Non-triviality conditions for integer-valued polynomial rings on algebras

Original Citation:

Availability:
This version is available at: 11577/3226294 since: 2019-05-07T14:55:56Z

Publisher:
Springer-Verlag Wien

Published version:
DOI: 10.1007/s00605-016-0951-8

Monatshefte für Mathematik manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Non-triviality Conditions for Integer-valued Polynomial Rings on Algebras

Giulio Peruginelli · Nicholas J. Werner

Received: 17 February 2016 / Accepted: 6 July 2016

Abstract Let D be a commutative domain with field of fractions K and let A be a torsion-free D-algebra such that $A \cap K = D$. The ring of integer-valued polynomials on A with coefficients in K is $\text{Int}_K(A) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(A) \subseteq A\}$, which generalizes the classic ring $\text{Int}(D) = \{f \in K[X] \mid f(D) \subseteq D\}$ of integer-valued polynomials on D.

The condition on $A \cap K$ implies that $D[X] \subseteq \text{Int}_K(A) \subseteq \text{Int}(D)$, and we say that $\text{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial if $\text{Int}_K(A) \neq D[X]$. For any integral domain D, we prove that if A is finitely generated as a D-module, then $\text{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial if and only if $\text{Int}(D)$ is nontrivial. When A is not necessarily finitely generated but D is Dedekind, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for $\text{Int}_K(A)$ to be nontrivial. These conditions also allow us to prove that, for D Dedekind, the domain $\text{Int}_K(A)$ has Krull dimension 2.

Keywords Integer-valued polynomial · Algebraic algebra of bounded degree · Maximal subalgebra · Krull dimension

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) MSC Primary 13F20 Secondary 13B25, 11C99

1 Introduction

Given a (commutative) integral domain D with fraction field K, we define $\text{Int}(D) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(D) \subseteq D\}$, which is the ring of integer-valued polynomials on D. Integer-valued polynomials and the properties of $\text{Int}(D)$ have been well studied; the book [4] covers the major theory in this area and provides an extensive bibliography. In recent years, researchers have begun to study a generalization of $\text{Int}(D)$ to polynomials that act on a D-algebra rather than on D itself [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [16], [18], [19], [20], [22], [23], [27]. For this generalization, we let A be a torsion-free D-algebra such that $A \cap K = D$, and let $B = K \otimes_D A$, which is the extension of A to a K-algebra. By identifying K and A with their images under the injections $k \mapsto k \otimes 1$

G. Peruginelli
Via Pietro Coccoluto Ferrigni 68, 57125 Livorno, Italy
E-mail: g.peruginelli@tiscali.it

N. J. Werner
Department of Mathematics, Computer and Information Science, State University of New York College at Old Westbury, Old Westbury, NY, USA.
E-mail: wernern@oldwestbury.edu

and $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$, we can evaluate polynomials in $K[X]$ at elements of A. This allows us to define $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) := \{f \in K[X] \mid f(A) \subseteq A\}$, which is the ring of integer-valued polynomials on A with coefficients in K. With notation as above, the condition $A \cap K = D$ ensures that $D[X] \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}(D)$.

Definition 1.1. We say that $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is *nontrivial* if $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) \neq D[X]$.

The goal of this paper is to determine when $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial. Some results in this direction were proved by Frisch in [11, Lem. 4.1] and [11, Thm. 4.3]; these are restated below in Proposition 2.5. In the traditional case, necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ to be nontrivial were given by Rush in [26]. Using Rush's criteria, we prove (Theorem 2.12) that when D is any integral domain and A is finitely generated as a D-module, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial if and only if $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ is nontrivial. Part of this work involves conditions under which we have $D[X] \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ for some n, where $M_n(D)$ is the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in D. This led us to investigate whether having $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ implies that $A \cong M_n(D)$. While this is not true in general, the result does hold if D is a Dedekind domain and A can be embedded in $M_n(D)$ (Theorem 2.18).

If we drop the assumption that A is finitely generated as a D-module, determining whether $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial becomes more complicated. However, when D is Dedekind, we are able to give necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ to be nontrivial (Theorem 3.4). Our work on this topic also allows us to prove that if D is Dedekind, then $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ has Krull dimension 2 (Corollary 3.10). This generalizes another theorem of Frisch [9, Thm. 5.4] where it was assumed that A was finitely generated as a D-module.

2 Integral Algebras of Bounded Degree

Throughout, D denotes an integral domain with field of fractions K, and A denotes a D-algebra. We will always assume that A satisfies certain conditions, which we call our *standard assumptions.*

Definition 2.1. When A is a torsion-free D-algebra such that $A \cap K = D$, we say that A is a D-algebra with *standard assumptions*. When A is finitely generated as a D-module, we say that A is of *finite type.*

As mentioned in the introduction, the condition that $A \cap K = D$ implies that

$$D[X] \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}(D)$$

and it is natural to consider when $D[X] = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ or $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = \mathrm{Int}(D)$. This latter equality is investigated in [21], where the following theorem is proved. Unless stated otherwise, all isomorphisms are ring isomorphisms.

Theorem 2.2. *[21, Thms. 2.10, 3.10] Let D be a Dedekind domain with finite residue rings. Let A be a D-algebra of finite type with standard assumptions. For each maximal ideal P of D, let $\widehat{A}_P$ and $\widehat{D}_P$ be the P-adic completions of A and D, respectively. Then, the following are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = \mathrm{Int}(D)$.

(2) For each nonzero prime P of D, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A/PA \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t D/P$.

(3) For each nonzero prime P of D, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\widehat{A}_P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \widehat{D}_P$.

In this paper, we examine the containment $D[X] \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. In the traditional setting of integer-valued polynomials, the ring $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ is said to be *trivial* if $\mathrm{Int}(D) = D[X]$, and we adopt the same terminology for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. Clearly, for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ to be nontrivial it is necessary that $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ be nontrivial, so we begin by reviewing the situation for $\mathrm{Int}(D)$. Section I.3 of [4] and a paper by Rush [26] give several results regarding the triviality or non-triviality of $\mathrm{Int}(D)$. We will summarize these theorems after recalling several definitions.

Definition 2.3. An ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of D is said to be the colon ideal or conductor ideal of $q \in K$ if

$$\mathfrak{a} = (D :_D q) = \{d \in D \mid dq \in D\}.$$

For a commutative ring R, we denote by $\mathrm{nil}(R)$ the nilradical of R, which is the set of all nilpotent elements of R, or, equivalently, the intersection of all nonzero prime ideals of R. For $x \in \mathrm{nil}(R)$, we let $\nu(x)$ equal the nilpotency of x, i.e., the smallest positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$. If $I \subseteq R$ is an ideal, let $V(I) = \{P \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) \mid P \supseteq I\}$.

The following proposition summarizes several sufficient and necessary conditions on D in order for $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ to be nontrivial.

Proposition 2.4.

(1) [4, Cor. I.3.7] If D is a domain with all residue fields infinite, then $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ *is trivial.*
(2) [4, Prop. I.3.10] Let D be a domain. If there is a proper conductor ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of D such that $D/\mathfrak{a}$ is finite, then $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ *is nontrivial.*
(3) [4, Thm. I.3.14] Let D be a Noetherian domain. Then, $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ *is nontrivial if and only if there is a prime conductor ideal of D with finite residue field.*
(4) [26, Cor. 1.7] Let D be an integral domain. Then, the following are equivalent:
  (i) $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ *is nontrivial.*
  (ii) There exist $a, b \in D$ with $b \notin aD$ such that the two sets $\{\ |D/P|\ \mid P \in V((aD : b))\}$ and $\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \mathrm{nil}(D/(aD : b))\}$ are bounded.

If A is finitely generated as a D-module, Frisch has shown that the analogs of the above conditions in Proposition 2.4 hold for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$:

Proposition 2.5. *Let D be a domain. Let A be a D-algebra of finite type with standard assumptions.*

(1) [11, Lem. 4.1] Assume there is a proper conductor ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of D such that $D/\mathfrak{a}$ is finite. Then, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ *is nontrivial.*
(2) [11, Thm. 4.3] Assume that D is Noetherian. Then, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ *is nontrivial if and only if there is a prime conductor ideal of D with finite residue field.*

In particular, [11, Thm. 4.3] shows that for a Noetherian domain D and a finitely generated algebra A, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial if and only if $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ is nontrivial. In Theorem 2.12, we will show that this holds even if D is not Noetherian. Additionally, we can weaken our assumptions on A. Recall the following definition, which can be found in [14] or [15], among other sources.

Definition 2.6. Let R be a commutative ring and A an R-algebra. We say that A is an *algebraic algebra* (over R) if every element of A satisfies a polynomial equation with coefficients in R. We say that A is an *algebraic algebra of bounded degree* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the degree of the minimal polynomial equation of each of its elements is bounded by n. If we insist that each element of A satisfy a monic polynomial with coefficients in R, then we say that A is an *integral algebra* over R.

Algebraic algebras are usually discussed over fields, in which case an algebraic algebra is also an integral algebra. Over a domain however, the two structures are not equivalent. For example, $A = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ is an algebraic algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ that is not an integral algebra. In this case, A does not satisfy our standard assumption that $A \cap \mathbb{Q}$ should equal $\mathbb{Z}$. However, if we instead take $A = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ (so that $B = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$, D is the diagonal copy of $\mathbb{Z}$ in B, and K is the diagonal copy of $\mathbb{Q}$ in B), then A is an algebraic algebra over D, A is not an integral algebra over D, and $A \cap K = D$.

Note also that if A is finitely generated as a D-module, then A is an integral algebra of bounded degree, with the bound given by the number of generators (see [2, Thm. 1, Chap. V] or [1, Prop. 2.4]). However, the converse does not hold. For instance, $A = D[X_1, X_2, \ldots]/(\{X_iX_j \mid i, j \geq 1\})$ is not finitely generated, but if $f \in A$ with constant term $d \in D$, then f satisfies the polynomial $(X - d)^2$. Thus, this A is an integral algebra of bounded degree.

For our purposes, the importance of having a bounding degree n, is that it guarantees that $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ contains $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$, where $M_n(D)$ denotes the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in D.

Lemma 2.7. *Let D be a domain and let A be a D-algebra with standard assumptions. Assume that A is an integral D-algebra of bounded degree n. Then, $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$.*

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and let $\mu_a \in D[X]$ be monic of degree n such that $\mu_a(a) = 0$. Let $f(x) = g(X)/d \in \mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$, where $g \in D[X]$ and $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$. By [12, Lem. 3.4], g is divisible modulo $dD[X]$ by every monic polynomial in $D[X]$ of degree n; hence, μ_a divides g modulo d. It follows that $g(a) \in dA$ and $f(a) \in A$. Since a was arbitrary, $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. □

Remark 2.8. The converse of Lemma 2.7 does not hold, even in the case when $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ is nontrivial, as Example 3.1 below will show.

Thus, in the case of an integral algebra of bounded degree n, to prove that $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ is nontrivial. This task is more tractable, because the polynomials given in the next definition can be used to map $M_n(D)$ into $M_n(P)$, where P is a maximal ideal of D with a finite residue field.

Definition 2.9. For each prime power q and each $n > 0$, let

$$\phi_{q,n}(X) = (X^{q^n} - X)(X^{q^{n-1}} - X) \cdots (X^q - X).$$

Lemma 2.10. *[3, Thm. 3] Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements. Then, $\phi_{q,n}$ sends each matrix in $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to the zero matrix. Consequently, if $P \subset D$ is a maximal ideal of D with residue field $D/P \cong \mathbb{F}_q$, then $\phi_{q,n}$ maps $M_n(D)$ into $M_n(P)$.*

Proposition 2.11. *Let D be a domain. If $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ is nontrivial, then $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ is nontrivial, for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $n \geq 1$ be fixed. Since $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ is nontrivial, by [26, Cor. 1.7] there exist $a, b \in D$ with $b \notin aD$ such that $\{\ |D/P| \ \mid P \in V((aD : b))\}$ and $\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \mathrm{nil}(D/(aD : b))\}$ are bounded. Let $I = (aD : b)$. Note that, because the former condition holds, each prime ideal containing I is maximal, so the nilradical of D/I is equal to the Jacobson radical of D/I.

Let $\{q_1, \ldots, q_s\} = \{\ |D/P| \ \mid P \in V(I)\}$. By Lemma 2.10, we have $\phi_{q,n}(M_n(D)) \subseteq M_n(P)$ for each maximal ideal $P \subset D$ whose residue field has cardinality q. Then

$$g(X) = \prod_{i=1,\ldots,s} \phi_{q_i,n}(X)$$

is a monic polynomial such that $g(M_n(D)) \subseteq \prod_i M_n(P_i) \subseteq M_n(J)$, where $J = \sqrt{I}$. Considering everything modulo I, we have $\overline{g}(M_n(D/I)) \subseteq M_n(J/I)$.

Now, since $\{\nu(x) \mid x \in \mathrm{nil}(D/I)\}$ is bounded, the nilpotency of every element in J/I is bounded by some positive integer t. It is a standard exercise that a matrix over a commutative ring with nilpotent entries is a nilpotent matrix. Moreover, it easily follows that the nilpotency of every matrix in $M_n(J/I)$ is bounded by some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, depending only on t and n. Hence, $\overline{g}(X)^m$ maps every matrix $M_n(D/I)$ to 0, so that $g(X)^m$ maps $M_n(D)$ into $M_n(I)$. Finally, it is now easy to see that the polynomial $\frac{b}{a} \cdot g(X)^m$ is in $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ but not in $D[X]$. □

Combining Lemma 2.7 with Proposition 2.11, we obtain our desired theorem.

Theorem 2.12. *Let D be a domain and let A be D-algebra with standard assumptions. Assume that A is an integral D-algebra of bounded degree. Then,* $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ *is nontrivial if and only if* $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ *is nontrivial. In particular, if A is finitely generated as a D-module, then* $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ *is nontrivial if and only if* $\mathrm{Int}(D)$ *is nontrivial.*

Lemma 2.7 shows that, for an integral algebra A of bounded degree n, the following containments hold:

$$D[X] \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}(D).$$

While our focus has been on whether $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ equals $D[X]$, for the remainder of this section we will consider the containment $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. In particular, we will examine to what extent $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ is unique among rings of integer-valued polynomials. That is, if $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$, then can we conclude that $A \cong M_n(D)$? In general, the answer is no, as we show below in Example 2.15. However, in Theorem 2.18 we will prove that for D Dedekind, if A can be embedded in $M_n(D)$, then having $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ implies that $A \cong M_n(D)$.

We first recall the definition of a null ideal of an algebra.

Definition 2.13. Let R be a commutative ring and A an R-algebra. The *null ideal* of A with respect to R, denoted $N_R(A)$, is the set of polynomials in $R[X]$ that kill A. That is, $N_R(A) = \{f \in R[X] \mid f(A) = 0\}$. In particular, $N_{D/P}(A/PA) = \{f \in (D/P)[X] \mid f(A/PA) = 0\}$ denotes the null ideal of A/PA with respect to D/P.

There is a close relationship between polynomials in rings of integer-valued polynomials and polynomials in null ideals.

Lemma 2.14. *Let D be a domain and let A and A' be D-algebras with standard assumptions.*

(1) Let $g(X)/d \in K[X]$, where $g \in D[X]$ and $d \neq 0$. Then, $g(X)/d \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ if and only if the residue of g (mod d) is in $N_{D/dD}(A/dA)$.
(2) $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A')$ if and only if $N_{D/dD}(A/dA) = N_{D/dD}(A'/dA')$ for all $d \in D$.

Proof. Notice that $g \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ if and only if $g(A) \subseteq dA$ if and only if $g(A/dA) = 0$ mod d. This proves (1), and (2) follows easily. □

Example 2.15. Let $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at an odd prime p. Take A to be the quaternion algebra $A = D \oplus D\mathbf{i} \oplus D\mathbf{j} \oplus D\mathbf{k}$, where $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{j}$, and $\mathbf{k}$ are the imaginary quaternion units satisfying $\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = -1$ and $\mathbf{ij} = \mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{ji}$. It is well known (cf. [13, Exercise 3A] or [6, Sec. 2.5]) that $A/p^kA \cong M_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}) \cong M_2(D/p^kD)$ for all $k > 0$. By Lemma 2.14, $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_2(D))$. However, A contains no nonzero nilpotent elements (and is in fact contained in the division ring $\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{i} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{j} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\mathbf{k}$) and so cannot be isomorphic to $M_2(D)$.

Thus, in general, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = \mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ does not imply that $A \cong M_n(D)$. However, as mentioned above, we do have such an isomorphism if A can be embedded in $M_n(D)$. Proving this theorem involves some results of Racine [24], [25] about maximal subalgebras of matrix rings, which we now summarize.

Proposition 2.16.

(1) ([24, Thm. 1]) Let $\overline{A}$ be a maximal $\mathbb{F}_q$-subalgebra of $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Let V be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space of dimension n, so that $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V)$. Then, $\overline{A}$ is one of the following two types.
  (I) The stabilizer of a proper nonzero subspace of V. That is, $\overline{A} = S(W) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V) \mid \varphi(W) \subseteq W\}$, where W is a proper nonzero $\mathbb{F}_q$-subspace of V.

(II) The centralizer of a minimal field extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$*. That is,* $\overline{A} = C_{\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V)}(\mathbb{F}_{q^l}) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V) \mid \varphi x = x\varphi, \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^l}\}$*, where* $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ *is a prime dividing* n*.*

(2) ([25, Theorem p. 12]) Let D *be a Dedekind domain and let* A *be a maximal* D*-subalgebra of* $M_n(D)$*. Then, there exists a maximal ideal* P *of* D *such that* A/PA *is a maximal subalgebra of* $M_n(D/P)$*.*

Racine's classification allows us to establish a partial uniqueness result for the null ideal of $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and hence for $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let* $\overline{A}$ *be an* $\mathbb{F}_q$*-subalgebra of* $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ *such that* $N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{A}) = N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$*. Then* $\overline{A} = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$*.*

Proof. Suppose the claim is not true, so that $\overline{A}$ is contained in a maximal $\mathbb{F}_q$-subalgebra of $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$; hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\overline{A} \subsetneq M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is a maximal $\mathbb{F}_q$-subalgebra. We will show that $N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{A})$ properly contains $N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$. Note that $N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)) = (\phi_{q,n}(X))$ by [3, Thm. 3], where $\phi_{q,n}$ is the polynomial from Definition 2.9.

Let V be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space of dimension n, so that $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V)$. Assume first that $\overline{A} = S(W)$ is of Type I as in Proposition 2.16, and let $m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(W)$. Note that conjugating $\overline{A}$ by an element of $GL(n,q)$ will change the matrices in $\overline{A}$, but not the polynomials in the null ideal $N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{A})$. Moreover, up to conjugacy by an element in $GL(n,q)$, we may assume that W has basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m$, where e_i is the standard basis vector with 1 in the i^{th} component and 0 elsewhere. Under this basis, the matrices in $\overline{A}$ are block matrices of the form $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ where A_1 is $m \times m$ and A_3 is $(n-m) \times (n-m)$.

One consequence of this representation is that every matrix in $S(W)$ has a reducible characteristic polynomial. As shown in the proof of [3, Thm. 3], $\phi_{q,n}$ is the least common multiple of all monic polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree n. Hence, $\phi_{q,n} \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{A})$, because the characteristic polynomial of each matrix in $\overline{A}$ divides $\phi_{q,n}$. However, if ϕ is the quotient of $\phi_{q,n}$ by an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree n, then $\phi \in N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{A})$, but $\phi \notin N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$. Thus, $N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{A})$ properly contains $N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M_n(\mathbb{F}_q))$.

Now, assume that $\overline{A}$ is of Type II of Proposition 2.16, so that $\overline{A} = C_{\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(V)}(\mathbb{F}_{q^l})$ for some prime l dividing n. Then, by [17, Thm. VIII.10], we have $\overline{A} \cong M_{n/l}(\mathbb{F}_{q^l})$, and so

$$N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\overline{A}) = (\phi_{q^l,n/l}(X)) \supsetneq (\phi_{q,n}(X)) = N_{\mathbb{F}_q}(M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)).$$

As before, the null ideal of $\overline{A}$ strictly contains the null ideal of $M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. □

Theorem 2.18. *Let* D *be a Dedekind domain with finite residue fields. Let* A *be a* D*-algebra of finite type with standard assumptions. Assume that* $n \geq 1$ *is such that* A *can be embedded in* $M_n(D)$*. Then,* $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = \mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$ *if and only if* $A \cong M_n(D)$*.*

Proof. Clearly, $A \cong M_n(D)$ implies that $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = \mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$. So, assume that $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D)) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. As we will prove shortly in Lemma 3.2, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ (and likewise $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D))$) is well-behaved with respect to localization at primes of D: for each prime P of D, we have $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P = \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$. Hence, $\mathrm{Int}_K(M_n(D_P)) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$ for each P. Since D is Dedekind, D_P is a discrete valuation ring, so there exists $\pi \in D_P$ such that $PD_P = \pi D_P$. Moreover, we have $D_P/\pi D_P \cong D/P$ and $A_P/\pi A_P \cong A/PA$, so that $N_{D_P/\pi D_P}(A_P/\pi A_P) = N_{D/P}(A/PA)$ (and likewise for $M_n(D)$). By Lemma 2.14 (2), we conclude that the null ideals $N_{D/P}(M_n(D/P))$ and $N_{D/P}(A/PA)$ are equal for all maximal ideals P of D.

Now, suppose by way of contradiction that the image of A in $M_n(D)$ does not equal $M_n(D)$. As in Lemma 2.17, we may assume that the image of A in $M_n(D)$ is a maximal D-subalgebra of $M_n(D)$. By Proposition 2.16, there exists a maximal ideal P of D such that A/PA is isomorphic to a maximal subalgebra of $M_n(D/P)$. By Lemma 2.17, the null ideals $N_{D/P}(A/PA)$ and $N_{D/P}(M_n(D/P))$ are not equal. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $A \cong M_n(D)$. □

3 General Case

We return now to the study of when $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial. Because of Theorem 2.12, A being an integral D-algebra of bounded degree can be sufficient for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ to be nontrivial, but it is not necessary. There exist D-algebras A that are neither finitely generated, nor algebraic over D (let alone integral or of bounded degree), but for which $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial, as the next example shows.

Example 3.1. Let $D = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $A = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$ be an infinite direct product of copies of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, the element $(1, 2, 3, \ldots)$ cannot be killed by any polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, so A is not algebraic over $\mathbb{Z}$. However, since operations in A are done component-wise, any polynomial in $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ is also in $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$. Hence, $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$, so in particular $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A)$ is nontrivial.

Ultimately, the previous example works because for each prime p there exists a polynomial that sends each element of A/pA to 0. More explicitly, each element of $\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{F}_p$ is killed by the polynomial $X^p - X$. This suggests that for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ to be nontrivial, it may be enough that there exists a finite index prime P of D with A/PA algebraic of bounded degree over D/P (since D/P is a field in this case, this is equivalent to having A/PA be integral of bounded degree over D/P). We will prove below in Theorem 3.4 that if D is a Dedekind domain, then this condition is necessary and sufficient for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ to be nontrivial.

Our work will involve localizing D, A, and $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ at P, and exploiting properties of D_P. In [27, Prop. 3.2], it is shown that if D is Noetherian and A is a free D-module of finite rank, then $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P = \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$ (in fact, [27, Prop. 3.2] will hold if A is merely finitely generated as a D-module). The next lemma shows that we can drop this finiteness assumption if D is Dedekind.

Lemma 3.2. *Let D be a Dedekind domain and A a D-algebra with standard assumptions. Let P be a prime ideal of D. Then $\mathrm{Int}_K(A_P) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P$.*

Proof. The containment $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$ follows from the proof of [27, Prop. 3.2], which itself is an adaptation of a technique of Rush involving induction on the degrees of the relevant polynomials (see [4, Thm. I.2.1] or [26, Prop. 1.4]).

For the other inclusion, let $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$ and write $f(X) = \frac{g(X)}{d}$ for some $g \in D[X]$ and $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$. Since D is Dedekind, we may write $dD = P^a I$, where $a \geq 0$ and I is an ideal of D coprime with P (possibly equal to D itself). If $a = 0$ then $f \in D_P[X] \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P$. If $a \geq 1$, let $c \in I \setminus P$. We claim that $cf \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$, from which the statement follows since $c \in D \setminus P$.

If $Q \subset D$ is a prime ideal different from P, then $cf \in D_Q[X] \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A_Q)$; that is, $cf(A_Q) \subset A_Q$. Now, $f(A) \subseteq f(A_P) \subseteq A_P$ by assumption, so $cf(A) \subset cA_P = A_P$, since $c \notin P$. Since $A = \bigcap_{Q\in\mathrm{Spec}(D)} A_Q$, it follows that $cf(A) \subset A$, and we are done. □

Recall (Definition 2.13) that the null ideal of A in R is $N_R(A) = \{f \in R[X] \mid f(A) = 0\}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let D be a Dedekind domain and A a D-algebra with standard assumptions. Let P be a prime ideal of D. Then, the following are equivalent.*

(1) $N_{D/P}(A/PA) \supsetneq (0)$.
(2) $D_P[X] \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$.
(3) D/P is finite and A/PA is a D/P-algebraic algebra of bounded degree.

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2) Let $g \in D[X]$ be a monic pullback of a nontrivial element $\overline{g} \in N_{D/P}(A/PA)$ and let $\pi \in P \setminus P^2$. Then, $g(A_P) \subseteq PA_P = \pi A_P$, so $\frac{g(X)}{\pi} \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P) \setminus D_P[X]$.

(2) ⇒ (1) Let $f(X) = \frac{g(X)}{d} \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P) \setminus D_P[X]$, with $g \in D[X] \setminus P[X]$ and $d \in P$. Let v_P denote the canonical valuation on D_P. If $v_P(d) = e > 1$ and $\pi \in P \setminus P^2$, then $\pi^{e-1} f(X)$ is still an element of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$ which is not in $D_P[X]$. So, $g(A_P) \subseteq \frac{d}{\pi^{e-1}} A_P \subseteq \pi A_P$. Hence, $\overline{g} \in (D_P/PD_P)[X] \cong (D/P)[X]$ is a nontrivial element of $N_{D/P}(A/PA)$.

(1) ⇔ (3) Note that

$$N_{D/P}(A/PA) = \bigcap_{\overline{a} \in A/PA} N_{D/P}(\overline{a}) = \bigcap_{\overline{a} \in A/PA} (\mu_{\overline{a}}(X))$$

where, for each $\overline{a} \in A/PA$, $\mu_{\overline{a}} \in (D/P)[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of $\overline{a}$ over the field D/P.

If $N_{D/P}(A/PA)$ is nonzero, then it is equal to a principal ideal generated by a monic non-constant polynomial $\overline{g} \in (D/P)[X]$. Since $N_{D/P}(A/PA) \subseteq N_{D/P}(D/P)$, it follows that D/P is finite (if not, then $N_{D/P}(D/P) = (0)$, because the only polynomial which is identically zero on an infinite field is the zero polynomial). Moreover, each element $\overline{a} \in A/PA$ is algebraic over D/P (otherwise the corresponding $N_{D/P}(\overline{a})$ is zero) and its degree over D/P is bounded by $\deg(\overline{g})$.

Conversely, assume D/P is finite and A/PA is a D/P-algebraic algebra of bounded degree n. Then, there are finitely many polynomials over D/P of degree at most n, and the product of all such polynomials is a nontrivial element of $N_{D/P}(A/PA)$. □

We can now establish the promised criterion for $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ to be nontrivial.

Theorem 3.4. *Let D be a Dedekind domain and let A be a D-algebra with standard assumptions. Then $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial if and only if there exists a prime ideal P of D of finite index such that A/PA is a D/P-algebraic algebra of bounded degree.*

Proof. Clearly, $D[X] \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ if and only if there exists a prime ideal $P \subset D$ such that the two D-modules $D[X]$ and $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ are not equal locally at P, that is, $D_P[X] \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P$. Since $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P = \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$ by Lemma 3.2, we can apply Proposition 3.3 and we are done. □

Example 3.5. Theorem 3.4 applies to the following examples.

(1) Let $D = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$, the absolute integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ of degree $d > n$ such that $O_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)} = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$. It follows that for each prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}/p\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an algebraic $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-algebra of unbounded degree. Thus, $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}) = \mathbb{Z}[X]$.
(2) Let $D = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ and $A = \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, so $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[X] \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.
(3) Let $D = \mathbb{Z}$ and $A = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, the profinite completion of $\mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbb{P}$ denotes the set of all prime numbers. For each prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $p\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_{p' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{p'} \times p\mathbb{Z}_p$, so $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/p\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\mathbb{Z}[X] \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

If $\widehat{A}$ is the P-adic completion of a D-algebra A, then we can say more about $\mathrm{Int}_K(\widehat{A})$. The following lemma also appears in [21]. We include it in its entirety since the proof is quite short.

Lemma 3.6. *Let D be a discrete valuation ring (DVR) with maximal ideal $P = \pi D$. Let A be a D-algebra with standard assumptions, and let $\widehat{A}$ be the P-adic completion of A. Then, $\mathrm{Int}_K(\widehat{A}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$.*

Proof. The containment $\mathrm{Int}_K(\widehat{A}) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is clear, since A embeds in $\widehat{A}$. Conversely, let $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ and $\alpha \in \widehat{A}$. Suppose $f(X) = g(X)/\pi^k$, where $g \in D[X]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k = 0$, then the conclusion is clear, so assume that $k > 1$.

Via the canonical projection $\widehat{A} \to A/\pi^k A$, we see that there exists $a \in A$ such that $\alpha \equiv a \pmod{\pi^k \widehat{A}}$. Since the coefficients of g are central in A, we get $g(\alpha) \equiv g(a) \pmod{\pi^k \widehat{A}}$. Thus, $f(\alpha) = f(a) + \lambda/\pi^k$, where $\lambda \in \pi^k \widehat{A}$, so that $f(\alpha) \in \widehat{A}$. Hence, $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(\widehat{A})$ and $\mathrm{Int}_K(\widehat{A}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. □

Thus, in Example 3.5 (2), we have $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. Moreover, in Example 3.5 (3) we have $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \mathrm{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ (see also [5] where the profinite completion of $\mathbb{Z}$ was considered in order to study the polynomial overrings of $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$). A more general example, which results in proper containments among all of $D[X]$, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$, and $\mathrm{Int}(D)$, is the following.

Example 3.7. Let D be a DVR with maximal ideal $P = \pi D$ and finite residue field. Let A be a D-algebra of finite type with standard assumptions and such that $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}(D)$. Let $\widehat{A}$ be the P-adic completion of A. Then, P satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.4 with respect to A, so $D[X] \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$; and $\mathrm{Int}_K(\widehat{A}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ by Lemma 3.6. Thus,

$$D[X] \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}_K(\widehat{A}) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A) \subsetneq \mathrm{Int}(D).$$

In general, $\widehat{A}$ is not finitely generated as a D-module (this is the case, for instance, when A is countable but $\widehat{A}$ is uncountable). So, $\widehat{A}$ can provide an example of a D-algebra that is not finitely generated and for which the integer-valued polynomial ring is properly contained between $D[X]$ and $\mathrm{Int}(D)$.

Remark 3.8. Lemma 3.6 also gives us another approach to Example 2.15. With notation as in that example, we have $\widehat{A} \cong M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (indeed, this follows from the fact that $A/p^k A \cong M_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})$ for all $k > 0$). Thus, $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(A) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)) = \mathrm{Int}_{\mathbb{Q}}(M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}))$ even though $A \ncong M_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.

We close this paper by using the conditions of Proposition 3.3 to prove that when D is Dedekind, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ has Krull dimension 2. This result was shown by Frisch [9, Thm. 5.4] in the case where A is of finite type. Our work does not require A to be finitely generated, and somewhat surprisingly does not require a full classification of the prime ideals of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$.

Recall that a nonzero prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is called unitary if $\mathfrak{P} \cap D \neq (0)$, and is called non-unitary if $\mathfrak{P} \cap D = (0)$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let D be a Dedekind domain and let A be a D-algebra with standard assumptions. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a nonzero prime ideal of* $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$.

(1) If $\mathfrak{P}$ is non-unitary, then $\mathfrak{P}$ has height 1.
(2) If $\mathfrak{P}$ is unitary, then let $P = \mathfrak{P} \cap D$.
- *(i) If P does not satisfy any of the conditions of Proposition 3.3, then $\mathfrak{P}$ has height 2.*
- *(ii) If P satisfies one of the conditions of Proposition 3.3, then $\mathfrak{P}$ is maximal and has height at most 2.*

Proof. (1) Following [9, Lem. 5.3], the non-unitary prime ideals of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the prime ideals of $K[X]$. Since $K[X]$ has dimension 1, the non-unitary primes of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ are all of height 1.

(2) Let P be a nonzero prime of D. Assume first that P does not satisfy any of the conditions of Proposition 3.3. Then, $D_P[X] = \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P) = \mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P$. It follows that the unitary primes of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the primes of $D_P[X]$. Since D is Dedekind, we know that $D_P[X]$ has dimension 2; hence, all the primes of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ under consideration have height 2.

For the remainder of the proof, assume that $P = \mathfrak{P} \cap D$ does satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3.3. Since $\mathfrak{P} \cap D = P$, the prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ survives in $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)_P = \mathrm{Int}_K(A_P)$ and clearly its extension $\mathfrak{P}^e$ is still a prime unitary ideal (so, $\mathfrak{P}^e \cap D_P = PD_P$). It is sufficient to show that $\mathfrak{P}^e$ is a maximal ideal, so we may work over the localizations. Thus, without loss of generality we will assume that D is a DVR. In particular, this means that $P = \pi D$, for some $\pi \in D$.

Let $\overline{g} \in N_{D/P}(A/PA)$, $\overline{g} \neq 0$, and let $g \in D[X]$ be a pullback of $g(X)$. Then $g(A) \subseteq PA = \pi A$. Consequently, for each $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ we have $(g \circ f)(A) \subset \pi A$. Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{A} = \{F \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A) \mid F(A) \subseteq PA\}$ of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. Because $P = \pi D$ is principal, we have $\mathfrak{A} = \pi \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$, which is contained in $\mathfrak{P}$. Hence, for each $f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$, $g \circ f \in \mathfrak{P}$.

Now, if we consider the D/P-algebra $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)/\mathfrak{P}$, we see that each element of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)/\mathfrak{P}$ is annihilated by $\overline{g}(X)$. But $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)/\mathfrak{P}$ is a domain, and for it to be annihilated by a nonzero polynomial, it must be finite. Thus, in fact $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)/\mathfrak{P}$ is a finite field, and so $\mathfrak{P}$ is maximal.

Finally, to show that $\mathfrak{P}$ has height at most 2, let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be a prime of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ such that $(0) \subsetneq \mathfrak{Q} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$. If $\mathfrak{Q}$ is unitary, then we have $\mathfrak{Q} \cap D = P$, and by our work above $\mathfrak{Q}$ is maximal, hence equal to $\mathfrak{P}$. If $\mathfrak{Q}$ is non-unitary, then it has height 1 by part (1) of the theorem. It follows that $\mathfrak{P}$ has height at most 2. □

Corollary 3.10. *Let D be a Dedekind domain with quotient field K. Let A be a D-algebra with standard assumptions. Then, $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ has Krull dimension 2.*

Proof. If $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) = D[X]$, then its dimension equals that of $D[X]$, which is 2. So, assume that $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$ is nontrivial. By Theorem 3.4, there exists a prime P of D that satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.3.

Let $\mathfrak{P} = \{f \in \mathrm{Int}_K(A) \mid f(0) \in P\}$. Since $\mathrm{Int}_K(A) \subseteq \mathrm{Int}(D)$, $\mathfrak{P}$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{Int}_K(A)$, and it is easily seen to be prime and unitary, with $\mathfrak{P} \cap D = P$. Moreover, it contains the non-unitary ideal $XK[X] \cap \mathrm{Int}_K(A)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{P}$ has height at least 2, and so $\dim(\mathrm{Int}_K(A)) \geq 2$. However, $\dim(\mathrm{Int}_K(A)) \leq 2$ by Theorem 3.9, so we conclude that $\dim(\mathrm{Int}_K(A)) = 2$. □

Acknowledgements This research has been supported by the grant "Assegni Senior" of the University of Padova. The authors wish to thank the referee for their suggestions and close reading of the paper.

References

1. M. F. Atiyah, I. G. MacDonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969
2. N. Bourbaki. *Algebra I Chapters 1 – 3.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
3. J. V. Brawley, L. Carlitz, J. Levine. *Scalar polynomial functions on the $n \times n$ matrices over a finite field.* Linear Algebra and Appl. 10 (1975), 199–217.
4. J.-P. Cahen, J.-L. Chabert. *Integer-valued Polynomials.* Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 48, Providence, 1997.
5. J.-L. Chabert, G. Peruginelli. *Polynomial overrings of* $\mathrm{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$. J. Commut. Algebra 8 (2016), no. 1, 1–28.
6. G. Davidoff, P. Sarnak, A. Valette. *Elementary number theory, group theory, and Ramanujan graphs.* London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 55, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
7. S. Evrard, Y. Fares, K. Johnson. *Integer valued polynomials on lower triangular matrices.* Monatshefte Math. 170 (2013), no. 2, 147–160.
8. S. Evrard, K. Johnson. *The ring of integer valued polynomials on 2×2 matrices and its integral closure.* J. Algebra 441 (2015), 660–677.
9. S. Frisch. *Integer-valued polynomials on algebras.* J. Algebra 373 (2013), 414–425.
10. S. Frisch. *Corrigendum to "Integer-valued polynomials on algebras" [J. Algebra 373 (2013) 414–425].* J. Algebra 412 (2014), 282.
11. S. Frisch. *Integer-valued polynomials on algebras: a survey.* Actes du CIRM 2 (2) (2010), 27–32.
12. S. Frisch. *Polynomial separation of points in algebras.* In "Arithmetical Properties of Commutative Rings and Monoids". Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 241. Chapman & Hall, Boca Raton, FL, 2005.
13. K. Goodearl, R. Warfield Jr. *An introduction to noncommutative noetherian rings.* Lond. Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, vol. 16, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
14. N. Jacobson. *Structure theory for algebraic algebras of bounded degree.* Ann. of Math. (2) 46 (1945), 695–707.

15. T. Y. Lam. *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings.* Springer, New York, 2001.
16. K. A. Loper, N. J. Werner. *Generalized rings of integer-valued polynomials.* J. Number Theory 132 (2012), no. 11, 2481–2490.
17. B. R. McDonald. *Finite Rings with Identity.* Pure Appl. Math., vol. 28, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1974.
18. G. Peruginelli. *Integral-valued polynomials over sets of algebraic integers of bounded degree.* J. Number Theory 137 (2014), 241–255.
19. G. Peruginelli. *Integer-valued polynomials over matrices and divided differences.* Monatsh. Math. 173 (2014), no. 4, 559–571.
20. G. Peruginelli. *The ring of polynomials integral-valued over a finite set of integral elements.* J. Commut. Algebra 8 (2016), no. 1, 113–141.
21. G. Peruginelli, N. J. Werner. *Decomposition of integer-valued polynomial algebras.* preprint, arXiv: http://arxiv.org/abs/1604.08337.
22. G. Peruginelli, N. J. Werner. *Integral closure of rings of integer-valued polynomials on algebras*, in "Commutative Algebra: Recent Advances in Commutative Rings, Integer-Valued Polynomials, and Polynomial Functions". M. Fontana, S. Frisch and S. Glaz (editors), Springer 2014, pp. 293–305.
23. G. Peruginelli, N. J. Werner. *Properly Integral Polynomials over the Ring of Integer-valued Polynomials on a Matrix Ring.* J. Algebra 460 (2016), 320–339.
24. M. L. Racine. *On maximal subalgebras.* J. Algebra 30 (1974), 155–180.
25. M. L. Racine. *Maximal subalgebras of central separable algebras.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1978), no. 1, 11–15.
26. D. E. Rush. *The conditions* $\mathrm{Int}(R) \subseteq R_S[X]$ *and* $\mathrm{Int}(R_S) = \mathrm{Int}(R)_S$ *for integer-valued polynomials.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 125 (1998), no. 1–3, 287–303.
27. N. J. Werner. *Int-decomposable algebras.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218 (2014), no. 10, 1806–1819.