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#### Abstract

Low-energy electronic structures in $A \mathrm{MnBi}_{2}$ ( $A=$ alkaline earths) are investigated using a first-principles calculation and a tight binding method. An anisotropic Dirac dispersion is induced by the checkerboard arrangement of $A$ atoms above and below the Bi square net in $A \mathrm{MnBi}_{2} . \mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ have a different kind of Dirac dispersion due to the different stacking of nearby $A$ layers, where each $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{Ca})$ of one side appears at the coincident (staggered) $x y$ position of the same element at the other side. Using the tight binding analysis, we reveal the chirality of the anisotropic Dirac electrons as well as the sizable spin-orbit coupling effect in the Bi square net. We suggest that the Bi square net provides a platform for the interplay between anisotropic Dirac electrons and the neighboring environment such as magnetism and structural changes.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Low-energy electrons obeying the relativistic Dirac equation have been reported in so-called Dirac materials such as graphene. ${ }^{1,2}$ Many intriguing physical properties of Dirac fermions have been investigated both theoretically and experimentally, including the unconventional quantum Hall effect, Klein tunneling, suppressed back scattering, and so on. ${ }^{3}$ Dirac materials are also reported in topological insulators, ${ }^{4-6}$ iron pnictides, ${ }^{7,8}$ organic conductors, ${ }^{9}$ inverse perovskite, ${ }^{10}$ and the $\mathrm{VO}_{2}-\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ interface, ${ }^{11}$ with the list rapidly growing these days. The effective Hamiltonian in all those systems is characterized by the Pauli matrices, which is essential to manifest spinor-related phenomena.

Recently, an anisotropic Dirac cone in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ was found by a density functional theory (DFT) calculation and confirmed by angle-revolved photoemission spectroscopy and quantum oscillations. ${ }^{12}$ It is characterized by linear dispersions with strong anisotropy in the momentum-dependent Fermi velocity. Such a Dirac band near the Fermi level mainly results from the Bi square net layer, ${ }^{13}$ and is responsible for a finite Berry phase in the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations ${ }^{12}$ and the linearfield dependence of the magnetoresistance. ${ }^{14}$ Similar behavior was also found in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ with the same Bi square net. ${ }^{15,16}$ This indicates that the low-energy electron motion in the Bi square net can be described by the Dirac Hamiltonian. So far, however, the origin of the Dirac fermions in the Bi square net has not yet been investigated clearly. So, this paper is devoted to studying the origin of the anisotropic Dirac electrons observed in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II explains the crystal structure of the two compounds and the details of the DFT calculation. In Sec. III, the DFT band structures containing the Dirac dispersion are presented. In Sec. IV, we clarify the mechanism of the anisotropic Dirac dispersion as well as the chirality of the Dirac electron by using a tight binding (TB) method. In addition, the effect of the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) of heavy Bi atoms will be investigated. Finally, we conclude our paper in Sec. V.

## II. CALCULATION METHOD

Figure 1 shows the crystal structures of $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ (SG 139, $\mathrm{I} 4 / \mathrm{mmm}$ ) and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ (SG 129, P4/nmm). Both compounds contain a square net of Bi atoms which are indicated by the red (dark gray) balls. The Sr or Ca atoms are located at the pyramid top of four base Bi atoms, where the vertical distance varies from 2.5 to $2.7 \AA$. Each Sr or Ca layer has a checkerboard ordering with respect to the Bi square net. There exist other buffer layers containing Mn-Bi tetrahedrons. So there are two types of Bi atoms in the unit cell: $\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ in the square net and $\mathrm{Bi}(2)$ in the $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Bi}$ layer.

The stacking configuration of the two alkaline earth atomic layers above and below the Bi square net is different for


FIG. 1. (Color online) Crystal structures of (a) $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and (b) $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$, whose crystal symmetries and atomic positions are listed in Table $\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{Bi}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Bi}(2)$ indicate the first and second types of Bi atoms, respectively. The orange (bright gray) line indicates the conventional unit cell. The primitive unit cell, which is used for the DFT calculation, has the same volume as that of the conventional unit cell for (b) but for (a), it is one-half of that.

TABLE I. Experimental structural parameters used for the DFT calculation. $\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Bi}(2)$ indicate the first and second types of Bi atoms, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1.

| Compound | $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 4 / \mathrm{nmm}(129)$ | $\mathrm{I} 4 / \mathrm{mmm}(139)$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 4.50 | 4.58 |
| $c(\AA)$ | 11.08 | 23.13 |
| $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Sr}$ | $2 c(0.25,0.25,0.724)$ | $4 e(0.0,0.0,0.1143)$ |
| Mn | $2 a(0.75,0.25,0.0)$ | $4 d(0.0,0.5,0.25)$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ | $2 b(0.75,0.25,0.5)$ | $4 c(0.0,0.5,0.0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}(2)$ | $2 c(0.25,0.25,0.1615)$ | $4 e(0.0,0.0,0.3265)$ |
| References | Ref. 17 | Ref. 18 |

$\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ from that of $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. As one can see in Fig. 1 or more schematically in Fig. 5, each $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{Ca})$ of one side appears at the coincident (staggered) $x y$ position of the same element at the other side. Due to this difference, $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}\right)$ has a body-centered (primitive) tetragonal Bravais lattice. The experimental structural parameters of $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ are listed in Table I.

The band structure calculations are performed with the full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave method implemented in the WIEK2K package. ${ }^{20}$ The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) by Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) is used for the exchange-correlation potential. ${ }^{21}$ The radius of the muffin tin is set to 2.5 a.u. for all atoms. For the charge self-consistent calculation, the number of $\mathbf{k}$ points used in the full Brillouin zone is 1000 . Due to the magnetic Mn ions, the spin-polarized calculation is carried out. In addition, the SOC is considered due to the presence of heavy Bi atom.

## III. DFT RESULTS

In $A \mathrm{MnBi}_{2}(A=\mathrm{Sr}$ and Ca$), \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ has a $3 d^{5}$ electron configuration. The calculated spin magnetic moment within the muffin-tin sphere is about $4 \mu_{B}$ for both compounds, which shows negligible changes under different magnetic configurations of Mn spins. In order to find the magnetic ground state, the total energies are calculated for various magnetic configurations such as ferromagnetic (FM), checkerboard-type antiferromagnetic (cAFM), and the stripe-type antiferromagnetic (sAFM) configurations. Their relative energies are listed in Table II. The ground state is found to be cAFM for both $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$. The relative stability listed in Table II is qualitatively consistent with the literature. ${ }^{13}$ The associated cAFM transition will be related to the magnetic transition observed at $\sim 290 \mathrm{~K}$ in the experiments. ${ }^{12}$ Under the cAFM order, the interlayer exchange interaction is so weak due to

TABLE II. Calculated total energies of the ferromagnetic (FM) and the stripe-type antiferromagnetic (sAFM) configurations with respect to the checkerboard-type antiferromagnetic (cAFM) configuration. The unit is eV per formula unit.

|  | cAFM | sAFM | FM |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ | 0.0 | 0.09 | 0.55 |
| $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ | 0.0 | 0.08 | 0.29 |

the large MnBi interlayer distance, and its contribution is as small as the order of magnitude of the energy tolerance ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{meV} / \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{u}$.). In our calculation we assumed an antiferromagnetic interlayer ordering of the Mn spins along the $z$ axis, which does not alter the main feature of the band structures. The total energy difference in Table II remains almost the same when taking into consideration the SOC.

As explained, two Sr [or $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{Bi}(2)]$ layers adjacent to the $\mathrm{Bi}(1)$ square net in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ coincide when projected along the $z$ axis, while the adjacent Ca layers are staggered in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. The total energy calculation is consistent with the experimental observation that $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ favors the coincident type, while $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ favors the staggered type. So it is likely that the stacking type is mainly determined by the ionic size. Interestingly, another structurally related compound $\mathrm{SrMnSb}_{2}$ with the same stacking type as $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ is known to exhibit the chain-type reconstruction of the Sb square net. ${ }^{19}$ However, $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ does not show any distortion in the Bi square net. The absence of such distortion in the Bi square net in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ is mainly due to the SOC. In fact we found that


FIG. 2. Band structures of (a) $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$, (b) $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. The checkerboard-type antiferromagnetic (cAFM) order is assumed, and $\mathrm{cAFM}+\mathrm{SOC}$ means the inclusion of the spin-orbit coupling (SOC). The contribution from the $\mathrm{Bi} p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals is indicated by the size of the points in the cAFM results.
soft phonon modes of $42 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( 5.3 meV ) lead to a chain-type reconstruction in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ without including the SOC , but they disappear when the SOC is included. Thus, strong SOC has an important role in the suppression of the chain-type distortion in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$.

Figure 2 shows the calculated band structures of $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ both without and with the SOC. Both compounds show spin-polarized Mn -driven bands near -3.0 eV and 1.0 eV with majority and minority spins, respectively. As indicated by the size of the points, the bands near the Fermi level arise mostly from the $\operatorname{Bi}(1) p$ orbitals which are weakly hybridized with Sr or $\mathrm{Ca} d$ orbitals. Near the Fermi level, two linear bands cross at a certain wave vector, $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$, along $\Gamma$ to M . When the SOC is included, the two linearly crossing bands change to quasilinear bands with a small SOC-induced gap of 0.05 eV . The SOC-induced gap is much larger at the $X$ point, so most of the states near the $X$ point are removed from the

Fermi level. This is because the electronic states have a smaller energy difference near the $X$ point than $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$, and thus the SOC splitting is more sensitive to the nonvanishing SOC interaction. This will be shown more clearly in Sec. IV C, based on the TB analysis and perturbation theory.

We identify the linearly crossing bands in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ with the fermionlike Dirac dispersion observed experimentally. ${ }^{12-16,22}$ In Fig. 3, we show the energy dispersion near the Dirac point at $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$ without including the SOC. Here $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are defined by $k_{1}=\left(k_{x}+k_{y}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ and $k_{2}=\left(-k_{x}+\right.$ $\left.k_{y}\right) / \sqrt{2}$, where $\left(k_{x}, k_{y}\right)$ corresponds to $(0,0)$ and $(\pi / a, \pi / a)$ at the $\Gamma$ and $M$ points, respectively. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the anisotropy, i.e., the ratio of Fermi velocities along the $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ directions, is as high as 50. Also the hole and electron bands touch at the Dirac point. In the case of $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$, shown in Fig. 3(b), the overall features in the energy dispersion near $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$ are similar to those of $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$. However, the gap introduced


FIG. 3. (Color online) Band energy surface (left-hand side) and dispersion curve (right-hand side) near the Dirac point for (a) SrMnBi $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and (b) $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2} . k_{1}$ is a coordinate along the $\Gamma-M$ direction, and its perpendicular axis is $k_{2}$, defined by $k_{1}=\left(k_{x}+k_{y}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ and $k_{2}=\left(-k_{x}+k_{y}\right) / \sqrt{2}$. Note the displayed range of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}: 0.283 \leqslant k_{1} \leqslant 0.297$ and $-0.10 \leqslant k_{2} \leqslant 0.10$ in units of $2 \pi / a$ with a ratio of $\Delta k_{2} / \Delta k_{1} \sim 14$. The cAFM configuration without SOC is assumed, and the $\mathrm{cAFM}+\mathrm{SOC}$ result is also shown by the dashed line in the right-hand side.


FIG. 4. (Color online) Calculated Fermi surfaces of $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. The direction of the reciprocal axis is provided between them. The zone center is regarded as the $\Gamma$ point. The color code indicates the carrier type of the Fermi surfaces. Yellow and pink surfaces correspond to hole and electron carrier types, respectively, while the opposite face of each Fermi surface is encoded by another color. A dashed arrow denotes a small protrusion near $k_{z}=0$, indicating a slight dispersion along the $z$ direction.
by the hybridization with the states from the alkaline earth atoms is rather different in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. For $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$, the zero-energy gap is found only at the $\mathbf{k}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$ point between $\Gamma$ and $M$, while it is found along a continuous line in the momentum space for $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. These reflect the important role of the arrangement of the alkaline earth atoms with respect to the Bi square net, which will be discussed in detail in Sec. IV A. In addition, when the SOC is included, a small gap is introduced at the Dirac point as mentioned above. However, the essential feature of the anisotropic Dirac dispersion is maintained.

Figure 4 shows the calculated Fermi surfaces by considering the SOC. Near $\Gamma$, hole pockets exist in both compounds. Around $X$ or $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$, there are strongly anisotropic pockets caused by the Dirac bands for $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. In addition, these are highly two dimensional, which is consistent with the result of Fig. 2 that the Dirac band is mainly caused by Bi $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals. Such Dirac fermions are supposed to dominate the transport property mainly because of their high Fermi velocities. From our calculation, the carrier type near the $X$ point is the electron type, and near the $\mathbf{k}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$ point, the hole type. Since the hole pocket at $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$ in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ is absent in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ (see Figs. 3 and 4), the hole carrier density is greater in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ than $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$. This may be related to an experimental result that positive (negative) thermopower is observed in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}\right){ }^{22}$

## IV. TB ANALYSIS

Our ab initio band structures for $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ indicate that the Dirac-like dispersion is mainly caused by the $\mathrm{Bi}(1) p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals. Depending on the stacking of Sr or Ca , they show a Dirac point or a continuous band crossing line. In order to understand the main mechanism for the different band structures, we carried out a TB analysis on both $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$. We construct the TB Hamiltonian of the Bi square net with and without including the interaction with the Sr or Ca atom, and discuss the mechanism for the Dirac-like electronic structures. In addition, the chiral nature of the Dirac electron as well as the effect of the SOC are investigated.


FIG. 5. (Color online) Bi square net containing (a) Sr and (b) Ca . The dashed line indicates the $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ unit cell due to the arrangement of the Sr or Ca atoms. The red and dark gray (bright gray) balls mean Bi and Sr or Ca atoms, respectively. The atomic coordinates are $\mathrm{Bi}^{A}(0,0,0), \mathrm{Bi}^{B}(a / 2, a / 2,0)$. The coordinates of Sr atoms are $\left(0, a / 2, \pm z_{\mathrm{Sr}}\right)$ with $z_{\mathrm{Sr}}=0.1143 c$, and those of Ca atoms are $\left(a / 2,0, z_{\mathrm{Ca}}\right)$ and $\left(0, a / 2,-z_{\mathrm{Ca}}\right)$ with $z_{\mathrm{Ca}}=0.224 c$, where the lattice constants $a$ and $c$ are given in Table I.

## A. Bi square net with $\sqrt{\mathbf{2}} \times \sqrt{\mathbf{2}}$ unit cell

Figure 5 represents the Bi square lattice interacting with the Sr or Ca atoms. Because of the unit cell doubling due to the Sr or Ca atoms, there are two Bi atoms at $(0,0,0)$ and $(a / 2, a / 2,0)$ in the primitive unit cell with the lattice constant $a$. They are denoted by $\mathrm{Bi}^{A}$ and $\mathrm{Bi}^{B}$, respectively. First, we study the pristine Bi square net without considering the Sr or Ca atoms. From the DFT result in the absence of the SOC, we have checked that the linearly crossing bands are mainly dominated by the Bi $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals, so we ignore the $p_{z}$ orbital in the TB analysis. Using the relevant $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals of each Bi atom as the basis, the Bloch function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}, \alpha=x, y} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \\
& \times\left[\phi_{\alpha}^{A}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})+e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \tau} \phi_{\alpha}^{B}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\tau)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau$ is given by $(a / 2, a / 2,0)$. $\phi_{x}^{i}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\phi_{y}^{i}(\mathbf{r})$ are the atomic $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals at the $\mathrm{Bi}^{i}$ atom with $i=A$ and $B$. We use an orthogonal basis set and consider the nearest neighbor interaction only. The resulting TB Hamiltonian for each $\mathbf{k}$ has the following form of dimension 4.

$$
H_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lccc}
\epsilon_{p} & 0 & V_{x x} & V_{x y} \\
0 & \epsilon_{p} & V_{y x} & V_{y y} \\
V_{x x} & V_{x y} & \epsilon_{p} & 0 \\
V_{y x} & V_{y y} & 0 & \epsilon_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $\epsilon_{p}$ is the onsite energy of the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals. The hopping term $V_{\alpha \beta}$ is

$$
V_{\alpha \beta}=\sum_{\langle\tau\rangle} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \tau}\left\langle\phi_{\alpha}^{A}(\mathbf{r})\right| H_{0}\left|\phi_{\beta}^{B}(\mathbf{r}-\tau)\right\rangle,
$$

where $\langle\tau\rangle$ means a summation over the four nearest neighbors. Employing the Slater-Koster parametrization, ${ }^{23}$ we obtain $V_{\alpha \beta}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{x x}=V_{y y}=2\left(t_{1 \pi}+t_{1 \sigma}\right) \cos \left(k_{x} a / 2\right) \cos \left(k_{y} a / 2\right) \\
& V_{x y}=V_{y x}=2\left(t_{1 \pi}-t_{1 \sigma}\right) \sin \left(k_{x} a / 2\right) \sin \left(k_{y} a / 2\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $t_{1 \pi}$ and $t_{1 \sigma}$ are the hopping parameters for the $\pi$ and $\sigma$ bonds.

One can express $H_{0}$ in a simpler form by using the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix $I$ and the Pauli matrix $\sigma_{x}$.

$$
H_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{lc}
\epsilon_{p} I & V_{x x} I+V_{x y} \sigma_{x} \\
V_{x x} I+V_{x y} \sigma_{x} & \epsilon_{p} I
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The orbitals in the two Bi types interact only through the offdiagonal blocks $V_{x x} I+V_{x y} \sigma_{x}$ whose eigenvalues are $V_{x x}+$ $\gamma^{\mathrm{orb}} V_{x y}$ with the relative phase $\gamma^{\mathrm{orb}}= \pm 1$ between the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals. Thus the eigenvalues of $H_{0}$ can be written as $\epsilon_{p}+\gamma^{\text {atom }}\left(V_{x x}+\gamma^{\text {orb }} V_{x y}\right)$, where $\gamma^{\text {atom }}= \pm 1$ denotes the relative phase between the two Bi types.

We estimated the values of $t_{1 \sigma}$ and $t_{1 \pi}$ as having a good agreement with the DFT band structures. The DFT calculation of the Bi square net was performed by considering wellseparated layers of the Bi square net, and the result is shown in Fig. 6(a). Bi $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ driven DFT band structures are well reproduced by using $t_{1 \sigma}=2.0 \mathrm{eV}, t_{1 \pi}=-0.5 \mathrm{eV}$. The TB band structure with $\epsilon_{p}=0$ is shown in Fig. 6(b). Additional DFT bands from the $p_{z}$ obital crossing the Fermi level are not considered in this TB analysis.

The linear crossing at the Fermi level is found in Fig. 6(b) due to a folding of the $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ bands from two Bi atoms. Such degeneracy appears at every $\mathbf{k}$ point in the Fermi level and produces a line-shape FS shown in Fig. 6(c). So the unit-cell


FIG. 6. The band structures of a single layer Bi square net. (a) The band structure obtained by the DFT method. The contribution from $\mathrm{Bi} 6 p_{x}$ and $6 p_{y}$ atomic orbitals are indicated by the size of the points. (b) The TB band structure considering only $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ orbitals with the hopping parameters $t_{1 \sigma}=2.0 \mathrm{eV}, t_{1 \pi}=-0.5 \mathrm{eV}$. (c) Fermi surface plot by the TB method.
doubling in the Bi square net makes the conduction and valence bands touch each other on the whole Fermi surface.

We now consider Sr atoms located at $\left(0, a / 2, \pm z_{\mathrm{Sr}}\right)$ in the unit cell, as shown in Fig. 5(a). According to the DFT results of $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$, it is mainly the $\mathrm{Sr} d$ orbitals which participate in the hybridization with the $\mathrm{Bi} p$ band near the Fermi level. The major contribution of the $\mathrm{Sr} d$ orbitals comes from the $z^{2}(\sim 20 \%)$ and $x z / y z(\sim 50 \%)$ orbitals, but there are also non-negligible contributions from $x^{2}-y^{2}$ and $x y(\sim 30 \%)$. So we consider all five $d$ orbitals for the TB Hamiltonian.

The Hamiltonian matrix of the SrBi lattice, whose dimemsion is 14 , is given below involving $H_{0}$.

$$
H_{\mathrm{SrBi}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
H_{0} & V_{\mathrm{SrBi}} \\
V_{\mathrm{SrBi}}^{\dagger} & \epsilon_{d} I
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, $\epsilon_{d}$ denotes the onsite energy of the $\mathrm{Sr} d$ orbital, and $I$ is the $10 \times 10$ identity matrix. $V_{\mathrm{SrBi}}$, the hopping term between the $\mathrm{Sr} d$ and $\mathrm{Bi} p$ orbitals, is the $4 \times 10$ matrix listed in Table III.

Figure 7(a) shows the DFT band structure of the SrBi layer, again adopting periodic boundary condition along the $z$ direction with enough of a vacuum region. We choose $\epsilon_{d}=4 \mathrm{eV}$ to represent the $\mathrm{Sr} d$ states in the TB Hamiltonian, and $u_{\sigma}=1.5 \mathrm{eV}, u_{\pi}=-0.5 \mathrm{eV}$ in $V_{\mathrm{SrBi}}$. As shown in


FIG. 7. (Color online) The band structures of the SrBi lattice shown in Fig. 5(a). (a) The DFT band structure showing the contributions of the $\mathrm{Bi} 6 p_{x}$ and $6 p_{y}$ orbitals. (b) The TB band structure. (c) $E\left(k_{x}, k_{y}\right)$ plot near the Dirac point. (d) The anisotropic Dirac dispersion showing the bands along the $\Gamma-M$ direction $\left(k_{0}+\right.$ $\left.\delta k, k_{0}+\delta k\right)$ and its perpendicular direction $\left(k_{0}+\delta k, k_{0}-\delta k\right)$.

TABLE III. Matrix elements of $V_{\mathrm{SrBi}}$ with coefficients $c_{1}=(\sqrt{3} / \sqrt{2}) u_{\sigma}, c_{2}=\sqrt{2} u_{\pi}, c_{3}=(\sqrt{2} / 4) u_{\sigma}-(\sqrt{3} / \sqrt{2}) u_{\pi}, c_{4}=(\sqrt{6} / 4) u_{\sigma}+$ $(1 / \sqrt{2}) u_{\pi}$, where $\kappa_{x}=k_{x} a / 2$ and $\kappa_{y}=k_{y} a / 2$. We have used $u_{\sigma}=1.5, u_{\pi}=-0.5$ for the $\sigma$, $\pi$ bonds, respectively, between $\operatorname{Sr} d$ and $\operatorname{Bi} p$ orbitals, where the resulting band structure is given in Fig. 7. Refer to Fig. 5(a) for the atomic structure.

|  |  | $\mathrm{Sr}^{\text {A }}\left(0, \frac{a}{2}, z_{\mathrm{Sr}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Sr}^{B}\left(0, \frac{a}{2},-z_{\mathrm{Sr}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | xy | $y z$ | $x z$ | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ | $z^{2}$ | $x y$ | $y z$ | $x z$ | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ | $z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}^{\text {A }}(0,0,0)$ | $x$ | 0 | 0 | $c_{1} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | 0 | 0 | $-c_{1} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ |
|  | $y$ | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{2} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $-c_{2} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{Bi}^{B}\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}, 0\right)$ | $x$ | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $c_{2} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | 0 | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $-c_{2} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $y$ | 0 | $c_{1} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $-c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $-c_{1} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $-c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ |

Fig. 7(b), a qualitative agreement between the DFT and the TB results is obtained. Around the linear crossing point, denoted by the circle in Fig. 7(b), the energy surfaces of two bands are plotted in Fig. 7(c). One can see that the degeneracy along the band crossing line is lifted except one point which induces the anisotropic Dirac cone, as is clearly shown in Fig. 7(c). The anisotropy of the momentum-dependent Fermi velocity is estimated to within an order of 10, as shown in Fig. 7(d).

In the description of $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$, the Ca atoms are located at $\left(a / 2,0, z_{\mathrm{Ca}}\right)$ and $\left(0, a / 2,-z_{\mathrm{Ca}}\right)$, as shown in Fig. 5(b). Similarly, the $\mathrm{Ca} d$ orbitals are hybridized with the $\mathrm{Bi} p$ orbitals. So a $14 \times 14 \mathrm{~TB}$ Hamiltonian $H_{\mathrm{CaBi}}$ is given below with the onsite energy $\epsilon_{d}$ of the $\mathrm{Ca} d$ orbital.

$$
H_{\mathrm{CaBi}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
H_{0} & V_{\mathrm{CaBi}} \\
V_{\mathrm{CaBi}}^{\dagger} & \epsilon_{d} I
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix elements of $V_{\text {CaBi }}$ are listed in Table IV.
In Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), we compare the band structures obtained by the DFT and TB methods, respectively. Qualitative agreement is obtained by using the TB parameters $u_{\sigma}=$ $1.2 \mathrm{eV}, u_{\pi}=-0.5 \mathrm{eV}$, and $\epsilon_{d}=4 \mathrm{eV}$. In contrast to the SrBi layer, the degeneracy along the line-type FS is not lifted, as can be seen from Fig. 8(c). Figure 8(d) clearly shows the almost zero gap along the continuous line ( $k_{0}+\delta k, k_{0}-\delta k$ ).

The distinct electronic structures between the SrBi and the CaBi lattices arise from the different arrangements of the alkaline earth atoms with respect to the Bi square net. This can be understood using perturbation theory for the first-order degenerate states. The two degenerate states along ( $k_{0}+\delta k, k_{0}-\delta k$ ) are unperturbed eigenstates. The perturbation potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ by the adjacent $A(=\mathrm{Sr}$ or Ca$)$ atomic layers gives the following energy eigenvalue difference (see
the Appendix for a detailed derivation).

$$
\delta \epsilon=8\left(I_{2}-J_{2}\right) \sin (\delta k) \sim\left(I_{2}-J_{2}\right) \delta k,
$$

where $I_{2}$ and $J_{2}$ are the coupling terms of $V$ between the next-nearest neighboring orbitals, as illustrated in Fig. 9. When two $A$ atomic layers have coincident stacking as in the case of SrBi , the perturbation $V$ results in $I_{2} \neq J_{2}$ as shown in Fig. 9(a). For this reason, a linear dispersion of $\delta \epsilon$ is obtained along ( $\left.k_{0}+\delta k, k_{0}-\delta k\right)$. This is consistent with the anisotropic Dirac cone feature of $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$. But, when two $A$ atomic layers have staggered stacking as in $\mathrm{CaBi}, I_{2}-J_{2}=0$, as is shown in Fig. 9(b). This causes $\delta \epsilon=0$. So the degeneracy is not lifted, which is consistent with the result for $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$.

## B. Chirality of Dirac electrons

In the anisotropic Dirac band of the SrBi lattice, the original two bands in the folded zone are associated with symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of two Bi sublattice orbitals. In other words, the eigenstate is $\left(\phi_{x}^{A}+\gamma^{\text {orb }} \phi_{y}^{A}\right)+\left(\phi_{x}^{B}+\gamma^{\text {orb }} \phi_{y}^{B}\right)$ at a certain $\mathbf{k}$ on one side of the Dirac point, while it is $\left(\phi_{x}^{A}+\gamma^{\mathrm{orb}} \phi_{y}^{A}\right)-\left(\phi_{x}^{B}+\gamma^{\mathrm{orb}} \phi_{y}^{B}\right)$ on the other side. A state with $\gamma^{\text {atom }}=1$ needs to be changed continuously to another state with $\gamma^{\text {atom }}=-1$ around the Dirac point. If we associate $\gamma^{\text {atom }}= \pm 1$ with up/down spinor states, the momentum $\mathbf{k}$ and the (pseudo)spinor are coupled to each other, giving rise to a specific chirality. Together with the linear variation of the energy eigenvalues with $\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$, this coupling is generally described by the Weyl equation, which involves the Pauli matrices $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{z}$. Such a Hamiltonian gives a value for Berry's phase of $\pi$ when a state is scattered back to the original $\mathbf{k}$ state while going around $\mathbf{k}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$. For this reason, the back scattering is suppressed, as is known for graphene

TABLE IV. Matrix elements of $V_{\text {CaBi }}$ with coefficients $c_{1}=(\sqrt{3} / \sqrt{2}) u_{\sigma}, c_{2}=\sqrt{2} u_{\pi}, c_{3}=(\sqrt{2} / 4) u_{\sigma}-(\sqrt{3} / \sqrt{2}) u_{\pi}, c_{4}=(\sqrt{6} / 4) u_{\sigma}+$ $(1 / \sqrt{2}) u_{\pi}$, where $\kappa_{x}=k_{x} a / 2$ and $\kappa_{y}=k_{y} a / 2$. We have used $u_{\sigma}=1.2, u_{\pi}=-0.5$ for the $\sigma, \pi$ bonds, respectively, between Ca $d$ and Bi $p$ orbitals, where the resulting band structure is given in Fig. 8. Refer to Fig. 5(b) for the atomic structure.

|  |  | $\mathrm{Ca}^{\text {A }}\left(\frac{a}{2}, 0, z_{\mathrm{Ca}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Ca}^{B}\left(0, \frac{a}{2},-z_{\text {Ca }}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | xy | $y z$ | $x z$ | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ | $z^{2}$ | $x y$ | $y z$ | $x z$ | $x^{2}-y^{2}$ | $z^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}^{\text {A }}(0,0,0)$ | $x$ | 0 | 0 | $-c_{1} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $c_{2} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | $y$ | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $-c_{2} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $c_{1} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $-c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ |
| $\mathrm{Bi}^{\text {B }}\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}, 0\right)$ | $x$ | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $-c_{2} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $c_{1} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ |
|  | $y$ | 0 | $-c_{1} \cos \kappa_{y}$ | 0 | $-c_{4} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | $c_{3} i \sin \kappa_{y}$ | $c_{2} i \sin \kappa_{x}$ | $c_{2} \cos \kappa_{x}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |



FIG. 8. (Color online) The band structures of the CaBi lattice shown in Fig. 5(b). The scheme is the same as that in Fig. 7, so refer to the caption of Fig. 7.
and materials with strong spin-orbit couplings. ${ }^{24}$ This also can explain the abnormal phase observed in the quantum oscillation experiments.

In order to study the chirality, we express an eigenstate as a superposition of $\phi_{1}=\phi_{x}^{A}+\gamma^{\text {orb }} \phi_{y}^{A}, \phi_{2}=\phi_{x}^{B}+\gamma^{\text {orb }} \phi_{y}^{B}$, that is, $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})=d_{1} \phi_{1}(\mathbf{r})+d_{2} \phi_{2}(\mathbf{r})=\left|d_{1}, d_{2}\right\rangle$. One needs to find a unitary matrix that transforms $\phi_{1} \pm \phi_{2}$ into spinor states with


FIG. 9. Illustration of local orbitals $\phi_{x+y}(\mathbf{r})$ on the Bi square net and their next-nearest hopping strengths $I_{2}$ (solid) and $J_{2}$ (dashed) which contribute to the formation of Dirac bands. The gray circles are the schematic representation of the perturbation potential by the stacked Sr or Ca layers. (a) SrBi lattice has the coincident stacking of Sr atoms near the Bi square net to induce different $I_{2}$ and $J_{2}$. (b) CaBi lattice has the staggered stacking of Ca atoms near the Bi square net to induce the same $I_{2}$ and $J_{2}$.
$\left\langle\sigma_{z}\right\rangle= \pm 1$. Such a transformation $T$ is given by

$$
T=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For a given $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$, one obtains the expectation values of $\sigma_{\alpha}$ as $\left\langle\sigma_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle d_{1}, d_{2}\right| T^{\dagger} \sigma_{\alpha} T\left|d_{1}, d_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\alpha=x, y, z$. For $\alpha=x, z$, they are evaluated as $\left\langle\sigma_{x}\right\rangle=d_{1}^{*} d_{1}-d_{2}^{*} d_{2},\left\langle\sigma_{z}\right\rangle=-d_{1}^{*} d_{2}-$ $d_{1} d_{2}^{*}$. For $\alpha=y,\left\langle\sigma_{y}\right\rangle=i\left(d_{1} d_{2}^{*}-d_{1}^{*} d_{2}\right)$, which vanishes as long as $d_{1}, d_{2}$ have the same imaginary value. Near the Dirac point, we calculate $n_{x}=\left\langle\sigma_{x}\right\rangle, n_{z}=\left\langle\sigma_{z}\right\rangle$ for each of the hole and electron TB states, to represent two-component vector fields $\left(n_{x}, n_{z}\right)$. As shown in Fig. 10(a), there are four Dirac points in the first Brillouin zone, $\left( \pm k_{0}, \pm k_{0}\right)$ with $k_{0}>0$. In addition, we define local $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ axes for each Dirac point as indicated in Fig. 10(a). As a function of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$, the vector field ( $n_{x}, n_{z}$ ) is represented in Figs. 10(b) and 10(c). For all cases, the vector rotates by $2 \pi$ along the closed $\mathbf{k}$ loop, i.e., it has a winding number of 1 . In addition, when $k_{2}=0$, the hole is purely a $\left\langle\sigma_{z}\right\rangle=1(-1)$ state at negative (positive) $k_{1}$ as we expect, but it is reversed for the electron case. So the chirality is the opposite for the hole state from the electron state. From Fig. 10(b), the arrow rotates clockwise as one follows the contour line counterclockwise in both hole and electron states around $\left(k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$ or $\left(-k_{0},-k_{0}\right)$. In contrast, around $\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$ or ( $k_{0},-k_{0}$ ), the rotation is the opposite, as shown in Fig. 10(c).

One can construct the effective Hamiltonian $H^{\text {eff }}=H_{\mathbf{k}}-$ $H_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}$ as a function of $\delta \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$,

$$
H_{\mathbf{k}}-H_{\mathbf{k}_{0}}=\sum_{\alpha=0, x, y, z} \delta \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha},
$$

where $\mathbf{v}_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=x, y, z$ are the velocity parameters and $\sigma_{0}$ is the unit matrix. ${ }^{7,9}$ Nonvanishing $\mathbf{v}_{0}$ means the tilting of the Dirac cone, i.e., electron-hole asymmetry. For the case of SrBi without an $\mathrm{SOC}, \mathbf{v}_{0}=0$, we simply use the $\left(n_{x}, n_{z}\right)$ results of Fig. 10 and assume an ideal elliptical shape for the energy contours in the limit $k_{1}, k_{2} \rightarrow 0$ in order to obtain an approximate form of $H^{\text {eff }}$ as below.

$$
H^{\mathrm{eff}}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=v_{1} \sigma_{z} k_{1}+v_{2} \sigma_{x} k_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
v_{1} k_{1} & v_{2} k_{2} \\
v_{2} k_{2} & -v_{1} k_{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are the Fermi velocities along the local unit vectors in the $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ directions, respectively. As shown in Fig. 10(d), positive (negative) eigenvalues of $H^{\text {eff }}$ make up the upper (lower) part of the anisotropic Dirac cone. By fitting to the TB results, the absolute magnitudes of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are approximately 16 and $0.4 \mathrm{eV} /(2 \pi / a)$, respectively. The sign of $v_{1}$ is always positive, but $v_{2}>0$ near $\pm\left(k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$ and $v_{2}<0$ near $\pm\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$.

## C. Spin-orbit coupling

The spin-orbit interaction is typically described by the following potential.

$$
H_{\mathrm{SO}}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{m_{e}^{2} c^{2}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d U(r)}{d r} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}
$$

Replacing the radial integration by an effective constant $\lambda_{\text {SO }}$, we assume $H_{\text {SO }}=\lambda_{\text {SO }} \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$.

(b) around $\left(k_{0}, k_{0}\right),\left(-k_{0},-k_{0}\right)$


(c) around ( $-\mathrm{k}_{0}, \mathrm{k}_{0}$ ), ( $\left.\mathrm{k}_{0},-\mathrm{k}_{0}\right)$

(d)

$$
H^{\mathrm{eff}}=v_{1} \sigma_{z} k_{1}+v_{2} \sigma_{x} k_{2}
$$



FIG. 10. (Color online) (a) Definition of local $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ axes with the origin at each of four Dirac points in the first Brillouin zone. The Dirac point is at the center of the elliptical schematic energy contour, where the first quadrant one is at ( $k_{0}, k_{0}$ ) with $k_{0}>0$. (b) and (c) show $\left(n_{x}, n_{z}\right)=\left(\left\langle\sigma_{x}\right\rangle,\left\langle\sigma_{z}\right\rangle\right)$ characters of the hole and electron states of the SrBi lattice by the TB method near the Dirac points $\pm\left(k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$ and $\pm\left(-k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$, respectively. The energy contours are built with an energy increase or decrease step of 0.001 eV relative to the Dirac point. (d) Hole and electron energy surfaces of the effective Hamiltonian assuming zero Dirac point energy.

The TB band structure of the single layer Bi square net is calculated with an additional term $H_{\text {SO }}$. The matrix elements of $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S}$ need to be found in the $\phi_{x, y, z}$ basis, instead of the usual spherical harmonics representation, $Y_{l}^{m}$. By using the relationships $\phi_{x}=\left(Y_{1}^{1}+Y_{1}^{-1}\right) / \sqrt{2}, \phi_{y}=i\left(Y_{1}^{1}-Y_{1}^{-1}\right) / \sqrt{2}$, $\phi_{z}=Y_{1}^{0}$, we can express $H_{\mathrm{SO}}$ in terms of the following basis for each of $\mathrm{Bi}^{i}(i=A$ and $B)$,

$$
\left|\phi_{x, \uparrow}^{i}\right\rangle,\left|\phi_{y, \uparrow}^{i}\right\rangle,\left|\phi_{z, \uparrow}^{i}\right\rangle,\left|\phi_{x, \downarrow}^{i}\right\rangle,\left|\phi_{y, \downarrow}^{i}\right\rangle,\left|\phi_{z, \downarrow}^{i}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\uparrow(\downarrow)$ indicates the spin. With this basis, we obtain $6 \times 6$ $H_{\text {SO }}$ for each atom.

$$
H_{\mathrm{SO}}=\lambda_{\mathrm{SO}}\left(\begin{array}{lccccc}
0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i & i & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$H_{\text {SO }}$ is added to the $12 \times 12 H_{0}$ which additionally takes into account the $p_{z}$ orbital and the spin degree of freedom. The calculated TB band structure is shown by the solid line in Fig. 11(b), where $\lambda_{\mathrm{SO}}=0.6, \epsilon_{x, y}=0.0, \epsilon_{z}=-0.5$. In addition, we have used $t_{1 z} \cos \left(k_{x} a / 2\right) \cos \left(k_{y} a / 2\right)$ with $t_{1 z}=2 t_{1 \pi}=-1$ for the $\pi$ interaction between $\phi_{z}^{A}$ and $\phi_{z}^{B}$. Excellent agreement with the DFT band structure is shown in Fig. 11(a).

The SOC-induced splitting does not need to occur at every $\mathbf{k}$ point where the $p_{x, y}$ band crosses the $p_{z}$ band. In order to explain this, we show the $\gamma_{\text {atom }}=-1(+1)$ bands whose local orbitals are given by $\phi_{x+y}^{A}+\gamma_{\text {atom }} \phi_{x+y}^{B}$ and $\phi_{z}^{A}+\gamma_{\text {atom }} \phi_{z}^{B}$ without taking into consideration $H_{\text {So }}$. Those bands have no hybridization with each other, as can be seen in Fig. 11(b). Along the direction from $\Gamma$ to $M$, each $\phi_{z}$ band crosses the $\phi_{x+y}$ bands twice. The leading contribution of the perturbation $H_{\text {SO }}$ is of second order, which is given by $\left.H_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(2)}=\sum_{m}^{\epsilon_{m} \neq \epsilon_{n}}\left|\left\langle\psi_{m}^{(0)}\right| H_{\mathrm{SO}}\right| \psi_{n}^{(0)}\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2} /\left(\epsilon_{n}^{(0)}-\epsilon_{m}^{(0)}\right)$. The main factor is due to the fact that $\left\langle\psi_{m}^{(0)}\right| H_{\mathrm{SO}}\left|\psi_{n}^{(0)}\right\rangle$ is nonvanishing only when the values of $\gamma_{\text {atom }}$ are equal to each other for $\psi_{m}^{(0)}$


FIG. 11. The band structures of the single layer Bi square net with SOC by (a) the DFT method and (b) the TB method. In (b) the sublattice symmetry $\gamma_{\text {atom }}=+1$ and -1 is indicated by the gray solid and dashed lines, respectively, when the SOC is not considered.
and $\psi_{n}^{(0)}$. For this reason, $H_{\mathrm{SO}}^{(2)}$ breaks the degeneracy only at the point where two crossing bands have the same $\gamma_{\text {atom }}$.

## D. Discussion

The linear crossing behavior along lines of high symmetry is quite common in (transition) metal compounds. But $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ possesses distinguishing features that cause the anisotropic Dirac fermions as observed in experiments. The main factors can be summarized as follows. First, the Bi $p$ band is folded due to the unit cell doubling. This gives rise to a linear crossing of folded bands without lifting the degeneracy, which is rather common. Second, the twofold rotational symmetry of the perturbing potential by adjacent atomic layers lifts the degeneracy except at the Dirac point. Third, and most importantly, the anisotropic Dirac cone mainly contributes to the electronic properties at the Fermi level. We have seen that most of the other bands are absent near the Fermi level. This is because the Mn-related bands are well spinpolarized and separated away from the Fermi level due to the antiferromagnetic ordering. In addition, the Dirac cone exhibits an exceedingly high Fermi velocity compared to the other Fermi pockets, dominating the electron transport properties. In these respects, $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ is quite unique.

The chirality that we obtained for SrBi , i.e., in Fig. 10, is almost identical to that of graphene. A superficial difference of $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ from graphene is that the Dirac cone is highly anisotropic. So, the contribution of the anisotropy to the transport properties deserves further investigation. In addition, it is not clear how the two types of Dirac cone shown in Figs. 10(b) and 10 (c) are related to each other. For example, two inequivalent Dirac cones in graphene are related by the time-reversal transformation. Furthermore, $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$ contains a significant SOC of Bi , in contrast to graphene. As one can see in Fig. 3(a), it has a sizable energy gap at the Dirac point and a significant electron-hole asymmetry due to the SOC. In such a case, Berry's phase cannot be quantized to be $\beta=\pi$, as it is in graphene; rather it should show a deviation from $\pi$.

We have not included the interlayer interaction in the TB calculation of the SrBi and CaBi layers. Such an omission is reasonable from the highly two-dimensional nature of the Fermi surfaces in the DFT result in Fig. 4. But it is far from being a completely two-dimensional system, as one can see a small protrusion near the $k_{z}=0$ for the anisotropic Fermi pocket in Fig. 4. A slight dispersion will originate from the indirect coupling through the insulating MnBi layers. In spite of there being little interlayer interaction, the anisotropic Dirac behavior is equally reproduced by the DFT method, i.e., the DFT results shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), in comparison with the TB results, Figs. 7(c) and 8(c), respectively. This suggests that the indirect coupling does not destroy the anisotropic Dirac nature, which explains the Dirac fermions observed in some three-dimensional systems such as iron pnictides, topological insulators, organic conductors, and so on.

## V. CONCLUSION

The DFT results show the presence of an anisotropic Dirac cone in $\mathrm{SrMnBi}_{2}$. But, in $\mathrm{CaMnBi}_{2}$ the band crossing occurs along a continuous line in momentum space. From the TB
analysis, we conclude that the anisotropic potential created by the Sr atoms is a main factor for the anisotropic Dirac band. Our study indicates that the nature of the Dirac dispersion is sensitive to the nearest-neighbor interaction in the Bi square net. So, it would be interesting to investigate the Dirac nature of the Bi square net with further changes, such as structural distortions or magnetism.
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## APPENDIX

A single Bi square net layer is regarded as an unperturbed system. At each $\mathbf{k}$ with $0 \leqslant k_{x}, k_{y} \leqslant \pi / a$, two bands touch at the Fermi wave vectors as shown in Fig. 6(c). Their symmetries are given by $\gamma^{\mathrm{orb}}=+1$ and $\gamma^{\text {atom }}= \pm 1$. The unperturbed eigenvalues are $\epsilon_{1}^{0}(\mathbf{k})=V_{x x}+V_{x y}$ and $\epsilon_{2}^{0}(\mathbf{k})=-V_{x x}-V_{y y}$. The only condition for the band crossing, i.e., $\epsilon_{1}^{0}(\mathbf{k})=\epsilon_{2}^{0}(\mathbf{k})$, is that $V_{x x}+V_{y y}=0$. This results in $\tan \left(k_{x} a / 2\right) \tan \left(k_{y} a / 2\right)=\left(t_{1 \sigma}+t_{1 \pi}\right) /\left(t_{1 \sigma}-t_{1 \pi}\right)$. Assuming $t_{1 \pi}=0$ to simplify our problem, we obtain $\cos \left(k_{x} a / 2+\right.$ $\left.k_{y} a / 2\right)=0$. As a result, the $\mathbf{k}$ line of the band crossing satisfies $k_{x}+k_{y}=\pi / a$. The Dirac point $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}=\left(k_{0}, k_{0}\right)$ has $k_{0}=\pi / 2 a$. In addition, from $\gamma^{\text {orb }}=+1$, the unperturbed eigenstates can be written as a linear combination of local orbitals, such as $\phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{R})=\left(\phi_{x}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})+\phi_{y}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R})\right) / \sqrt{2}$ and $\phi_{x+y}^{B}(\mathbf{R})=$ $\left(\phi_{x}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\tau)+\phi_{y}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-\tau)\right) / \sqrt{2}$ with the lattice vector $\mathbf{R}$ and $\tau=(a / 2, a / 2,0)$. So they are expressed by

$$
\psi_{j}^{0}(\mathbf{r})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{R}}\left[\phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{R})+\gamma_{j}^{\mathrm{atom}} \phi_{x+y}^{B}(\mathbf{R}) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \tau}\right] e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}^{\text {atom }}=1$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\text {atom }}=-1$ give the bonding and antibonding states, respectively.

Now we consider the perturbation by the additional potential of $A(=\mathrm{Sr}$ or Ca$)$ atomic layers. Each $A$ atomic potential is assumed to be an isotropic potential $v(r)$, to give the total potential $V(\mathbf{r})=\sum_{\mathbf{R}} v(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}-(a / 2) \hat{\mathbf{y}})$ for the case illustrated in Fig. 9. In order to get the energy shift due to the perturbation, we need to evaluate the matrix elements $V_{i j}=\left\langle\psi_{i}^{0}\right| V\left|\psi_{j}^{0}\right\rangle$ for $i, j=1,2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{i j}= & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{1}, \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}} e^{-i\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{R}_{2}\right) \cdot \mathbf{k}} \int d \mathbf{r} \\
& \times\left[\phi_{x+y}^{A}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)+\gamma_{i}^{\text {atom }} \phi_{x+y}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \tau}\right] \\
& \times V(\mathbf{r})\left[\phi_{x+y}^{A}\left(\mathbf{R}_{2}\right)+\gamma_{j}^{\text {atom }} \phi_{x+y}^{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}\right) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \tau}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

By assuming a short-ranged function $\phi_{x+y}^{A, B}(\mathbf{r})$, we consider the overlap integrals up to the second nearest neighbors, which are defined as follows.

Onsite:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0} & =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{0}) \\
& =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{B}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{B}(\mathbf{0})
\end{aligned}
$$

First nearest neighbor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{B}(\mathbf{0}) \\
J_{1} & =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{B}(-a \hat{\mathbf{x}})
\end{aligned}
$$

Second nearest neighbor:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{A}(a \hat{\mathbf{y}}) \\
& =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{B}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{B}(a \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \\
J_{2} & =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{A}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{A}(a \hat{\mathbf{x}}) \\
& =\int d \mathbf{r} \phi_{x+y}^{B}(\mathbf{0}) V(\mathbf{r}) \phi_{x+y}^{B}(a \hat{\mathbf{y}}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $I_{2} \neq J_{2}$ for the SrBi lattice, as illustrated in Fig. 9, but $I_{2}=J_{2}$ for the CaBi case. After a straight-
forward procedure, one can obtain the following matrix elements.

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{11}= & I_{0}+I_{1}\left[1+\cos \left(k_{x} a+k_{y} a\right)\right] \\
& +J_{1}\left[\cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\cos \left(k_{y} a\right)\right] \\
& +\left(I_{2}+J_{2}\right)\left[\cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\cos \left(k_{y} a\right)\right] \\
V_{22}= & I_{0}-I_{1}\left[1+\cos \left(k_{x} a+k_{y} a\right)\right] \\
& -J_{1}\left[\cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\cos \left(k_{y} a\right)\right] \\
& +\left(I_{2}+J_{2}\right)\left[\cos \left(k_{x} a\right)+\cos \left(k_{y} a\right)\right] \\
V_{12}= & V_{21}^{*}=2\left(I_{2}-J_{2}\right)\left[\cos \left(k_{y} a\right)-\cos \left(k_{x} a\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Along the band crossing line with respect to the Dirac point $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{0}}$, that is, $\mathbf{k}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta, \frac{\pi}{2}-\delta\right)$, this becomes $V_{11}=V_{22}=I_{0}$ with the only nontrivial element $V_{12}=V_{21}$. Diagonalizing $V$ gives the following energy eigenvalue shifts.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{i}^{0} & =I_{0}+(-1)^{i} 2\left(I_{2}-J_{2}\right)\left[\cos \left(k_{y} a\right)-\cos \left(k_{x} a\right)\right] \\
i & =1,2
\end{aligned}
$$

By using $k_{x}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}+\delta\right)$ and $k_{y}=\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\delta\right)$, with $\delta \ll 1$, we obtain the following relation:

$$
\delta \epsilon=\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{1}=8\left(I_{2}-J_{2}\right) \sin \delta \sim 8\left(I_{2}-J_{2}\right) \delta
$$

which has been double-checked by a numerical calculation.
*maxgeun@postech.ac.kr
$\dagger$ j jhshim@postech.ac.kr
${ }^{1}$ A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov, Nat. Mater. 6, 183 (2007).
${ }^{2}$ G. W. Semenoff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2449 (1984).
${ }^{3}$ A. H. Castro Neto et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109 (2009).
${ }^{4}$ C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 226801 (2005).
${ }^{5}$ B. A. Bernevig et al., Science 314, 1757 (2006).
${ }^{6}$ M. König et al., Science 318, 766 (2007).
${ }^{7}$ T. Morinari, E. Kaneshita, and T. Tohyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 037203 (2010).
${ }^{8}$ P. Richard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 137001 (2010).
${ }^{9}$ A. Kobayashi, S. Katayama, Y. Suzumura, and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76, 034711 (2007).
${ }^{10}$ T. Kariyado and M. Ogata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 083704 (2011).
${ }^{11}$ S. Banerjee, R. R. P. Singh, V. Pardo, and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 016402 (2009).
${ }^{12}$ J. Park et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 126402 (2011).
${ }^{13}$ J. K. Wang, L. L. Zhao, Q. Yin, G. Kotliar, M. S. Kim, M. C. Aronson, and E. Morosan, Phys. Rev. B 84, 064428 (2011).
${ }^{14}$ K. Wang, D. Graf, H. Lei, S. W. Tozer, and C. Petrovic, Phys. Rev. B 84, 220401 (2011).
${ }^{15}$ K. Wang, D. Graf, L. Wang, H. Lei, S. W. Tozer, and C. Petrovic, Phys. Rev. B 85, 041101(R) (2012).
${ }^{16}$ J. B. He, D. M. Wang, and G. F. Chen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 112405 (2012).
${ }^{17}$ E. Brochtel, G. Cordier, and H. Schäfer, Z. Naturforsch. B 35, 1 (1980).
${ }^{18}$ G. Cordier and H. Schäfer, Z. Naturforsch. B 32, 383 (1977).
${ }^{19}$ E. Brechtel, G. Cordier, and H. Schäfer, J. Less-Common Met. 79, 131 (1981).
${ }^{20}$ P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. K. H. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, computer program WIEN2K, Vienna University of Technology, 2001.
${ }^{21}$ J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
${ }^{22}$ K. Wang, L. Wang, and C. Petrovic, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 112111 (2012).
${ }^{23}$ J. C. Slater and G. F. Koster, Phys. Rev. 94, 1498 (1954).
${ }^{24}$ T. Ando, T. Nakanishi, and R. Saito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 2857 (1998).

