Accepted refereed manuscript of:

Byrne CJ, Fair S, English AM, Holden SA, Dick JR, Lonergan P & Kenny DA (2017) Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation of young postpubertal dairy bulls alters the fatty acid composition of seminal plasma and spermatozoa but has no effect on semen volume or sperm quality, *Theriogenology*, 90, pp. 289-300.

DOI: 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2016.12.014

© 2016, Elsevier. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u> Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation of young post-pubertal dairy bulls alters the fatty acid composition of seminal plasma and spermatozoa but has no effect on semen volume or sperm quality

C. J. Byrne^{1, 2}, S. Fair³, A.M. English^{1, 3}, S.A. Holden³, J.R. Dick⁴, P. Lonergan², and
D.A. Kenny^{1, 2*}

¹Animal and Bioscience Research Department, Teagasc, Dunsany, Co. Meath,
 Ireland. ²School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, Belfield,
 Dublin 4, Ireland. ³Laboratory of Animal Reproduction, Department of Life Sciences,
 University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland. ⁴Institute of Aquaculture, School of Natural
 Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, Scotland, UK.

*Corresponding author: David A. Kenny, Animal and Bioscience Research
Department, Teagasc, Dunsany, Co. Meath, Ireland, C15 PW93.
(david.kenny@teagasc.ie)

14 Abstract

The aim of this study was to examine the effects of dietary supplementation with 15 rumen protected n-6 or n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) on the quantity and 16 quality of semen from young post-pubertal dairy bulls. Pubertal Holstein-Friesian 17 (n=43) and Jersey (n=7) bulls with a mean \pm s.e.m. age and bodyweight of 420.1 \pm 18 5.86 days and 382 ± 8.94 kg, respectively, were blocked on breed, weight, age and 19 semen quality (based on the outcomes of two pre-trial ejaculates) and randomly 20 assigned to one of three treatments: (i) a non-supplemented control (CTL, n=15), (ii) 21 rumen-protected safflower (SO, n=15), (iii) rumen-protected n-3 PUFA-enriched fish 22 oil (FO, n=20). Bulls were fed their respective diets, ad libitum for 12 weeks; 23 individual intakes were recorded using an electronic feeding system for the initial 6 24

weeks of the feeding period. Semen was collected via electro-ejaculation at weeks -25 2, -1, 0, 7, 10, 11 and 12 relative to the beginning of the trial period (week 0). On 26 collection, semen volume, sperm concentration and progressive linear motility (PLM) 27 were assessed. On weeks -2, -1, 0, 10, 11, 12, semen was packaged into 0.25 mL 28 straws and frozen using a programmable freezer. On weeks -1, 7 and 11; a sub-29 sample of semen was separated into sperm and seminal plasma, by centrifugation 30 and stored at – 20°C until analysis of lipid composition. Semen from 10 bulls per 31 treatment were used for post-thaw analysis at weeks 10, 11 and 12 (3 straws per 32 33 ejaculate). Sperm motility was analysed by computer assisted semen analysis (CASA). In addition, membrane fluidity, acrosome reaction and oxidative stress were 34 assessed using flow cytometry. Sperm from bulls fed SO had a 1.2 fold higher total 35 n-6 PUFA content at week 11 compared to week -1 (P<0.01) while bulls fed FO had 36 a 1.3 fold higher total n-3 PUFA content, in sperm by week 11 (P<0.01). There was 37 no effect of diet on semen volume, concentration or PLM of sperm when assessed 38 either immediately following collection or post-thawing. Membrane fluidity and 39 oxidative stress of sperm were also not affected by diet. The percentage of sperm 40 with intact-acrosomes was lower in CTL bulls compared to those fed SO (P<0.01). In 41 conclusion, while the lipid composition of semen was altered following dietary 42 supplementation with either n-6 or n-3 based PUFA, this did not lead to measurable 43 improvements in the quantity or quality of semen produced by young post-pubertal 44 dairy bulls. 45

46 **Keywords:** Fertility, Semen, PUFA, Lipid composition, Fish oil, Safflower.

47 **1. Introduction**

Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) are important components of cell 48 membranes, and play an integral role in oocyte fertilization [1]. Fertile mammalian 49 spermatozoa are characterized by a higher proportion of PUFA compared to 50 51 saturated fatty acids (SFA) [2]. Sperm utilise PUFA, in particular n-3 PUFA, to maintain membrane fluidity required for normal cell function [3]. Ruminants cannot 52 synthesize n-6 or n-3 PUFA de novo as they lack the necessary fatty acid (FA) de-53 saturase enzymes. Thus, these animals must obtain PUFA, or their pre-cursors, from 54 dietary sources [4]. Diet-derived PUFA are known to have positive effects on FA 55 56 composition of spermatozoa in humans [5] as well as a variety of farm animals including pigs [6], sheep [7] and cattle [8]. In order to ensure that sufficient PUFA 57 bypass the ruminal microbial mediated bio-hydrogenation process, they must be 58 chemically protected [9]. 59

Genomic selection has led to more accurate identification of elite sires, resulting 60 in increased demand for their semen at a much younger age. This demand 61 necessitates that bulls reach puberty as early as possible and produce an adequate 62 volume of high quality semen, to meet this demand. Dietary supplementation with n-63 3 PUFA, derived from fish oil (FO) has been reported to improve certain semen 64 parameters including sperm concentration in rams [7], as well as progressive motility 65 and percentage of normal sperm in boars [10]. Other studies [6] however, found no 66 67 improvement in semen quantity or quality in boars. Similarly, there are conflicting data from bulls in the literature regarding the effects of dietary n-3 PUFA 68 supplementation. 69

The motility of fresh semen was improved in bulls supplemented with dietary DHA but there was no improvement detected in frozen-thawed semen in the same study [12]. Positive effects on progressive motility, morphology and viability in frozen-

thawed sperm following FO supplementation of bulls [13], have also been reported.
In contrast, supplementation of bulls with linolenic acid, a n-3 PUFA, using linseed
oil, resulted in no improvement in fresh semen quality but did improve plasma
membrane integrity post-thawing [14].

Although some positive effects of PUFA supplementation on semen quality have 77 been detected, increasing dietary PUFA intake can also cause vulnerability of 78 spermatozoa to reactive oxygen species (ROS) damage, leading to an increase in 79 lipid peroxidation [15]. In humans, increased levels of lipid peroxidation have been 80 81 associated with loss of sperm motility [16] and thus is likely to have a negative impact on fertility. Increases in oxidative stress are also associated with DNA 82 damage [17] and damage to DNA of spermatozoa can reduce fertilizing ability as 83 well as leading to an increase pre-implantation early embryo loss [18]. In addition, a 84 significant reduction in sperm PUFA concentration, particularly in docosahexaenoic 85 acid (DHA; C22:6n-3), has been reported with increasing age In bulls [11]. This has 86 stimulated commercial interest in the use of dietary supplementation to alter the 87 PUFA content of sperm, and increase reproductive potential. 88

Given the conflicting nature of in the published literature on the consequences of dietary PUFA supplementation on semen characteristics of cattle, the aim of this study was to examine the effects of dietary rumen-protected n-6 and n-3 PUFA on semen quantity and quality in young post-pubertal dairy bulls.

93

2. Material and Methods

All animal procedures performed in this study were conducted under experimental licence from the Irish Department of Health and Children (licence number B100/2869). Protocols were in accordance with the Cruelty to Animals Act (Ireland

1876, as amended by European Communities regulations 2002 and 2005) and the
European Community Directive 86/609/EC.

99 **2.1. Animal Management**

Holstein-Friesian (n=43) and Jersey (n=7) bulls with a mean \pm s.e.m. age and 100 bodyweight of 420.1 \pm 5.86 days and 382.0 \pm 8.94 kg, respectively, were blocked on 101 breed, weight, age and semen quality (based on the outcomes of two pre-trial 102 ejaculates) and randomly assigned to one of three concentrate-based dietary 103 treatments (Table 1), namely: (i) a non-supplemented control (CTL, n=15), (ii) 104 rumen-protected safflower (Safflower; SO, n=15), or (iii) rumen-protected n-3 PUFA-105 enriched FO (Incromega; FO, n=20). Both fat supplements were supplied by Trouw 106 Nutrition; Belfast, Ireland. All diets were isonitrogenous and isocaloric (Table 2). 107 Animals were housed in a concrete slatted floor shed and individually fed using an 108 electronic feeding system (Calan Inc., Northwood, NH, USA) for the initial six weeks 109 of the feeding period, followed by group feeding (5 bulls per treatment/pen), for the 110 remaining six weeks. Animals were allowed two weeks to acclimatise to the 111 individual feeding facility followed by ten days acclimatisation to their respective diets 112 and were then offered diets ad libitum for 12 weeks. All animals received 5 kg (fresh 113 weight) of grass silage daily. 114

115 **2.2. Semen collection**

Semen collections were carried out in the summer, between June and August. Semen was collected using the trans-rectal electro-ejaculation (Pulsator, Lanes, CO, USA) technique [19] at weeks -2, -1, 0, 7, 10, 11 and 12 relative to the beginning of the trial period (week 0.). Following collection, semen volume was recorded and progressive linear motility (PLM) was assessed subjectively using a phase contrast

microscope incorporating a heated stage at 37 °C (100 sperm per assessment). 121 Spermatozoa concentration was assessed using a photometer (Minitub, Tiefenbach, 122 Germany). On weeks -2, -1, 0, 10, 11, and 12, semen was diluted to 80 x 10⁶ sperm 123 per mL in Bioxcell (IMV, L'Aigle, France) and loaded into 0.25 mL straws (IMV). 124 Straws were cooled gradually from room temperature to 4°C over a period of 90 min 125 and allowed to equilibrate at 4°C for 3 h. They were then frozen to -140°C over a 9 126 min period (-15.5°C/min) in a programmable freezer (Planar, Birmingham, UK) 127 followed by immersion and storage in liquid nitrogen, pending further laboratory 128 129 analysis. At weeks -1, 7 and 11 a sub-sample of fresh semen was centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The seminal plasma (SP) was removed and the sperm 130 pellet was resuspended in 3 mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and centrifuged 131 at 2000 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was again removed and the sperm 132 pellet was resuspended in 500 mL of cold PBS. Both SP and sperm were snap-133 frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. For post-thaw semen assessments and 134 lipid analysis, straws from 10 bulls were selected from each dietary treatment. 135 Selection was based on bulls with the most consistent feed intake pattern during the 136 six weeks of individual feed intake recording. 137

138 **2.3. Po**

Post-thaw semen analysis

Straws were thawed at 37°C for 30 seconds. Following thawing, post-thaw motility and kinematic parameters (n=3 straws assessed per ejaculate per bull) were measured out using computer-assisted semen analysis (CASA, Sperm Class Analyser, Microptic S.L., Barcelona, Spain). After a 1:1 dilution, in PBS, 5 µl of semen was placed on a pre-warmed glass slide, covered with a pre-warmed cover slip and viewed using a phase-contrast microscope at 100X fitted with a pre-warmed

stage at 37°C. A minimum of five microscopic fields were analysed in each sample and objects incorrectly identified as sperm were edited out using the playback function. The CASA derived motility characteristics assessed were total motility (%) and progressive motility (%), while the kinematic parameters were average path velocity (VAP, μ m/s,), straight-line velocity (VSL, μ m/s,), curvilinear velocity (VCL, μ m/s,), amplitude of lateral head displacement (ALH, μ m,), beat/cross frequency (BCF, Hz,), straightness (STR) and linearity (LIN).

152 **2.4. Feed sample collection and analysis**

Samples of the treatment rations as well as silage were collected weekly and stored 153 at -20°C. Weekly samples were then composited into monthly samples and sub-154 155 sampled. Sub-samples were used to determine dry matter content by drying the ration at 98°C for 16 h and silage at 85°C for 16 h. A second sub-sample was dried 156 at 40°C for 48 h for chemical analysis. Both silage and ration samples were milled 157 through a 1 mm sieve and subsequently analysed for crude protein, acid detergent 158 fibre, neutral detergent fibre, ash, ether and gross energy. Crude protein was 159 determined using the method of [20] with a Leco FP 528 nitrogen analyser (Leco 160 instruments UK Ltd, Cheshire, UK). Acid detergent fibre and neutral detergent fire 161 were determined using the Ankom method (Ankom Technologies, NY, USA). Ash 162 was determined after ignition of a known weight of ground sample in a furnace 163 (Carbolite Gero, Derbyshire, United Kingdom) at 550°C for 4 h. The gross energy of 164 diets and silage samples was determined using an adiabatic bomb calorimeter (Parr 165 166 Instruments, IL, USA). The remaining undried composite was used for FA analysis (Table 3). 167

168 **2.5.** Fatty acid analysis of feed, sperm and seminal plasma

Fatty acid analysis was conducted following extraction of total lipid, using gas liquid 169 chromatography (GLC; Thermo Fisher Trace, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, UK) 170 procedures. Briefly, total lipids were extracted from the full re-suspended sperm 171 pellet, 1 mL of SP and 10 g of both feed samples, according to the method of Folch 172 et al., [21] which removes non-lipid impurities. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were 173 prepared by acid-catalysed trans esterification of total lipids according to the method 174 of Christie et al. [22]. Extraction and purification of FAME was performed as 175 described by Ghioni et al. [23]. FAME were separated by GLC fitted with a flame 176 ionisation detector using 60 m \times 0.32 mm i.d. \times 0.25 µm film thickness capillary 177 column (ZB Wax; Phenomenex, Macclesfield, Cheshire, UK) and hydrogen as a 178 carrier gas (4.0mL/min). The column oven temperature gradient was from 50 to 179 180 150°C at 40°C/min and then to 195°C at 1.5°C/min and finally to 220°C at 2°C/min. Individual methyl esters were identified by reference to published data (Ackman, 181 1980). Data were collected and processed using the Chromcard for Windows 182 (version 2.00) computer package (Thermoguest Italia S.p.A., Milan, Italy). The 183 percentage of individual FA was calculated according to the area of an individual 184 peak relative to the total area. All FA data are presented as means ± s.e.m in 185 percentage (%) of total FA. 186

187

2.6. Flow cytometry analysis

Flow cytometry was used for assessment of sperm for specific intracellular markers of viability, membrane fluidity, acrosome integrity and oxidative stress, in frozenthawed samples from weeks 10, 11 and 12 of feeding. Samples were diluted to a concentration of 3 x 10^5 /spermatozoa per mL in PBS and were analysed on a flow cytometer (Guava easyCyte 6HT-2 L; Merck Millipore Billerica, MA, USA) equipped

with both a krypton laser (642 nm) and an argon laser (488 nm). Appropriate singlecolour controls were prepared to establish the respective fluorescent peaks of the individual stains. These were used in conjunction with the forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) signals to discriminate sperm from debris in a population known as P01.Population. Fluorescent events were recorded using GuavaSoft (Version 2.7; Merck Millipore) and all variables were assessed using logarithmic amplification. In each sample 10,000 gated events were captured.

200 **2.6.1. Membrane fluidity**

Membrane fluidity was assessed using a dual staining protocol using Yo-Pro-1 (YP; 201 Ex/Em 491/509; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and Merocyanine 540 202 (M540; Ex/Em 540/578 nm; Sigma-Aldrich), adapted from Murphy et al. [24]. Yo-Pro 203 identifies apoptotic cells via green fluorescence visible on the green detector (525/30 204 nm band pass (BP). Merocyanine 540 preferentially binds to highly disordered lipids, 205 thus indicating increased membrane fluidity. Emission spectra for M540 are visible in 206 the vellow detector (583/23 nm BP). Samples were first incubated with YP in the 207 dark at 32°C for 10 min and a final working concentration of 25 nM. M540 was then 208 added at a final working concentration of 10 µM. Samples were then incubated in the 209 dark at 32°C for 15 min. Sperm with high membrane fluidity were defined as cells 210 negative for YP and positive for M540 (M540 +ve/ YP -ve) and calculated as a 211 percentage of the total viable population (YP –ve population). Membrane fluidity was 212 calculated as the percentage of M540-positive sperm of the Yo-Pro-1-negative 213 population, as initially gated as P01.Population, based on controls, FSC and SSC. 214

215 **2.6.2.** Acrosome integrity and viability

Acrosome status was assessed using the fluorescent stain Alexa Fluor 647 PNA 216 (AF647; Ex/Em 650/668 nm; Life Technologies) and a method adapted from Murphy 217 et al. [25]. AF647 consists of an AF647 fluorochrome conjugated with lectin PNA 218 from Arachis hypogaea (peanut). Briefly, AF647 was added to 500 µL of sperm 219 diluted to 3 x 10⁵ sperm per mL in PBS to give a final concentration of 6 mg/mL and 220 was then incubated at 37°C for 15 min. Peanut agglutinin binds to the inner surface 221 of the outer acrosomal membrane, which is only accessable post acrosome reaction 222 as reviewed by Petrunkina and Harrison [26]. Following this, the nuclear stain 223 224 SYTO16 (S16; Ex/Em 488/518; Life Technologies) was added at a final working concentration of 100nM and incubated for 10 min. Finally, the fluorescent stain 225 propidium iodide (PI; Ex/Em; 493/636; Life Technologies) was added to the sample 226 227 at a final concentration of 12 mM and incubated for a further 5 min at 37°C. PI is selectively taken up by membrane-compromised cells, thus indicating a loss of 228 viability. The fluorescence of AF647 was analysed via the red2 (664/20 BP) detector; 229 S16 fluorescence was measured via the green detector (525/30 nm BP) and PI via 230 the yellow detector (583/26 BP). No compensation was needed. The percentage of 231 viable sperm with intact acrosomes was calculated as the percentage of AF647-232 negative cells of the PI-negative S16-positive (AF647 –ve/ S16 +ve/ PI –ve) 233 population, as initially gated, as P01.Population, based on controls, FSC and SSC 234 (Figure 3). 235

236 **2.6.3. Oxidative stress**

The generation of the superoxide anion was assessed using the fluorescent stain MitoSOX Red (MSXR; Ex/Em 510/580; Life Technologies) using a method adapted from Kiernan *et al.* [27]. Briefly, diluted samples were incubated at 37°C in the

presence of MSXR (4 mM) for 15 min. MSXR is an intracellular stain that fluoresces 240 in the presence of the superoxide anion. Following this, the nucleic dead stain YP 241 was added to give a final concentration of 25 nM and again, incubated at 37°C in the 242 presence of MSXR for 15 min. The fluorescence of MSXR was analysed via the red 243 (690/50 BP) detector and YP via the green detector (525/30 BP). Minor computed 244 compensation was carried out. The presence of superoxide was calculated as the 245 percentage of MSXR positive sperm of the YP negative (MSXR +ve/ YP -ve) 246 population, as initially gated, as P01.Population, based on controls, FSC and SSC. 247

248

2.7. Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using appropriate procedures of Statistical Analysis Software 249 (SAS version 9.3, Cary, NC, USA). Data were tested for normality (UNIVARIATE 250 procedure) and, where appropriate, transformed to the power of lambda 251 (TRANSREG procedure). Data were analysed using ANOVA (MIXED procedure). 252 Diet, block, sampling time and their interactions, were included in the model. The 253 interaction term, if not statistically significant (P > 0.05), was subsequently excluded 254 from the final model. The covariance matrix was determined for each variable by 255 examining the Bayesian Information Criteria (**BIC**) (smaller is better) value. Animal 256 was the experimental unit. Sampling time (week of collection) was included in the 257 statistical models as a repeated term. Multiple regression analysis was used (REG 258 and STEPWISE procedure) to identify statistically significant predictor variables for 259 concentration, motility of both fresh and frozen-thawed semen and all parameters 260 measured by flow cytometer. The fixed effects of diet and week were corrected for in 261 the model. Dietary total n-6 and n-3 intake, percentage lipid content of total n-3 and 262 n-6, n-6 to n-3 ratio and DHA content of both sperm and SP were used as 263

independent variables. Multiple regression analysis was also used to identify suitable predictor variables for total n-3 and n-6 PUFA content of sperm using total saturated, monounsaturated, n-3 and n-6 intakes as independent variables, The analysis was conducted separately for each of the three timepoints (weeks -1, 7, 11). All results are presented as mean \pm s.e.m., unless otherwise stated.

269 **3. Results**

3.1 Animal performance and intake

There was no difference in concentrate intake during the first six weeks of the trial 271 272 period across the three diets with bulls on the CTL, SO and FO diets consuming 9.54 \pm 0.37 kg, 9.54 \pm 0.31 kg, 9.34 \pm 0.35 kg DM per day, respectively. Similarly, there 273 was no difference in average daily gain between diets, with CTL, SO and FO bulls 274 gaining 1.4 ± 0.19 , 1.4 ± 0.17 and 1.6 ± 0.29 kg per day, respectively. There was no 275 effect of diet on FCE during the initial six weeks of supplementation (CTL, SO and 276 FO: 0.13 ± 0.008 , 0.13 ± 0.009 and 0.13 ± 0.009 kg liveweight per kg of concentrate 277 consumed, respectively). 278

3.2. Seminal plasma and sperm fatty acid concentration

The effects of diet on the FA concentration of spermatozoa and SP are presented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. In the interest of brevity, only FA which have a positive role in fertility or contribute substantially to the overall FA composition are reported in the text.

There was no diet by week interaction for concentration of the various saturated fatty acids (SFA; Table 4) measured in spermatozoa, nor was there any effect of diet. Week affected concentration of SFA (P<0.01) in spermatozoa, with the

concentration of most SFA declining, across treatment, from weeks -1 to 7 and remaining at this level to week 11, with the exception of arachidic acid which did not decline until week 11. Concentrations of myristic acid in spermatozoa increased by week 7 (P<0.001) and remained elevated to week 11. Total SFA in spermatozoa declined (P<0.001) from weeks -1 to 7 and then plateaued.

In SP, there was a diet by week interaction detected for myristic acid (Table 5. 292 P<0.01); bulls fed the FO diet had higher myristic acid on week 11 in comparison to 293 those fed the CTL diet (P<0.001). On week 7, bulls fed the FO diet also tended to 294 295 have higher myristic acid (P=0.06) than bulls fed the SO diet. There was also a diet by week interaction for arachidic acid (P<0.001) in SP. A higher level of arachidic 296 acid (P<0.01) was observed in SP of bulls fed both the CTL and SO diets compared 297 to those fed the FO diet on week -1; this difference was no longer evident on weeks 298 7 or 11. There was a strong tendency (P=0.06) for SP concentrations of palmitic acid 299 to be higher at week -1 than on week 11. There was no effect of diet or week of 300 sampling on total SFA concentrations of SP. 301

There were no diet by week interactions for the various *monounsaturated fatty acids (*MUFA; Table 4;), assessed in spermatozoa. Palmitoleic (n-7), palmitoleic (n-9) and oleic acid decreased from weeks -1 to 11 (P<0.001). There was a quadratic effect of week on vaccenic and nervonic acid (P<0.01); concentration of sperm vaccenic acid increased from weeks -1 to 7 and then decreased from weeks 7 to 11. The opposite trend was observed for sperm nervonic acid concentration. There was a linear decrease in sperm total MUFA (P<0.01) from weeks -1 to 11.

Monounsaturated fatty acids in SP were unaffected by diet and week with the exception of oleic acid which decreased from weeks -1 to 11 (P<0.05). This

difference contributed to a tendency for total MUFA in SP to decrease from weeks -1to 11 (P=0.09).

In spermatozoa, there was a diet by week interaction for eicosadienoic acid 313 (P<0.01). This PUFA was higher in SO bulls than in CTL bulls at weeks 7 and 11 314 (P<0.05), but concentrations for both diets were similar for FO. There was a diet by 315 week interaction for adrenic acid concentration in spermatozoa (P<0.001). Bulls on 316 SO had a higher concentration of adrenic acid than either CTL or FO at week 11 317 (P<0.001). There was also a diet by week interaction for docosapentaenoic acid 318 319 (DPA, n-6) concentration (P<0.001). Bulls on CTL had higher concentrations of DPA (n-6) in sperm on weeks -1 and 7 compared to FO (P<0.001), while SO had higher 320 DPA (n-6) in sperm, at week 11 than either the CTL (P<0.05) or FO (P<0.001) bulls. 321 There was a diet by week interaction for total n-6 PUFA concentration in sperm 322 (P<0.001). Total n-6 PUFA concentrations were higher in CTL bulls compared to FO 323 bulls at week 11 (P<0.001). The SO bulls tended to have higher total n-6 PUFA 324 concentrations in spermatozoa on week 7 compared to FO bulls (P=0.09); this 325 difference reached statistical significance on week 11 (P<0.001). Stepwise 326 regression models using total saturated, monounsaturated, n-3 and n-6 PUFA 327 intakes as independent variables showed that there is an increase in the amount of 328 variation of total n-6 PUFA content in sperm, that can be explained overtime (Table 329 330 6). On week -1; none of the variability was accounted for. However, by week 7 total n-3 PUFA intake accounted for 37% of the variability in total n-6 PUFA content of 331 sperm. By week 11, n-3 PUFA and MUFA intake account for 68 and 6% of the 332 variation in total n-6 PUFA content of sperm, respectively. 333

In SP, there was a diet by week interaction for adrenic acid (P<0.001) as a result of higher concentrations on week 11, in both CTL and SO bulls compared to

FO bulls (P<0.001). The CTL and SO bulls were not different. There was also a diet 336 by week interaction for DPA (n-6) in SP (P<0.001). The DPA (n-6) concentration was 337 lower in FO bulls on weeks 7 and 11 compared to either CTL or SO bulls. There was 338 a diet by week interaction for total n-6 PUFA (P<0.001), in SP. Overall n-6 339 concentrations were lower in FO on weeks 7 (P<0.05) and 11 (P<0.001) compared 340 to either CTL or SO. There was an effect of diet on SP dihommo-gamma-linolenic 341 acid (DGLA; P<0.05). Bulls on CTL had higher DGLA concentrations than those on 342 FO (P<0.05); SO were different to either of these diets. The concentrations of 343 eicosadienoic, y-linolenic and arachidonic acids in SP were affected by week 344 (P<0.01) as the latter two both declined from week -1 to week 11, across diets, while 345 concentrations of eicosadienoic increased in the same period (Table 4). 346

There was a diet by week interaction detected for sperm DPA (n-3) (P<0.001). 347 Sperm from bulls fed the FO diet had higher concentrations on weeks 7 and 11 in 348 comparison to the CTL (P<0.01) and SO (P<0.001) bulls. At week 7 and 11, 349 eicosapentoenoic (EPA) was undetectable in sperm from either CTL or SO bulls, 350 while low concentrations were detected in FO bulls. There was also a diet by week 351 interaction for sperm DHA, with a higher concentration detected for FO than CTL 352 (P<0.01) or SO (P<0.001) bulls on week 11 but no difference, between diets, 353 detected at either weeks -1 or 7. There was an effect of week on concentrations of 354 355 linolenic acid (P<0.001), which decreased from weeks -1 to 11. There was a diet by week interaction for total n-3 PUFA (P<0.05) with bulls on FO having a higher overall 356 n-3 PUFA concentrations in comparison to CTL (P<0.01) or SO (P<0.001) bulls on 357 week 11, but again no difference between diets at either weeks -1 or 7. 358

There was a diet by week interaction for SP concentrations of DPA (n-3; P<0.001). Concentrations of DPA (n-3) were higher in CTL bulls at week -1 than

those on FO (P<0.05); however, by week 11 this had reversed and FO had higher 361 DPA (n-3) than CTL bulls (P<0.001). The FO bulls had higher DPA (n-3) than the SO 362 bulls at weeks 7 and 11 (P<0.01). There was a diet by week interaction for SP 363 concentration of DHA (P<0001). Bulls fed FO had higher DHA on week 7 (P<0.05) 364 and on week 11 (P<0.001) compared to those on either CTL or SO diets. There was 365 a tendency for an interaction of diet by week for EPA concentration in SP (P=0.09). 366 Bulls fed FO had higher concentrations of EPA compared to SO bulls on week 7 367 (P<0.01) and tended (P=0.06) to have higher EPA compared to CTL bulls at the 368 369 same time-point. There was an effect of diet (P<0.05) on linolenic acid concentration of SP with FO tending to have higher linolenic acid than CTL (P=0.09) or SO 370 (P=0.06) bulls. There was a diet by week interaction for total n-3 PUFA (P<0.001) 371 manifested as bulls on FO having higher concentrations of n-3 PUFA on weeks 7 372 (P<0.05) and 11 (P<0.001) compared with those on the CTL and SO diets. 373

There was a diet by week interaction for n-6 to n-3 ratio (P<0.001). The ratio 374 of n-6 to n-3 FA was lower in FO on week 11 compared to either CTL or SO bulls 375 (P<0.001), consistent with the design of the study. Also, at week 11 the n-6 to n-3 376 ratio in sperm tended (P=0.06) to be lower in FO compared to CTL bulls. In SP, there 377 was an interaction of diet by week for n-6 to n-3 ratio (P<0.05); FO supplementation 378 led to a significant drop in n-6 PUFA concentration, evidenced by lower n-6 to n-3 379 380 ratio on weeks 7 (P<0.05) and 11 (P<0.001) in FO bulls compared to either CTL or SO bulls. There was no diet by week interaction or effect of diet on total PUFA 381 concentration in spermatozoa. However, there was an effect of week (P<0.001); total 382 spermatozoa PUFA concentration increased from weeks -1 to 7 (P<0.01) and 383 remained at this level until week 11. 384

There was no diet by week interaction or effect of week on total PUFA in SP There was an effect of diet (P<0.05); total PUFA were lower in CTL (P<0.05) and tended to be lower in SO (P=0.09) in comparison to FO bulls (Table 5).

388 Stepwise regression models using total saturated, monounsaturated, n-3 and 389 n-6 intakes as independent variables shows that there is an increase in the amount 390 of variability in total n-3 PUFA content in sperm, that can be explained overtime 391 (Table 6). At week 1 none of the variability can be accounted for however by week 7 392 total n-3 PUFA intake accounts for 27%. At week 11 both n-3 PUFA and MUFA 393 intake account for 60 and 7% of the variation in total n-3 PUFA content in sperm, 394 respectively.

395 **3.4. Fresh semen assessment**

There was no diet by week interaction or effect of diet on semen volume, sperm concentration or PLM. After decreasing from weeks -2 to -1 and 0 (P<0.05) both semen volume (Figure 1) and concentration (Figure 1) increased again by week 10, remaining at this level to weeks 11 and 12. Week of collection also had an effect on PLM (P<0.01; Figure 1); PLM increased from weeks -2 to -1 and bulls maintained this level of PLM for the remainder of the experiment.

402 **3.5. Post-thaw semen assessment**

There was no effect of diet on post-thaw spermatozoa total motility using CASA; Table 7). There was an effect of week (P<0.05) on PLM and motility which were higher on week 12 compared to weeks 10 or 11. There was no effect of week or week by diet interaction on VCL, VSL, VAP, LIN, STR, ALH or BCF. Higher ALH was recorded when bulls were offered the SO diet compared to the CTL (P<0.05).

408 Stepwise regression models, show that total n-6 PUFA intake explained 9% of the 409 variability in both total and PLM, post-thaw motility (Table 8).

410 There was an effect of diet on the percentage of viable spermatozoa postthawing (P<0.05). Bulls on SO tended to have a higher percentage of viable cells 411 compared to those on CTL at week 10 (P=0.06; Figure 2(a)), but similar to FO. By 412 413 week 11 all diets were the same.. There was no diet by week interaction, nor was membrane fluidity of spermatozoa affected by diet or week (Figure 2(b)). There was 414 a diet by week interaction on the percentage of live spermatozoa with intact 415 416 acrosomes (P<0.01; Figure 2(c)). At week 10 both SO and FO bulls had a higher percentage of acrosome-intact spermatozoa compared to the CTL bulls. This 417 difference between CTL and SO bulls remained until week 11; however there were 418 no differences in acrosome status, between diets on week 12 of the study. There 419 was no effect of diet, week or their interaction on oxidative stress (Figure 2(d)). 420

421 Stepwise regression, using FA intake and sperm FA composition as independent variables showed that 38% of the variability in viability, 27% in 422 acrosome integrity and 21% membrane fluidity could be explained (Table 8). The n-6 423 424 PUFA intake of bulls accounted for 18 and 27% of the variation in viability and acrosome integrity, respectively. The DHA composition of SP tended to accounted 425 for 20% (P=0.09) of variability in viability, while a small but statistically significant 426 portion of the variability in membrane fluidity was explained by the n-6/n-3 ratio in 427 sperm (8%) and by dietary n-3 intake (13%). 428

429 **4. Discussion**

This study shows that dietary supplementation with SO and FO alters the n-6 and
n-3 PUFA composition, respectively, of spermatozoa and SP of young post-pubertal,

dairy bulls. However, these changes were not associated with improvements in thequantity of semen produced or quality of either fresh or frozen-thawed spermatozoa.

Before assessing the effect of dietary supplementation with specific nutrients on 434 semen quality, it is important to quantify the level of incorporation of the biochemical 435 of interest into the spermatozoa. It is also important to consider the duration of the 436 spermatogenesis cycle (61 days) of the bull [28] in order to allow adequate time for 437 PUFA supplementation to have an effect on all stages of developing spermatozoa. In 438 the current study, the change in FA composition of both the spermatozoa and SP 439 440 has been described in detail. Total SFA concentration of either spermatozoa or SP was not altered by supplementation with either SO or FO, when compared to the 441 CTL. However, across diets, total SFA concentration in sperm decreased 1.2-fold 442 between weeks -1 and 11, with the decrease first evident on week 7. It has been 443 shown that changes in sperm FA composition following dietary supplementation of 444 bulls can take up to 35 days [29]. However, the change in SFA concentrations in the 445 current study is in contrast to the findings of the previous study, where FO, flaxseed 446 and vegetable oil (high in C16:0) were fed to mature (6 yrs.) and semi-mature (2 yrs.) 447 bulls and none of these supplements resulted in a change in total SFA concentration 448 [29]. 449

The overall 1.8-fold decrease in total MUFA in sperm in the current study is higher than that observed in a similar study in pigs (1.2-fold decrease) when the n-6: n-3 ratio was also altered [30]. Few changes were detected in MUFA composition of SP; only oleic acid exhibited a significant (2.2-fold) decrease; following an alteration of the dietary n-6 to n-3 ratio. In the small number of other studies in bulls and pigs where SP MUFA composition has been quantified, none report changes over time and, in many, oleic acid was the only MUFA detectable [6, 14].

There are very few studies in which n-6 PUFA have been supplemented to 457 ruminants and effects on semen quantity and quality assessed. In one such study 458 [31], in which rams were fed sunflower oil as a source of n-6 PUFA, the level of 459 460 incorporation into animal tissues was not reported. In our study, the concentration of n-6 PUFA in spermatozoa was higher in CTL bulls on week 7 and SO bulls at week 7 461 and 11 compared to FO bulls in which a 1.5-fold decrease was observed by week 11 462 compared to week -1. By week 11; 74% of the variation in total n-6 PUFA in sperm 463 could be explained by the dietary intake of n-3 PUFA and MUFA. The rise in n-6 464 465 PUFA in sperm from bulls on SO was more modest than expected given that the linoleic acid was included in the SO diet at almost twice the level of either CTL or FO 466 diets. The level of DPA (n-6) in the SO diet was similar to that of linoleic acid and 467 incorporation of DPA (n-6) into both spermatozoa and SP was much greater than for 468 linoleic acid. It is likely that the dietary linoleic acid consumed underwent elongation 469 to synthesize DPA [32]. 470

Total n-3 PUFA concentrations increased throughout the dietary supplementation 471 period, in both spermatozoa and SP, with the increase being highest on week 11 in 472 FO bulls, compared with either CTL or SO bulls. Regression models also show this 473 increase over time as the explanation of variation increases from 0 to 67% between 474 weeks -1 and 11 for total n-3 PUFA in sperm; explained by total n-3 PUFA and 475 476 MUFA intake. Alpha-linolenic acid (ALA), also a precursor of long-chain n-3 PUFA, decreased suggesting that this FA was used to synthesize both DPA (n-3) and DHA. 477 Changes over time in ALA following FA supplementation have not been well 478 documented. In rams, no change in sperm ALA concentrations was found following 479 FO supplementation [7]. This is in contrast to the findings of the current study where 480 there was a 3.6-fold reduction in ALA across all diets. 481

We observed a 10% increase in spermatozoa DHA concentration from weeks 1 482 to 11 when FO was fed to bulls which resulted in 6% higher DHA concentrations on 483 week 11 compared to either CTL or SO bulls. Following 11 weeks of FO 484 485 supplementation at 1.2% total DM; 10% differences in DHA concentrations between FO and non-supplemented bulls have been reported [13]. However, based on 486 percentage of total lipids, the 11 weeks of FO supplementation implemented in our 487 study resulted in higher DHA incorporation into the spermatozoa than reported by 488 others who have supplemented bulls with FO [29]. The DHA increase in SP was 489 490 higher and more evident earlier than in spermatozoa; bulls on FO had 14% higher DHA in SP at 7 weeks compared to either CTL or SO bulls. In spermatozoa, FO bulls 491 had 7% higher DHA than CTL and SO bulls; though this difference was not observed 492 493 until week 11. The earlier incorporation of FA into SP compared to spermatozoa (35 vs 42 days) is consistent with a previous report in bulls [29]. That study [29] reported 494 a similar difference (5%) between SFA and FO bulls, as we observed between CTL 495 496 and FO bulls.

The importance of dietary n-6:n-3 ratio has been reviewed [33] and all evidence points towards benefits for both fertility and health when this ratio is reduced. Indeed, in the current study, the n-6:n-3 ratio of both sperm and SP was reduced by almost 50% when bulls were supplemented with FO.

501 Despite dietary-induced changes to lipid composition of both spermatozoa 502 and SP, no differences in either the quantity or quality of semen produced were 503 observed between treatment groups. Similar findings have previously been reported 504 for bulls [12] where feeding a DHA-enriched supplement for nine weeks resulted in 505 no difference in semen volume or spermatozoa concentration. Although a subjective 506 examination of spermatozoa motility found a greater percentage of motile

spermatozoa in DHA supplemented bulls [12], a subsequent, objective assessment
using CASA found no difference in motility between treatments. The main
improvements in semen quality in that study were seen when the DHA-enriched diet
was fed to bulls, resulting in a higher percentage of hypo-osmotic swell test (HOST)positive bulls, suggesting an improvement in cell membrane integrity.

No changes to membrane fluidity were detected following the dietary PUFA 512 supplementation strategies employed in this study. The presence of n-3 long chain 513 PUFA in spermatozoa is important for maintaining spermatozoa plasma membrane 514 515 fluidity which facilitates membrane fusion with the oocyte [3]. However, our findings suggest that increasing the long chain n-3 PUFA concentration of bovine 516 spermatozoa does not result in appreciable improvements to plasma membrane 517 fluidity when compared to a basal control diet. There were a higher percentage of 518 acrosome-intact spermatozoa in both the SO and FO bulls at week 10 but by week 519 12 all three diets had a similar percentage of acrosome-intact spermatozoa. In 520 agreement with our week 12 finding, dietary supplementation of rams with linoleic 521 acid (n-6 PUFA) and subsequent sex-sorting of the spermatozoa did not result in any 522 alteration of the percentage of acrosome-intact spermatozoa in comparison to non-523 supplemented contemporaries [34]. In vitro measurements of spermatozoa, such as 524 CASA and flow cytometry, have been correlated with non-return rate in bull field 525 fertility (adjusted $r^2 = 0.40$) [35]. Based on the CASA and flow cytometry data in our 526 study, we conclude that supplementation of bulls with dietary PUFA is unlikely to 527 affect fertility. Total n-6 intake of bulls appeared to account for significant, albeit a 528 529 low degree of explained variation in an array of functional semen analyses (Table 8). Given that there are a very few studies that have examined dietary supplementation 530 of with n-6 PUFA, in bulls; their effects on fertility require further study. 531

In humans, it has been shown that cryopreservation causes a significant 532 reduction in the lipid composition of spermatozoa [36]. Based on this evidence, one 533 could reasonably hypothesize that bulls with a higher PUFA content would produce 534 an ejaculate that could maintain a higher level of spermatozoa quality post-thawing. 535 Our results show that this is not necessarily the case. For example, despite a 10% 536 increase in DHA (most abundant FA in mammalian spermatozoa), FO supplemented 537 bulls in this study did not have higher post-thaw semen quality compared to un-538 supplemented bulls. It should also be noted that the bulls used in this experiment 539 540 had normal fertility potential based on semen characteristics measured. Perhaps dietary PUFA supplementation to bulls of poor semen quality would result in positive 541 effects of on semen characteristics. 542

543 **4.1. Conclusion**

544 Consistent with the initial design of our study, we successfully generated divergence 545 in the n-6 and n-3 PUFA concentrations of both spermatozoa and SP of bulls within 546 the context of a full cycle of spermatogenesis. However, despite significantly altering 547 the lipid composition of bull spermatozoa we failed to observe any appreciable 548 difference in an array of *in vitro* fertility-related parameters for either fresh or frozen-549 thawed spermatozoa.

550 Acknowledgements

551 We gratefully acknowledge support from the Department of Agriculture, Food and 552 the Marine under the Research Stimulus Fund (Project 11/S/116).

553 References

- [1] Esmaeili V, Shahverdi AH, Moghadasian MH, Alizadeh AR. Dietary fatty acids
 affect semen quality: a review. Andrology. 2015;3:450-61.
- 556 [2] Martinez-Soto JC, Landeras J, Gadea J. Spermatozoa and seminal plasma fatty 557 acids as predictors of cryopreservation success. Andrology. 2013;1:365-75.
- [3] Wathes DC, Abayasekara DR, Aitken RJ. Polyunsaturated fatty acids in male and
 female reproduction. Biol Reprod. 2007;77:190-201.
- [4] Mattos R, Staples CR, Thatcher WW. Effects of dietary fatty acids on
 reproduction in ruminants. Rev Reprod. 2000;5:38-45.
- [5] Attaman JA, Toth TL, Furtado J, Campos H, Hauser R, Chavarro JE. Dietary fat
 and semen quality among men attending a fertility clinic. Hum Reprod.
 2012;27:1466-74.
- [6] Castellano CA, Audet I, Bailey JL, Chouinard PY, Laforest JP, Matte JJ. Effect of
 dietary n-3 fatty acids (fish oils) on boar reproduction and semen quality. J
 Anim Sci. 2010;88:2346-55.
- [7] Fair S, Doyle DN, Diskin MG, Hennessy AA, Kenny DA. The effect of dietary n-3
 polyunsaturated fatty acids supplementation of rams on semen quality and
 subsequent quality of liquid stored semen. Theriogenology. 2014;81:210-9.
- [8] Childs S, Hennessy AA, Sreenan JM, Wathes DC, Cheng Z, Stanton C, et al.
 Effect of level of dietary n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on systemic and tissue fatty acid concentrations and on selected reproductive variables in cattle. Theriogenology. 2008;70:595-611.
- [9] Ashes JR, Siebert BD, Gulati SK, Cuthbertson AZ, Scott TW. Incorporation of n-3
 fatty acids of fish oil into tissue and serum lipids of ruminants. Lipids.
 1992;27:629-31.
- [10] Rooke JA, Shao CC, Speake BK. Effects of feeding tuna oil on the lipid
 composition of pig spermatozoa and in vitro characteristics of semen.
 Reproduction. 2001;121:315-22.
- [11] Kelso K, Redpath A, Noble R, Speake B. Lipid and antioxidant changes in
 spermatozoa and seminal plasma throughout the reproductive period of bulls.
 J Reprod Fertil. 1997;109:1-6.
- [12] Gholami H, Chamani M, Towhidi A, Fazeli MH. Effect of feeding a
 docosahexaenoic acid-enriched nutriceutical on the quality of fresh and
 frozen-thawed semen in Holstein bulls. Theriogenology. 2010;74:1548-58.
- [13] Khoshvaght A, Towhidi A, Zare-Shahneh A, Noruozi M, Zhandi M, Dadashpour
 Davachi N, et al. Dietary n-3 PUFAs improve fresh and post-thaw semen
 quality in Holstein bulls via alteration of sperm fatty acid composition.
 Theriogenology. 2016;85:807-12.
- [14] Gürler H, Calisici O, Calisici D, Bollwein H. Effects of feeding omega-3-fatty
 acids on fatty acid composition and quality of bovine sperm and on
 antioxidative capacity of bovine seminal plasma. Anim Reprod Sci.
 2015;160:97-104.
- [15] Jones R, Mann T, Sherins R. Peroxidative breakdown of phospholipids in
 human spermatozoa, spermicidal properties of fatty acid peroxides, and
 protective action of seminal plasma. Fertil Steril. 1979;31:531-7.
- [16] Gomez E, Irvine DS, Aitken RJ. Evaluation of a spectrophotometric assay for the
 measurement of malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxyalkenals in human
 spermatozoa: relationships with semen quality and sperm function. Int J
 Androl. 1998;21:81-94.
- [17] Lewis SE, Aitken RJ. DNA damage to spermatozoa has impacts on fertilization
 and pregnancy. Cell Tissue Res. 2005;322:33-41.

- [18] Aitken RJ. Founders' Lecture. Human spermatozoa: fruits of creation, seeds of doubt. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2004;16:655-64.
 [19] Wolf F, Almquist J, Hale E. Prepuberal behavior and puberal characteristics of beef bulls on high nutrient allowance. J Anim Sci. 1965;24:761-5.
- [20] Sweeney RA. Generic combustion method for determination of crude protein in feeds: collaborative study. Journal of AOAC International 1988;72:770-4.
- [21] Folch J, Lees M, Sloane Stanley GH. A simple method for the isolation and
 purification of total lipides from animal tissues. J Biol Chem. 1957;226:497 509.
- [22] Christie WW. Lipid Analysis,. 3rd Edition ed. Bridgewater, UK: The Oily Press;2003.
- [23] Ghioni C, Bell J, Sargent J. Polyunsaturated fatty acids in neutral lipids and
 phospholipids of some freshwater insects. Comparative Biochemistry and
 Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 1996;114:161-70.
- [24] Murphy C, English AM, Holden SA, Fair S. Cholesterol-loaded-cyclodextrins
 improve the post-thaw quality of stallion sperm. Anim Reprod Sci.
 2014;145:123-9.
- [25] Murphy C, Holden SA, Murphy EM, Cromie AR, Lonergan P, Fair S. The impact
 of storage temperature and sperm number on the fertility of liquid-stored bull
 semen. Reprod Fertil Dev. 2015;28:1349-59.
- [26] Petrunkina AM, Harrison RAP. Fluorescence Technologies for Evaluating Male
 Gamete (Dys)Function. Reproduction in Domestic Animals. 2013;48:11-24.
- [27] Kiernan M, Fahey AG, Fair S. The effect of the in vitro supplementation of
 exogenous long-chain fatty acids on bovine sperm cell function. Reprod Fertil
 Dev. 2013;25:947-54.
- [28] Johnson L, Varner D, Roberts M, Smith T, Keillor G, Scrutchfield W. Efficiency
 of spermatogenesis: a comparative approach. Anim Reprod Sci. 2000;60:471 80.
- [29] Moallem U, Neta N, Zeron Y, Zachut M, Roth Z. Dietary alpha-linolenic acid from
 flaxseed oil or eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids from fish oil
 differentially alter fatty acid composition and characteristics of fresh and
 frozen-thawed bull semen. Theriogenology. 2015;83:1110-20.
- [30] Liu Q, Zhou YF, Duan RJ, Wei HK, Jiang SW, Peng J. Effects of dietary n-6:n-3
 fatty acid ratio and vitamin E on semen quality, fatty acid composition and
 antioxidant status in boars. Anim Reprod Sci. 2015;162:11-9.
- [31] Esmaeili V, Shahverdi AH, Alizadeh AR, Alipour H, Chehrazi M. Saturated,
 omega-6 and omega-3 dietary fatty acid effects on the characteristics of fresh,
 frozen-thawed semen and blood parameters in rams. Andrologia. 2014;46:42 9.
- [32] Cook HW, McMaster CR. Fatty acid desaturation and chain elongation in
 eukaryotes: Elsevier: New York, NY, USA; 2002.
- [33] Simopoulos AP. The importance of the ratio of omega-6/omega-3 essential fatty
 acids. Biomed Pharmacother. 2002;56:365-79.
- [34] de Graaf SP, Peake K, Maxwell WMC, O'Brien JK, Evans G. Influence of
 supplementing diet with Oleic and Linoleic acid on the freezing ability and sex sorting parameters of ram semen. Livest Sci. 2007;110:166-73.
- [35] Sellem E, Broekhuijse ML, Chevrier L, Camugli S, Schmitt E, Schibler L, et al.
 Use of combinations of in vitro quality assessments to predict fertility of bovine
 semen. Theriogenology. 2015;84:1447-54.e5.

[36] James PS, Wolfe CA, Mackie A, Ladha S, Prentice A, Jones R. Lipid dynamics
in the plasma membrane of fresh and cryopreserved human spermatozoa.
Hum Reprod. 1999;14:1827-32.

656

658 Tables

Table 1. Composition of ration offerfor 12 weeks.	red to young post-puberta	659 I dairy bulls
Ingredient	%	660
Rolled barley	25	
Maize	20	661
Soya bean	15	
Beet pulp	17	662
Soya hulls	12	002
Oil	4	662
Minerals/Vitamins	2	663
Molasses	5	
		664

woono (moun do g/ng; amoo	0 00101 000	<i></i>				
		Ra	Silage			
	CTL	SO	FO	s.e.m.		s.e.m.
DM	829	837	838	177.8	230	0.8
Crude protein	17.9	15.7	19.2	0.65	10.9	0.63
ADF	107.6	101.0	78.0	5.35	368.3	4.09
NDF	211.2	199.5	161.2	11.6	580.6	8.33
Ash	78.9	110.1	112.0	9.73	88.9	3.84
Ether extract	1.30	2.65	2.56	0.261	3.04	0.26
Gross energy (MJ/kg DM)	16.46	15.89	15.73	0.178	16.8	0.05

Table 2. Chemical composition of diets offered to young post-pubertal dairy bulls for 12 weeks (mean as g/kg, unless otherwise stated).

DM: dry matter, ADF: acid detergent fibre, NDF: neutral detergent fibre, CTL: control diet, SO: safflower oil diet, FO: fish oil diet.

Table 3. Fatty acid composition of experimental rations and silage offered to young post-pubertal dairy bulls for 12 weeks (% of total fatty acids; mean \pm s.e.m.).

Fatty acid (%)	CTL	SO	FO	Silage
Myristic (C14:0)	0.30 ± 0.006	0.23 ± 0.003	0.39 ± 0.028	0.69 ± 0.035
Pentadecylic (C15:0)	0.21 ± 0.015	0.12 ± 0.012	0.14 ± 0.003	0.28 ± 0.027
Palmitic (16:0)	22.01 ± 0.457	12.77 ± 0.709	12.31 ± 0.119	17.81 ± 0.255
Stearic (18:0)	2.28 ± 0.050	2.71 ± 0.067	3.36 ± 0.109	2.48 ± 0.142
Arachidic (C20:0)	0.25 ± 0.009	0.32 ± 0.007	0.69 ± 0.020	0.64 ± 0.037
Behenic (C22:0)	0.38 ± 0.064	0.24 ± 0.006	0.35 ± 0.023	1.19 ± 0.017
Lignoceric (C24:0)	0.27 ± 0.023	0.11 ± 0.024	0.15 ± 0.020	0.79 ± 0.075
Total saturated	25.70 ± 0.548	16.50 ± 0.666	17.40 ± 0.103	23.87 ± 0.408
Palmitoleic (C16:1 n-9)	0.13 ± 0.007	0.08 ± 0.012	0.10 ± 0.015	6.42 ± 0.096
Palmitoleic (C16:1 n-7)	0.39 ± 0.038	0.26 ± 0.023	0.80 ± 0.081	1.00 ± 0.187
Oleic (C18:1 n-9)	13.11 ± 0.469	13.13 ± 0.105	11.53 ± 0.105	3.13 ± 0.289
Vaccenic (C18:1 n-7)	1.12 ± 0.009	0.90 ± 0.026	2.04 ± 0.092	0.95 ± 0.129
Gadoleic acid (20:1 n-11)	ND	ND	0.23 ± 0.006	ND
Gondoic (C20:1 n-9)	0.57 ± 0.006	0.42 ± 0.015	1.98 ± 0.102	0.16 ± 0.026
Paullinic acid (20:1 n-7)	ND	ND	0.21 ± 0.009	ND
Cetoleic acid (22:1 n-11)	0.15 ± 0.045	0.23 ± 0.096	1.54 ± 0.150	ND
Erucic (C22:1 n-9cis)	0.24 ± 0.081	ND	0.39 ± 0.019	ND
Nervonic (C24:1 n-9)	ND	0.08 ± 0.020	0.28 ± 0.052	0.12 ± 0.005
Total monounsaturated	15.74 ± 0.391	15.08 ± 0.137	19.10 ± 0.324	11.72 ± 0.598
Linoleic (C18:2 n-6)	53.07 ± 0.463	64.95 ± 0.700	31.73 ± 1.022	15.15 ± 0.250
Gamma-Linolenic (C18:3 n-6)	ND	ND	0.07 ± 0.006	ND
Eicosadienoic (20:2 n-6)	0.10 ± 0.010	0.06 ± 0.003	0.25 ± 0.013	ND
Dihomo-gamma-linolenic (C20:3	ND	ND	0.15 ± 0.003	ND
n6)			0.04 0.040	
Arachidonic (C20:4 n-6)	ND	ND	0.94 ± 0.048	ND
Adrenic (C22:4 n-6)	ND	ND	0.06 ± 0.003	ND
Docosapentaenoic (C22:5 n-6)	ND		0.25 ± 0.009	ND
Total n-6	53.13 ± 0.433	65.02 ± 0.697	33.46 ± 0.970	15.23 ± 0.189
Alpha linglonic (C19:2 n 2)	5 34 + 0 236	2 53 + 0 097	3 53 + 0 121	46 79 + 1 056
Stopridonic poid (18:4 p. 2)	0.200 ND	2.00 ± 0.007	0.00 ± 0.121	40.73 ± 1.030
Steandonic acid (10.4 II-3)			0.31 ± 0.001 0.12 + 0.003	0.13 ± 0.020
Eleosatilenoic acid (20.3 11-3)	ND		0.72 ± 0.000 0.74 + 0.027	0.10 ± 0.020
			0.74 ± 0.027 13.06 ± 0.451	
Elcosapenteanoic (20.5 II-3)		0.40 ± 0.035	0.57 ± 0.015	
Reflectosapenteanoic (21.5 fi-3)			0.57 ± 0.015	
		1 1 U 0 37 ± 0 025	0.28 ± 0.220	
Totol n 2	NU 5 37 ± 0 216	0.57 ± 0.025	3.20 ± 0.220	עוו 1 ה א א א א א
1 Utal 11-3	5.57 ± 0.210	J.40 I U.U20	23.03 ± 0.007	40.00 I 1.002
Total PUFA	58.56 ± 0.609	68.42 ± 0.699	63.50 ± 0.403	62.12 ± 0.955
			<u> </u>	

Limit of quantification = 0.06%; ND = not detectable. CTL: control diet, SO: safflower oil diet: FO:

fish oil o	diet.			
690				
691				
692				
693				
694				
695				
696				
697				
698				
699				
700				
701				
702				
703				
704				
705				
706				
707				

Diet		CTL (n = 10)			SO (n = 10)			FO (n = 10)		Sigr	Significance, P value		
Week	-1	7	11	-1	7	11	-1	7	11	Diet	Week	Diet by Week	
Myristic (C14:0)	5.19 ± 0.602	5.92 ± 0.592	6.05 ± 0.545	5.16 ± 0.382	5.68 ± 0.445	6.49 ± 0.367	5.09 ± 0.457	6.82 ± 0.290	6.49 ± 0.507	NS	<0.001	NS	
Pentadecylic (C15:0)	0.23 ± 0.047	0.11 ± 0.017	0.12 ± 0.013	0.21 ± 0.066	0.13 ± 0.016	0.12 ± 0.010	0.24 ± 0.051	0.11 ± 0.014	0.09 ± 0.006	NS	<0.01	NS	
Palmitic (16:0)	17.04 ± 1.776	13.18 ± 0.702	13.00 ± 0.520	14.65 ± 0.972	13.40 ± 0.548	12.53 ± 0.246	16.23 ± 1.552	12.69 ± 0.548	12.28 ± 0.316	NS	<0.001	NS	
Stearic (16:0)	8.11 ± 0.832	6.43 ± 0.363	5.50 ± 0.602	7.81 ± 0.892	6.82 ± 0.330	6.35 ± 0.142	7.80 ± 0.607	6.26 ± 0.258	5.95 ± 0.182	NS	<0.001	NS	
Arachidic (C20:0)	0.52 ± 0.153	0.20 ± 0.032	0.20 ± 0.021	0.44 ± 0.141	0.27 ± 0.038	0.18 ± 0.011	0.56 ± 0.118	0.25 ± 0.043	0.19 ± 0.020	NS	<0.001	0.10	
Behenic (C22:0)	2.02 ± 0.659	0.57 ± 0.112	0.55 ± 0.067	1.41 ± 0.422	0.82 ± 0.147	0.47 ± 0.039	2.16 ± 0.523	0.74 ± 0.174	0.54 ± 0.065	NS	<0.001	NS	
Lignoceric (C24:0)	1.07 ± 0.359	ND	ND	0.84 ± 0.384	0.57 ± 0.129	ND	0.78 ± 0.215	0.48 ± 0.195	ND	NS	NS	NS	
Total saturated	33.75 ± 3.431	26.43 ± 1.171	25.42 ± 0.883	30.17 ± 2.567	27.27 ± 1.083	26.17 ± 0.373	32.77 ± 2.773	26.96 ± 1.098	25.54 ± 0.460	NS	<0.001	NS	
Palmitoleic (C16:1 n-9)	0.13 ± 0.004	0.12 ± 0.007	0.11 ± 0.004	0.16 ± 0.025	0.13 ± 0.003	0.11 ± 0.004	0.15 ± 0.008	0.13 ± 0.005	0.12 ± 0.003	NS	<0.001	NS	
Palmitoleic (C16:1 n-7)	0.34 ± 0.081	0.23 ± 0.043	0.19 ± 0.017	0.46 ± 0.222	0.21 ± 0.023	0.17 ± 0.017	0.32 ± 0.069	0.22 ± 0.024	0.16 ± 0.010	NS	<0.001	NS	
Oleic (C18:1 n-9)	3.94 ± 1.034	2.10 ± 0.367	1.88 ± 0.171	4.35 ± 1.795	2.38 ± 0.298	1.58 ± 0.086	4.44 ± 0.841	2.11 ± 0.268	1.52 ± 0.136	NS	<0.001	0.09	
Vaccenic (C18:1 n-7)	2.00 ± 0.072	2.14 ± 0.137	1.96 ± 0.078	2.41 ± 0.427	2.20 ± 0.074	1.94 ± 0.037	2.02 ± 0.100	2.10 ± 0.042	1.89 ± 0.064	NS	<0.01	NS	
Gondomic (C20:1 n-9)	0.14 ± 0.024	0.10 ± 0.018	0.11 ± 0.017	0.21 ± 0.087	0.12 ± 0.013	0.09 ± 0.006	0.17 ± 0.027	0.10 ± 0.008	0.08 ± 0.006	NS	<0.001	NS	
Erucic (C22:1 n-9cis)	0.13 ± 0.035	ND	0.07 ± 0.013	0.21 ± 0.117	0.09 ± 0.008	0.09 ± 0.028	0.13 ± 0.027	0.08 ± 0.006	0.07 ± 0.004	NS	<0.05	NS	
Nervonic (C24:1 n-9)	0.26 ± 0.077	0.09 ± 0.015	0.14 ± 0.016	0.29 ± 0.079	0.12 ± 0.014	0.16 ± 0.018	0.38 ± 0.091	0.10 ± 0.009	0.27 ± 0.129	NS	<0.001	NS	
Total monounsaturated	6.86 ± 1.224	4.79 ± 0.581	4.38 ± 0.269	7.96 ± 2.672	5.19 ± 0.418	4.07 ± 0.138	7.57 ± 1.000	4.76 ± 0.316	4.03 ± 0.271	NS	<0.01	NS	
Linoleic (C18:2 n-6)	4.82 ± 0.522	3.78 ± 0.264	3.77 ± 0.155	4.43 ± 0.349	4.45 ± 0.274	4.00 ± 0.192	5.43 ± 0.522	4.03 ± 0.149	3.65 ± 0.150	NS	<0.001	0.08	
Gamma-Linolenic (C18:3 n-6)	0.11 ± 0.017	ND	ND	0.12 ± 0.043	ND	0.07 ± 0.010	0.10 ± 0.023	ND	ND	NS	<0.05	NS	
Eicosadienoic (20:2 n-6)	0.25 ± 0.021	0.22 ± 0.020	0.27 ± 0.022	0.23 ± 0.029	0.30 ± 0.025	0.37 ± 0.035	0.24 ± 0.015	0.22 ± 0.011	0.30 ± 0.014	0.09	<0.001	<0.01	
Dihomo-gamma-Linolenic (C20:3 n6)	0.46 ± 0.046	0.55 ± 0.033	0.51 ± 0.032	0.51 ± 0.044	0.60 ±0.032	0.53 ± 0.029	0.43 ± 0.021	0.54 ± 0.031	0.53 ± 0.040	NS	<0.05	NS	
Arachidonic (C20:4 n-6)	2.95 ± 0.207	3.26 ± 0.185	3.02 ± 0.117	3.11 ± 0.285	3.51 ± 0.145	3.37 ± 0.130	3.03 ± 0.249	3.40 ± 0.072	2.95 ± 0.096	<0.05	<0.001	NS	
Adrenic (C22:4 n-6)	0.27 ± 0.055	0.26 ± 0.037	0.29 ± 0.034	0.27 ± 0.063	0.27 ± 0.031	0.40 ± 0.036	0.23 ± 0.038	0.15 ± 0.010	0.14 ± 0.033	NS	NS	<0.001	
Docosapentaenoic (C22:5 n-6)	5.41 ± 1.468	4.76 ± 1.026	5.88 ± 0.971	5.20 ± 1.651	5.38 ± 0.928	8.21 ± 0.916	3.33 ± 0.905	0.94 ± 0.156	1.18 ± 0.846	<0.001	<0.01	<0.001	
Total n-6	14.18 ± 1.555	12.83 ± 1.110	13.74 ± 1.004	13.78 ± 1.853	14.50 ± 1.097	16.86 ± 0.940	12.74 ± 1.205	9.29 ± 0.192	8.77 ± 0.876	0.06	<0.01	<0.001	
Alpha-linolenic (C18:3 n-3)	0.26 ± 0.087	0.10 ± 0.014	0.08 ± 0.014	0.24 ± 0.096	0.11 ± 0.014	0.08 ± 0.009	0.32 ± 0.072	0.12 ± 0.016	0.07 ± 0.004	NS	<0.001	NS	
Eicosapenteanoic (20:5 n-3)	0.10 ± 0.010	ND	ND	0.09 ± 0.030	ND	ND	ND	0.08 ± 0.007	0.10 ± 0.008	-	-	-	
Docosapentaenoic (C22:5 n-3)	0.57 ± 0.052	0.55 ± 0.018	0.64 ± 0.058	0.57 ± 0.014	0.51 ± 0.014	0.52 ± 0.016	0.57 ± 0.045	0.81 ± 0.032	0.92 ± 0.057	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	
Docosahexaenoic (C22:6 n-3)	27.80 ± 3.051	34.35 ± 1.158	35.03 ± 0.895	30.06 ± 3.313	33.53 ± 1.667	32.11 ± 0.906	29.71 ± 2.518	38.44 ± 0.863	39.83 ± 1.057	NS	<0.001	<0.01	
Total n-3	28.68 ± 3.011	34.99 ± 1.167	35.83 ± 0.945	30.90 ± 3.232	34.16 ± 1.669	32.67 ± 0.912	30.60 ± 2.488	39.45 ± 0.844	40.88 ± 1.106	NS	<0.01	<0.05	
n-6 to n-3 ratio ^a	0.57 ± 0.093	0.38 ± 0.041	0.39 ± 0.039	0.54 ± 0.107	0.45 ± 0.062	0.53 ± 0.046	0.46 ± 0.078	0.24 ± 0.007	0.22 ± 0.033	NS	<0.001	<0.001	

Table 4. Effect of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on fatty acid concentration of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimental perimetal perimetation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimental perimetation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimental perimetation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimental perimetation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimental perimetation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimental perimetation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 11 of the experimentation of sperm from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 12 weeks on weeks -1, 7 and 12 weeks on week

Total PUFA	42.86 ± 2.855	47.82 ± 0.989	49.57 ± 0.726	44.68 ± 3.304	48.66 ± 0.855	49.53 ± 0.272	43.33 ± 2.442	48.74 ± 0.899	49.65 ± 0.430	NS	<0.001	NS
CTL = control; SO = safflower o Limit of quantification = 0.06%; ^a total n-6/total n-3	il; FO = fish oil; N ND = not detectab	S = not significan lle.	t; week 0 indicates	start of dietary su	pplementation.							
709												
710												
711												
712												
713												
714												
715												
717												
718												
719												
720												
721												
722												
723												
724												
725												

Table 5. Effect of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation on fatty acid concentration of seminal plasma from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil diet for 12 weeks on week -1, 7 and 11 of the feeding period (% total fatty acids; mean ± s.e.m.).

Diet		CTI (n=10)		SO (n=10)				FO (n=10)		Significance			
Week	-1	7	11	-1	7	11	-1	7	11	Diet	Week	Diet by	
	,	,		'	,		'	,			NCCK	week	
Myristic (C14:0)	4.60 ± 0.635	4.28 ± 0.486	3.73 ± 0.296	4.38 ± 0.255	4.47 ± 0.276	4.34 ± 0.301	3.99 ± 0.646	5.53 ± 0.409	5.79 ± 0.382	0.09	NS	<0.01	
Pentadecylic (C15:0)	2.64 ± 2.462	0.13 ± 0.014	0.51 ± 0.367	0.26 ± 0.094	0.15 ± 0.016	0.14 ± 0.016	0.49 ± 0.265	0.17 ± 0.052	0.16 ± 0.020	NS	NS	NS	
Palmitic (16:0)	16.52 ± 1.969	20.05 ± 0.883	19.34 ± 0.499	18.96 ± 0.684	19.06 ± 0.520	20.85 ± 0.425	18.42 ± 1.095	18.32 ± 0.479	19.51 ± 0.894	NS	0.06	0.07	
Stearic (16:0)	10.25 ± 1.436	9.29 ± 0.972	9.31 ± 0.733	8.62 ± 1.141	8.64 ± 0.743	9.17 ± 0.625	10.04 ± 1.123	7.48 ± 0.396	7.61 ± 0.499	0.10	NS	NS	
Arachidic (C20:0)	0.14 ± 0.018	0.13 ± 0.018	0.15 ± 0.025	0.14 ± 0.010	0.15 ± 0.016	0.15 ± 0.006	0.30 ± 0.096	0.17 ± 0.019	0.14 ± 0.017	<0.01	0.10	<0.001	
Behenic (C22:0)	0.46 ± 0.060	0.48 ± 0.062	0.58 ± 0.143	0.44 ± 0.059	0.47 ± 0.047	0.48 ± 0.065	0.93 ± 0.303	0.47 ± 0.036	0.42 ± 0.049	NS	NS	NS	
Lignoceric (C24:0)	0.39 ± 0.064	0.54 ± 0.300	0.36 ± 0.069	0.53 ± 0.107	0.36 ± 0.046	ND	0.62 ± 0.126	0.56 ± 0.105	0.38 ± 0.059	NS	NS	NS	
Total saturated	33.05 ± 0.635	34.47 ± 0.936	33.76 ± 0.685	32.93 ± 1.087	33.02 ± 1.011	35.09 ± 0.901	34.36 ± 1.312	32.08 ± 0.557	33.69 ± 0.931	NS	0.08	NS	
Palmitoleic (C16:1 n-9)	0.27 ± 0.035	0.21 ± 0.022	0.24 ± 0.041	0.22 ± 0.020	0.23 ± 0.022	0.21 ± 0.031	0.26 ± 0.035	0.26 ± 0.035	0.25 ± 0.039	NS	NS	NS	
Palmitoleic (C16:1 n-7)	0.70 ± 0.240	0.22 ± 0.030	0.22 ± 0.047	0.27 ± 0.088	0.46 ± 0.235	0.37 ± 0.176	0.71 ± 0.375	0.50 ± 0.209	0.27 ± 0.041	NS	NS	NS	
Oleic (C18:1 n-9)	3.43 ± 0.419	3.63 ± 0.446	3.57 ± 0.591	3.27 ± 0.617	2.85 ± 0.259	2.59 ± 0.224	5.78 ± 1.199	3.20 ± 0.485	2.64 ± 0.268	NS	<0.05	NS	
Vaccenic (C18:1 n-7)	0.98 ± 0.051	1.16 ± 0.135	1.27 ± 0.214	0.98 ± 0.057	1.08 ± 0.061	1.12 ± 0.112	1.17 ± 0.119	1.01 ± 0.032	1.05 ± 0.042	NS	NS	NS	
Gondomic (C20:1 n-9)	0.15 ± 0.011	0.17 ± 0.024	0.16 ± 0.020	0.15 ± 0.047	0.12 ± 0.012	0.17 ± 0.054	0.21 ± 0.048	0.13 ± 0.039	0.13 ± 0.023	NS	NS	NS	
Erucic (C22:1 n-9cis)	ND	0.13 ± 0.031	0.10 ± 0.023	0.20 ± 0.010	0.12 ± 0.037	0.11 ± 0.028	0.15 ± 0.032	0.08 ± 0.005	0.25 ± 0.130	NS	NS	NS	
Nervonic (C24:1 n-9)	1.15 ± 0.208	1.51 ± 0.631	1.06 ± 0.193	0.98 ± 0.346	0.71 ± 0.119	0.67 ± 0.161	1.96 ± 0.703	0.72 ± 0.134	0.84 ± 0.328	NS	NS	NS	
Total monounsaturated	6.65 ± 0.698	6.91 ± 0.857	6.53 ± 1.002	5.87 ± 1.076	5.39 ± 0.462	5.08 ± 0.543	10.04 ± 1.476	5.70 ± 0.717	5.11 ± 0.636	NS	0.09	NS	
Linoleic (C18:2 n-6)	4.85 ± 0.812	4.71 ± 0.912	5.67 ± 1.001	3.92 ± 0.973	4.75 ± 0.725	5.49 ± 0.500	7.36 ± 1.880	3.59 ± 0.377	4.24 ± 0.627	NS	0.09	<0.05	
Gamma-Linolenic sperm (C18:3 n-6)	0.84 ± 0.252	0.47 ± 0.205	0.11 ± 0.005	0.43 ± 0.348	0.91 ± 0.419	0.13 ± 0.045	1.17 ± 0.631	0.81 ± 0.493	0.31 ± 0.045	NS	<0.01	0.09	
Eicosadienoic (20:2 n-6)	0.45 ± 0.082	0.46 ± 0.085	0.58 ± 0.091	0.38 ± 0.072	0.55 ± 0.077	0.66 ± 0.047	0.42 ± 0.088	0.54 ± 0.189	0.47 ± 0.074	NS	<0.001	NS	
Dihomo-gamma-linolenic (C20:3 n6)	1.66 ± 0.328	1.64 ± 0.281	1.76 ± 0.278	1.68 ± 0.443	1.64 ± 0.282	1.70 ± 0.230	1.88 ± 0.388	1.23 ± 0.172	1.07 ± 0.131	<0.05	NS	NS	
Arachidonic (C20:4 n-6)	1.74 ± 0.080	1.70 ± 0.178	1.50 ± 0.051	1.58 ± 0.064	1.63 ± 0.197	1.44 ± 0.086	1.60 ± 0.224	1.41 ± 0.066	1.36 ± 0.074	NS	<0.001	NS	
Adrenic (C22:4 n-6)	0.45 ± 0.073	0.39 ± 0.051	0.43 ± 0.044	0.41 ± 0.071	0.39 ± 0.048	0.47 ± 0.024	0.42 ± 0.062	0.25 ± 0.059	0.14 ± 0.018	<0.01	<0.01	<0.001	
Docosapentaenoic (C22:5 n-6)	5.09 ± 1.404	3.71 ± 0.846	4.99 ± 0.718	5.03 ± 1.648	4.54 ± 0.950	6.30 ± 0.736	2.58 ± 0.960	0.80 ± 0.137	0.56 ± 0.284	<0.001	<0.01	<0.001	
Total n-6	14.61 ± 1.418	12.72 ± 1.330	14.94 ± 1.066	13.13 ± 1.936	13.77 ± 1.322	16.07 ± 0.733	19.77 ± 4.531	8.20 ± 0.882	7.82 ± 0.854	<0.01	<0.001	<0.01	
Alpha-linolenic (C18:3 n-3)	0.24 ± 0.149	ND	0.12 ± 0.025	0.18 ± 0.051	ND	0.08 ± 0.012	0.96 ± 0.616	0.19 ± 0.057	0.17 ± 0.065	<0.05	NS	NS	
Eicosapenteanoic (20:5 n-3)	0.21 ± 0.078	0.12 ± 0.009	0.26 ± 0.076	0.11 ± 0.010	0.09 ± 0.013	1.22 ± 1.094	0.21 ± 0.072	0.59 ± 0.197	0.69 ± 0.155	<0.001	<0.05	NS	
Docosapentaenoic (C22:5 n-3)	1.46 ± 0.113	1.32 ± 0.104	1.28 ± 0.086	1.23 ± 0.084	1.11 ± 0.082	1.13 ± 0.053	1.24 ± 0.180	1.75 ± 0.070	1.94 ± 0.116	<0.01	<0.01	<0.001	
Docosahexaenoic (C22:6 n-3)	28.17 ± 1.753	28.39 ± 1.980	27.39 ± 1.620	29.75 ± 2.597	28.77 ± 1.561	26.33 ± 1.468	21.62 ± 3.508	35.65 ± 0.862	35.59 ± 0.925	NS	<0.05	<0.001	
Total n-3	29.84 ± 1.757	29.78 ± 1.958	28.83 ± 1.574	31.17 ± 2.539	29.94 ³⁴ 1.557	27.86 ± 1.411	23.59 ± 3.435	37.91 ± 0.754	38.10 ± 0.911	NS	<0.05	<0.001	

n-6 to n-3 ratio	0.53 ± 0.079	0.46 ± 0.067	0.55 ± 0.060	0.51 ± 0.118	0.49 ± 0.071	0.60 ± 0.050	0.68 ± 0.182	0.22 ± 0.026	0.21 ± 0.026	0.08	<0.05	<0.05
Total PUFA	44.45 ± 0.591	42.50 ± 0.062	43.77 ± 0.619	44.30 ± 1.089	43.71 ± 1.189	43.92 ± 0.914	43.37 ± 1.780	46.11 ± 0.593	45.93 ± 0.692	<0.05	NS	NS
CON = control; SO = safflower c Limit of quantification = 0.06%; I ^a total n-6/total n-3	oil; FO = fish oil; N ND = not detectab	S = not significant le.	t; week 0 indicates s	tart of dietary supp	olementation							
726												
727												
728												
729												
730												
731												
732												
733												
734												
735												
736												
737												
738												
739												
740												

Table 6. Stepwise regression models for total n-3 and n6 PUFA in sperm using total sa	aturated,
monounsaturated, n-3 and n-6 intakes as independent variables.	

		Slope)			R^2			P-valu	е
	-1	7	11	_	-1	7	11	-1	7	11
Total n-3 PUFA in sperm										
Total n-3 PUFA intake ¹		0.76	0.15			0.27	0.60	ns	<0.01	<0.001
Total MUFA intake			-0.15				0.07	ns	ns	<0.05
Total n-6 in sperm										
Total n-3 PUFA intake		-0.07	-0.15			0.37	0.68	ns	<0.001	<0.001
Total MUFA intake			0.14				0.06	ns	ns	<0.05
¹ Intake recorded for initial s	ix we	eks of fe	eding pe	eri	od.					



		Diet			Week	Significance				
	CTL	SO	FO	10	11	12	Diet	Week	Diet by week	
CASA Total Motile (%)	29.2 ± 2.37	35.7 ± 2.92	30.9 ± 2.66	22.4 ± 2.38^{a}	24.5 ± 3.04^{a}	28.7 ± 2.06^{b}	NS	***	NS	
CASA PLM (%)	21.9 ± 2.25	28.8 ± 2.75	25.1 ± 2.54	28.5 ± 2.61^{a}	31.3 ± 3.05^{a}	36.0 ± 2.21 ^b	NS	**	NS	
Curvilinear velocity (µm/s)	77.3 ± 4.15	89.3 ± 3.08	82.0 ± 4.52	83.1 ± 3.89	79.4 ± 4.51	86.1 ± 3.61	NS	NS	NS	
Straight-line velocity (µm/s)	56.3 ± 3.78	64.5 ± 2.80	60.6 ± 3.80	60.1 ± 3.65	58.2 ± 3.97	63.1 ± 2.87	NS	NS	NS	
Average path velocity (µm/s)	66.1 ± 3.97	75.7 ± 2.95	70.5 ± 4.23	70.4 ± 3.82	68.1 ± 4.28	73.7 ± 3.20	NS	NS	NS	
Linearity	58.8 ± 2.43	61.6 ± 1.70	62.3 ± 2.73	59.6 ± 2.40	58.5 ± 2.69	64.6 ± 1.61	NS	NS	NS	
Straightness	70.9 ± 2.04	74.6 ± 1.30	75.3 ± 1.65	72.4 ± 1.98	71.6 ± 1.62	76.7 ± 1.39	NS	NS	NS	
Amplitude of lateral head displacement (µm)	2.1 ± 0.08^{a}	3.3 ± 0.92^{b}	2.1 ± 0.10 ^{ab}	2.2 ± 0.08	3.0 ± 0.93	2.3 ± 0.09	*	NS	NS	
Beat cross frequency (Hz)	5.9 ± 0.28	6.9 ± 0.23	6.5 ± 0.35	6.4 ± 0.30	6.1 ± 0.34	6.9 ± 0.23	ns	ns	ns	

Table 7. Effect of dietary polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation post-thaw sperm motility parameters from bulls offered a control, safflower or fish oil for 12 weeks (mean ± s.e.m.).

^{abc}Different superscripts differ significantly within row ¹*=P<0.05; **=P<0.01; ***=P<0.001; ns= not significant (P>0.05). CON = control; SO = safflower oil; FO = fish oil; NS = not significant. CASA = computer assisted semen analysis. PLM =progressive linear motility.

Table 8. Stepwise regression models for computer assisted semen analysis (CASA) of total motility, progressive linear motility (PLM), sperm viability, acrosome integrity, and membrane fluidity of frozen-thawed semen using dietary total n-6 and n-3 intakes, percentage lipid content of total n-3 and n-6, n-6 to n-3 ratio and DHA content of both sperm and seminal plasma (SP) as independent variables.

	Slope	Individual R ²	P-value
CASA total motility ($\sum R^2 = 0.09$; <i>y</i> -intercept = 24.4) Total n-6 PUFA intake ¹	0.06	0.09	<0.01
CASA PLM ($\Sigma R^2 = 0.09$; <i>y</i> -intercept = 18.2) Total n-6 PUFA intake	0.06	0.09	<0.01
Viability ($\sum R^2 = 0.38$; <i>y</i> -intercept = 3.6) Total n-6 PUFA intake DHA in SP	0.04 0.09	0.18 0.20	<0.001 0.09
Acrosome integrity ($\sum R^2 = 0.27$; <i>y</i> -intercept = 75.6) Total n-6 PUFA intake	0.04	0.27	<0.001
Membrane fluidity ($\sum R^2 = 0.21$; <i>y</i> -intercept = 2.5) n-6 to n-3 ratio in sperm Total n-3 PUFA intake $\sum R^2$: overall model R ²	11.4 0.05	0.08 0.13	<0.01 <0.05
	_		

¹Intake recorded for initial six weeks of feeding period. DHA: docosahexaenoic acid

782

783 Figure legends

784

Figure 1. Effect of dietary supplementation with either safflower (SO; n=15) or fish oil (FO; n=20) vs a control (CTL; n=15) diet onsemen volume, progressive linear motility (PLM) and sperm concentration collected from young post-pubertal dairy bulls after 10 weeks of feeding. ^{xy}Different superscripts indicate a significant difference between weeks. Vertical bars represent s.e.m.

790

Figure 2. Effect of dietary supplementation with either safflower (SO; n=10) or fish oil 791 792 (FO; n=10) vs a control (CTL; n=10) diet on viability (a), membrane fluidity (b), acrosome integrity (c) and presence of superoxide anion (d) of frozen-thawed semen 793 collected from young post-pubertal dairy bulls after 10 weeks of feeding. Vertical 794 bars represent s.e.m. ^{ab}Different superscripts differ significantly within week. ^{xy} 795 Different superscripts indicate a significant difference between weeks. *SO diet tends 796 to be greater than CTL (P=0.06). #Week 11 tends to be lower than week 12 797 798 (P=0.06).

799

Figure3. Fluorescent dot plot and univariate histograms showing the distribution of 800 Alexa Fluor 647 (AF647), Syto16 (S16) and Propidium Iodide (PI) fluorescence in 801 frozen-thawed bull sperm as determined by flow cytometry. The population of sperm 802 was identified based on the forward scatter and side scatter variables and 803 discriminated from debris, known as P01.Population. The fluorescence dot plot (a) 804 reports the sperm population positive and negative for AF647 and S16. The 805 univariate histogram (b) represents the S16 single colour control and displays the 806 807 proportion of negative (unstained) and positive events for S16 in the Green detector. 808 The univariate histogram (c) represents a PI single colour control and displays the proportion of negative (unstained) and positive events for PI in the Yellow detector. 809 The univariate histogram (d) represents the AF647 single colour control and displays 810 the proportion of negative (unstained) and positive events for AF647 Red2 811 fluorescence in the Red2 Detector. 812

- 813
- 814