

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Energy





ATI 2015 - 70th Conference of the ATI Engineering Association

Functional, energy and seismic retrofitting in existing building: an innovative system based on xlam technology

Tiziano Dalla Mora^{a*}, Alessandro Righi^a, Fabio Peron^a, Piercarlo Romagnoni^a

^a Università I.U.A.V. diVenezia, Dipartimento di progettazione epianificazione in ambienticomplessi, via Torino 153/A, 30172 Mestre Venezia.

Abstract

In recent years significant investments were made in retrofitting of existing buildings with the aim to realize a strong functional, energy and seismic refurbishment. This is a complicated challenge: the technical and economic feasibility of intervention must be correctly defined and most of interventions are not standardized or coordinated or properly managed.

Given the awarenessrelated to environmental sustainability topics, this studyfocused on the development of systems and technologies based on the use of natural and environmentally sustainable materials.

The paper is focused on the xlam panel that is integrated into an existing building (outside or inside the external wall) in order to improve the static and structural tightening. The system is designed for modularization and standardization for giving simplicity and speed of assembly and low cost providing also.

Through a three-dimensional and numerical model, simulations were carried out to verify and to optimize the energy behavior of the chosenmaterials and to identify best combination on thermal performance compared with the costs and environmental impacts of the product. The values and the results obtained were tested experimentally in the laboratory by the construction of a prototype.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of the Scientific Committee of ATI 2015

"Keyword: xlam system, prefabrication, sustainability, building physics and energy retrofitting, numerical simulation"

* Corresponding author. Tel. 041 2571483, Fax: 041 2571485. *E-mail address*:tdallamora@iuav.it

1. Introduction

In Italy existing buildings are totally in appropriate in earthquake case as seen in recent seismic events (Molise in 2002, L'Aquila in 2009, Emilia in 2012); infact 82% of Italian residential stock was built before 1980 but the first legislation that imposes technical criteria of anti-seismic construction was enacted on 2003, and moreover the first national law about energy saving was enacted in 1976, because there were low cost of energy providing and no awareness about environment or climate change. So actually in Italy 13 million of building need an strong energy and seismic refurbishment. The research develops and deepens the application of aparticular structural xlam panel connected to existing masonry: wood in fact has excellent characteristics such as light weight, mechanical strength and thermal insulation; as well xlam technology has demonstrated the capacity on stress distribution both in the vertical than in horizontal direction even in the presence of openings. A Xlam panel shows a great ratio between strength and specific weight compared to othercommon materialssuch as masonry or concrete and also it presents a better hard-set and anti-seismic behavior than a wood frame structure. The proposedtechnological systemis composed as follows: a metal structure is fixed at the slab level or in the existent masonry for providing flexural rigidity and it's connected to Xlam panel by woodencurb which transfers shear stresses coming from thebuilding. The different kind of insulating materials have been proposed in order to improve the thermal resistance and to optimize the hygrometric behavior of the panel. This study focused also on the environmental impacts (Carbon Footprint and Embodied Energy) of each material andthe economic feasibility was evaluated for the proposed combination of layers and products. The main objective is to identify the best combination that could be able to achieve all benchmarks of the research reducing energy consumption and lowering CO₂ emissions and being costeffective.

2. Methodology

The research workwas planned andfollowed aprecisestrategic line for checking thetype of interventionand the performances of the technological component, applying on a single external walland comparing all aspects of analysis. The first phase has seen the construction of amatrix (Table 1) in which elements were selected introducing a code for xlam(K), insulation(X), selected between the best sellers inmarket, and masonry (Y), selected on the schedule of the UNITS11300-1; some specific characteristics have been identified to carry out the analysis: for example, values of thickness, thermal conductivity, specific heat, steam resistance, environmental impacts (LCA), supply and laying costs.

Table 1 - Elements

| Description | code | name |
|-----------------|------|---|
| K xlam panel | Κ | Xlam Panel |
| | Ya | MP01 - Brick wall (Rif.A) |
| | Yb | CV01 - Masonry in perforated brick with cavity -1- (Rif.A) |
| V Existing wall | Yc | PF01 - Concrete wall (Rif. B) |
| I Existing wan | Yd | PF04 - Precast and insulated concrete wall -1- (Rif. B) |
| | Ye | CV01 - Masonry in perforated brick with cavity and insulation |
| | Yf | CO04 - Masonry in concrete blocks with cavity (Rif.B) |
| X Insulation | X1 | foam glass |

- X2 extruded polystyrene foam (XPS)
- X3 expanded polystyrene (EPS)
- X4 wood fiber
- X5 mineral wool
- X6 aerogel
- X7 vacuum insulated panel (VIP)

Then four combinations of different stratigraphyof the various elementswereidentified; these combinationsbecamethe object of all analysis and simulations (Figure 1). Each combination is identified by thereal possibility of intervention in an existent masonry building (see code Y in Table 1) and by adopting the technological systeminXlam. The Italian legislation historic facades protection, the level of damage and decay of the building, the location and condition of the site at urban level affect the positioning of the panel (see code K in Table 1) outside or inside the existing masonry wall and, as consequence, the internal or external application of insulation (X).



Figure 1 - Possible kind of combinations

The obtained combinationsallow to understand what is the more favorable stratification between the various ones in the Italian building stock. It's also possible to control the performances of the intervention in an existing building.

3. Thermal analysis

Because of its fiber orientations and porosity, wood can be considered a poor heat conductor. Since the thermal conductivity (λ) dependent on the presence of air and water within the wood, the value is strongly tied to consideredwooden species and establishing a percentage of moisture content of 20% values are fluctuating between 0.10 and 0.20 W/(mK).Themasonry wallsinsteadhave different featuresbecause the stratigraphy is composedby different materials, age andmanufacturing, and also it's influenced by the geographic areaand thetype of construction. However,the thermal properties values are obtainedfrom the UNITS11300-1 database [1]which lists the most usefulconfigurations obtained thermal transmittance isa U valuebetween 1.4and0.7W/(m²K).

Table 2 - Minimum insulation thickness to achieve U = 0.34 W/m2K

| | | m for Ya wall | m for Yb wall | m for Yc wall |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| X1 | foam glass | 0,062 | 0,052 | 0,024 |
| X2 | extruded polystyrene foam (XPS) | 0,054 | 0,045 | 0,020 |
| X3 | expanded polystyrene (EPS) | 0,055 | 0,046 | 0,21 |
| X4 | wood fiber | 0,068 | 0,056 | 0,026 |
| X5 | mineral wool | 0,068 | 0,056 | 0,026 |
| X6 | aerogel | 0,021 | 0,017 | 0,008 |

X7 vacuum insulated panel (VIP) 0,010 0,008 0,002

The proposed insulating materialsaredifferentbecause of theirorigin. vegetable. mineral. syntheticandcomposite. The main objective was to identify the thickness of each insulation for obtaining the minimum U values of the wallaccording to current national regulation [2] - 0.34W/m2K fora Italian climate zone E corresponding to Venice area - and also thatto obtain alower thicknessthan thevalueof 0.1m, corresponding to the interspacecreated by themetal structure for holding the xlam panel up. Themost important resultis thatall proposedinsulationallowthickness below0.07m(Table 2Table 2). Examplesconcerna selection of masonry with the combination XKY, tested withall types of masonry andselectedinsulation. The second goalwas the calculation of acceptable phase shiftvalues which value shall bemore than 12 hours. The study wascarried out by applying the characteristics of the components on the samecasesused for the transmittanceandin the first analysis was calculated the minimum value of insulationthicknessthat is required to get t least 12hours of phase shift(Table 3): results showtheheat flux delay that was accumulated through the wall depending on the different stratigraphy and kind of masonry.

Table 3 - Thermal lag

| | | h for Ya wall | h for Yb wall | h for Yd wall | thickness for 12h time lag (m) |
|----|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| X1 | foam glass | 20,60 | 6,59 | 6,66 | 0,34 |
| X2 | extruded polystyrene foam (XPS) | 19,82 | 5,82 | 5,88 | 0,43 |
| X3 | expanded polystyrene (EPS) | 19,96 | 5,95 | 6,01 | 0,43 |
| X4 | wood fiber | 22,85 | 8,8 | 8,84 | 0,23 |
| X5 | mineral wool | 19,82 | 5,81 | 5,88 | 0,51 |
| X6 | aerogel | 0,33 | 10,32 | 10,38 | 0,18 |
| X7 | vacuum insulated panel (VIP) | 6,16 | 16,15 | 16,21 | 0,10 |

Finally, following the current legislation requirements, the formation of interstitial moisture on walls has been verified, specially into insulation layer. Outcomes demonstrate a null valuemoisture not for all layers, however the annual budge thas always negative balance: the amount of evaporable water vaporis greater than the amount condensable, which must be less than the limit value (500 g/m²).

4. Environmental Impact

The goalwasto investigate impact of the elements in the value panel. The analysis have been focused on various insulating selected (Y) so as to obtain the values of the Embodied Energy measured in MJ/kg(Table 4) and to identify those with less impact equal equivalent transmittance [3].

Table 4 - Embodied Energy values for insulation

| | thickness[m] | specific weight[kg/m ³] | weight[kg/m2] | embodied energy [MJ*kg] | [MJ] |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------|
| X1 - foam glass | 0,15 | 150,00 | 22 | 27,00 | 595,59 |
| X2 - XPS | 0,10 | 35,00 | 4 | 109,20 | 393,44 |
| X3 - EPS | 0,10 | 37,00 | 4 | 101,50 | 386,60 |
| X4 - wood fiber | 0,12 | 150,00 | 18 | 20,00 | 352,94 |
| X5 - mineral wool | 0,12 | 100,00 | 12 | 16,80 | 197,65 |
| X6 - aerogel | 0,04 | 120,00 | 5 | 53,00 | 243,18 |

| X7 - VIP | 0,02 | 175,00 | 3 | 140,00 | 432,35 |
|----------|------|--------|---|--------|--------|
|----------|------|--------|---|--------|--------|

The same studywas also conducted on the kinds of masonry(X) and on the xlam panel (672MJ).

Table 5 - Impact categories

| Impact category | | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 |
|-------------------|------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Human health | DALY | 4,27E-05 | 9,99E-06 | 5,21E-06 | 1,92E-05 | 2,15E-05 | 3,65E-06 | 1,06E-05 |
| Ecosystem quality | PDF*m2*yr | 5,70E+00 | 1,00E+00 | 3,93E-01 | 1,04E+01 | 2,61E+00 | 4,39E-01 | 1,03E+00 |
| Climate change | kg CO2 eq | 3,99E+01 | 2,14E+01 | 1,12E+01 | 1,97E+01 | 1,68E+01 | 2,61E+00 | 1,46E+01 |
| Resources | MJ primary | 6,29E+02 | 3,62E+02 | 3,43E+02 | 2,75E+02 | 2,23E+02 | 2,64E+02 | 3,77E+02 |

Therefore the insertion of the technological element in xlam with the insulation hypothesisprovided an absolute value of Embodied Energy ranging between 700 and 1300 MJ.

Afterwards investigationshave focused onwood paneland insulation for the calculation of LCA of all materials by software simulations: using the methodImpact2002+v2.11thefourimpact categories(Table 5) and their values have been obtained, including the Embodied Energy expressed in resources category.

Calculation has allowed a comparison of the results obtained for Embodied Energy using the ICE database and the results are reliable and comparablebecause the database of the University of Bath allows a tolerance of approximately \pm 30%. Finally the value of CO₂ equivalent was derived indicating the extent of the GWP (Global Warming Potential) of greenhouse gases for each selected material (Table 6).

Table 6 - IPCC 2007 impacts

| | X1 | X2 | X3 | X4 | X5 | X6 | X7 |
|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| kg CO2 eq | 42,178 | 38,972 | 13,255 | 20,842 | 17,830 | 3,370 | 16,606 |

A comparison between theproduction processofpackagesaccording to the method2007 IPCC(Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) has been analyzed: an unit value attributed on-base percentage to the material with higher CO₂ equivalent and theremaining values were get consequently. Some materials such as a erogels and expanded polystyrene have low impacts since in the first case the material used is little amount, while the second has low harm values for global warming.

5. Conclusions - research results

Inreference to what wasdefined in methodology and based on the data of the various analyzes, it is possible to orderobtained information and make a summary to configure the kindof base panel.

Also economic feasibilitywas conducted determine the cost of the intervention: elementswere considered individually by the calculation of supply and laying costs for panel and insulations. Costs are approximated and depend on changes in the market and in the adoption of the price list of each region, but it's fact that synthetic materials have lower costs than natural ones, while aerogel and vacuum insulation have not still competitive costs.

The best combination of existing masonry, xlam panel and insulation is given precisely by the latter variable; in fact these selected insulations have different properties and performances, so theyimpactin a different way with other component: xlam panel is given by structural calculation and its characteristics are broadly similar in the actual market, while the kind of masonry might change depending on the building. The choice has been made taking as objective the minimization of heat loss, environmental impacts and intervention costs(Table 7).

| | λ [W/(mK)] | φ [h] | supply $[\ell/m^3]$ | laying [€/ m ²] | EE [MJ] | CF [kgCO ₂ eq] |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| X1 - foam glass | 0,050 | 20,6 | 650,00 | 95,00 | 595,59 | 42,18 |
| X2 - XPS | 0,035 | 19,82 | 190,00 | 36,00 | 393,44 | 38,97 |
| X3 - EPS | 0,035 | 19,96 | 110,00 | 39,00 | 386,60 | 13,25 |
| X4 - wood fiber | 0,040 | 22,85 | 175,00 | 25,00 | 352,94 | 20,84 |
| X5 - mineral wool | 0,040 | 19,82 | 150,00 | 70,00 | 197,65 | 17,83 |
| X6 - aerogel | 0,013 | 0,33 | 430,00 | 90,00 | 243,18 | 3,37 |
| X7 - VIP | 0,006 | 6,16 | 5550,00 | 100,00 | 432,35 | 16,60 |

Table 7 - Outcomes for insulation after thermal, impact and economic analysis

A further constrainthas added due to thesizeof the structureforfixingtheexisting masonryand to the passage of system net: from the previous analysis it has made that it could take advantage of 10 cm of thickness on the gap in the inner side of the panel in correspondence of structure or exploit the external side with a gap of 10 cm at least for plumping thickness. The type of insulation that better meet the demands and benchmarks for minimizing thermal, economic and environmental is mineral wool(X5), then polyure than foam (EPS) (X3): this selection was the basis for all further analysis of the types of masonry.

Thenmatrix was verifiedinorder tocontrol the formation of moisture[4] and the heat flowinthermal bridges and to provide datato otherareas of the research, or rather the design and sizing of the technological elements and functional conformations. It was made a 3D model of the all kind of masonry, obtaining performances tounderstand thebest combination depending on the position of the insulation. The resultleads to the concept of an external" coat" (KXY), which, in addition to isolate, allows the complete precast of the panel and a fastin stallation on site, with related economic benefits (Figure 2).

| Cat. Material | | | Thickn | ess F | Res.Therm. | Res. Fatt. / | Density | Spec. Cal. | Weight | Embodied | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------|--------|-------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------|----------|---------|-------------------|-------|---------|
| | | | | | (m) | (| m²K/W) | | (m) | (kg/m³) | (J/kgK) | kg/m ² | Ene | rgy in |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | мј~кд | MJ |
| | externa | al | | | | | 0,04 | | | | | | | |
| INT | plaster | board | | | | 0,02 | 0,02 | 20,00 | 0,30 | 1800 | 836,80 | 40 | 1,00 | 40,00 |
| ISL | X5 / mi | neral w | lool | | | 0,10 | 2,50 | 1,00 | 0,10 | 50 | 1046 | 10 | 16,80 | 168,00 |
| ХLМ | xlam | | | | | 0,10 | 0,77 | 50,00 | 5,00 | 500 | 1600 | 48 | 14,00 | 672,00 |
| INA | slightly ventilated cavity | | y | (| 0,10 | 0,11 | 1,00 | 0,10 | 1 | 1004 | | | | |
| INT | plaster | board | | | (| 0,02 | 0,02 | 20,00 | 0,40 | 1800 | 837 | 40 | 1,00 | 40,00 |
| MUR | solid b | rick | | | (| 0,25 | 0,32 | 15,00 | 3,75 | 1800 | 1000 | 450 | 2,86 | 1287,00 |
| INT | plaster | board | | | | 0,02 | 0,03 | 10,00 | 0,20 | 1400 | 837 | 28 | 3,30 | 92,40 |
| | inner | | | | | 0,61 | 0,13 | | | | | | | |
| | ХК | | | Y | | U | [W/m²K] | 0,251 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | φ[h] 17,52 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | EE [MJ] | 2299 | | | | | |

Figure 2 - Combination 2 - X5KYa

Finally aX5KYa prototype was built and verified with a "hotbox" test [5][6]: this stratigraphy has been chosen because it shows the worstvalues of temperature and humidity between those obtained with the combinations and with the selected materials, and also it has been studied since this type in fact it is the most wides pread type of masonry in Italian building stock.

The research has achieved several objectives regarding the issues related to environmental and energy aspects: the technological component allows different solutions and assembly configurations, providing

with new windows and new heating and cooling systems; it was designed to reduce size and weight but always ensuring the minimum of the current regulations: the combinations matrix for insulation allows in fact comparable and applicable according to the type of existing buildings.

References

- [1] UNI TS 11300 Normativa tecnica di riferimento sul risparmio energetico e la certificazione energetica degli edifici
- [2] D.Lgs.192/2005 e s.m. Attuazione della direttiva 2002/91/CE relativa al rendimento energetico nell'edilizia
- [3] ISO 140400 Passaggi per lo sviluppo della procedura del Life Cycle Assessment
- [4] UNI 13788:2003 Prestazione igrotermica dei componenti e degli elementi per edilizia; verifica condensa interstiziale
- [5] ASTM C-236, 1993. Standard Test Method for Steady-State Thermal Performance of Building Assemblies by Means of a guarded Hot Box.

[6] UNI EN ISO 8990. Determinazione delle proprietà di trasmissione termica in regime stazionario: metodo della doppia camera calibrata e della doppia camera con anello di guardia.

Biography

Tiziano Dalla Mora. Actually he has a research grant at IUAV on near zero energy buildings. During his research and professional activity he gained experience on sustainable architecture design and building information modeling BIM. He worked on LEED certification following credits related to energy, light and indoor air quality and participation to the development of Historic Building Protocol by GBC Italia