ICEBO2006,	Shenzhen,	China
------------	-----------	-------

Heating technologies for energy efficiency Vol.III-1-3

An Energy Analysis of the Catalytic Combustion Burner

Qingshan Dong	Shihong. Zhang	Zhiyin Duan	Qi Zhou
Postgraduate	Ph. D.	Destaraduata	Senior
	Professor	Posigraduate	Engineer
Department of Metrop	olitan Construction Engine	eering, Beijing Institute o	of Civil Engineering &
	Archite	ecture	
	Beijing P. R. C	china, 100044	

donggsh83@sina. com

Abstract: The gas boilers of conventional flame always produce varying degrees of combustion products NOx and CO, which pollute the environment and waste energy. As a new way of combustion, catalytic combustion breaks the flammable limits of conventional flame combustion, and realizes the combustion of ultra-natural gas/air mixture under the flammable limits. Its combustion efficiency is higher, which improves the ratio of energy utilization. Applying the catalytic combustion to gas boilers could solve the gas boilers' lower combustion efficiency, and achieve energy savings. On the basis of the catalytic combustion burner, the catalytic combustion burner was designed according to the catalytic combustion and water heaters. In this paper, we analyzed the heat loss and thermal efficiency of the catalytic combustion burner, and compared it to that of flame combustion boilers. The results showed that catalytic combustion burner 's heat loss is not so high as originally considered, and its pollutant emissions are lower.

Key words: catalytic combustion, flame combustion, heat loss, energy saving, near-zero pollutant emission

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to satisfy indoor comfortable condition, the buildings of northern areas need to be heated in winter, so the large and small heating boilers come into running which brings about the degradation of air quality and energy shortage in heating season. The public have been aware of the environmental pollution caused by coal boilers, and some areas have changed partial coal boilers into gas or oil boilers. But none of the areas changed the flame combustion way of conventional boilers. In deed, the flame combustion way has its own disadvantages. In the way of flame combustion, the combustion temperature is always above 1800K, however, the production rate of NOx will increase rapidly with temperature beyond 1500K^[1]. The gas boilers burn clean energy-natural gas, but they will also produce many NOx pollutants. In addition, the combustion efficiency of flame is lower, which brings the energy waste. With the increasing of energy saving and environmental protection, it is necessary to change the flame combustion way of gas boilers.

As a new way of combustion, the catalytic combustion reduces the light-off temperature, and deepens the oxidation extent as well as improves the reactant conversion, in the process of catalytic reaction. Meanwhile, it controls the production of the thermal reaction NOx by weakening the combustion peak value ^[2]. So the conversion of natural gas and combustion efficiency in catalytic combustion are higher, and the concentration of pollutants is lower.

The catalytic combustion's applications can be found in a number of domestic (Ro et al., 1997) and industrial process heaters (Seo et al., 1999; Griffin and Wood, 2001) in the outland ^[3]. In our country, the applications of catalytic combustion will also be an irresistible tendency. And the application of catalytic combustion to gas boilers will improve the combustion efficiency, and reduce NOx emission.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

The experiments were carried out in catalytic combustion burner , 450*200*700mm. And it is a water heater, consisting of catalytic reactor (2.4cm²) and heat exchanger. The reactor used honeycomb catalytic monolith, which is coated with catalyst Pt.

Catalytic combustion burner is a cylindrical burner, and its height is 100mm and sectional diameter is 100mm. Compared with burner , burner

alleviates the impact of mixture flow on the catalyst by improving the injector, and has the water heater's structure by connecting with the water system.



Fig. 1 Diagram of catalytic combustion burner

1-Burner 2-Calorimeter 3-Fan

4-Rotameter 5-Wet gas meter

6-Gas analysis meter

The reactant gas feeds of natural gas and air were regulated via wet gas meter and LZB-15 glass rotameter, 4-1200 l/h and 0-16 m³/h of full-scale range, respectively. The parameters of water were measured via calorimeter, while the dry gas product

Tub. 1 Compositions of natural gas								
Compositions	CH ₄	C_2H_6	C_3H_8	i-C ₄ H ₁₀	n-C ₄ H ₁₀	CO ₂	N ₂	O ₂
Volume fraction (%)	93.908	0.951	0.198	0.012	0.011	2.657	1.894	0.369
Lower heating value (kJ Nm ⁻³)	35906	64397	93244	122857	123649	0	0	0
Theoretical air (Nm ³ Nm ⁻³)	9.137							
Average lower heating value (MJ m ⁻³)	34.54							

Tab. 1 Compositions of natural gas

flow of catalytic combustion was analyzed via the MIS gas analysis meter. Fig. 1 shows the diagram of the experiments (The broken line connected to the calorimeter is the data line of inlet water).

Table 1 shows the compositions of natural gas obtained via gas chromatograph.

3. HEAT LOSS CALCULATIONS

For gas boilers, heat balance equation ^[4] is given by:

$$Q_r = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_5$$

where Q_r (in kJ m⁻³) is the lower heating value of natural gas injected into the boiler, Q_1 (in kJ m⁻³) is the available heat of the boiler, Q_2 (in kJ m⁻³) is the waste heat loss, Q_3 (in kJ m⁻³) is the heat loss of incomplete combustion for gas, and Q_5 (in kJ m⁻³) is the external heat loss.

3.1 Combustion Efficiency

In the experiments, the exhaust parameters consisting of pollutant concentrations of CO and NO and exhaust temperatures, were obtained via the gas analysis meter at the inlet of chimney. The concentrations of CO and NO reflect the pollutant emissions of catalytic combustion burner , and the exhaust temperature reflects the waste heat loss.

Table 2 shows the exhaust parameters for 5% of the gas/mixture ratio at five velocities of natural gas flow rate varying between 1.00×10^4 and 1.67×10^{-4} m³ s⁻¹, i.e. at five power inputs varying between 3.45 and 5.76kw. The gas/mixture ratio is defined by the percentage of gas in natural gas/air mixtures.

Tab. 2 The exhaust parameters for catalytic combustion burner II

Natural gas	Power				Exhaust		
flow rate $\times 10^4$	input	NO	CO	SO_2	temperature		
$(m^3 s^{-1})$	(kw)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(°C)		
1.00	3.45	3	1	1	73		
1.17	4.03	2	1	1	90		
1.33	4.61	2	0	2	93		
1.50	5.18	0	0	2	106		
1.67	5.76	0	0	4	114		

In the way of flame combustion, the combustion efficiency of gas boilers is 99%~99.5% in better burning conditions, so $q_3 (Q_3 / Q_r)$ of flame burners is always between 0.5% and 1.0% ^[7]. However, the catalytic combustion burner can achieve the complete combustion of natural gas in the catalytic

combustion way, and the combustion efficiency is very high and can reach 99.9%^[5]. So q_3 of catalytic combustion burner is considered to equal 0.1%, which is lower 0.4~0.9% than flame boilers.

Considering the results of table 2, the concentrations of combustion products NO and CO are 3 and 1ppm in the experiments, respectively, which are consistent with those measured by MA. So catalytic combustion burner is considered to achieve the near-zero pollutant emissions.

3.2 Combustion Characteristics

Due to the oxygen-enriched combustion way of catalytic combustion burner , the excess air coefficients and volume fractions of each combustion product are quite different from those of conventional gas burners. So, in order to gain the waste heat loss of catalytic combustion burner , the excess air coefficients and volume fractions should be calculated firstly.

In table 3, the excess air coefficients were calculated for different gas/mixture ratios according to the definitions.

Tab. 3 The excess air coefficients for different gas/mixture ratios

Gas/mixture ratio (%)	Actual air (Nm ³ Nm ⁻³)	Theoretical air (Nm ³ Nm ⁻³)	Excess air coefficient
5.0	19.0	9.137	2.08
5.5	17.2	9.137	1.88
6.0	15.7	9.137	1.71
6.5	14.4	9.137	1.57

According to table 1 and chemical equations of each composition for 1m^3 of natural gas, the compositions of combustion products could be obtained for different gas/mixture ratios. Table 4 shows the compositions for the very lean mixture of 5%, i.e. the maximum excess air coefficient $\alpha = 2.08$.

From table 4, we could find that the O_2 volume fraction in the exhaust for catalytic combustion burner is about 10%, however that for flame combustion burners is about 2~4%. It is much higher than that for flame combustion burners, due to the oxygen-enriched combustion way in catalytic combustion burner .

3.3 Waste Heat Loss

Because the carbon loss for gas boilers equals 0, the expression of waste heat loss for gas boilers is ^[4]:

Name	Units	The expressions	Results
Theoretical air	Nm ³ Nm ⁻³	$\frac{1}{21} \left(0.5H_2 + 0.5CO + \sum \left(m + \frac{n}{4} \right) C_m H_n + 1.5H_2 S - O_2 \right)$	9.137
Gas/mixture ratio	%	Given	5.0
Excess air coefficient		Table 3	2.08
Actual H ₂ O volume	Nm ³ Nm ⁻³	$0.01 \left(H_2 + H_2 S + \sum \frac{n}{2} C_m H_n + 124 d_g \right) + 0.0161 \alpha V^0$	2.222
Actual N ₂ volume	Nm ³ Nm ⁻³	$0.79\alpha V^0 + N_2$	15.029
Actual O ₂ volume	Nm ³ Nm ⁻³	$0.21(\alpha - 1)V^0$	2.071
Actual RO ₂ volume	Nm ³ Nm ⁻³	$0.01 \left(CO + CO_2 + \sum mC_mH_n + H_2S \right)$	0.992
Actual exhaust volume	Nm ³ Nm ⁻³	$V_{RO_2} + V_{N_2} + V_{H_2O} + V_{O_2}$	20.313
N ₂ Volume fraction	%	V_{N_2}/V_y	73.987
O ₂ Volume fraction	%	V_{O_2}/V_y	10.195
RO ₂ Volume fraction	%	V_{RO_2} / V_y	4.881
H ₂ O Volume fraction	%	V_{H_2O} / V_y	10.937

Tab. 4 Combustion characteristics of natural gas at $\alpha = 2.08$

$$q_2 = \frac{Q_2}{Q_r} \times 100 = \frac{(I_{py} - \alpha_{py} I_{lk}^0)}{Q_r} \times 100\%$$
(1)

where I_{py} (kJ m⁻³) is the enthalpy of waste for 1m³ of natural gas, α_{py} is the excess air coefficient, I_{lk}^{0} (kJ m⁻³) is the enthalpy of theoretical air at the inlet temperature, for 1m³ of natural gas, and the other parameters are the same as above. And for catalytic combustion burner , the expression (1) of waste heat loss is also logical.

Based on table 4 and temperature-enthalpy table [4], the expression of enthalpy and exhaust temperature for $1m^3$ of natural gas, between 100 and 200, is obtained with interpolation method:

$$I_{py} = 27.57t - 25 \text{ kJ m}^{-3} (t = 100 \sim 200)$$
 (2)

From the appendix -9 of reference [6], we can obtain the polynomial expression for calculating the average heat capacity of air. However, considering that the inlet air temperatures are always between 30 and 100 , we can skip the higher-order infinitesimal, and the heat capacity of air is a constant:

c = A = 1.3196457 kJ Nm⁻³ -1

In the experiments, the inlet air temperature is 30, so the enthalpy of theoretical air for $1m^3$ of natural gas is:

$$I_{lk}^{0} = V_{0} c t = 356$$
 kJ m⁻³

Based on the expressions of I_{py} and I_{lk}^{0} , the expression of waste heat loss is yielded for the very lean mixture of 5%:

$$q_2 = \frac{27.57 * t - 765}{345.4} \% \quad (t = 100 \sim 200) \tag{3}$$

However, the maximum exhaust temperature is 114, as shown in table 2. At 114, the waste heat loss of catalytic combustion burner is 6.88%, derived from expression (3).

4. ENERGY SAVING ANALYSIS

In order to find the influence degree of oxygen-enriched combustion way on it, the waste heat loss in catalytic combustion burner is compared with that of flame combustion burners. And the waste heat loss of flame combustion burners is calculated below.

Similar to the derivations of expression (2) and (3) for catalytic combustion burner , the following expressions for flame combustion burners are obtained, for 1.2 of excess air coefficient:

$$I_{py} = 16.86t - 22 \text{ kJ m}^{-3} (t = 100 \sim 200)$$
 (4)

$$q_2 = \frac{16.86 * t - 449}{345.4} \% \quad (t = 100 \sim 200) \quad (5)$$

At the same exhaust temperature $t_{m} = 114$,

the waste heat loss of flame combustion burners is 4.26%, derived from expression (5), which is lower than that of catalytic combustion burners. Compared 6.88% with 4.26%, it was concluded that the oxygen-enriched combustion way in catalytic combustion burner increased about 50% of the waste heat loss.

But for conventional gas boilers without condensers, the exhaust temperature is usually much higher than 114 .At the exhaust temperature $t_{py} = 180$, the solution of expression (3) is 7.49%. Compared with 6.88%, it could be concluded that the oxygen-enriched combustion way of catalytic combustion burner is still lower than that of flame combustion burners at $t_{py} = 180$, for 1.2 of excess air coefficient.

The oxygen-enriched combustion way for catalytic combustion burner increases the excess air

coefficient to 2.08, but the waste heat loss is not so also duo to the oxygen-enriched combustion way. In the catalytic combustion way, the plate surface temperature is lower than the flame temperature in flame combustion way, and the maximum wavelength of radiation ray (i.e. the wavelength of the maximum homogeneous radiation) is $4\sim 6\mu m$, which is in the range of infrared, so the most reaction heat is radiated by infrared ^[8]. Then, the most heat transfer in catalytic combustion burner is radiation, which improves the energy utilization ratio and decreases the exhaust temperature and waste heat loss.

For conventional flame combustion, the radiation of its own is lower, and the most radiation depends on the waste or carbon granules. However, gas boilers burn the clean energy-natural gas, whose exhaust gas has a small of the carbon granules. Duo to the above reason, the convection heat transfer is the main way of heat transfers in conventional gas boilers and the waste heat loss is higher relatively.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In the light of the above calculations and analyses, the following conclusions can be obtained for catalytic combustion burner :

()The combustion efficiency is higher 0.4~0.9% than that of flame combustion burners, so q_3 is lower 0.4~0.9% relatively;

() The waste heat loss of catalytic combustion burner is higher than that of flame combustion burners at the same exhaust temperature;

() For the maximum excess air coefficient of $\alpha = 2.08$, at the highest exhaust temperature of $t_{py} = 114$, the waste heat loss is 6.88%, which is lower than 7.49%, the waste heat loss of flame combustion burners for $\alpha = 1.2$, at $t_{py} = 180$;

() The CO and NOx pollutants in the waste are much lower than that of flame burners, and the catalytic combustion burner achieves near-zero pollutant emissions.

The catalytic combustion burner is a new combustion equipment, and can solve the shortcomings of conventional flame combustion

high as considered via the above calculations. This is burners. It has the adventures of higher combustion efficiency and thermal efficiency, and achieves the near-zero pollutant emission. In the future, the catalytic combustion way will be applied to

conventional gas boilers and realize heating in winter.

Heating technologies for energy efficiency Vol.III-1-3

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Key Project by the Activity of Science and Technology for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars in 2005, Ministry of Personnel; Funding Project for Academic Human Resources Development in Institutions of Higher Learning Under the Jurisdiction of Beijing Municipality in 2005 and Special Project in 2005, Beijing Institute of Civil Engineering and Architecture.

REFERENCES

- Qiang YUAN. The Study of Methane Catalytic Combustion on Pd -Supported Al₂O₃ Catalyst [D]. Xiamen: Xiamen University, 2001. (In Chinese)
- [2] Prasad R, Kennedy L A, Ruckenstein E. Catalytic Combustion [J]. Catal Rev Sci Eng, 1984, 26 (1): 1-58.
- [3] V.Dupont, J.M.Jones, S.-H.Zhang, A.Westwood and M.V.twigg. Kinetics of Methane Oxidation on Pt Catalysts in the Presence of H₂S and SO₂ [J]. Chemical Engineering Science, 2004, 59: 17-29.
- [4] Qinxin ZHAO, Shien HUI. Oil and Gas Boiler [M]. Xian: Xi'an Jiaotong University Press, 2000: 325-329. (In Chinese)
- [5] S. H. Oh and RJ. Mtchell. Catalytic Control of Air Pollution [J]. J Am Chem Soc, 1992, 114(24): 9729-9733.
- [6] Junkai FENG, Shenyou TING. The Calculation and Principle of boilers [M]. Second Edition. Beijing: Science Press, 1992: 748. (In Chinese)
- [7] Jianguo WANG. The Thermal Efficiency Analysis of Gas Boilers [J]. District Heating, 5005, 5: 25-27. (In Chinese)
- [8] Zhongcheng FU, Shida XUE, Zhenming LI. The New Equipments of Natural Gas Burning [M]. Beijing: China Architecture and Building Press, 1984: 171. (In Chinese)