1	Development of Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance biosensors for the detection of
2	Brettanomyces bruxellensis in wine
3	
4	Marisa Manzano ^{*1} , Priya Vizzini ¹ , Kun Jia ^{2,3} , Pierre-Michel Adam ² , Rodica Elena Ionescu ^{2*}
5	
6	¹ Department of Food Science, University of Udine, via Sondrio 2/A, 33100 Udine, Italy
7	² Laboratoria de Norratoria da 12 Latración de 12 Latración Octóbero Latración Charles Deleveros
8	Laboratoire de Nanotechnologie et d'Instrumentation Optique, Institute Charles Delaunay,
9	Universite' de technologie de Troyes, UMR CNRS 6281, 12 Rue Marie-Curie CS 42060,
10	10004 Troyes Cedex, France
11	³ School of Microelectronics and Solid-State Electronics, University of Electronic Science and
12	Technology of China, 610054 Chengdu, China (present address)
13	
14	
15	*corresponding authors:
16	Marisa Manzano ^{1,*} , Department of Food Science, via Sondrio 2/A, 33100 Udine, Italy, tel:
17	+390432558127, Fax: +390432558130
	E-mail: marisa.manzano@uniud.it

- 18 Rodica Elena Ionescu^{2*,} Laboratoire de Nanotechnologie et d'Instrumentation Optique,
- 19 Institute Charles Delaunay, Universite' de technologie de Troyes, UMR-CNRS 6281, 12 Rue
- 20 Marie-Curie CS 42060, 10004 Troyes Cedex, France,
- $21 \qquad tel: (33) \ 3 \ 25 \ 75 \ 97 \ 28; \ fax: (33) \ 3 \ 25 \ 71 \ 84 \ 56$
- 22 E-mail: <u>elena rodica.ionescu@utt.fr</u>

23 Abstract

Incident light interacting with noble-metal nanoparticles with smaller sizes than the wavelength of 24 25 the incident light induces Localised Surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR). In this work a gold nanostructured surface was used for the immobilization of a 5' end Thiol modified DNA probe to 26 develop a LSPR nanobiosensor for the detection of the spoiler wine yeast Brettanomyces 27 bruxellensis. Gold was evaporated to obtain a gold thickness of 4 nm. 2 µL of the DNA from the 28 target microorganism and the negative control at various concentrations were used to test the 29 specificity and sensitivity of the LSPR technique. Changes in the optical properties of the 30 31 nanoparticles due to DNA-probe binding are reflected in the shift of LSPR extinction maximum (λ $_{max}$). The results obtained using as target microorganism *B. bruxellensis*, and as negative control *S*. 32 cerevisiae demonstrated the specificity of both the DNA-probe and the protocol. The LSPR 33 spectrophotometry technique detect 0.01 ng/µL DNA target confirming the possibility to utilise this 34 system for the detection of pathogen microorganisms present in low amount in food and beverage 35 36 samples.

37

38 Keywords: Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR), Brettanomyces bruxellensis,

39 genosensor, Scanning Electron Microscopy

40

42 **1. Introduction**

In the last decade the optical properties of noble metal nanoparticles that exhibit unique extinction spectra induced various authors to explore alternative strategies for the development of optical biosensors. The interaction between metals and biomolecules opened a wide field of applications for biosensors, from ecology, to medicine and food analysis. Moreover, optical properties of gold nanostructures, which show high chemical stability, allowed the utilization of Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance (LSPR) for the construction of sensitive biosensors [1].

LSPR biosensors, analogously to SPR sensors, based on LSPR spectroscopy, transducing small 49 50 changes in the refractive index near a nanoscale noble metal surface into a measurable wavelength shift [2, 3]. LSPR is a collective oscillation of the conduction band electrons at the nanoparticles' 51 surface that develops when incident electromagnetic radiation is of appropriate frequency. The 52 properties of the particles (i.e. size, shape and dielectric function) influence the plasmonic 53 oscillation that occurs at a specific resonance wavelengths [4]. The possibility to change these 54 parameters allows the application on various fields such as DNA detection. Biological molecules 55 can be detected as their presence induces a modification of refractive index near the metal surface. 56 All conditions and parameters have to be optimized to obtain repeatability and sensitivity of the 57 58 LSPR biosensors. Metal nanoparticles size, shape, interparticle separation, and metal nanoparticle 59 fabrication parameters (time, temperature, thickness of metal deposition layer, etc.) are important points to optimize for the obtained specificity and sensitivity. Thus the homogeneity of the 60 nanoparticles has to be evaluated, mostly by using a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). 61

One important characteristic of the LSPR biosensors is the high sensitivity that they can reach due to the interaction of the biomolecules with highly localized fields, one application being the detection of DNA. As demonstrated by Spadavecchia et al. [5] using labelling strategies such as the utilization of gold nanorods (AuNRs) and gold nanostars (AuNSs) the LSPR biosensor reach a high sensitivity. One other characteristic of these biosensors is that they can be useful for high throughput monitoring both in proteomics and DNA research [6] promising screening platforms in a highly minaturized format that requires small volumes of analyte solutions [7, 10]. The common way to detect microorganisms present in samples using DNA as target is based on the utilization of one labeled DNA molecules, mostly by fluorescent tags, to increase sensitivity.

Common methods based on ELISA assays, and PCR using the specific hybridization of the target
DNA to one or two specific probes, while when biosensors use the amplicon as a target, the
amplification step cause a delay in the achievement of the results [8].

The aim of this work was the development of LSPR nanobiosensors for the rapid and sensitive detection of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*, a spoiler yeast worldwide well-known to produce unpleasant aromas in wine. As Au NPs have been the system-of-choice in preparation of LSPR biosensors, a gold nanostructured surface was prepared and used for the immobilization of either a specific or non-specific DNA probe used as receptor for the target DNA molecule extracted from wine yeasts.

81

82 2. Materials and methods

83 2.1 Materials and equipment

The reagents utilised for the preparation of the buffer solutions listed below were acquired from Sigma–Aldrich (Switzerland). Three buffers: 1X PBS (10X, NaCl 1.5 M, Na₂HPO₄ anhydrous 81 mM, NaH₂PO₄ anhydrous 19 mM); 1X SSPE (20X: NaCl 3M, NaH₂PO₄ anhydrous 230 mM, EDTA x 2H₂O 25 mM and 1X TRIS-HCl (10X, Tris-HCl 0.5 M) were compared for their contribution over the biofunctionalization steps of the gold nanostructured surfaces. A complex made using the Thiol capture probe at 100 ng/ μ L and DNA from the target yeasts at 10 ng/ μ L were used to select the buffer for the hybridisations.

91 All LSPR measurements were obtained by using a home-built optical extinction setup [9].

92 2.2 Preparation of gold nanoparticles on glass slides and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)
93 measurements

Glass slides (Carol Roth & Co. KG, Germany) were cut at the size of about $25 \times 8 \text{ mm}^2$ with a diamond tip, washed in a solution of Decon 90 and deionized water (18.2 Ω) (2:8, v/v ratio) into an ultrasonic water bath at 50°C for 15 min according to [10].

Commercial TEM copper grids (200 mesh, diameter of 3.05 mm grids)(Strata TekTM Double 97 Folding Grids, Ted Pella, Inc.) with double folding were fixed with scotch-tape onto the processed 98 glass slides for a maximum of 3 double grids located on the same glass slide prior to gold 99 100 evaporation, to obtain a pattern of 100 or 200 well per grid. Gold was evaporated by using a MEB 400 (PLASSYS, France) evaporator at the following conditions: 1.0 x 10⁻⁶ Torr at 40°C, and an 101 evaporation rate of 0.08 nm/s to obtain a gold thickness of 4 nm. After evaporation, the TEM masks 102 103 were removed and the gold covered glass slides were transferred in an oven (Naberthem, Germany) at 500 °C for 8 h to allow the formation of the gold nanopaticles (NPs) by thermal annealing before 104 105 a washing step with an acetone-ethanol (1:1) mixture in an ultrasonic water bath at 30 °C for 30 min. To verify the gold surface of bare gold nanoparticles and their interspatial distance Scanning 106 Electron Microscope analysis were made using a field emission scanning electron microscopy 107 108 (Raith, SEM-FEG-eLine, France) at the following conditions: accelerating voltage of 10 kV, 109 working distance of 8.8 mm, samples covered with an ultrathin layer (3 nm) of palladium by sputter-coating to suppress the charging effect. 110

111 *2.3 DNA probes*

112 The specific DNA probe for *B. bruxellensis* (Thiol-Brett-probe)(MWG, GmbH Ebersberg, 113 Germany) [11, 12] was modified at 5' end by the addition of a thiol group: 5'-ThiC₆-114 TGTTTGAGCGTCATTTCCTTCTCAThiC6TGTTTGAGCGTCATTTCCTTCTCACTATTTAGT 115 GGTTATGAGATTACACGAGG -3'.

116 A DNA sequence complementary to the Thiol-labelled probe was used as a positive control. The 117 Thiol-Brett-probe was used at 100 ng/ μ L, while the sequence complementary to the capture probe 118 was standardized at 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/ μ L prior to be used.

119 2.4 Biofunctionalization of gold nanoparticles

The immobilization of the DNA probes on the surface of the gold nanoparticles (NPs) was realized through thiol chemistry. The Thiol-Brett probe (capture probe) was used at 100 ng/ μ L.Then, 3 μ L of the capture probe, previously denatured at 95°C for 5 min, were allowed to bind to the gold nanoparticles using 1X SSPE buffer at 4°C for 1, 2, and 6 h each, in order to optimize the probe-NPs hybridization conditions for the biofunctionalization of the glass slides.

125 *2.5 Microorganisms and DNA samples analysis*

Pure yeast strains cultures of Brettanomyces bruxellensis DSM70726 (Deutsche Sammlung von 126 Microorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbM, Braunschweig, Germany) as positive sample, and 127 128 Saccharomyces cerevisiae DSM70424 as negative sample, were used for testing the specificity and sensitivity of the biosensor. The yeasts were cultured in Malt Extract broth (Oxoid, Milan, Italy) 129 130 and Malt Extract Agar (Oxoid) prior to be used for the experiments. The DNA of the reference strains was extracted and purified from one millilitre of overnight broth culture using the Wizards 131 132 Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Milan, Italy) [11]. The purity and concentration of the 133 DNA samples were evaluated by using a Varian Cary 100 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies). DNA samples were standardized using sterile ddwater. 134

LSPR experiments were carried out using 2 μ L of the DNA sequence complementary to the capture probe (positive control) at 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/ μ L to optimize the sample-capture probe hybridization step. Finally, 2 μ L of the DNA samples from two selected yeasts (*B. bruxellensis* and *S. cerevisiae*) at 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/ μ L were used to test the specificity and sensitivity of the LSPR technique. Both the complementary sequence and DNA samples from *B. bruxellensis* and *S. cerevisiae* were added to the biofunctionalized glass slide after denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, and allowed to hybridize to the capture probe for 2 h at room temperature.

142 2.4 LSPR measurements and processing data

The LSPR measured the extinction spectrum (absorption + scattering) of the nanoparticles obtained 143 by recording the intensities of transmitted radiation for the different wavelengths of a white light 144 source. The wavelength shift and optical density for bio-functionalization steps were compared. 145 Changes in the optical properties of the nanoparticles due to DNA-probe binding are reflected in the 146 shift of LSPR extinction maximum (λ_{max}). Each different concentration of the DNA was deposited 147 onto one TEM grid. Specifically, four patterns were chosen for each grid located on the glass slide, 148 and LSPR measurements on the same patterns after bio-functionalization steps were made. The 149 150 resulted LSPR spectra were measured and compared with those ones of clean NPs (NPs with no 151 linked DNA-probe). SpectraSuite-Spectrometer Operating Software was used to acquire LSPR data 152 and register the maximum spectra extinction. Furthermore, all the aquired data were processed with 153 software Origin Pro 8.5.

154

155 **3. Results and Discussions**

156 *3.1 Optimization of the buffer for LSPR measurements*

The standard extinction spectrum of bare NPs measured from four patterns /TEM grid, among 3 157 158 independent grids, had an average of 552.76 nm with an Optical Density (OD) of 0.2094. 159 Generally, after each biofunctionalization using the DNA-probe complex of Au NPs, the three resulted resonant wavelengths had a red shift compared to bare NP's. Thus, the average wavelength 160 161 of the DNA samples in the presence of TRIS-HCl was 557.43 nm, of PBS was 566.33 nm and of SSPE was 574.45 nm, respectively (Table 1). Such results imply a difference of the peak shift when 162 using gold nanoparticle of 4.67 nm, 13.57 nm and 21.69 nm, for TRIS-HCl, PBS, and SSPE buffer, 163 respectively. Interestingly, the 1X SSPE buffer showed the highest amplitude peak with 0.3545 OD 164 and the greatest increase of LSPR spectra, thus it was selected for the subsequent experiments. The 165 LSPR extinction spectra measured for pure NPs (Au NPs) and biofunctionalized Au NPs using the 166 TRIS-HCl, PBS and SSPE buffers at the same conditions are reported in Figure 1. 167

169 *3.2 SEM characterization*

By using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) imaging characterization, it was found that gold nanoparticles are homogeneous prepared at 500°C. Thus, such gold structured substrates were chosen for LSPR experiments (Figure 2).

- 173
- 174 *3.3 Effect of the time and temperature on biofuncionalization*

The average wavelength extinction for the bare AuNPs before functionalization was 555.75 nm. 175 176 The average wavelength red shift recorded increased accordingly after the capture probe 177 immobilization onto the AuNPs, (Figure 3). After 1 h the average wavelength was 560.73 nm, while after 2 h it was 566.50 nm and finally, after 6 h it was 569.23 nm. In other words the shift calculated 178 from bare NPs was 4.98 nm, 10.75 nm and 13.48 nm respectively (Table 2). On other hand, the OD 179 180 values increased from 0.2928 OD for AuNPs to 0.3873 OD after hybridization of the probe after at 181 6 h. Based on the data reported previously the time selected for the immobilization of the capture probe was 1 h because it was considered sufficient to obtain a shift that could indicate the binding 182 183 of the DNA probe to the NPs.

184

185 *3.4 Short complementary sequence*

The average wavelength extinction was 554.72 nm and the OD was 0.2597 OD for Au NPs. The average wavelength extinction for the biofunctionalized glass surface was 557.5 nm, and after short sequences hybridization at 0.01 ng/ μ L, 0.1 ng/ μ L, 1 ng/ μ L and 10 ng/ μ L was, 557.93 nm, 558.01 nm, 559.37 nm and 560.89 nm respectively, as reported in Table 3. Slight shifts were obtained for the short sequences as shown in Figure 4.

191

192 *3.5 Specific detection of B. bruxellensis*

193 The average wavelength for bare NPs was 546.00 nm, and 549.87 nm after biofunctionalization.

The average wavelength extinction corresponding to the hybridization of *B. bruxellensis* DNA concentrations of 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/ μ L was 551.23 nm, 553.36 nm, 562.78 nm and 568.27 nm respectively, as reported in Table 4. The red shift obtained from the described concentrations was 3.87 nm. 5.23 nm, 7.36 nm, 16.78 nm and 22.27 nm, as shown in Figure 5.

198

199 *3.6 Control experiments using DNA probe from S. cerevisiae*

The average wavelength obtained for S. cerevisiae used as negative control was 564.59 nm for the 200 Au NPs (Optical Density of 0.236), followed by a value of 568.62 nm after biofunctionalization, 201 202 and it was 569.57 nm, 568.77 nm, 569.58 nm and 569.23 nm using 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/µL DNA respectively, as reported in Table 5. The red shift obtained for the concentrations was 4.03 nm, 4.98 203 nm, 4.18 nm, 4.99 nm and 4.64 nm. The value of the extinction was not affected by the DNA 204 concentrations used, and the red shift was not relevant as shown in Figure 6. The results obtained 205 using as target microorganism B. bruxellensis, and as negative control S. cerevisiae demonstrated 206 the specificity of both the probe and the protocol. Moreover, the washing steps with ddH₂O, avoid 207 false signals due to the presence of target DNA not bound to the Au NPs annealed probe, A cut off 208 value for the extinction wavelength values obtained was defined due to the lck of a proportional 209 210 shift wavelength and optical density related to DNA concentrations used during the experiments. 211 This value allows to distinguish the positive samples from the negative one. The utilization of the LSPR technique for the detection of the DNA extracted from the wine spoiler yeast allowed to 212 213 avoid the utilization of fluorescence molecules in the protocol, and to reduce from two to one the number of DNA probes commonly utilised. In fact, it was sufficient to modify the 5'-end of the 214 215 probe to bind it to the gold surface to obtain a high sensitvity.

- 216
- 217

218 4. Conclusions

219 An optimized protocol for the LSPR-detection of *B. bruxellensis* onto gold nanostructured substrates by using a specific Thiol-labelled DNA probe is reported. Moreover, the LSPR 220 spectrophotometry technique confirmed it is possible to detect 0.01 ng/µL DNA target. A clear 221 discrimination between the specific hybridization with DNA B. bruxellensis and the nonspecific 222 binding with S. cerevisiae DNA was successfully demonstrated using the genomic DNA extracted 223 from the pure cultures and without the requirement of probe labeling procedures. Due to its high 224 sensitivity, the LSPR nanobiosensor could be an elegant alternative for the detection of pathogen 225 microorganisms present in low amount in food and beverage samples. 226

227

228 Acknowledgements

The authors thank the University of Technology of Troyes for the Stratégique Program 2009–2012 and the Region Champagne-Ardenne grants NANO'MAT for the electronmicroscopy characterization. Priya Vizzini thanks ERASMUS Programme for funding her stay at the Université de Technologie de Troyes between 28 of February to 31 of July, 2013. This work was performed in the context of the COST Action MP1302 Nanospectroscopy.

235 **REFERENCES**

[1] B. Sepulveda, P.C. Angelomé, L.M. Lechuga, L.M. Liz-Marzàn, LSPR-based nanobiosensors.
Nano Today 4 (2009) 244-251.

238

[2] A.J. Haes, R.P. Van Duyne, A higly sensitive and selective surface-enhanced nanobiosensor.

240 Mat. Res. Soc. Symp. Proc. 723 (2002) O3.1.

241

[3] L.S. Yung, C.T. Campbell, T.M. Chinowsky, M.N. Mar, S.S. Yee, Quantitative interpretation of
the response of surface plasmon resonance sensors to adsorbed films. Langmuir 14 (1998) 56365648.

245

[4] C. Tabor, R. Murali, M. Mahmoud, M.A. El-Sayed, On the use of plasmonic nanoparticle pairs
as a plasmon ruler: the dependence of the near-field dipole plasmon coupling on nanoparticle size
and shape. J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 1946-1953.

249

- [5] J. Spadavecchia, A. Barras, J. Lyskawa, P. Woisel, W. Laure, C.-M. Pradier, R. Boukherroub, S.
 Szunerits, Approach forplasmonic based DNA sensing: amplification of the wavelenght shift and
 simultaneous detection of the plasmon modes of gold nanostructure. Anal. Chem. 85 (2013) 32883296.
- [6] W.K. Jung and K.M. Byun, Fabrication of nanoscale Plasmonic Structures and their
 applications, Biomed Eng Lett 1(2011) 153-162.
- 256
- [7] I. Abdulhaim, M. Zourob, A. Lakhtakia, Overview of optical biosensing techniques, in: R.S.
- 258 Marks, D.C. Cullen, I. Karube, C.R. Lowe, H.H. Weetall (Eds), Handbook of Biosesors and
- Biochips, J. Wiley & Sons Ltd, West Sussex, England, 2007, pp. 413-446.

261 [8] Y. Hong, Y.-M. Huh, D.S. Yoon, J. Yang, Nanobiosensors based on localized Surface Plasmon

```
262 Resonance for biomarker detection. J. Nanomat. (2012) 1-13.
```

263

[9] K. Jia , J.L. Bijeon, P.M. Adam, R.E. Ionescu, Sensitive Localized Surface Plasmon Resonance
multiplexing Protocols, Anal. Chem. 84 (2012) 8020-8027.

266

- [10] K. Jia, J.L. Bijeon, P.M. Adam, R.E. Ionescu, A facile and cost-effective TEM grid approach
 to design gold nano-structured substrates for high throughput plasmonic sensitive detection of
 biomoleculest. Analyst 138 (2013) 1015-1019.
- 270
- 271 [11] F. Cecchini, M. Manzano, Y. Mandaby, E. Perelman and R.S. Marks, Chemiluminescent DNA
- optical fiber sensor for *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* detection, J. Biotechnol. 157 (2012) 25-30.

273

[12] F. Cecchini, L. Iacumin, M. Fontanot, P. Comuzzo, G. Comi, M. Manzano, Dot Blot and PCR
for *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* detection in red wine. Food Control, 34 (2013) 40-46.

276

Table 1: Plasmonic properties (extinction and wavelength) were measured in 4 patterns /grid
for each buffer using gold nanoparticle and the gold NPs annealed to DNA (10 ng/µL) - probe
(100 ng/ /µL).

	Resonan ext	t waveleng tinction of	gth (nm), n the patter	naximum ns:	Average wavelength extinction	Relative S Deviat	Standard ion %
Buffer	1	2	3	4		Wavelength	Extinction
TRIS-	556.27	557.05	557.05	559.37	557.43	0.24	5.31
HCl	0.25	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.24		
PBS	565.56	567.11	564.79	567.88	566.33	0.25	0.46
	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.29		
SSPE	574.07	575.61	574.84	573.30	574.45	0.17	1.70
	0.34	0.36	0.35	0.36	0.35		

283	Table 2. Plasmonic properties	extinction and wavel	length) of the biofunctionaliz	ation steps
-----	-------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	-------------

284 (hybridization of the probe at 100 ng/ / μ L) to the glass golden surface) were measured in 4

285 pattern after 1, 2 and 6 h.

Time	measur e	Resonan ex	t waveleng tinction of	th(nm), ma the pattern	aximum 1s	Average wavelength	Relative S Deviati	Standard ion %
		1	2	3	4	extinction	Wavelength	Extinction
1 h	λ	560.15	562.47	560.15	560.15	560.73	0.20	2.32
	OD	0.32	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.32		
2 h	λ	567.88	567.11	566.24	564.79	566.50	0.23	2.55
	OD	0.34	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.33		
6 h	λ	568.66	570.2	570.2	567.88	569.23	0.20	2.38
	OD	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.38		

288 Table 3. Plasmonic properties (extinction and wavelength) of the short sequences (SS)

289 (sequences complementary to the capture probe) were measured in four patterns after 1, 2

and 3 h hybridization. Capture probe (CP) was used at 100 ng/µL. Values of the short

291	sequences	are	expressed	in	ng/µL.
-----	-----------	-----	-----------	----	--------

292

sample	measure	Resonal ex	nt wavelen tinction of	gth(nm), n f the patter	naximum ms:	Average wavelength	Relative Standard Deviation (RSD%)	
		1	2	3	4	- extinction	Wavelength	Extinction
СР	λ	555.5	560.15	560.4	553.95	557.5	0.58	6.62
	OD	0.24	0.28	0.25	0.25	0.25		
SS	λ	557.82	557.05	558.6	558.27	557.93	0.12	4.98
0.01	OD	0.29	0.31	0.29	0.28	0.29		
SS	λ	556.27	559.37	559.37	557.05	558.01	0.28	7.51
0.1	OD	0.27	0.31	0.32	0.29	0.30		
SS	λ	558.6	559.37	560.15	559.37	559.37	0.11	7.24
1	OD	0.27	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.3		
SS	λ	560.15	560.82	560.92	561.69	560.895	0.11	5.82
10	OD	0.33	0.29	0.30	0.33	0.31		

293

- 295 Table 4. Plasmonic properties (extinction and wavelength) are measured in four patterns for
- 296 each DNA *B. bruxellensis* concentration used. Values of DNA samples are expressed in ng/μL.
- 297 Capture probe (CP) was used at 100 ng/µL.
- 298

sample	measure	Resonar ex	nt waveleng tinction of	gth(nm), m the pattern	aximum 1s:	Average wavelength extinction	Relative S Deviati	Standard ion %
		1	2	3	4		Wavelength	Extinction
СР	λ	548.52	550.07	550.07	550.85	549.87	0.17	1.85
	OD	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18		
DNA	λ	552.4	550.07	552.4	550.07	551.23	0.24	1.22
0,01	OD	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18		
DNA	λ	553.17	553.95	553.17	553.17	553.36	0.07	6.25
0,1	OD	0.19	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.19		
DNA	λ	563.24	560.15	565.27	562.47	562.78	0.37	8.61
1	OD	0.25	0,24	0.22	0.27	0.24		
DNA	λ	567.11	569.43	567.11	569.43	568.27	0.23	2.32
10	OD	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.26		

299 Table 5. Plasmonic properties (extinction and wavelength) are measured in four pattern for

300 each *S. cerevisiae* DNA concentration. Values expressed in ng/µL. Capture probe was used at

- **100 ng/μL.**

sample	measure	Resona	nt wavelen xtinction of	gth(nm), n f the patter	naximum ms:	AverageRelative StanwavelengthDeviation		tandard on %
		1	2	3	4	exunction	Wavelength	Extinction
СР	λ	570.75	568.72	567.88	567.13	568.62	0.27	1.67
	OD	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25		
DNA	λ	570	567.88	568.66	571.75	569.57	0.29	1.80
0,01	OD	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.25		
DNA	λ	568.34	567.88	567.88	570.98	568.77	0.26	4.51
0,1	OD	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.25		
DNA	λ	568.66	569.43	570.11	570.12	569.58	0.12	0.85
1	OD	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25		
DNA	λ	571.75	567.54	568.66	569.00	569.23	0.31	3.79
10	OD	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25		

305	Figure 1. LSPR spectra for bare gold nanoparticle and the gold NPs annealed to DNA (10
306	ng/ μ L) - probe (100 ng/ / μ L) using SSPE, PBS and Tris-HCl buffer at the same experimental
307	conditions.
308	
309	Figure 2. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM images) of bare are gold nanoparticles.
310	
311	Figure 3. LSPR spectra for immobilized probe at 100 ng/ μ L after 1, 2 and 6 h. Proportional
312	increase of the redshift wavelength with the time.
313	
314	Figure 4. LSPR spectra of short sequence complementary to the Thiol - Brett probe (100
315	ng/ μ L)used at 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/ μ L. A slight wavelength shift caused from the short length
316	of the sequences is present.
317	
318	Figure 5. Brettanomyces bruxellensis LSPR spectra of bare Au NPs, B. bruxellensis DNA at
319	0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10 ng/ μ L. The hybridisation between the gold surface linked DNA Thiol probe
320	and the yeast DNAs was conducted at room temperature for 2 h.
321	
322	Figure 6. S. cerevisiae LSPR spectra of bare Au NPs, S. cerevisiae DNA at 0.01, 0.1, 1 and 10
323	ng/ μ L. The hybridisation between the gold surface linked DNA Thiol probe and the yeast
324	DNAs was conducted at room temperature for 2 h.







Figure 2











Figure 5







Figure 6