57-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.77-7.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.92-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.40-8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.93-8.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3,5-Difluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (53). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{4 2}$ following the general procedure. White solid ( $81 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$

NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.53$ (d, $\left.J=5.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.22$ (s, 2H); 7.16-7.22 (m, 5H); 7.72-7.75 (m, 2H); $7.89(\mathrm{t}, J=5.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (16). The title compound was prepared from sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{4 3}$ following the general procedure. Pale pink solid ( $79 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.16-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.70-7.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.72-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.83-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 44.58$; 69.079; 115.801; 123.521; 130.021; 130.185; 134.009; 142.275; 162.213; 170.207.

General procedure for the synthesis of Benzyloxy-phenylsulfonamido $N$-(tertbutyldimethylsilyloxy)acetamides 54-61. Carboxylates $\mathbf{4 4 - 4 6}$ and $\mathbf{4 9 - 5 3}$ ( 0.32 mmol ) were suspended in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $O$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)hydroxylamine ( 47.9 mg 0.32 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and EDC $(93.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. After stirring at RT overnight, the mixture was washed with water and the organic phases were dried and evaporated in vacuo.

2-(4-(4-Bromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- N -(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)acetamide
The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{4 4}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 2:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 54 as a white solid ( $43 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; 3.57 (br s, 1H); 3.77 (br s, 1H); 5.01 (s, 2H); 5.24 (br s, 1H); 7.01-7.05 (m, 2H); 7.27-7.31 (m, 2H); 7.51-7.55 (m, 2H); 7.77-7.81 (m, 2H); 8.43 (br s, 1H).
$N$-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(4-(4-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide
The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{4 5}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 2:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 55 as a white solid ( $26 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; 3.57 (br s, 1H); 3.79 (br s, 1H); 5.08 (s, 2H); 5.28 (br s, 1H); 7.02-7.14 (m, 4H); 7.37-7.43 (m, 2H); 7.77-7.82 (m, 2H); $8.43(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(4-(4(trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide (56). The title compound was
prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{4 6}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc $2: 1$ ) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give $\mathbf{5 6}$ as a white solid ( $52 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) ~ 8: 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.79$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.03-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.24-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.44-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.78-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 8.41 (br s, 1H).
$N$-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(4-(3-fluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide
The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid 49 following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 3:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 57 as a white solid ( $57 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.94$ (s, 9 H$)$; 3.57 (br s, 1H); 3.79 (br s, 1H); $5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.28$ (br s, 1H); 7.02-7.20 (m, 5H); 7.32-7.43 (m, 1H); 7.77-7.82 (m, 2H), 8.53 (br s, 1H).
$N$-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(4-(3-
(trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide (58). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 0}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc $2: 1$ ) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give $\mathbf{5 8}$ as a colorless oil ( $41 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.57(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.77$ (br s, 1H); 5.13 (s, 2H); 7.03-7.07 (m, 2H); 7.20-7.48 (m, 4H); 7.79-7.83 (m, 2H); 8.44 (brs, 1H).

2-(4-(Biphenyl-3-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)- $N$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)acetamide (59). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 1}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 3:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 59 as a colorless oil ( $21 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; 3.58 (br s, 1H); 3.78 (br s, 1H); 5.17 (s, 2H); 5.61 (br s, 1H); 7.05-7.09 (m, 2H); 7.36-7.51 (m, 5H); 7.57-7.64 (m, 4H); 7.77-7.81 (m, 2H);8.84 (br s, 1H).
$N$-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(4-(quinolin-8-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide (60). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 2}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) using a Isolute Flash Si

II cartridge to give $\mathbf{6 0}$ as a colorless oil ( $15 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.14(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; $3.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.43-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 7.75-7.88 (m, 2H); 8.17-8.22 (m, 1H); $8.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.92-8.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$N$-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(4-(3,5-difluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide (61). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 3}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 2:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 61 as a white solid ( $53 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; 3.59 (br s, 1H); 3.79 (br s, 1H); $5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.74-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.94-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; 7.79-7.83 (m, 2H); 8.41 (br s, 1H).

General procedure for the synthesis of Benzyloxy-phenylsulfonamido N -(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yloxy)acetamides 62-64. Carboxylic acids 47, 48 and $16(0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) and $O$-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)hydroxylamine ( $166.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N methylmorpholine redistilled ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBT ( $74.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and EDC ( 123.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. After stirring for 2 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with water, saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo.

## 2-(4-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)-N-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yloxy)acetamide

 (62). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid 47 following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 62 as a colorless oil ( $61 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : 1.67-1.81 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.81-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.81-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.06-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.36-7.51$ (m, 5H); 7.57-7.66 (m, 4H); 7.79-7.83 (m, 2H); 8.91 (br s, 1H).2-(4-(3-Bromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)-N-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yloxy)acetamide (63). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid 48 following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give $\mathbf{6 3}$ as a colorless oil ( $86 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : 1.68-1.82 (m,

6H); 3.62 (s, 2H); 3.75-3.93 (m, 2H); 4.81-4.89 (m, 1H); 5.08 (s, 2H); 5.40 (br s, 1H); 7.01-7.05 (m, 2H); 7.29-7.35 (m, 2H); 7.46-7.49 (m, 1H); 7.56-7.57 (m, 1H); 7.78-7.82 (m, 2H); $9.13(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)-N-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yloxy)acetamide

 (64). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid 16 following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give $\mathbf{6 4}$ a colorless oil ( $82 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.67-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; 3.64 (s, 2H); 3.61-3.99 (m, 2H); 4.79-4.91 (m, 1H); 5.05 (s, 2H); 5.27 (br s, 1H); 7.01-7.05 (m, 2H); 7.51-7.52 (m, 2H); 7.65-7.66 (m, 1H); 7.80-7.84 (m, 2H); 8.98 (br s, 1H).General procedure for the synthesis of Benzyloxy-phenylesulfonamido $\boldsymbol{N}$-hydroxy acetamides 3-5, 8-12. Silyl precursors ( 0.05 mmol ) were dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA ( 0.22 mL , 2.8 mmol ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 h stirring, TFA was evaporated. The crude products were purified by trituration with $n$-hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give the desired hydroxamates $\mathbf{3 - 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 - 1 2}$.

2-(4-(4-Bromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- $N$-hydroxyacetamide (3). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative 54 following the general procedure. White solid ( $85 \%$ yield). Mp: 135-137 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.15-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 7.41-7.45 (m, 2H); 7.59-7.63 (m, 2H); 7.71-7.75 (m, 2H); 8.86 (br s, 1 H ); 10.53 (br s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 43.11,68.78,114.98,121.15,128.70,129.91,131.38,132.18,135.77,160.93$, 164.28. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: $\% \mathrm{C}, 43.39 ; \% \mathrm{H}, 3.64 ; \% \mathrm{~N}, 6.75$; Found \%C, 43.54; \%H, 3.81; \%N, 6.56.

2-(4-(4-Fluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- N -hydroxyacetamide (4). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{5 5}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 2:3) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 4 as a white solid ( $36 \%$ yield); mp: $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 5.17 (s, 2H); 7.15-7.19 (m, 2H); 7.24-7.28 (m, 2H); 7.49-7.56(m, 2H); 7.71-7.75 (m, 2H); $7.81(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 40.71,70.83,114.61$, 115.73, 128.34, 128.75, 132.09, 136.84, 161.82, 163.74, 166.68. Elemental Analysis for
$\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 50.84; \%H, 4.27; \%N, 7.91; Found \%C, 50.97; \%H, 4.31; \%N, 7.77.
$N$-Hydroxy-2-(4-(4-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide (5). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative 56 following the general procedure. White solid (87\% yield); mp: 114-116 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.28$ (d, $\left.J=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.23$ (s, 2H); 7.167.20 (m, 2H); 7.39-7.43 (m, 2H); 7.59-7.63 (m, 2H); 7.72-7.76 (m, 2H); $7.82(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 8.88 (br s, 1 H ); 10.54 (br s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 43.11,68.65,114.94,121.06,128.72$, $129.69,132.22,135.82,147.90,160.95,164.28$. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 45.72; \%H, 3.60; \%N, 6.66; Found $\% \mathrm{C}, 45.83 ; \% \mathrm{H}, 3.81 ; \% \mathrm{~N}, 6.30$.

2-(4-(3-Fluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- N -hydroxyacetamide (8). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{5 7}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 2:3) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 8 as a yellow solid ( $45 \%$ yield); mp: $98-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 7.16-7.20 (m, 3H); 7.29-7.32 (m, 2H); 7.41-7.51 (m, 1H); 7.72-7.76 (m, 2H); 9.04 (br s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 43.13,68.73,114.14,114.57,114.97,123.61,123.66,128.70,130.42,130.58$, 132.22, 139.12, 139.26, 159.64, 160.90, 164.50. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 50.84; \%H, 4.27; \%N, 7.91; Found \%C, 50.93; \%H, 4.34; \%N, 7.57.
$N$-Hydroxy-2-(4-(3-(trifluoromethoxy)benzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide (9). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{5 8}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 36: 1\right)$ using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 9 as a white solid ( $42 \%$ yield); mp: $83-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.27$ (d, $J=5.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.17-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.31-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.48-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.72-7.76$ (m, 2H); 9.04 (br s, 2H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.65,29.07,75.44,80.54,80.96,87.20,89.19$, 91.00, 92.77, 99.62, 108.83, 121.34, 124.67. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: $\% \mathrm{C}, 45.72 ; \% \mathrm{H}, 3.60 ; \% \mathrm{~N}, 6.66$; Found $\% \mathrm{C}, 45.79 ; \% \mathrm{H}, 3.76 ; \% \mathrm{~N}, 6.49$.

2-(4-(Biphenyl-3-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)- $N$-hydroxyacetamide (10). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{5 9}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 2:3) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 10 as a foaming pink solid ( $50 \%$ yield); mp: $54-55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 3.26$ (s, 2H); 5.27 $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.19-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.37-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.62-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 43.13$, $69.58,114.99,126.19,126.37,126.68,126.86,127.55,128.72,128.92,129.12,132.05,137.03$, 139.77, 140.34, 161.15, 164.26. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 61.15; \%H, 4.89; \%N, 6.79; Found \%C, 61.23; \%H, 4.96; \%N, 6.78.
$N$-Hydroxy-2-(4-(quinolin-8-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetamide (11). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{6 0}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 18: 1\right)$ using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 11 as a white solid ( $70 \%$ yield); mp: $165-167{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; 7.22-7.26 (m, 2H); 7.59-7.68 (m, 2H); 7.73-7.77 (m, 2H); 7.88-7.92 (m, 1H); 7.99-8.02 (m, 1H); 8.42-8.46 (m, 1H); $8.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.96-8.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.56(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ $\delta: 43.14,66.05,114.90,121.73,126.30,128.25,128.43,128,80,131.99,133.78,136.48,145.18$, 150.15, 161.41, 164.34. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 55.80; \%H, 4.42; \%N, 10.85; Found \%C, 55.63; \%H, 4.69; \%N, 10.68.

2-(4-(3,5-Difluorobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- $N$-hydroxyacetamide (12). The title compound was prepared from $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{6 1}$ following the general procedure. White solid (85\% yield); mp: 125-127 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.27$ (d, $J=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); 5.23 (s, 2H); 7.16$7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.72-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.83(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta$ : 43.11, 68.18, 103.38, 110.33, 110.82, 114.99, 128.74, 132.42, 160.70, 164.26. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 48.39; \%H, 3.79; \% N, 7.52; Found $\% \mathrm{C}, 48.66 ; \% \mathrm{H}, 3.91$; \%N, 7.46.

General procedure for the synthesis of Benzyloxy-phenylesulfonamido $\boldsymbol{N}$-hydroxy acetamides
6, 7, 13. Protected Hydroxamates 62-64 ( 0.33 mmol ) were dissolved in dioxane ( 0.6 mL ) and a
solution of $\mathrm{HCl} 4 \mathrm{~N}(5.94 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise followed by $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.32 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 30 min , the reaction was evaporated in vacuo.

2-(4-(Biphenyl-4-ylmethoxy)phenylsulfonamido)- $N$-hydroxyacetamide (6). The title compound was prepared from the THP-protected hydroxamate $\mathbf{6 2}$ following the general procedure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give $\mathbf{6}$ as a white solid ( $39 \%$ yield). Mp: $163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 3.25$ (s, 2 H ); 5.23 (s, 2H); 7.18-7.22 (m, 2H); 7.33-7.57 (m, 5H); 7.66-7.76 (m, 6H); 8.97 (br s, 1 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 30.70,69.29,114.94,126.61,126.76,127.49,128.39,128.70,128.89,132.15$, 135.46, 139.65, 139.85, 161.10. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, $61.15 ; \% \mathrm{H}$, 4.89; \%N, 6.79; Found \%C, 61.21; \%H, 4.99; \%N, 6.66.

2-(4-(3-Bromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- $N$-hydroxyacetamide (7). The title compound was prepared from the THP-protected hydroxamate $\mathbf{6 3}$ following the general procedure. Hydroxamate 7a was obtained after trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a white crystalline solid (79\% yield); mp: 124-125 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ ) $3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.17-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.34-7.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.68-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.72-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.80-7.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.88(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.55(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 43.10,68.60,114.96,121.66,126.68,128.72,130.32,130.67$, 130.83, 132.25, 139.10, 160.89, 164.28. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 43.39; \%H, 3.64; \%N, 6.75; Found \%C, 43.41; \%H, 3.75; \%N, 6.49.

2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- $N$-hydroxyacetamide (13). The title compound was prepared from the THP-protected hydroxamate $\mathbf{6 4}$ following the general procedure. Hydroxamate $\mathbf{1 3}$ was obtained after trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a white solid ( $77 \%$ yield). Mp: 94-96 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ §: $3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.02 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.17-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.70-7.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.76-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.80-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 43.11,67.80$, 114.96, 122.50, 128.72, 129.47, 132.42, 132.87, 141.10, 160.68, 164.25. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 36.46; \%H, 2.86; \%N, 5.67; Found \%C, 36.51; \%H, 2.93; \%N, 5.43.
tert-Butyl 2-(4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetate (65). To a solution of glycine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride ( $176 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dioxane ( 5.0 mL ) containing TEA ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{4 3}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at RT overnight, dioxane was evaporated and the residue was diluited with EtOAc and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a white solid ( $512 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.99(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.99-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.65$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); 7.79-7.83 (m, 2H).

General procedure for the synthesis of tert-butyl esters 66 and 67. A solution of aryl bromide $\mathbf{6 5}$ $(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(4.8 \mathrm{~mL}) / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sequentially treated under nitrogen with $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(306 \mathrm{mg}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, the appropriate arylboronic acid $(0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(22$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being cooled to RT, the mixture was treated with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel column.
tert-Butyl 2-(4-((5-bromo-4'-methoxybiphenyl-3-yl)methoxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetate (66). The title compound was prepared from 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid following the general procedure. Purified with $n$-hexane/EtOAc 7:2 $(40 \%$ yield $)$ as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.33$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); $3.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.96(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.94-7.00(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H); 7.02-7.07 (m, 2H); 7.47-7.51 (m, 4H); 7.66 (s, 1H); 7.77-7.83 (m, 2H).
tert-Butyl 2-(4-(3-bromo-5-(pyridin-3-yl)benzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetate (67). The title compound was prepared from 3-pyridinylboronic acid following the general procedure. Purified with $n$-hexane/EtOAc 2:1 (36\% yield) as white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.02-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.39-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.78-7.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.91\left(\mathrm{dt}, J_{l}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Carboxylic Acids 68 and 69. TFA ( $10.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.8$ mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred, ice-chilled solution of tert-butyl esters 66 and $67(0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in freshly distilled dichloromethane $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred under these reaction conditions for 5 h , and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the corresponding carboxylic acids 68 and 69. The crude products were purified by trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

2-(4-((5-Bromo-4'-methoxybiphenyl-3-yl)methoxy)phenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (68). The title compound was prepared from tert-butyl ester 66 following the general procedure. White solid ( $87 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.93-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.03-$ $7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.45-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.76-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

3-(3-Bromo-5-((4-(N-(carboxymethyl)sulfamoyl)phenoxy)methyl)phenyl)pyridinium 2,2,2trifluoroacetate (69). The title compound was prepared from tert-butyl ester 67 following the general procedure. White solid ( $58 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.26$ (s, 2H); 7.19-7.23 (m, 2H); 7.57-7.63 (m, 2H); 7.72-7.76 (m, 3H); 7.88-7.97 (m, 3H); 8.24 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 1H); 8.67 (s, 1H); 8.98 (s, 1H).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Hydroxamates 17 and 18. EDC was added portionwise $(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ to a stirred and cooled solution $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the appropriate carboxylic acid $\mathbf{6 8}, 69$ ( 0.19 mmol ) and $O$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)hydroxylamine ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ). After stirring at RT overnight, the mixture was washed with water and the organic phase was dried and evaporated in vacuo. Silyl precursors ( 0.12 mmol ) were then dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 $\mathrm{mL})$ and TFA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 5 h of stirring, TFA was evaporated. The crude products were purified by trituration with $n$-hexane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give the desired hydroxamates 17 and 18.

## 2-(4-((5-Bromo-4'-methoxybiphenyl-3-yl)methoxy)phenylsulfonamido)- N -hydroxyacetamide

 (17). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid 68 following the general procedure. $O$ Silylate derivative was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) to give a white solid ( $60 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$5.16(s, 2H); 6.93-6.97 (m, 2H); 7.03-7.08 (m, 2H); 7.45-7.50 (m, 4H); 7.63 (s, 1H); 7.76-7.80 (m, 2H); 10.39 (s, 1H).

Hydroxamic acid 17 was obtained as white solid ( $87 \%$ yield) after trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Mp: 175$177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.02-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.20-7.25$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.62-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.81-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta: 42.10 ; 57.90 ; 69.30 ; 114.95 ; 122.49 ; 127.90 ; 128.72 ; 129.47 ; 130.10 ; 132.42 ; 132.87 ; 138.70$; 142.20; 159.15; 161.67; 165.21. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 50.68 ; \%H, 4.06; \%N, 5.37; Found \%C, 50.75; \%H, 4.19; \%N, 5.29.

## 3-(3-Bromo-5-((4-(N-(2-(hydroxyamino)-2-

oxoethyl)sulfamoyl)phenoxy)methyl)phenyl)pyridinium 2,2,2-trifluoroacetate (18). The title compound was prepared from carboxylic acid 69 following the general procedure. $O$-Silylate derivative was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc $1: 1$ ) to give a white solid (67\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 0,03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0,86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 5,27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.20-7.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.48-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.72-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.86-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.13\left(\mathrm{dt}, J_{l}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$; $8.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Hydroxamic acid 18 was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $37 \%$ yield) after a flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 25: 1\right)$ using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge. Mp: $135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ $\delta: 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.20-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.47-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.72-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.13(\mathrm{dt}$, $\left.J_{l}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 8.61\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 44.57 ; 70.30 ; 116.37 ; 124.27 ; 125.42 ; 125.98 ; 130.33 ; 130.64 ; 131.13$; 136.54; 136.83; 141.33; 148.39; 149.49; 163.23; 167.64. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrF}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 43.58; \%H, 3.16; \%N, 6.93; Found \%C, 43.75; \%H, 3.29; \%N, 6.69.

General procedure for the synthesis of sulfonamides 70, 72 and 73. To a solution of sarcosine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride or H-D-Orn(Z)-OH or H-D-Orn(carbamoyl)-OH ( 0.59 mmol ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dioxane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing TEA (1.76 mmol, 0.25 mL$)$ 4-(3,5dibromobenzyloxy) benzene-1-sulfonyl chloride 43 ( $0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 280 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added, and the
reaction was stirred at RT overnight. Dioxane was then evaporated and the residue was taken up with EtOAc, washed with HCl 1 N and brine. Organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo to furnish derivatives 70, 72 and 73.
tert-Butyl 2-(4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)- $N$-methylphenylsulfonamido)acetate (70). The title compound was prepared from sarcosine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride following the general procedure. White solid (93\% yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 5.05 (s, 2H): 7.00-7.04 (m, 2H); 7.51-7.52 (m, 2H); 7.64-7.65 (m, 1H); 7.75-7.80 (m, 2H).
(R)-5-(Benzyloxycarbonylamino)-2-(4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)pentanoic acid (72). The title compound was prepared from H-D-Orn(Z)-OH following the general procedure. Carboxylic acid 72 was triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $n$-hexane to yield a pink solid ( $62 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.21-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.81-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.57-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.21$ ( s , 2H); 6.91-6.93 (m, 1H); 7.15-7.19 (m, 2H); 7.33 (s, 5H); 7.70-7.74 (m, 4H); 7.82-7.84 (m, 1H); 7.89-8.15 (m, 1H).
(R)-2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)-5-ureidopentanoic acid (73). The title compound was prepared from H-D-Orn(carbamoyl)-OH (H-D-Cit-OH) following the general procedure. White solid ( $47 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.22-1.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); 2.84 (dd, $J_{l}=5.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{2}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 3.56-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.88(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13-$ 7.17 (m, 2H); 7.69-7.73 (m, 4H); 7.83 (t, $J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)- $N$-methylphenylsulfonamido)acetic acid (71). TFA ( 2.25 mL , 29.24 mmol ) was added dropwise to a stirred, ice-chilled solution of tert-butyl ester 70 ( 300 mg , $0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred under these reaction conditions for 5 h and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the carboxylic acid 71. White solid ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$, quantitative yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Acetone- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 2.85$ (s, 3H); 3.97 (s, 2H); 5.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); 7.21-7.25 (m, 2H); 7.73-7.74 (m, 2H); 7.75-7.76 (m, 1H); 7.80-7.84 (m, 2H).

General procedure for the synthesis of 3,5-dibromobenzyloxy-phenylsulfonamido- $N$ -(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yloxy)acetamides $\mathbf{7 4}$ and 75. Protected hydroxamates $\mathbf{7 4}$ and $\mathbf{7 5}$ were obtained from carboxylates 71 and $\mathbf{7 2}$ following the procedure previously described for 62-64.

## 2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)- N -methylphenylsulfonamido)- N -(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-

yloxy)acetamide (74). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 74 as a white solid ( $39 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta: 1.52-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.76-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.90-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.96-5.02$ (m, 1H); $5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.05-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.74-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 9.13$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H})$.

Benzyl (R)-4-(4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)-5-oxo-5-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2yloxyamino)pentylcarbamate (75). The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$ hexane/EtOAc 3:2) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 75 as a white solid ( $36 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.76-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.52-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.06-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.54-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 3.74-3.82 (m, 2H); 3.98-4.02 (m, 2H); 4.35 (s, 1H); 4.95-5.12 (m, 1H); $5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $5.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.98-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.33-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.78-7.82$ (m, 2H); 9.47 (br s, 1H).

General procedure for the synthesis of 3,5-dibromobenzyloxy-phenylsulfonamido- $N$ hydroxyacetamides 15 and 20. Hydroxamates 15 and 20 were obtained from THP-protected hydroxamates $\mathbf{7 4}$ and $\mathbf{7 5}$ following the procedure previously described for $\mathbf{6 , 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 3}$. 2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)- N -methylphenylsulfonamido)- N -hydroxyacetamide (15). The crude product was purified by trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, n$-hexane and acetone to give $\mathbf{1 5}$ as a white solid (55\% yield); mp: 163-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 7.20-7.24 (m, 2H); 7.72 (s, 2H); 7.72-7.76 (m, 2H); 7.84 (s, 1H); 8.95 (br s, 1H); 10.65 (br s, 1H). ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 35.81,50.10,67.89,115.18,122.50,129.05,129.43,129.52,132.91$, 141.01, 161.15, 163.74. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 37.82; \%H, 3.17; \%N, 5.51; Found \%C, 37.93; \%H, 3.19; \%N, 5.47.
oxopentylcarbamate (20). The crude product was purified by trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $n$-hexane to give 20 as a white solid ( $95 \%$ yield); mp: 88-90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.26-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; $2.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{I}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 3.43-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.12-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.68-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H); 8.83 (br s, 1H); 10.54 (br s, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 25.22 ; 29.70 ; 53.08 ; 64.57 ; 67.21$; 114.24; 121.94; 127.10; 127.73; 127.90; 128.92; 132.30; 133.07; 136.56; 140.53; 155.37; 159.94; 166.52. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 45.56; \%H, 3.97; \%N, 6.13; Found \%C, 45.71; \%H, 3.99; \%N, 6.26.
tert-Butyl 4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonylcarbamate (76). Aqueous ammonia (30\% solution) ( 0.67 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred, ice-chilled solution of sulfonyl chloride 43 (1 $\mathrm{g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile $(1.05 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min at RT , and then it was diluted with water and the precipitate was filtered under vacuum. 4-(3,5dibromobenzyloxy) benzenesulfonamide was obtained as a white solid ( $900 \mathrm{mg}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, quantitative yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.01-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.51(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H); 7.65 (s, 1H); 7.86-7.90 (m, 2H).

4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)benzenesulfonamide ( $892 \mathrm{mg}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then suspended in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.65 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing DMAP ( $25.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TEA ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). A solution of di-(tert-butyl)dicarbonate $(531.6 \mathrm{mg}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3.69 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise, and the reaction was stirred at RT overnight. The solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was diluited with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and treated with HCl 1 N . The organic phase was then washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 7:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give 76 as a colorless oil ( $933 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.03-7.08(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H); 7.27 (s, 1H); 7.51 (s, 2H); 7.65 (s, 1H); 7.95-7.99 (m, 2H).
(R)-tert-Butyl 8-(4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonyl)-11,11-dimethyl-3,9-dioxo-1-phenyl-2,10-dioxa-4,8-diazadodecane-7-carboxylate (78). To a solution of Boc-sulfonamide 76 (500mg, $0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$, in dry THF ( 9.6 mL ), alcohol $77(197.84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, triphenylphosphine ( 503.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIAD $(0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The mixture was stirred under Argon atmosphere for 4h at RT, and then it was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc $4: 1$ ) to give 78 as a colorless oil (431 mg, $0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.34-2.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.18-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.51-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.95\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=4.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=4.76 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.99-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.31-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.65(\mathrm{t}$, $J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.95-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(R)-8-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonyl)-11,11-dimethyl-3,9-dioxo-1-phenyl-2,10-dioxa-4,8-diazadodecane-7-carboxylic acid (79). TFA ( $2.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred, ice-chilled solution of tert-butyl ester $78(423 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred under these reaction conditions for 5 h and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give the carboxylic acid 79 as a white solid ( $291.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ yield) after trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $n$-hexane. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.56-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.68-1.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.92-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.68-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.22(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.35(\mathrm{~s} .5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.70-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
(R)-Benzyl 3-(4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)-4-(hydroxyamino)-4oxobutylcarbamate (19). The THP-protected hydroxamate was obtained from carboxylic acid 79 following the procedure previously described for compounds 62-64. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $n$-hexane/EtOAc 1:1) using a Isolute Flash Si II cartridge to give a white solid ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 1.67-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.07-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;$ 3.32-3.61 (m, 2H); 3.70-3.86 (m, 2H); 4.42-4.51 (m, 1H); $4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.91-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.65-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.10$ (br s, 1H).

Hydroxamate 19 was obtained from its THP-protected precursor following the procedure previously described for compounds 6,7 and 13. The crude product was purified by trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $n$-hexane to give 19 as a white solid ( $97.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{Mp}: 98-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 1.40-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.84\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{2}=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 3.59-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.98(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H); 5.18 (s, 2H); 7.12-7.16 (m, 2H); 7.33 (s, 5H); 7.68-7.72 (m, 2H); $7.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.83$ (s, 1H); $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.53(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 33.00,37.15,51.88,65.23,67.82$, $114.85,122.50,127.68,128.29,128.45,132.87,133.53,137.03,141.09,155.78,160.57,166.70$. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 44.73; \%H, 3.75; \%N, 6.26. Found \%C, 44.81; \%H, 3.99; \%N, 6.19.
(R)-N-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-2-(4-(3,5-dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)-5-
ureidopentanamide (80). EDC was added portionwise ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to a stirred and cooled solution $\left(0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the carboxylic acid $73(300 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.52 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $O$-(tertbutyldimethylsilyl)hydroxylamine ( $305 \mathrm{mg}, 2.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in freshly distilled $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 13 mL ). After stirring at RT overnight, the mixture was washed with water and the organic phase was dried and evaporated in vacuo. The crude was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 50: 1\right)$ using a ISOLUTE Flash Si II cartridge to afford the $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{8 0}$ as a white solid ( $296 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.25-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H); 3.53 (m, 1H); 5.18 (s, 2H); 5.37 (s, 2H); 5.87 (t, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.12-7.16$ (m, 2H); 7.69-7.72 (m, 4H); 7.82 (s, 1H); 7.98 (brs, 1H); 10,72 (brs, 1H).
(R)-2-(4-(3,5-Dibromobenzyloxy)phenylsulfonamido)- N -hydroxy-5-ureidopentanamide

TFA ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a stirred and ice-chilled solution of $O$-silylate derivative $\mathbf{8 0}$ ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred under these reaction conditions for 5 h and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by trituration with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $n$-hexane to give the hydroxamate 21 as a white solid ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 94.6 \%$ yield). Mp: 80-82 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 1.16-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.50\left(\mathrm{dd}, J_{l}=7.6\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{2}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 5.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.89$ (brs, 1H); 7.13-7.18 (m, 2H); 7.69-7.74 (m, 4H); 7.84 (t, $J=$
$1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta: 26.15 ; 30.25 ; 53.55$; 67.72; 114.70; 122.27; 128.30; 129.23; 132.65; 133.69; 141.01; 158.40; 160.38; 167.03. Elemental Analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$. Calculated: \%C, 38.40; \%H, 3.73; \%N, 9.43. Found: \%C, 38.55; \%H, 3.96; \%N, 9.20.

MMPs and ADAMs inhibition assays. Recombinant human MMP-14 catalytic domain was a kind gift of Prof. Gillian Murphy (Department of Oncology, University of Cambridge, UK). Pro-MMP1, pro-MMP-2, pro-MMP-9, and recombinant human ADAM-17 (PF133) were purchased from Calbiochem. Recombinant human ADAM-10 was purchased from R\&D Systems. Pro-enzymes were activated immediately prior to use with $p$-aminophenylmercuric acetate (APMA 2 mM for 1 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for MMP-2, APMA 2 mM for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for MMP- 1 and 1 mM for 1 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for MMP-9). For assay measurements, the inhibitor stock solutions (DMSO, 10 mM ) were further diluted in the fluorometric assay buffer (FAB: Tris $50 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{pH}=7.5, \mathrm{NaCl} 150 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 10 \mathrm{mM}$, Brij-35 0.05\% and DMSO 1\%). Activated enzyme (final concentration 0.56 nM for MMP-2, 1.3 nM for MMP-9, 1.0 nM for MMP-14cd, 2.0 nM for MMP-1, 5 nM for ADAM-17 and 20 nM for ADAM-10) and inhibitor solutions were incubated in the assay buffer for 4 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ADAM- 17 was incubated for 30 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ADAM-10 for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a different buffer at $\mathrm{pH}=9$ (Tris $25 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2} 25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Brij-35 0.005\%). After the addition of $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of the fluorogenic substrate Mca-Lys-Pro-Leu-Gly-Leu-Dap(Dnp)-Ala-Arg-NH ${ }_{2}{ }^{15}$ (Bachem) for all the enzymes in DMSO (final concentration $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for all enzymes, $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for ADAM-10), the hydrolysis was monitored every 15 sec for 20 min recording the increase in fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=325$ $\mathrm{nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=400 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) with a Molecular Devices SpectraMax Gemini XS plate reader. The assays were performed in duplicate in a total volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per well in 96 -well microtitre plates (Corning black, NBS). Control wells lack inhibitor. The MMP inhibition activity was expressed in relative fluorescent units (RFU). Percent of inhibition was calculated from control reactions without the inhibitor. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was determined using the formula: $v_{\mathrm{i}} / v_{\mathrm{o}}=1 /\left(1+[I] / \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$, where $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the initial velocity of substrate cleavage in the presence of the inhibitor at concentration [I] and $v_{0}$ is the initial
velocity in the absence of the inhibitor. Results were analyzed using SoftMax Pro software ${ }^{25}$ and GraFit software. ${ }^{26}$

Cells and reagents. The human EOC cell lines SKOV3-luc (INT, Milan, Italy), A2774 (IST Genoa) and A2780 (ICLC Cell Bank, Genoa, Italy) were grown in RPMI 1640, with 2 mM Lglutamine, $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal calf serum and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin-streptomycin (Lonza, Milan, Italy) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator. Cell lines identity was confirmed by genotyping through the Cell IDTM System (Promega) and the GeneMapper® software, version 4.0. Recombinant human EGF was from PeproTech EC (London, UK). PV was prepared by mixing sodium orthovanadate $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{M})$ and was used within 20 min of preparation. Metalloproteinase inhibitors were dissolved in DMSO as 10 mM stock solution and stored in aliquots at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Cell treatments and ELISA. Cells were seeded in 24 -well plates and assays performed in duplicates. Subconfluent cultures were challenged with the indicated amounts of metalloproteinase inhibitors or the equivalent amount of their solvent as control. After 30 minutes PV ( $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) or EGF ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) were added and incubation prolonged for 90 min or 18 h , respectively. Conditioned media were collected, centrifuged at 1000 g and used undiluted for sALCAM detection by ELISA (DuoSet ELISA Development kit, R\&D Systems). After background subtraction, data were expressed as the mean $\pm \mathrm{SD}$ and analyzed by two-tailed Student's $t$ test.

MTT assay. To test cell viability during treatment with the metalloproteinase inhibitors, parallel cultures were set up for evaluation with a microculture tetrazolium reduction assay using MTT [3-(4,5-dimethyltiazol-2-yl)2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide; Sigma], as previously described. ${ }^{6}$

X-ray Structures Selection for Docking Studies. The superposition of the fourteen ADAM-17 Xray structures available in the PDB , on the alpha-carbon atoms, reveals that the overall fold of the catalytic domain is well conserved, but a noteworthy flexibility of the S1' and S2 (Tyr352-Gly363) loops exists. Thus, as already described in an our previous work, ${ }^{6}$ a deeper analysis based on the RMSD values calculated for every possible combination of alignment and on the S1' and S2 loops
shapes, identified five different clusters. From each identified cluster, the X-ray structure with the highest resolution (2I47, 3EDZ, 3EWJ, 2DDF, 2OI0) was chosen for subsequent molecular docking calculations.

As regards MMP-1, $-2,-9$ and -14 , the analysis of the available X-ray structures reveals that the overall fold of the catalytic domains is strictly conserved, thus for each MMP, the structure having the highest resolution, preferring those co-crystallized with organic or peptidic inhibitors, was chosen (PDB codes: 1HFC, 3AYU, 4H3X and 3MA2, respectively).

Docking Simulations. Molecular docking of 21 into the X-ray structures of ADAM-17 (PDB codes: 2I47, 2FV5, 2OI0, 3EWJ, 2DDF) was carried out using the Glide 5.5 program. ${ }^{20}$ Maestro 9.1. ${ }^{27}$ was employed as the graphical user interface, and Figure 4 representing the most probable binding mode of $\mathbf{2 1}$ was rendered by the Chimera software package. ${ }^{28}$

Ligand and Protein Setup. The 3D structures of the ligands were generated with the Maestro fragment Build tool, ${ }^{27}$ then, a geometry-optimized ligand was prepared using Lig-Prep 2.3 as implemented in Maestro. The target proteins were prepared using the protein preparation wizard in Maestro 9.1. Water molecules were removed, and the resulting proteins were aligned based on the R-carbon trace. Hydrogen atoms were added, a +2 charge was assigned to the zinc ion in the active site, and the binding pocket was identified by placing a $20 \AA$ cube around the catalytic ion.

Docking Setting. Molecular docking calculations were performed with the aid of Glide 5.5 in extraprecision (XP) ${ }^{29,30}$ mode, using Glidescore for ligand ranking. A constraint that forced the interaction with the metal ion was included. The selected docking pose was further minimized using OPLSA2005 as force field, the PRCG methods until a gradient of $0.001 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{*} \AA^{2}$ and the implicit water model implemented in Macromodel.

Supporting Information available: A table reporting the HPLC purity analysis data of the final products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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ADAM, a disintegrin and metalloproteinase; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; TACE, tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ converting enzyme; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; CAM, cell adhesion molecule; ALCAM, activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule; EOC, epithelial ovarian cancer; MMPI, MMP inhibitor; MSS, musculoskeletal syndrome; sALCAM, soluble ALCAM; ZBG, zinc-binding group; NMM, $N$-methylmorpholine; DIAD, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate; DMAP, 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; EDC, N -(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)- $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride; SAR, structure-activity relationship; PV, pervanadate; EGF, epidermal growth factor; TK, tyrosine kinase; MTT, 3-(4,5-dimethyltiazol-2-yl) 2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; APMA, p-aminophenylmercuric acetate; THP, tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl; TEA, triethylamine; SD, standard deviation.
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## Figure Captions.

Figure 1. Progression of the SAR: from the hit compound $\mathbf{1}$ to the ADAM-17 selective inhibitor 21.
Figure 2. Examples of ADAM-17 selective inhibitors.
Figure 3. Inhibition of soluble ALCAM release. SKOV3-luc EOC cells were treated with the indicated amounts of compound $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2 1}$ and then stimulated with pervanadate or EGF for 90 min or 18 h , respectively. Conditioned media were then harvested and tested by ELISA for sALCAM levels. Data are expressed as \% of control cultures treated with solvent (DMSO). Whole cell assays were performed and tested in duplicates. A representative experiment is shown.

Figure 4. Binding mode of 21 (gold sticks) within the active site of ADAM-17 as resulted from docking calculations (PDB code 2OIO). H-bonds are depicted as dashed black lines.

Table 1. In Vitro Enzymatic ${ }^{a}$ Activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{nM}$ values) of glycine-like compounds 2-13 and the reference compound $\mathbf{1 4}^{16}$.
(200
8

9

280 $>500000 \quad 20$ 76 2900
10

$76 \quad 100000 \quad 41 \quad 920$ 1700
11
 $650 \quad 620000 \quad 670 \quad 29000 \quad 120000$
12
 82 $181000 \quad 18 \quad 330$ 890
13
 $105>500000 \quad 1750$ 51000113000
$14^{b}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 & 28000 & 110 & 890 & 2900\end{array}$
${ }^{a}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the average of three determinations with $\mathrm{SD}<10 \% .{ }^{b}$ Data from our lab.

Table 2. In Vitro Enzymatic activity ${ }^{a}\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{nM}\right.$ values) of close analogues of $\mathbf{1 3}$.

 $>50000$ nd 125000 nd
16

 $320>200000 \quad 3800 \quad 170000$
17


18 $500>500000 \quad 1045 \quad 21400$
${ }^{a}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the average of three determinations with $\mathrm{SD}<10 \%$.
Nd : not determined.

Table 3. In Vitro Enzymatic ${ }^{a}$ Activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{nM}$ values) of P1-substituted analogues of 13.


[^0]Table 4. Properties of Tested Compounds Calculated by QikProp

| Compd | MW $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | QPlogPo/w | QPlogS $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | \# of primary <br> metabolites $^{\mathrm{d}}$ | \# H-bond <br> donor $^{\mathrm{e}}$ | \# H-bond <br> acceptor $^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 685.4 | 4.03 | -7.5 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 594.3 | 0.68 | -3.7 | 4 | 5 | 10 |

${ }^{a}$ Lipinski's rule of $5(<500) .{ }^{b}$ Log of the octanol/water partition coefficient, range $95 \%$ of drugs ( $-2 / 6.5$ ). ${ }^{c}$ Log of aqueous solubility S (mol/L), range 95\% of drugs ( $-6.5 / 0.5$ ) ${ }^{d}$ Range $95 \%$ of drugs (1/8). ${ }^{e}$ Lipinski's rule of $5(<5) .{ }^{f}$ Lipinski's rule of 5 (<10).

Table 5. Selectivity profile of compound $\mathbf{2 1}$ in comparison with $\mathbf{1}^{6}$.

| Compd | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MMP-1 | MMP-2 | MMP-9 | MMP-14 | ADAM-17 | ADAM-10 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1100 | 17 | 46 | 210 | 1.6 | 240 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $>500000$ | 240 | 1630 | 19500 | 1.9 | 150 |  |

[^1]Table 6. In vitro cellular activity ${ }^{a}$ of synthesized compounds 13, 19-21 and the reference compound 1.

| Inhibition of sALCAM release, $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathbf{n M})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A2774 |  | SKOV3-luc |  | A2780 |  |
| compd | $\mathrm{PV}^{\text {b }}$ | EGF ${ }^{c}$ | $\mathrm{PV}^{\text {b }}$ | EGF ${ }^{c}$ | $\mathrm{PV}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{EGF}^{c}$ |
| 13 | >10000 | >10000 | 5301 | >10000 | 840 | >10000 |
| 19 | 1391 | >10000 | 2096 | 9519 | 825 | >10000 |
| 20 | 274 | 7626 | 350 | 5641 | 349 | 10000 |
| 21 | 45 | 651 | 58 | 4272 | <10 | 845 |
| 1 | 26 | 419 | 14 | 966 | <10 | 1436 |
| Whole cells assays were performed in duplicates. SD was generally within $\pm 10 \%$. One experiment, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| representative of three, is shown. ${ }^{b}$ Inhibition of pervanadate-induced sALCAM release. ${ }^{c}$ Inhibition of EGF |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Scheme 1. Synthesis of compounds 3-13 and $\mathbf{1 6}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) the appropriate substituted benzylbromide, $\mathrm{NaOH}, i-\mathrm{PrOH}, 70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMF, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) glycine, TEA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane; (d) $\mathrm{TBDMSiONH}_{2}, \mathrm{EDC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
(f) $\mathrm{THPONH}_{2}$, HOBT, NMM, EDC, DMF; (g) HCl 4N, dioxane, MeOH.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of compounds 17 and $\mathbf{1 8}^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) glycine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride, TEA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane; (b) 3pyridinylboronic acid or 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) $\mathrm{TBDMSiONH}_{2}, \mathrm{EDC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of compounds 15 and 19-21 ${ }^{a}$
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${ }^{a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) sarcosine tert-butyl ester hydrochloride or H-D-Orn(Z)-OH or H-D-Orn(carbamoyl)-OH, TEA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane; (b) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) THPONH 2 , HOBT, NMM, EDC, DMF; (d) HCl 4 N , dioxane, MeOH ; (e) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ aq, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt; (f) (Boc) $)_{2} \mathrm{O}$, TEA, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (g) 77, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, THF; (h) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (i) $\mathrm{THPONH}_{2}, \mathrm{HOBT}, \mathrm{NMM}, \mathrm{EDC}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (l) HCl 4N, dioxane, MeOH ; (m) $\mathrm{TBDMSiONH} 2, \mathrm{EDC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (n) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the average of three determinations with $\mathrm{SD}<10 \%$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values are the average of three determinations with $\mathrm{SD}<10 \%$.

