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## ABSTRACT

This Thesis presents the reduction of strength to the Indian railway system by identifying passenger train delay. Now days, not all trains come on time at every station; it may delay anywhere in the given route due to operational problems or due to another train. The current timetable in India of Indian Railways demands dense traffic at various nodes on a network in sections and complex national and international dependencies.

Delay studies are very useful for finding out the efficiency of work, system and other operations. Identifying delay distribution of train is helpful to measure reliability of trains and railway system. Adding more trains to the calendar could cause danger to its stability in delay timings. This analysis is very useful to locate and eliminate some serious disturbances at various locations. A full range analysis of this data can lead to better identification of various delays and analysis their context. Contrast the distribution of arrival and departure timings of the train in different stations by using delay studies.
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## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General

In traffic control system travel time management is an important problem to improve railway system. Determining the amount of time delay to travel from any two points along the route requires a travel time study and collected various causes for delay. Data obtained from travel time and delay studies gives good indication of the LOS on the section. The travel time and delay data uses to improve operation efficiency and safety, and also locates where design and operational problem ceases to traffic. Since from historical days IR transport is suffering some of the major problems, one of the major problems is delays and their effects around various types of networks. These will sometimes cause accidents and various kinds of disruptions. Some of the operations of a signaling system, the IR use colour signal lights, but in some areas of operation, the discs-based signaling and older semaphores are still in use that causes delays. This transportation will cause difficulty to the passengers and affect their daily duties. Small disturbances in one rail section have an impact at another rail; this impact should be more in single track segments compared to multiple track segments. Therefore it is important to study on passenger train delays problem, which helps to minimize delay timings.

Some of the theory is copied from Google, Wikipedia and papers like Methods of data collection and limitations of statics are from railway statistics.

### 1.2 The railway system: a brief history

Indian Railways (IR) play a vital role in not only meeting the transport needs of the country, but also in cover mutually dispersed areas and strengthening social integration.IR have been prime movers in the community and produce the detail about largest railway systems in the world under a single management. Railways are the best suitable for bulk commodities transport for a long distance. In the national emergency, railways have been in the forefront in rushing relief material to disaster-stricken regions.

The IR system is manageable through zones and operating divisions, six production units, wheels and axles and other ancillary components to meet railways requirements.

Transport is an important part of India's economy, the major part from transport considers is covered by railways. Rail transport is one of the major transports used for travelling long distances within a country. In India almost all rail operations are handled by a state-owned organization, Indian Railways. Indian rail transport is 4th largest rail network in the world carrying billion of passengers, and this number of passengers increases annually. Railways were introduced to India in 1853 from Mumbai to Thane and they had been growing to large extent. In past days steam locomotives have been used and later replaced with diesel and electric locomotives. The maximum number of travelers choosing rails as their main transport mode. Rail transportation plays significant role in the development of sustainable transportation opportunities.

Train delays are more complex to study as they are increases in a selected route. Delays are classified according to their functioning.

### 1.3 Classification of delays

a) Track related delays: - Those delays which caused by train having to slow down due to track problems and delays caused by complete stoppage for a particular time.
b) Train dependent delays: - The delays which caused by a train that breaks down or is forced to slow down in line sections.
c) Terminal/schedule stop delay: - The delays which occur at scheduled stops and at origin station. This may involve delays associated with loading/unloading, train connections, fueling and crew changes.

### 1.4 Groups of Railway Statistics

1. Economic and financial statistics: - Include approximate figures of earnings, various funds, expenditure etc. The figures of gross receipts, gain/ loss etc. can be seen with the help of financial statistics.
2. Operating statistics
a) Traffic: - It studies on wagon load, wagon mobility, wagon uses, punctuality etc.
b) Power: - It studies on engine uses, coal and fuel consumption, engine failure statistics.
3. Commercial statistics: - In this statistics we studied on passenger and goods carried, passenger kms, average load of traffic etc.
4. Rolling stock and workshop Repair statistics
5. Administrative Statistics: - These statistics relating the staff matters, physical statistics like no. of stations, curvature of p-way, gradients etc.

## Chapter 2

As explained briefly in the previous chapters, understanding train movement in a particular segment were more station points and traffic density is high; it is difficult to study delay variation of trains due to many factors. Possibly passenger trains run slowly those cause delay of express and other trains. In India train delay is more compared to other countries where population increases rapidly that causes one of the main traffic problem. Some trains cause accidents due to delays at some other locations in a segment.

In this chapter a review is presented on past work related to delay distributions, distributions type suited for various types of delay i.e. arrival and departure delays at every station in the selected route.

### 2.1 Literature Review

Schwanhausser (1974) is probably the first study resolving that the tail of arrival delays observes the negative exponential distribution for arrival delays of passenger trains at the stations. Later studies are confirmed this result by using distribution models, like Weibull distribution, the gamma distribution and the lognormal distributions. These models better capture the distribution of late arrival and departure times

Goverde et al. (2001) use a software tool to estimate precise arrival and departure times of trains at a station and extracts the occupancy and clearance times of each train. They proved that
the arrival and dwell times fitted normality statistical distribution, and departure follows negative exponential distribution. They determined that most of the delay times follow standard and negative exponential distributions respectively will allow an improved design of timetable by using historical data.

Goverde (2001) performs accurate operation from variation in actual departure times, arrival times and fluctuations due to other reasons. For these services data a software tool, TNVPrepare, has been developed which helps to recovers successive track occupancies and clearances of trains from the record. Using regression analysis interrelationships between arrivals and departures of a particular train are identified and prediction models from independent event times are derived.

Ullius (2005) uses Open Timetable analytical tool to operational data that automatically captured by the SBB network. The results can be used by railways to measures relating operations and for optimization of the timetable. Open Timetable is one of series of programming to planning, scheduling on existing network to help railroad planners. It displays statistical output data by comparing actual and planned timetables.

Yuan (2006) compares the goodness-of-fit among several distribution models selected for train event times and process times by fine-tuning the distribution parameters for data recorded at The Hague railway station. He finds that the Weibull distribution can be considered as the best distribution model for arrival delays, departure delays and the free dwell times of trains.

Güttler (2006) fits a normal-lognormal mixed distribution to assess running times of trains between two stations using the data obtained for the German railway.

Murali et al. (2009) presents a simulation-based technique to generate delay estimates by taking a function of traffic and topology in a network is taken into account. They estimated delay
data for a network by using Los Angeles and simulation model developed by Lu et al. (2004) which has been representative of the real world values and compared them. They present a delay estimation methodology that defines an exponential relation between train delays and their mix.

Dingler et al. (2010) use simulation software tool known as Rail Traffic Controller (RTC) to determine best capacity of the project and analyze train delay. Reduction in delay is often used by the railroads to calculate the benefit of a project or operational change. RTC is also used to calculate the impact of various mechanisms that affect train delays. The primary output from the simulation software is train delay; delays were categorized by conflict and source.

Ghosh et al. (2013) perform statistical analysis of the run-time delays of express trains in IR, which are caused not being able efficiently to handle the rapidly increasing amounts of traffic. They also develop a Web-based application for visualizing traffic-flow and identify several problems in IR in the IGP zone.

### 2.2 MOTIVATION

It is indisputable from the research look over in this chapter that till now many numbers of experiments had done on train delays by using different software's. Train delay parameters change spatially and temporally, notably, in response to daily changes of speed. Also, there is no experimental study in India to find out train delay distributions. These motivated the empirical studies conducted in this thesis.

### 2.3 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The problem of this thesis can be stated as "study on train delay distribution". Trains running in India observed on various days in railway stations on selected route that have significant density. Here we are studying about last type of delay as given in above chapters and also calculation and best fitting distribution curve.

## Chapter 3

The IR network consists of 115,000 track-km, of which $\mathbb{R}$ administration owns and manages approximately 95 percent. The railway network in India spans the entire country, but due to very uneven population distribution, the railway network is denser in the metropolitan areas. The major part of the Indian railway network has single tracks, and only around 30 percent of the system has two or more parallel tracks. The proportion of passenger train is about 70 percent of passengers; however the extent of traffic blend varies a lot between different routes. IR network disturbances due to vulnerable massive railway infrastructure.

### 3.1 ROUTE SELECTION

In this section, we identify the major sections in the India, there are around 8000 railway stations, from those I selected the route that connected between two main stations i.e. Mumbai Central to Howrah station (Kolkata). The distance between two selected stations is around 1968 km that connects 26 railway stations in between them. I picked this route because the way that passes through Rourkela that connecting central station and having regular, weekly and special trains. The route Howrah to Mumbai via Nagpur line is a part of the golden quadrilateral, carryout half the freight and nearly half the passenger traffic. These cities are metropolitan cities that having more population and large number of population travel in this route.


Figure 1.1 Selected route map (Source from Google maps)

### 3.2 DATA COLLECTION

The data set was collected by Mumbai and Howrah (Kolkata) over a period of 30days. It consists of nearly all the trains arrival and departures from 26 stations in this selected Railway network. A total of approximately 10000 delay timings has collected, the gets from http:// running status.in. The data collected spread across large number of the pdf file which is updated by Indian Railways in the website http://running status.in (last visited data 18 February 2015). In every file the scheduled arrival and departure time, destination time and delay time is stored for
each departing trains. However, the delay given in the website is not clear that the delay of the train is in a minute is between a delay of 0 and 1 minute or between 1 and 2 minutes.

| TRAIN NAME | DATES |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | FROM | TO |
| AZAD HIND EXPRESS | $14-01-2015$ | $13-02-2015$ |
| GITANJALI EXPRESSS | $14-01-2015$ | $14-02-2015$ |
| HOWRAH MAIL | $14-01-2015$ | $15-02-2015$ |
| JNANESWARI DELUX | $16-01-2015$ | $16-02-2015$ |
| KARMABHOOMI EXPRESS | $14-01-2015$ | $18-02-2015$ |
| LTT SHALIMAR EXPRESS | $13-01-2015$ | $18-02-2015$ |
| SAMARSATA EXPRESS | $15-01-2015$ | $18-02-2015$ |

## TABLE 3.1 List of Train Data Collected Dates

### 3.3 METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION

Following are the important methods of data collecting for required information.

1) Station to station Method
2) Density method
3) Car method
4) Exemption method

Station to station Method: - The method consists of compiling the quantity of traffic, which has passed between each pair of station during the period.

Density Method: - In this method we record against name of station of the traffic (a)
Received at the station (b) Forward from the station (c) Passing the station.
Car Method: - This method we worked out with the help of combined train engine ticket report, guard's prepared by loco pilots and guard of the train.

Exemption Method: - In this method we worked out total units arising from the standard running of train variations (plus or minus) from the standard are reported every month by
officials. This method can be successfully employed for items such as seats kms, passenger vehicle kms etc.

The following limitations of statistics should not altogether be ignored: -
(a) Statistical methods are more dangerous tools in expert hands.
(b) The manipulated figures, arrangement and design of effective data when presented in support of argument.
(c) Statistical laws are not like the exact laws of physical science which are said to hold true in every case that subjected to them.
(d) Planning on the basis of inadequate and in accurate statistics is worse than no planning at all.

### 3.4 DATA EXTRACTION

After collection of all data required for projects in the selected route. The data collected over 30 days of all trains that are travel from origin to destination and so on. The data collected having scheduled time of arrival and departure of all stations, actual arrival and departure timing that is update by the IR. From these data we calculate the delay timings of trains in various stations; run-time delay on the station is the difference between actual time and scheduled time $\left(d_{a}-d_{s}\right)$. The delay will be negative, zero or positive values, if the train is arrived/departure early than the scheduled time. Plot the graph between delay timing and days for collected data and find out the best fitting curve to the data. The average run-time delays of train for 30days are computed by using type of best fitting curve.

### 3.5 STUDIES OF VARIOUS STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTIONS

Probability theory provides models of probability distributions to be tested by statistical methods and applied on engineering applications, long list field applications etc. We have to handle obtained data from field numerically or in terms of graphs, these are influenced by chance by factors whose effect we cannot predict exactly, therefore we have to implement probability theory. Graphical representation like stem and leaf plot, Histogram, Box plot, Median, Interquartile range Outlier.

The average size of the data values can be measured by using

$$
\overline{\mathrm{x}}=1 / \mathrm{n} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} x_{j}=1 / \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots \cdot \mathrm{x}_{n}\right)
$$

Similarly standard deviation's' and variance are obtained as follows

$$
\mathrm{S}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}-1} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{j}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}-1}\left[\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(x_{n}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

The frequency distribution of data shows relative frequency that is similar to probability distribution, showing the probabilities of the events in an experiment.

Let quantity that we observe in an experiment will be denoted as " X " which called as random variable. We have discrete random variable and distribution when we count the data; we have continuous random variable and distribution when we measure the data.

Discrete function $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x} \leq x)$
Note: - The "X" will assume any value that not exceed x to generate probability in the trail.

From the above formula we obtain the fundamental formula for probability corresponding to an interval $a<x \leq b$.

$$
p(a<X \leq b)=F(b)-F(a)
$$

The value for discrete random variable distribution function is

$$
F(x)=\sum_{X_{j \leq X}} f\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{X j \leq X} p_{j}
$$

The value for continuous random variable distribution function is

$$
p(a<X \leq b)=F(b)-F(a)=\int_{a}^{b} f(v) d v
$$



Fig 3.1 Normal Probability Distribution Curve

Mean and variance of a distribution
$\mu=\sum_{j} x_{j} f\left(x_{j}\right) \quad$ and $\sigma^{2}=\sum_{j}\left(x_{j}-\mu\right)^{2} f\left(x_{j}\right) \quad$ For discrete distribution
$\mu=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) d x$ and $\sigma^{2}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(x_{j-} \mu\right)^{2} f(x) d x \quad$ for continuous distribution

## Bernoulli distribution

The distribution of " X " with probability $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{x})$ of $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{x}$, that is of obtaining a precisely x times in n trails. Hence " X " has the probability function of

$$
f(x)=\left\langle\begin{array}{l}
n \\
x
\end{array}\right\rangle p^{x} q^{n-x} \quad(\mathrm{x}=0,1,2 \ldots \mathrm{n})
$$

The distribution function $f(x) \neq 0$ is called the binomial distribution or Bernoulli distribution.

Mean and variance of the Binomial distribution

$$
\mu=n p \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma^{2}=n p q
$$

## Lognormal distribution:

When random variable of $\mathrm{Y}=\operatorname{In}(\mathrm{X})$ has a normal distribution if X having nonnegative random variable is said to be lognormal distribution. The probability distribution function of random variable when $\operatorname{In}(X)$ is normally distributed with parameters $\mu$ and $\sigma$ is

$$
f(X ; \mu, \sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma X}} e^{-\frac{\ln (x)-\mu]^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}} & X \leq 0 \\
0 &
\end{array}\right.
$$



Fig 3.2 Lognormal distribution curve

## Normal distribution

In this we discuss the normal distribution that turns from discrete to continuous distributions. The normal distribution or Gauss distribution is defined as the distribution with density.

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-y}{\sigma}\right)^{2}\right]
$$



Fig 3.3 Normal distribution curve

Distribution parameters to be estimated by using two methods (i) Method of moments. (ii) Maximum likelihood Method.

Method of moments: - In this method the parameters to be estimated are expressed in terms of the moments of the distribution. From the basic formula $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{th}}$ moment of a sample $\mathrm{x}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is

$$
m_{k}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{k}
$$

Maximum Likelihood Method: - In this method probability function depends on a single parameter $\theta$, when we consider a discrete random variables.

Let $\mathrm{x}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the n independent samples

$$
\text { Sample size } \quad l=f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots \ldots f\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

If 1 is the differentiable function of $\theta$, a necessary condition for 1 to have maximum in an interval is $\frac{d l}{d \theta}=0$. This is called maximum likelihood estimate

## Poisson distribution

The discrete distribution with finitely many possible values and probability function is called the Poisson distribution.

$$
f(x)=\frac{\mu^{x}}{x!} e^{-\mu} \quad(x=0,1 \ldots)
$$

Mean and variance of Poisson distribution $\mu=\sigma^{2}=n p$ for $\theta$.

## Weibull distribution

Random variable X in a sample taken having a Weibull distribution with parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta(\alpha>0, \beta>0)$.

The probability distribution function of X is

$$
f(x ; \alpha, \beta)= \begin{cases}\frac{\alpha}{\beta \alpha} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(x / \beta)^{\alpha}} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x<0\end{cases}
$$

We can explain a special cases of gamma and Weibull distribution by using exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda=\frac{1}{\beta}$ and $\propto=1$ for the above equation. Some of the gamma distributions are not Weibull distributions, therefore we conclude that one family is not a subset of other.


Fig 3.4 Weibull distribution curve

### 3.6 CALCULATION OF VARIOUS DISTRIBUTION FROM THE

## COLLECTED DATA

As we discussed in the previous chapter extraction of data and statistical studies. In this chapter we studied the application of statistics on data extraction. Before starting calculation first set the significance level and method of estimation (Moments method or Maximum Likelihood Method), calculate mean and variance of the data then calculate P -values of various distribution. The distribution having maximum P -value is the best fitting curve for the data having.

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION <br> NAME | Binomial | Exponential | Log-normal | Logistic | Normal | Poisson | Weibull | REMARKS/BEST FITTING CURVE |
| Daund Jn | - | - | - | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.012 | Weibull |
| Ahmad Nagar | $<0.0001$ | 0.033 | 0.239 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.180 | Log-normal |
| Belapur | $<0.0001$ | 0.006 | 0.248 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.160 | Log-normal |
| Kopargaon | - | - | - | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.031 | Weibull |
| Manmad Jn | - | 0.013 | 0.070 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.180 | Weibull |
| Jalgaon Jn | $<0.0001$ | 0.015 | 0.103 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.018 | Log-normal |
| Bhusaval Jn | - | - | - | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.010 | Weibull |
| Malkapur | < 0.0001 | 0.015 | 0.176 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.029 | Log-normal |
| Shegaon | < 0.0001 | 0.016 | 0.204 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.075 | Log-normal |
| Akola Jn | < 0.0001 | 0.014 | 0.060 | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | < 0.0001 | 0.024 | Log-normal |
| Badnera Jn | - | - | - | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.001 | Weibull |
| Wardha Jn | - | - | - | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.406 | Weibull |
| Nagpur | - | - | - | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.015 | Weibull |
| Bhandara Road | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | 0.082 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.104 | Weibull |
| Tumsar Road | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | 0.055 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.048 | Log-normal |
| Gondia Jn (G) | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.300 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.100 | Log-normal |
| Raj Nandgaon | < 0.0001 | 0.003 | - | $<0.0001$ | 0.001 | < 0.0001 | 0.048 | Weibull |
| Durg | - | - | - | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | - | 0.166 | Weibull |
| Raipur Jn | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | - | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | < 0.0001 | 0.180 | Weibull |
| Bilaspur Jn | - | - | - | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.347 | Weibull |
| Champa | - | - | - | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | - | 0.099 | Weibull |
| Raigarh | < 0.0001 | 0.010 | 0.141 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.211 | Weibull |
| Jharsuguda Jn | - | - | - | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.076 | Weibull |
| Rourkela | < 0.0001 | 0.024 | 0.051 | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | < 0.0001 | 0.017 | Log-normal |
| Chakradharpur | < 0.0001 | 0.048 | 0.214 | 0.055 | 0.000 | < 0.0001 | 0.071 | Log-normal |
| Tata-gar Jn | - | - | - | 0.022 | 0.001 | - | 0.197 | Weibull |
| Kharagpur Jn | < 0.0001 | 0.042 | - | 0.040 | 0.001 | < 0.0001 | 0.138 | Weibull |

Table 3.2 Azad Hind express Arrival distributions P-values

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 3.3 Azad Hind express Departure distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION <br> NAME | Beta | Binomial | Exponential | Gamma | GEV | Lognormal | Logistic | Weibull | REMARKSUBEST <br> FITTING CURVE |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.125 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | 0.763 | 0.635 | 0.690 | 0.614 | 0.572 | Gamma |
| Igatpuri | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | < 0.0001 | - | 0.000 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | Logistic |
| Jalgaon Jn | 0.579 | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.996 | 0.993 | 0.983 | 0.925 | 0.992 | Gamma |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.469 | < 0.0001 | 0.091 | 0.706 | 0.894 | - | 0.648 | 0.638 | GEV |
| Malkapur | 0.573 | < 0.0001 | 0.004 | 0.801 | 0.866 | 0.953 | 0.573 | 0.735 | Log-normal |
| Shegaon | 0.913 | < 0.0001 | 0.021 | 0.822 | 0.677 | 0.656 | 0.637 | 0.776 | Beta |
| Akola Jn | 0.560 | < 0.0001 | 0.001 | 0.506 | 0.331 | 0.283 | 0.312 | 0.371 | Beta |
| Badnera Jn | 0.008 | - | - | - | 0.054 | - | 0.068 | 0.016 | Logistic |
| Wardha Jn | 0.716 | - | - | - | 0.740 | - | 0.849 | 0.989 | Weibull |
| Nagpur | 0.363 | - | - | - | 0.439 | - | 0.285 | 0.258 | GEV |
| Bhandara Road | 0.992 | < 0.0001 | 0.019 | 0.876 | 0.844 | 0.925 | 0.833 | 0.945 | Beta |
| Gondia Jn (G) | 0.940 | - | - | - | 0.539 | - | 0.895 | 0.661 | Beta |
| Raj Nandgaon | 0.006 | - | - | - | 0.152 | - | 0.526 | 0.050 | Logistic |
| Durg | 0.357 | - | - | - | 0.911 | - | 0.786 | 0.824 | GEV |
| Raipur Jn | 0.062 | < 0.0001 | 0.898 | 0.228 | 0.000 | - | 0.200 | 0.954 | Weibull |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.023 | - | - | - | 0.000 | - | 0.491 | 0.431 | Logistic |
| Raigarh | 0.002 | < 0.0001 | 0.063 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.371 | $<0.0001$ | 0.116 | Log-normal |
| Jharsuguda Jn | 0.002 | - | - | - | 0.000 | - | < 0.0001 | 0.107 | Weibull |
| Rourkela | 0.006 | - | - | - | 0.000 | - | < 0.0001 | 0.297 | Weibull |
| Chakradharpur | 0.012 | - | - | - | 0.000 | - | 0.168 | 0.579 | Weibull |
| Kharagpur Jn | 0.002 | - | - | - | 0.000 | - | < 0.0001 | 0.079 | Weibull |

Table 3.4 Gitanjali express Arrival distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Beta | Negative binomial | Exponenti al | Gamma | GEV | Lognormal | Logistic | Weibull | REMARKS $\backslash B E S T$ <br> FITTING CURVE |
| Dadar | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.000 |  | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | GEV |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.022 | 0.152 | $<0.0001$ | 0.144 | 0.222 | 0.200 | 0.333 | 0.078 | Logistic |
| Igatpuri | 0.000 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | Weibull |
| Nasik Road | 0.531 | 0.992 | $<0.0001$ | 0.886 | 0.718 | 0.877 | 0.799 | 0.927 | Negative binomial |
| Jalgaon Jn | 0.344 | 0.257 | 0.000 | 0.985 | 0.994 | 0.978 | 0.934 | 0.942 | GEV |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.485 | 0.093 | 0.081 | 0.465 | 0.694 | - | 0.844 | 0.820 | Logistic |
| Malkapur | 0.639 | 0.464 | 0.002 | 0.838 | 0.810 | 0.950 | 0.629 | 0.802 | Log-normal |
| Shegaon | 0.886 | 0.411 | 0.007 | 0.781 | 0.639 | 0.718 | 0.618 | 0.739 | Beta |
| Akola Jn | 0.613 | 0.383 | 0.002 | 0.660 | 0.472 | 0.409 | 0.389 | 0.491 | Gamma |
| Badnera Jn | 0.015 | $<0.0001$ | 0.064 | 0.064 | 0.043 | - | 0.058 | 0.035 | Exponential |
| Wardha Jn | 0.955 | 0.757 | 0.324 | 0.304 | 0.058 | - | 0.443 | 0.952 | Beta |
| Bhandara <br> Road | 0.966 | 0.881 | 0.023 | 0.936 | 0.861 | 0.970 | 0.875 | 0.978 | Weibull |
| Raj <br> Nandgaon | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 0.075 | 0.132 | - | 0.214 | 0.069 | Logistic |
| Durg | 0.428 | 0.459 | 0.317 | 0.629 | 0.614 | - | 0.732 | 0.938 | Weibull |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.011 | $<0.0001$ | 0.319 | 0.008 | $<0.0001$ | - | < 0.0001 | 0.628 | Weibull |
| Raigarh | 0.002 | < 0.0001 | 0.063 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.369 | < 0.0001 | 0.115 | Log-normal |
| Jharsuguda Jn | 0.002 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | - | < 0.0001 | 0.032 | Weibull |
| Rourkela | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.090 | 0.053 | 0.000 | - | < 0.0001 | 0.346 | Weibull |
| Chakradharp ur | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.045 | 0.057 | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.042 | 0.671 | Weibull |
| Kharagpur Jn | 0.014 | 0.074 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | - | < 0.0001 | 0.007 | Negative binomial |

Table 3.5 Gitanjali express Departure distributions P-values

| P-VAUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Beta | Exponential | GEV | Lognormal | Logistic | Poisson | Weibull | REMARKS\BEST <br> FITTING CURVE |
| Dadar | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | Logistic |
| Thane | <0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | <0.0001 | < 0.0001 | Logistic |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.839 | $<0.0001$ | 0.750 | 0.840 | 0.615 | 0.840 | 0.696 | Poisson |
| Nasik Road | 0.187 | 0.000 | 0.307 | 0.755 | 0.467 | $<0.0001$ | 0.647 | Log-normal |
| Manmad Jn | 0.060 | 0.005 | < 0.0001 | 0.958 | 0.897 | $<0.0001$ | 0.935 | Log-normal |
| Chalisgaon Jn | 0.131 | 0.010 | $<0.0001$ | 0.983 | 0.837 | $<0.0001$ | 0.947 | Log-normal |
| Jalgaon Jn | 0.084 | 0.005 | 0.583 | 0.434 | 0.872 | $<0.0001$ | 0.556 | Logistic |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.150 | 0.032 | 0.842 | 0.736 | 0.887 | < 0.0001 | 0.726 | Logistic |
| Malkapur | 0.237 | 0.006 | 0.646 | 0.442 | 0.935 | < 0.0001 | 0.653 | Logistic |
| Nandura | 0.204 | 0.004 | 0.769 | 0.618 | 0.892 | $<0.0001$ | 0.764 | Logistic |
| Jalamb Jn | 0.264 | 0.001 | 0.687 | 0.598 | 0.953 | < 0.0001 | 0.671 | Logistic |
| Shegaon | 0.309 | 0.001 | 0.937 | 0.888 | 0.999 | < 0.0001 | 0.961 | Logistic |
| Akola Jn | 0.283 | 0.001 | 0.608 | 0.511 | 0.886 | $<0.0001$ | 0.683 | Logistic |
| Badnera Jn | 0.011 | - | 0.009 | - | 0.435 | - | 0.144 | Logistic |
| Dhamangaon | 0.253 | - | 0.992 | - | 0.999 | - | 0.884 | Logistic |
| Pulgaon Jn | 0.430 | 0.274 | $<0.0001$ | 0.553 | 0.681 | $<0.0001$ | 0.955 | Weibull |
| Wardha Jn | 0.289 | - | 0.733 | - | 0.873 | - | 0.712 | Logistic |
| Bhandara Road | 0.616 | 0.002 | 0.394 | 0.799 | 0.604 | $<0.0001$ | 0.812 | Weibull |
| Tumsar Road | 0.755 | 0.015 | 0.797 | 0.890 | 0.772 | $<0.0001$ | 0.897 | Weibull |
| Gondia Jn | 0.579 | - | 0.527 | - | 0.700 | - | 0.985 | Weibull |
| Dongargarh | 0.854 | 0.098 | 0.764 | 0.466 | 0.573 | $<0.0001$ | 0.943 | Weibull |
| Durg | 0.047 | - | <0.0001 | - | 0.541 | - | 0.201 | Logistic |
| Raipur Jn | 0.017 | - | 0.000 | - | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.262 | Weibull |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.005 | - | 0.000 | - | < 0.0001 | - | 0.391 | Weibull |
| Sakti | 0.001 | 0.193 | <0.0001 | 0.929 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.154 | Log-normal |
| Kharsia | 0.001 | 0.131 | $<0.0001$ | 0.756 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | 0.470 | Log-normal |
| Raigarh | 0.001 | 0.113 | $<0.0001$ | 0.439 | < 0.0001 | $<0.0001$ | 0.177 | Log-normal |
| Brajraj-gar | 0.056 | 0.005 | <0.0001 | 0.477 | 0.427 | $<0.0001$ | 0.315 | Log-normal |
| Chakradharpur | 0.085 | - | 0.000 | - | 0.330 | - | 0.640 | Weibull |
| Tatanagar Jn | 0.006 | - | 0.001 | - | 0.019 | - | 0.037 | Weibull |
| Kharagpur Jn | 0.069 | - | 0.001 | - | 0.121 | - | 0.408 | Weibull |

Table 3.6 Howrah Mail Arrival distributions P-values

| P-VAUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { STATION } \\ \text { NAME } \end{gathered}$ | Beta | Binomial | Negative binomial | Exponential | GEV | $\begin{gathered} \text { Log- } \\ \text { normal } \end{gathered}$ | Logistic | Weibull | REMARKS\BEST FITTING CURVE |
| Dadar | 0.000 | 0.003 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | - | Binomial |
| Thane | 0.000 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.001 | Weibull |
| Kasara | 0.368 | $<0.0001$ | 0.985 | $<0.0001$ | 0.180 | 0.459 | 0.158 | 0.155 | Negative binomial |
| Igatpuri | 0.014 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.004 | 0.008 | 0.017 | Weibull |
| Devlali | 0.207 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.570 | 0.555 | 0.605 | 0.460 | Logistic |
| Nasik Road | 0.274 | $<0.0001$ | 0.024 | 0.001 | 0.375 | 0.493 | 0.654 | 0.471 | Logistic |
| Manmad Jn | 0.145 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.005 | $<0.0001$ | 0.966 | 0.842 | 0.658 | Log-normal |
| Chalisgaon Jn | 0.135 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.010 | $<0.0001$ | 0.980 | 0.823 | 0.799 | Log-normal |
| Jalgaon Jn | 0.095 | $<0.0001$ | 0.017 | 0.003 | 0.516 | 0.373 | 0.794 | 0.591 | Logistic |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.337 | $<0.0001$ | 0.240 | 0.004 | 0.901 | 0.839 | 0.998 | 0.894 | Logistic |
| Malkapur | 0.240 | $<0.0001$ | 0.000 | 0.005 | 0.634 | 0.434 | 0.774 | 0.693 | Logistic |
| Nandura | 0.216 | $<0.0001$ | 0.007 | 0.004 | 0.720 | 0.579 | 0.934 | 0.780 | Logistic |
| Jalamb Jn | 0.268 | $<0.0001$ | 0.026 | 0.001 | 0.656 | 0.569 | 0.947 | 0.731 | Logistic |
| Shegaon | 0.300 | $<0.0001$ | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.958 | 0.916 | 0.998 | 0.925 | Logistic |
| Akola Jn | 0.305 | $<0.0001$ | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.770 | 0.647 | 0.954 | 0.678 | Logistic |
| Murtajapur | 0.222 | < 0.0001 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.859 | 0.692 | 0.659 | 0.474 | GEV |
| Pulgaon Jn | 0.436 | < 0.0001 | 0.080 | 0.534 | < 0.0001 | 0.725 | 0.700 | 0.969 | Weibull |
| Wardha Jn | 0.108 | $<0.0001$ | 0.169 | 0.000 | < 0.0001 | - | 0.016 | - | Negative binomial |
| Raj Nandgaon | 0.113 | $<0.0001$ | 0.243 | 0.072 | 0.951 | - | 0.973 | - | Logistic |
| Durg | 0.022 | $<0.0001$ | 0.082 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | - | $<0.0001$ | - | Negative binomial |
| Bhatapara | 0.019 | $<0.0001$ | 0.000 | 0.452 | 0.000 | 0.720 | 0.212 | 0.432 | Log-normal |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.016 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.273 | < 0.0001 | - | < 0.0001 | 0.502 | Weibull |
| Champa | 0.001 | 0.016 | < 0.0001 | 0.196 | < 0.0001 | - | < 0.0001 | 0.391 | Weibull |
| Sakti | 0.001 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.197 | < 0.0001 | 0.932 | < 0.0001 | 0.440 | Log-normal |
| Kharsia | 0.001 | $<0.0001$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.140 | < 0.0001 | 0.787 | < 0.0001 | 0.240 | Log-normal |
| Raigarh | 0.002 | $<0.0001$ | < 0.0001 | 0.144 | < 0.0001 | 0.562 | < 0.0001 | 0.144 | Log-normal |
| Brajraj-gar | 0.053 | < 0.0001 | < 0.0001 | 0.004 | < 0.0001 | 0.344 | 0.298 | 0.131 | Log-normal |
| Jharsuguda Jn | 0.001 | - | $<0.0001$ | 0.007 | < 0.0001 | - | <0.0001 | - | Poisson |
| Rourkela | 0.142 | $<0.0001$ | 0.163 | 0.662 | 0.000 | - | 0.219 | 0.666 | Weibull |
| Kharagpur Jn | 0.106 | $<0.0001$ | 0.096 | 0.001 | 0.000 | - | 0.014 | 0.162 | Weibull |

Table 3.7 Howrah Mail Departure distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Beta | Exponential | Gamma | GEV | Logistic | Normal | Weibull | REMARKS\BEST <br> FITTING CURVE |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.840 | $<0.0001$ | 0.574 | 0.468 | 0.592 | 0.436 | 0.765 | Beta |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.276 | 0.009 | 0.944 | 0.858 | 0.981 | 0.785 | 0.616 | Logistic |
| Akola Jn | 0.544 | 0.014 | 0.682 | 0.962 | 0.986 | 0.913 | 0.974 | Logistic |
| Badnera Jn | 0.256 | - | - | 0.482 | 0.553 | 0.523 | 0.345 | Logistic |
| Raipur Jn | 0.765 | - | - | 0.310 | 0.733 | 0.627 | 0.848 | Weibull |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.959 | 0.962 | 0.952 | 0.286 | 0.800 | 0.609 | 0.992 | Weibull |
| Rourkela | 0.975 | 0.711 | 0.884 | 0.864 | 0.830 | 0.796 | 0.930 | Beta |
| Chakradharpur | 0.993 | 0.545 | 0.708 | 0.747 | 0.750 | 0.779 | 0.850 | Beta |
| Tatanagar Jn | 0.937 | - | - | 0.663 | 0.656 | 0.645 | 0.811 | Beta |
| Kharagpur Jn | 0.536 | 0.459 | 0.862 | 0.403 | 0.433 | 0.256 | 0.604 | Gamma |

Table 3.8 Jnaneswari express Arrival distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Beta | Exponential | Log-normal | Logistic | Normal | Weibull | REMARKS\BEST FITTING CURVE |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.959 | 0.000 | 0.775 | 0.871 | 0.838 | 0.776 | Beta |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.050 | 0.004 | 0.577 | 0.727 | 0.320 | 0.250 | Logistic |
| Akola Jn | 0.681 | 0.024 | 0.281 | 0.986 | 0.984 | 0.953 | Logistic |
| Badnera Jn | 0.379 | 0.021 | - | 0.343 | 0.169 | 0.499 | Weibull |
| Durg | 0.898 | 0.265 | - | 0.665 | 0.518 | 0.931 | Weibull |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.564 | 0.293 | 0.639 | 0.324 | 0.228 | 0.545 | Log-normal |
| Rourkela | 0.904 | 0.571 | 0.872 | 0.970 | 0.974 | 0.980 | Weibull |
| Chakradharpur | 0.993 | 0.540 | - | 0.765 | 0.787 | 0.901 | Beta |
| Tatanagar Jn | 0.130 | 0.003 | - | 0.226 | 0.186 | 0.210 | Logistic |
| Kharagpur Jn | 0.838 | 0.581 | - | 0.597 | 0.387 | 0.820 | Beta |

Table 3.9 Jnaneswari express Departure distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Binomial | Gamma | GEV | Lognormal | Logistic | Normal | Poisson | Weibull | REMARKS $\backslash B E S T$ FITTING CURVE |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.000 | 0.732 | 0.740 | 0.695 | 0.700 | 0.652 | 0.404 | 0.905 | Weibull |
| Manmad Jn | - | - | 0.476 | - | 0.448 | 0.256 | - | 0.630 | Weibull |
| Bhusaval Jn | < 0.0001 | 0.917 | 0.030 | 0.858 | 0.974 | 0.865 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | 0.987 | Weibull |
| Akola Jn | < 0.0001 | 0.761 | 0.962 | 0.623 | 0.989 | 0.928 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | 0.892 | Logistic |
| Gondia Jn (G) | $<0.0001$ | 0.754 | 0.943 | 0.789 | 0.914 | 0.924 | 0.018 | 0.933 | GEV |
| Raipur Jn | - | - | 0.651 | - | 0.999 | 1.000 | - | 0.999 | Normal |
| Bilaspur Jn | - | - | $<0.0001$ | - | 0.971 | 0.926 | - | 0.998 | Weibull |
| Raigarh | - | - | 0.584 | - | 0.926 | 0.847 | - | 0.990 | Weibull |
| Rourkela | < 0.0001 | 0.730 | 0.702 | 0.701 | 0.587 | 0.538 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | 0.865 | Weibull |
| Tatanagar Jn | - | - | 0.980 | - | 0.977 | 0.966 | - | 0.951 | GEV |
| Kharagpur Jn | - | - | 0.030 | - | 0.960 | 0.825 | - | 0.941 | Logistic |
| Howrah Jn | - | - | 0.851 | - | 0.854 | 0.818 | - | 0.772 | Logistic |
| Barddhaman Jn | < 0.0001 | 0.916 | 0.612 | 0.872 | 0.940 | 0.887 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | 0.948 | Weibull |
| Bolpur | < 0.0001 | 0.991 | 0.775 | 0.993 | 0.879 | 0.846 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | 0.941 | Log-normal |
| Rampur Hut | - | - | 0.818 | - | 0.963 | 0.929 | - | 0.998 | Weibull |
| Pakur | 0.219 | 0.414 | 0.325 | 0.382 | 0.810 | 0.588 | 0.930 | 0.501 | Poisson |
| Malda Town | - | - | 0.437 | - | 0.997 | 0.967 | - | 0.917 | Logistic |
| Siliguri | < 0.0001 | 0.309 | 0.447 | - | 0.807 | 0.551 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | 0.945 | Weibull |
| Hasimara | < 0.0001 | 0.980 | 0.030 | 0.962 | 0.949 | 0.970 | $\begin{gathered} < \\ 0.0001 \end{gathered}$ | 0.952 | Gamma |
| Alipur Daur Jn | - | - | 0.525 | - | 0.997 | 0.992 | - | 0.993 | Logistic |
| New Bongaigaon | $<0.0001$ | 0.949 | 0.896 | 0.889 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.036 | 0.992 | Logistic |

Table 3.10 Karmabhoomi express Arrival distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Beta | Exponential | Gamma | Lognormal | Logistic | Normal | Weibull | $\begin{gathered} \text { REMARK } \\ \text { S\BEST } \\ \text { FITTING } \\ \text { CURVE } \end{gathered}$ |
| Manmad Jn | 0.611 | 0.692 | 0.454 | 0.628 | 0.618 | 0.516 | 0.743 | Weibull |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.642 | 0.437 | 0.785 | 0.713 | 0.915 | 0.720 | 0.887 | Logistic |
| Akola Jn | 0.827 | 0.321 | 0.770 | 0.632 | 0.990 | 0.934 | 0.901 | Logistic |
| Gondia Jn | 0.877 | 0.546 | 0.808 | 0.820 | 0.942 | 0.961 | 0.905 | Normal |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.928 | 0.812 | 0.891 | - | 0.839 | 0.807 | 0.901 | Beta |
| Raigarh | 0.882 | 0.684 | 0.962 | - | 0.880 | 0.790 | 0.994 | Weibull |
| Jharsuguda Jn | 0.499 | 0.226 | 0.447 | 0.610 | 0.488 | 0.453 | 0.804 | Weibull |
| Rourkela | 0.766 | 0.825 | 0.777 | 0.769 | 0.615 | 0.564 | 0.762 | Exponential |
| Howrah Jn | 0.323 | 0.183 | 0.523 | 0.457 | 0.545 | 0.289 | 0.853 | Weibull |
| Barddhaman Jn | 0.956 | 0.906 | 0.954 | 0.991 | 0.963 | 0.910 | 0.942 | Log-normal |
| Bolpur | 0.942 | 0.899 | 0.978 | 0.992 | 0.860 | 0.831 | 0.873 | Log-normal |
| Pakur | 0.463 | 0.192 | 0.299 | 0.296 | 0.725 | 0.451 | 0.350 | Logistic |
| Hasimara | 0.896 | 0.196 | 0.970 | 0.942 | 0.943 | 0.961 | 0.790 | Gamma |
| New <br> Bongaigaon | 0.988 | 0.643 | 0.949 | 0.889 | 0.999 | 0.999 | 0.992 | Logistic |

Table 3.11 Karmabhoomi express Departure distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Beta | Exponential | Gamma | Log-normal | Logistic | Normal | Weibull | REMARKS $\backslash$ BEST FITTING CURVE |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.997 | 0.060 | 0.991 | 0.995 | 0.979 | 0.993 | 0.974 | Beta |
| Igatpuri | 0.752 | 0.355 | 0.372 | 0.426 | 0.630 | 0.584 | 0.762 | Weibull |
| Nasik Road | 0.869 | 0.024 | 0.926 | 0.935 | 0.887 | 0.921 | 0.877 | Log-normal |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.980 | 0.501 | 0.908 | 0.790 | 0.995 | 0.991 | 0.994 | Logistic |
| Akola Jn | 0.980 | 0.142 | 0.808 | 0.863 | 0.909 | 0.879 | 0.864 | Beta |
| Badnera Jn | 0.870 | 0.372 | 0.758 | 0.804 | 0.874 | 0.898 | 0.906 | Weibull |
| Nagpur | 0.865 | - | - | - | 0.763 | 0.785 | 0.764 | Beta |
| Gondia Jn | 0.471 | 0.124 | 0.356 | 0.246 | 0.860 | 0.566 | 0.470 | Logistic |
| Raj Nandgaon | 0.687 | 0.609 | 0.968 | 0.932 | 0.996 | 0.873 | 0.955 | Logistic |
| Durg | 0.793 | - | - | - | 0.831 | 0.697 | 0.919 | Weibull |
| Raipur Jn | 0.773 | 0.573 | 0.911 | 0.453 | 0.697 | 0.630 | 0.648 | Gamma |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.990 | - | - | - | 0.993 | 0.993 | 0.967 | Normal |
| Rourkela | 0.894 | 0.447 | 0.765 | - | 0.964 | 0.985 | 0.854 | Normal |
| Chakradharpur | 0.506 | - | - | - | 0.494 | 0.380 | 0.347 | Beta |
| Bankura | 0.865 | 0.581 | 0.693 | - | 0.708 | 0.702 | 0.775 | Beta |
| Midnapore | 0.532 | - | - | - | 0.535 | 0.410 | 0.883 | Weibull |
| Kharagpur Jn | 0.831 | - | - | - | 0.941 | 0.917 | 0.979 | Weibull |

Table 3.12 Samarsata express Arrival distributions P-values

| P-VALUES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATION NAME | Beta | Negative binomial | Exponential | Gamma | Lognormal | Logistic | Poisson | Weibull | REMARKSUBEST <br> FITTING CURVE |
| Kalyan Jn | 0.631 | 0.066 | 0.075 | 0.762 | 0.688 | 0.996 | 0.320 | 0.724 | Logistic |
| Igatpuri | 0.768 | 0.073 | 0.100 | 0.662 | 0.602 | 0.670 | 0.245 | 0.545 | Beta |
| Nasik Road | 0.869 | 0.041 | 0.022 | 0.926 | 0.934 | 0.887 | 0.256 | 0.877 | Log-normal |
| Bhusaval Jn | 0.947 | < 0.0001 | 0.352 | 0.972 | 0.913 | 1.000 | $<0.0001$ | 1.000 | Weibull |
| Akola Jn | 0.983 | $<0.0001$ | 0.144 | 0.836 | 0.882 | 0.929 | $<0.0001$ | 0.898 | Beta |
| Badnera Jn | 0.488 | 0.394 | 0.203 | 0.529 | 0.685 | 0.584 | 0.728 | 0.427 | Poisson |
| Gondia Jn | 0.623 | < 0.0001 | 0.132 | 0.395 | 0.265 | 0.882 | $<0.0001$ | 0.521 | Logistic |
| Raj Nandgaon | 0.588 | < 0.0001 | 0.597 | 0.950 | 0.913 | 0.971 | $<0.0001$ | 0.921 | Logistic |
| Durg | 0.891 | $<0.0001$ | 0.985 | 0.985 | - | 0.962 | $<0.0001$ | 0.996 | Weibull |
| Raipur Jn | 0.767 | < 0.0001 | 0.931 | 0.931 | - | 0.721 | $<0.0001$ | 0.723 | Gamma |
| Bilaspur Jn | 0.950 | < 0.0001 | 0.730 | 0.962 | - | 0.986 | $<0.0001$ | 0.985 | Logistic |
| Jharsuguda Jn | 0.431 | $<0.0001$ | 0.580 | 0.656 | 0.757 | 0.422 | $<0.0001$ | 0.750 | Log-normal |
| Chakradharpur | 0.505 | < 0.0001 | 0.222 | 0.215 | - | 0.572 | < 0.0001 | 0.419 | Logistic |
| Bankura | 0.865 | < 0.0001 | 0.581 | 0.693 | - | 0.708 | $<0.0001$ | 0.775 | Beta |

Table 3.13 Samarsata express Departure distributions P-values
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Fig 3.5 Various distribution graphs of Azad Hind Express
The arrival delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the Weibull distribution followed by log-normal distribution.

The departure delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the LOG-NORMAL distribution
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Fig 3.6 Various distribution graphs of Gitanjali Express
The arrival delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the Weibull distribution followed by Beta and logistic distribution.

The departure delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the Weibull distribution followed by log-normal and logistic distribution.
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Fig 3.7 Various distribution graphs of Howrah Mail

The arrival delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the logistic distribution followed by Weibull and log-normal distribution.

The departure delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the logistic distribution followed by log-normal distribution.
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Fig 3.8 Various distribution graphs of Jnaneswari Express
The arrival delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the Beta distribution followed by logistic distribution.

The departure delay distribution of this train that fits best the data for the goodness of fit test is the logistic distribution followed by Weibull distribution.

### 3.7 DISCUSSIONS

The arrival delay probability functions of various train lines are follows bell-shaped and skewed to the right (having a longer on right tail), see in above figures. Even though some of trains arrive early and other trains arrive more or less late, most arrival times falls around the mean values. Some of the trains depart early from preceding station without delay but early arrivals in some other stations are constrained due to low speed or unscheduled stops.

Some of the figures shown above having small skewness which indicates small deviation of delay distribution is symmetric and hence be approximated by a normal distribution.

The arrival and departure delays of trains having a skewness coefficient around as shown below.

| Train Name | Skewness coefficient |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Arrival | Departure |
| Azad Hind Express | 2.188 | 2.199 |
| Gitanjali Express | 2.733 | 2.733 |
| Howrah Mail | 1.960 | 1.884 |
| Jnaneswari Express | 0.372 | 0.329 |
| Karmabhoomi Express | 0.479 | 0.469 |
| Samarsata Express | -0.562 | -0.467 |

## Table 3.14 Skewness coefficient of different trains at Rourkela station

By which skewed distribution may be more appropriate like a Weibull, Erlang, or lognormal distribution. Also the hypothesis is tested that the arrival delays have a normal distribution with
unknown parameters. There is a possibility of slightly delayed trains lose their scheduled route setting to conflicting train and hence have to stop at the open track, by which delay is further increased.

By considering distribution of arrival lateness and early arrivals analysis of delay propagation is prime interest and help to detect the distribution shape from statistics only. The histogram and empirical density of late arrivals suggests a good fit by a negative-exponential distribution. This hypothesis is formally tested using the KS goodness-of-fit test of single exponentially for the estimated parameter (1/mean), with the mean. Hence, the late arrival delays of these train lines constitute most trains instead of just the right tail.

Train departure delays are expected to follow an exponential distribution, i.e. a train departs after the scheduled departure time with random delay. The departure delay histograms also suggest a good exponential fit of single exponentially of hypothesis test with a significance level of $5 \%$ for estimated parameter of the trains. Finally it can conclude that an exponential distribution is in a general a good stochastic model for train departure delays, as long as the departure is not restricted.

## CHAPTER 4

## CONCLUSIONS

The railway system is a significant change in India over midst. Rail Road's which are laid by government and others are more importantly, by customers, to provide better service and to be more efficient. For any success, the main key in this world is very carefully planning, scheduling, implementing planned and new existing works without any disruption to other works. Even if we planned carefully, there would be some time deviations.

Some of the studies on delay timings by using statistical distribution analysis give clarification about time delay distribution pattern and helps to rectify old timetable. The train delays should be measured and analyzed in minutes. The arrival and departure timing delay of trains at the stop positions are calculated. The statistical analysis of arrival times at various stations on the selected route, all most all points shows that about $30 \%$ to nearly $60 \%$ of trains delayed by more than 10 minutes.

The proven fit of most of the arrival delay times to Weibull and logistic distribution, departure delays to a log-normal distribution. This allows an improvement in the design of timetable and more reliable forecast of train delays during operations. In this research mainly focus on suitable models of train delay propagation in stations.
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